# ENHANCING GENETIC ALGORITHMS BASED SOLUTIONS FOR MULTI SOURCE FLEXIBLE MULTISTAGE LOGISTICS NETWORK MODELS

SEYED YASER BOZORGI RAD

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# ENHANCING GENETIC ALGORITHMS BASED SOLUTIONS FOR MULTI SOURCE FLEXIBLE MULTISTAGE LOGISTICS NETWORK MODELS

## SEYED YASER BOZORGI RAD

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information System Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2012

## Dedicated to...

My beloved father; "Shaban Bozorgi Rad" and also to my beloved mother; "Tarane Zare" for their continued love, guidance and support throughout my life. Love you always.

> I could have never done it without you. Love you always.

Thanks for showering me with love, support and encouragement. Life has been wonderfully colored by both of you.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, I thank Thee with all my heart for granting Thy Servant immeasurable help during the course of this study and peace be upon him, the last messenger of Allah.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Dr. Mohammad Ishak Desa and Dr. Antoni Wibowo for their encouragement, continuous support and guidance while completing this work. Their views, practical guidance and constructive comments were proven to be extremely valuable. Secondly, I would like to express my gratitude to Universiti Technologi Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia for sponsoring this research under FRGS (Vot. 78502), and all the staff from Department of Modeling and Industrial Computing, for their support with both time and energy.

Finally, special thanks to all the members of my family for their warm encouragement and love in carrying me through the challenging times throughout this study. I would like to acknowledge each person who has contributed to the success of this report, whether directly or indirectly. May Allah s.w.t prolong the lives of these people and reward them in the best possible way. Amin.

### ABSTRACT

A multistage logistics network problem deals with determining the optimal routes for product delivery to customers through a network of multiple facilities namely plants, distribution centers and retailers. The optimal routes should maximize revenues or minimize costs to a business or logistics provider. The flexible multistage logistics network (fMLN) problem is an extension of the traditional multistage logistics network whereby a customer can procure goods directly from plants or distribution centers needless of retailers. It is well known that fMLN problem is NP-hard, thus, it requires, for a large size problem, a non-polynomial time to solve analytically. In addition, an fMLN problem usually involves optimization that has a large number of constraints and decision variables. Previous researchers have attempted to use soft computing approaches namely Genetic Algorithms (GA) to address the fMLN problem. In terms of modeling, previous research considered fMLN problem with single source assumption, whereby each customer would be served by only one facility. In reality, a customer may be served by a number of facilities or by multi source and can order a number of different products. Besides that, business or logistics provider is required not only to minimize the total logistics costs but also other criteria such as the total delivery time simultaneously. Under these circumstances, the fMLN problem becomes more complex, and the standard GA could not perform reasonably well due to a decreasing the quality of solution. In this research a single source fMLN problem is extended to cater for multi source, multi product and multi objective fMLN cases. It is proven that the standard GA and the previous chromosome representation could not be used to solve the extended fMLN problems. Here, two new chromosome representations were proposed and implemented on GA with penalty method. In addition, heuristic rules were developed and embedded into GA to cope with the constraints in the fMLN problems. The experimental results showed that the proposed chromosome representations and the heuristic rules have substantially improved the GA performance in terms of running time and solution quality for the extended fMLN problems.

### ABSTRAK

Masalah rangkaian logistik berperingkat, secara lazimnya, menentukan laluan terbaik bagi penghantaran produk kepada pengguna melalui rangkaian pelbagai kemudahan yang terdiri dari kilang, pusat pengagihan serta peruncit. Laluan-laluan terbaik ini sepatutnya meningkatkan pendapatan pada tahap maksima, atau mengurangkan kos pada tahap minima kepada perniagaan ataupun pembekal logistik. Masalah rangkaian logistik bolehubah berperingkat (fMLN) merupakan lanjutan kepada rangkaian logistik berperingkat tradisional, di mana sesaorang pengguna boleh membeli barangan secara terus dari kilang-kilang atau pusat-pusat pengagihan, tanpa melalui pihak peruncit. Sudah menjadi ketahuan bahawa masalah fMLN adalah 'NP-hard'. Oleh sebab itu, ia memerlukan algoritma bukan berpolinomial bagi menyelesaikan masalah ini. Tambahan pula, masalah fMLN ini juga melibatkan pengoptimuman dengan kekangan dan pembolehubah keputusan yang banyak. Maka, para penyelidik terdahulu telah mencuba untuk menggunakan pendekatan "soft-computing", terutamanya Algoritma Genetik (GA) untuk menyelesaikan masalah tersebut. Dari segi pemodelan, para penyelidik terdahulu telah mengambil kira masalah fMLN dengan andaian sumber tunggal, iaitu sesaorang pengguna hanya boleh dilayan oleh satu kemudahan sahaja. Walau bagaimanapun, secara realiti, sesaorang pengguna sepatutnya boleh dilayan oleh beberapa kemudahan atau lebih dikenali sebagai sumber pelbagai, dan juga boleh membeli sebilangan jenis barangan mengikut kehendak pengguna tersebut. Tambahan pula, suatu perniagaan atau pembekal logistik boleh bukan sahaja meminimakan jumlah kos logistik tetapi juga perlu, dalam masa yang sama, meminimakan kriteria yang lain, misalnya keseluruhan masa penghantaran. Dalam keadaan sedemikian, masalah fMLN menjadi lebih kompleks dan GA yang biasa, tidak dapat menyelesaikanya dengan baik. Dalam penyelidikan ini, masalah fMLN bersumber tunggal telah dikembangkan untuk memenuhi keperluan kes-kes fMLN bersumber pelbagai, berproduk pelbagai dan berobjektif pelbagai. Ia telah terbukti bahawa GA yang biasa, dan perwakilan kromosom terdahulu, tidak dapat digunakan untuk menyelesaikan masalah fMLN lanjutan tersebut. Di sini, dua perwakilan kromosom baru telah dicadangkan dan dilaksanakan ke atas GA dengan kaedah penalti. Selain itu, peraturan heuristik telah dibentuk dan diserapkan ke dalam GA untuk menangani masalah kekangan dalam model fMLN tersebut. Keputusan ujikaji telah menunjukkan bahawa perwakilan kromosom yang telah dicadangkan, serta peraturan-peraturan heuristik yang dibangunkan telah dapat meningkatkan prestasi GA dengan ketara dari segi tempoh perlaksanaan serta kualiti penyelesaian bagi masalah fMLN lanjutan tersebut.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background	4
	1.3 Problem Statements	7
	1.4 Research Objectives	10
	1.5 Research Scope	10
	1.6 Methodology of the Research	11
	1.7 Thesis Organization	12
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Logistics Network Models	14
	2.2.1 Basic Logistics Model	16

