

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF CASES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background Of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	6
1.3	Objectives Of The Study	8
1.4	Scope And Limitations Of The Study	8
1.5	Significance Of The Study	9
1.6	Research Methodology	9
1.6.1	Stage 1: Identifying Research Issue	10
1.6.2	Stage 2: Literature Review	10
1.6.3	Stage 3: Data And Information Collection	10
1.6.4	Stage 4: Research Analysis	11

1.6.5	Stage 5: Conclusion And Recommendations	11
1.7	Research Flow Chart	12
1.8	Conclusion	13
1.8.1	Chapter 1: Introduction	13
1.8.2	Chapter 2: Liquidated And Ascertained Damages (LAD)	13
1.8.3	Chapter 3: Mitigation	14
1.8.4	Chapter 4: Requirements of Mitigation and The Extent of Mitigation in Enforcing LAD Provisions	14
1.8.5	Chapter 5: Conclusion And Recommendations	14

CHAPTER 2 LIQUIDATED AND ASCERTAINED DAMAGES (LAD)

2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Breach Of Contract	17
2.2.1	Remedies For Breach Of Contract	19
2.3	Damages	20
2.3.1	General Principles of Damages	21
2.3.2	Types Of Damages	22
2.3.3	Statutory Provisions	24
2.3.4	Recovery Of Damages	26
	2.3.4.1 Remoteness Of Damage	27
	2.3.4.2 Measure Of Damage	29
2.3.5	Proof Of Damages	30
2.4	Liquidated And Ascertained Damages (LAD)	32
2.4.1	Express Contractual Provisions	32
2.4.2	Definition Of LAD	34
2.4.3	Merit Of The LAD Provision	35
2.4.4	Advantages Of LAD Provision	37
2.5	Component Costs Of LAD	38
2.5.1	Loss Of Income	39

2.5.2	Financing Loss	40
2.5.3	Business Disruption Loss	40
2.5.4	Management Costs	41
2.5.5	Professional Fees	41
2.6	LAD And Penalties	41
2.6.1	Distinction Between LAD And Penalties	42
2.6.2	Pleading Cases In Distinguishing LAD And Penalties	44
2.7	Liquidated And Ascertained Damages: The Malaysian Position	46
2.7.1	Applicable Statutory Provision	46
2.7.2	Interpretation Of Section 75 Of Contracts Act 1950	47
2.7.3	Recovery Of Liquidated And Ascertained Damages (LAD)	51
2.8	Conclusion	53

CHAPTER 3 MITIGATION

3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Definition Of Mitigation	55
3.3	General Rules And Principles Of Mitigation	55
3.4	Mitigation In Malaysian Position	57
3.5	The Duty To Mitigate	59
3.6	Limitation Of Mitigation Upon Recovery Of Damages	63
3.7	Mitigation In Building Contracts	64
3.8	Significance Aspects In Relation To Mitigation	65
3.9	Conclusion	67

CHAPTER 4 REQUIREMENTS OF MITIGATION AND THE EXTENT OF MITIGATION IN ENFORCING LAD PROVISIONS

4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Requirements Of Mitigation in Enforcing LAD Provisions	70
4.2.1	Malaysian Law	70
4.2.2	English Law	71
4.2.3	English Commercial Law	74
4.2.4	Requirements Of Mitigation In Building Contracts	75
4.3	To What Extent That Employer Has To Mitigate His Losses In Enforcing LAD Provisions	78
4.3.1	The Extent In Loss Mitigation	78
4.3.2	Reasonableness In Taking The Duty To Mitigate	79
4.3.3	Bottom Line Of Mitigation	80
4.4	Conclusion	81

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Research's Findings	83
5.2.1	Objective 1: To Determine The Requirements Of Mitigation In Enforcing The LAD Provisions in Construction Contracts	84
5.2.2	Objective 2: To Determine The Extent That Employer Has To Mitigate His Losses In Enforcing LAD Provisions	85
5.3	Research's Constraints	86
5.4	Suggestions For Further Research	86
5.5	Conclusion	87

REFERENCES**89****APPENDICES**

A	General Procedure in Recovery of Liquidated Damages	96
B	Clause 40 of the JKR Forms 203A (Rev 10/83)	99
C	Clause 22.0 of the PAM 1998 Forms	100
D	Clause 26 of the CIDB Form (2000 Edition)	102
E	Section 74-76 of Contracts Act 1950	104
F	Section 3, 5 of Civil Law Act 1956	110
G	Case 1: <i>Joo Leong Timber Merchant v Dr Jasawant Singh a/l Jagat Singh</i> [2003] 5 MLJ 116	114
H	Case 2: <i>Payzu Ltd. v Saunders</i> [1919] 2 K.B. 581	123
I	Case 3: <i>Selva Kumar a/l Murugiah v Thiaragajah a/l Retnasamy</i> [1955] 1 MLJ 817	127

