

**AN INTEGRATED SERVICE ARCHITECTURE FRAMEWORK FOR
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICE MANAGEMENT AND
ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE**

AKBAR NABIOLLAHI NAJAFABADI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

AN INTEGRATED SERVICE ARCHITECTURE FRAMEWORK FOR
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICE MANAGEMENT AND
ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE

AKBAR NABIOLLAHI NAJAFABADI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2012

Dedicated to:

My devoted and supportive wife,

Dr Zahra Jalalipour

And my beloved children,

Mohammad Mahdi and Alireza

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to Almighty Allah, the Most Merciful and the Most Benevolent for granting me the strength and courage to persevere throughout this laborious process to achieve my goal. This thesis would not have completed without the direct and indirect help extended to me by the various parties who warrant special mention.

In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Rose Alinda Alias for encouragement, guidance and valuable critiques. I am also thankful to Professor Dr. Shamsul Sahibuddin for his brilliant ideas and advice. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as the one presented here.

Furthermore, I extend my gratitude to my wife, Dr Zahra Jalalipour for her patience, understanding, moral, emotional and financial support. My sincere appreciation also goes to my mother, mother-in-law and father-in-law for their continuous prayers, supports and patience.

ABSTRACT

Today, IT service providers are faced with the demands and challenges in implementation of different IT frameworks/standards in the same organization, which are intended to align business and IT investments to provide IT governance. This requirement has gained more attention in IT Service Management (ITSM), Enterprise Architecture (EA), Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and Services Computing (SC) due to embracing the service oriented concepts. There are some evidences and motivations on integration of IT Service Management (ITSM) and Enterprise Architecture (EA) in academia and industry. Very few efforts have been done to define a relationship between ITSM and EA based on ITIL V2. The ITIL V3 and its new 2011 edition, offered an IT service lifecycle recommending on using EA in ITSM. The efforts for development of Service Oriented EA (SOEA) also support the idea of integration. This research has developed a research roadmap to propose a service based framework for this integration. The integration of ITIL V3 and EA has been launched by identification of Service Architecture Requirements (SARs) to develop a model for service architecture supporting ITSM. The research adapted case study strategy, design science research and Delphi expert panel survey. Exploration of five case studies in Iran and Malaysia investigated service architecture requirements of ITSM/ITIL and Success Criteria in Integration (SCI) of ITSM/ITIL and EA. Then, the proposed framework was established on common goals and architectures of EA and ITSM frameworks such as IT and business alignment, information sharing, application architecture, data architecture and technology architecture. Service architecture model was designed based on service architecture requirements. Subsequently, a service-based solution referred as Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF), has been developed to resolve the research problem. Twenty experts were nominated using snowball sampling to participate in Delphi study. The proposed framework has been refined and matured through a three round Delphi process. This process also has ensured that the refined framework is capable of satisfying different aspects of the integration surrounding service architecture model.

ABSTRAK

Kini pembekal perkhidmatan teknologi maklumat (IT) berdepan dengan permintaan dan cabaran dalam melaksanakan pelbagai rangka kerja/piawaian di sesebuah organisasi untuk menjajarkan pelaburan perniagaan dan IT serta menyediakan pentadbiran pengurusan IT. Keperluan ini mendapat lebih perhatian dalam Pengurusan Perkhidmatan IT (PPIT/ITSM), Seni Bina Enterprais (SBE/EA), Seni Bina Berorientasikan Perkhidmatan (SBBP/SOA) dan Komputeran Perkhidmatan (KP/SC) disebabkan oleh penggunaan konsep berasaskan perkhidmatan. Terdapat beberapa bukti dan motivasi terhadap integrasi ITSM dan EA dalam bidang akademik dan industri. Hanya segelintir kajian telah dilaksanakan untuk mendefinisikan kaitan antara ITSM dan EA berdasarkan ITIL V2. Manakala ITIL V3 dan edisi terbaru tahun 2011 menawarkan kitaran hayat perkhidmatan IT serta mengesyorkan penggunaan EA dalam ITSM. Kajian untuk pembangunan Seni Bina Enterprais Berorientasikan Perkhidmatan (SBEBP/SOEA) juga menyokong idea integrasi. Kajian ini telah mewujudkan sebuah pelan tindakan penyelidikan untuk menawarkan rangka kerja berasaskan perkhidmatan untuk integrasi ini. Integrasi ITIL V3 dan EA telah dilancarkan dengan pengenalan kepada Keperluan Seni Bina Perkhidmatan (KSBP/SARs) untuk membangunkan sesebuah model untuk seni bina perkhidmatan yang menyokong ITSM. Kajian ini disesuaikan dengan strategi kajian kes, penyelidikan reka bentuk sains dan kaji selidik *Delphi* panel pakar. Penerokaan lima kajian kes di Iran dan Malaysia menyiasat keperluan seni bina perkhidmatan untuk ITSM/ITIL dan Kriteria Kejayaan Dalam Integrasi (KKDI/SCI) bagi ITSM/ITIL dan EA. Rangka kerja yang dicadangkan diwujudkan matlamat berasaskan dan seni bina yang sama untuk rangka kerja EA dan ITSM, seperti penjajaran IT dan perniagaan, perkongsian berdasarkan maklumat, seni bina aplikasi, seni bina data dan seni bina teknologi. Model seni bina perkhidmatan telah direka berdasarkan keperluan seni bina perkhidmatan. Seterusnya penyelesaian berdasarkan perkhidmatan yang dirujuk sebagai *Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF)*, telah dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan masalah penyelidikan yang berkaitan. Dua puluh orang pakar telah dikenalpasti menggunakan persampelan *Snowball* untuk menyertai kajian *Delphi*. Rangka kerja yang diutarakan telah ditapis melaluai tiga kitaran proses *Delphi*. Proses ini juga memastikan rangka kerja yang ditapis memenuhi beberapa aspek berbeza dalam integrasi sekitar model seni bina perkhidmatan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	1
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	4
	1.4 Research Questions	5
	1.5 Objectives of the Study	5
	1.6 Scope of the Study	6
	1.7 Significance of the Study	6

1.8	Organization of the Thesis	7
1.9	Summary	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Importance of Enterprise Architecture in IT Governance	13
2.3	Service Science, Management, and Engineering (SSME)	15
2.4	Services Computing and Service Architecture	15
2.5	ITSM Frameworks and Service Architecture	16
2.5.1	IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL)	17
2.5.2	ISO/IEC 20000	18
2.5.3	Microsoft Operations Framework (MOF)	18
2.5.4	CMMI for Services (CMMI-SVC)	19
2.6	ITIL Version 2 and Enterprise Architecture	20
2.6.1	Integration of ITIL V2 into EA	22
2.6.2	Relationship of TOGAF and ITIL V2	23
2.6.3	Integration of COBIT, TOGAF and ITIL V2	26
2.7	Enterprise Architecture Discipline	27
2.7.1	Enterprise Architecture Frameworks Taxonomy	29
2.8	Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) Reference Model	31
2.9	Relationship of SOA and Enterprise Architecture	32
2.9.1	Synergy of SOA and EA	33
2.9.2	Service Oriented EA	33
2.9.3	SOA Integration Model	34
2.10	ITIL Version 3	35
2.10.1	Comparison of ITIL V2 and ITIL V3	37
2.10.2	Service Design Stage in ITIL V3	41

