## PERMEABILITY IN MALAYSIAN HOT MIX ASPHALT MIXTURES

## NUR SYAHRIZA BINTI MUHAMAD NOR

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil - Transportation and Highway)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JULY 2006

### ABSTRACT

Many studies have shown that the air void content affect the permeability of the hot mix asphalt (HMA) mixtures. This study aims to evaluate the characteristic of local HMA mixtures and find a relationship between air void and permeability, and then obtain in-situ minimum density level in order to produce impermeable Eight types of HMA mixes were produced; ACW14, ACW20, pavements. BMW14, BMW20, ACB28, BMB28, BMR28 and BML10. Ten samples for each mix with different compactive efforts; 75, 60, 50, 40 and 30 were prepared. The permeability test was conducted to get the coefficient of permeability. From the test conducted the permeability versus air void content graphs were plotted. The relationship between permeability and air void content is directly related. As the air void content increased the permeability also increased. Therefore, it is suggested that the maximum in-place air void contents for wearing course, ACW14 and ACW20 are 6.4 and 4.2% or 98 and 100% of Marshall density design at 4% air void respectively while the air void contents for wearing course, BMW14 and BMW20 are 5.4 and 6.0% or 99 and 98% of Marshall density. For binder course, ACB28 and BMB28, 6.4 and 6.1% air void contents or 98% of Marshall density are recommended. For levelling, BML10 and bituminous roadbase, BMR28 course, 12.9 and 7.2% air void content or 91 and 97% of Marshall density are proposed respectively.

#### ABSTRAK

menunjukkan kandungan lompang Banyak kajian mempengaruhi kebolehtelapan sesuatu campuran panas asfal. Kajian ini bertujuan menilai ciri-ciri kebolehtelapan campuran panas asfal dan mendapatkan hubungan antara kandungan lompang dan kebolehtelapan seterusnya mendapatkan nilai tahap ketumpatan minimum in-situ bagi menghasilkan turapan yang tidak telap. Lapan jenis campuran panas disediakan; ACW14, ACW20, BMW14, BMW20, ACB28, BMB28, BMR28 dan BML10. Sepuluh sampel bagi setiap campuran panas dengan usaha pemadatan yang berbeza; 75, 60, 50, 40 and 30 disediakan. Ujian kebolehtelapan dijalankan untuk memperolehi pekali kebolehtelapan turapan. Daripada kajian yang dijalankan graf kebolehtelapan melawan kandungan lompang diplot. Hubungan antara kebolehtelapan dan kandungan lompang adalah berkadar Apabila kandungan lompang meningkat, nilai kebolehtelapan juga turut terus. meningkat. Oleh itu, adalah dicadangkan kandungan lompang bagi lapisan haus, ACW14 dan ACW20 masing-masing adalah 6.4 dan 4.2% atau 98 dan 100% daripada ketumpatan Marshall yang direkabentuk pada 4% kandungan lompang, manakala bagi lapisan haus, BMW14 dan BMW20 pula masing-masing adalah 5.4 dan 6.0% atau 99 dan 98% daripada ketumpatan Marshall. Bagi lapisan pengikat, ACB28 dan BMB28 pula, kandungan lompang yang dicadangkan adalah 6.4 dan 6.1% atau 98% daripada ketumpatan Marshall. Manakala bagi lapisan pelaras, BML10 dan tapak jalan berbitumen, BMR28 adalah 12.9 dan 7.2% kandungan lompang atau 91 dan 97% daripada ketumpatan Marshall dicadangkan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Preamble	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of Study	2
	1.4 Scope of Study	2
	1.5 Significant of Study	3
	1.6 Summary	3
11	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Introduction	4
	2.2 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)	4
	2.2.1 Pavement Layers	5

