

ANTILOCK BRAKING CONTROL USING ROBUST CONTROL APPROACH

NORHAZIMI BINTI HAMZAH

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for a award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical-Mechatronics and Automatic Control)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2006

To my beloved family,
thank you for the support and encouragement

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my project supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yahaya bin Md Sam for his invaluable guidance, assistance and support throughout the project. Under his supervision, many aspects regarding on this project has been explored, and with the knowledge, idea and support received from him, this thesis can be presented in the time given.

I also would like to thank all the lecturers at FKE for the lessons and guidance given. Not forget to all my friends and course mate for their help and ideas during the course of this study.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their continuous concerns, encouragement and support.

ABSTRACT

The aims of this study are to establish the mathematical model and the robust control technique for an Antilock Braking System (ABS). The ABS have been developed to reduce tendency of wheel lock up and to improve vehicle control during sudden braking. The ABS work by maintaining the wheel slip to a desired level so that maximum tractive force and maximum vehicle deceleration is obtained, thus reducing the vehicle stopping distance. A quarter vehicle model undergoing straightline braking maneuver, tire dynamics and hydraulic brake dynamics mathematical model are developed to represent the ABS model. The established mathematical model shows the ABS dynamics exhibits strong nonlinear characteristics. Thus, Sliding Mode Control which is a robust control technique is proposed in this study to regulate the wheel slip at the desired value depending on the road surface. The mathematical derivations proved the designed controller satisfy the stability requirement. Extensive simulation study is performed to verify the effectiveness of the designed controller and the result shows the designed controller able to maintain the wheel slip at the desired value and reducing the stopping distance.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini bertujuan untuk mengintegrasikan model matematik dan teknik kawalan ke atas sistem brek antikunci. Sistem brek antikunci bertujuan untuk mengurangkan kebarangkalian kejadian roda kenderaan terkunci dan memperbaiki kawalan kenderaan semasa brek mengejut. Sistem brek antikunci beroperasi dengan memastikan nilai gelinciran roda berada pada tahap yang dikehendaki bagi memperolehi daya cengkaman maksimum dan pecutan negatif maksimum, seterusnya mengurangkan jarak berhenti kenderaan. Model kenderaan suku membek dalam keadaan lurus, model dinamik tayar-jalan dan model sistem brek hidraulik telah diketengahkan bagi mewakili model sistem brek antikunci. Model matematik yang dihasilkan menunjukkan dinamik sistem brek antikunci adalah tidak linear. Justeru, kawalan ragam gelincir telah digunakan dalam kajian ini bagi mengawal nilai gelinciran roda pada tahap yang dikehendaki bergantung kepada jenis permukaan jalan. Penghuraian matematik telah membuktikan bahawa kawalan yang dicadangkan memenuhi keperluan kestabilan. Penyelakuan komputer yang menyeluruh telah dijalankan bagi menguji keberkesanan kawalan yang dicadangkan. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan kawalan yang dicadangkan berjaya memastikan kadar gelinciran roda berada pada tahap yang dikehendaki dan mengurangkan jarak berhenti semasa membek.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview of ABS	1
	1.1.1 Structure and Operation	2
	1.1.2 Tire-Road Interaction	4
	1.1.3 μ - λ curve	5
	1.2 ABS control	7
	1.2.1 Summary of Existing Control Methods and Antilock Braking Models	10
	1.3 Research Objectives	11
	1.4 Scope of Project	11
	1.5 Research Methodology	12
	1.6 Structure and Layout of Thesis	13

2	MODELING OF ANTILOCK BRAKING SYSTEM	14
2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	Quarter Vehicle Model	15
2.2.1	Vehicle Dynamics	16
2.2.2	Wheel Dynamics	18
2.2.3	Braking Dynamics of the System	19
2.3	Tire Dynamics	19
2.4	Hydraulic Brake Dynamics	22
2.5	Combine System and the Wheel Slip	23
2.6	Local Accessibility of the System	26
2.6.1	Mathematical Tools for Local Accessibility	26
2.6.2	Local Accessibility	27
2.6.3	Local Accessibility of the Antilock Braking System	28
2.7	Conclusion	29
3	SLIDING MODE CONTROL	30
3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	Overview on Sliding Mode Control	31
3.2.1	The Sliding Surfaces	32
3.2.2	Controller Design	33
3.2.3	Reaching Condition	35
3.2.4	Chattering Problem	37
3.3	Applications of Sliding Mode Control	39
3.4	Conclusion	40
4	SLIDING MODE CONTROL ON ANTILOCK BRAKING SYSTEM	42
4.1	Introduction	42

4.2	Sliding Mode Control Design	43
4.2.1	Sliding Surface Design	44
4.2.2	Controller Design	46
4.3	Conclusion	49
5	SIMULATION	51
5.1	Introduction	51
5.2	Simulation Parameters	51
5.3	Results and Discussion	54
5.3.1	Simulation without ABS control	54
5.3.2	Simulation Using Bang-bang control	57
5.3.3	Simulation Using Sliding Mode Control	60
5.3.3.1	Effect on changes in road surface profile	64
5.3.3.2	Effect of the Reaching Mode Condition on Varying Design Parameter, ρ	66
5.4	Conclusion	71
6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	72
6.1	Conclusion	72
6.2	Suggestions for Future Research	73
	REFERENCES	74

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Average peak values for adhesion coefficient	21
5.1	Simulation parameters	52
5.2	Percent improvement in the stopping distance	61

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Configuration of an ABS	2
1.2	Behaviour of tire under the action of brake torque	4
1.3	Variation of tractive effort with longitudinal slip	5
1.4	Coefficient of friction vs wheel slip	6
1.5	The μ - λ curve varies on different road surface	7
2.1	Free Body Quarter Vehicle Model	16
2.2	Velocity and force vector diagram of the tire	19
2.3	Typical λ - F_x curve with fixed F_z	20
2.4	Vehicle-brake-road dynamics of a quarter vehicle	24
3.1	The chattering phenomenon	38
3.2	Smooth approximation to discontinuous control	38
4.1	Schematic of the ABS cascade control design	43
4.2	Nonlinear control components of the discontinuous and continuous function	48
5.1	the curve describing the friction coefficient and the slip relationship	53
5.2	Brake torque input without ABS control	55
5.3	Wheel slip response without ABS control	55
5.4	Vehicle velocity and wheel velocity without ABS control	56
5.5	Vehicle stopping distance without ABS control	56
5.6	Control input with bang-bang control	58
5.7	Braking torque with bang-bang control	58
5.8	Wheel slip with bang-bang control	59
5.9	Vehicle and wheel velocity with bang-bang control	59
5.10	Stopping distance with bang-bang control	60
5.11	Wheel slip response with sliding mode control	61

5.12	Vehicle and wheel velocity with sliding mode control	62
5.13	Stopping distance with sliding mode control	62
5.14	Sliding surface profile	63
5.15	Control input with sliding mode control	63
5.16	Brake torque with sliding mode control	64
5.17	Wheel slip response with both control strategies	65
5.18	Vehicle and wheel velocity with both control strategies	66
5.19	Sliding surface for $\rho < 0$	68
5.20	Input signal for $\rho < 0$	68
5.21	Braking torque for $\rho < 0$	69
5.22	Wheel slip for $\rho < 0$	69
5.23	Vehicle and wheel velocity for $\rho < 0$	70
5.24	Stopping distance for $\rho < 0$	70

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	Antilock Braking System
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
FLC	Fuzzy Logic Control
GA	Genetic Algorithm
SMC	Sliding Mode Control
VSC	Variable Structure Control

LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOL	-	DESCRIPTION
A_c	-	Controllability matrix
A_o	-	Observability matrix
F_x	-	Tire friction force
F_z	-	Vertical force
J	-	Moment of inertia of the wheel
K_b	-	Torque gain.
$L_f h$	-	Lie derivative
T_b	-	Brake torque
$ad_f g$	-	Lie bracket
$f(x(t))$	-	Drift vector field
g	-	Gravitational acceleration
$g(x(t))$	-	Control vector field
$h(x(t))$	-	Smooth scalar
m	-	Total mass of quarter vehicle
m_c	-	Car mass
m_{tire}	-	Tire mass
p	-	Hydraulic pressure
r	-	Rolling radius
rr	-	Relative degree of the system.
$s(t)$	-	Sliding surface
u	-	Control input
u_{eq}	-	Equivalent control
v	-	Longitudinal vehicle velocity

Γ	-	Sliding controller parameter (representation of actuator bandwidth)
α	-	Slip angle
δ	-	Boundary layer thickness
ζ_n	-	Damping ratio
λ	-	Wheel slip
λ_p	-	Peak wheel slip
μ	-	Coefficient of friction
μ_p	-	Peak coefficient of friction
ρ	-	Sliding gain
ω	-	Wheel angular velocity
ω_n	-	Natural frequency of the brake system

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of ABS

The technology and understanding in antilock braking system is continuously evolving. The development of antilock braking system (ABS) is currently an important problem in the automotive industry and ABS is recognized as important features to the road safety. Antilock braking systems (ABS) has been developed to reduce tendency of wheel lock up and to improve vehicle control during sudden braking especially on slippery road surface. The aim of such control is to increase wheel tractive force in the desired direction while maintaining adequate vehicle stability and steerability and also reducing the vehicle stopping distance. The performance of ABS however relies upon proper identification of road surface. The type of road surface can be inferred from the vehicle's brake pressure, wheel slip measurement and deceleration rate comparison.

The input forces which control the vehicle motion come from the road-tire interaction and have 2 components – one in longitudinal direction and one in the lateral direction (Kachroo, 1993). The tractive force in the lateral direction depends on the cornering stiffness and can be controlled by the steering. The tractive force in the longitudinal direction on the other hand, is a nonlinear function of the wheel slip and can be controlled by making the wheel slip follow some time varying function.

Thus, one of the objectives of an ABS system for longitudinal direction tractive force control is to regulate the wheel slip so that the road adhesion or friction coefficient is maximized. This in turn leads to the minimization of the vehicle stopping distance (Kachroo, 1993).

1.1.1 Structure and operation




Figure 1.1: Configuration of an ABS

Typically ABS consist of three major parts: 1) electronic sensors which measure the wheel velocities, the brake pressure and the vehicle acceleration, 2) an Electrical Control Unit (ECU) which is a microprocessor based system, and 3) electrically controllable valves or pumps to control the pressure in brake cylinders (Jiang, 2000). ABS work in a closed loop control system by modulating the brake torque that is applied to the tires in response to measured deceleration of the wheel. This will prevent the controlled wheels from the lockup effect. Wheel lockup often happens when braking on a slippery road surface or during a severe braking. During wheel lockup, the vehicle loses its steering ability and the longitudinal friction force, which stops the vehicle, is also reduced.

In normal driving condition, the vehicle velocity is almost equal as the wheel velocity. However, when a wheel becomes locked and slips, the vehicle velocity and the wheel velocity are not equally same. The difference between the wheel velocity and the vehicle velocity is defined as

$$\lambda = \frac{v - \omega r}{v} \quad (1.1)$$

where

- λ : wheel slip
- v : longitudinal vehicle velocity
- ω : wheel angular velocity
- r : the rolling radius

In severe braking, it is common to have $\omega = 0$ while $v \neq 0$, thus $\lambda = 1$, which is called wheel lockup.

ABS is designed to manipulate the wheel slip at certain level so that a maximum friction force is achieved and the steering stability (also known as lateral stability) is maintained (Jiang, 2000). In a severe braking situation, ECU assists the vehicle operator to prevent wheel lockup by regulating the wheel slip. It monitors the wheel velocity and the vehicle velocity. When a wheel lockup is detected or deemed eminent, ECU releases the brake pressure to allow the wheel velocity to increase and the wheel slip to decrease. Once the wheel velocity spins up, ECU re-applies the brake pressure to confine the wheel slip to a predetermined value or interval.

1.1.2 Tire-Road Interaction

Understanding of the tire-road interaction in both the longitudinal and the lateral directions is vital. When a braking torque is applied to a tire, due to its elasticity, the leading half of the tire tread stretches, before it enters the contact patch as shown in Figure 1.2. Thus the longitudinal tire travel is greater when it is subjected to a braking torque compared to free rolling.




Figure 1.2: Behavior of tire under the action of brake torque

Due to stretching of the tire, a tractive force is developed by the tire proportional to the applied brake torque. This tractive force increases linearly with the applied torque within the elastic limit of the tire, corresponding to section OA of the curve as shown in Figure 1.3. Once the tire starts to slide on the ground, the tractive force becomes a nonlinear function of the slip, entering section AB of the curve. Further increase in the torque then causes an unstable condition wherein the tractive force drops off from the peak value (which occurs at a slip value of approximately 0.2 for most surfaces) to a much lower value when the tire locks up (i.e., at slip of 1.0). The unstable region is shown by section BC of the curve.




Figure 1.3: Variation of tractive effort with longitudinal slip

1.1.3 μ - λ curve

The relation between slip, vehicle velocity, and the coefficient of friction (μ) is complicated, nonlinear and changes with different road conditions, different vehicle speeds, and tire types. Figure 1.4 (Mirzaei, 2005) shows typical lateral and longitudinal coefficients of friction as a function of wheel slip. The lateral friction provides lateral stability, the ability to steer and control the direction of the vehicle. The lateral coefficient of friction is greatest at zero slip, decreases as wheel slip increases and settle at zero when the slip equals to 1. The longitudinal coefficient of friction (μ) on the other hand is zero at zero slip and as wheel slip increases; it increases to a point (peak value), then μ start to decrease as slip increases.




Figure 1.4: Coefficient of friction vs wheel slip

Open loop braking for the increasing part of the μ - λ curve is stable but is unstable for the decreasing part of the curve (Jiang, 2000). The brake torque, T_b caused the wheel velocity to decrease, thus it increase the wheel slip. The tire force from the road surface caused the wheel velocity to increase, thus it decrease the wheel slip. A high μ leads to a large tire force and a low μ leads to a small tire force. In the increasing part of the μ - λ curve, an increase of the wheel slip leads to a larger μ and larger tire force, which reverse the wheel slip to a small value. However, in the decreasing part of the μ - λ curve, an increase of the wheel slip leads to a smaller μ and smaller tire force which cause the wheel slip to increase continuously. Therefore, the peak point of the μ - λ curve is critical.

The position of the peak or maximum coefficient of friction varies for different road conditions, different vehicle speeds, and tire types as indicates in Figure 1.5. Thus, the optimum wheel slip value for maximum friction is also different. The optimal wheel slip ratio of the majority road surfaces is between 0.1 and 0.3 (Wang, *et al.*, 2003). Most of the control strategies define the performance goal in maintaining a wheel slip close to 0.2 throughout the braking trajectory (Baker, 1986). This represents a compromise between lateral stability, which is best at zero slip, and maximum deceleration, which usually appears when slip is between 0.1 and 0.3. Forcing the wheel slip ratio to track

optimal slip ratios, which causes the maximal tire/road friction force, can minimize the vehicle stopping distance.




Figure 1.5: The μ - λ curve varies on different road surface

1.2 ABS control

Although in most cases, it is ideal for the ABS to work at the peak point of the μ - λ curve, this point however is open loop unstable as illustrated in Figure 1.4. Even when a fixed wheel slip value (from 0.1 to 0.3) is selected, cases still exist that this slip value is located in the unstable part of the μ - λ curve. Thus, the ABS has to be controlled in closed-loop arrangement to achieve good performance (Jiang, 2000).

The ABS system measures the vehicle variables such as the wheel velocity, the vehicle acceleration, the brake pressure and etc. The control algorithm then calculates the actual wheel slip, compares it with the desired value and determines the amount of brake torque to be applied based on the wheel slip error. The desired wheel slip is set accordingly for a different ABS systems. Some system set it as a fixed number while in

some other system, it is determined by another high level control block which updates the desired wheel slip value continuously. As a result, various control algorithms have been proposed and utilized for the ABS control which maintains the wheel slip to a desired level.

Various researchers have focused on application of sliding mode control in ABS. The sliding mode control has good robustness and faster response speed, and it is insensitive to parameter change and disturbance. The main idea of sliding mode control is to restrict the system motion in a plane called the sliding surface, where a predefined function of error is zero (Jiang, 2000). However, there are two main problems associated with the sliding mode control which are the chatter during switching line sliding process and how to realize fast tracking in control process (Unsal and Kachroo, 1999).

Drakunov *et al.* (1995) developed an ABS control algorithm to find the optimal slip using friction force observer via the sliding modes. A simplified four wheel vehicle brake model without the lateral motion and model of the brake hydraulic system is considered in the design. Two versions of the control algorithm with different levels of complexity related to the hydraulic system were developed. Unsal and Kachroo (1999) on the other hand, employ an overall system which consists of a quarter vehicle model, a nonlinear observer and slip ratio model. The nonlinear observer is used to estimate the vehicle velocity from the output and a sliding mode controller is used to maintain the slip at a given value. Buckholtz (2002), also present a sliding mode controller for tracking the reference wheel slip. However, the control is developed based on four-wheel vehicle and taking into account the vehicle lateral dynamics. In addition, the information on the wheel speed, vehicle acceleration, yaw rate and tire is assumed available. Chikhi *et al.* (2005) proposed an adaptive sliding mode control for optimal ABS system. The strategy of control is based on the generation of the wheel slip control reference. A sliding mode observer is used to estimate the friction coefficient. Schinkel and Hunt (2002) employ the sliding mode like approach for the ABS controller. The

nonlinear dynamics of the vehicle wheel system is assessed by using two uncertain linear systems.

Since the ABS system is nonlinear and dynamic in nature, hence it is candidates for the intelligent control such as Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Genetic Algorithm (GA) and etc. Wang et al. (2003) has designed the ABS Control using Output feedback Direct Adaptive Neural Fuzzy Control. Half vehicle brake model and hydraulic brake system model is incorporated in the study. The main control strategy is to force the wheel slip ratio to track an inconstant optimal slip ratio, whose value varies with the environment and assumed to be known during the vehicle-stopping period. An observer is adopted to estimate the system states from the measurable system output. Lee and Zak (2001) considered a vehicle-free body diagram for straight line braking maneuver. The ABS controller is designed using genetic neural fuzzy control. This consists of a neural optimizer to find the optimal wheel slip and a fuzzy component to compute the brake torque needed to track the optimal slip. Genetic algorithm is used to tune the fuzzy logic component. Mauer (1995) designed a fuzzy logic ABS controller which is able to identify the road surface condition. The braking command is based on the current and past reading of the wheel slip and the brake pressure.

Armeni and Mosca (2003) has developed the wheel slip controller based on minimum energy control law with constraint. The study is based on the dynamic model of a quarter vehicle. The relationship between the friction and slip, $\mu(\lambda)$ is represented by the Pacejka's magic formula ($\mu(\lambda) = D \sin(C \arctan(B\lambda - E(B\lambda - \arctan))))$). The control algorithm to implement the ABS controller is using gain scheduled based on longitudinal vehicle velocity. Liu and Sun (1995) on the other hand proposed a gain scheduling for optimal target slip tracking. The controller regulates the target slip to the respective optimal values at different vehicle speed. A quarter vehicle model taking into consideration the drag force and Pacejka's tire model is used. Johansen *et al.* (2003) also used the gain scheduling approach in designing the ABS controller. Although a

quarter car model is use in modeling, the validation is carried out experimentally. The vehicle speed is view as a slow time-varying parameter and the model is linearized about the nominal wheel slip. The gain matrices for the different operating condition are designed using LQR approach.