2.11	Service Architecture Issues in ITIL V3	43
2.11.1	Relationship of Service Design and EA	44
2.11.2	Architecture Requirements for IT Services	45
2.11.3	SOA and ITIL	46
2.12	Relationship of ITSM and EA in ITIL 2011 Update	46
2.13	The Solution: Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF)	47
2.13.1	Service Architecture Requirements (SARs)	48
2.13.2	Primary Framework	49
2.13.3	Framework Terminology	52
2.13.4	Framework Comparison	53
2.14	Summary	55
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	56
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Research Methodology	56
3.3	Research Design	59
3.4	Research Phase One: Literature Review	62
3.5	Research Phase Two: Research Design	63
3.5.1	Research Process Model	64
3.5.2	Data Analysis Approaches	66
3.5.3	Adopted Research Strategies	67
3.6	Research Phase Three: Exploratory Study	68
3.6.1	Multiple Case Study Approach	69
3.6.2	Case Study Design	70
3.6.3	Case Study Selection	71
3.6.4	Case Study Data Collection Methods	73
3.6.5	Case Study Analysis Method and Tools	74

3.7	Research Phase Four: Design the Framework	77
3.7.1	Definition of model and framework concepts	78
3.7.2	Language and Software Tools for Framework Design	80
3.7.3	Adoption of Design Science Research Strategy	81
3.7.4	Design Science Research Activities	83
3.8	Research Phase Five: Evaluate the Framework	84
3.8.1	Delphi Method as an Expert Panel	85
3.8.2	Reliability and Validity of Delphi Method	88
3.8.3	Snowball Sampling for Experts' Selection	90
3.8.4	Instrument Design for Evaluation	91
3.9	Summary	94
4	CASE STUDIES	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	EA and ITSM in Iran	96
4.2.1	Case Study Selection in Iran	98
4.2.2	Case Study Data Collection Methods	100
4.2.3	A Shared IT Service Provider (Case Study A)	102
4.2.4	An External IT Service Provider (Case Study B)	109
4.2.5	A Shared Service Provider (Case Study C)	114
4.2.6	Case Study Report for Iran	116
4.3	EA and ITSM in Malaysia	117
4.3.1	Study of Two Cases in Malaysia	118
4.3.2	Case Study Data Collection Methods	118
4.3.3	A Shared IT Service Provider (Case Study D)	119
4.3.4	A Shared IT Service Provider (Case Study E)	122
4.3.5	Case Studies Report for Malaysia	124

4.4	Summary of Case Studies	124
4.4.1	External IT Service Provider (One Case Study)	125
4.4.2	Shared IT Service Providers (Four Case Studies)	127
4.5	Refined Service Architecture Requirements (SARs)	129
4.6	Success criteria in Integration	130
4.7	New Perspective of ISAF Framework	132
4.8	Summary	134
5	INTEGRATED SERVICE ARCHITECTURE FRAMEWORK	135
5.1	Introduction	135
5.2	Service Architecture Baseline	136
5.3	Service Architecture Design	137
5.4	Service Architecture Model	138
5.5	Integration Process Flow (Integration lifecycle)	139
5.6	Service Architecture Management	141
5.7	Service Portfolio Management	142
5.8	Service Level Management	143
5.9	Service Knowledge Management (SKM)	144
5.10	Service Based Approaches for EA Planning	146
5.11	Role of Service Layer in Enterprise Architecture	147
5.12	Human Role in Integration	148
5.13	Information Sharing in Integration	149
5.14	Full Perspective of ISAF Framework	150
5.15	Summary	153
6	FRAMEWORK EVALUATION AND REFINEMENT	154
6.1	Introduction	154
6.2	Delphi Process in Three Rounds	154

6.2.1	Validity of Delphi Instrument	158
6.3	Respondent Driven Sampling Using Snow Ball Technique	160
6.4	Qualification of Panelist	161
6.5	First Round Analysis and Report	163
6.6	Statistical Analysis on SAR factors in Second and Third Round	165
6.7	Statistical Analysis on SCI Factors in Second and Third Rounds	166
6.8	Survey Interpretation on Satisfaction Rate in SARs	168
6.9	Survey Interpretation on Satisfaction Rate in SCIs	170
6.10	Refinement of Service Architecture Model	173
6.11	Refinement of ISAF Framework	174
6.12	Reliability and Validity of the Results in the Delphi Process	178
6.13	Summary	179
7	CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY	181
7.1	Introduction	181
7.2	Research Summary	181
7.3	Research Objectives and Achievements	184
7.4	Discussion on the Research Questions	191
7.5	Research Contribution to IT Service Management	193
7.6	Research Contribution to Enterprise Architecture and SOA	194
7.7	Benefits of Collaboration of EA and ITSM Teams	194
7.8	Research Limitations and Future Work	196
	REFERENCES	197
	Appendices A-H	213-273

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	ITIL V2 publication framework and Processes	21
2.2	Comparison of ITIL V2 and ITIL V3	39
2.3	List of architecture requirements of IT services	48
2.4	Comparison of proposed framework (ISAF) with prior works	54
3.1	Research Methodology for this study	60
3.2	Targets and milestones research stages	61
3.3	Operational framework for Literature Review (Phase 1)	62
3.4	Operational framework for Research Design (Phase 2)	63
3.5	Operational framework for Practical Study (Phase 3)	69
3.6	Selected case studies for this research	72
3.7	Initial Coding Frame (Template) for Deductive Analysis in This Research	76
3.8	Template for ‘Thematic Chart for Single Case Analysis’	77
3.9	Template for ‘Case Chart for Cross Case Analysis’	77
3.10	Operational framework for proposing Integrated Framework (Phase 4)	78

3.11	Outputs of Design Science Research	82
3.12	Operational framework for evaluation of proposed framework (Phase 5)	85
3.13	Success Criteria for Enterprise Architecture (Roser <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	92
3.14	Microsoft Operations Framework (MOF) Assessment Model for ITSM	93
4.1	Selected case studies from Iran	100
4.2	Adopted data collection methods for multiple case-study evidences	101
4.3	Characteristics of Implemented Enterprise Architecture in CS-A	104
4.4	The Findings in shared IT service provider (CS-A)	108
4.5	The Findings through interview, documents and observation in external IT service provider (CS-B)	111
4.6	Findings based on documentations and observation in CS-B	113
4.7	Findings in shared IT services Provider (CS-C)	115
4.8	The findings in shared IT service provider (CS-D)	120
4.9	The findings in shared IT service provider (CS-E)	123
4.10	Selected case studies for this research	125
4.11	Report of findings in external IT Service Provider	126
4.12	General issues in shared IT service providers	127
4.13	Integration issues according to shared IT service provider cases	128
4.14	Refined Service Architecture Requirements (SARs) of IT services	129
4.15	Success Criteria in Development of ISAF Framework	131

