

A SCALED-DOWN PROTOTYPE OF TRANSMISSION LINE FOR  
SIX- PHASE CONVERSION UNDER TRANSIENT OVERVOLTAGE

MUHAMMAD IRFAN JAMBAK

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2010

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my very supportive supervisor Professor. Dr. Hussein Bin Ahmad for giving me the chance and entrusting me to conduct this research work, also for his guidance, full kind of supervision, patience, and also fatherly advice I received from him over the entire period of my study. The author also wishes to thank:

Dato' Prof. Dr. Ahmad Bin Darus, Dean, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for support and allowing the author to use laboratory facilities.

Special thanks to IVAT's Board of Director and academic staff Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zulkurnain Bin Abdul Malek, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Muhridza Bin Yacob, Dr. Zolkafle Bin Buntat, Dr. Mohd Afendi Bin Mohd Piah, and Dr. Yanuar Zulardiansyah Arief, for their help, advices, discussions and allowing the author to joint in the IVAT technical activity and use all IVAT's facilities.

I also would like to thank to all IVAT technical and supporting staff Anuar Bin Kamarudin, Zamri Bin Kasim, Hizani Bin Abdullah, Norhidayu Bt Bakrin, Hanifuniza Bt. Abdul Hana, and ex IVAT staff Nor Aziza Bt Sarman for their assistance, providing facilities and administrative help.

All members of Ahmad Jambak's and Yubhar Tanjung's families for their prayers, advises and encouragement. Especially to my sisters in law Mrs. Tirawasni and Mrs. Afifah A. A, for their kindness and lot of help fullness throughout my study.

My deepest gratitude is also to Prof. Dr. Badia Parizade, MBA (Rector of Universitas Sriwijaya), Prof. Dr. Ir. H. Zainal Ridho Djafar (former Rector of Universitas Sriwijaya), Ir. H. Zainuddin Nawawi, MM (Head of PPJK Universitas Sriwijaya and former President Director of Baliteks UNSRI), Prof. Dr. Ir. Taufik Toha, DEA (Dean of Engineering Faculty of Universitas Sriwijaya), and Prof. Dr. Ir. H. Hasan Basri (former Dean of Engineering Faculty of Universitas Sriwijaya), thanks for their help and support, and also allowing the author with special consent to continue and finish my study.

Very special thanks to Dr. Isnurhadi Banaluddin and his wife Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurly Gofar, Dr. Ir. H. Anis Sagaff, MSCE, Dr. Dipl.Ing. Ir. Amrifan Saladin Mohruni, Dr. Muhammad Abubakar Sidik and they family members, for their nice friendship.

IVAT's postgraduate student Nouruddeen Bashir Umar, Aulia Sayuti, and Novizon for their help and being my partner in the end of my study.

Finally, the author is indebted to the staff of Research Management Centre, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and IRPA authority for providing the financial support and cooperation in making this research work successful.

Johor Bahru, April 2010  
Muhammad 'Irfan Jambak

## ABSTRACT

Six-phase transmission line (SPTL) system can be an alternative method to increase the existing transmission line power transfer capability to meet the increasing electrical energy demand of the future. In Malaysia, understanding and the knowledge of high phase conversion can be considered very shallow to contribute toward the realization of the six-phase transmission line. Tests on actual line settings and configuration which are needed during a study are the main challenges to researchers who have limited access to the lines. This is due to the fact that any changes to the actual line settings and configurations while the systems is running normally will not be appreciated by the power utilities who are committed to minimizing any cause of interruption to their supply system. To overcome this problem, this research project came out with broadly two programs. The first part is to carried out simulation studies of the SPTL, and the second part of this project focuses on construction a scaled down model of SPTL. The strategy is to compare the result of the study from the experimental point of view with the results obtained from simulation. Thus, the research could come out with the full understanding of SPTL system in term of its steady state and switching transient phenomena. In order to realize the SPTL system, the simulation study uses the ATP/EMTP incorporates two sets of three-phase transformer with other apparatus of the high voltage transmission system to come out with SPTL system model. In the experimental setup, the Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) 132kV transmission line running from Kuala Krai to Gua Musang was used as the basis for the development of the scaled down power transmission line model (SDPTLM) of the SPTL system. From the research studies, it was found that the magnitude of phase-to-ground and phase-to-phase switching surges of the converted system is much higher compared to the allowable crest voltage value of parent system. It was approximately 2.02 – 8.20 pu for both switching energization and switching de-energization. The percentage difference between the experimental and simulation was in the range 1.42 to 2.22. The switching energization and de-energization as well as steady state studies carried out in this work can be used by utility companies such as TNB as feasibility studies when converting their existing three-phase transmission lines to SPTL in future.

