

A NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF FIXED OFFSHORE STRUCTURE SUBJECTED
TO ENVIRONMENTAL LOADING IN MALAYSIAN WATER

TAN CHUN CHAI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

While the motivation and hard work in pursuing a master degree must come from within, interactions with others have stimulated and sustained me both professionally and personally during my research career. I want to acknowledge many people whose professional help and personal support has made it possible for me to complete this research work. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Iberahin Jusoh for his encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship.

My special thanks to Mr. Ahmad Jafri for his assistant and guidance on finite element of tubular joint. Appreciation is extended to my sponsor, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation for providing scholarship to my study. My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support especially Miss Doris Chin Chong Leng. I would like to express my gratefulness to my family for their continuous love and support throughout my life.

ABSTRACT

This research focused on the response of the jacket structure to environmental loading. The jacket was modelled as a space frame using ANSYS finite element package. Meanwhile, the estimation of extreme value of environmental parameters based on data on Malaysia waters was carried out using MINITAB. Response of the structure under environmental loading was performed using static analysis. Interaction ratios of the members are computed based on API RP2A–WSD (1993) using MATLAB. The sensitivity of the jacket structure to variation in design parameters was investigated. From global stress analysis, one of the structure's member on a complex multiplanar leg joint, appeared to have a high utilisation of stress when assessed using API RP2A–WSD (1993). Therefore, a nonlinear finite element analysis of the multiplanar joint has been carried out to determine both the absolute load capacity of the joint, the effect of the out-of-plane loads and braces and relate these back to the strength of the critical brace acting as a Y joint. This study presents the analytical methods and results together with a calibration of the analysis against test data for Y joints. A systematic study of stresses in tubular Y joints has also been conducted using finite element analysis which covers axial loading, in-plane bending and out-of-plane bending. For each mode of loading, and for both chord and brace sides of the intersection, stress concentration factors and its distributions are calculated for selected locations. The validity of this approach is demonstrated by comparing the finite element results with the predictions of other previously published parametric equations.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini bertumpu kepada tindak balas struktur luar pantai terhadap beban persekitaran. Permodelan struktur luar pantai yang terdiri daripada kerangka dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah unsur terhingga ANSYS. Selain itu, anggaran nilai parameter persekitaran yang ekstrim berdasarkan data di perairan Malaysia dilakukan dengan menggunakan MINITAB. Tindak balas struktur luar pantai terhadap beban persekitaran dianalisis secara statik. Nisbah beban terhadap kapasiti elemen dikira berdasarkan API RP2A–WSD (1993) dengan MATLAB. Kepekaan struktur luar pantai terhadap parameter yang digunakan dalam rekabentuk dikaji. Daripada analisis tegasan, salah satu elemen yang terdapat pada sambungan kaki struktur didapati mempunyai nilai nisbah penggunaan tegasan yang tinggi apabila disemak dengan API RP2A–WSD (1993). Oleh itu, analisis kaedah unsur terhingga tak linear sambungan multiplanar dijalankan untuk menentukan kedua-dua nilai mutlak kapasiti beban pada sambungan, kesan beban dan cabang luar planar dan mengaitkan semula kepada kekuatan elemen kritikal yang berfungsi sebagai sambungan Y. Kajian ini mempersembahkan kaedah analitikal dan output bersama dengan kalibrasi yang dilakukan terhadap data ujikaji sambungan Y. Kajian tegasan yang sistematik juga dilakukan ke atas sambungan Y dengan menggunakan analisis kaedah unsur terhingga yang meliputi beban paksi, momen dalam planar dan momen luar planar. Bagi setiap jenis beban, dan untuk kedua-dua belah pertemuan sambungan, faktor penumpuan tegasan dikira untuk lokasi yang tertentu. Kesahan metodologi ini dipersembahkan dengan membuat perbandingan di antara output daripada analisis kaedah unsur terhingga dengan persamaan parametrik yang diterbitkan oleh penyelidik lain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Significance	1
	1.2 Research Objectives	2
	1.3 Outline of Thesis	3

2	LITERATURE REVIEW PART I: ENVIRONMENTAL LOADING ON FIXED OFFSHORE STRUCTURES	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Historical Development of Oil and Gas in Malaysia	7
2.3	Historical Development of Offshore Structures	8
2.4	Classification of Offshore Structures	9
2.4.1	Fixed Structures	10
2.4.2	Mobile Structures	11
2.4.3	Compliant Structures	12
2.5	Type of Loading on Offshore Structures	13
2.6	Environmental Loading	14
2.6.1	Design Environmental Conditions	16
2.6.1.1	Oceanographic Conditions	17
2.6.1.2	Wind Conditions	18
2.6.1.3	Estimation of Extreme Values	19
2.6.2	Evaluation of Water Particle Motion	26
2.6.2.1	Wave Theories	27
2.6.2.2	Wave–Current Interaction	38
2.6.3	Evaluation of External Loads	41
2.6.3.1	Wave Force	41
2.6.3.2	Transverse Force	44
2.6.3.3	Wind Force	46
2.7	Summary	48
3	LITERATURE PART II: TUBULAR JOINTS	68
3.1	Introduction	68
3.2	Brief History of Tubular Joints	69
3.3	Classification of Tubular Joints	70

