DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF REHABILITATION DEVICE FOR HEMIPARETIC PATIENTS

KHAIRUL FADZLI BIN SAMAT

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2012

To my beloved mother, father and wife

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Allah S.W.T., for His will, this project has finally completed. Thousands of thanks to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Mohamed Hussein for his kind and patience, his valuable advices, concern, guidance and support throughout the process of completing my master project. Nevertheless, my great appreciation dedicated to my wife Yuhaniz binti Anuar for all her helps and encouragement that she had given to me.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my parents and family, who had continuously giving out their support financially and emotionally for me to complete my project. Also lots of thanks to my dearest friends and lectures for all the knowledge, helps, and guidance to complete this project. I am also indebted to Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for its financial support and assistance on various matters.

Last but not least, I have the greatest hope that my master project, 'Design and Development of Rehabilitation Device for Hemiparetic Patients' will give its benefit in making more discovery of new technology in the future.

ABSTRACT

In this thesis, the development of rehabilitation device for patients who encounter walking weakness due to post-stroke effect is presented. . In order to design an efficient new mechanism, studies were carried out regarding kinematic of human walking. In the study, the motion of a healthy physical subject in walking situation of 1 km/h speed is used as guide to design the device. Thereafter, a mechanism was developed to produce similar motion. The device functions to actuate knee and hip rotation. The device is driven by a single actuator to drive both the hip and the knee joints mechanism. The kinematic analysis of constructed device has been performed and the results conformed the functionality of the suggested mechanism. The fabricated prototype shows the combination of DC motor and cam mechanism can actuated the movement of hip and knee joint simultaneously and may significantly reduced the power consumption. The computer based controller has also been developed with simple and practical application. With the combination of the controller and the fabricated model, the output profile of the rehabilitation device is acceptable by comparing with profile of actual data.

ABSTRAK

Dalam tesis ini, pembangunan rekaan peranti pemulihan untuk pesakitpesakit yang mengalami gajala lemah untuk berjalan disebabkan oleh kesan sakit strok dibentangkan. Dalam usaha untuk mereka bentuk mekanisma baru yang cekap, kajian telah dijalankan berkaitan dengan kinematik manusia berjalan. Dalam kajian ini, profil pergerakan subjek yang sihat dengan kelajuan 1 km/h digunakan sebagai panduan untuk reka bentuk peranti. Oleh itu, satu mekanisma telah dibangunkan untuk menghasilkan pergerakan yang sama. Peranti berfungsi untuk menggerakkan pergerakan lutut dan pangkal peha yang dipacu oleh satu putaran Analisis kinematik peranti yang dibina telah dijalankan dan semua motor. keputusan menepati fungsi mekanisma yang disyorkan. Prototaip yang dibina membuktikan bahawa gabungan DC motor dan mekanisma cam boleh menggerakkan pergerakan kaki untuk berjalan dan pada masa yang sama kurang menggunakan sumber kuasa. Kawalan berasaskan komputer juga telah dibangunkan dengan penggunaan yang mudah dan praktikal. Dengan kombinasi kawalan dan model peranti yang telah direka, profil pergerakan bagi proses pemulihan dapat dihasilkan dan diterima pakai apabila ia dibandingkan dengan profil data sebenar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION OF THESIS	ii
	DED	ICATION	iii
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS'	V	
	ABSTRAK		
	ТАВ	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	Γ OF TABLES	ix
	LIST	FOF FIGURES	Х
	LIST	FOF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST	FOF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTI	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Background of the problem	1
	1.2	Statement of the problem	2
	1.3	Objective of the study	3
	1.4	Scope of the study	3
	1.5	Significant of the study	4
	1.6	Research Methodology	4
		1.6.1 Procedure of the Project	4
		1.6.2 Flow chart of the Project	6
		1.6.3 Project Schedule	7
2	THE	ORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1	Kinematics of Lower Extremity	8

Kinematics of Lower Extremity 2.1

2.2	Gait Cycle	9
2.3	Powered Exoskeleton and Orthosis Device	15
2.4	Orthotics help prevent and heal injuries	16
2.5	Active Devices of Exoskeletons or Orthoses for	
	Patients with Hemiplegia	16
2.6	Other Research	23