5.1	Three approaches of service-based EA planning	146
5.2	Similarities in EA and ITIL	149
5.3	Information sharing in EA and ITIL frameworks	149
6.1	Distribution of knowledge and expertise in the expert panel	162
6.2	Recommendation of the expert panel on model and the framework	164
6.3	Kendall's Coefficients Concordance and P-value for SARs	165
6.4	Kendall's Coefficients Concordance and P-value for SCI	166
6.5	Data Analysis on Service Architecture Requirements	167
6.6	Data Analysis on Success Criteria in Integration	167
6.7	Delphi third round result on SARs and Interpretation on service architecture model	169
6.8	Delphi third round result on SCI and Interpretation on ISAF framework	171
7.1	Identified Service Architecture Requirements for ITSM	185
7.2	Identified Success Criteria in Integration of ITSM/ITIL and EA	186

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Literature map for this research	12
2.2	Importance of Enterprise Architecture in IT Governance (ITGI, 2005)	14
2.3	MOF Service lifecycle model (MicroSoftCo., 2008)	19
2.4	ITIL V2 Publication Framework (OGC-V2-Intro, 2007)	20
2.5	Relationships of IT services and EA components (Braun and Winter, 2007)	23
2.6	TOGAF and ITIL V2 (Thorn, 2007)	25
2.7	NIST reference model for Enterprise Architecture (NIST, 2010)	28
2.8	The Open Group Service Oriented Integration Model	34
2.9	Service Lifecycle in ITIL V3 (OGC-V3-SD, 2007)	35
2.10	Primary Framework: Integrated Service Architecture Framework	49
3.1	The Research Roadmap	58
3.2	Research paradigms and strategies in IS and Computing	65
3.3	Data Analysis Process Steps	75
3.4	Architecture framework concepts (ISO/IEC42010, 2007)	79
3.5	A Sample 3-Rounds Delphi Process (Skulmoski and Hartman, 2007)	87

4.1	Federal Enterprise Architecture Framework (FEAF)	97
4.2	EA Project Phases and Activities (extracted from CS-A documents)	104
4.3	EA Project Deliveries in CS-A (extracted from CS-A documents)	105
4.4	ITSM Project Activities in CS-A (Extracted from Documents)	107
4.5	New perspective of Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF)	133
5.1	Service Architecture Design	137
5.2	Service Architecture Model for ITSM/ITIL	139
5.3	Integration Process Flow	140
5.4	Relationships of Architectures	141
5.5	Relationships of Service and its components	143
5.6	Service Layer for Enterprise Architecture	147
5.7	Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF)	152
6.1	Three Rounds Delphi Process Activities in This Research	156
6.2	Scatter Chart for Comparison of SAR Mean Values in 2 nd and 3 rd Round	168
6.3	Scatter Chart for Comparison of SCI Mean Values in 2 nd and 3 rd Round	170
6.2	Refined Service Architecture Model for ITSM/ITIL	175
6.3	Refined Integrated Service Architecture Framework (ISAF)	177
7.1	Research Process in This Study	182
7.2	Service Architecture Model for ITSM/ITIL	187
7.3	Integrated Service Architecture Frameworks (ISAF)	190

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CMDB	-	Configuration Management Database
CS-A	-	Case Study A
CS-B	-	Case Study B
CS-C	-	Case Study C
CS-D	-	Case Study D
CS-E	-	Case Study E
EA	-	Enterprise Architecture
ISAF	-	Integrated Service Architecture Framework
ITIL	-	IT Infrastructure Library
ITSM	-	IT Service Management
itSMF	-	IT Service Management Forum
OGC	-	Office of Government Commerce
SAR	-	Service Architecture Requirement
SCI	-	Success Criteria in Integration
SD	-	Service Design
SLA	-	Service Level Agreement
SLM	-	Service Level Management
SLR	-	Service Level Requirement
SOA	-	Service Oriented Architecture

SOE	-	Service Oriented Enterprise
SOI	-	Service Oriented Infrastructure
TOGAF	-	The Open Group Architecture Framework
MOF	-	Microsoft Operations Framework

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Case Study Selection Questionnaire	213
B	Case study interview questions	230
C	Case study protocol	235
D	Case studies participants (Interviewed)	237
E1	Delphi Questionnaire – Round one	239
E2	Delphi Questionnaire – Round two	247
E3	Delphi Questionnaire – Round Three	256
F	Demography of expert panel respondents	265
F	Symbols of ArchiMate Language	270
H	List of published papers	271

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In this chapter, an introduction to thesis is provided. First of all, the background of the problem is described. After that, the problem statement, research questions, research objectives, scope, and importance of the study are described respectively.

1.2 Background of the Problem

Considering the fact that service innovations are intensively associated with innovations, adoption and diffusion of ICT, there is a rich context for IS and Management researchers on service design, service development, service delivery, service management from social, managerial, technical, and organizational perspectives. Business services are currently dependent on IT services which have provided the organizations with tools and process of change for the last decades (Galup *et al.*, 2009). IT service management (ITSM) was established based on modern international standards such as ISO/IEC 20000 and ITIL. In this area, there is

a need for carrying out a research to investigate the social and economic outcomes of ITSM as it relates to globalization of IT services (Galup *et al.*, 2007). ITIL is an important and influential centre of gravity in IT Service management (Betz, 2007). Although ITIL V2 has been applied over the last ten years, its concern has been more on Service Delivery and Service Support. It has been realized that there is gap with respect to the strategy and design of service in this version (Baioco *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, in 2007, OGC released ITIL V3 to cover the whole lifecycle of service including: Service strategy, Service Design, Service Transition, Service operation and Continual Service Improvement (Bardhan *et al.*, 2010). In this version, each stage is supported by a publication, with which general guidelines on IT Service Management are provided. However, it is necessary that the volumes be customized and tailored in order to be used in practice (Lahtela *et al.*, 2010).

Implementing the Service Design (SD) stage of ITIL V3 known as the second phase of service lifecycle requires that the service provider consider different aspects of service design including service solutions and technology architectures (OGC-V3-SD, 2007). Besides, ensuring the consistency and integration of all IT activities and processes, entails that a holistic approach should be adopted in service design (Bardhan *et al.*, 2010; OGC-V3-SS, 2007). In fact, in order to have an effective and efficient design of any service product, a service architecture should be developed, with which all pieces of information related to service including application, information, data and infrastructures for any IT service are integrated (Zhao *et al.*, 2009).