3.4	Joint Types	71
3.5	Behaviour of Tubular Joint under Operating Loads	72
3.6	Stress Analysis of Tubular Joints	75
3.6.1	Thin–Shell Finite Elements	76
3.6.2	Three–Dimensional Isoparametric Finite Elements	78
3.6.3	Nonlinear Analysis	79
3.7	Behaviour of Tubular Joint under Static Loads	80
3.8	Fatigue Performance of Tubular Joints	82
3.8.1	Stress Concentration Factor Equations	83
3.8.2	S–N Curves	91
3.8.3	Palmgren–Miner Cumulative Damage Rule	92
3.9	Summary	93

4 STRUCTURAL MODEL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AT SOTONG FIELD 101

4.1	Introduction	101
4.2	Model of Jacket Structure	101
4.2.1	Description of the Structure	102
4.2.2	Element of Jacket Structure	103
4.3	Environmental Conditions at Sotong Field	105
4.3.1	Estimation of Extreme Wave and Associated Period	105
4.3.1.1	Basic Data	106
4.3.1.2	Statistical Analysis of Extreme Significant Wave Height	106
4.3.1.3	Maximum Individual Wave Height and Associated Period	108

4.3.2	Wind Loads	110
4.3.3	Current and Its Profile	112
4.4	Concluding Remarks	112
5	STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS	122
5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	Analysis Procedure	122
5.3	Results of Structural Analysis	123
5.3.1	In-Place Analysis	124
5.3.2	Base Case Analysis	125
5.3.3	Extreme Case Analysis	127
5.4	Concluding Remarks	128
6	SENSITIVITY STUDY IN STRUCTURAL RESPONSE	156
6.1	Introduction	156
6.2	Base Design Criteria	157
6.3	Selection of Parameters	157
6.3.1	Wave Theory	158
6.3.2	Force Coefficients	159
6.3.2.1	Drag Coefficient	159
6.3.2.2	Inertia Coefficient	160
6.3.3	Environmental Parameters	161
6.3.3.1	Wave	161
6.3.3.2	Current and Its Profile	162
6.3.3.3	Wave-Current Interaction	163
6.3.3.4	Wind	163
6.4	Result of Sensitivity Studies	164
6.4.1	Wave Theory Sensitivity	164
6.4.2	Wave Force Coefficients Sensitivity	164

6.4.3	Wave Height Sensitivity	165
6.4.4	Wave Period Sensitivity	166
6.4.5	Current Velocity Sensitivity	166
6.4.6	Wave–Current Interaction Sensitivity	167
6.4.7	Wind Load Sensitivity	167
6.5	Concluding Remarks	167
7	ULTIMATE STRENGTH ASSESSMENT OF A MULTIPLANAR JOINT	179
7.1	Introduction	179
7.2	Joint Configuration, Loading and Strength Definitions	180
7.3	Scope of the Analytical Investigation	180
7.4	Finite Element Model of Multiplanar Joint	181
7.5	Analysis of Results	184
7.5.1	Complete Joint–All Braces Loaded	185
7.5.2	Complete Joint–Only Brace 251 Loaded	186
7.5.3	Y Joint–Brace 251 Subjected to All Six Load Components	187
7.5.4	Y Joint–Brace 251 Subjected to Axial Load Only	187
7.6	Comparison of Results	188
7.7	Concluding Remarks	189
8	STRESS CONCENTRATION FACTORS FOR Y JOINT	202
8.1	Introduction	202
8.2	Joint Configuration	203
8.3	Finite Element Model of Y Joint	203
8.4	Results of Stress Analysis	205

8.5	Comparison of Results	207
8.6	Concluding Remarks	209
9	DISCUSSIONS	219
9.1	Introduction	219
9.2	Environment Modelling	219
9.3	Structural Analysis	221
9.4	Sensitivity of Jacket Structure	222
9.5	Strength Assessment of a Multiplanar Joint	223
9.6	Stress Concentration Factors for Y Joint	224
10	CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	226
10.1	Introduction	226
10.2	Conclusions	226
10.3	Suggestions for Future Research	228
	REFERENCES	230
	APPENDIX A – Structural Model	238
	APPENDIX B – Structural Configuration	247

