

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 457-460

## Chemistry of xanthorrhizol: synthesis of several bisabolane sesquiterpenoids from xanthorrhizol

Hasnah M. Sirat,\* Ngai Mun Hong<sup>†</sup> and Muhd Haffiz Jauri

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 80310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia

Received 22 September 2006; revised 30 October 2006; accepted 9 November 2006

Abstract—(-)-Xanthorrhizol (1) isolated from the rhizomes of *Curcuma xanthorrhiza* has been transformed to several bisabolanetype sesquiterpenoids, in a stereoselective manner. 10R- and 10S-10,11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxyxanthorrhizols (2, 3), (-)-curcuquinone (4), (-)-curcuhydroquinone (5), helibisabonol A (7) and allylic alcohol 8 have been prepared from xanthorrhizol in optically active forms. All the routes involved a Sharpless AD to introduce the stereogenic centre at C-10. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

(-)-Xanthorrhizol (1), the major component of the essential oil of Curcuma xanthorrhiza, is a bisabolanetype sesquiterpenoid containing a stereogenic centre at the benzylic position. It was first isolated in 1970 and its absolute configuration was assigned as  $R^{1}$ . With regards to its bioactivity, it has been shown that xanthorrhizol (1) exhibits antibacterial activity against Streptococcus mutans (MIC =  $2 \mu g/mL$ ).<sup>2</sup> Although nine syntheses have been reported for xanthorrhizol,<sup>1b,3</sup> the chemistry of 1 has not been explored fully. Aguilar et al. prepared several simple derivatives of xanthorrhizol, which displayed mild antifungal activity, but did not show cytotoxic activity towards certain human cell lines.<sup>4</sup> Thus, it is of interest to study the chemistry of 1 in order to exploit the readily availability of xanthorrhizol as a precursor for the preparation other useful compounds.

We noted that xanthorrhizol (1) is a potential chiral starting material for the synthesis of bisabolane-type sesquiterpenoids. Compound 1 can be converted to several naturally occurring sesquiterpenoids, namely (10R/10S)-10,11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxyxanthorrhizols (2, 3), (-)-curcuquinone (4), (-)-curcuhydroquinone (5) and helibisabonol A (7). Compounds 2 and 3 were

isolated as minor constituents from the Mexican medicinal plant, Iostephane heterophylla, using bioguided fractionation.<sup>5</sup> Curcuquinone (4) and curculydroquinone (5) were isolated from the Caribbean gorgonian *Pseudo*pterogorgia rigida and show antibacterial properties against Staphylococcus aureus and Vibrio anguillarum.<sup>6</sup> Helibisabonol A (7), is an allelochemical isolated by Macías and co-workers from the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts of dried sunflower leaves (Helianthus annuus L. cv. Peredovick).7 The allylic alcohol derivative of xanthorrhizol, 2-methyl-5-(4S-hydroxy-1R,5-dimethylhex-5-enyl)-phenol (8) is a bisabolane-type sesquiterpenoid found in the Mexican medicinal plant, I. heterophylla<sup>8</sup> and recently has been isolated from the resins of the African plant, Commiphora kua. Manguro et al. reported that allylic alcohol 8 inhibited the growth of the plant pathogenic fungus Cladosporium cucumerinum.9

To date, no enantioselective synthesis has been reported for triols 2 and 3, helibisabonol A (7) and allylic alcohol 8. There are literature reports on the synthesis of curcuquinone (2) and curcuhydroquinone (3) in racemic form<sup>10</sup> and only a few reports on the optically active forms.<sup>3i,11</sup> In this paper, we report the stereoselective syntheses of compounds 2–7, (7*R*,10*R*)-helibisabonol A (21), methyl 9 and benzyl 10 ethers and the naturally occurring allylic alcohol 8, starting from naturally occurring xanthorrhizol (1).