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Development of Design Model		
	3.1.1	Design Specification	29
	3.1.2	Conceptual Design	31
	3.1.3	Concept Evaluation and Selection	38
	3.1.4	Final Design Model	42
	3.1.5	Designation of Cam	54
3.2	Fabric	ated Model	61
3.4	Experimental Setup 63		63

4 **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Force Analysis		
4.2	Computer Based Controller		
4.3	Experimental Results and Discussion 7-		
4.4	Valida	ation	77
	4.4.1	Kinematic Analysis of Link	78
	4.4.2	Kinematic Analysis of Link	81

5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
	5.1	Conclusion	93
	5.2	Recommendation of Future Work	95

REFERENCES

APPENDICES (A-B) 100-117

96

LIST OF TABLES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE	
11	Project schedule for Master Project 1	7	
1.2	Project schedule for Master Project 2	7	
2.1	Descriptions of Gait Sub-Phases	11	
3.1	Weighted Decision Matrix	40	
3.2	Relation Angle versus Linear Motion	53	
4.1	Maximum Force at Thigh Link	65	
4.2	Maximum Force at Inline Follower	67	
4.3	Maximum Force at Shaft Follower	68	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE	
1.1	Flow chart of Project	6	
2.1	Kinematic angles definition	9	
2.2	Phases of the gait cycle	11	
2.3	Location of each joint angle [3]	13	
2.4	Ankle, knee, and hip joint angular position presented as		
	Angle 1, Angle 2 and Angle 3 respectively [3]	13	
2.5	Comparable of profiles of hip joint angles for normal human		
	walking on treadmill	14	
2.6	Comparable of profiles of knee joint angles for normal		
	human walking on treadmill	14	
2.7	Active devices of exoskeleton/orthosis for patients with		
	Hemiplegia. Examples are Lokomat robotic gait orthosis		
	(Hocoma, AG, Volketswil, Switzerland) [10], Prototype		
	SBO orthosis [11] and Powered leg orthosis [12] shown in a,		
	b and c, respectively	19	
2.8	Active devices of exoskeleton/orthosis for patients with		
	Hemiplegia. Examples are Hybrid Assistive Limb suit		
	(HAL-5), Hybrid Assistive Leg-3 or HAL-3 [15] and		
	Powered Gait Orthosis (PGO) with a tests subject/patient		
	[17] shown in a, b and c, respectively	21	
2.9	Active devices of exoskeleton/orthosis for patients with		
	Hemiplegia. Examples are knee-ankle foot orthosis (KAFO)		
	with artificial pneumatic muscles [18] and a leg exoskeleton		
	with an MR actuator [19] shown in a and b, respectively	22	

2.10	Passive devices of exoskeleton/orthosis for patients with	
	Hemiplegia. Examples are Horton Stance Control Orthosis	
	[20] [21] and Otto Bock Free Walk shown in a and b,	
	respectively	25
2.11	Passive devices of exoskeleton/orthosis for patients with	
	Hemiplegia. Mechanisms of gravity-activated Fillauer Swing	
	Phase Lock with pawl weighted [27], Swing Phase Lock 2	
	[29] and a magnetically activated one-way dog clutch [30]	
	shown in a, b and c, respectively	27
3.1	Design Concept 1	32
3.2	Design Concept 2	33
3.3	Design Concept 3	34
3.4	Design Concept 4	35
3.5	Design Concept 5	37
3.6	Final Design of Rehabilitation Device	42
3.7	Perspective View of Rehabilitation Device	43
3.8	Side View of Rehabilitation Device	44
3.9	Perspective View of Thigh Links	45
3.10	Perspective View of Shank Links	47
3.11	Exploded View of Hip Joint Assembly	49
3.12	Perspective View of Waist Frames and Hip Assembly	
	Embodiment	50
3.13	Perspective View of Actuators System	51
3.14	Side View of Actuators System	52
3.15	General procedure to develop a cam profile	55
3.16	Relation between Angle and Linear Motion	56
3.17	Relative difference of knee angle	56
3.18	Displacement Diagram of Cam	57
3.19	Shifted position of Displacement Diagram	58
3.20	Base circle Rb, prime circle Rp, and pitch curve of a radial	
	cam with roller follower	58
3.21	Cam pressure angle and forces in a radial cam with offset	
	translating roller follower	59