Primarily, Enterprise Architecture (EA) has been introduced in the domain of business and IT/IS planning, and is generally modelled as a four layer architecture, namely, business architecture, data/information architecture, application architecture and infrastructure architecture (NIST, 2010; Nurcan and Schmidt, 2009). Recently, researchers and practitioners have attempted to incorporate service concepts in EA planning (Aier *et al.*, 2009; Haki and Forte, 2010). As can be seen, ITIL needs this special architecture for design of IT services. However there is no specific solution for service architecture in EA frameworks. In other words, there is a gap on how to use EA in ITIL due to the current EA frameworks' not being capable of supporting

the service architecture. There has been also motivation on integration of these two frameworks (i.e. ITIL and EA) with regard to their importance and common aspects urging the efforts for integration of ITSM and EA.

The trend of service-oriented EA is also considered at foundation stage appealing for the integration of EA and SOA (Chen *et al.*, 2009). In fact, although the core of an EA is capable of defining the architectural models of an enterprise to meet requirements of future changes in an efficient and planned manner, SOA also represents the potentiality to complement and improve EA from various perspectives (Kistasamy *et al.*, 2010). One of the main ideas of SOA is to bridge the gap between the business layer and the application layer. Bridging the gap is implied as facilitating the implementation of processes with IT as the reuse of the services is fostered. Therefore, Considering the influence of SOA on the business process, service and application layer, its integration into the ongoing EA will be beneficial to all business units of the target organization (R. Meersman, 2008).

Recently, many academic and practical disciplines have been initiated on service. Among them, service science (Bardhan *et al.*, 2010), service management (Gu and Lago, 2009), service engineering (Demirkan *et al.*, 2008) and service computing (Spohrer *et al.*, 2008b) can be mentioned. Each domain conceptualizes the service concept based on its needs and application. Thus, different definitions and applications of service confuse the researchers and practitioners (Schmidt, 2010). For instance, in Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and IT Service Management (ITSM), the 'service' has different scopes. In fact, in SOA discipline, services support the application development, while in ITSM discipline, services cover all types of IT services from infrastructure services to information system services. So, there is a call to conduct research and publish works on service oriented disciplines (Demirkan *et al.*, 2008). One of the major challenges and issues of service sciences and industries that should be taken up by the researchers is the integration of technological and managerial research in a service oriented view. The encouragement is to conduct computer science, information system (IS) and management researchers for development of multi disciplinary research to address the integration issue (Gu and Lago, 2009; Qing and Patricia, 2009). In addition,

various research paradigms and methods are recommended to investigate managerial challenges and technical problems in service-oriented systems (Qing and Patricia, 2009). Furthermore, quantitative, qualitative and mixed approaches and various research strategies including experiment methods, case and field studies, and design science approaches are allowed to be applied (Bardhan *et al.*, 2010; Demirkan *et al.*, 2008). As a suggestion, 'service' should be considered as a centre point for Service Oriented Architecture, IT Service Management and Enterprise Architecture; however it should be reconceptualised and turned into a service-oriented integrated framework covering the frameworks and standards including EA, ITSM and SOA.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

My personal experience, observation and field notes in delivery of IS/IT projects within various scales of organizations in more than one decade raised the question why in the process of IS/IT service delivery in planning and maintenance activities, customers encounter lack of quality and integration in IT/IS. Considering this point, it has been recognised that Enterprise architecture planning is able to provide a governance framework for improvement of qualified IT/IS planning, and IT service management solution is capable of ensuring the quality of support activities in IT/IS services delivery for the host organizations. Therefore, the idea of integration has been raised. Having reviewed the literature, it is found that there is a gap in realization of integration of ITSM and EA. Yet, the efforts already made in practical and academic domains in defining the relation between ITSM and EA are all focused on ITIL V2. Main changes of ITIL V3 including briefing on service lifecycle and suggestion for architectural design of service have motivated the researcher to explore and develop this idea, which is likely to ensure service customers on quality of IT/IS delivery in planning and maintenance activities. It will also help service providers on how they can implement both ITSM and EA.

1.4 Research Questions

Based on background of research and the research statement, the following questions are raised for the problem:

RQ1: “How to fulfil architectural requirements of IT services in ITSM/ITIL using Enterprise Architecture (EA) in order to meet IT service objectives?”

- 1) What are common issues and goals in ITSM/ITIL and EA?
- 2) What are the architectural requirements of services in ITSM/ITIL?
- 3) How EA contributes in ITSM to fulfill architectural requirements of IT/IS services?
- 4) What are the existing efforts on the relationship of ITSM/ITIL and EA?

RQ2: “How to integrate ITSM/ITIL and EA through development of a service architecture model and a service based framework in order to meet the architectural requirements of IT services?”

- 1) What are the success criteria in integration of ITSM/ITIL and EA?
- 2) How to design service architecture model for ITSM and EA?
- 3) How to develop an integrated service based framework to support architectures of IT services?

1.5 Objectives of the Study

This research aims to develop an integrated framework to address IT Service Architecture requirements in ITIL V3 using Enterprise Architecture. The research objectives are settled based on the above goal, research topic, problem statement and research questions:

- 1) To identify architectural requirements in design of IT Services in ITSM.
- 2) To identify the success criteria for integration of ITSM/ITIL and EA.
- 3) To design an IT Service Architecture Model for ITSM and EA.
- 4) To develop a framework for integration of ITSM/ITIL and EA.

1.6 Scope of the Study

This topic was chosen when limited works were found on the relationship of old version of ITIL (V2) and EA. The importance of the problem and also major differences of ITIL V2 and V3 made researcher decide to extend this integration to ITIL V3 within new ideas as discussed previously. The following directions are implicitly considered as the scope of this study:

- 1) First of all, the primary focus of research is on ITSM/ITIL and IT service design requirements.
- 2) Secondly, this researcher is interested in EA frameworks which can support or address ITSM/ITIL architectural requirements
- 3) Moreover, the research is planned to design IT Service Architecture in Service Design stage of ITIL V3.
- 4) Finally, the concentration is on the layers of EA which form IT Service Architecture such as application layer and infrastructure layer. However, the business layer of EA is not covered through this research.

1.7 Significance of the Study

This research attempts to contribute in practice by development of a service-based framework and provision of an architecture model for service in order to support implementation of ITSM using ITIL V3. This framework will help IT service

providers and IT service customers to implement ITSM, especially ITIL, along with Enterprise architecture in a successful manner. The framework will facilitate implementation and coordination of ITSM and EA projects. This framework also will provide a helpful governance for IT/IS project/service development in organizations.

In academia, this research has introduced the service architecture layer (model) for enterprise architecture. Therefore, the proposed layer will address the gap of business architecture and application architecture. It has also filled the gap of EA and ITSM through service architecture model. Furthermore, the service based framework has provided a service oriented solution for at least EA, SOA and ITSM. This idea can be extended to other domains.

1.8 Organization of the Thesis

Being organised in nine chapters, this thesis presents the process of the research in a structured and coherent manner. There are inter-relationships between different chapters and sections of the thesis. Chapter 1 introduces the research area of concern. The chapter begins with the description of the research background, discussing the ambiguities and requirements surrounding service concepts in SOA, ITSM and EA despite its importance. The chapter proceeds with the problem statement, the research questions and research objectives. This chapter also describes the significance of the research based on its contributions to theory, practice and methodology.