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Existing platforms water depth distribution	49
2.2	Planned platforms water depth distribution	49
2.3	Selected probability distributions	50
2.4	Results of linear wave theory	51
2.5	Shallow and deep water approximation to linear wave theory	52
2.6	Results of Stokes fifth-order wave theory	53
2.7	The coefficients for Stokes fifth-order wave theory	54
2.8	Values of hydrodynamic coefficient for circular cylinders	55
3.1	Basic dimensions for simple joint	94
3.2	Non-dimensional geometric parameter for tubular joint	94
4.1	Data on significant wave height at the Sotong field for the years 1941–1990	113
4.2	Comparison of goodness of fit test for various distributions (significant wave height)	113
4.3	Data on wind speed at the Sotong field for the years 1941–1990	114
4.4	Comparison of goodness of fit test for various distributions (wind speed)	114

4.5	Design specification applied for the base case and extreme case model	115
5.1	Base shear and overturning moment for in-place analysis	129
5.2	Base shear and overturning moment for base case analysis	129
5.3	Selected structural elements for ultimate strength analysis	129
5.4	Stress utilisation ratio for selected element at node I and node J (base case)	130
5.5	Base shear and overturning moment for extreme case analysis	131
5.6	Stress utilisation ratio for selected element at node I and node J (extreme case)	132
6.1	Base design criteria	169
6.2(a)	Static strength sensitivity studies (base case)	170
6.2(b)	Static strength sensitivity studies (extreme case)	171
6.3	Normalised maximum base shear	172
6.4	Normalised maximum overturning moment	173
7.1	Geometry of the multiplanar joint	190
7.2	Loads in member local axis system extracted from global analysis of jacket structure	190
7.3	Ratio of ultimate axial load to ultimate load capacity of analysis 4	191
8.1	Stress concentration factors values at crowns, saddle and hot-spot on brace and chord	210
8.2	Comparison between results of meshes to show extent of convergence	210
8.3	Comparison of predicted stress concentration factors for axial loading	211
8.4	Comparison of predicted stress concentration factors for in-plane bending	211
8.5	Comparison of predicted stress concentration factors for out-of-plane bending	212

9.1	Comparison of typical design wave parameter for Malaysia's water (100-year return period)	220
-----	--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Jacket platform	56
2.2	Gravity platform	57
2.3	Typical jack-up rig	58
2.4	Typical semi-submersible vessel	59
2.5	Guyed tower	60
2.6	Tension leg platform (TLP)	61
2.7	Articulated tower	62
2.8	Definition sketch for a progressive wave train	62
2.9	Range of wave theories giving the best fit to the dynamic free surface boundary condition	63
2.10	Ranges of suitability for various wave theories as suggested by Le Mehaute	63
2.11	Various methods of combining a current profile with the variation in instantaneous water depth due to wave action	64
2.12	Classification of wave force calculation methods	65
2.13	Definition sketch for wave forces on cylinder	65
2.14	C_D versus KC number for various values of Re and frequency parameter ($\beta = Re/KC$)	66
2.15	C_M versus KC number for various values of Re and frequency parameter ($\beta = Re/KC$)	66
2.16	Flow patterns at various values of KC	67

3.1	Typical tubular joints	95
3.2	Stiffened joint	96
3.3	Typical jacket framing configurations	97
3.4	Notation for joint configurations	97
3.5	Weld defects	98
3.6	Punching shear concept	98
3.7	Finite element mesh and stress contours for a K joint	99
3.8	Reserve strength of a tubular connection	99
3.9	Empirical design curve for punching shear	100
3.10	Fatigue S–N curve	100
4.1	Structural model	116
4.2	PIPE 59 immersed pipe	116
4.3	PIPE 59 velocity profile for wave–current interaction	117
4.4	Element load vector effects	117
4.5	Extreme Value distribution for significant wave height	118
4.6	Log–Normal distribution for significant wave height	118
4.7	Weibull 2–Parameter distribution for significant wave height	119
4.8	Extreme Value distribution for wind speed	119
4.9	Log–Normal distribution for wind speed	120
4.10	Weibull 2–Parameter distribution for wind speed	120
4.11	Current velocity profile	121
5.1	Environmental load on offshore structure	133
5.2	Global coordinate system of the structure	133
5.3	Stress utilisation ratio for in–place analysis (nodes I and J)	134
5.4	Variation of base shear and overturning moment with wave phase angle for base case	135

5.5(a)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 0, 360)	136
5.5(b)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 45)	137
5.5(c)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 90)	138
5.5(d)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 135)	139
5.5(e)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 180)	140
5.5(f)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 225)	141
5.5(g)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 270)	142
5.5(h)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for base case (wave phase angle: 315)	143
5.6	Variation of stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for selected elements with wave phase angle (base case)	144
5.7	Variation of base shear and overturning moment with wave phase angle for extreme case	145
5.8(a)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 0, 360)	146
5.8(b)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 45)	147
5.8(c)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 90)	148
5.8(d)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 135)	149
5.8(e)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 180)	150
5.8(f)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 225)	151