	2.2.2 Location Allocation Model	17
	2.2.3 Multistage Logistics Model	17
	2.2.4 Flexible Logistics Model	19
2.3	Optimization Techniques and Algorithms	20
2.4	Solutions to Multistage Logistics Network	28
	2.4.1 Genetic Algorithms (GAs) to Solve Multistage	32
	Logistics Network	
2.5	Summary	34
ME	THODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	The Flexible Multistage Logistics Network Model	36
3.3	The Basic Genetic Algorithm (GA) Approach	40
3.4	Overall Research Strategy	46
	3.4.1 Phase 1: Literature Review	47
	3.4.2 Phase 2: Problem Identification and Classification	48
	3.4.3 Phase 3: Model Extension and Formulation	50
	3.4.4 Phase 4: Development of Algorithm	51
	3.4.5 Phase 5: Implementation, Testing and Validation	53
3.5	Summary	54
AL	GORITHMS FOR MULTI SOURCE SINGLE	55
PRO	DDUCT fMLN	
4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Problem	56
4.3	The Proposed Solution Algorithms	59
4.4	Genetic Algorithm with Penalty Method (P-GA)	61
	4.4.1 Chromosome Representation	61

4.4.2 Initialization

4.4.3 Genetic Operators

4.4.4 Proposed Penalty Method

4.4.5 Overall Procedure of Genetic Algorithm with 70

3

4

viii

64

67

67

Penalty Method for fMLN

- 4.4.6 Numerical Result of Using Genetic Algorithm 71 with Penalty Method (P-GA)
- 4.4.7 Single Source Flexible Multistage Logistics 75 Network Problem
- 4.5 Proposed P-GA with Heuristics Rules 78
  - 4.5.1 Proposed Heuristics Rules for P-GA Initialization 79 and Repairing the Crossover Operator
  - 4.5.2 Mathematical Proof for the Heuristics Rules 83 Validity
  - 4.5.3 Pseudo-Code for Heuristics Rules of P-GA 85 Initialization
  - 4.5.4 Proposed Heuristic Rules for P-GA Mutation 92
  - 4.5.5 Numerical Result of Using HR-GA Compared 104 with P-GA
- 4.6 Proposed Route Based GA (RB-GA) to Solve Multi 106 Source Single Product fMLN Problem
  - 4.6.1 Crossover for Edge-and-Vertex Encoding (RB- 115 GA)
  - 4.6.2 Mutation for Edge-and-Vertex Encoding (RB- 116 GA)
  - 4.6.3 Comparison Result between HR-GA and RB-GA 117
  - 4.6.4 Pros and Cons of Using HR-GA and RB-GA 120
- 4.7 Summary 121

# MODEL AND ALGORITHM FOR MULTI-PRODUCT 123 MULTI SOURCE fMLN

5

- 5.1 Introduction 123
- 5.2 Multi Source Multi Products Flexible Multistage 124 Logistics Network Model
- 5.3 Proposed Solutions for Multi Source Multi Product 128 fMLN Problem

- 5.3.1 Genetic Algorithm with Penalty Method (P-GA) 128 for Multi Product
- 5.3.2 Initialization 130
- 5.3.3 Numerical Result of Using P-GA to Solve Multi 134 Source Multi Product fMLN Problem
- 5.3.4 Proposed P-GA with Heuristics Rules for Multi 136 Product fMLN
  - 5.3.4.1 Proposed P-GA Initialization and 136 Crossover with Heuristics Rules for Multi Product fMLN
  - 5.3.4.2 Proposed Heuristic Rules for P-GA 143 Mutation for Multi Product fMLN
- 5.3.5 Numerical Result of Using HR-GA Compared 151 with P-GA to Solve Multi Source Multi Product fMLN Problem
- 5.3.6 Numerical Result of Using HR-GA Compared 152
   with P-GA to Solve Multi Source Single
   Product fMLN Problem and Multi Source Multi
   Product fMLN Problem
- 5.3.7 Proposed Route Base GA (RB-GA) to Solve 154 Multisource Multi Product fMLN

## 5.4 Summary 156

# MODEL AND ALGORITHMS FOR BI-CRITERIA 158 MULTI SOURCE fMLN

6

6.1 Introduction 158
6.2 Bi-Criteria Multi Source Single Product fMLN Model 159
6.3 Solution Approach to Bi-Criteria Multi Source Single 163 Product fMLN
6.4 Numerical Experiments 168
6.4.1 Comparison Solution of Using HR-GA between 170

Single Objective and Multi Objectives fMLN

	6.5 Summary	171
7	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	173
	7.1 Summary	173
	7.2 Contribution	176
	7.3 Future Work	178
EFERENCES		180

# REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

189 - 239

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Problem cases of multi source single product fMLN using	74
	P-GA	
4.2	Comparison result of using P-GA to solve single source	76
	single product fMLN and multi source single product	
	fMLN	
4.3	Solution comparison using HR-GA and P-GA	105
4.4	Comparison result in terms of elapsed time between HR-	117
	GA and RB-GA	
4.5	Comparison result in terms of solution quality between	119
	HR-GA and RB- GA	
5.1	Problem cases of multi source multi product fMLN	135
	problems using P-GA	
5.2	Solution comparison between HR-GA and P-GA to solve	152
	multi source multi product fMLN Problems	
5.3	Solution comparison of using HR-GA to solve single	153
	product and two products fMLN problem	
5.4	The biggest problem case of multi source multi product	154
	fMLN for HR-GA	
5.5	Solution comparison of using HR-GA and RB-GA to	156
	solve multi source multi product fMLN Problem	
6.1	Problem case 1 with the obtained PARETO solution	169

6.2	Problem case 2 with the obtained PARETO solution	169
6.3	Problem case 3 with the obtained PARETO solution	169
6.4	Comparison solution in terms of elapsed time using HR-	171
	GA between single objective and multi objectives fMLN	

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The structure of flexible multistage logistics network	3
	(fMLN) models (Gen et al. 2008)	
2.1	Structure of traditional logistics network	14
2.2	The Core models of logistics network design (Gen <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	15
2.3	Classification of optimization algorithms (Weise, 2009)	23
2.4	Visualization of optimization algorithms performance (Weise, 2009)	24
2.5	Infeasibility and illegality (Gen et al. 2008)	28
3.1	An example of crossover	42
3.2	Flowchart of GA's phases and the proposed amendments	45
3.3	Overview of the overall research strategy	46
3.4	Details of literature review phase 1	47
3.5	Details of problem identification and classification phase	49
3.6	Details of model extension and formulation phase	50
3.7	Details of development of algorithm phase	52
4.1	The structure of single source in the last layer of flexible multistage logistics network (fMLN) model	57
4.2	The structure of multi source flexible multistage logistics network (fMLN) models (Gen <i>et al.</i> 2008)	58

4.3	Representation of vertex-based (Gen et al., 2008)	62
4.4	Proposed edge-based chromosome representation	63
4.5	Pseudo-code of chromosome generation	65
4.6	The overall pseudo-code for P-GA	71
4.7	An example of the obtained solution using P-GA	73
4.8	Pseudo-code for heuristics rules of P-GA initialization	88
4.9	Sample of chromosome representation ( <i>I</i> , <i>J</i> , <i>K</i> and $L = 2$ )	89
4.10	Pseudo-code for heuristics rules of P-GA mutation	100
4.11	Sample of chromosome for specified problem before mutation	101
4.12	Sample of chromosome for specified problem after mutation	104
4.13	Edge-and-vertex encoding	108
4.14	Proposed RB-GA chromosome representation	109
4.15	Pseudo-code to derive decision variables from possible routes	111
4.16	An example of chromosome representation with I=2, J=2,	113
	K=2 and $L=1$ .	
4.17	Comparison result between HR-GA and RB-GA in terms of elapsed time	118
4.18	Comparison result between HR-GA and RB-G in terms of solution quality	119
5.1	Proposed edge-based chromosome representation for multi product fMLN	129
5.2	Pseudo-code of chromosome generation for multi product fMLN	132
5.3	P-GA initialization with heuristics rules for multi product fMLN	143
5.4	Pseudo-code for heuristics rules of P-GA mutation for multi product fMLN	150
5.5	Pseudo-code of using heuristics rules embedded GA to solve multi product fMLN	151
5.6	Proposed RB-GA chromosome representation for multi	155

# source multi product fMLN

6.1	An example of PARETO optimal solution of bi-criteria	
62	problem Pseudo-code of fitness evaluation part of proposed	167
0.2	algorithms selection part	107

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

fMLN	-	Flexible Multistage Logistics Network
bTP	-	Bi-Criteria Transportation Problem
CSPs	-	Constraint Satisfaction Problems
GA	-	Genetic Algorithms
DC	-	Distribution Center
NP-hard	-	Non Deterministic Polynomial Time Hard
EAs	-	Evolutionary Algorithms
escTP	-	Exclusionary Side Constraint Transportation
		Problem
SCM	-	Supply Chain Management
TP	-	Transportation Problem
mIP	-	Mixed Integer Programming
tMLN	-	Traditional Multistage Logistics Network
COPs	-	Combinatorial Optimization Problems
hEA	-	Hybrid Evolutionary Algorithm
SCN	-	Supply Chain Network
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
mrLNP	-	Multi-Stage Reverse Logistics Network Problem
mt-PDI	-	Multi-Time Period Production/Distribution And
		Inventory Problem
P-GA	-	Genetic Algorithm with Penalty Methods (Penalty
		GA)
HR-GA	-	P-GA with Heuristics Rules (Heuristics Rules
		Based GA)
RB-GA	-	Route Based GA

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

А

Problem Cases

189

**CHAPTER 1** 

### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Logistic optimization has become an increasingly important component of supply chain management in improving business efficiency in agile and global manufacturing. Nowadays in the competitive market environment, minimizing the cost of transportation and other related costs within logistic network while considering the minimization of the total product delivery time are the key factors for success.

Comprehensive logistic systems encompass entire processes from transportation of raw material and input requirements of supplier to plant, the processing input to product at plant, transport to warehouse/facility, and delivery to end user. The effective management of logistics systems demands the input of dynamic and static flow conditions, transportation and storage. This has turned the primary focus of the market on the efficient management of logistics systems. This explains the complexity of modern logistic network designs, in light of detailed considerations for business entities, more so of the multinational types. Nevertheless, optimization proves complicated with the complexities of logistic networks, in spite of the strong demand for cost reduction in logistics (Gen *et al.*, 2008).