## ABSTRAK

Sistem Talian Penghantaran Enam Fasa (STPEF) boleh menjadi satu kaedah alternatif untuk meningkatkan keupayaan pemindahan kuasa talian penghantaran sediaada bagi memenuhi peningkatan permintaan tenaga pada masa hadapan. Di Malaysia, kefahaman ilmu masyarakat terhadap penukaran fasa tinggi amat rendah bagi menyumbang kepada kesedaran terhadap penggunaan STPEF. Ujian dan konfigurasi pada talian sebenar diperlukan ketika kajian dilakukan merupakan satu cabaran utama kepada penyelidik-penyelidik yang mempunyai pendedahan terbatas terhadap talian tersebut. Ini adalah kerana, sebarang perubahan terhadap konfigurasi dan pengesetan pada talian sebenar pada sistem yang sedang beroperasi secara baik tidak dibenarkan oleh pihak pembekal kuasa yang lebih mengutamakan pengurangan punca gangguan terhadap sistem kuasa mereka. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini, projek penyelidikan ini menekankan dua bahagian utama dalam kajiannya. Bahagian pertama adalah menjalankan kajian simulasi terhadap STPEF, dan pada bahagian kedua adalah menghasilkan model skala kecil STPEF. Strateginya adalah dengan membandingkan hasil keputusan dari kajian ujikaji makmal dengan keputusan dari ujian simulasi. Ini akan menghasilkan satu kefahaman penuh terhadap sistem STPEF dari sudut fenomena keadaan fana dan ubahtika pensuisan. Di dalam kajian simulasi, untuk memahami sistem STPEF, perisian ATP/EMTP digunakan bagi menggabungkan dua set alat ubah tiga fasa dengan sistem talian penghantaran voltan tinggi dengan menghasilkan model sistem STPEF. Manakala bagi persiapan ujikaji makmal, talian penghantaran TNB bervoltan 132 kV yang beroperasi dari Kuala Krai ke Gua Musang telah digunakan sebagai panduan dan rujukan bagi pembinaan model STPEF berskala kecil. Daripada kajian yang dijalankan, adalah didapati bahawa magnitud pusuan pensuisan untuk fasa ke bumi dan fasa ke fasa bagi sistem STPEF adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan nilai voltan sistem yang dibenarkan pada sistem sediaada. Ianya adalah dianggarkan antara 2.02 pu – 8.20 pu untuk kedua-dua pensuisan pentenagaan dan pensuisan dinyah tenaga. Peratus perbezaan antara ujikaji makmal dan simulasi adalah dalam julat 1.42% to 2.22%. Hasil ujikaji pentenagaan dan nyah tenaga dan juga kajian keadaan mantap yang telah dijalankan di dalam kajian ini boleh digunakan oleh syarikat pembekal tenaga seperti TNB sebagai kajian kebolehlaksanaan apabila penukaran system talian penghantaran tiga fasa sedia ada kepada SPTPEF dilaksanakan dimasa hadapan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	vi
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vii
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xviii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxviii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxx
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxxiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Research Background	2
	1.3 Power Transfer Capability of Transmission Line	5
	1.3.1 Thermal Related Constrain	6
	1.3.2 Voltage Related Constrain	6
	1.3.3 System Operating Related Constrains	7
	1.4. Six-Phase Conversion Transmission Line	7
	1.5. Problem Statement	9
	1.6. Research Objective	10
	1.7. Scope of Work	11
	1.8. Thesis Organization	11