5.8(g)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 270)	152
5.8(h)	Stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case (wave phase angle: 315)	153
5.9	Variation of stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for selected elements with wave phase angle (extreme case)	154
5.10	Percentage difference in stress utilisation ratio at nodes I and J for extreme case compared to the base case (wave phase angle: 45)	155
6.1	Variation of base shear and overturning moment with wave height for base case and extreme case	174
6.2	Variation of base shear and overturning moment with wave period for base case and extreme case	175
6.3	Variation of base shear and overturning moment with depth average current for base case and extreme case	176
6.4	Base shear and overturning moment sensitivity for base case	177
6.5	Base shear and overturning moment sensitivity for extreme case	178
7.1	Configuration of a typical multiplanar joint on jacket structure	192
7.2	General loading of structural element	193
7.3	Finite element mesh of multiplanar joint	193
7.4	Finite element model of multiplanar joint	194
7.5	Stress/strain relationship	194
7.6	Nodes at intersection of chord and brace 251	195
7.7	Location of elements on chord and brace 251	195
7.8	Elements on chord (only brace 251 shown)	196
7.9	Elements on chord (only brace 251 shown)	196
7.10(a)	Development of stresses around chord (joint with all braces loaded)	197

7.10(b)	Deformation of chord below brace 251 (joint with all braces loaded)	197
7.11(a)	Development of stresses around chord (joint with only brace 251 loaded)	198
7.11(b)	Deformation of chord below brace 251 (joint with only brace 251 loaded)	198
7.12(a)	Displacement at node 5478 (Y joint with brace 251 subjected to all load components)	199
7.12(b)	Deformation of chord below brace 251 (Y joint with brace 251 subjected to all load components)	199
7.13(a)	Displacement at node 5478 (Y joint with brace subjected to axial load)	200
7.13(b)	Deformation of chord below brace 251 (Y joint with brace subjected to axial load)	200
7.14	Comparison of Y joint finite element (FE) result with test database	201
8.1	Subdivision of the tubular joint into a number of regions suitable for mesh generation	213
8.2	Typical example of finite element mesh used to model tubular joint	213
8.3	Details of modes of loading used for finite element joint analyses	213
8.4	Comparison of SCFs distribution between chord and brace under axial loading	214
8.5	Comparison of SCFs distribution between chord and brace under in-plane bending	214
8.6	Comparison of SCFs distribution between chord and brace under out-of-plane bending	215
8.7	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for axial loading (chord)	215
8.8	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for axial loading (brace)	216

8.9	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for in-plane bending (chord)	216
8.10	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for in-plane bending (brace)	217
8.11	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for out-of-plane bending (chord)	217
8.12	Comparison of SCFs distribution between UCL equations and FE data for out-of-plane bending (brace)	218

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a_n	–	Normal particle acceleration vector
AM	–	Annual maxima
BS	–	Base shear
c	–	Wave celerity
C_b	–	Buoyancy coefficient
C_D	–	Drag coefficient
C_L	–	Lift coefficient
C_M	–	Inertia coefficient
C_W	–	Wind drag coefficient
C_{WL}	–	Wind drag coefficient for long member
C_{WS}	–	Wind drag coefficient for short member
d	–	Water depth
D	–	Diameter
D_B	–	Brace outer diameter
D_C	–	Chord outer diameter
D_e	–	Outside diameter of the pipe with insulation
D_{PM}	–	Cumulative damage ratio
E	–	Young's modulus of steel
\bar{E}	–	Mean square
E_p	–	Encounter probability
EDF	–	Empirical distribution function
f_a	–	Nominal axial stress

f_b	–	Nominal bending stress
f_D	–	Drag force
f_I	–	Inertia force
f_L	–	Lift force
f_s	–	Frequency of vortex
F	–	Forces
FE	–	Finite element
FT–I	–	Fisher–Tippett Type I
FT–II	–	Fisher–Tippett Type II
FT–III	–	Fisher–Tippett Type III
F(x)	–	Cumulative probability distribution
F_b	–	Allowable bending stress
F_w	–	Wind force
F_y	–	Yield stress
g	–	Gravity
G	–	Gust factor
H	–	Wave height
H_s	–	Significant wave height
H_{max}	–	Maximum individual wave height
I	–	Turbulence intensity
IPB	–	In–plane bending
ID	–	Initial distribution
k	–	Wave number
KC	–	Keulegan–Carpenter number
L	–	Wave length
L_c	–	Chord length
L_f	–	Design lifetime of a structure
L_M	–	Member length
M	–	Moments
OPB	–	Out–of–plane bending
OTM	–	Overturning moment