Chapter 2 discusses the literature related to the service architecture in various domains including SSME, ITSM and ITIL. It also explores the role of enterprise architecture in organization and in ITIL. Related works to the research concern have been discussed and compared to the primary proposed framework. Chapter 3 describes the methodology used in the research. This chapter begins with the

research paradigm and the rationale of using multiple research strategies including case study and design science research. The chapter then presents operational framework for each phase to explain activities of the research in detail. Data collection methods and procedures are also discussed.

Chapter 4 introduces the selected case study from Iran and Malaysia and presents the collected data. This chapter then analyses the collected data to explore the issues and benefits of integration of ITSM and EA. The success criteria of proposed framework have also been identified. Chapter 5 begins with a review on service architecture requirement. It designs an architecture model for IT services. Some criteria identified for success of the framework have been addressed in the model. Different aspects of IT services including service portfolio, service architecture and service level management have also been designed. Finally this chapter presents the integrated service architecture framework (ISAF).

Chapter 6 begins with introduction of Delphi expert panel method. It explains the process, data and the results of the verification process. The results show how much ISAM framework and service architecture model fulfilled the service architecture requirements and success criteria of integration. Chapter 7 finally concludes the research and identifies the research contributions. The chapter draws the conclusions by describing the research outcomes in relation to the achievement of the research objectives. The chapter then examines the research contributions to theory, practice and methodology. Lastly, the chapter discusses recommendations for future research.

1.9 Summary

In this chapter, primary issues related to conducted research have been discussed. The goal of this research was defined as “developing a conceptual integrated framework to identify and address IT Service Architecture requirements and issues using Enterprise Architecture”. The questions of this research being raised

by background of research, literature review and researcher's experiences are defined. Moreover, the research objectives and scopes are defined with regard to the problem statement and research questions.

This research attempts to contribute to practice by developing a service based framework and provision of an architecture model for service in order to support implementing ITSM using ITIL V3. This framework will help IT service providers and IT service customers to implement ITSM, especially ITIL, along with Enterprise architecture in a successful manner. In academia, this research introduces the service architecture layer (model) for enterprise architecture. Therefore, the proposed layer addresses the gap of business architecture and application architecture. It also fills the gap of EA and ITSM through service architecture model.

REFERENCES

- Aier, S.,M. Ahrens,M. Stutz and U. Bub (2009). Deriving SOA Evaluation Metrics in an Enterprise Architecture Context. *Foundations*: 224 - 233.
- Alahmari, S.,D. De Roure and E. Zaluska (2010). A Model-Driven Architecture approach to the efficient identification of services on Service-Oriented Enterprise Architecture: 165-172.
- Avison, D. and J. Pries-Heje (2005). *Research in Information Systems*. Oxford, Elsevier.
- Ayat, M. (2008). Implementing Service support in the Infrastructure and Service unit OF CICT, UTM. Faculty of Computer Science and Information system. Johor Bahru, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia(UTM). Master Degree.
- Baioco, G.,A. C. Monteiro Costa,C. Z. Calvi and A. S. Garcia (2009). IT service management and governance modeling an ITSM Configuration process: A foundational ontology approach. *2009 IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management-Workshops*: 24-33.
- Bardhan, I. R.,H. Demirkan,P. K. Kannan,R. Kauffman and R. Sougstad (2010). An interdisciplinary perspective on IT services management and service science. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 26(4): 13-64.
- Baskerville, R.,J. Pries-Heje and J. Venable (2009). Soft design science methodology. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology - DESRIST '09*: 1.

- Beecham, S.,T. Hall,C. Britton,M. Cottee and A. Rainer (2005). Using an expert panel to validate a requirements process improvement model. *Journal of Systems and Software, Elsevier* 76(3): 251-275.
- Betz, C. T. (2007). *Architectures and Patterns for IT Service Management, Resource Planning and Governance*. San Farncisco, Diane Cerra.
- Boh, W. F. and D. Yellin (2006). Using enterprise architecture standards in managing information technology. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 23(3): 163-207.
- Börner, R. and M. Goeken (2009). Identification of Business Services Literature Review and Lessons Learned. Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS). San Francisco, California, Association for Information Systems. AMCIS 2009 Proceedings.
- Braun, C. and R. Winter (2007). Integration of IT service management into enterprise architecture. Proceedings of the 2007 ACM symposium on Applied computing. Seoul, Korea, ACM.
- BSI-ISO20K-P1 (2005). *Information Technology Service Management — ISO/IEC 20000-1:2005 - Part 1: Specification*. ISO
- BSI-ISO20K-P2 (2005). *Information Technology Service Management — ISO/IEC 20000-1:2005 - Part 2: Code*. ISO
- Cardwell, G. (2008). The influence of Enterprise Architecture and process hierarchies on company success. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* 19(1-2): 47-55.
- Chen, D.,G. Doumeingts and F. Vernadat (2008). Architectures for enterprise integration and interoperability: past, present and future. *Computers in Industry* 59 (2008) 13.
- Chen, H.-M.,R. Kazman and O. Perry (2010). From software architecture analysis to service engineering: An empirical study of methodology development for

- enterprise SOA implementation. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing* 3(2): 145-160.
- Chen, M.,V.-p. J. Chi and H.-c. Li (2009). An enterprise architecture approach to building a service-oriented enterprise. *2009 6th International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management*: 704-709.
- Choi, Y.,D. Kang,H. Chae and K. Kim (2008). An enterprise architecture framework for collaboration of virtual enterprise chains. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 35(11-12): 1065-1078.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design*. London, Sage.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. London, Sage.
- Dahalin, Z. M.,R. A. Razak,H. Ibrahim,N. I. Yusop and M. K. Kasiran. (2010). An Enterprise Architecture Methodology for Business-IT Alignment: Adopter and Developer Perspectives. *Communications of the IBIMA* Vol. 2010 (2010), Article ID 222028.
- Daniel, E. M. and A. White (2005). The future of inter-organisational system linkages: findings of an international Delphi study. *European Journal of Information Systems* 14(2): 188-203.
- Demirkan, H.,R. J.Kauffman,J. A.Vayghan,H.-G. Fill,D. Karagiannis and P. P.Maglio (2008). Service-Oriented technology and management: Perspectives on research and practice for the coming decade. *ELSEVIER, Electronic Commerce Research and Applications Journal* 7(2): 20.
- Denzin, N. K. and Y. Lincoln (2008). *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry*, SAGE Publications.
- Dodani, M. H. (2008). The Year of the Globally Integrated Enterprise *Journal of Object Technology* 7(1): 8.