LIST OF CASES

CASE	PAGE
<i>AMEV-UDC Finance Ltd. v Austin</i> [1986] 162 CLR 170, 19315
<i>Balfour Beatty Construction (Scotland) Ltd v Scottish Power plc</i> [1994] 71 BLR 2028
<i>Ban Hong Joo Mine Ltd. v Chen & Yap Ltd</i> [1969] 2 MLJ 8319
<i>Bhai Panna Singh v Bhai Arjun Singh</i> [AIR 1929 PC 179]47, 48, 49, 53
<i>Boyo v Lambeth London Borough Council</i> [1994] ICR 72777
<i>Brace v Clader</i> [1895] 2 Q.B. 25360
<i>British Westinghouse Electric Co. v Underground Electric Railway Co. of London</i> [1912] AC 67319, 56, 78
<i>Chiam Keng v Wan Min</i> [1924] 5 FMSLR 44
<i>Choo Yin Loo v Visuvalingam Pillay</i> [1930] 7 FMSLR 1354, 19
<i>Chou Choon Neoh v Spottiswoode</i> [1869] 1 Ky. 21673
<i>Chulas v Kolson</i> [1867] Leic.46273
<i>Chung Syn Kheng Electrical Co Bhd. v Regional Construction Sdn Bhd.</i> [1987] 2 MLJ 7634, 49
<i>Dennis v Sennyah</i> [1963] MLJ 9523
<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v New Garage & Motor Co Ltd.</i> [1915] AC 794, 16, 42
<i>Fateh Chand v Balkrishan Dass</i> AIR 1963 supreme court 14055
<i>Frank & Collingwood Ltd v. Gates</i> [1983] 1 Con LR 2122
<i>Gebruder Metel Mann GmbH & Co. KG v NBR (London) Ltd.</i> [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep 61462

<i>Government of Malaysia v Thelma Fernandez</i> [1967] 1 MLJ 1945
<i>Government of Pakistan v Seng Peng Sawmills Sdn Bhd.</i>	
[1979] 1 MLJ 21966
<i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> [1854] 9 Ex 3414, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 50, 51, 52
<i>Hong Leong Co Ltd v Pearlson Enterprise Ltd (No 2)</i>	
[1968] 1 MLJ 26223, 57, 58
<i>Hopkins v Norcross plc</i> [1993] 1 All ER 565)77
<i>Hua Khiow Steamship Co. Ltd. v Chop Guan Hin</i>	
[1930] 1 MC 175, 1 JLR 334
<i>Hutchinson v Harris</i> [1978] 10 BLR 1965
<i>Joo Leong Timber Merchant v Dr. Jaswant Singh A/L Jagat Singh</i>	
[2003] 5 MLJ 1165, 76, 77, 82, 84, 87, 88
<i>Kabatasan Timber Extraction Co. v Chong Fah Shing</i>	
[1969] 2 MLJ 65, 59
<i>Kemble v Farren</i> [1829] 6 Bing 14144
<i>Khoo Hooi Leong v Khoo Chong Yeok</i> [1930] A. C. 34673
<i>Khoo Tiang Bee v Tan Beng Guat</i> [1877] 1 Ky. 42373
<i>Kilbourne v Tan Tiang Guee</i> [1972] 2 MLJ 9423
<i>Kleinwort Benson Ltd v Birmingham City Council</i> [1996] 4 All ER 733	...77
<i>Kon Thean Soong v Tan Eng Nam</i> [1982] 1 MLJ 32375
<i>Kueh Sing Khay v Lim Boon Chuan</i> [1950] SCR 2367
<i>Larut Matang Supermarket Sdn. Bhd. v Liew Fook Yung</i>	
[1995] 1 MLJ 37917
<i>Law v Redditch Local Board</i> [1892] 1 QB 12743
<i>Linggi Plantation Ltd v Jagatheesan</i> [1972] 1 MLJ 89	...4, 17, 47, 48, 49, 53
<i>Malayan Credit Ltd. v Mohammed Kassim</i> [1965] 2 MLJ 1345
<i>Maredelanto Compania Naviera SA v Bergbau-Handel GmbH;</i>	
' <i>The Mihalis Angelos</i> ' [1970] 3 WLR 60177
<i>Morello Sdn Bhd v Jaques (International) Sdn Bhd.</i>	
[1995] 1 MLJ 577 (also reported at [1995] 2 CLJ 23,	
[1995] 1 AR 873 and [1995] 1 MAC 153)67
<i>Pacific Electrical Co Ltd v Seng Hup Electrical Co (S) Pte Ltd.</i>	
[1978] 1 MLJ 16266
<i>Paradine v Jane</i> [1647] Aleyn 261