POT	–	Peak over threshold
$P(X)$	–	Probability of non-exceedance
$P(X < x)$	–	Probability that a randomly chosen observation X will be below x
Q	–	Bernoulli constant
$Q(X)$	–	Probability of exceedance
Re	–	Reynolds number
S	–	Strouhal number
S_s	–	Wave steepness
S_w	–	Frontal area (facing the wind)
SCFs	–	Stress concentration factors
SWL	–	Still water level
t	–	Time
T	–	Wave period
T_R	–	Return period
T_z	–	Mean zero-crossing period associated with the extreme storm
T_{ass}	–	Period associated with the design wave
u	–	Horizontal water particle velocity
u_n	–	Normal relative particle velocity
u_t	–	Tangential relative particle velocity
U	–	Steady velocity
U_c	–	Current velocity
U_G	–	Gust wind
U_w	–	Wind speed
V_p	–	Punching shear stress
w	–	Vertical water particle velocity
WT_B	–	Brace wall thickness
WT_C	–	Chord wall thickness
Z	–	Statistical constant
α	–	Ratio of chord length to chord radius

β	–	Frequency parameter
δ	–	Ratio of the brace diameter to chord diameter
ε	–	Perturbation parameter
ϕ	–	Velocity potential
φ	–	Angle between brace and chord
γ	–	Ratio of chord radius to the chord wall thickness
η	–	Free surface elevation
π	–	Pi
θ	–	Wave phase angle
ϑ	–	Angle around the intersection of joint
ρ	–	Density of steel
ρ_a	–	Air density
ρ_w	–	Water density
σ	–	Standard deviation
τ	–	Ratio of the wall thickness of the brace to that of the chord
ω	–	Angular frequency
ω_a	–	Apparent angular frequency
ω_r	–	Relative angular frequency
ψ	–	Stream function

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Structural Model	238
B	Structural Configuration	247

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Significance

The demand for exploration and production of oil and gas has grown worldwide during the past few decades in spite of its periodic down turns. As a consequence of this general search to explore and exploit offshore energy resources, new innovations and developments have taken place in structural form, equipment technology, inspection, repair methodologies and economic field utilisation. Oil and gas will continue as the most important source of energy remainder of this century and well into the next century.

With reference to offshore production facilities, any structures employed must perform satisfactorily under service conditions while safely enduring extreme environmental events. Environmental loads such as wind, wave, tide, current and marine fouling are well known to be major contributor to the loading experienced by any offshore structures. In addition, ice and earthquake are important in some geographical location. Being of random in nature, the environmental loads chosen as design loads must not less than the most probable severest load in a time period of 100 years. The ability to predict accurately the extreme environmental loading

remains an important factor in the continued safe and economic exploitation of the hydrocarbon reserves.

Secondly, the sensitivity of the structural response due to the changes in design parameters is still not well understood. The effect of variations in the design parameters is dependent on both the range of values considered and sensitivity of structural design to such variations. In this study, analyses are carried out to investigate the sensitivity of the structure response to the variations in design parameters.

One of the features of fixed offshore structures is the problem of tubular joint design. A global stress analysis of the overall structure resolves applied gravity loads and environmental loads into nominal axial and bending stresses in the various members. If local scale stress analysis is performed on tubular connection, the hot-spot stresses near the welded intersection are found several times higher than nominal, often exceeding yield and may cause it to collapse. The local analysis may involve rigorous shell theory, finite element analysis or experimental stress analysis. In this study, finite element analysis has been used to investigate local stress and ultimate static strength of tubular joint.

1.2 Research Objectives

The main objectives of the research presented in this thesis are:

- a) To develop an environmental loading model for typical jacket structure in Malaysia's water.

- b) To study the effects of variations in design parameters on the jacket structure.
- c) To determine the load capacity of a multiplanar joint.
- d) To perform stress analysis on Y joint.

1.3 Outline of Thesis

This thesis consists of nine chapters begin with the introductory chapter. The literature reviews are divided into two chapters, which is Chapter Two and Chapter Three. The division of the literature review is essential to give the readers a better understanding of the research topic as both chapters discuss two different topics in depth.

Chapter Two describes in detail the methods which are available to translate a definition of environmental conditions into the resultant steady and time dependent forces on the structure. This chapter is concerned with describing and developing methods of calculating these forces for fixed offshore structures.

Chapter Three discusses the behaviour of tubular joints under operating loads and static loads. The punching shear is used as a viewpoint from which to examine various approaches to stress analysis of tubular joints, such as thin-shell finite elements and three dimensional isoparametric finite elements.

Chapter Four describes the structural model of a typical four legged jacket structure and the modelling of the environmental parameters where the structure is

situated. The statistical analysis of extreme is used to estimate extreme environmental loading experienced by the structure.

Chapter Five studies the response of the jacket structure under extreme environmental loading conditions. Analysis procedures are discussed and the selections of critical member for further analysis are also discussed. Results from the structural simulation studies in term of base shear and overturning moment, and the utilisation ratio of structural members are presented.