<b>2</b>	<b>OVERVIEW OF POWER SYSTEM AND OVERHEAD LINE</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Transmission Line and Substation	13
2.2.1	Substation Overview	14
2.2.2	Substation Apparatus	16
2.2.3	Overview of Overhead Transmission Line	19
2.3	Overhead Transmission Line Parameters	21
2.3.1	Resistance	22
2.3.2	Inductance	24
2.3.3	Capacitance	30
2.4	Equivalent Circuit of a Transmission Line	33
2.5	Insulation Over-voltages	38
2.5.1	Electrical Stress on Insulator	38
2.5.1.1	Continuous Power Frequency Voltages	39
2.5.1.2	Temporary Over-voltage (TOV)	40
2.5.1.3	Switching Over-voltages (SOV)	41
2.5.1.4	Lightning Over-voltages (LOV)	42
2.5.2	Basic Switching Impulse Insulation Level (BSL)	43
2.5.3	Basic Lightning Impulse Insulation Level (BIL)	44
2.5.4	Impulse Insulation Strength	46
2.5.4.1	Switching Impulse Strength of Tower	47
2.5.4.2	Lightning Impulse Strength of Tower	49
2.6	Chapter Summary	51
<b>3</b>	<b>SIX-PHASE TRANSMISSION LINE</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1.	Introduction	52
3.2.	Previous Works on High Phase Conversion	53
3.3.	Power System Phasor Analysis	57
3.3.1	Three-Phase Phasor Analysis	57
3.3.2	Six-Phase Phasor Analysis	59
3.3.3	Phase Group of Six-Phase System	61

3.4	Three-Phase to Six-Phase Conversion	64
3.5	Chapter Summary	66
<b>4</b>	<b>MODELING AND SIMULATION OF SIX-PHASE SYSTEM</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Modelling Using ATPDraw-ATP/EMTP	68
4.3	Description of KKRI-GMSG Transmission Network	70
4.4	Simulating of KKRI-GMSG Transmission Network	73
4.4.1	ATPDraw Components Used	73
4.4.1.1	Transmission Line Tower	75
4.4.1.2	Power Transformer	79
4.4.1.3	Load Model	85
4.4.2	Simulating of Six-Phase System	87
4.4.2.1	Six-Phase Steady State Simulation	88
4.4.2.2	Six-Phase Switching Energization Simulation	102
4.4.2.3	Six-Phase Switching De-Energization Simulation	111
4.5	Chapter Summary	118
<b>5</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF SIX-PHASE TRANSMISSION SIMULATOR</b>	<b>119</b>
5.1	Introduction	119
5.2	General Consideration	121
5.2.1	Per-Unit Quantity in Power System	121
5.2.2	Changing The Base of Per-Unit Quantities	125
5.3	Electrical Parameters of SDPTLM	126
5.4	Development of SDPTLM	129
5.4.1	Tower Model	129
5.4.2	Phase Line Model	134



5.4.2.1	Line Inductance	134
5.4.2.2	Development of Inductor	137
5.4.2.3	MLAGF Inductor	137
5.4.2.4	SLOMCCIR Inductor	144
5.4.2.5	Inductor Test and Calibration	149
5.4.2.6	Line Capacitance	151
5.4.3	High Phase Reengineered Transformer Converter (HPRTC)	154
5.4.4	Protective Device Unit (PDU)	156
5.5	Chapter Summary	160
<b>6</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL WORKS</b>	<b>161</b>
6.1	Introduction	161
6.2	Measuring Equipment	161
6.2.1	Digital Storage Oscilloscope (DSO)	162
6.2.2	USB PC-Based Digital Oscilloscope	166
6.2.3	Differential Probe	170
6.3	Measuring Equipment Set-Up	170
6.4	SDPTLM Experimental Set-Up and Procedures	172
6.4.1	Steady State Experimental Procedures	174
6.4.2	Switching Operation Experimental Procedures	175
6.5	Results of Experiments	176
6.5.1	Steady State Operation Experimental Result	177
6.5.2	Experimental Results of Switching Operation (Energization)	185
6.5.3	Experimental Results of Switching Operation (De- Energization)	194
6.6	Tower Clearance Based on Switching Surge Condition	203
6.6.1	Existing Insulation Parameters	204
6.6.2	Phase-to-Tower Clearance Based on Experimental Switching Surge	205
6.6.3	Phase-to-Phase Clearance Based on Experimental	207

