

**THE EFFECT OF TRACHEAL STENOSIS ON AIRFLOW USING NUMERICAL  
MODELLING**

**NASRUL HADI BIN JOHARI**

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

**NOVEMBER 2011**

## ABSTRACT

The location and size of tracheal stenosis are among the major factors that contribute significantly to the breathing difficulties. It is crucial to understand the relationship between the two factors. Hence, this study aims to establish correlation between the location and size of the stenosis to the possibility of breathing difficulties. This work used ideal trachea model and realistic trachea model derived from Computed Tomography (CT) scan images. The stenosis was patched to the healthy trachea models at regular locations and sizes as proposed by medical practitioners. All models were then subjected to different steady breathing conditions. The changes in the flow behavior due to the different sizes and locations of the stenosis were then examined to determine the pattern of possible breathing difficulties. The results showed that, during high flow rates, the presence of stenosis was observed to produce moderate (-150 Pa) to severe (-440 Pa) pressure drop as the locations of stenosis moved closer to the bifurcation for both actual and simplified models. Two stages of pressure drop behavior also appeared consistently as the lumen became smaller at all sites. For all cases studied, significant changes in the velocity pattern were observed suggesting distorted flow rates into the bifurcation. The present simulation confirms that the overall flow behavior could be significantly affected if the size of stenosis is more than 60% for location far from the bifurcation region, and at size of 50% for location close to the bifurcation region. The outcomes of this study may help the medical practitioners and researchers to understand how dramatic increase in pressure drops occurs inside the trachea and main bronchi with the presence of stenosis at different location and size.

## ABSTRAK

Lokasi dan saiz penyempitan saluran trakea adalah antara faktor utama kepada masalah yang berkaitan dengan sistem pernafasan. Adalah penting untuk memahami secara teliti perkaitan antara kedua-dua faktor ini. Justeru, kajian ini ingin melihat secara mendalam hubungkait antara faktor lokasi dan saiz penyempitan yang menyumbang kepada kemungkinan kesukaran bernafas. Kajian ini menggunakan model trakea yang ideal dan realistik yang dihasilkan daripada imej *Computed Tomography (CT)*. Penyempitan telah dipadankan kepada model trakea yang normal berdasarkan lokasi dan saiz yang lazim berlaku. Kesemua model kemudiannya diletakkan dalam situasi aktiviti pernafasan yang berbeza-beza. Perubahan dalam corak pernafasan akan diperiksa untuk menentukan corak kemungkinan masalah pernafasan yang berlaku. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa, semasa kadar pernafasan sangat laju, kewujudan penyempitan telah menghasilkan kejatuhan tekanan aliran daripada sederhana (-50 Pa) kepada serius (-440 Pa) apabila lokasi penyempitan berdekatan kawasan *tracheal bifurcation*. Dua peringkat kejatuhan tekanan juga muncul secara konsisten apabila saiz penyempitan dibesarkan. Bagi semua kes yang dikaji, perubahan ketara dalam corak had laju menyebabkan aliran udara menjadi tidak stabil di kawasan *bifurcation*. Kajian simulasi ini mengesahkan bahawa corak kelakuan aliran udara secara keseluruhannya hanya akan terjejas teruk apabila saiz melebihi 60% bagi lokasi yang jauh dari kawasan *bifurcation*, dan pada saiz 50% atau lokasi dekat kawasan *bifurcation*. Hasil kajian ini boleh membantu pengamal perubatan dan penyelidik memahami bagaimana dramatikanya penurunan tekanan udara di dalam saluran pernafasan dengan kehadiran penyempitan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	THESIS DECLARATION FORM	
	SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem statement	6
	1.3 Objectives of the research	7
	1.4 Scopes of the research	8