- Dube, L. and G. Pare (2003). Rigor in information systems positivist case research: Current practices, trends, and recommendations. *Mis Quarterly* 27(4): 597-635.
- Duffield, C. (1993). The Delphi technique: a comparison of results obtained using two expert panels. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 30(3): 227-237.
- Dugmore, J. and S. Taylor (2008). ITILV3 and ISO/IEC 20000, BSI & OGC.
- Easterbrook, S.,J. Singer,M.-A. Storey and D. Damian (2005). Selecting Empirical Methods for Software Engineering Research: 23.
- Fatt, C. K. A. and E. W. S. Khin (2010). The Social-Technical View of Knowledge Management in Services Industries. *Journal of Social Sciences* 6(2): 256-264.
- Flender, C. and M. Rosemann (2007). Service-Oriented Design of an Enterprise Architecture in Home Telecare. *Information Systems*: 875-885.
- Forrester, E. (2010). CMMI-SVC Overview. *Media*.
- Franke, U.,M. Ekstedt,R. Lagerstrom,J. Saat and R. Winter (2010). Trends in enterprise architecture practice - A survey. *5th International Workshop on Trends in Enterprise Architecture Research, TEAR 2010, November 12, 2010 - November 12, 2010, Delft, Netherlands, Springer Verlag*.
- Galliers, R. D.,M. L. Markus and S. Newell (2007). Exploring Information Systems Research Approaches: Readings and Reflections, London, 2006.: 453.
- Galup, S.,R. Dattero,J. J.Quan and S. Conger (2007). Information Technology Management: An Emerging Area for Academic Research and Pedagogical Development. *ACM SIGMIS-CPR 2007, USA*.
- Galup, S. D.,R. Dattero,J. J. Quan and S. Conger (2009). An overview of IT service management. *Communications of the ACM* 52(5): 124-127.
- Glushko, R. J. (2008). Designing a service science discipline with discipline. *IBM Systems Journal* 47: 15-27.

- Goikoetxea, A. (2007). *Enterprise Architectures and Digital Administration*. Singapore, World Scientific.
- Goluchowicz, K. and K. Blind (2011). Identification of future fields of standardisation: An explorative application of the Delphi methodology. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 78(9): 1526-1541.
- Gregor, S. and D. Jones (2007). The anatomy of a design theory. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* 8(5): 312-335.
- Gu, Q. and P. Lago (2009). Exploring service-oriented system engineering challenges: a systematic literature review. *Service Oriented Computing and Applications* 3: 171-188.
- Guba, E. G. and Y. S. Lincoln (1994). Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research. Handbook of Qualitative Research, N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds.), Sage: 105-117.
- Gwendolyn, Kolfschoten and Gert-Jan (2009). A Design Approach for Collaboration Processes: A Multimethod Design Science Study in Collaboration Engineering. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 26(1): 225-256.
- Haki, M. K. and M. W. Forte (2010). Service oriented enterprise architecture framework: 391-398.
- Handcock, M. S. and K. J. Gile (2011). Comment on the concept of snowball sampling. *Sociological Methodology* 41(1): 367-371.
- Harmer, G. (2009). ITIL Version 3 Highlights.
- Heckathorn, D. D. (2011). Comment on snowball versus respondent-driven sampling. *Sociological Methodology* 41(1): 355-366.
- Hevner, A. and S. Chatterjee (2010). *Design Research in Information Systems: Theory and Practice*, Springer
- Hevner, A. R., S. T. March, J. Park and S. Ram (2004). Design science in Information Systems research. *Mis Quarterly* 28(1): 75-105.

- Hjort-Madsen, K. (2006). Enterprise architecture implementation and management: A case study on interoperability, Kauai, HI, United States, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Computer Society, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, United States.
- Hsu, C.-C. and B. A. Sandford (2007). The Delphi Technique: Making Sense Of Consensus. *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation* 12(10).
- IEEE/ISO/IEC42010 (2011). *ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011(E) , Systems and software engineering — Architecture description*. IEEE, ISO/IEC
- IFEAD (2005). Trends in Enterprise Architecture 2005, Institute For Enterprise Architecture Developments: 33.
- ISO/IEC1471 (2000). *IEEE 1471-2000 : IEEE Recommended Practice for Architectural Description of Software-Intensive Systems*. IEEE
- ISO/IEC42010 (2007). *ISO/IEC 42010:2007(E), IEEE 1471-2000 : Systems and software engineering — Recommended practice for architectural description of software-intensive systems*. IEEE, ISO/IEC
- ITGI (2005). *COBIT 4.0*. IT Governance Institute
- ItSMF (2004) An Introductory Overview of ITIL V2.
- itSMF (2007) An Introductory Overview of ITIL V3.
- Janssen, M. (2008). Exploring the Service-Oriented Enterprise: Drawing Lessons from a Case Study. *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008)*: 101-101.
- Janulaitis, V. (2007). *IT Service Management for Service-Oriented Architecture Policy Template (V3.3)*, Janco Associates Inc.
- Ji, W.-L. and A.-B. Xia (2007). Federal enterprise architecture framework. *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS* 13(1): 57-66.

- Johnson, M. W., a. Hatley, B. a. Miller and R. Orr (2007). Evolving standards for IT service management. *IBM Systems Journal* 46: 583-597.
- Kambhampaty, S., Chandra, S. (2006). Enterprise Architecture Definition Framework for IT Service Providers. *International Federation for Information Processing*, 205 (Research and Practical Issues of Enterprise Information Systems, eds. Tjoa, A.M., Xu, L., Chaudhry, S., (Boston:Springer),): pp.261-272.
- Kang, D., J. Lee, S. Choi and K. Kim (2010). An ontology-based Enterprise Architecture. *Expert Systems with Applications* 37 (2010) 9.
- Kistasamy, C., A. V. D. Merwe and A. D. L. Harpe (2010). The Relationship between Service Oriented Architecture and Enterprise Architecture. *2010 14th IEEE International Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference Workshops*: 129-137.
- Koning, H. and H. van Vliet (2006). A method for defining IEEE Std 1471 viewpoints. *Journal of Systems and Software* 79(1): 120-131.
- Kuechler, B. and V. Vaishnavi (2008). On theory development in design science research: anatomy of a research project. *European Journal of Information Systems* 17(5): 489-504.
- Lahtela, A., M. Jantti and J. Kaukola (2010). *Implementing an ITIL-based IT Service Management Measurement System*. Los Alamitos, Ieee Computer Soc.
- Lankhorst, M. M. (2004). Enterprise architecture modelling - The issue of integration. *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 18(4): 205-216.
- Li, H.-f., J.-j. Wang, H.-l. Yu and D.-l. Yang (2007). Services science, management, and engineering: A literature review in the perspective of management science. *2007 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*: 1438-1441.

- Lilja, K. K., K. Laakso and J. Palomki (2011). Using the Delphi method. *Technology Management in the Energy Smart World (PICMET), 2011 Proceedings of PICMET '11*.
- Liu, Z. and Q. Min (2008). A Comprehensive Review of Research in IT Adoption. *Journal of Management*: 1-5.
- March, S. T. and V. C. Storey (2008). Design Science in The Information Systems Discipline: An Introduction to the Special Issue on Design Science Research. *Mis Quarterly* 32(4): 725-730.
- Marchais-Roubelat, A. and F. Roubelat (2011). The Delphi method as a ritual: Inquiring the Delphic Oracle. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 78(9): 1491-1499.
- Masrek, M., A. Jamaluddin and I. Ahmad (2009). Examining the Relationship Between Information Technology Infrastructure and Information Systems Success: A Conceptual Framework. *MASAUM Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* Vol.1, No.1 August 2009: 64-67.
- Maurizio, B. A., J. Sager, P. Jones, G. Corbitt and L. Girolami (2008). Service Oriented Architecture : Challenges for Business and Academia 3 . The Role of Business Process Management in SOA. *Business*: 1-8.
- McBride, N. (2009). Exploring service issues within the IT organisation: Four mini-case studies. *International Journal of Information Management* 29: 237-243.
- McDermott, J. F. (2008). ITIL version3 – The future has arrived, Hewlett Packard.
- Meersman, R., Z. Tari and P. Herrero (2008). Service Oriented Architecture vs. Enterprise Architecture: Competition or Synergy? OTM 2008 Workshops. Berlin Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag 304–312.
- MicrosoftCo. (2008). Microsoft® Operations Framework (MOF) V4.0. MOF Overview, Microsoft Corporation.
- MicrosoftCo. (2009). *Getting Started with MOF 4.0*. Microsoft corporation

- Mingers, J. (2001). Combining IS Research Methods: Towards a Pluralist Methodology. *Information Systems Research* 12(3,September): 20.
- Morrison, R.,D. Balasubramaniam and K. Falkner (2008). Transition to Service-Oriented Enterprise Architecture. *European conference on Software Architecture*, Berlin Heidelberg 2008, Springer-Verlag.
- Myers, M. D. (2008). Qualitative Research in Information Systems. *MIS Quarterly* Retrieved 05/01/2009, 2009, from <http://www.qual.auckland.ac.nz/>.
- Myers, M. D. and D. Avison (2002). *Qualitative Research in Information Systems*, SAGE.
- Nan, M.,T. Hall and T. Barker (2008). USING an expert panel to empirically validate a requirements engineering mediation model.
- Niemann, K. D. (2005). From Enterprise Architecture to IT Governance, GWV Fachverlage GmbH.
- NIST. (2010, 20 November 2009). NIST Enterprise Architecture Model. 2010, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/NIST_Enterprise_Architecture_Model.
- Norbert, B.,B. Sanjay,F. Marc,J. Keith and S. Rawn (2006). *Service-Oriented Architecture Compass: Business Value, Planning, and Enterprise Roadmap*, IBM Press.
- Nowack, M.,J. Endrikat and E. Guenther (2011). Review of Delphi-based scenario studies: Quality and design considerations. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 78(9): 1603-1615.
- Nurcan, S. and R. Schmidt (2009). Service Oriented Enterprise-Architecture for enterprise engineering introduction. *2009 13th Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference Workshops*: 247-253.
- O'Leary, Z. (2004). *The Essential Guide to Doing Research*. London, Sage.

- OASIS. (2006, 12 October 2006). Reference Model for Service Oriented Architecture 1.0. Retrieved 25-07-2008, 2008, from <http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-rm/v1.0/>.
- Oates, B. J. (2006). *Researching Information Systems and Computing*. London, SAGE
- Offermann, P., O. Levina, M. Schnherr and U. Bub (2009). Outline of a design science research process. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ACM: 1-11.
- OG-SOI (2007). Service Oriented Infrastructure Reference Framework, The Open Group - SOA Working Group.
- OGC-2011-SS (2011). *ITIL 2011 Edition: Service Strategy*. UK, TSO (The Stationery Office).
- OGC-V2-Intro (2007). *Introduction to ITIL V2*, Office of Government Commerce (OGC).
- OGC-V3-CSI (2007). *ITIL V3: Continual Service Improvement*. UK, TSO.
- OGC-V3-SD (2007). *ITIL V3: Service Design*. UK, TSO.
- OGC-V3-SO (2007). *ITIL V3: Service Operation*. UK, TSO.
- OGC-V3-SS (2007). *ITIL V3: Service Strategy*. UK, TSO.
- OGC-V3-ST (2007). *ITIL V3: Service Transition*. UK, TSO.
- Okoli, C. and S. D. Pawlowski (2004). The Delphi method as a research tool: an example, design considerations and applications. *Information & Management* 42(1): 15-29.
- Omar, M. F., B. Trigunaryyah and J. Wong (2009). A Design Science Approach for Consultant Selection Decision Support System. *2009 Fourth International Conference on Cooperation and Promotion of Information Resources in Science and Technology*: 90-94.

- Parente, R. and J. Anderson-Parente (2011). A case study of long-term Delphi accuracy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 78(9): 1705-1711.
- Peppers, K., T. Tuunanen, M. A. Rothenberger and S. Chatterjee (2007). A design science research methodology for Information Systems Research. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 24(3): 45-77.
- Peng, D. and J. Richter (2008). Case Study : SOA Governance. *Governance An International Journal Of Policy And Administration*: 1-22.
- Plazaola, L., J. Flores, N. Vargas and M. Ekstedt (2008). Strategic Business and IT Alignment Assessment: A Case Study Applying an Enterprise Architecture-Based Metamodel. *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008)*: 398-398.
- Powell, C. (2003). The Delphi technique: myths and realities. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 41(4): 376-382.
- Qing, G. and L. Patricia (2009). Exploring service-oriented system engineering challenges: a systematic literature review. 3.
- R. Meersman, Z. T., and P. Herrero (Eds.) (2008). Service Oriented Architecture vs. Enterprise Architecture: Competition or Synergy? OTM 2008 Workshops. Berlin Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag 304–312.
- Rafidah Abd. Razak , Z. M. D., Rohaya Dahari (2007). Enterprise Information Architecture: Assessment of Current Practices in Malaysian Organization. *40th Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences - 2007*.
- Rafidah Abd. Razak , Z. M. D., Rohaya Dahari (2010). Examining the Practice of Enterprise Architecture in Universiti Utara Malaysia: A Case Study. *Knowledge Management International Conference 2010*, Malaysia.
- Razak, R., Z. Dahalin, R. Dahari, S. Kamaruddin and S. Abdullah (2007a). Enterprise Information Architecture (EIA): Assessment of Current Practices in Malaysian Organizations. *2007 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'07)*: 219a-219a.