	Switching Surge	
6.7	Chapter Summary	210
<b>7</b>	<b>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>211</b>
7.1	Introduction	211
7.2	Steady State Condition	211
7.3	Switching Transient	217
7.4	Conclusions	228
7.5	Summary of Research Contribution	229
7.6	Future Recommendations	231
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>232</b>
	<b>APPENDICES A-F</b>	<b>242-265</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.1	Statistical data of Sales of Energy in GWh	3
1.2	Total Sales, Generation, and Electric Demand	5
2.1	Transmission line length and number of substation	13
2.2	Overhead line parameter per-phase/km at 50Hz	22
2.3	Resistivity and Temperature Coefficient of Some Conductors	24
2.4	Typical number of suspension string insulator (51/4"x 10" type)	40
2.5	Expected amplitude of temporary over-voltages	41
2.6	Standard withstand voltage for $V_m > 242 \text{ Kv}$	44
2.7	Standard withstand voltage for $15\text{Kv} < V_m \leq 242 \text{ Kv}$	45
2.8	Minimum clearance based on lightning impulse	50
4.1	Tower types and deviation angle (Summarized from Material Schedule-TNB Contract No.242/92)	71
4.2	Major components used in the simulation	74
4.3	Load parameters used in simulation	86
4.4	Circuit breaker and voltage probe location	88
4.5	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations (Load: 5 MVA)	92
4.6	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various location (Load: 5 MVA)	92
4.7	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various location (Load: 5 MVA)	92
4.8	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at various location (Load: 5 MVA)	92

4.9	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations (Load: 10 MVA)	93
4.10	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various location (Load: 10 MVA)	93
4.11	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various location (Load: 10 MVA)	93
4.12	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at various location (Load: 10 MVA)	93
4.13	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations (Load: 30 MVA)	94
4.14	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various location (Load: 30 MVA)	94
4.15	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various location (Load: 30 MVA)	94
4.16	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at various location (Load: 30 MVA)	94
4.17	Switching energization peak voltage (phase-to-ground)	106
4.18	Switching energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	106
4.19	Switching energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	107
4.20	Switching energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	107
4.21	Switching energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-ground)	107
4.22	Switching energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	108
4.23	Switching energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	108
4.24	Switching energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	108
4.25	Switching de-energization peak voltage (phase-to-ground)	113

4.26	Switching de-energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	114
4.27	Switching de-energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	114
4.28	Switching energization peak voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	114
4.29	Switching de-energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-ground)	115
4.30	Switching de-energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	115
4.31	Switching de-energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	115
4.32	Switching energization peak voltage in per-unit (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	116
5.1	Positive sequence parameters of KKRI-GMSG	126
5.2	ETD49/25/16 characteristic parameters	138
5.3	Specification of the reference inductor	146
5.4	Multi-layer air-gap ferrite core inductor test results	151
6.1	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations	179
6.2	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various location	179
6.3	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various location	180
6.4	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at various location	180
6.5	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations in per-unit value	182
6.6	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various location in per-unit value	182
6.7	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various location in per-unit value	183
6.8	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at	183

	various location in per-unit value	
6.9	Switching (energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage	188
6.10	Switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$	188
6.11	Switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$	188
6.12	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$	189
6.13	Switching (energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage in per-unit value	189
6.14	Switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ in per-unit value	189
6.15	Switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ in per-unit value	190
6.16	Switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ in per-unit value	190
6.17	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage	197
6.18	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$	197
6.19	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$	197
6.20	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$	198
6.21	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage in per-unit value	198
6.22	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ in per-unit value	198
6.23	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ in per-unit value	199
6.24	Switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ in per-unit value	199
6.25	Summary of phase-to-tower clearance calculation	206
6.26	Summary of minimum phase-to-tower clearance	209