The logistics network design problem defined by Vidal and Dogan, (2002) comprises of the need to maximize revenue, minimize cost, by determining optimal production-distribution configurations between company subchapters with the inputs of potential suppliers, potential production facilities, and distribution centers with multiple configuration possibilities, and customers with deterministic demands, to meet service requirements.

Existing logistics network literature primarily focuses on the construction of traditional multistage logistics network models. Traditional multi-stage problems aim to enhance profit for all participant, safe inventory, and maximum customer service levels. Robustly of decision in favor of unclear demand, shipping cost and total delivery time reduction are also in consideration. Traditional logistics networks deal with normal delivery between stages. Direct shipment is the other option where goods move from plant to retailer directly skipping distribution centers. The customer may yet supply the goods from plant or from distribution center directly and not via retailer. This form of delivery demands a different type of logistic network nominated by Gen *et al*, (2008) as Flexible Multistage Logistics Network (fMLN). Figure 1.1 shows this structure.



	Normai Derivery
••••••	Direct Shipment
-·-· <b>→</b>	Direct Delivery

**Figure 1.1:** The structure of flexible multistage logistics network (fMLN) models (Gen *et al.*, 2008).

Gen *et al*, (2008) also propose the bi-criteria linear logistics model as a unique model for the depiction of the feasible region with a two dimensional criteria space.

This study focuses on the flexible multistage logistics network optimization when network is multi-source at all levels. The structure of the chapter follows with Section 1.2 dealing with the problem background. The problem statement follows under Section 1.3; and Section 1.4 deals with the research objectives. The scope of the research will be shown in Section 1.5. The brief methodology used in this research will be presented in Section 1.6 and the organization of research can be found in Section 1.7.

### 1.2 Problem Background

Optimizing a linear function subject to other linear functions over a finite (or countable infinite) set of feasible solutions is known as combinatorial problem. Combinatorial optimization is the rule of decision making in case of discrete alternative (Aarts and Lenstra, 2003). A large number of combinatorial problems are linked with logistic optimization. Many researchers including Gen (2006) pointed out that the optimization problems of logistics network are NP-hard problems.

By tradition, there are many techniques available to solve the optimization problems of logistics network. The usual techniques comprise of linear programming, mixed integer programming and many more. These exact methods can work well, but will cost enormous resources of time and space when solving large-scale problems. Only when the formation of logistics network is simple and there are small number of decision variable and constraint, it can get the exact solution (Chunguang and Songdong, 2007). Logistic optimization has been acknowledged increasingly as a key issue of supply chain management to improve the business effectiveness under universal competition and diversified customer demands. New delivery modes, identifying between fMLN and traditional counterparts, makes the solution space to the problem much larger and more complex. A large number of decision variables are involved in the general fMLN problem. Solving this problem involves optimization with many constraints and therefore a large problem space. It is, therefore argued that a research on how to develop algorithms with better searching capability focusing on the characteristics of fMLN is required.

For any optimization problem, there is an optimization criterion (i.e. evaluation function) to be minimized or maximized. The evaluation function signifies a measure of the quality of the developed solution. Searching the space of all possible solution is a difficult task. An additional constraint on the domain of search for the parameters makes the problem more complex. The constraints might influence the performance of the evolutionary process since some of the produced solutions (i.e. individuals) may be infeasible. Infeasible solution represents a waste of computation attempt.

Many difficult computational problems from different application areas can be counted as constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs). Constraint satisfaction is vital in computer science. It searches for the optimal solution under a list of constraints. Solutions vary from systematic algorithms to stochastic ones. The complete and systematic methods solve the problem with a significant margin of constraint checks, rendering them applicable only to simple problems. Most of the algorithms are products of the traditional Backtracking Scheme. Incomplete and stochastic algorithms may prove faster; yet they may not necessarily solve the problem albeit under unlimitted time and space (Ionita *et al.*, 2010).

Heuristic usually refers to a method that looks for an optimum solution but does not guarantee it will obtain one, even if one exists. Meta-heuristics are general structures for heuristics in solving hard problems. Earlier to meta-heuristic, a heuristic method has been used in solving combinatorial optimization problems. However, as the problem size becomes larger and complex for real world cases, the method has been very time consuming and decrease in practicality (Masrom *et al.*, 2011).

Meta-heuristics may come under two classes; population-based and point- topoint. The latter only seeks one solution to each iteration repeating the search with the next iteration. The population-based methods on the other hand invoke a number of solutions at the end of each iteration. It may be said that Genetic Algorithm (GA) is an illustration of population-based methods, with Simulated Annealing and Tabu search as examples for point-to-point.

Evolutionary Computation techniques are population-based heuristics, suggested from the natural evolutionary patterns. All techniques in this area work in the same way: they keep a population of individuals (particles, agents) -updated through operators based on fitness information- to achieve better solution areas. The most popular patterns include evolutionary algorithms and swarm intelligence techniques. Evolutionary algorithms are strong search heuristics that operate with a group of chromosomes, as potential solutions. Individuals improve according to the rules of selection and genetic operators. Because operator relevance doesn't warrant offspring feasibility, constraint handling can prove complicated (Ionita *et al.*, 2010).

The GA is most effective in solving complex design optimization problems for its capacity to handle discrete and continuous variables, nonlinear objective and constrain functions without gradient information (Panda and Padhy, 2007). The performance of a genetic algorithm, like any global optimization algorithm, depends on the method for balancing the two conflicting objectives, which are exploiting the best solutions found as far as this and at the same time exploring the search space for talented solutions. The influence of genetic algorithms comes from their capability to mix both exploration and exploitation in an optimal way (Tarek *et al.*, 2006).