Hydrodistillation of the chopped fresh rhizomes of *C. xanthorrhiza* yielded the essential oil in 1.14% yield. The essential oil was subjected to vacuum liquid chromatography to give xanthorrhizol in 20% yield. It

*Keywords*: Xanthorrhizol; (10*R*/10*S*)-10,11-Dihydro-10,11-dihydroxyxanthorrhizols; (–)-Curcuquinone; (–)-Curcuhydroquinone; Helibisabonol A; Sharpless AD.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +60 7 5534319; fax: +60 7 5566162; e-mail: hasnah@kimia.fs.utm.my

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Chemistry, 3 Science Drive 3, National University of Singapore 117543, Singapore.

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.11.051



proved difficult to isolate 1 in high purity, but this posed no problem because the subsequent reaction steps facilitated isolation.

The synthetic route to triols **2** and **3** is illustrated in Scheme 1. First, xanthorrhizol (1) was protected as its acetate (11). The acetate group served as a protecting group and at the same time facilitated compound purification. Thus, pure **11** was easily obtained after column chromatography albeit starting with approximately 70% pure xanthorrhizol. Acetate **11** was subjected to an asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) reaction employing AD-mix- $\alpha^{12}$  in the presence of methanesulfonamide in aqueous *tert*-butanol at 0 °C to give diol **12** in 62% yield. The diastereomeric excess of **12** was >98% as determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of its (*S*)-MTPA [ $\alpha$ methoxy- $\alpha$ -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid] ester



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, py, rt, 72%; (b) ADmix-α, MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), 0 °C, 62% (12), 53% (15); (c) AD-mix-β, MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), 0 °C, 65% (13), 29% (16); (d) satd NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (2:1), rt, 68% (2), 71% (3); (e) benzyl bromide, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, reflux, 51%; (f), H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 96% (2), 95% (3).

derivative. The absolute configuration of the newly formed stereogenic centre was deduced to be *S* by the modified Mosher method.<sup>13</sup> Diol **12** was treated with aqueous sodium bicarbonate to give triol **2**. The overall yield of **2** was 30%. The diastereomer of **2**, (7R,10R)-**3** was obtained in 33% overall yield, following the same sequence of reactions except using AD-mix- $\beta$  instead.

Triols 2 and 3, have also been synthesised by employing a benzyl group as a protecting group. The approach is similar to the acetate. Xanthorrhizol (1) was converted into benzyloxy derivative 14 without much difficulty. Treatment of 14 with AD-mix- $\alpha$  under the same conditions as above gave diol 15 in 53% yield. On the other hand, when benzyloxy derivative 14 was subjected to an AD reaction with AD-mix- $\beta$ , compound 16 was obtained in 29% yield. Catalytic hydrogenolysis (Pd/C) of both diols 15 and 16 gave triols 2 and 3 in 96% and 95% yields, respectively. The overall yield was 26% for 15 and 14% for 16.

The spectroscopic and physical properties of synthetic **2** and  $3^{14}$  were similar with those of the natural products except for the optical rotation of **3**. The optical rotation of synthetic (7*R*,10*R*)-(**3**) was +3.33 (*c* 0.30, MeOH), while naturally occurring **3** (obtained from  $\beta$ -cellulase hydrolysis of xanthorrhizol glycoside) had the opposite sign and was much larger,  $[\alpha]_D -57$  (*c* 0.30, MeOH).<sup>5</sup>

Another differences between synthetic 2 and 3 and natural 2 and 3 were the coupling constants of 10-H. The coupling constants reported in the literature [ $\delta$  3.37 (dd, J 12.6, 2.7 Hz) for natural 2, and  $\delta$  3.30 (dd, J 13.9, 4.8 Hz)] for natural 3 were larger than those of the synthetic products [ $\delta$  3.37 (br d, J 10.2 Hz) for synthetic 2 and  $\delta$  3.30 (dd, J 9.9, 2.1 Hz) for synthetic 3]. However, it is interesting to note that the coupling constants of the oxymethine proton of closely related compounds 17 and 18 were J 10.0, 2.0 Hz and J 9.6, 2.8 Hz, respectively,<sup>15</sup> which are closer to our values. Based on these comparisons, the J values for the oxymethine groups of synthetic triols 2 and 3 are in agreement with the reported values of compounds 17 and 18.<sup>15</sup>



The synthetic route to helibisabonol A (7) is summarised in Scheme 2. Treatment of xanthorrhizol with Fremy's salt (potassium nitrosulfonate) under buffered conditions (pH 6.45) gave curcuquinone (4) { $[\alpha]_D -4.58$  (*c* 2.62, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); lit.<sup>6</sup>  $[\alpha]_D -1.3$  (*c* 2.62, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)}, which was subsequently reduced to curcuhydroquinone (5) { $[\alpha]_D -48.3$  (*c* 0.89, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); lit.<sup>11b</sup>  $[\alpha]_D -48.0$  (*c* 2.78, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)} by sodium dithionite. Curcuhydroquinone (5) was isolated as white crystals, with a mp of 93–96 °C, whereas it had been isolated and synthesised previously as a colourless oil (on one occasion from *Pseudopterogorgia acerosa* as white crystals, with a mp of 86–87 °C).<sup>16</sup> The spectroscopic properties of 4 and 5



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) (KO<sub>3</sub>S)<sub>2</sub>NO<sup>•</sup> (Fremy's salt), MeOH, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>–Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 6, rt, 56%; (b) Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:2), rt, 90%; (c) benzyl bromide, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, reflux, 36%; (d) AD-mix-α, MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), 0 °C, 92%; (e) AD-mix-β, MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), 0 °C, 40%; (f) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 84% (7), 92% (21).

were in good agreement with the literature data. Sequential protection of **5** as its dibenzyloxy ether and asymmetric dihydroxylation of the protected hydroquinone (**19**) with AD-mix- $\alpha$  gave dibenzyloxy helibisabonol A (**6**) in 92% yield from compound (**5**). The diastereomeric excess was >98% [(S)-MTPA ester] and the absolute configuration at C-10 in **6** was determined to be S based on the modified Mosher's method.<sup>13</sup>

The remaining synthetic task was the deprotection of the benzyl groups to form helibisabonol A. Cleavage of the benzyl groups by hydrogenolysis with H<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Pd/C as catalyst afforded helibisabonol A (7)<sup>17</sup> in 24% overall yield. The diastereomer of (7), (7*R*,10*R*) helibisabonol A **21**, white crystals, mp 64–67 °C, was obtained following the same sequence of reactions, except ADmix- $\beta$  was used instead.<sup>17</sup>

Besides the preparation of natural products, we attempted to convert xanthorrhizol to an unnatural derivative, allylic alcohol 9. Compound 9 is the methyl ether of the naturally occurring allylic alcohol 8. The synthetic route to allylic alcohol 9 is illustrated in Scheme 3. Xanthorrhizol was first converted to O-methylxanthorrhizol (22) by treatment with MeI and  $K_2CO_3$ in refluxing acetone. The protected compound 22 was subjected to Sharpless AD employing AD-mix-a to give methoxydiol 23 in 91% isolated yield with an ee >98%. The absolute configuration at C-10 in 23 was assigned as S based on the modified Mosher's method.<sup>13</sup> Methoxydiol 23 was acetylated with acetic anhydride-pyridine to give monoacetate 24 in a quantitative yield. Dehydration of 24 was affected by treatment with methanesulfonyl chloride, triethylamine and N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) to afford allylic acetate 25. Completion of the reaction sequence was carried out by hydrolysis of allylic acetate 25 with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in methanol to furnish allylic alcohol 9 in 91% yield  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$  –16.6  $(c 1.34, CHCl_3)$ .<sup>18</sup> This synthesis afforded allylic alcohol 9 in 25% overall yield, in five steps. In addition, xanthorrhizol (1) was also protected as benzyloxy derivative 26. Compound 26 was converted to an unnatural derivative,



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeI,  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, reflux, 8 h, 65%; (b) benzyl bromide,  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, reflux, 4 h, 51%; (c) AD-mix- $\alpha$ , MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), 0 °C, 91% (23), 53% (27); (d) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, py, rt, 24 h, 99% (24), 60% (28); (e) MsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C  $\rightarrow$  rt, 3.75 h, 47% (25), 36% (29); (f) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, rt, 91% (9), 93% (10).

allylic alcohol **10**, by implementing a similar sequence of reactions as above.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that naturally occurring (-)-xanthorrhizol (1) can be used as a precursor for the synthesis of several other bisabolane-type sesquiterpenoids, including the first enantioselective syntheses of triols 2 and 3, facile and short syntheses of (-)-curcuquinone (4), (-)-curcuhydroquinone (5), helibisabonol A (7) and the epimer of helibisabonol A (21), as well as syntheses of the unnatural allylic alcohols 9 and 10.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from IRPA, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), and Scientific Allocation Grant Scheme (SAGA) from the Academy Sciences of Malaysia is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Ibnu Sina Institute for Fundamental Science Studies, UTM for the NMR facility and Professor Dr. Nordin Lajis for optical rotation measurements. NMH also acknowledges the National Science Fellowship awarded by MOSTI.

## **References and notes**

- (a) Rimpler, H.; Hänsel, R.; Kochendoerfer, L. Z. Naturforsch. 1970, 25, 995–998; (b) John, T. K.; Rao, K. Indian. J. Chem. 1985, 24B, 35–37.
- Hwang, J.-K.; Shim, J.-S.; Baek, N.-I.; Pyun, Y.-R. Planta Med. 2000, 66, 196–197.
- (a) Mane, R. B.; Rao, G. S. K. Indian J. Chem. 1974, 12B, 938–939; (b) ApSimon, J. In The Total Synthesis of Natural Products; John Wiley & Sons: USA, 1983; Vol. 5, pp 42–43; (c) Garcia, G. E.; Mendoza, V.; Guzman, B. A. J. Nat. Prod. 1987, 50, 1055–1058; (d) Krause, W.; Bohlmann, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 2575–2578; (e) Rane, R. K.; Desai, U. V.; Mane, R. B. Indian J. Chem. 1987, 26B, 572–573; (f) Nagumo, S.; Irie, S.; Hayashi, K.; Akita, H. Heterocycles 1996, 43, 1175–1178; (g) Meyers, A. I.; Stoianova, D. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5219–5221; (h) Sato, K.; Bando, T.; Shindo, M.; Shishido, K. Heterocycles 1999, 50, 11–15; (i) Fuganti, C.; Serra, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 3758–3764.
- Aguilar, M. I.; Delgado, G.; Villarreal, M. L. Rev. Soc. Quim. Mex. 2001, 45, 56–59.
- Aguilar, M. I.; Delgado, G.; Hernández, L.; Villarreal, M. L. Nat. Prod. Lett. 2001, 15, 93–101.
- McEnroe, F. J.; Fenical, W. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1661– 1664.
- Macías, F. A.; Torres, A.; Galindo, J. L. G.; Varela, R. M.; Álvarez, J. A.; Molinillo, J. M. G. *Phytochemistry* 2002, *61*, 687–692.
- Aguilar, M. I.; Delgado, G.; Bye, R.; Linaress, E. Phytochemistry 1993, 33, 1161–1163.
- Manguro, L. O. A.; Ugi, I.; Lemmen, P. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 479–482.
- (a) Sánchez, I. H.; Lemini, C.; Joseph-Nathan, P. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4666–4667; (b) Ono, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Todoroki, R.; Akita, A. Heterocycles 1994, 37, 181–185; (c) Ono, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Akita, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1995, 43, 553–558; (d) Kad, G. L.; Khurana, A.; Singh, V.; Singh, J. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1999, 164–165; (e) Vyvyan, J. R.; Loitz, C.; Looper, R. E.; Mattingly, C. S.; Peterson, E. A.; Staben, S. T. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2461–2468.
- (a) Takabatake, K.; Nishi, I.; Shindo, M.; Shishido, K. J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 2000, 1807–1808; (b) Yoshimura, T.; Kisyuku, H.; Kamei, T.; Takabatake, K.; Shindo, M.; Shishido, K. *Arkivoc* 2003, 8, 247–255.
- 12. Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483–2547.
- 13. Ohtani, I.; Kusumi, T.; Kashman, Y.; Kakisawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092–4096.
- 14. Analytical data for (7R,10S)-10,11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxyxanthorrhizol (2):  $R_{\rm f} = 0.29$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1/9);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D} - 64.7$ (c 0.51, MeOH); IR (neat) 3361, 1619, 1589, 1260 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.08 (3 H, s, H-12), 1.15 (3H, s, H-13), 1.18 (1H, m, H-9'), 1.23 (3H, d, J 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.40 (1H, m, H-9), 1.60 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.86 (1H, m, H-8), 2.21 (3H, s, H-14), 2.64 (1H, sext, J 6.9 Hz, H-7), 3.37 (1H, br d, J 10.2 Hz, H-10), 5.06 (1H, s, OH), 6.62 (1H, d, J 1.8 Hz, H-2), 6.66 (1H, dd, J 7.8, 1.8 Hz, H-6), 7.02 (1H, d, J 7.8 Hz, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 15.4 (C-14), 23.0 (C-12), 23.2 (C-15), 26.5 (C-13), 29.6 (C-9), 35.0 (C-8), 39.3 (C-7), 73.3 (C-11), 78.6 (C-10), 113.3 (C-2), 119.4 (C-6), 121.3 (C-4), 130.9 (C-5), 146.4 (C-1), 153.9 (C-3); EIMS m/z 252 (29) [M<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>], 234 (2), 216 (7), 194 (53), 175 (64), 161 (24), 148 (89), 135 (100), 121 (21), 109 (27), 91 (21), 77 (11), 67 (6), 59 (95).

For (7R,10R)-10,11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxyxanthorrhizol (**3**):  $R_{\rm f} = 0.29$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1/9);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$  +3.33 (*c* 0.30, MeOH); IR (neat) 3382, 1619, 1589, 1256 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.11 (3H, s, H-12), 1.14 (3H, s, H-13), 1.22 (3H, d, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.34 (2H, m, H-9 and H-9'), 1.58 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.85 (1H, m, H-8), 2.21 (3H, s, H-14), 2.61 (1H, sext, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-7), 3.30 (1H, dd, *J* 9.9, 2.1 Hz, H-10), 6.62 (1H, d, *J* 1.8 Hz, H-2), 6.67 (1H, dd, *J* 7.8, 1.8 Hz, H-6), 7.02 (1H, d, *J* 7.8 Hz, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  15.3 (C-14), 23.1 (C-15), 23.4 (C-12), 26.5 (C-13), 29.9 (C-9), 35.5 (C-8), 39.7 (C-7), 73.1 (C-11), 78.8 (C-10), 113.4 (C-2), 119.1 (C-6), 121.1 (C-4), 130.9 (C-5), 146.9 (C-1), 153.8 (C-3); EIMS *m*/*z* 252 (11) [M<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>], 234 (2) [M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 216 (3), 194 (17), 175 (36), 161 (15), 148 (47), 135 (100), 121 (31), 109 (17), 91 (52), 77 (28).

- Kishuku, H.; Yoshimura, T.; Kakehashi, T.; Shindo, M.; Shishido, K. *Heterocycles* 2003, 61, 125–131.
- Miller, S. L.; Tinto, W. F.; Mclean, S.; Reynolds, W. F.; Yu, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1116–1119.
- 17. Analytical data for helibisabonol A (7): colourless oil;  $R_{\rm f} = 0.16$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O = 1/4);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D} - 31.5$  (*c* 0.30, MeOH); IR (neat) 3416, 1652, 1452, 1202 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>6</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.97 (3H, s, H-12), 1.15 (3H, s, H-13), 1.18 (3H, d, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.36–1.49 (2H, m, H-9), 1.60 (1H, m, H-8), 1.79 (1H, m, H-8'), 2.09 (3H, s, H-14), 3.15 (1H, sext, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-7), 3.72 (1H, dd, *J* 4.8, 8.1 Hz, H-10), 6.58 (1H, s, H-3), 6.62 (1H, s, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>6</sub>O)  $\delta$  14.8 (C-14), 20.8 (C-15), 22.4 (C-12), 25.5 (C-13), 27.5 (C-9), 31.6 (C-7), 34.3 (C-8), 79.6 (C-11), 83.0 (C-10), 113.1 (C-6), 117.4 (C-3), 121.8 (C-4), 131.2 (C-1), 147.4 (C-5), 148.4 (C-2).

For 7*R*,10*R*-(**21**): white crystals; mp: 64–67 °C;  $R_{\rm f} = 0.16$ (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O = 1/4); IR (neat) 3514, 1638, 1533, 1425, 1251 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>6</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.98 (3H, s, H-12), 1.16 (3H, d, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.19 (3H, s, H-13), 1.36–1.48 (2H, m, H-9), 1.62–1.79 (2H, m, H-8), 2.09 (3H, s, H-14), 3.10 (1H, sext, *J* 6.9 Hz, H-7), 3.66 (1H, dd, *J* 3.9, 8.7 Hz, H-10), 6.58 (1H, s, H-3), 6.62 (1H, s, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>6</sub>O)  $\delta$  15.0 (C-14), 20.7 (C-15), 22.4 (C-12), 25.5 (C-13), 27.4 (C-8), 34.6 (C-9), 32.1 (C-7), 79.7 (C-11), 83.5 (C-10), 113.0 (C-6), 117.3 (C-3), 121.6 (C-4), 131.1 (C-1), 147.1 (C-5), 148.3 (C-2).

18. Analytical data for allylic alcohol (9): colourless oil;  $R_{\rm f} = 0.24$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 8/2);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -16.6$  (c 1.34, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3382, 2936, 2868, 1612, 1583, 1500, 1457, 1414, 1255, 1135, 1043, 900, 853, 815 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (3H, d, J 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.39–1.64 (4H, m, H-8, H-9), 1.66 (3H, t, J 1.2 Hz, H-13), 2.18 (3H, s, H-14), 2.66 (1H, sext, J 6.9 Hz, H-7), 3.83 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.03 (1H, m, H-10), 4.82 (1H, m, H-12b), 4.91 (1H, m, H-12a), 6.64 (1H, d, J 1.5 Hz, H-2), 6.68 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 1.5 Hz, H-6), 7.04 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 0.6 Hz, H-5); EIMS m/z 248 (37)  $[M^+, C_{16}H_{24}O_2], 230 (2) [M-H_2O]^+, 205 (4), 189 (5), 175$ (4), 162 (100), 149 (100), 135 (47), 123 (41), 117 (19), 105 (16), 91 (51), 84 (6), 77 (20), 71 (26); HREIMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 248.1776, found 248.1773. For allylic alcohol (10): colourless oil;  $R_f = 0.55$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 2/3); IR (neat) 3403, 3066, 3030, 2926, 2865, 1649, 1610, 1582, 1510, 1453, 1419, 1378, 1308, 1254, 1161, 1132, 1025, 899, 850, 815 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.26 (3H, d, J 6.9 Hz, H-15), 1.42 (2H, m, H-9), 1.58 (2H, m, H-8), 1.68 (3H, s, H-13), 2.28 (3H, s, H-14), 2.69 (1H, sext, J 6.9 Hz, H-7), 4.03 (1H, t, J 6 Hz, H-10), 4.84 (1H, m, H-12b), 4.93 (1H, m, H-12a), 5.12 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.73 (1H, d, J 1.5, H-2), 6.75 (1H, dd, J 1.5, 7.8 Hz, H-6), 7.09 (1H, dd, J 0.6, 7.8 Hz, H-5), 7.32-7.39 (5H, m, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 16.0 (C-14), 17.5 (C-13), 22.4 (C-15), 33.0 (C-9), 33.9 (C-8), 30.9 (C-7), 70.3 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 75.7 (C-10), 110.8 (C-8), 112.2 (C-12), 119.3 (C-6), 124.7 (C-4), 126.8–128.4 (C-2'–C-6'), 130.5 (C-5), 137.7 (C-1'), 146.4 (C-1), 148.8 (C-11), 157.0 (C-3); HRMS calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 324.2180, found 324.2182.