	calculation	
7.1	Steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage at various locations in per unit value	212
7.2	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ at various locations in per unit value	212
7.3	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ at various locations in per unit value	212
7.4	Steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ at various locations in per unit value	212
7.5	Percentage difference between simulation and experimental result of steady state condition	215
7.6	Percentage difference between simulation and experimental result of switching energization condition	221
7.7	Percentage difference between simulation and experimental result of switching de-energization condition	226
7.8	Summary of maximum switching peak magnitude (simulation)	227
7.9	Summary of maximum switching peak magnitude (experimental)	227

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.1	Peninsular Malaysia Transmission Network	4
2.1	Typical TNB Electricity Network	15
2.2	Single line diagram of substation Kelantan Region	15
2.3	Faulted bus with circuit breaker	17
2.4	Transient waveform at the point of circuit breaker	18
2.5	Typical of aluminium conductor with stranded steel core	19
2.6	Suspension-type insulator	20
2.7	Typical lattice steel tower used in the Gua Musang and Kuala Krai transmission system	21
2.8	External and internal magnetic flux lines around the conductor	26
2.9	External magnetic flux between P1 and P2 around the conductor	26
2.10	Magnetic flux line due to current in conductor 1	27
2.11	Three-phase systems with equilateral (a) and unsymmetrical spacing (b)	28
2.12	Conductor arrangement of a double circuit three-phase system	29
2.13	Electric field in the single-phase system	30
2.14	Capacitance between lines to ground	32
2.15	Distributed parameter transmission line	33
2.16	Lumped parameter $\pi$ -equivalent transmission line	36
2.17	Medium length $\pi$ -equivalent transmission line model	37
2.18	Typical impulse voltage waveform	42
2.19	Lightning impulse voltage waveform	43



2.20	Insulation strength characteristic	46
2.21	Insulator and conductor arrangement at tower	48
3.1	Phasor Diagram of Three Phase System	57
3.2	Phasor Diagram Phase-Phase of Three Phase System	58
3.3	Phasor Diagram of Six Phase System	60
3.4	Phasor Diagram Adjacent Phase-Phase of Six Phase System	60
3.5	Phasor diagram of phases separated by one intermediate phase	62
3.6	Phasor diagram of opposite phase-phase of Six-Phase system	63
3.7	Model of transformer arrangement for three-phase to six-phase	64
3.8	$\Delta/Y$ and $\Delta/Y$ -inverted connection of phase converter transformer	65
3.9	Phasor diagrams (a) for a typical transformer, (b) inverted connected transformer, and (c) the combination of the transformer (a) and (b)	65
3.10	Wiring diagram of $\Delta/Y$ and $\Delta/Y$ -inverted transformer converter	66
4.1	All components in the ATPDraw	68
4.2	The main windows and floating component selection menu	69
4.3	Conductor arrangements at the tower	71
4.4	One line diagram of KKRI and GMSG substation	72
4.5	Simplification of KKRI and GMSG substation	73
4.6	Typical tower structure of the existing double circuit transmission line	75
4.7	Main menu of the LCC dialog box	76
4.8	LCC conductor arrangement dialog box	77
4.9	Vertical and horizontal positions of a double three-phase conductor	78
4.10	Vertical and horizontal positions of a six-phase	78