Constraint handling is mainly one of the most difficult parts faced in optimization problem. These constraints frequently bound the feasible solution to a small subset. Although genetic algorithms can speedily locate the region in which the global optimum exists, they acquire a comparatively lengthy time to find the exact local optimum in the region of convergence (Tarek *et al.*, 2006). Since fMLN optimization involves a large number of constraints, a simple GA cannot be an efficient technique in terms of obtaining a desired quality solution in a reasonable time. In fact, many researchers have improved the GA implementation to cater for difficult and complex problems.

#### **1.3 Problems Statements**

The recent development in fMLN modeling is discussed in Gen *et al.* (2008) which addressed fMLN problem with the assumption of customer order can be fulfilled by only one facility. The fMLN problem in real world logistics and supply chain is more complex. It usually involves multi source where customer order can be fulfilled by

multi facilities (plants, DCs and retailers). Furthermore, it also involves customer order for multiple products, and multiple objectives that an operator intends. These may include simultaneous logistic cost and delivery time reduction. fMLN variant modeling and solutions have been ignored by existing researches due to their complexity of decision variables and constraints.

fMLNs with extended decision variables and constraints are questions under combinatorial optimization. Preceding scholarship has used Meta-heuristics methods to solve the problem for its classification as non-deterministic polynomial time hard (NPhard). Finding optimal solution turns to be exponential to problem size, translating into significant cost/time to the performance of the algorithm. Depending on a single Metaheuristic method proves restrictive in real life and high complexity problems.

The last decade has seen increasing use of genetic algorithms (GA) for a range of single and multi-objective combinatorial and NP-hard problems (Altiparmak *et al.*, 2006). GA is problem-independent with natural characteristics suitable to optimization problems. The basic attribute is the multiple directional and global searches by maintaining a population of potential solutions from generation to generation. The GA does not have much mathematical requirements concerning the problems and it is able to handle any kind of objective functions and constraints. GA can solve problems regardless of specific internal mechanisms, thanks to its evolutionary nature, making it appropriate to complex problems as opposed to conventional methods (Gen and Cheng, 2000). Yet there are situations in which the simple GA does not perform optimally.

The fMLN problems of this research involve optimizing more than one objective with large number of decision variables and constraints. Therefore by using a simple GA to solve fMLN problem, finding the feasible region of problem space that all problem constraints are satisfied is not easy as GA is a search based technique and it generates the candidate solutions randomly. Additionally, considering to the nature of GA's operators most probably the infeasible solutions will be generated that some of constraints are violated.

The latest work on GA based solution for fMLN is by Gen *et al.* (2008). The problem addressed here was only for a special case of fMLN. That is a single source, single product and single objective fMLN. In this research the fMLN problem addressed involves the multisource, multi products, and multi objectives cases.

Specifically this research will address the following research questions:

- 1- How to develop efficient GA based solution techniques for solving multisource fMLN problems?
- 2- How to develop efficient GA based solution techniques for solving multisource multi product fMLN problems?
- 3- How to formulate the model and develop efficient GA based solution techniques for multi objective multi source fMLN problem?

#### **1.4 Research Objectives**

Based on the above mentioned problems statement the objectives of the research are:

- 1- To develop efficient GA based solution techniques for solving multi-source fMLN problems.
- 2- To develop efficient GA based solution techniques for solving multi product multi-source fMLN problems.
- 3- To formulate the model and develop efficient GA based solution techniques for bi-criteria multi source fMLN problem.

The GA based solution algorithm must fulfil the criteria of efficient algorithm namely the ability to obtain near optimum solution within a reasonable computational time.

### 1.5 Research Scope

Subsequent to the goal and objectives of this study is the research scope. In view of the fact that there is a number of diversity in logistics network model and the existence of Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) to solve such problems, this study is scoped as follows:

1. In logistics there are some criteria as price and demand estimation, inventory cost, transportation cost, product delivery time and some others, but here we

focus on the two common criteria namely transportation cost and product delivery time.

- 2. Total shipping cost which is transportation cost with fixed cost of opened facilities will be considered. Other usual cost in logistics network such as inventory cost, warehousing cost, production cost and many more are not the main concerns in this research and will not be considered.
- 3. Total products delivery time which is the transportation time without consideration to loading/unloading time will be considered. Products delivery time is per shipped which is known in advance.
- 4. The capacitated logistics network is considered where the capacity of every facility (Plants, DCs and retailers) are constrained and known in advance.
- 5. Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs), different representing of GA, penalty methods, heuristic rules for problem constraints handling and PARETO solution are considered.

### **1.6** Methodology of the Research

In general, the methodology of this research is divided into four steps. In the first step, the fMLN mathematical model will be used as a base model and it will be restructured from single source to multi source fMLN model. Subsequently, multi source single product fMLN model will be extended to multi source multi products fMLN model. Furthermore, bi-criteria multi source single product fMLN model will be formulated. In the second step, the standard GA will be used as a base technique to solve the above mentioned problems. It was proved by previous researchers'work that, GA has weaknesses to satisfy a large number of problem constraints and it requires amendments. In the third step of this research methodology, the required amendments of the standard GA to solve variants fMLN models for obtaining an acceptable solution within a reasonable time will be defined. Finally, in forth step, the proposed solutions will be implemented and the obtained solution will be validated.