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Anatomy of trachea and main bronchi	10
	2.2.1 Simplified models	12
	2.2.2 Realistic models	14
	2.3 Tracheal stenosis disease	17
	2.3.1 The classification of tracheal stenosis	17
	2.3.2 Studies of flow in obstructed airways	20
	2.3.3 Airflow analysis in tracheal stenosis	21
	2.4 Correlation of location and sizes of stenosis	23
	2.5 Summary	24
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>25</b>
	3.1 Introduction	25
	3.2 Research design	25
	3.3 Research instrument	26
	3.3.1 Model geometry	26
	3.3.2 Modelling	31
	3.3.3 Boundary condition	32
	3.4 Model validation	33
	3.4.1 Validation of simplified model	34
	3.4.2 Validation of realistic model	35
	3.5 Summary	36
<b>4</b>	<b>CAPABILITY OF SIMPLIFIED AND REALISTIC MODEL IN SIMULATING THE AIRWAY FLOW</b>	<b>37</b>
	4.1 Introduction	37
	4.2 Pressure and velocity contours	37
	4.3 Pressure and velocity distributions	41

	4.4 Vector plot	44
	4.5 Evaluation of geometrical simplifications	45
	4.6 Summary	47
<b>5</b>	<b>FLOW ANALYSIS FOR STENOSIS AT DIFFERENT LOCATIONS AND SIZES</b>	<b>48</b>
	5.1 Introduction	48
	5.2 Effects of different stenosis locations on flow behavior	49
	5.2.1 Analysis on the simplified models	49
	5.2.1.1 Pressure difference due to tracheal stenosis in simplified models	52
	5.2.2 Analysis on the realistic models	54
	5.2.2.1 The effect of stenosis locations to the bronchi inlet flow rate and bifurcation pressure in the realistic model.	58
	5.3 Flow behavior with the presence of different stenosis's sizes	61
	5.3.1 Pressure distribution.	61
	5.3.2 Velocity pattern	66
	5.4 Correlation between the location and size of tracheal stenosis to the flow characteristics	69
	5.4.1 Location and size of stenosis affect the bronchi inlet flow rate	69
	5.4.2 Pressure drop simulation at different locations and sizes of stenosis.	72
	5.5 Summary	77
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>78</b>
	6.1 Conclusion	78
	6.2 Suggestion for future research	79

**REFERENCES**

81

Appendices A-D

86-89

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	The model's dimensions (Schlesinger and Lippman, 1976).	29
3.2	Summarized boundary condition parameters for each condition of breathing	33
5.1	Difference of velocity and pressure drop between stenosis trachea models (T1-T3) due to difference of flow rate induced at the inlet of bifurcation area.	58
5.2	Pressure drops along the cross sections of geometries with web-like stenosis at different locations and sizes, in respect to different breathing condition	74



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Anterior view of a larynx, trachea and bronchi	12
2.2	Simplified model proposed by Schlesinger and Lippmann, (1972)	14
2.3	The schematic view of a realistic model based on CT scan proposed by Luo <i>et al.</i> , (2008)	16
2.4	The ‘Worksheet Marking’ shows the location, degree and type of stenosis	19
2.5	Bronchos copy images (a-g) demonstrating examples of the degrees of tracheal stenosis.	19
2.6	Tumor model at bifurcation in a simplified model	21
2.7	CFD simulated pressure with tracheal stenosis and no stenosis curves. (Brouns <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	23
3.1	Isometric view of simplified model of trachea and main bronchus	29
3.2	Anterior view of realistic trachea and main bronchi models generated from CT scan images.	30
3.3	Overall modeling process	31
3.4	Comparison of axial velocity distributions obtained using k-epsilon ( $k-\epsilon$ ) model with experimental data	35
3.5	Variation of flow rate ratio between right and left main	36

	bronchus	
4.1	Simulated pressure contour in the trachea and main bronchi in realistic and simplified models at different Reynolds ( $Re$ ) numbers.	39
4.2	Simulated velocity contour in the trachea and bronchus in realistic and simplified models at different Reynolds ( $Re$ ) number	40
4.3	Simulated pressure drop along the centerline of trachea, right bronchus and left bronchus in realistic and simplified healthy model	42
4.4	Simulated velocity distribution along the centerline of trachea, right bronchus and left bronchus in realistic and simplified healthy model	43
4.5	Vector plot of realistic and simplified models	44
5.1	CFD simulated pressure drop along the centerline in all model of trachea (T1-T5),	51
5.2	Velocity distribution along the centerline in all models of trachea,	51
5.3	Pressure drop comparison showing T5 (nearest the bifurcation) as the largest.	53
5.4	Variation of pressure distribution along the model's centerline for different models and flow rates.	56
5.5	Difference of velocity distribution along centerline of trachea and main bronchi between healthy and stenosis trachea models	57
5.6	Pressure and flow rate difference at bronchi inlet for all stenosis models with reference to the healthy model	60
5.7	Pressure distribution across the airway model of resting condition ( $Re=1.201 \times 10^3$ )	62
5.8	Pressure distribution across the airway model of	63