<i>Pasuma Pharmacal Corp v McAlister & Co Ltd.</i>	
[1965] 1 MLJ 221	65, 79, 81
<i>Payzu Ltd. v Saunders</i> [1919] 2 K.B. 581	60, 61, 62, 66, 79, 81, 84, 87
<i>Penang Port Commission v Kanawagi s/o Seperumaniam</i>	
[1996] 3 MLJ 427	76
<i>Pilkington v Wood</i> [1953] 2 Ch 770; [1953] 3 WLR 522	66, 68, 80, 82, 85
<i>Public Works Commissioner v Hills</i> [1906] AC 368	45
<i>Robinson v Harman</i> [1848] 1 Ex 850	19, 28
<i>Rockingham Country v Luton Bridge Co.</i> [1929] US Ct of App	65
<i>SEA Housing Corporation Sdn. Bhd. v Lee Poh Choo</i>	
[1982] 1 MLJ 324	30
<i>Selva Kumar a/l Murugiah v Thiaragajah a/l Retnasamy</i>	
[1955] 1 MLJ 817	3, 24, 50, 52, 53, 87
<i>Selvanayagam v University of the West Indies</i>	
[1983] 1 WLR 585	64, 81
<i>Smith Construction Co. Ltd. v Phit Kirivata</i> [1955] MLJ 8	19
<i>Song Toh Chu v Chan Kiat Neo</i> [1973] 2 MLJ 206	17
<i>SS Maniam v The State of Perak</i> [1975] MLJ 75	4, 47, 48
<i>Stanor Electric Ltd v R Mansell Ltd.</i> [1988] CILL 399	44
<i>Syarikat Batu Sinar Sdn. Bhd. & Ors v UMBC Finance Bhd.</i>	
& Ors. [1990] 3 MLJ 468	73
<i>Syed Jaafar bin Syed Ibrahim v Maju Mehar Singh Travel &</i>	
<i>Tours Sdn. Bhd.</i> [1999] 4 MLJ 413	31
<i>Tan Hock Chan v Kho Teck Seng</i> [1980] 1 MLJ 308	19
<i>Tansa Enterprise Sdn Bhd v Temenang Engineering Sdn Bhd.</i>	
[1994] 2 MLJ 353	58
<i>Techno Land Improvements Ltd v British Leyland (UK) Ltd</i>	
[1979] EGD 519	76, 77, 84
<i>Tham Cheow Toh v Associated Metal Smelters Ltd</i> [1972] 1 MLJ 171 ..	28, 28
<i>Toeh Kee Keong v Tambun mining Co. Ltd</i> [1968] 1 MLJ 39	28
<i>T & S Contractors Ltd v Architectural Design Associated QBD</i>	
<i>(Official Referee's Business)</i> 16 October 1992	77
<i>Victoria (Laundry Windsor) Ltd v Newman Industries Ltd</i>	
[1949] 2 KB 528	26

Wearne Brothers (M) Ltd v Jackson [1966] 2 MLJ 155 ...4, 24, 25, 48, 49, 53

Wee Wood Industries Sdn. Bhd. v Guannex Leasing Sdn. Bhd
 [1990] 2 CLR 10604

West v Versil Ltd & Ors Court of Appeal (Civil Division)77

Westwood v Secretary of State for Employment [1985] AC 2077

*William Tompkinson & Sons Ltd. v Parochial Church Council of
 St. Michael* [1990] 6 Const. LJ 31964

Woon Hoe Kan & Sons Sdn. Bhd. v Bandar Raya Development Bhd.
 [1972] 1 MLJ 7517

WT Malouf Pty Ltd v Brinds Ltd [1981] 52 FLR 4424

Yerkey v Jones [1940] 63 CLR 64919

LIST OF FIGURES

FUGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.7	Research Flow Chart	12

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Appeal Cases
AIR	-	All India Reports
Bing	-	Bingham Reports
BLR	-	British Law Reports
Con LR	-	Construction Law Reports
Ex	-	Exchequer Reports
FMSLR	-	Federated Malay States Law Reports
ICE	-	Institute of Civil Engineering
JLR	-	Johore Law Reports
KB (or QB)	-	King's (or Queen's) Bench
LAD	-	Liquidated and Ascertained Damages
Lloyd's Rep	-	Lloyd's List Law Reports
MC	-	Malayan Cases
MLJ	-	Malayan Law Journal
PAM	-	Pertubuhan Arkitek Malaysia
PC	-	Privy Council
PCC	-	Privy Council Cases
PWD	-	Public Work Department
SCR	-	Supreme Court Reports
SIA	-	Singapore Institute of Architects
SO	-	Superintending Officer
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
WLR	-	Weekly Law Reports

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	General Procedure in Recovery of Liquidated Damages	96
B	Clause 40 of the JKR Forms 203A (Rev 10/83)	99
C	Clause 22.0 of the PAM 1998 Forms	100
D	Clause 26 of the CIDB Form (2000 Edition)	102
E	Section 74-76 of Contracts Act 1950	104
F	Section 3, 5 of Civil Law Act 1956	110
G	Case 1: <i>Joo Leong Timber Merchant v Dr Jasawant Singh a/l Jagat Singh</i> [2003] 5 MLJ 116	114
H	Case 2: <i>Payzu Ltd. v Saunders</i> [1919] 2 K.B. 581	123
I	Case 3: <i>Selva Kumar a/l Murugiah v Thiaragajah a/l Retnasamy</i> [1955] 1 MLJ 817	127

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION