

EFFECTIVENESS OF MONORAIL TRANSPORTATION  
IN KUALA LUMPUR

ZULHILMI BIN HARMAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirement for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil – Transportation and Highway)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

Specially dedicate to my beloved father Harman Bin Mat Rafar and my beloved mother Zainab Mohd Noah and also my sibling Zulhairi Bin Harman.  
Thanks you for your support, sacrifice and loving me.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, thanks to Allah for with His grace I can accomplish this project successfully. Here I want give full appreciation and thank to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Johnnie Ben Edigbe, for all the assistance and cooperation during the completion of this report.

A lot of thank to Ismail Bin Mamyudi, Head of Operation, Mazuwin Binti Mat Daut, Executive of Operation Planning and Logistic, Ganesh Pramaguru, Vehicle Maintenance Engineer, Jaafar Md Amin, Head of Train Operations, Jasry Shamsul Bin Mohd Saari, Head of Infrastructure Maintenance and Engineering, Mohd Ridzuan Bin Mohd Iklas, Head of Control Centre and Nor Azahar Bin Ramli, Head of Safety and Security that involved in accomplishing this report. All the cooperation and commitment have eased me to complete this report.

Lastly, I dedicate my gratitude to all my colleague and those involved directly and indirectly that have helped and encouraged me to finish this report. The goodwill, discretion and sacrifice will be remembered. Thank you.

## ABSTRACT

In Kuala Lumpur the Government of Malaysia is investing on several public transports including KL Monorail. Monorail is a transportation system based on a single rail, which act as its sole support and its guide way. The purpose of the study is to assess the effectiveness of monorail transportation system performance in Kuala Lumpur through the capacity analysis and quality of service evaluation of the train those it look at user's perception and experience by using the system. Data on passenger load of the KL Monorail obviously showing a pattern according to direction and Passenger load with the highest number recorded at Raja Chulan station during AM peak hour period for both directions. Capacities of the monorail are 2493 p/hr for KLS to TSA direction and 2691 p/hr for TSA to KLS direction. Research found that, more than one segment facing over limits on the passenger demand compared to the ability. There are many of the segments of the KL Monorail service track are facing LOS of E and F. Regarding to the founding, some suggestion improvement that can be apply to increase the effectiveness of monorail transportation system performance in Kuala Lumpur in context of transferring people along their track service.

## ABSTRAK

Di Kuala Lumpur kerajaan Malaysia telah melabur ke atas beberapa pengangkutan awam oleh, seperti monorel atau nama komersial KL Monorel. Monorel adalah satu sistem pengangkutan berasaskan rel yang berdasarkan rel tunggal, yang bertindak sebagai sokongan dan arah panduan. Tujuan kajian adalah untuk menilai keberkesanan prestasi sistem pengangkutan monorel di Kuala Lumpur melalui analisis kapasiti dan kualiti penilaian perkhidmatan kereta api yang dilihat pada persepsi dan pengalaman pengguna sepanjang menggunakan system ini. Berdasarkan data beban penumpang KL Monorail jelas menunjukkan corak yang mengikut arahan laluan dan beban penumpang dengan jumlah tertinggi dicatatkan di stesen Raja Chulan semasa waktu puncak Pagi bagi kedua-dua arah. Kapasiti monorel dari KLS kepada arahan TSA ialah 2493 p/jam dan 2691 p/jam untuk TSA ke arah KLS. Penyelidikan mendapati bahawa lebih daripada satu segmen laluan menghadapi lebih had permintaan penumpang berbanding kepada keupayaan. Terdapat banyak segmen laluan perkhidmatan KL Monorail menghadapi LOS E dan LOF F. berdasarkan dapatan kajian, beberapa cadangan penambahbaikan yang boleh diaplikasikan untuk meningkatkan keberkesanan prestasi sistem pengangkutan monorel di Kuala Lumpur dalam konteks memindahkan pengguna disepanjang laluan perkhidmatan.

## CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGES
	<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	
	<b>DECLARATION OF SUPERVISOR</b>	
	<b>TITLE</b>	i
	<b>ADMISSION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDIX</b>	xxvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	3
	1.5 Significant of Study	3
	1.6 Limitation of Study	4

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Kuala Lumpur (KL)	5
2.3	Transportation System in Kuala Lumpur	6
2.4	Public Transportation Performance and Level of Service	8
2.5	Level of Service (LOS)	10
2.6	Concept of Capacity	11
2.6.1	Dwell Time	15
2.6.2	Capacity Utilization	17
2.7	Concept of Quality of Service	18
2.7.1	Availability	19
2.7.2	Comfort and Convenience	20
2.7.3	Quality of Service and Level of Service (LOS)	20
2.8	Quality of Service Factor	21
2.8.1	Availability Factors	21
2.8.1.1	Service Coverage	21
2.8.1.2	Scheduling	22
2.8.1.3	Capacity	22
2.8.1.4	Information	22
2.8.2	Comfort and Convenience Factors	22
2.8.2.1	Passenger Loading	22
2.8.2.2	Reliability	23
2.8.2.3	Travel Time	23
2.8.2.4	Safety and Security	23
2.8.2.5	Cost	24
2.8.2.6	Appearance and Comfort	24
2.9	Development of Monorail Technology	24
2.10	Monorail System in Asia Region	25
2.10.1	Chiang Mai Zoo in Bangkok, Thailand	25
2.10.2	Sentosa in Singapore	25
2.10.3	Shanghai in China	26

	2.10.4 Palm Jumeirah in United Arab Emirates	26
	2.10.5 Wolmido Monorail Incheon in South Korea	26
2.11	Type of Monorail	27
2.12	KL Monorail in Kuala Lumpur	28
	2.12.1 Monorail Characteristics	29
	2.12.2 Monorail Vehicles	30
	2.12.3 Track Guideway and Network	34
	2.12.4 Signal and Communication System	35
	2.12.5 Control System	36
	2.12.6 Charge Rate	37
	2.12.7 Trains Schedule and Trains Operations	37
	2.12.8 Safety and Security Features	39
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Location Study	41
3.3	Equipment Used for Data Collection	41
3.4	Data Collection Method	42
	3.4.1 Determine the Maximum Passenger Load at Stop Station	42
	3.4.2 Determine the Dwell Time at Stop Station	42
	3.4.3 Determine the Headway	43
	3.4.4 Determine the Person Capacity of Monorail	43
	3.4.5 Determine the Standing Passengers Area	43
	3.4.6 Structured Interview	44
3.5	Analysis Method	45
	3.5.1 Level of Service Analysis	45



	3.5.1.1 Develop the Passenger on Board Ranges	46
	3.5.1.2 Develop the Loading Factor Ranges	46
	3.5.2 Satisfaction of Passenger Analysis	46
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Passenger Load of KL Monorail	47
4.2.1	Passenger Load on Track Segments	47
4.2.2	Passenger Load of Segment I (Between KLS and TUN)	48
4.2.3	Passenger Load of Segment II (Between TUN and MAH)	58
4.2.4	Passenger Load of Segment III (Between MAH and HAH)	68
4.2.5	Passenger Load of Segment IV (Between HAH and IBI)	78
4.2.6	Passenger Load of Segment V (Between IBI and BNG)	88
4.2.7	Passenger Load of Segment VI (Between BNG and RAN)	98
4.2.8	Passenger Load of Segment VII (Between RAN and BAS)	108
4.2.9	Passenger Load of Segment VIII (Between BAS and MKU)	118
4.2.10	Passenger Load of Segment IX (Between MKU and CIT)	128
4.2.11	Passenger Load of Segment X (Between CIT and TSA)	138
4.2.12	Passenger Load of Segment I (Between KLS and TUN)	148

4.2.13	Reference Passenger Load of Segment II (Between TUN and MAH)	150
4.2.14	Reference Passenger Load of Segment III (Between MAH and HAH)	152
4.2.15	Reference Passenger Load of Segment IV (Between HAH and IBI)	154
4.2.16	Reference Passenger Load of Segment V (Between IBI and BNG)	156
4.2.17	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VI (Between BNG and RAN)	158
4.2.18	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VII (Between RAN and BAS)	160
4.2.19	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VIII (Between BAS and MKU)	162
4.2.20	Reference Passenger Load of Segment IX (Between MKU and CIT)	164
4.2.21	Reference Passenger Load of Segment X (Between CIT and TSA)	166
4.2.22	Reference Passenger Load of All Segment (Between KLS and TSA)	168
4.3	Person Capacity of KL Monorail	174
4.3.1	Dwell Time	174
4.3.2	Headway	177
4.3.3	Person Capacity	178
4.4	Quality of Service and Level of Service	179
4.4.1	Quality of Service Survey	179
4.4.1.1	KL Monorail Service Demand	179
4.4.1.2	Service Coverage	180
4.4.1.3	Scheduling	182
4.4.1.4	Capacity	182
4.4.1.5	Information	183
4.4.1.6	Passenger Load	183
4.4.1.7	Reliability	184

	4.4.1.8 Travel Time	184
	4.4.1.9 Safety and Security	184
	4.4.1.10 Cost	185
	4.4.1.11 Appearance and Comfort	185
	4.4.2 Level of Service	186
	4.4.2.1 LOS Range	186
	4.4.2.2 LOS at Peak Hour	188
	4.5 Person Capacity and Passenger Load Demand	201
	4.6 Rescheduling	203
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	211
	5.1 Conclusion	211
	5.2 Recommendations	212
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	213
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	217

## LIST OF TABLE

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
Table 2.1	Transit Capacity Factors (TRB, 2003)	15
Table 2.2	Rail Transit Average Passenger Flow Times (Single Streams) (TRB, 2002)	17
Table 2.3	Standing Passenger Area LOS (TRB, 2003)	21
Table 2.4	Frequency LOS (TRB, 2003)	21
Table 2.5	Passenger Capacity at Time Base (Nor Azura, 2005)	32
Table 2.6	Fares Charges Rate of KL Monorail System	37
Table 2.7	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Monday to Friday	38
Table 2.8	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Saturday	38
Table 2.9	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Sunday	38
Table 3.1	Likert Scales of Consumer Responses	45
Table 4.1	Door opening and closing time by Direction (s)	174
Table 4.2	Alighting passengers per rail through four doors (KLS to TSA Direction)	175
Table 4.3	Alighting passengers per rail through four doors (TSA to KLS Direction)	176
Table 4.4	Dwell Time by Direction	177
Table 4.5	Time Clearance between Successive Train Unit by Direction	177
Table 4.6	Computed Minimum Headway KL Monorail Service by Direction	178
Table 4.7	Person Capacity of KL Monorail on both Directions	178
Table 4.8	Standing Area of Monorail	187
Table 4.9	Total Passengers LOS based on KLS to TSA Direction	187

Table 4.10	Total Passengers LOS based on TSA to KLS Direction	187
Table 4.11	Loading Factor, $\alpha$ LOS based on KLS to TSA Direction	187
Table 4.12	Loading Factor, $\alpha$ LOS based on TSA to KLS Direction	188
Table 4.13	LOS at AM Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	189
Table 4.14	LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	191
Table 4.15	LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	193
Table 4.16	LOS at AM Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	195
Table 4.17	LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	197
Table 4.18	LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	199
Table 4.19	Information for KLS to TSA Direction	204
Table 4.20	Information for TSA to KLS Direction	205
Table 4.21	Calculation of Headway and Frequency for KLS to TSA Direction	208
Table 4.22	Calculation of Headway and Frequency for TSA to KLS Direction	209
Table 4.23	New Schedule Suggestion for KLS to TSA Direction	210
Table 4.24	New Schedule Suggestion for TSA to KLS Direction	210

## LIST OF FIGURE

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
Figure 2.1	Ranking of Sub-Areas in the Kuala Lumpur Federal Territory According to the Draft Structure Plan (Lee, 1983).	6
Figure 2.2	Transit Performance Measure Categories and Examples (TRB, 2003)	9
Figure 2.3	Straddle Monorail develop by Alweg (Joseph, 1963)	27
Figure 2.4	Variety of suspended type of monorail. Top figure are show the monorail that suspended above of the rail, however below figure show the suspended monorail supported at below of the rail (Joseph, 1963).	28
Figure 2.5	Drawing of KL Monorail	31
Figure 2.6	Space for KL Monorail Operator	32
Figure 2.7	Passenger able to move from the one monorail vehicle to other vehicle	33
Figure 2.8	KL Monorail Vehicles	33
Figure 2.9	Kuala Lumpur Rail Transit System	35
Figure 3.1	Reach Methodology Flow Chart	41
Figure 4.1	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Monday)	48
Figure 4.2	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Monday)	49
Figure 4.3	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS to TUN (Tuesday)	50
Figure 4.4	Passenger Load at Peak Hour from between KLS	51

	and TUN (Tuesday)	
Figure 4.5	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Wednesday)	52
Figure 4.6	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Wednesday)	53
Figure 4.7	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Thursday)	54
Figure 4.8	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Thursday)	55
Figure 4.9	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Friday)	56
Figure 4.10	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Friday)	57
Figure 4.11	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Monday)	58
Figure 4.12	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Monday)	59
Figure 4.13	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Tuesday)	60
Figure 4.14	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Tuesday)	61
Figure 4.15	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Wednesday)	62
Figure 4.16	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Wednesday)	63
Figure 4.17	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Thursday)	64
Figure 4.18	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Thursday)	65
Figure 4.19	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Friday)	66
Figure 4.20	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Friday)	67

Figure 4.21	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH to HAH (Monday)	68
Figure 4.22	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH to HAH (Monday)	69
Figure 4.23	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH to HAH (Tuesday)	70
Figure 4.24	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Tuesday)	71
Figure 4.25	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Wednesday)	72
Figure 4.26	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Wednesday)	73
Figure 4.27	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Thursday)	74
Figure 4.28	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Thursday)	75
Figure 4.29	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Friday)	76
Figure 4.30	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Friday)	77
Figure 4.31	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Monday)	78
Figure 4.32	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH to IBI (Monday)	79
Figure 4.33	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Tuesday)	80
Figure 4.34	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Tuesday)	81
Figure 4.35	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Wednesday)	82
Figure 4.36	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Wednesday)	83



Figure 4.37	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Thursday)	84
Figure 4.38	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Thursday)	85
Figure 4.39	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Friday)	86
Figure 4.40	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Friday)	87
Figure 4.41	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Monday)	88
Figure 4.42	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Monday)	89
Figure 4.43	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Tuesday)	90
Figure 4.44	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Tuesday)	91
Figure 4.45	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Wednesday)	92
Figure 4.46	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Wednesday)	93
Figure 4.47	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Thursday)	94
Figure 4.48	Passenger Load at Peak Hour from IBI to BNG (Thursday)	95
Figure 4.49	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Friday)	96
Figure 4.50	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Friday)	97
Figure 4.51	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Monday)	98
Figure 4.52	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Monday)	99

Figure 4.53	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Tuesday)	100
Figure 4.54	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Tuesday)	101
Figure 4.55	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Wednesday)	102
Figure 4.56	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Wednesday)	103
Figure 4.57	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Thursday)	104
Figure 4.58	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Thursday)	105
Figure 4.59	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Friday)	106
Figure 4.60	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Friday)	107
Figure 4.61	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Monday)	108
Figure 4.62	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Monday)	109
Figure 4.63	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Tuesday)	110
Figure 4.64	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Tuesday)	111
Figure 4.65	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Wednesday)	112
Figure 4.66	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Wednesday)	113
Figure 4.67	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Thursday)	114
Figure 4.68	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Thursday)	115

Figure 4.69	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Friday)	116
Figure 4.70	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Friday)	117
Figure 4.71	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Monday)	118
Figure 4.72	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Monday)	119
Figure 4.73	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Tuesday)	120
Figure 4.74	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Tuesday)	121
Figure 4.75	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Wednesday)	122
Figure 4.76	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Wednesday)	123
Figure 4.77	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Thursday)	124
Figure 4.78	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Thursday)	125
Figure 4.79	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Friday)	126
Figure 4.80	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Friday)	127
Figure 4.81	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Monday)	128
Figure 4.82	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Monday)	129
Figure 4.83	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Tuesday)	130
Figure 4.84	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Tuesday)	131

Figure 4.85	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Wednesday)	132
Figure 4.86	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Wednesday)	133
Figure 4.87	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Thursday)	134
Figure 4.88	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Thursday)	135
Figure 4.89	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Friday)	136
Figure 4.90	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Friday)	137
Figure 4.91	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Monday)	138
Figure 4.92	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Monday)	139
Figure 4.93	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Tuesday)	140
Figure 4.94	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Tuesday)	141
Figure 4.95	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Wednesday)	142
Figure 4.96	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Wednesday)	143
Figure 4.97	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Thursday)	144
Figure 4.98	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Thursday)	145
Figure 4.99	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Friday)	146
Figure 4.100	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Friday)	147

Figure 4.101	Peak Hour Passenger Load from KLS to TUN	148
Figure 4.102	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TUN to KLS	148
Figure 4.103	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment I	149
Figure 4.104	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment I	149
Figure 4.105	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TUN to MAH	150
Figure 4.106	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MAH to TUN	150
Figure 4.107	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment II	151
Figure 4.108	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment II	151
Figure 4.109	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MAH to HAH	152
Figure 4.110	Peak Hour Passenger Load from HAH to MAH	152
Figure 4.111	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment III	153
Figure 4.112	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment III	153
Figure 4.113	Peak Hour Passenger Load from HAH to IBI	154
Figure 4.114	Peak Hour Passenger Load from IBI to HAH	154
Figure 4.115	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IV	155
Figure 4.116	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IV	155
Figure 4.117	Peak Hour Passenger Load from IBI to BNG	156
Figure 4.118	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BNG to IBI	156
Figure 4.119	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment V	157
Figure 4.120	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment V	157
Figure 4.121	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BNG to RAN	158
Figure 4.122	Peak Hour Passenger Load from RAN to BNG	158

Figure 4.123	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VI	159
Figure 4.124	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VI	159
Figure 4.125	Peak Hour Passenger Load from RAN to BAS	160
Figure 4.126	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BAS to RAN	160
Figure 4.127	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VII	161
Figure 4.128	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VII	161
Figure 4.129	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BAS to MKU	162
Figure 4.130	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MKU to BAS	162
Figure 4.131	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VIII	163
Figure 4.132	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VIII	163
Figure 4.133	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MKU to CIT	164
Figure 4.134	Peak Hour Passenger Load from CIT to MKU	164
Figure 4.135	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IX	165
Figure 4.136	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IX	165
Figure 4.137	Peak Hour Passenger Load from CIT to TSA	166
Figure 4.138	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TSA to CIT	166
Figure 4.139	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment X	167
Figure 4.140	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment X	167
Figure 4.141	AM Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	168
Figure 4.142	PM Afternoon Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	169

Figure 4.143	PM Evening Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	169
Figure 4.144	AM Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	171
Figure 4.145	PM Afternoon Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	172
Figure 4.146	PM Evening Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	172
Figure 4.147	Service catchment area, hard green showing area with walking distance and light green showing area with design station distance for each station	181
Figure 4.148	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at AM Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	190
Figure 4.149	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	192
Figure 4.150	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	194
Figure 4.151	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at AM Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	196
Figure 4.152	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS	198
Figure 4.153	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	200

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>FULL NAME</b>
LOS	- Level of Service
PHF	- Peak Hour Factor
KL	- Kuala Lumpur
KTMB	- Keretapi Tanah Melayu Berhad
ERL	- Express Rail Link
KLS	- KL Sentral
TUN	- Tun Sambanthan Station
MAH	- Maharajalela Station
HAH	- Hang Tuah Station
IBI	- Imbi Station Station
BNG	- Bukit Bintang Station
RAN	- Raja Chulan Station
BAS	- Bukit Nenas Station
MKU	- Medan Tuanku Station
CIT	- Chow Kit Station
TSA	- Titiwangsa Station



**LIST OF APPENDIX**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
1	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire English Version	217
2	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire Malay Version	218
3	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire (SSSQ) Result	219
4	Application for Data	226
5	Application of Research	227
6	Raw Data of Passenger Load	228

## CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGES
	<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	
	<b>DECLARATION OF SUPERVISOR</b>	
	<b>TITLE</b>	i
	<b>ADMISSION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDIX</b>	xxvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	3
	1.5 Significant of Study	3
	1.6 Limitation of Study	4

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Kuala Lumpur (KL)	5
2.3	Transportation System in Kuala Lumpur	6
2.4	Public Transportation Performance and Level of Service	8
2.5	Level of Service (LOS)	10
2.6	Concept of Capacity	11
2.6.1	Dwell Time	15
2.6.2	Capacity Utilization	17
2.7	Concept of Quality of Service	18
2.7.1	Availability	19
2.7.2	Comfort and Convenience	20
2.7.3	Quality of Service and Level of Service (LOS)	20
2.8	Quality of Service Factor	21
2.8.1	Availability Factors	21
2.8.1.1	Service Coverage	21
2.8.1.2	Scheduling	22
2.8.1.3	Capacity	22
2.8.1.4	Information	22
2.8.2	Comfort and Convenience Factors	22
2.8.2.1	Passenger Loading	22
2.8.2.2	Reliability	23
2.8.2.3	Travel Time	23
2.8.2.4	Safety and Security	23
2.8.2.5	Cost	24
2.8.2.6	Appearance and Comfort	24
2.9	Development of Monorail Technology	24
2.10	Monorail System in Asia Region	25
2.10.1	Chiang Mai Zoo in Bangkok, Thailand	25
2.10.2	Sentosa in Singapore	25
2.10.3	Shanghai in China	26

	2.10.4 Palm Jumeirah in United Arab Emirates	26
	2.10.5 Wolmido Monorail Incheon in South Korea	26
2.11	Type of Monorail	27
2.12	KL Monorail in Kuala Lumpur	28
	2.12.1 Monorail Characteristics	29
	2.12.2 Monorail Vehicles	30
	2.12.3 Track Guideway and Network	34
	2.12.4 Signal and Communication System	35
	2.12.5 Control System	36
	2.12.6 Charge Rate	37
	2.12.7 Trains Schedule and Trains Operations	37
	2.12.8 Safety and Security Features	39
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Location Study	41
3.3	Equipment Used for Data Collection	41
3.4	Data Collection Method	42
	3.4.1 Determine the Maximum Passenger Load at Stop Station	42
	3.4.2 Determine the Dwell Time at Stop Station	42
	3.4.3 Determine the Headway	43
	3.4.4 Determine the Person Capacity of Monorail	43
	3.4.5 Determine the Standing Passengers Area	43
	3.4.6 Structured Interview	44
3.5	Analysis Method	45
	3.5.1 Level of Service Analysis	45

	3.5.1.1 Develop the Passenger on Board Ranges	46
	3.5.1.2 Develop the Loading Factor Ranges	46
	3.5.2 Satisfaction of Passenger Analysis	46
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Passenger Load of KL Monorail	47
4.2.1	Passenger Load on Track Segments	47
4.2.2	Passenger Load of Segment I (Between KLS and TUN)	48
4.2.3	Passenger Load of Segment II (Between TUN and MAH)	58
4.2.4	Passenger Load of Segment III (Between MAH and HAH)	68
4.2.5	Passenger Load of Segment IV (Between HAH and IBI)	78
4.2.6	Passenger Load of Segment V (Between IBI and BNG)	88
4.2.7	Passenger Load of Segment VI (Between BNG and RAN)	98
4.2.8	Passenger Load of Segment VII (Between RAN and BAS)	108
4.2.9	Passenger Load of Segment VIII (Between BAS and MKU)	118
4.2.10	Passenger Load of Segment IX (Between MKU and CIT)	128
4.2.11	Passenger Load of Segment X (Between CIT and TSA)	138
4.2.12	Passenger Load of Segment I (Between KLS and TUN)	148

4.2.13	Reference Passenger Load of Segment II (Between TUN and MAH)	150
4.2.14	Reference Passenger Load of Segment III (Between MAH and HAH)	152
4.2.15	Reference Passenger Load of Segment IV (Between HAH and IBI)	154
4.2.16	Reference Passenger Load of Segment V (Between IBI and BNG)	156
4.2.17	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VI (Between BNG and RAN)	158
4.2.18	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VII (Between RAN and BAS)	160
4.2.19	Reference Passenger Load of Segment VIII (Between BAS and MKU)	162
4.2.20	Reference Passenger Load of Segment IX (Between MKU and CIT)	164
4.2.21	Reference Passenger Load of Segment X (Between CIT and TSA)	166
4.2.22	Reference Passenger Load of All Segment (Between KLS and TSA)	168
4.3	Person Capacity of KL Monorail	174
4.3.1	Dwell Time	174
4.3.2	Headway	177
4.3.3	Person Capacity	178
4.4	Quality of Service and Level of Service	179
4.4.1	Quality of Service Survey	179
4.4.1.1	KL Monorail Service Demand	179
4.4.1.2	Service Coverage	180
4.4.1.3	Scheduling	182
4.4.1.4	Capacity	182
4.4.1.5	Information	183
4.4.1.6	Passenger Load	183
4.4.1.7	Reliability	184

	4.4.1.8 Travel Time	184
	4.4.1.9 Safety and Security	184
	4.4.1.10 Cost	185
	4.4.1.11 Appearance and Comfort	185
	4.4.2 Level of Service	186
	4.4.2.1 LOS Range	186
	4.4.2.2 LOS at Peak Hour	188
	4.5 Person Capacity and Passenger Load Demand	201
	4.6 Rescheduling	203
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	211
	5.1 Conclusion	211
	5.2 Recommendations	212
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	213
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	217

## LIST OF TABLE

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
Table 2.1	Transit Capacity Factors (TRB, 2003)	15
Table 2.2	Rail Transit Average Passenger Flow Times (Single Streams) (TRB, 2002)	17
Table 2.3	Standing Passenger Area LOS (TRB, 2003)	21
Table 2.4	Frequency LOS (TRB, 2003)	21
Table 2.5	Passenger Capacity at Time Base (Nor Azura, 2005)	32
Table 2.6	Fares Charges Rate of KL Monorail System	37
Table 2.7	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Monday to Friday	38
Table 2.8	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Saturday	38
Table 2.9	KL Monorail Schedule and Frequency on Sunday	38
Table 3.1	Likert Scales of Consumer Responses	45
Table 4.1	Door opening and closing time by Direction (s)	174
Table 4.2	Alighting passengers per rail through four doors (KLS to TSA Direction)	175
Table 4.3	Alighting passengers per rail through four doors (TSA to KLS Direction)	176
Table 4.4	Dwell Time by Direction	177
Table 4.5	Time Clearance between Successive Train Unit by Direction	177
Table 4.6	Computed Minimum Headway KL Monorail Service by Direction	178
Table 4.7	Person Capacity of KL Monorail on both Directions	178
Table 4.8	Standing Area of Monorail	187
Table 4.9	Total Passengers LOS based on KLS to TSA Direction	187



Table 4.10	Total Passengers LOS based on TSA to KLS Direction	187
Table 4.11	Loading Factor, $\alpha$ LOS based on KLS to TSA Direction	187
Table 4.12	Loading Factor, $\alpha$ LOS based on TSA to KLS Direction	188
Table 4.13	LOS at AM Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	189
Table 4.14	LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	191
Table 4.15	LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	193
Table 4.16	LOS at AM Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	195
Table 4.17	LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	197
Table 4.18	LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	199
Table 4.19	Information for KLS to TSA Direction	204
Table 4.20	Information for TSA to KLS Direction	205
Table 4.21	Calculation of Headway and Frequency for KLS to TSA Direction	208
Table 4.22	Calculation of Headway and Frequency for TSA to KLS Direction	209
Table 4.23	New Schedule Suggestion for KLS to TSA Direction	210
Table 4.24	New Schedule Suggestion for TSA to KLS Direction	210

## LIST OF FIGURE

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
Figure 2.1	Ranking of Sub-Areas in the Kuala Lumpur Federal Territory According to the Draft Structure Plan (Lee, 1983).	6
Figure 2.2	Transit Performance Measure Categories and Examples (TRB, 2003)	9
Figure 2.3	Straddle Monorail develop by Alweg (Joseph, 1963)	27
Figure 2.4	Variety of suspended type of monorail. Top figure are show the monorail that suspended above of the rail, however below figure show the suspended monorail supported at below of the rail (Joseph, 1963).	28
Figure 2.5	Drawing of KL Monorail	31
Figure 2.6	Space for KL Monorail Operator	32
Figure 2.7	Passenger able to move from the one monorail vehicle to other vehicle	33
Figure 2.8	KL Monorail Vehicles	33
Figure 2.9	Kuala Lumpur Rail Transit System	35
Figure 3.1	Reach Methodology Flow Chart	41
Figure 4.1	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Monday)	48
Figure 4.2	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Monday)	49
Figure 4.3	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS to TUN (Tuesday)	50
Figure 4.4	Passenger Load at Peak Hour from between KLS	51

	and TUN (Tuesday)	
Figure 4.5	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Wednesday)	52
Figure 4.6	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Wednesday)	53
Figure 4.7	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Thursday)	54
Figure 4.8	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Thursday)	55
Figure 4.9	Peak Hour Passenger Load between KLS and TUN (Friday)	56
Figure 4.10	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between KLS and TUN (Friday)	57
Figure 4.11	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Monday)	58
Figure 4.12	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Monday)	59
Figure 4.13	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Tuesday)	60
Figure 4.14	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Tuesday)	61
Figure 4.15	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Wednesday)	62
Figure 4.16	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Wednesday)	63
Figure 4.17	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Thursday)	64
Figure 4.18	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Thursday)	65
Figure 4.19	Peak Hour Passenger Load between TUN and MAH (Friday)	66
Figure 4.20	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between TUN and MAH (Friday)	67

Figure 4.21	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH to HAH (Monday)	68
Figure 4.22	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH to HAH (Monday)	69
Figure 4.23	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH to HAH (Tuesday)	70
Figure 4.24	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Tuesday)	71
Figure 4.25	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Wednesday)	72
Figure 4.26	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Wednesday)	73
Figure 4.27	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Thursday)	74
Figure 4.28	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Thursday)	75
Figure 4.29	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MAH and HAH (Friday)	76
Figure 4.30	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MAH and HAH (Friday)	77
Figure 4.31	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Monday)	78
Figure 4.32	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH to IBI (Monday)	79
Figure 4.33	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Tuesday)	80
Figure 4.34	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Tuesday)	81
Figure 4.35	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Wednesday)	82
Figure 4.36	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Wednesday)	83

Figure 4.37	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Thursday)	84
Figure 4.38	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Thursday)	85
Figure 4.39	Peak Hour Passenger Load between HAH and IBI (Friday)	86
Figure 4.40	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between HAH and IBI (Friday)	87
Figure 4.41	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Monday)	88
Figure 4.42	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Monday)	89
Figure 4.43	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Tuesday)	90
Figure 4.44	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Tuesday)	91
Figure 4.45	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Wednesday)	92
Figure 4.46	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Wednesday)	93
Figure 4.47	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Thursday)	94
Figure 4.48	Passenger Load at Peak Hour from IBI to BNG (Thursday)	95
Figure 4.49	Peak Hour Passenger Load between IBI and BNG (Friday)	96
Figure 4.50	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between IBI and BNG (Friday)	97
Figure 4.51	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Monday)	98
Figure 4.52	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Monday)	99