- Razak, R. A. (2008). An Exploratory Study of Enterprise Architecture Practices in Malaysia. *Communications* 3.
- Razak, R. A.,Z. Dahalin,R. Dahari and S. Sakira (2007b). Evaluation of Enterprise Information Architecture (EIA) Practices in Malaysia. *Information Systems* 255: 1011-1017.
- Razak, R. A.,Z. M. Dahalin and R. Dahari (2010). Examining the Practice of Enterprise Architecture in Universiti Utara Malaysia: A Case Study. *Knowledge Management International Conference 2010*, Malaysia.
- Ritchie, J. and J. Lewis (2003). *Qualitative Research Practice, A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers* SAGE.
- Robert, W. and S. Joachim (2008). Enterprise architecture governance: the need for a business-to-IT approach. Proceedings of the 2008 ACM symposium on Applied computing. Fortaleza, Ceara, Brazil, ACM.
- Roser, S.,J. P. Muller and B. Bauer (2011). An evaluation and decision method for ICT architectures for cross-organizational business process coordination. *Information Systems and E-Business Management* 9(1): 51-88.
- Rudd, C. (2004). *An Introductory Overview of ITIL V2*, itSMF Ltd.
- Runeson, P. and M. Host (2009). Guidelines for conducting and reporting case study research in software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering* 14(2): 131-164.
- Runeson, P. and M. Höst (2008). Guidelines for conducting and reporting case study research in software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering* 14: 131-164.
- Saat, J. and R. Winter (2010). Trends in Enterprise Architecture Practice – A Survey. *Practice*: 16-29.
- Salganik, M. J. and D. D. Heckathorn (2004). Sampling and Estimation in Hidden Populations Using Respondent-Driven Sampling. *Sociological Methodology* 34(1): 193-240.

- Schmidt, R. (2010). Meta-services as third dimension of service-oriented enterprise architecture: 157-164.
- Services, C. (2010). CMMI® for Services, Version 1.3 CMMI-SVC, V1.3. *Engineering*.
- Shah, H. and M. El Kourdi (2007). Frameworks for enterprise architecture. *IT Professional* 9(5): 36-41.
- Shams, F. (2009). Enterprise Architecture in Iran. *The First National Practical Enterprise Architecture Conference in Iran*, Isfahan, Iran.
- Sharifi, M. (2010). A Proposed IT Service Management-Lite Framework for Small Medium Enterprises in Developing Countries. Faculty of Computer Science and Information System. Johor Bahru, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). PHD Degree.
- SIE (2010). *CMMI® for Services : CMMI-SVC, Version 1.3*. Software Engineering Institute
- Skulmoski, G. J. and F. T. Hartman (2007). The Delphi Method for Graduate Research. *Journal of Information Technology Education* 6(1): 1-21.
- Spohrer, J.,L. C. Anderson,N. J. Pass,T. Ager and D. Gruhl (2008a). Service Science. *Journal of Grid Computing* 6: 313-324.
- Spohrer, J.,S. L. Vargo,N. Caswell and P. P. Maglio (2008b). The Service System Is the Basic Abstraction of Service Science. *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008)*: 104-104.
- Steinberg, R. A. and B. Material (2005). *Implementing ITIL, Adapting Your IT Organization to the Coming Revolution in IT Service Management*. United States, Trafford Publishing.
- Susanne, L. and Z. Gregor (2006). Evaluation of current architecture frameworks. Proceedings of the 2006 ACM symposium on Applied computing. Dijon, France, ACM.

- Tan, X. and K. Siau (2009). Design Science in Systems Analysis and Design Research: The Case of Cognitive Mapping Techniques PREFACE. *Journal of Database Management* 20(2): I-IX.
- Taylor, S. and K. Turbitt (2007). ITIL Version 3: Support for the Growing Importance of Business Service Management, BMC Software.
- TechRepublic (2005). The Adoption of ITIL in Large Enterprises, Tech Republic: 20.
- Teegavarapu, S.,J. D. Summers,G. M. Mocko and Asme (2009). *Case Study Method for Design Research: A Justification*. New York, Amer Soc Mechanical Engineers.
- Thomas, O. (2010). Using the e-Delphi (online) method to develop an assessment grading system.
- Thorn, S. (2007). TOGAF and ITIL, The Open Group.
- Van Nuffel, D. (2007). Towards a service-oriented methodology: Business-driven guidelines for service identification. On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems 2007: Otm 2007 Workshops, Pt 1, Proceedings. R. Meersman, Z. Tari and P. Herrero. Berlin, Springer-Verlag Berlin. 4805: 294-303.
- Walsham, G. and S. Sahay (2006). Research on information systems in developing countries: Current landscape and future prospects. *Information Technology for Development* 12: 7-24.
- Whitman, M. E. and A. B. Woszczyński (2003). *The handbook of Information Systems Research*. London, Idea Group.
- Wiering, M. J.,M. M. Bonsangue,R. van Buuren,L. P. J. Groenewegen,H. Jonkers and M. M. Lankhorst (2004). Investigating the mapping of an Enterprise Description Language into UML 2.0. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 101(0): 155-179.
- Wieringa, R. and H. Heerkens (2008). Design Science, Engineering Science and Requirements Engineering. Proceedings of the 16th Ieee International

Requirements Engineering Conference. Los Alamitos, Ieee Computer Soc: 310-313.

wikipedia, f. (2008). Comparison between ITIL V3 and ITIL V2 -The Main Changes. Retrieved 10-9-2008, from http://wiki.en.it-processmaps.com/index.php/Comparison_between_ITIL_V3_and_ITIL_V2_-_The_Main_Changes.

Wikipedia, o. (2010, 20 November 2010). Enterprise Architecture 2010, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enterprise_Architecture.

Wilkinson, M. (2006). Designing an 'adaptive' enterprise architecture. *BT Technology Journal* 24(4): 81-92.

Winter, R. (2008). Design science research in Europe. *European Journal of Information Systems* 17(5): 470-475.

Winter, R. and R. Fischer (2007). Essential Layers, Artifacts, and Dependencies of Enterprise Architecture. *Journal of Enterprise Architecture*(May 2007).

Wittenburg, A.,F. Matthes,F. Fischer and T. Hallermeier (2007). Building an integrated IT governance platform at the BMW Group. *International Journal: Business Process Integration and Management* 2(4): 327-337.

Wright, R. and M. Stein (2005). Snowball Sampling. Encyclopedia of Social Measurement. K.-L. Editor-in-Chief: Kimberly. New York, Elsevier: 495-500.

Xie, D. Y., Shi; Zhang, Tao; Jia, Xiang-Yang; Liang, Zao-Qing; Yao, Jun-Feng (2007). An approach for describing SOA. 2006 International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, WiCOM 2006. Wuhan, China: 1-4.

Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Newbury Park, Sage Publications.

Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Singapore, Sage Publications.

- Yuen, K. K. F. (2010). Development of an enterprise decision platform: Service-Oriented Architecture approach. 4: 156-174.
- Zachman, J. A. (1987). A framework for information systems architecture. *IBM Syst. J.* 26(3): 276-292.
- Zhang, L.-J.,J. Zhang and H. Cai (2007). *Services Computing*, Springer.
- Zhang, L.-J. L. (2008). EIC Editorial: Introduction to the Knowledge Areas of Services Computing. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing* 1: 62-74.
- Zhao, C.,H. Gan and F. Gao (2009). A study on the process model for IT service management. Proceedings of the 3rd WSEAS international conference on Computer engineering and applications. Ningbo, China, World Scientific and Engineering Academy and Society (WSEAS): 206-210.