1.2.1 Summary of Existing Control Methods and Antilock Braking Models

Various control strategies have been proposed to control the antilock braking system for either quarter vehicle model, half vehicle model or a complete vehicle model. These control strategies may be loosely group into nonlinear control and intelligent control. Intelligent control techniques although have shown satisfactory result for the antilock braking control, may have potential problem on stability. Usually, the discussion on stability is ignored in the design (Sam, 2004).

The ABS dynamic which exhibit strong nonlinear characteristic requires robust control. This makes the sliding mode control a suitable control approach due to its robustness and faster response speed, and it is insensitive to parameter change and disturbance. Literature review on the application of sliding mode control for the antilock braking control also shows satisfactory result.

A dynamic model of the vehicle is then needed to provide the necessary guidelines for the controller design. The model should describe the essential dynamics of the system during braking maneuver.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- i) To establish the mathematical model of the ABS in a state space representation.
- ii) To design and develop the control algorithm based on Sliding Mode Control for the vehicle wheel system and Bang-bang Control as a comparison
- iii) To evaluate and compare the ABS performances using both control strategies.

1.4 Scope of Project

The scope of work for this project includes:

- i) Modeling of a vehicle wheel system (Quarter vehicle model) in a straight line braking process with zero steering wheel input.
- ii) Modeling of hydraulic brake dynamics.
- iii) Sliding Mode Controller and its application to the plant in controlling the output slip, thus providing shorter stopping distance.
- iv) Bang-bang Controller which is use as a comparison in analyzing the performance of the controller in part (iii)
- v) Simulation study conducted in MATLAB-Simulink on the slip, vehicle speed, wheel speed and stopping distance profile.

1.5 Research Methodology

The research work is undertaken in the following stages:

- 1) Development of the mathematical model of the antilock braking system in state space. The steps taken in this stage are:
 - i) Literature review on the vehicle wheel system mathematical model.
 - ii) Literature review on the hydraulic brake system dynamics
 - iii) Develop the state space representation of the ABS system

- 2) Application of the Sliding Mode Controller to the ABS system. The steps taken in this stage are:
 - i) Literature review on the existing control technique of the ABS system
 - ii) Literature review on the existing robust control technique based on Sliding Mode Control algorithm
 - iii) Implement the SMC technique to the ABS system. The procedures performed in this stage are:
 - i. Definition of a sliding surface
 - ii. Determination of the system dynamics during Sliding Mode
 - iii. Establishment of the final control law

- 3) Simulation of the proposed controller in MATLAB Simulink.

- 4) Comparison of the performance of Sliding Mode Control and Bang-bang Control.

- 5) Analysis of the simulation results.

1.6 Structure and Layout of Thesis

This thesis is organized into six chapters. Chapter 1 gives the background and overview of ABS control. It also defines the objectives, scope of work and the approach that is followed to meet the objectives. Chapter 2 deals with the formulation of the mathematical modeling of the antilock braking systems. This chapter describes the dynamics of the vehicle-wheel system for a quarter vehicle model as well as the hydraulic brake system dynamics. Based on the dynamic models, the state space representation of the quarter vehicle model will be derived.

In Chapter 3, the sliding mode control theory for nonlinear system is presented. The design requirement of the sliding mode control will be discussed. Chapter 4 presents the control strategy for the antilock braking system based on the sliding mode control approach. A systematic approach and basic assumptions taken while establishing the control law are outlined in this chapter. For the sliding mode controller, Lyapunov stability method is applied to keep the nonlinear system under control.

Chapter 5 discusses the performance evaluation of the proposed sliding mode controller by means of computer simulation in MATLAB-SIMULINK. The Bang–bang control is used as a mean of comparison. The performances of both types of controller in terms of velocity, slip and distance profile as well as percent reduction in stopping distance is evaluated and compared. Conclusion on the effectiveness of the approach are made and discussed based on the results obtained in this chapter.

The thesis ends with Chapter 6, where the summary of the results and future research based on this study will be presented.

REFERENCES

- Armeni, S., and Mosca, E. (2003). ABS with Constrained Minimum Energy Control Law. *IEEE.*:19-24.
- Baker, A. K. (1986). *Vehicle Braking*. London: Pentch Press.
- Barambones, O., and Garrido, A. J. (2004). A Sensorless Variable Structure Control of Induction Motor Drives. *Electrical Power System Research*.72: 21-32
- Buckholtz, K.R. (2001). *Sliding Mode Control using a Switching Function Incorporating the State Trajectory Approach Angle*. University of Dayton: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Chikhi, F., El Hadari, A., and Cadiou, J.C. (2005). ABS Control Designed based on Wheel Slip Peak Localization. *Fifth International Workshop on Robot Motion and Control* .June 23-25. France: 73-77.
- Chun, K., and Sunwoo, M. (2004). Wheel Slip Control with Moving Sliding Surface for Traction Control System. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*. 5(2):123-133.
- DeCarlo, R.A., Zak, S.H., and Matthews, G.P. (1988). Variable Structure Control of Nonlinear Multivariable System: A Tutorial. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 76(3): 212-232.

- Drakunov, S., Ozguner, U., Dix, P., and Ashrafi, B. (1995). ABS Control using Optimum Search via Sliding Modes. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. 3(1):79-85.
- Fu, T. (2000). *Modeling and Performance Analysis of ABS System with Nonlinear Control*. Concordia University, Canada: Master Thesis.
- Gao, X. (2001). *Modeling and Control of Antilock Braking Systems Utilizing Dynamic Friction Tire Model*. Concordia University, Canada: Master Thesis.
- Guldner, J., Utkin, V. I., and Ackermann, J. (1994). A Sliding Mode Control Approach to Automatic Car Steering. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. June. Baltimore, Maryland: IEEE, 1969-1973.
- Hebden, R. G., Edwards, C., and Spurgeon, S.K. (2003). An Application of Sliding Mode Control to Vehicle Steering in a Split-mu Manoeuvre. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. June 4-6. Denver, Colorado: IEEE, 4359-4364.
- Hung, J.Y., Nelms, R.M., and Stevensen, P.B. (1994). An Output Feedback Sliding Mode Speed Regulator for DC Drives. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Applications*. 30(3): 691-698.
- Hung, J.C., and Gao, W. (1993). Variable Structure Control of Nonlinear Systems: A New Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 40(1): 45-55.
- Jiang, F. (2000). *A Novel Feedback Control Approach to a Class of Antilock Brake Problems*. Cleveland State University: Ph.D. Thesis.

- Jiang, F., and Gao, Z. (2000). An Adaptive Nonlinear Filter Approach to the Vehicle Velocity Estimation for ABS. *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE, International Conference on Control Applications*. September 25-27. Anchorage, Alaska: IEEE, 490-495.
- Jin, K.H., Kyeung, H.O., and Chul, K.S. (2005). Sliding Mode Control with Disturbance Observer for Antilock Braking Systems. *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE/ASME, International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics*. July 24-28. Monterey, California : IEEE, 277-281.
- Johansen, T.A., Petersen, I., Kalkkhuhl, J., and Luderman, J. (2003). Gain-Scheduled Wheel Slip Control in Automotive Brake System. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*.11(6): 799-811.
- Kachroo, P. (1993). *Nonlinear Control Strategies and Vehicle Traction Control*. University of California, Berkeley: Ph.D. Thesis
- Khalil, H.K. (2002). *Nonlinear Systems*. 3rd ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Kueon, Y.S., and Bedi, J.S. (1995). Fuzzy-Neural Sliding Mode Controller and Its Application to the Antilock Braking Systems. *Industrial Automation and Control: Emerging Technologies, International IEEE/IAS Conference*. May 22-27: IEEE, 391-398.
- Lee, Y. and Zak, H.S. (2001). Genetic Neural Fuzzy Control of Anti-Lock Brake Systems. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. June 25-27. Arlington, VA: AACC, 671-676.
- Lee, H., and Tomizuka, M. (2003). Adaptive Vehicle Traction Force Control for Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems (IVHSs). *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronic*. 50(1): 37-47.