	2.2.2 Mix Types	6
	2.2.2.1 Dense Graded Mixes	7
	2.2.2.2Gap Graded Mixes	10
	2.2.2.3 Open Graded Mixes	12
	2.2.3 Determining the Appropriate Mix Type	es 14
	2.2.4 Justification	14
2.3	Hot Mix Asphalt Design	15
	2.3.1 Objective of Mix Design	15
	2.3.2 Mix Design Method	16
	2.3.2.1 Hveem Method	16
	2.3.2.2 Marshall Method	17
	2.3.2.3Superpave Mix Design	18
	2.3.3 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Criteria	20
	2.3.3.1 Voids in the Mineral Aggregate	e 20
	(VMA)	
	2.3.3.2Voids in Total Mix (VTM)	21
	2.3.3.3 Voids Filled with Asphalt Ceme	ent 21
	(VFA)	
	2.3.3.4Density	21
2.4	Permeability	22
	2.4.1 Factor Affecting Permeability	22
	2.4.2 Permeability Test Equipment	23
	2.4.2.1 Laboratory Permeameter	23
	2.4.2.2Field Permeameter	24
2.5	Summary	25
MET	THODOLOGY	26
3.1	Introduction	26
3.2	Operational Framework	27
3.3	Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregate	28
	3.3.1 Apparatus	28
	3.3.2 Procedures	28

III

3.4	Aggregate Grading	29
3.5	Wet Sieve or Sieve Analysis of Mineral Filler	31
	3.5.1 Apparatus	31
	3.5.2 Procedures	31
3.6	Specific Gravity and Water Absorption	32
	3.6.1 Specific Gravity for Fine Aggregate	32
	3.6.1.1 Apparatus	32
	3.6.1.2Procedures	33
	3.6.2 Specific Gravity for Coarse Aggregate	34
	3.6.2.1 Apparatus	34
	3.6.2.2Procedures	34
3.7	Theoretical Maximum Density (TMD) Analysis	35
3.8	Marshall Method of Mix Design	36
	3.8.1 Marshall Design Procedures	36
	3.8.2 Apparatus	37
	3.8.3 Preparation of Test Sample	39
3.9	Data Analysis	41
	3.9.1 Bulk Specific Gravity Analysis	41
	3.9.2 Voids Filled With Asphalt Cement (VFA)	42
	Analysis	
	3.9.3 Voids in Total Mix (VTM) Analysis	42
3.10	Permeability Test	43
	3.10.1 Apparatus	43
	3.10.2 Preparation of Sample	44
	3.10.3 Testing Procedures	45
3.11	Summary	46
RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSIONS	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Sieve Analysis	47
	4.2.1 Aggregate Gradation	48
	4.2.2 Wet Sieve Analysis	56

IV

	4.2.3 Bitumen Content	57
4.3	Specific Gravity of Aggregate	57
	4.3.1 Specific Gravity of Coarse Aggregate	57
	4.3.2 Specific Gravity of Fine Aggregate	57
	4.3.3 Specific Gravity of Filler	58
	4.3.4 Specific Gravity of Blended Aggregate	58
4.4	Specific Gravity of Bitumen	58
4.5	Theoretical Maximum Density	59
4.6	Result for Permeability Test	60
4.7	Data Analysis and Discussion	68
4.8	Summary	70
CON	CLUSIONS AND RECAOMMENDATIONS	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Conclusions	71
5.3	Recommendations	73
REF	ERENCES	74
APP	ENDICES A - E	78

V

Х

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Materials for dense graded mixes	9
2.2	Material for SMA mixtures	11
2.3	Design criteria for the Marshall method	18
2.4	Minimum percent voids in mineral aggregate (VMA) for the	19
	Marshall method	
3.1	Gradation limits for asphaltic concrete	30
3.2	Gradation limits and binder contents for Bituminous Macadam	30
3.3	Bitumen content for every type of hot mix asphalt mixtures	37
4.1	(a) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for ACW14	48
	(b) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for ACW20	49
	(c) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for BMW14	50
	(d) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for BMW20	51
	(e) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for ACB28	52
	(f) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for BMB28	53
	(g) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for BMR28	54
	(h) Percent passing and mass retained of every size for BML10	55
4.2	Theoretical maximum density for HMA mix type	56
4.3	Gradation type of mixes (NAPA 2000)	59
4.4	(a) Result of permeability test for ACW14	60
	(b) Result of permeability test for ACW20	61
	(c) Result of permeability test for BMW14	62
	(d) Result of permeability test for BMW20	63
	(e) Result of permeability test for ACB28	64
	(f) Result of permeability test for BMB28	65

	(g) Result of permeability test for BMR28	66
	(h) Result of permeability test for BML10	67
4.5	Results of regression and significance F on factors affecting the	68
	permeability	
5.1	Maximum air void for each types of Malaysian HMA based on	72
	$125 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s}$	
5.2	The maximum air voids and the proposed minimum density	73
	level designed at 4% air voids for every mix of HMA	

## LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE NO.

2.1	(a) full depths HMA	5
	(b) HMA over aggregate base	5
2.2	Typical aggregate gradation graph for dense graded mix	7
2.3	Cross section of dense graded mix	8
2.4	Typical aggregate gradation graph for gap graded mix	10
2.5	Cross section of open graded mix	12
2.6	Typical aggregate gradation graph for open graded mix	13
2.7	Superpave Gyratory Compactor	19
2.8	Ilustration of VMA in compacted sample	21
2.9	Relationship between air voids and permeability for	22
	pavement	
2.10	Laboratory Permeameter	23
2.11	Portable permeameter used for measurements of $k_{a,in situ}$	25
3.1	The operational framework for test and analysis	27
3.2	Compaction Hammer	38
3.3	Moulds were heated in the oven	39
3.4	The sample ready to be tested	40
3.5	The sample in saturated surface dry condition	41
3.6	Laboratory Permeameter	43
3.7	The (a) thickness and (b) diameter of sample	44
4.1	(a) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for ACW14	48
	(b) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for ACW20	49
	(c) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for BMW14	50
	(d) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for BMW20	51

PAGE

	(e) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for ACB28	52
	(f) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for BMB28	52
	(g) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for BMR28	54
	(h) Gradation limit graph and chosen curve for BML10	55
4.2	(a) Graph of permeability versus air void content for ACW14	60
	(b) Graph of permeability versus air void content for	61
	ACW20	
	(c) Graph of permeability versus air void content for	62
	BMW14	
	(d) Graph of permeability versus air void content for	63
	BMW20	
	(e) Graph of permeability versus air void content for ACB28	64
	(f) Graph of permeability versus air void content for BMB28	65
	(g) Graph of permeability versus air void content for BMR28	66
	(h) Graph of permeability versus air void content for BML10	67
4.3	Mix Gradations for (a) ACW14 and BMW14 (b) ACW20	69
	and BMW20 (c) ACB28 and BMB28	
4.4	Relationships between permeability and air void content for	69
	(a) ACW14 and BMW14 (b) ACW20 and BMW20	
	(c) ACB28 and BMB28	

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Asphaltic Concrete Binder Course with Nominal Maximum
Aggregate Size of 28mm
Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Course with Nominal Maximum
Aggregate Size of 14mm
Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Course with Nominal Maximum

Aggregate Size of 20mm

- **BMB28** Bituminous Macadam Binder Course with Nominal Maximum Aggregate of Size 28mm
- **BML10** Bituminous Macadam Leveling Course with Nominal Maximum Aggregate of Size 28mm
- **BMR28** Bituminous Macadam Roadbase with Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size of 28mm, BMR28
- **BMW14** Bituminous Macadam Wearing Course with Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size of 14mm
- **BMW20** Bituminous Macadam Wearing Course with Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size of 20mm
  - HMA Hot Mix Asphalt
  - NAPA National Asphalt Pavement Association
  - **VFA** Voids Filled with Asphalt Cement
  - VMA Voids in Mineral Aggregate
  - **VTM** Voids in Total Mix

## **CHAPTER I**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Preamble

The strength and durability of road pavement depends on several factor such as the strength of subgrade, the repeated loading applied from traffic, and the design itself. The amount of voids in a Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) mixture is probably the single most important factor that affects performance of the mixture throughout the life of the pavement (Roberts *et al.*, 1996). Peterson (1982) stated that the desired air void content for initial in-place voids for dense graded mixtures should not be higher than approximately 8% and should never less than approximately 3% during the life of the pavement.

High air void contents allow water and air to penetrate into the structure resulting in water damage, oxidation, raveling and cracking. Meanwhile low air void contents lead to rutting and shoving of asphalt mixtures. One of the variables that affected by the air void content is permeability. The higher the air voids the higher the permeability, and vice-versa.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

High in-place air voids have been identified as the cause of cracking and stripping problems of hot mix asphalts (HMA) pavements. It was caused by inadequate compaction which permits the entrance of water and air into the permeable HMA pavement (Kumar and Goetz, 1977). For fine dense graded mixes, pavements with air void contents more than 8% are generally prone to permeability problem (Brown, 1990) and for coarse dense graded mixes air void contents more than 6% could result in permeable pavements (Cooley, Prowell and Brown, 2002).

To date, there has been a limited research conducted to investigate the permeability characteristics of our HMA dense graded mixes. Thus, there is a need to conduct an experiment to evaluate the permeability characteristics and determine the desirable density levels for impermeable pavement.

### **1.3** Objectives of the Study

This study is conducted to achieve several objectives. The objectives of this study are to:

- i. evaluate permeability characteristics of local HMA mixtures;
- ii. establish relationships between air void and permeability of local HMA mixtures; and
- iii. obtain better minimum in-situ density levels in order to achieve impermeable pavements.

#### **1.4** Scope of the Study

HMA mixtures will be compacted in the laboratory to the in-situ density levels for each mix type as stipulated in the JKR specifications. Laboratory permeability test will be performed on each sample from each mix type. These include ACW14, ACW20, BMW14, BMW20, ACB28, BMB28, BMR28, and BML10. If the samples are found to be permeable, the compactive effort will be increased until the desired density level is achieved. The entire test will be conducted at Makmal Pengangkutan, UTM Skudai.

### 1.5 Significant of Study

From the result of the study, the relationship between air void and permeability will be established. Then, the maximum air void content will be determined from the relationship according to the suitable permeability values. If the new air void content was found to affect the density levels of the pavement provided in JKR/SPJ/1988 (JKR, 1988), it is propose that the permeability factors should be taken into consideration in designing the road pavement. The density level of the road pavement can be determined by the maximum value of air void content obtained from this study.

From this study, the problem such as rutting, stripping, raveling and cracking will be prevented as result from better design with optimum air void contents. The problem caused by permeability will be reduced thus providing more durable and stronger pavement.

## 1.6 Summary

This chapter overview the background HMA problem as related to permeability. Next chapter will discuss the background of the HMA materials, mixture design, construction and their properties focuses on permeability in detail.

#### REFERENCES

- Alabama Power (1985). *Construction Department Training, Bituminous Surfacing*. USA: Multi-Amp Corporation.
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, AASHTO (2000). Standard Specifications for Transportation Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing. Washington, DC, Ed. 20.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM (1994). *Annual Books of ASTM, Standards American Society for Testing and Materials*. Philadelphia.
- Asphalt Institute (1988). *Principles of Construction of Hot-Mix Asphalt Pavement*. United States of America: Asphalt Institute.
- Barksdale, R., Han, J., Miller, S., and Thompson, S. (1995). *Optimum Design of stone Matrix Asphalt,* Geogia Tech, FHWA Report No. FHWA-GA-95-9217.
- Brown, E.R. (1990). *Density of Asphalt Concrete-How Much Is Needed*. Transportation Research Record 1282.
- Brown, E.R., and Brandau, L.S. (2000). *Hot Mix Asphalt Paving Handbook 2000*, US Army Corps of Engineers.
- Compaction America (2000). *Hot Mix Asphalt Fundamental*, Compaction America, Inc.

- Cooley, L.A. Jr., Prowell, B.D., and Brown, E.R. (2002). Issues Pertaining to the Permeability Characteristics of Coarse Graded Superpave Mixes. Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Volume 71.
- Fish, A.N., and Koppi, A.J. (1994). *The use of a simple field air permeameter as a rapid indicator of functional soil pore space*. Geoderma 63, 255–264.
- Garber, N.J., and Lester, A.H. (2002). *Traffic and Highway Engineering*. The Wadworth Group. Brooks/Cole.
- Green, R.D., and Fordham, S.J. (1975). A field method for determining air permeability in soil. Soil Physical Conditions and Crop Production. Tech. Bull. 29. Soil Survey of England and Wales.
- Hsia, F.T., and Padgett, J.W. (1981). Open Graded Mixes Used for Paving Timber Haul Roads. Proceeding of the third Conferences of Road Engineering Association of Asia and Australia. April 20-24. Taipei, R.O.C.: 447 - 459.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (1988). *Standard Specification For Road Works*. Kuala Lumpur: JKR/SPJ/1988.
- Kandhal, P.S, and Koehler, W.S. (1985). Marshall Mix Design Method: Current Practices. *Proceedings, Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*. Volume 54.
- Kuennen, T. (1998). Open Graded Mixes: They're Better the Second Time Around.Lanham, National Asphalt Pavement Association.
- Kumar, A., and Goetz, W. H. (1977). Asphalt Hardening as Affected by Film Thickness, Voids and Permeability in Asphaltic Mixture, *Proceeding of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*, Volume 46, pp.: 571-605.
- Kumar, A., and Goetz, W.H. (1977). Laboratory Measurement of Permeability of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures. Transportation Research Record 659.

- Maupin, G.W.Jr. (2000). Asphalt Permeability Testing in Virginia. Transportation Research Record, No.1723, pp.83-91.
- Mohd Rosli Hainin, Che Ros Ismail, Othman Che Puan, Hong Tian Peng, Sri Rahayu, Nik Saad (2005). Compaction Studies of Hot Mix Asphalt Mixtures as Related to Permeability. *Prosiding Seminar Kebangsaan Penyelidikan Kejuruteraan Awam (SEPKA 2005)*. Julai 5-6. Johor, Malaysia: 55-59.
- NAPA (2000). HMA Pavement Mix Type Selection Guide, Lanham, Maryland.
- Peterson, D.E. (1982). *Resealing Joint and Cracksin Rigid and Flexible Pavements*, Transportation Research Board, NCHRP Synthesis 98.
- Roberts, F.L., Kandhal, P.S., Brown, E. R., Lee, D.Y, and Kennedy, T.W. (1996).
  *Hot Mix Asphalt Materials, Mixture Design and Construction.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Lanham,
  Maryland, NAPA Education Foundation.
- Smith Partitioning Ltd. (2004). *HMA Catalog*. United States of America: Permeability Brochure.
- Steinbrenner, E.C. (1959). A portable air permeameter for forest soils. Soil Science Society Am. Proceedings 23: 478–481.
- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (1986). Standard Method Test for Resistance of Compacted Bituminous Mixture to Moisture Induced Damage. Washington D. C. T 283-85.
- The Asphalt Institute (1984). *Mix Design Methods for Asphalt Concrete and Other Hot Mix Types. MS-2.* Philadelphia.
- Van Groenewoud, H. (1968). *Methods and apparatus for measuring air permeability of the soil*. Soil Science 106: 275–279.

- Wiseman, G., Livneh, M., and Uzan, J. (1981). Performance of Full Depth Asphalt Pavement on an Expansive Clay Subgrade. *Proceeding of the third Conferences* of Road Engineering Association of Asia and Australia. April 20-24. Taipei, R.O.C.: 747-760.
- Wright, P.H., and Dixon, K.K. (2004). *Highway Engineering*. 7<sup>th</sup> ed, United State of America, John Wiley & Son, Inc.