xi

3.22	Modeling stage of the cam profile	61
3.23	Front view and side view of fabricated model	62
3.24	View of movements for the fabricated model (prototype)	63
3.25	Experimental setup for data collection	64
3.26	Brushless DC Motor (AXH450-GFH4G200)	64
3.27	Data Acquisition Device and Motor Driver	65
3.28	Angular potentiometer (100k Ω) and related angular position	
	for data collection(knee angle) shown in a and b respectively	65
4.1	Stress Distribution on Thigh Link	66
4.2	Displacement of Thigh Link	66
4.3	Stress Distribution on Inline Follower	67
4.4	Displacement of Inline Follower	67
4.5	Stress Distribution on Shaft Follower	68
4.6	Meshing of Shaft Follower	68
4.7	Displacement of Shaft Follower	69
4.8	Manual Operation	70
4.9	Operation by Timer	71
4.10	Comparison between Experimental Data and Actual Data for	
	Hip Angles	72
4.11	Data obtained from potentiometer	72
4.12	Comparison between Experimental Data and Actual Data for	
	Knee Angles	73
4.13	Comparison between Experimental Data and Actual Data	
	(following the Gait Cycle Position)	74
4.14	Label of linkages, joint and rotation	75
4.15	Kinematic study Link1 and Link2	76
4.16	Angular Displacement of Link 1 for one cycle	78
4.17	Angular Velocity of Link 1 for one cycle	78
4.18	Absolute Velocity of Link 1 for one cycle	79
4.19	Interpolated follower displacement and real data for one	
	cycle	80
4.20	The absolute velocity of V_{A1} for one cycle	80
4.21	The absolute velocity of V_{A2} for one cycle	81

4.22	The absolute velocity of V_A for one cycle	82
4.23	Case 1-Velocity vector diagram for knee joint	83
4.24	Case 2-Velocity vector diagram for knee joint	83
4.25	Case 3-Velocity vector diagram for knee joint	84
4.26	Case 4-Velocity vector diagram for knee joint	84
4.27	Case 5-Velocity vector diagram for knee joint	85
4.28	Case 1-Velocity vector diagram for ankle joint	86
4.29	Case 2-Velocity vector diagram for ankle joint	86
4.30	Case 3-Velocity vector diagram for ankle joint	87
4.31	Case 4-Velocity vector diagram for ankle joint	87
4.32	Case 5-Velocity vector diagram for ankle joint	88
4.33	Definitive absolute velocity of V_{Ankle} for one cycle	88
4.34	Definitive angular position of knee for one cycle	89
4.35	Definitive angular position of hip for one cycle	89
4.36	Actual data of knee and hip angles	89
4.37	Human step size during each stage of the gait	90

LIST OF SYMBOLS

heta	-	Angle
R_b	-	Base circle of cam
R_p	-	Prime circle of cam or radius on the cam
Ø	-	Pressure angle of the cam
V	-	Velocity
S	-	Instantaneous displacement of the follower
Ś	-	Time derivative in units of length per second
ν	-	Velocity of the follower in units of length per radian
ω	-	Angular speed
b	-	The distance to the instant center of the cam
ε	-	Eccentricity
L	-	Length
d	-	Displacement
Pa	-	Pascal

Abbreviations

РТ	-	Physical Therapy
ОТ	-	Occupational Therapy
SBO	-	Spring Brake Orthosis
MIT	-	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
PhAFO	-	Power harvesting ankle-foot orthosis
HAL-5	-	Hybrid Assistive Limb Suit
HAL-3	-	Hybrid Assistive Leg-3
DC	-	Direct Current

PC	-	Personal Computer
PGO	-	Powered Gait Orthosis
KAFO	-	Knee-ankle foot orthosis
AFO	-	Ankle-foot-orthosis
MR	-	Magnetorheological
SCKAFO	-	Stance-control knee-ankle-foot orthosis
SCOKJ	-	Stance Control Orthotic Knee Joint
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Engineering Drawing	100
В	Main Code for GUI: Microsoft Visual -C++	105

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the problem

According to fact, stroke disease is reported as the second biggest cause of death worldwide. A stroke occurs when blood supply to part of the brain is disrupted, causing brain cells to die [1]. Although stroke is a disease of the brain, it can affect the entire body. Some of the disabilities that can result from a stroke include paralysis, cognitive deficits, speech problems, emotional difficulties, daily living problems, and pain. Paralysis is a common disability that results from stroke. Paralysis can be on any part of the body. Paralysis on one side of the body is called Hemiplegia. However, there is another type of paralysis that relates to one-sided weakness, which is called Hemiparesis. The weakness may affect only the arm, face or leg.

Basically, there are three types of treatments for stroke: prevention, therapy directly after stroke, and post-stroke rehabilitation. Hemiparesis patient can be able to walk again if a right rehabilitation therapy is applied. That is why the third stage of stroke treatment (post-stroke rehabilitation) is important for the post-stroke patients to prevent disabilities damage from becoming more serious. There are four types of post-stroke rehabilitation: Physical Therapy (PT), Occupational Therapy (OT), Speech Therapy and Psychological/Psychiatric Therapy. If a stroke patient has a problem of walking weakness, generally the treatments of Physical Therapy and Psychological or Psychiatric Therapy are used to cure disabilities. Usually Physical Therapy consists of scheduled training, exercises and physical manipulation of the stroke patient's body with the intent of restore movement, balance, and coordination.

As stroke patients frequently have difficulty with everyday functional movement and activities; the lost of function can be decreased through rehabilitation therapy during the critical post-stroke period. Such rehabilitation therapy involves carefully designed repetitive exercise, which can be passive and active. In passive exercise, the therapist or a robot actively helps the patient to repeatedly move the stroke-affected limb as prescribe. In active exercises, the patient does the work by him/herself, with no physical assistance. From that particular therapist, assistive robotic technology has the potential to provide novel means for monitoring, motivating and coaching.

1.2 Statement of the problem

There are several methods of training for the physical therapy such as using a rehabilitation device. Most of the rehabilitation devices currently used high power consumption to perform the therapy and having a complex control system. This may be solved by proposing a new design of mechanism for the rehabilitation device. The rehabilitation device should provide a physical assistance through rehabilitation therapy to increase the possibility to cure the walking weakness.

1.3 Objective of the study

The objectives of this project are:

- To design and develop a rehabilitation device base on the best selected mechanism of knee and hip joint
- To fabricate a prototype for testing the functioning of the designed mechanism
- To develop a computer-based control system for the rehabilitation device system

1.4 Scope of the study

In this study, designations of the assistive robotic system only focus on the one side of the leg. It will encompass the development of mechanism at knee and hip joint. In order to make sure the project will achieve the objectives stated, the scopes of this project comprise the following aspects:

- To design a device called orthosis device (known as exoskeleton) for lower extremity (leg)
- The mechanism focuses on the hip and knee joint only
- The device is classified as Rehabilitation Device
- The device does not support the balance of stroke patient's body
- The subject/patient is a Hemiparesis patient (leg weakness)

1.5 Significant of the study

The results from this study has the potential to help stroke survivor in activities they do in real life and decrease long-term healthcare costs on stroke patients. This study is important in order to give a new hope to post-stroke patients who had lost their ability to walk. The possibility is high to be a device that will help any patients who experience leg weakness and disability.

1.6 Research Methodology

Basically, the project has been carried out in regular procedure of research. This section briefly shows the general procedure of the project and the flow chart of the project (Figure 1.1). The time consumed on the specific task and the target time to finish the particular activity is presented in the project schedules shown in Table 1.1 and Table 1.2.

1.6.1 Procedure of the Project

- i. **Development of conceptual design:** to conceptually design based on data and information obtained from literature, patents, discussions, brainstorming, and reviewing of proposed design concept.
- ii. **Final Design Concept:** to carry out the completed engineering drawing subsequently for kinematic analysis purpose in order to obtain the most appropriate final design.

- iii. **Fabrication of prototype:** to fabricate all components based on the completed engineering drawing, hence to test the proposed design concept.
- iv. Development of Computer-based control system: using Visual C++ to develop user interface (control) which able to handle the operation of the device and carry out the best performance according to gait cycle of subject/patient.
- v. **Testing, Performance evaluation and Validation:** to carry out the experiments (measurement of knee joint angle of the device) to evaluate the prototype performance and validate the results of experimental knee data angles.

1.6.2 Flow chart of Project



Figure 1.1: Flow chart of the Project

1.6.3 Project Schedule

Activities/ Time Scale*	1*	2*	3*	4*	5*	6*	7*
Literature Review							
Development of Conceptual Design							
Final Conceptual Design							
Kinematic Study (Model)							
Prototype Fabrication							
Report Writing							

 Table 1.1: Project schedule for Master Project 1

*1 unit = 2 weeks

Table	1.2 :	Project	schedule	for	Master	Project	2

Activities/ Time Scale*	1*	2*	3*	4*	5*	6*	7*
Modification							
Development of Controller							
Performance Testing and Data Collection							
Data Analysis							
Report Writing							

*1 unit = 2 weeks

REFERENCES

- 1. Sutherland, D. H., Kaufman, K. R. and Moitoza, J.R. *Kinematics of Normal Human Walking*. 2nd edition. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins. 1994. 23-44.
- Perry, J. Gait Analysis: Normal and Pathological Function. Thorofare, NJ.: SLACK Inc. 1992. 431-433.
- 3. Choo Y. C., Simulation and Animation of human motion using models obtained from identification techniques. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2009.
- 4. Winter D. A., *The biomechanics and motor control of human gait: normal, elderly and pathological.* 2nd edition. Waterloo: University of Waterloo Press. 1991.
- Donnan G.A., Fisher M., Macleod, M., and Davis, S. M. *Stroke*. Lancet. 2008. 1612–1623.
- Hugh, H. Exoskeletons and Orthoses: classification, design challenges and future direction. Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation, 2009, Vol. 6. 1-9.
- Chu, A., Kazerooni, H and Zozz, A. On the biomimetic design of the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX). Barcelona, Spain : IEEE International Conference on Robotic and Automation, 2005.
- Roper, B. A. *Rehabilitation After A Stroke*. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 1982, Vols. 64-B, 2. 1262-1266.
- Yamshon, L. J. Rehabilitation of Patients with Hemiplegia. Los Angeles, 1950. Cited from *http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. Vols.* 73, No 2, 181-191.
- Gery, C., Matthias, J., Reinhard, S. And Volker, D. *Treadmill training of paraplegic patients using robotic orthosis*. Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development. Vol. 37, No 6. 693-700
- 11. Gharooni, S., Heller, B. and Tokhi, M. O. A New Hybrid Spring Brake Orthosis for Controlling Hip and Knee Flexion in the Swing Phase. IEEE

Transaction of Neural System and Rehabilitation Engineering, March 2001, 106-107.

- Banala, S. K., Kulpe, A. and Agrawal, S. K. A Powered Leg Orthosis for Gait Rehabilitation of Motor-Impaired Patients. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Roma, Italy: IEEE. 2007. 4140 -4145
- 13. Robin, C., Elizabeth, T. H., Eric, L., Géza, K., Scott, D. M., Serena N. T., Alex, S. and Joel, N. G.A pneumatic power harvesting ankle-foot orthosis to prevent foot-drop. Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation. 2009. Vol. 6. 10-19.
- Herr, H. and Kornbluhb, R. New horizons for orthotic and prosthetic technology: artificial muscle for ambulation. Smart Structures and Materials 2004: Electroactive Polymer Actuators and Devices (EAPAD), San Diego, USA. 2004. Vol. 5385. 1-9.
- Kawamoto, H and Sankai, Y. *Comfortable power assist control method for* walking aid by HAL-3. IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. IEEE. 2002. Vol.4. 1-6.
- 16. Suzuki, K., Kawamura, Y. Hayashi, T., Sakurai, T., Hasegawa, Y., and Sankai, Y., *Intention-Based Walking Support for Paraplegia Patient*. IEEE International Conference on Systems Man and Cybernetics. IEEE. 2007. Vol.3, No. 12. 2707-2713.
- Ruthenberg, B. J., Wasylewski, N. A. and Beard, J. E. An experimental device for investigating the force and power requirements of a powered gait orthosis. Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development. 1997. Vol. 34. 203-213.
- Sawicki, G. S. and Ferris, D. P. A pneumatically powered knee-ankle-foot orthosis (KAFO) with myoelectric activation and inhibition. Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation. 2009, Vol. 6. 23-38.
- Chen, J. and Liao, W. H. A Leg Exoskeleton Utilizing a Magnetorheological Actuator. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics. IEEE. 2006. 824 – 829.
- 20. Hatton, B. J., Hatton, D. L. and Wallace, Z. G. Articulating knee support. US Pattern: 20020169402. 2001.

