

THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF FILL SUBJECTED TO APPLIED
STRESS AND INUNDATION

MOHAMED MUFTAH R. ALROWAIMI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnic)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2006

ABSTRACT

Cut and fill in construction is inevitable in order to achieve the desired formation level for a structure. Structures maybe constructed on compacted fill provided it is compacted according to the requirement specification. However, for poorly compacted soil the settlements of foundation can be caused by consolidation of compressible fill under foundation loading, consolidation of the fill under its own weight, consolidation of the natural ground beneath the fill and the collapse settlement due to inundation. This project is concerned with the settlement of foundation not only due to the increment in the applied stress but also the compressibility due to inundation of water. A series of consolidation tests has been conducted on lateritic clay samples, prepared at various densities representing the poorly, well-compacted density and at maximum dry density. It was observed in this study that the collapse potential for UTM high plasticity Lateritic clay might cause moderate trouble or trouble for the foundation on poorly or non-compacted soil. While the main settlement was occurred due to the increment in the applied stresses. However, the collapse potential increases with the decrement in the moisture content which reflects reduction in the dry density of the soil. The collapse potential also shows an increase trend as applied stress increases.

Keyword: Soil compressibility, foundation settlements, fills, collapse potential

ABSTRAK

Pengorekan dan tambakan adalah proses yang tidak boleh dielakkan dalam mendapatkan aras laras yang dikehendaki bagi sesuatu struktur. Struktur berkemungkinan dibina di atas tanah tambakan yang dipadatkan mengikut spesifikasi. Walaubagaimanapun bagi tanah yang tidak dipadatkan dengan sempurna, enapan asas boleh disebabkan oleh pengukuhan akibat daripada mampatan oleh beban dari asas, pengukuhan akibat beban sendiri, pengukuhan oleh dasar semula jadi yang terletak di bawah tambakan dan enapan runtuh (*collapse settlement*) akibat daripada pемbanjiran. Projek ini mengambil kira enapan asas yang bukan sahaja diakibatkan oleh pertambahan di dalam tegasan tetapi juga mengambil kira enapan akibat daripada pемbanjiran oleh air. Satu siri ujian *oedometer* telah dijalankan pada sampel tanah liat berlaterit, yang disediakan pada ketumpatan yang berbeza-beza yang mewakili tanah yang tidak dipadatkan dengan sempurna, yang dipadatkan dengan sempurna dan pada ketumpatan kering maksimum. Dalam kajian ini, telah didapati bahawa potensi boleh runtuh (*collapse potential*) bagi tanah liat berlaterit yang mempunyai nilai keplastikan yang tinggi di UTM boleh menyebabkan masalah yang sederhana atau masalah kepada asas yang terletak pada tanah yang tidak dipadatkan dengan sempurna atau yang tidak dipadatkan. Sementara enapan utama berlaku disebabkan oleh pertambahan tegasan. Walaubagaimanapun potensi boleh runtuh (*collapse potential*) meningkat dengan penurunan nilai kandungan lembapan yang mewakili penurunan nilai ketumpatan kering tanah. Selain itu, nilai potensi boleh runtuh (*collapse potential*) juga meningkat apabila tegasan yang dikenakan meningkat.

Katakunci : Kebolehmampatan tanah, enapan asas, tambakan, potensi boleh runtuh

TABEL OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	3
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Construction of fill	5
	2.2 Types of Settlement	6
	2.2.1 Immediate Settlement (Elastic)	7
	2.2.2 Primary Consolidation settlement	7

	2.2.2.1	Terzaghi's theory	8
	2.2.2.2	State of consolidation of clayey soils	8
	2.2.3	Secondary Consolidation settlement	10
2.3		Specifications for Engineered Fills	10
	2.3.1	Fill categories	11
	2.3.2	Fill placement	12
	2.3.3	Quality control	12
2.4		Method of Compacting Collapse soil	13
2.5		Collapse Behavior of Lateritic Soil (Case Study I)	15
2.6		Construction of Trench Backfill of Collapse Soil (Case Study II)	16
2.7		The Effect of Inundation and the Behavior of Collapse Settlement (Case Study III)	18
2.8		Prediction of the Collapse Potential of Fills (Case Study IV)	20
2.9		The Assessment of the Collapse Potential of Fills (Case Study V)	22
2.10		Summary for the Cases of the study	24
III		METHODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introduction	26
	3.2	Soil Sample Collection	26
	3.3	Classification Tests	27
	3.3.1	Particle Size Distribution	28
	3.3.2	Atterbeg Limits	30
	3.3.3	Specific Gravity	32
	3.3.4	Compaction Test	32
	3.4	Preparation of Soil Sample	34
	3.5	Odometer Test	35
IV		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1	Introduction	38

4.2	Soil classification Tests	38
4.2.1	Particle Size Distribution	39
4.2.2	Atterbeg Limits	40
4.2.2.2	Plastic Limit	40
4.2.2.3	Plasticity Index	41
4.2.2.1	Liquid Limit	41
4.2.3	Specific Gravity	42
4.2.4	Summary of Soil Classification	43
4.3	Compaction test	43
4.4	Oedometer test	45
4.5	Case Study	51
V	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1	Conclusion	55
5.2	Recommendation	56
	REFERENCES	57
	APPENDICES A-B	59-95

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The relationship between the collapse potential & the severity of the problem	21
3.1	The Oedometer Samples	37
4.1	Percentage of soil compositions	39
4.2	Specific Gravity Values	42
4.3	Summary of Soil Classification	43
4.4	Optimum moisture content and maximum dry density	44
4.5	The percentage of compaction	45
4.6	Collapse Potential Values	46
4.7	Relation of Collapse Potential to the Severity of Foundation Problems	47
4.8	The Compression Index Values	50

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	e log P curve for clayey soil indicating, P_c	9
3.1	Flow Chart of Methodology	27
3.2	A set of sieves	29
3.3	Liquid limit test (Cone penetration test)	31
3.4	Plastic limit test apparatus	32
3.5	Compaction apparatus	33
3.6	The Dry Unit Weight-Water Content Curve	34
3.7	(a) Static Compression Mold (b) Preparation of soil sample	35
3.8	A typical consolidation apparatus	36
4.1	Particle Size Distribution Chart	40
4.2	Penetration Vs Moisture Content	41

4.3	Plasticity Chart	42
4.4	Compaction Curve	44
4.5	Collapse Potential versus Moisture Content	48
4.6	Collapse Potential versus Effective Pressure	49
4.7	Average Compression Index values versus dry densities	50
4.8	The shallow foundation of the case study	51

LIST OF SYMBOLS

CP	-	Collapse potential
C _c	-	Compression index
C _v	-	Vertical coefficient of consolidation
G _s	-	Specific gravity
e	-	Void ratio of the soil
e _o	-	Initial void ratio of the soil
γ	-	Unit weight
γ _d	-	Dry unit weight
F	-	Void Ratio change factor
W	-	Water content
<i>u_e</i>	-	Excess pore pressure

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A1	Data of Particle Size Distribution	59
A2	Data of Liquid Limit (Cone Penetrometer Method) & Plastic Limit	63
A3	Data of Standard Proctor Compaction test	64
B	Data of Oedometer test	67

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Most of developing countries have to build various infrastructures such as highway, building, power supplies, railway and etc. Before building these structures, the soil at the site have to be consolidated using a consolidating machine to prevent the failure or collapsing of the structure. This is due to the soil that will consolidate itself as the load is increase to squeeze out some of the porewater. When the soil settles itself, the structures will fail and thus proven fatal to people involve with the structures.

Knowing the consolidation characteristic, Geotechnical engineer can avoid many problems related to the construction on the soft soil. The most critical soft soil problem is the differential settlement which will cause the building to crack.

Settlements of foundation constructed on fill can cause:

- a. Consolidation of compressible fill under foundation loading.
- b. Consolidation of the fill under its own weight.
- c. Consolidation of the natural ground beneath the fill under the combined weight of the fill and the structure [1].

If the structure is light the movement due to (a) above will be small even in a poorly compacted fill (*it is presumed that founding on very soft clayey fill would not be considered*). The movement due to (b) depends on the depth and compaction of the fill layer and the conditions under which it was placed. Meyerhof has published his curves, which will illustrate the beneficial effect of compacting the fill in layers at the time of placing. Well-compacted sand, gravel, shale, chalk and rock fills show settlements of only one-half percent of their height, whereas poorly compacted chalk fills may settle up to 1 per cent. Well-compacted clay fills show settlements up to one-half percent of their height compared with 1 to 2 per cent for lightly compacted clay placed in deep layers. Sand fills placed by pumping show very small settlements above ground water level due to the consolidating effect of the downward percolating water. On the other hand pumped clay fills show very severe settlement. With controlled tipping in layers the settlement of domestic refuse can be restricted to about 10 per cent of the height, and settlement of a 3m deep fill can be expected to be complete in about five to ten years. When building over poorly compacted fill, it is advisable to use raft foundations [1].

In this project, it is assumed that the soil underneath the fill is incompressible and the foundation is simulated in the Oedometer test.

1.2 Problem Statement

In order to solve the problems that the engineers normally face due to the insufficient compaction at the field area, a study on the compressibility of the shallow foundation on fill is conducted. In most of the construction works, it has noted that the

majority of the compaction works do not follow the engineering standards in term of:

- i. The thickness and number of the layers for the compacted fill.
- ii. The number of the passes of the compaction machineries [17].

That is definitely affect any structure built on that poorly compacted fill and causes a significant consolidation settlement especially for the buildings with shallow foundations. A sudden settlement due to the effect of inundation has considered as the most dangerous phenomenon for building constructed on fill. Investigation has to be conducted on this soil type to see whether the soil is susceptible to collapse settlement.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are as follow:

- (i.) To study the compressibility of the shallow foundation on compacted fills.
- (ii.) To investigate the effect of inundation on the potential collapse settlement.

1.4 Scope of Study

The study focused on the identification, classification and the engineering characteristic of the poorly compacted clayey soil.

- i. All soil samples for the study are disturbed sample and were taken from UTM area.

- ii. Laboratory works include the physical and geotechnical properties of the soil, Atterberg Limit, Specific Gravity, Particle Size Distribution, Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture Content.
- iii. Compaction Test (Standard Proctor Method)
- iv. Oedometer Test on poorly compacted clay with different moisture content and clay densities.

This project focuses and considers the natural soil, which does not contain any organic or chemical Content. Additionally, it is assumed that the soil underneath the fill is incompressible.

REFERENCES

1. Tomlinson, MJ. *Foundation Design and Construction*. 7th Edition; 2001
2. British Standards Institution. *Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes*. London, BS 1377;1990
3. Mandal, J.N. and Divshikar, D.G. *Soil Testing in Civil Engineering*; 1995
4. McCarthy, D.F. *Essentials Of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, Basic Geotechnics*. 5th Edition; 1998
5. Trenter, N.A. and Charles, J.A. *A Model Specification for Engineering Fills for Building Purposes*. Proc. Instn Civ. Engng, 119, Oct., pp. 219-230; 1996
6. Krutov, V.I. *Construction Of Trench Backfills Simultaneously with Preparation of Bases for Floors and Foundations of Equipment on Collapsible Soils*. Scientific-Research Institute of Foundation, pp. 155-160; 1977
7. Krutov, V.I. *Choice of Method of Compaction Of Collapsing (Loess)*. General Interdepartmental Institute for Raising the Qualifications of Construction Workers and Specialists, pp. 41-45;1992
8. Vilar, O.M., Machado, S.L. and Bueno, B.D.S. *Collapse Behavior of a Compacted Lateritic Soil*; 1998
9. Vargas, M. *Structurally Unstable Soils in Southern Brazil*. Proc. VIII Int. Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Eng., Moscow, Vol. 2.2, pp. 239-246; 1973
10. Vilar, O.M. *Confined Compression of Cenozoic Sediment from São Carlos*. Master Thesis, University of São Paulo; 1979
11. Charles, J.A. and Skinner H.D. *Compressibility of Foundation Fills*. Proceeding of the Institute of Civil Engineers: Geotechnical Engineering, v 149, n 3, pp. 145-157; 2001

12. Gofar, N. *Prediction of the Collapse Potential of Fills*. Civil Engineering Research Seminar, Sepka, pp. 255-265; 2004
13. Das, B.M. *Principle of Foundation Engineering*. 5th Edition; 2004
14. Charles, J.A. and Watts, K.S. *The Assessment of the Collapse Potential of Fills and its Significance for Building on Fill*. Proc. of the Instn of Civ. Engrs: Geotech Engng, 119, pp. 15-28; 1996
15. Lutenegger, A.J. and Saber, R.T. *Determination of Collapse Potential of Soils*. Geotechnical Testing Journal. Vol.11, No.3, pp. 173-178; 1988
16. Gofar, N. and Kassim K. A. *Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*. Prentice Hall, Singapore; 2005
17. Geo S. S. and Liew S. S. *Fill Compaction and Its Consequences of Non-Compliance*. Conference Technology CONTEC, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, 11-13 October 2001