	moderate condition ( $Re=3.012 \times 10^3$ )	
5.9	Pressure distribution across the airway model of extreme condition ( $Re=4.66 \times 10^4$ )	63
5.10	Range of ( $P/P_0$ ) between maximum and minimum for each stenosis constriction size of resting condition	65
5.11	Range of ( $P/P_0$ ) between maximum and minimum for each stenosis constriction size of moderate condition	65
5.12	Range of ( $P/P_0$ ) between maximum and minimum for each stenosis constriction size of extreme condition	66
5.13	Velocity distribution along the airway model for resting condition ( $Re=1.201 \times 10^3$ )	67
5.14	Velocity distribution along the airway model for moderate condition ( $Re=3.012 \times 10^3$ )	68
5.15	Velocity distribution along the airway model for extreme condition ( $Re=4.66 \times 10^4$ )	68
5.16	Velocity plots during resting condition ( $Re=1201$ ) on the cross sectional area	71
5.17	Pressure drops simulation during different breathing conditions (a) $Re$ 1201, (b) $Re$ 3012 and (c) $Re$ 44600 as function of different sizes and locations of stenosis	76

**LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

CT	Computed Tomography
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
$U, V$	Velocity
$P$	Pressure
$\rho$	Density
$\mu$	Viscosity
$Re$	Reynolds number
$D$	Diameter
$L$	Length
lit/min	Liter/minute
m/s	Meter/second
$Q$	Volume flow rate
$t$	Time
$U$	Mean velocity
$Re$	Reynolds number
$L/L_0$	Dimensionless length
$P/P_0$	Dimensionless pressure
$V/V_0$	Dimensionless velocity

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>Appendix</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
A	Selected publications related to this research	86
B1	Overall DICOM CT-scan images	87
B2	MIMICS, the anatomical of lung	88
B3	Major alteration process such as removing and relocating the stenosis.	89

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

Stenosis in a human trachea will obstruct the airflow from moving, deep down, to the lungs. The narrowing is often caused by irritation and scarring to the lining of trachea lumen (inside trachea). Symptoms can be the shortness of breathing, dyspnea, brassy cough, recurrent pneumonitis, wheezing, stridor and cyanosis. All these symptoms finally can be attributed to the most chronic and serious disease in respiratory system, asthma. In 2005, World Health Organization (WHO) reported that more than 300 million people around the world suffered from asthma and almost 255 000 patient died accordingly.

Although, there are a variety of methods exist that may be used for evaluation and treatment of tracheal stenosis, it is still not sufficient to support the effort in reducing the numbers of stenosis's patient. This phenomenon happens because the failure to detect the early signs and symptoms of asthma. Frequently, the signs and symptoms were disregarded or mistaken for variety of other disorders. An effective way

to solve this problem is by understanding the airflow moving in and out from the lung to the atmosphere during breathing. Understanding the airflow motion inside the lung can give the medical doctors the early warning on the potential risk of the disease.

The stenosis inside trachea windpipe has three dominant factors; locations of stenosis, degree of stenosis and types of stenosis (Freitag *et al.*, 2007). Each factor will give the significant impact to the airflow motion and increase the level of disease. Almost every asthmatic patient had suffered different dominant factors of stenosis. The signs and symptoms of disease are also dissimilar. Thus, the requirement of airflow analysis is very important to understand the early signs in order to predict the risk in the future. In current practice, clinical diagnosis for the tracheal stenosis suspected such as endoscopic techniques and scanning like Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) to look inside the obstructive tissues which are relatively inaccurate and followed by further treatment like operation. Medical doctors need to be able to see the blockage from above and below, rather than just looking at a person straight on. This is because a tracheal stenosis is like a thin disk sitting across the windpipe, leaving a small hole in the middle for air to pass through. For sure, there is time for the stenosis increased progressively and finally become worse with asthma before they received proper treatment.

Patients with tracheal stenosis often complaints a relatively sudden occurrence of breathing difficulties, which at the stage of admission to the clinic is observed when loss of 75% or more of the airway lumen has occurred (Schuurmans M.M. *et al.*, 2004). Since most patients with minor stenosis referred for necessary treatment are typically asymptomatic, precise additional information is needed in order to perform proper diagnosis (Spittle and McCluskey, 2000 and Hammer, 2004). Researchers agree that location and size of tracheal stenosis are among major factors that contribute significantly to the possibility of breathing difficulties (McCaffrey *et al.*, 1992).

The effect of stenosis with different sizes to the airflow pattern had attracted several researchers. However, only a few of them had studied the correlation of location and size to investigate the flow behavior in tracheal stenosis. This situation happens probably due to the lack of specific images of stenosis and the limitation of computer resources to reconstruct the model. Besides, there is no adequate classification scheme proposed by any researchers to suggest the regular location, size and shapes of stenosis in tracheobronchial until Freitag *et al.*, (2007) published the standard classification.

In this study, tracheal stenosis was simulated by varying the locations and sizes within the trachea region based on Freitag *et al.*, (2007). The five areas of stenosis were located between the tracheal inlet and region of bifurcation. It was expected that the presence of stenosis distal to the bifurcation would not much affect the airflow since the flow can be able to recover before entering the bifurcation region. However, as the area of the stenosis was proximal to bifurcation, the airflow passing through the stenosis was expected to change the inlet condition into the bronchus significantly.

The size of stenosis was varied into several sizes; 10% up to 80%, where the size was defined as the constriction ratio of normal tracheal lumen diameter. It was expected that small size stenosis would have no effect on the airflow pattern. However, if the location of stenosis was nearer to the bifurcation, even a little stenosis was sufficient to modify the airflow pattern. This is because the airflow would not be able to recover due to limitation of length before entering the bifurcation. Thus, it would change the inlet condition of the bifurcation region. The same situation was also expected to experience by the trachea with stenosis size more than 50% located at the top of the trachea. Although the location was far from the bifurcation, that size of stenosis was believed to be sufficient to cause the airflow exhibit nonlinear flow until the bifurcation region.



In order to explore on how significant the flow will be altered by the presence of stenosis at different sizes and locations, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) had been chosen to simulate the air flow inside the trachea and main bronchi. Within the last decade, CFD had become a popular choice among the researchers. The capability of the methods is proven to give good approximation results. CFD became a useful choice in the study of airways because of its capability to investigate the complex model that is difficult to do by an experimental study. Literature shows that the human airways consist of 25 generations (branches of bronchial tree), and the diameter of each generation will reduce to less than 1 mm in the last generation, alveolar (Gemci *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, due to these complexities, CFD could give a better alternative for the studies. Recently, Romula *et al.*, (2011) suggested the use of CFD simulation method to assess the tracheal stenosis using deformable shape models.

In this study, pressure drop of airflow and velocity distribution have been shown as the result analysis. The reason to choose these two mechanical flow behaviors as a significant indicator is because theoretically, any working fluid flowing inside a constricted pipe will experience a sudden and momentous pressure drop and velocity will increase. In this case, trachea structure is almost likely same with pipe condition. Thus, any pressure drop and velocity distribution during simulation have been analyzed with a few assumptions. As the hypothesis for this study, if extreme pressure drop and great velocity increase noted during simulation, it means the condition of the real trachea with its stenosis factors are very worse and not function well to support breathing cycle. If this situation happens, it is actually the warning to the patient condition, and the medical practices should proceed with the proper treatment.

Simplified models based on Schlesinger and Lippmann, (1972) and realistic models derived from Computed Tomography (CT) scan images were used to identify the behaviour of flow parameters. Although the asymmetric simplified model was widely to simulate the airflow because it provided ideal geometry, its reliability was somewhat

questionable. However, the accuracy still can be improved by refining technique of grid mesh generation and modeling of flow solver. Simplified model proposed by Schlesinger and Lippmann, (1972) was selected for this study because it provided an asymmetric geometry, fine cylinder tube without any abnormalities and totally suit to be patched with 'web-like' stenosis.

Nevertheless, the realistic geometry of airways based on CT and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) images are required in the application of practical fields such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) research, aerosol therapy and dose estimation, risk estimation of respiratory disease, lung cancer research and others (Yang *et al.*, 2006 and Paolo *et al.*, 2006). In this study, the images from CT scan were used together with simplified model in simulating the air flow. The images obtained were computationally converted to numerical modeling for the simulation purpose. As the CT images used in this study was noted to have abnormalities of shape at the upper third of a trachea, which is suspicions for stenosis, this study initiates a new technique of remodeling stenosis to be placed at other regular locations along the tracheal lumen. This method could be an improvement of modeling technique in patient-specific airway analysis. Limitation of images from the medical institution can be aided by regeneration or simulation of stenosis shape at different location.

The overall results show that presence of stenosis was proven to produce moderate to severe pressure drop as the locations of stenosis moved closer to the tracheal bifurcation for both actual and simplified models. Two stages of pressure drop behavior also appeared consistently as the size of stenosis increased at all sites. For all cases studied, significant changed in the velocity pattern was observed, which suggested distorted flow rates into the bifurcation.

## 1.2 Problem statement

Difficulties to analyze the effect of tracheal stenosis at early stage were discussed by Spittle and McCluskey, (2000), and Schuurmans M.M. *et al.*, (2004). Several researchers like Cebra and Summers, (2004), Brouns *et al.*, (2007), Jayaraju *et al.*, (2007), Arpad Farkas and Imre Balashazy, (2007) and Yang *et al.*, (2007) used an alternative method by using CFD to simulate the breathing flow condition in the trachea and main bronchi with the presence of stenosis. They had studied on how significant the factors of location and size of stenosis could affect the flow behavior in the human airway separately. Nevertheless, according to McCaffrey *et al.*, (1992) and Freitag *et al.*, (2007), the effect of location and size of stenosis come together and typically asymptomatic.

It was expected that small size stenosis would have no effect on the airflow pattern. However, if the location of stenosis was nearer to the bifurcation, even a little stenosis was sufficient to modify the airflow pattern. This is because the airflow would not be able to improve due to limitation of length before entering the bifurcation. Thus, it would change the inlet condition of the bifurcation region. The same situation was also expected to experience by the trachea with stenosis size more than 50% located at the top of the trachea. Although the location was far from the bifurcation, that size of stenosis was believed to be sufficient to cause the airflow exhibit nonlinear flow until the bifurcation region. As mentioned in introduction section, CFD was used to analyze the flow parameters inside the complex model of stenotic trachea and normal trachea. The CFD method is capable to investigate the complicated model that is difficult to do by an experimental study (Romula *et al.*, 2011).

The lack of image sources and computer processors resulted in the limitation for the numerical study of tracheal stenosis to allow the performance on the realistic model

of human airway. In this study, the model derived from CT scan images was used together with simplified model in simulating the air flow. The new remodeling technique to generate the stenosis at different locations on the tracheal wall was performed to mimic the real situation.

This simulation study of tracheal stenosis is believed to be an alternative method to support the current clinical practice. The analysis of the airflow pattern can provide a possible potential risk indicator of stenosis severity to the patient.

### **1.3 Objectives of the research**

To analyze the effects of tracheal stenosis on flow behavior of human airway, the objectives are drawn:

- i. To compare the simplified and realistic model derived from CT scan images in simulating airway flow in the trachea with different flow rates.
- ii. To determine the behavior of flow parameters through the trachea and main bronchi with the presence of tracheal stenosis.
- iii. To investigate the correlation between location and size of the tracheal stenosis to breathing difficulties.