- Lee, J. H., Allire, P. U., Tao, G., Decker, J. A., and Zhang, X. (2003). Experimental Study of Sliding Mode Control for a Benchmark Magnetic Bearing System and Artificial Heart Suspension. *IEEE Transaction on Control System Technology*. 11: 128-138.
- Liu, Y., and Sun, J. (1995). Target Slip Tracking using Gain-Scheduling for Antilock Braking Systems. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. June. Seattle, Washington: IEEE, 1178-1182.
- Mauer, G. F. (1995). A Fuzzy Logic Controller for an ABS Braking System. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy System*. 3(4): 381-388.
- Ming, Q. (1997). *Sliding Mode Controller Design for ABS System*. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University: Master Thesis.
- Mirzaei, A., Moallem, M., and Mirzaein, B. (2005). Optimal Design of a Hybrid Controller for Antilock Braking System. *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE/ASME, International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics*. July 24-28. Monterey, California: IEEE, 905-910.
- Oh, S. R., and Agrawal, S.K. (2004). Nonlinear Sliding Mode Control and Feasible Workspace Analysis for a Cable Suspended Robot with Input Constraints and Disturbance. *Proceeding of the 2004 American Control Conference*. June 30-July 2. Boston, Massachusetts: AACC, 4631-4636.
- Patil, C.B. (2003). *Antilock Brake System Re-design and Control Protoyping using a One-Fifth Scale Vehicle Experimental Test Bed*. The University of Texas: Master Thesis.
- Sam, Y.M. (2004). *Modeling and Control of Hydraulically Actuated Active Suspension System*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Ph.D. Thesis.

- Schinkel, M., and Hunt, K. (2002). Anti-Lock Braking Control using a Sliding Mode Approach. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. May 8-10. Anchorage: AACC, 2386-2391.
- Slotine, J. J. E and Li, W. (1991). *Applied Nonlinear Control*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Shyu, K. K., Hung, J.Y., and Hung, J.C. (1999). Total Sliding Mode Trajectory Control of Robotic Manipulators. *IEEE*: 1062-1066.
- Spurgeon, S.K. (1991). Choice of Discontinuous Control Components for Robust Sliding Mode Performance. *International Journal of Control*. 53(1): 163-179.
- Unsal, C. and Kachroo, P. (1999). Sliding Mode Measurement Feedback Control for Antilock Braking System. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. 7(2):271-281.
- Utkin, V. I. (1977). Variable Structure System with Sliding Mode. *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*. 22: 212-222.
- Utkin, V. I., Drakunov, S. V., Hashimoto, H., and Harashima, F. (1991). Robot Path Obstacle Avoidance Control via Sliding Mode Approach. *IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems IROS'91*. November 3-5. Osaka, Japan: IEEE, 1287-1290.
- Wang, W.Y., Hsu, K.C, Lee, T. T., and Chen, G.M. (2003). Robust Sliding Mode-Like Fuzzy Logic Control for Anti-Lock Braking Systems. *Proceeding of the Fourth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*. November 2-5. Xi'an: IEEE, 633-638.
- Wong, J.C. (1993). *Theory of Ground Vehicles*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Yagiz, N., Ozbulur, V., Inanc, N., and Derdiyok, A. (1997). Sliding Mode Control of Active Suspension System. *Proceedings of the 12th IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control*. July 16-18. Istanbul, Turkey: IEEE, 349-353.

Zak, S.H. (2003). *System and Control*. 1st ed. United States of America: Oxford University Press.