Figure 4.53	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Tuesday)	100
Figure 4.54	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Tuesday)	101
Figure 4.55	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Wednesday)	102
Figure 4.56	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Wednesday)	103
Figure 4.57	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Thursday)	104
Figure 4.58	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Thursday)	105
Figure 4.59	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BNG and RAN (Friday)	106
Figure 4.60	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BNG and RAN (Friday)	107
Figure 4.61	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Monday)	108
Figure 4.62	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Monday)	109
Figure 4.63	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Tuesday)	110
Figure 4.64	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Tuesday)	111
Figure 4.65	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Wednesday)	112
Figure 4.66	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Wednesday)	113
Figure 4.67	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Thursday)	114
Figure 4.68	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Thursday)	115

Figure 4.69	Peak Hour Passenger Load between RAN and BAS (Friday)	116
Figure 4.70	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between RAN and BAS (Friday)	117
Figure 4.71	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Monday)	118
Figure 4.72	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Monday)	119
Figure 4.73	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Tuesday)	120
Figure 4.74	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Tuesday)	121
Figure 4.75	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Wednesday)	122
Figure 4.76	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Wednesday)	123
Figure 4.77	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Thursday)	124
Figure 4.78	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Thursday)	125
Figure 4.79	Peak Hour Passenger Load between BAS and MKU (Friday)	126
Figure 4.80	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between BAS and MKU (Friday)	127
Figure 4.81	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Monday)	128
Figure 4.82	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Monday)	129
Figure 4.83	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Tuesday)	130
Figure 4.84	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Tuesday)	131

Figure 4.85	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Wednesday)	132
Figure 4.86	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Wednesday)	133
Figure 4.87	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Thursday)	134
Figure 4.88	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Thursday)	135
Figure 4.89	Peak Hour Passenger Load between MKU and CIT (Friday)	136
Figure 4.90	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between MKU and CIT (Friday)	137
Figure 4.91	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Monday)	138
Figure 4.92	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Monday)	139
Figure 4.93	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Tuesday)	140
Figure 4.94	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Tuesday)	141
Figure 4.95	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Wednesday)	142
Figure 4.96	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Wednesday)	143
Figure 4.97	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Thursday)	144
Figure 4.98	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Thursday)	145
Figure 4.99	Peak Hour Passenger Load between CIT and TSA (Friday)	146
Figure 4.100	Passenger Load at Peak Hour between CIT and TSA (Friday)	147



Figure 4.101	Peak Hour Passenger Load from KLS to TUN	148
Figure 4.102	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TUN to KLS	148
Figure 4.103	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment I	149
Figure 4.104	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment I	149
Figure 4.105	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TUN to MAH	150
Figure 4.106	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MAH to TUN	150
Figure 4.107	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment II	151
Figure 4.108	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment II	151
Figure 4.109	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MAH to HAH	152
Figure 4.110	Peak Hour Passenger Load from HAH to MAH	152
Figure 4.111	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment III	153
Figure 4.112	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment III	153
Figure 4.113	Peak Hour Passenger Load from HAH to IBI	154
Figure 4.114	Peak Hour Passenger Load from IBI to HAH	154
Figure 4.115	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IV	155
Figure 4.116	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IV	155
Figure 4.117	Peak Hour Passenger Load from IBI to BNG	156
Figure 4.118	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BNG to IBI	156
Figure 4.119	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment V	157
Figure 4.120	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment V	157
Figure 4.121	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BNG to RAN	158
Figure 4.122	Peak Hour Passenger Load from RAN to BNG	158

Figure 4.123	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VI	159
Figure 4.124	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VI	159
Figure 4.125	Peak Hour Passenger Load from RAN to BAS	160
Figure 4.126	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BAS to RAN	160
Figure 4.127	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VII	161
Figure 4.128	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VII	161
Figure 4.129	Peak Hour Passenger Load from BAS to MKU	162
Figure 4.130	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MKU to BAS	162
Figure 4.131	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VIII	163
Figure 4.132	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment VIII	163
Figure 4.133	Peak Hour Passenger Load from MKU to CIT	164
Figure 4.134	Peak Hour Passenger Load from CIT to MKU	164
Figure 4.135	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IX	165
Figure 4.136	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment IX	165
Figure 4.137	Peak Hour Passenger Load from CIT to TSA	166
Figure 4.138	Peak Hour Passenger Load from TSA to CIT	166
Figure 4.139	Reference AM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment X	167
Figure 4.140	Reference PM Peak Hour Passenger Load from Segment X	167
Figure 4.141	AM Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	168
Figure 4.142	PM Afternoon Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	169

Figure 4.143	PM Evening Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from KLS to TSA	169
Figure 4.144	AM Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	171
Figure 4.145	PM Afternoon Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	172
Figure 4.146	PM Evening Reference Peak Hour Passenger Load on Track from TSA to KLS	172
Figure 4.147	Service catchment area, hard green showing area with walking distance and light green showing area with design station distance for each station	181
Figure 4.148	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at AM Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	190
Figure 4.149	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	192
Figure 4.150	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for KLS to TSA Direction	194
Figure 4.151	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at AM Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	196
Figure 4.152	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Afternoon) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS	198
Figure 4.153	Relations between Headway LOS and Load Factor LOS at PM (Evening) Peak Hour for TSA to KLS Direction	200

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>FULL NAME</b>
LOS	- Level of Service
PHF	- Peak Hour Factor
KL	- Kuala Lumpur
KTMB	- Keretapi Tanah Melayu Berhad
ERL	- Express Rail Link
KLS	- KL Sentral
TUN	- Tun Sambanthan Station
MAH	- Maharajalela Station
HAH	- Hang Tuah Station
IBI	- Imbi Station Station
BNG	- Bukit Bintang Station
RAN	- Raja Chulan Station
BAS	- Bukit Nenas Station
MKU	- Medan Tuanku Station
CIT	- Chow Kit Station
TSA	- Titiwangsa Station

**LIST OF APPENDIX**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
1	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire English Version	217
2	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire Malay Version	218
3	Service Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire (SSSQ) Result	219
4	Application for Data	226
5	Application of Research	227
6	Raw Data of Passenger Load	228

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Generally, transportation system may be defined as consisting of the fixed facilities, the flow entities and the control system that people and goods use to overcome the friction of geographical space efficiently in order to participate in a timely in some desired activity (Papacosta, 1987).

The government of Malaysia has invested heavily in transportation system including the Kuala Lumpur monorail system (KL Monorail). Monorail is transportation system based on a single rail which acts as its sole support and guide. KL Monorail was designed, constructed and officially opened on 31 August 2003. It serves 11 stations running 8.6 km (5 mi) with two parallel elevated tracks. It connects the Kuala Lumpur Central transport hub with the "Golden Triangle". It was completed at a cost of MYR 1.18 billion by the KL Infrastructure Group (KL Infra).

In Malaysia, public transportation including KL monorail is subsidized by the government. However the values of the subsidy provided are relatively not enough and may not be able meet the surging demand (Kiggundu, 2009). This situation will give challenge to public transportation to balance their cost and income and at the same time provide effective services to their passengers.

Many people who claim to be discussing productivity are actually looking at the more generally issue of performance (Tangen S., 2002). In a study carried out by Kasipillai and Chan (2008), targeting a sustainable transportation system in Malaysia hinges on, (i) alteration of charges on road taxes and car insurance, (ii) elimination of fuel subsidies, (iii) imposition of fuel taxes amendment in the bases for car taxation, (iv) congestion charging particularly in Kuala Lumpur and (v) national road pricing. Current trend of ridership of the public transportation in Kuala Lumpur are generally low with only 20% of the total person trip in Kuala Lumpur as compared to neighbouring countries where it ranges from at least 40% to over 70% (Schwarcz, 2003).

Performance of transportation study hinges on effectiveness, therefore indicators such as basic accessibility, travel time, reliability, and quality of service, frequency of service and passenger density have to be evaluated. Level of service concept is also a qualitative measurement that is divided into six levels, A through F representing a range of values defined by the characteristic of a particular service measures. Level A, is the best and F is worst.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

KL Monorail is one of the public transport service provided by Syarikat Prasarana Negara Berhad. The service operation began in 2003, the total number of the KL Monorail passenger are only 2,927,542. This number increases yearly, however, in the year 2009, the total passengers carried by KL monorail decreased by 3.4%. In any case, ridership is an important performance indicator that will allow transport operators to provide services efficiently. KL Monorail is a train transit service system aimed at reducing travel time and increasing mobility by the urban passengers. If the service performance is low, passenger are likely to be less satisfied over time. Conversely, high service performance is assumed to increase satisfaction with the service (Firman, 2004).

The purpose of the study is to assess the effectiveness of monorail transportation system performance in Kuala Lumpur. Since the study hinges on effectiveness, it is pertinent that, transit capacity and quality of service be assessed. In the light of the aim of the study, the objectives needed to achieve the aim are presented in the next section.

### **1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study**

The aim of the study is to assess the effectiveness of monorail transportation system performance in Kuala Lumpur. Objectives needed to achieve the aim are:

- (i) To determine the passenger load at peak hour.
- (ii) To determine the person capacity of monorail.
- (iii) To determine the level of service (LOS).

### **1.4 Scope of Study**

The scope of the study will be focused on the performance of the KL Monorail system in Kuala Lumpur. The system connects KL Sentral to Titiwangsa with a total length of 8.6 km and serves 11 stations. The study did not take into account special event days, night travels and rainfall conditions.

### **1.5 Significance of Study**

Results of the study may be useful to the operator of KL monorail as well as give an insight into the monorail users view of the services provided. By getting a



feedback of the customers' expectations, it is hoped that KL monorail will be positioned to give continuous service improvement while remaining competitive.

### **1.6 Limitation of Study**

The study is limited by time and fund. The resources available to the researchers are sparingly thin nevertheless the study focused on peak hour round trip KL monorail travel under dry weather and daylight conditions. Data collection and observation of the passenger density were carried out on week working days only so as to reflect a strong trip attractions generated by employment among others. All the data collections were done manually using the basic instruments, including the questionnaire forms. All the data collected were analysed according to Trains Capacity and Quality of Service Manual and Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2010). In spite of regrettable ardent errors associated with manual data collection, the study is buoyant with confident that the study outcomes will depict the true and fair view of KL monorail quality of service.