Chapter Six investigates the sensitivity of jacket structure to uncertainties in parameters used in design. The parameters which considered in this study are wave theory, force coefficients, wave height and period, current and its profile, wave-current interaction and wind. The design parameters were varied one at a time, within appropriate ranges, and the effects of parameters which were considered to be the measures of static strength was calculated.

In Chapter Seven, a series of nonlinear finite element analysis was carried out to determine both the absolute load capacity of the joint, the effect of the out-of-plane loads and braces and relate these back to the strength of the critical brace acting as Y joint. This study presents the analytical methods and results together with a calibration of the analysis against test data for Y joints.

Chapter Eight describes the modelling of Y joint using finite element and is subjected to simple loadings of the axial, in-plane bending and out-of-plane bending types, applied separately to the joints. The stress concentration factors and its distributions obtained from the analyses were compared with the predictions of other previously published parametric equations.

Chapter Nine presents the overall discussion on the research works which emphasises on the environmental modelling, structural analysis, sensitivity of jacket structure, strength assessment of a multiplanar joint and stress concentration factor for Y joint.

The thesis is concluded in Chapter Ten, which comprises of the summary of the works and recommendations for further research.

REFERENCES

- Allender, J.H. and Petrauskas, C. (1987). Measured and Predicted Wave Plus Current Loading on a Laboratory–Scale, Space Frame Structure. *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. April 27–30. Houston: OTC, 143–151.
- American Petroleum Institute (1993). *Recommended Practice for Planning, Designing and Constructing Fixed Offshore Platforms–Working Stress Design*. 20th ed. Washington: API.
- American Welding Society D1.1–86 (1986). *Structural Welding Code*. 10th ed. Miami: AWS.
- Arief, S. and Wells, R. (1985). *Report on the Malaysian Petroleum Industry*. Australia: Rosecons.
- Atkins Oil and Gas Engineering Ltd. (1988). *Sensitivity of Shallow Water Jacket Structures to Uncertainties in Environmental Loading*. London: HMSO.
- Bartrop, N. P. D., Mitchell, G. M. and Atkins, J. B. (1990). *Fluid Loading on Fixed Offshore Structures*. London: Department of Energy.
- Bea, R.G. and Lai, N.W. (1978). Hydrodynamic Loadings on Offshore Structures. *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 8–11. Houston: OTC, 155–168.
- Borgman, L.E. (1963). Risk Criteria. *Journal of Waterways and Harbors Division*. 89(WW3): 1–35.

- Carter, D. J. T. and Challenor, P. G. (1983). Methods of Fitting the Fisher–Tippett Type 1 Extreme Value Distribution. *Ocean Engineering*. 10(3): 191–199.
- Chakrabarti S.K. (1987). *Hydrodynamics of Offshore Structures*. Boston: Computational Mechanics Publications.
- Chakrabarti, S. K. (1990). *Nonlinear Methods in Offshore Engineering*. New York: Elsevier.
- Dalrymple, R. A. (1974). Models for Nonlinear Water Waves on Shear Currents. *Proceedings of the 6th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 6–8. Houston: OTC, 843–856.
- Dawson, T. H. (1983). *Offshore Structural Engineering*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Dean, R.G. (1965). Stream Function Representation of Nonlinear Ocean Waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 70(18): 4561–4572.
- Dean, R. G. (1970). Relative Validities of Water Wave Theories. *Journal of Waterways, Harbors and Coastal Engineering Division*. 96(WWI): 105–119.
- Driver, D. B., Borgman, L. E. and Bole, J. B. (1994). Typhoon Wind, Wave and Current Directionality in the South China Sea. *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 2–5. Houston: OTC, 359–365.
- Dutta, D. (1996). Parameters Influencing the Stress Concentration Factors in Joints in Offshore Structure. In: Dover, W. D. and Madhava Rao, A. G. eds. *Fatigue in Offshore Structures (Volume 1)*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema. 77–128.
- Efthymiou, M. and Durkin, S. (1985). Stress Concentrations in T/Y and Gap/Overlap K–Joints. *Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Behaviour of Offshore Structures*. July 1–5. Delft: BOSS, 429–440.