	conductor system	
4.11	Model of tower impedance	79
4.12	GenTrafo dialog box	80
4.13	Simplified GenTrafo test for double three-phase system	80
4.14	Voltage waveform of a three-phase 132kV incoming line	81
4.15	KKRI voltage waveform of a three-phase 33Kv side	81
4.16	GMSG voltage waveform of a three-phase 11Kv side	82
4.17	Simplified GenTrafo test for Six-Phase Conversion	83
4.18	Three-phase voltage waveforms of Conv-T1	83
4.19	Three-phase voltage waveforms of Conv-T2	84
4.20	Six-phase voltage waveforms Conv-T1 and Conv-T2	84
4.21	Simulation circuit for a six-phase system	89
4.22	Typical six-phase steady state voltage waveforms (phase-to-ground)	90
4.23	Typical six-phase steady state voltage waveforms (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ apart)	90
4.24	Typical six-phase steady state voltage waveforms (phase-to-phase Spaced $120^\circ$ apart)	91
4.25	Typical six-phase steady state voltage waveforms (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ apart)	91
4.26	Histogram of steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage (Load: 5MVA)	95
4.27	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ (Load: 5MVA)	95
4.28	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ (Load: 5MVA)	96
4.29	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ (Load: 5MVA)	96
4.30	Histogram of steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage (Load: 10MVA)	97
4.31	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ (Load: 10MVA)	97

4.32	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ (Load: 10MVA)	98
4.33	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ (Load: 10MVA)	98
4.34	Histogram of steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage (Load: 30MVA)	99
4.35	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $60^\circ$ (Load: 30MVA)	99
4.36	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $120^\circ$ (Load: 30MVA)	100
4.37	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage spaced $180^\circ$ (Load: 30MVA)	100
4.38	Simplification of power transmission line	101
4.39	Simulation of switching operation occurring at the 132Kv incoming line on the six-phase system	103
4.40	Typical switching (energization) phase-to-ground voltage waveform	104
4.41	Typical switching energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	104
4.42	Typical switching energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	105
4.43	Typical switching energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	105
4.44	Histogram of Switching Energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-ground)	109
4.45	Histogram of Switching Energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ )	109
4.46	Histogram of Switching Energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ )	110
4.47	Histogram of Switching Energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ )	110
4.48	Typical switching de-energization voltage waveform (phase-to-ground)	111

4.49	Typical switching de-energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced 60°)	112
4.50	Typical switching de-energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced 120°)	112
4.51	Typical switching de-energization voltage waveform (phase-to-phase spaced 180°)	113
4.52	Histogram of Switching de-energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-ground)	116
4.53	Histogram of Switching de-energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced 60°)	117
4.54	Histogram of Switching de-energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced 120°)	117
4.55	Histogram of Switching de-energization Peak Voltage (phase-to-phase spaced 180°)	118
5.1	Diagram of SDPTLM, (a) Single line diagram, and (b) functional block diagram	120
5.2	Hybrid electrical diagram of SDPTLM, (a) and (b) single line diagram of receiving-end and sending-end substation model, (b) schematic diagram of line model	130
5.3	Dimensions for a 132 kV tower (a) compare to SDPTLM tower (b)	131
5.4	Dimensions of a SDPTLM tower	132
5.5	Pictorial view of the SDPTLM tower in the IVAT Laboratory	133
5.6	Views of MLAGF and SLOMCCIR inductor	136
5.7	ETD49/25/16 core views	138
5.8	Pictorial side view of ETD49/25/16 double core half	139
5.9	Pictorial top view of ETD49/25/16 double core half	139
5.10	ETD49/25/16 bobbin and core	142
5.11	The copper wire is wound into the bobbin using winding machine	142
5.12	Complete number of winding turn	143
5.13	The assembly process EE ferrite core and bobbin	143

5.14	Sketch of cross sectional view of core arrangement	144
5.15	Measurement of inductance value of reference inductor	147
5.16	Sketch of SLOMCCIR winding and core	148
5.17	SLOMCCIR turns of winding	148
5.18	The newly completed SLOMCCIR compared with a pen	149
5.19	Inductance measuring set-up using ELC-3131D	150
5.20	Inductance measuring set-up using HFIM	150
5.21	Equivalent circuits of TCPS	152
5.22	0.15 $\mu$ F, 2 kV capacitors in series were used for shunt capacitance	153
5.23	1.2 $\mu$ F, 50 kV were used for shunt capacitance	153
5.24	Phasor diagram of the three to six-phase reengineered transformer converters	154
5.25	Wiring and schematic diagram of three to six-phase reengineered transformer converters, (a) electrical wiring set-up, (b) the transformer conversion on both ends of the mock transmission line	155
5.26	Pictorial view of the three to six-phase reengineered transformer converters	155
5.27	HPRTC output voltage waveform	156
5.28	The mock PDU block diagram	157
5.29	The mock PDU at the sending-end substation	157
5.30	PDU at receiving-end substation	158
5.31	8-channel relay driver schematic diagram	158
5.32	Pictorial view of the relay driver board	159
5.33	Pictorial view of the control switch of relay driver	160
6.1	Digital Storage Oscilloscope LeCroy LT344L	163
6.2	PCI-GPIB Card NI-488.2	163
6.3	High Voltage Probe	164
6.4	Virtual Front Panel window of ScopeExplorer™	165
6.5	Captured traces window of ScopeExplorer™	165
6.6	Image of captured waveforms using ScopeXplorer	166
6.7	PicoScop 3206 from Picotech	167