- 21. Hatton, B. J., Hatton, D. L. and Wallace, Z. G. Articulating knee support. US Pattern 6635024. 2003.
- 22. NASA Commercial Technology Division. *Quicker Rehabilitation for Knee Brace Wearers*. Washington: Aerospace Technology Inovation. 1997. 1-5.
- 23. Shadoan, M. and Myers, N. Horton's orthotic lab licensed to manufacture NASA-Designed Knee Orthosis. O&P Business News. Vol. 13. 1998.
- 24. Van, L. NG. The Swinging UTX Orthosis, Biomedical Fundamentals and Conceptual Design Undergraduate Thesis. Enschede, Netherlands. University of Twente, 1993.
- 25. Van, L. NG. and Kunst, E. E. *New UTX-swing orthosis: Normal gait and safe standing*. Orthopedie Technik. 1999, Vol. 50. 506-515.
- 26. Yakimovich, T., Kofman, J. and Lemaire, E. D. Design and evaluation of a stance-control knee-ankle-foot orthosis knee joint. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering. IEEE. 2006. Vol. 14. 361-369.
- 27. Nijenbanning, G. and Goudsmit, J. A. *Gravity operated locking hinge*. US *Pattern 20030153854*. 2003.
- 28. Fillaur, L. C. Swing Phase Lock Manual. Fillauer Companies, Inc. 2007.
- Products: Fillauer. Fillauer. Fillauer Companies, Inc., November 17, 2009.
 Cited from http://www.fillauercompanies.com. 2009
- 30. Sclater, N. and Chironis, N. P. *Mechanism and Mechanical Devices sourcesbook*. New York: McGraw-Hill. 2001.
- Naft, J. M., and Newman, W. S., Orthosis knee joint. US Pattern 6517503 2003.
- 32. Jonathan, S., Jennifer, J., Vanessa, P., Gerald, L., Gottlieb, J., Thomas S. and Daniel M. C. Control of interjoint coordination during the swing phase of normal gait at different speeds.. Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation. 2007. Vol.4. 1-10
- Robert, L.N. Cam Design and Manufacturing Handbook. 2nd edition. New York. Industrial Press, Inc, 2008.
- 34. M. R. Safizadeh, M. Hussein, M. S. Yaacob, M. Z. Md Zain, M. R. Abdullah, M. S. Che Kob and K. F. Samat, Kinematic Analysis of Powered Lower Limb Orthoses for Gait Rehabilitation of Hemiplegic and Hemiparetic

Patients, International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, Issue 3, Volume 5, pp: 490-498, 2011.

- 35. M.R. Safizadeh, M. Hussein, K. F. Samat, M.S. Che Kob, M.S. Yaacob and M.Z. Md Zain, Kinematic Analysis of an Assistive Robotic Leg for Hemiplegic and Hemiparetic Patients, NAUN Conference of Control (NCC'10), Puerto De La Cruz, Tenerife, Spain, November 30- December 2, 2010.
- 36. Gage J. Gait Analysis in Cerebral Palsy. London: Mac Keith Press. 1992.
- Schneck, C. and Cuccurullo, S. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Board Review*. New York: Demos Medical Publishing. 2004.
- 38. Orthotic Sandal Company LLC. How do Orthotics help prevent and heal injuries?. Orthotic Sandal Company . Retrieved January 13, 2011, from http://www.orthoticsandal.com/Injuries.html