### **1.7** Thesis Organization

In general, this thesis comprises of seven chapters. Chapter 1 presents the introduction of the study, problems background, the problems statements, objectives and the scope. Chapter 2 is the literature reviews on multistage logistics network models and their solutions, flexible multistage logistics network model and its solutions, optimization techniques like Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) and Genetic Algorithms (GAs) which are applied in the logistics problems. Research methodology is discussed in Chapter 3 while Chapter 4 presents the experimental results of GA based solution techniques in solving the multi-source single product flexible multistage logistics network problem. Besides, proposed heuristics rules for GA using penalty function methods to speed up the algorithm and newly proposed representation of GA will be shown in Chapter 4. Extended model of multi source multi product fMLN problem will be considered in Chapter 5 and the proposed algorithms will be developed to solve the mentioned problem. In Chapter 6 the bi-criteria multi source fMLN model will be formulated and solved by developing the proposed algorithm with PARETO solutions. The conclusion and suggestions for future work are explained in Chapter 7.

#### REFERENCES

- Aarts, E., and Lenstra, J. K. (2003). Local Search in Combinatorial Optimization, University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Abdel-Rahman. H.A. (2004). Studies on Metaheuristics for Continuous Global Optimization Problems, PhD thesis, Kyoto University, Japan.
- Affenzeller, M., Beham, A., Kofler, M., Kronberger, G., Wagner, S.A., and Winkler, S. (2009). *Meta-heuristic Optimization*. Springer, Heidelberg. 103–155.
- Alim, F., and Ivanov, K. (2006). Heuristic Rules Embedded Genetic Algorithm to Solve In-Core Fuel Management Optimization Problem, Ph.D. thesis in Nuclear Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University.
- Altiparmak, F., Gen, M., Lin, L., and Karaoglan, I. (2007). A steady- state genetic algorithm for multi-product supply chain network design, Computers and Industrial Engineering, ing, 56(2), 521537.
- Altiparmak, F., Gen, M., Lin, L. and Paksoy, T. (2006). A genetic algorithm approach for multi-objective optimization of supply chain networks. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 51(1), 197–216.
- Amiri, A. (2004). Designing a distribution network in a supply chain system: formulation and efficient solution procedure, INFORMS Journal on Computing 16(1): 95-105
- Ataka, S. and Gen, M. (2009). Solution Method for Multi-Product Two-Stage Logistics Network with Constraints on Delivery Route, Electronics and Communications in Japan, 92(8), 456–461.

- Baker, P. and Canessa, M. (2009). Production, Manufacturing and Logistics Warehouse design: A structured approach, European Journal of Operational Research 193, 425–436.
- Barada, M. and Even Sapir, D. (2003). *"Flexibility in logistic systems—modeling and performance evaluation"*, Int. J. Production Economics, (85), 155–170.
- Branke, J., Deb, K., Miettinen, K., and Roman, S. (2008). Multi objective Optimization: Interactive and Evolutionary Approaches, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Printed in Germany, 157–178.
- Burcu B., K., and Halit, U. (2007). *Meta-heuristic approaches with memory and evolution for a multi-product production/distribution system design problem,* European Journal of Operational Research 182, 663–682.
- Celik, H.M. (2004). *Modeling freight distribution using artificial neural networks*, Journal of Transport Geography 12 (2), 141 - 148.
- Chan, F.T.S., and Chung, S.H. (2004). A multi-criterion genetic algorithm for order distribution in a demand driven supply chain, International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 17 (4), 339 – 351.
- Chan, F. T. S., Chung, S. H., and Wadhwa, S. (2004). A hybrid genetic algorithm for production and distribution, Omega, 33, 345–355.
- Chen, C., and Lee, W. (2004). *Multi-objective optimization of multi-echelon supply chain networks with uncertain product demands & prices*, Computers and Chemical Engineering, 28, 1131–1144.
- Chen, M.C. and Wu, H.P. (2005). An association-based clustering approach to order batching considering customer demand patterns. Omega 33 (2005) 333-343.
- Cintron, A., Ravi Ravindran, A., and Ventura, J.A. (2010). *Multi-criteria mathematical model for designing the distribution network of a consumer goods company*, Computers & Industrial Engineering 58, 584–593.
- Coello, C.A.C. (2002). Theoretical and Numerical Constraint Handling Techniques used with Evolutionary Algorithms: A Survey of the State of the Art. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 191(11-12) 1245–1287.

Cooper, L. (1963). Location-allocation problems, Operations Research, 11, 331–343.

- Costa, A., Celano, G., Fichera, S. and Trovato, E. (2010). A new efficient encoding/decoding procedure for the design of a supply chain network with genetic algorithms, Computers & Industrial Engineering, 59, 986–999.
- Craenen, B.G.W., Eiben, A.E., and Marchiori, E. (2002). Solving Constraint Satisfaction Problems with Heuristic-based Evolutionary Algorithms, Congress Evolutionary Computation, 1571-1577.
- Chunguang, Y.I. and Songdong, J.U. (2007). Study of the Optimization of Logistics Network for 3PL Companies Based on Genetic Algorithm, Center for Infrastructure Research (CIR), School of Economics & Management, Beijing Jiaotong University, P.R.China, 100044.
- David. J. C., Swink, M. and Nair, A. (2005). The role of information connectivity in making flexible logistics programs successful. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 35(4), 258-77.
- Deb, K. (2005). A population-based algorithm-generator for real-parameter optimization, Soft Comput, 9 (4), 236–253.
- Dullaert, W., Maes, B., Vernimmen, B. and Witlox, F. (2005). An evolutionary algorithm for order splitting with multiple transport alternatives, Expert Systems with Applications 28 (2) -201 208.
- Erol, I. and Ferrell Jr. W. G. (2004). A methodology to support decision making across the supply chain of an industrial distributor, International Journal of Production Economics, 89, 119–129.
- Francis, RL., McGinnis, LF., and White, JA. (1992). Facility layout and location: an analytical approach . 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. , Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA : Prentice-Hall.
- Garey, M. and Johnson, D. (1979). *Computer and intractability: A Guide to the theory* of NP-Completeness. Sun Francisco.
- Gen, M. (2006). Evolutionary technique for logistics network design: state of the art survey. Second International intelligence logistics system conference. February 22 Australia.

- Gen, M. and Syarif, A. (2005). Hybrid genetic algorithm for multi-time period production / distribution planning, Computers & Industrial Engineering, 48(4), 799–809.
- Gen, M., and Cheng, R. (2000). *Genetic algorithms and engineering optimization*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Gen, M., Altiparamk, F. and Lin, L. (2006). A genetic algorithm for two-stage transportation problem using priority-based encoding, OR Spectrum, 28(3), 337–354.
- Gen, M., Cheng, C. and Lin, L. (2008). *Network Models and Optimization, Multiobjective Genetic Algorithm Approach,* Springer-Verlag London Limited.
- Geoffrion, A. M. and Graves, G. W. (1974). *Multicommodity distribution system design by benders decomposition*, management science, 20, 822–844.
- Georgiadis, M.C., Tsiakis , P., PantelisLonginidis, and Sofioglou, M.K. (2011). Optimal design of supply chain networks under uncertain transient demand variations, Omega 39-254–272
- Goetschalckx, M., Vidal, C. J. and Dogan, K. (2002). Modeling & design of global logistics systems: A review of integrated strategic & tactical models & design algorithms, European Journal of Operational Research, 143, 1–18.
- Goldberg, D.E. (1994). *Genetic and evolutionary algorithms come of age*, Communications of the ACM. 37(3): 113-119.
- Guillen, G., Mele, F. D., Bagajewicz, M. J., Espuna, A., and Puigjaner, L. (2005). Multi-objective supply chain design under uncertainty, Chemical Engineering Science, 60, 1535–1553.
- Guo, J. (2001). *Third-party Logistics Key to rail freight development in China*, Japan Railway & Transport Review, 29, 32–37.
- Harland, C. *Supply chain operational performance roles*, Integrated Manufacturing Systems, 8, 70–78.
- Heragu, S. (1997). Facilities Design, PSW Publishing Company.
- Hindi, K. S., Basta, T., and Pienkosz, K. (1998). Efficient solution of a multicommodity, twostage distribution problem with constraints on assignment of

customers to distribution centers, International Transactions on Operational Research, 5(6), 519–527.

- Hitchcock, F. L. (1941). *The distribution of a product from several sources to numerous localities,* Journal of Mathematical Physics, 20, 24–230.
- Holland, J. (1975), *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems*, Ann Arbor, Univ. Michigan Press.
- Ionita, M., Breaban, M. and Croitoru, C. (2010). *Evolutionary Computation in Constraint Satisfaction*. Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iasi, Romania.
- Jayaraman, V. and Pirkul, H. (2001). Planning and coordination of production and distribution facilities for multiple commodities, European Journal of Operational Research, 133, 394–408.
- Jayaraman, V. and Ross, A. (2003). A simulated annealing methodology to distribution network design & management, European Journal of Operational Research, 144, 629–645.
- Kim, K. B., Song, I. S., and Jeong, B. J. (2006). Supply planning model for remanufacturing system in reverse logistics environment, Computer & Industrial Engineering, 51(2), 279–287.
- Koster, R., Le-Duc, T. and Roodbergen, K.J. (2007). Design and control of warehouse order picking: A literature review. European Journal of Operational Research 182. 481–501.
- Kroon, L., and Vrijens, G. (1995). Returnable containers : An example of reverse logistics, International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 25(2), 56–68.
- Lee, J. E., Gen, M., and Rhee, K. G. (2008). A multi-stage reverse logistics network problem by using hybrid priority-based genetic algorithm, IEEJ Transactions on Electronics, Information & Systems, 128;(3), 450-455.
- Lee, J. E., Rhee, K. G., and Gen, M. (2007). Designing a reverse logistics network by priority based genetic algorithm, *Proceeding of International Conference on Intelligent Manufacturing Logistics System*, Japan, 158–163.

- Li, Y. Z., Gen, M., and Ida, K. (1998). Improved genetic algorithm for solving multiobjective solid transportation problem with fuzzy number, Japanese Journal of Fuzzy Theory and Systems, 4(3), 220–229.
- Lin, L., Gen, M. and Wang, X. (2007). A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for Logistics Network Design with Flexible Multistage Model. International Journal of Information Systems for Logistics and Management 3 (1), 1-12.
- Lin, L., Gen, M. and Wang, X. (2008). Integrated multistage logistics network design by using hybrid evolutionary algorithm. Computers and Industrial Engineering, 56 (3), 854-873.
- Masrom, S., Abidin, S. and Hashimah, P.N. (2011). Towards Rapid Development of User Defined Metaheuristics Hybridization, International Journal of Software Engineering and Its Applications, 5 (2).
- Michalewicz, Z., Vignaux, G. A., and Hobbs, M. (1991). A non-standard genetic algorithm for the nonlinear transportation problem, ORSA Journal on Computing, 3(4), 307–316.
- Michiels W., Aarts, E., and Korst, J. (2007). *Theoretical Aspects of Local search*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 3-540-35853-6, New York.
- Michalewicz, Z., and Janikow, C.Z. (1991). Handling constraints in genetic algorithms. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Genetic Algorithms. Morgan Kaufmann (San Mateo).
- Ming Hsu, C., Chen, K.Y. and Chen, M.C. (2004). *Batching orders in warehouses by minimizing travel distance with genetic algorithms*. Computers in Industry 56, 169-178.
- Nakatsu, R. T. (2005) Designing business logistics networks using model-based reasoning and heuristicbased searching. Expert Syst. with Applications, 29(4), 735-745.
- Paksoy, T., ÖZCEYLAN, E. and WEBER, G.W. (2010). A Multi- objective Mixed Integer Programming Model For Multi Echelon Supply Chain Network Design and Optimization. System Research and Information Technologies, 4, 47 – 57.

- Panda. S., N., and Padhy, P. (2007). Comparison of Particle Swarm Optimization and Genetic Algorithm for TCSC-based Controller Design. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 27.
- Pati, R. K., Vrat, P., and Kumar, P. A. (2008). Goal programming model for paper recycling system, International Journal of Management Science, Omega, 36(3), 405–417.
- Pirim. H., Bayraktar. H., and Eksioglu. B, (2008), Local Search Techniques: Focus on Tabu Search, Book edited by: Wassim Jaziri, I-Tech, Vienna, Austria, 278.
- Pirkul, H., and Jayaraman, V. (1998). A multi-commodity, multi-plant capacitated facility location problem: formulation and efficient heuristic solution, Computer Operations Research, 25(10), 869–878.
- Pishvaee, M. S., Jolai, F. and Razmi, J. (2009). A stochastic optimization model for integrated forward/reverse logistics network design, Journal of Manufacturing Systems (28),107-114.
- Rajabalipour, H., Ishak Desa, M. and Wibowo, A. (2011). A flexible three-level logistic network design considering cost and time criteria with a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm, J Intell Manuf DOI 10.1007/s10845-011-0584-7.
- Perrott, R. H., Chapman, B. M., Subhlok, J., Mello, R. F., and Yang. L. T. (2007). High Performance Computing and Communications, *Third International Conference*, Houston, USA, 26-28.
- Sabri, E. H., and Beamon, B. M. (2000). A multi-objective approach to simultaneous strategic & operational planning in supply chain design, Omega, 28, 581–598.
- Shimizu, Y. and Ikeda, M. (2010). A parallel hybrid binary PSO for capacitated logistics network optimization, Journal of advanced mechanical design, systems and manufacturing. 04 (3).
- Shimizu, Y. and Kawamoto, H. (2008). An Implementation of Parallel Computing for Hierarchical Logistic Network Design Optimization Using PSO. ESCAPE 18. Bertrand Braunschweig and Xavier Joulia.

- Shimizu, Y., Matsuda, S. and Wada, T. (2006). A Flexible Design of Logistic Network against Uncertain Demands through Hybrid Meta-heuristic Method. Proc. 16th Europe. Symp. on Computer-Aided Process Eng., Garmisch Partenkirchen, Germany, 2051 – 2056.
- Shimizu, Y., Yamazaki, Y. and Wada, T. (2008). A Hybrid Meta-heuristic Method for Logistics Optimization Associated with Production Planning. *CD-R proc.* 18<sup>th</sup> *Europe, Symp.on Computer-Aided Process Engineering*, Lyon, France.
- Sun, W. (1999). QoS/Policy/Constraint Based Routing, Technical Report, Ohio State University, and Retrived from: Available: http://www.cse.wustl.edu/ jain/cis788-99/qos routing/index.html.
- Syam, S. S. (2002). A model and methodologies for the location problem with logistical components, Computers and Operations Research, 29, 1173–1193.
- Syarif A., Yun Y., and Gen M. (2002). Study on multi-stage logistics chain network: a spanning tree-based genetic algorithm approach, Computers and Industrial Engineering 43 (1), 299- 314.
- Syarif, A., and Gen, M. (2003). Double spanning tree-based genetic algorithm for two stage transportation problem, International Journal of Knowledge-Based Intelligent Engineering System, 7(4).
- Takeda, H., Veerkamp, P. and Yoshikawa, H. (1990). *Modeling Design Process*, AI MAGAZINE 11(4).
- Tarek A., Mihoub, E., Adrian A. Hopgood, Nolle, L., and Battersby, A. (2006). *Hybrid Genetic Algorithms: A Review*, Engineering Letters, 13(2), EL\_13\_2\_11.
- Tietz, MB. Baret, P. (1968). *Heuristic methods for estimating the generalized vertex median of a weighted graph.* Oper Res 16, 955-61.
- Tilanus, B. (1997). Introduction to information system in logistics and transportation. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 7–16.
- Tragantalerngsak, S., Holt, J., and Ronnqvist, M. (2000). An exact method for twoechelon, single-source, capacitated facility location problem, European Journal of Operational Research, 123, 473–489.

- Truong, T. H., and Azadivar, F. (2005). Optimal design methodologies for configuration of supply chains, International Journal of Production Researches, 43(11), 2217–2236.
- Vignaux, G. A., and Michalewicz, Z. (1991). A genetic algorithm for the linear transportation problem, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 21(2), 445–452.
- Weise, T. (2009). *Global Optimization Algorithms Theory and Application*. Online ebook under GNU Free Documentation License, available at http://www.itweise.de/projects/book.pdf.
- Yan, H., Yu Z., and Cheng, T. C. E. (2003). A strategic model for supply chain design with logical constraints: formulation and solution, Computers & Operations Research, 30(14), 2135–2155.
- Yaohua, H., and Hui, C.W. (2006). Dynamic Rule-Based Genetic Algorithm for Large-Size, 16th European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering. Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany, 1911–1916.
- Yaohua, H., and Hui, C.W. (2007). Genetic algorithm based on heuristic rules for highconstrained large-size single-stage multi-product scheduling with parallel units. Chemical Engineering and Processing, Process Intensification, 46(11), 1175– 1191.
- Yeniay, O. (2005). *Penallty function methods for constrained optimization with genetic algorithms*, Mathematical and Computational Applications, 10 (1), 45-56.
- Zelkowitz, M.V. and Wallace. D.R. (1998). *Experimental Models for Validating Technology*. IEEE Computer. 31(2), 23-31.