- Efthymiou, M. and Graham, C.G. (1990). Environmental Loading on Fixed Offshore Platforms. *Environmental Forces on Offshore Structures and their Prediction*. November 28–29. Netherlands: SUT, 293–320.
- Gaythwaite, J. (1981). *The Marine Environment and Structural Design*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
- Graff, W. J. (1981). *Introduction to Offshore Structures: Design, Fabrication and Installation*. Houston: Gulf Publishing Company.
- Gringorten, I. (1963). A Plotting Rule for Extreme Probability Paper. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 68(3): 813–814.
- Gudmestad, O. T. and Karunakaran, D. (1990). Wave Current Interaction. *Environmental Forces on Offshore Structures and Their Prediction*. November 28–29. Netherlands: SUT, 81–110.
- Guedes Soares, C. and Henriques, A. C. (1996). Statistical Uncertainty in Long–Term Distributions of Significant Wave Height. *Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Artic Engineering*. 118: 284–291.
- Guedes Soares, C. and Scotto, M. (2001). Modelling Uncertainty in Long–Term Predictions of Significant Wave Height. *Ocean Engineering*. 28: 329–342.
- Gumbel, E.J. (1958). *Statistics of Extremes*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Haring, R. E. and Heideman, J. C. (1980). Gulf of Mexico Rare Wave Return Periods. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*. 32(1): 35–47.
- Healy, B. E. and Buitrago, J. (1994). Extrapolation Procedures for Determining SCFs in Mid–Surface Tubular Joint Models. In: Grundy, P., Holgate, A. and Wong, B. eds. *Tubular Structures (VI)*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema. 651–659.

- Hellier, A. K., Connolly, M. P. and Dover, W. D. (1990a). Stress Concentration Factors for Tubular Y- and T-Joints. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 12(1): 13–23.
- Hellier, A. K., Connolly, M. P., Kare, R.F. and Dover, W. D. (1990b). Prediction of the Stress Distribution in Tubular Y- and T-Joints. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 12(1): 25–33.
- Hogben, N. (1976). Wave Loads on Structures. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Behaviour of Offshore Structures*. August 2–5. Norwegian: BOSS, 187–219.
- Irons, B. M. (1976). The Semiloof Shell Element. In: Ashwell, D. G. and Gallagher, R. H. eds. *Finite Elements for Thin Shells and Curved Members*. London: Wiley. 197–222.
- Isaacson, M. de St. Q. and Maull, D. J. (1976). Transverse Forces on Vertical Cylinders in Waves. *Journal of Waterways, Harbors and Coastal Engineering Division*. 102(WW1): 49–60.
- Knight, R. M. and Daniel, J. J. S. (1993). World Trends in Major Offshore Structures 1970–1999. *Proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Offshore 93: Installation of Major Offshore Structures and Equipments*. February 17–18. London: IMarE/RINA, 17–23.
- Kohnke, P. (1992). *ANSYS User's Manual for Revision 5.0, Volume IV: Theory*. Houston: Swanson Analysis Systems, Inc.
- Lalani, M. (1992). Developments in Tubular Joints Technology for Offshore Structures. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 14–19. San Francisco: ISOPE, 260–274.
- Leick, R. D. and Potvin, A. B. (1977). Automated Mesh Generation for Tubular Joint Stress Analysis. *International Journal Computers and Structures*. 7(1): 73–91.

- Le Mehaute, B. (1976). *An Introduction to Hydrodynamics and Water Waves*. Dusseldorf: Springer-Verlag.
- Liaw, C. Y., Litton, R. W. and Reimer, R. B. (1976). Improved Finite Elements for Analysis of Welded Tubular Joints. *Proceedings of 8th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 3–6. Houston: OTC, 267–282.
- Lloyd's Register of Shipping (1988). *Sensitivity of Fixed Offshore Platforms to Uncertainties in Design Parameters*. London: HMSO.
- Madhava Rao, A. G. and Raghava, G. (1996). An Overview of Offshore Structures. In: Dover, W. D. and Madhava Rao, A. G. eds. *Fatigue in Offshore Structures (Volume 1)*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema. 1–20.
- Marshall, P. W. and Toprac, A. A. (1974). Basis for Tubular Joint Design. *Welding Journal*. 53(5): 192s–201s.
- Marshall, P. W. (1986). Tubular Joint Design. In: McClelland, B and Reifel, M. D. eds. *Planning and Design of Fixed Offshore Platforms*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. 624–691.
- Morison, J. R., O'Brien, M. P., Johnson, J. W. and Schaaf, S. A. (1950). The Force Exerted by Surface Waves on Piles. *Petroleum Transactions*. 189: 149–157.
- Muga, B. J. and Wilson, J. F. (1970). *Dynamic Analysis of Ocean Structures*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Muir, L. R. and El-Shaarawi, A. H. (1986). On the Calculation of Extreme Wave Heights: A Review. *Ocean Engineering*. 13(1): 93–118.
- Pagrut, P. and Deo, M. C. (1992). Extreme Wave Height Estimates. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 14–19. San Francisco: ISOPE, 186–193.

- Patel, M. H. (1990). Offshore Structures. In: Morgan, N. ed. *Marine Technology Reference Book*. London: Butterworth.
- Peregrine, D. H. (1976). Interaction of Water Waves and Currents. *Advances in Applied Mechanics*. 16: 9–117.
- Petrauskas, C. and Aagaard, P. M. (1971). Extrapolation of Historical Storm Data for Estimating Design–Wave Heights. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*. 11(1): 23–37.
- Potvin, A. B., Kuang, J. G., Leick, R. D. and Kahlick, J. L. (1977). Stress Concentration in Tubular Joints. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*. 29: 287–299.
- Puthli, R. S., van Foeken, R. J. and Romeijn, A. (1996). Guidelines on the Determination of Stress Concentration Factors of Circular and Rectangular Hollow Section Joints. In: Dover, W. D. and Madhava Rao, A. G. eds. *Fatigue in Offshore Structures (Volume 1)*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema. 129–154.
- Ramachandra Murthy, D. S. (1996). Static and Fatigue Strength of Steel Tubular Joints. In: Dover, W. D. and Madhava Rao, A. G. eds. *Fatigue in Offshore Structures (Volume 1)*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema. 311–333.
- Reeves, H. W. (1975). *An Overview of the Offshore Platform Industry*. New England Section: SNAME.
- Rodenbusch, G. and Kallstrom, C. (1986). Forces on a Large Cylinder in Random Two–Dimensional Flows. *Proceedings of 18th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 5–8. Houston: OTC.
- Sarpkaya, T. (1976). In–Line and Transverse Forces on Cylinders in Oscillatory Flow at High Reynolds Numbers. *Proceedings of 8th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 3–6. Houston: OTC, 95–108.

- Sarpkaya, T and Isaacson, M. (1981). *Mechanics of Wave Forces on Offshore Structures*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Sharma, J. N. and Grosskopf, W. G. (1994). Practical Considerations in Preparing Extreme Wind, Wave, and Current Criteria. *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 2–5. Houston: OTC, 377–386.
- Skjelbreia, L. and Hendrickson, J. A. (1961). Fifth–Order Gravity Wave Theory. *Proceedings of the 7th Conference on Coastal Engineering*. Netherlands: ASCE, 184–196.
- Smedley, P. and Fisher, P. (1991). Stress Concentration Factors for Simple Tubular Joints. *Proceeding of the 1st International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. August 11–16. Edinburgh: ISOPE, 475-483.
- Sterndorff, M. J., Velk, P. and Klinting, P. (1990). Coupled Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Hydrodynamic Forces on a Jacket in Waves. *Environmental Forces on Offshore Structures and Their Prediction*. November 28–29. Netherlands: SUT, 203–219.
- Swanson Analysis System (1992). *ANSYS User's Manual for Revision 5.0, Volume III: Elements*. Houston: Swanson Analysis Systems, Inc.
- Tucker, M. J. (1991). *Waves in Ocean Engineering: Measurements, Analysis, Interpretation*. Chichester: Ellis Horwood Ltd.
- Underwater Engineering Group (1985). *Design of Tubular Joints for Offshore Structures*. London: UEG Publication UR33.
- van Vledder, G. P. and Zitman, T. J. (1992). Design Waves: Statistics and Engineering Practice. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 14–19. San Francisco: ISOPE, 170–178.

- Visser, W. (1974). On the Structural Design of Tubular Joints. *Proceedings of the 6th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 6–8. Houston: OTC, 881–894.
- Vugts, J. H. (1979). A Review of Hydrodynamic Loads on Offshore Structures and Their Formulation. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Behaviour of Offshore Structures*. August 28–31. London: BOSS, 693–708.
- Ward, J. K. and Billington, C. J. (1992). Strength Assessment of a Multiplanar Joint in a North Sea Jacket Structure. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 14–19. San Francisco: ISOPE, 369–376.
- Watt, B. J. (1978). Basic Structural Systems—A Review of Their Design and Analysis Requirements. In: Zienkiewicz, O. C., Lewis, R. W. and Stagg, K. G. eds. *Numerical Methods in Offshore Engineering*. Chichester: Wiley. 1–42.
- Wiegel, R. L. (1964). *Oceanographical Engineering*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Wimpey Offshore (1989). *Background to New Static Strength Guidance for Tubular Joints in Steel Offshore Structures*. London: HMSO.
- Wordsworth, A. C. (1981). Stress Concentration Factors at K and KT Tubular Joints. *Conference on Fatigue in Offshore Structural Steels*. February 24–25. London: Thomas Telford Ltd, 59–66.
- Yao, G. Q., Ding, B. C., Wang, J. Y. and Ma, Z. X. (1993). Statistic Analysis of Wave Parameters for the China Sea. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 6–11. Singapore: ISOPE, 22–26.
- Yura, J. A. and Zettlemyer, N. (1980). Ultimate Capacity Equations for Tubular Joints. *Proceedings of the 12th Annual Offshore Technology Conference*. May 5–8. Houston: OTC, 113–126.