6.8	Screenshoot of PicoScope 6™ window	167
6.9	Additional resistive divider for PP006	168
6.10	Standard probe PP006	169
6.11	Resistive divider	169
6.12	Differential probe SI-9001	170
6.13	Typical block diagram of phase-to-ground measuring equipment set-up	171
6.14	Typical block diagram of phase-to-phase measuring equipment set-up	172
6.15	Single line diagram of the experimental set-up	173
6.16	Typical wiring diagram of the measurement set-up	173
6.17	Pictorial view of experimental set-up	174
6.18	Typical steady state phase-to-ground voltage waveforms	177
6.19	Typical steady state phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (60° apart)	178
6.20	Typical steady state phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (120° apart)	178
6.21	Typical steady state phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (180° apart)	179
6.22	Histogram of steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage	180
6.23	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart)	181
6.24	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart)	181
6.25	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage (180° apart)	182
6.26	Histogram of steady state phase-to-ground peak voltage in per-unit value	183
6.27	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart) in per-unit value	184
6.28	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart) in per-unit value	184
6.29	Histogram of steady state phase-to-phase peak voltage	185

	(180° apart) in per-unit value	
6.30	Typical switching (energization) phase-to-ground voltage waveforms	186
6.31	Typical switching (energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (60° apart)	186
6.32	Typical switching (energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (120° apart)	187
6.33	Typical switching (energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (180° apart)	187
6.34	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage	190
6.35	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart)	191
6.36	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart)	191
6.37	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (180° apart)	192
6.38	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage in per-unit value	192
6.39	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart) in per-unit value	193
6.40	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart) in per-unit value	193
6.41	Histogram of switching (energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (180° apart) in per-unit value	194
6.42	Typical switching (de-energization) phase-to-ground voltage waveforms	195
6.43	Typical switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (60° apart)	195
6.44	Typical switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (120° apart)	196
6.45	Typical switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase voltage waveforms (180° apart)	196

6.46	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage	199
6.47	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart)	200
6.48	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart)	200
6.49	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (180° apart)	201
6.50	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-ground peak voltage in per-unit value	201
6.51	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (60° apart) in per-unit value	202
6.52	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (120° apart) in per-unit value	202
6.53	Histogram of switching (de-energization) phase-to-phase peak voltage (180° apart) in per-unit value	203
6.54	Conductor arrangement at the tower	208
7.1	Steady state comparison histogram for phase-to-ground peak voltage for 5 MVA	213
7.2	Steady state comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced 60° for 5 MVA load	213
7.3	Steady state comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced 120° for 5MVA load	214
7.4	Steady state comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced 180° for 5 MVA load	214
7.5	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-ground positive peak polarity	217
7.6	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-ground negative peak polarity	218
7.7	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced 60° positive peak polarity	218
7.8	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced 60° negative peak polarity	219



7.9	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ positive peak polarity	219
7.10	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ negative peak polarity	220
7.11	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ positive peak polarity	220
7.12	Switching energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ negative peak polarity	221
7.13	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-ground positive peak polarity	222
7.14	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-ground negative peak polarity	223
7.15	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ positive peak polarity	223
7.16	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $60^\circ$ negative peak polarity	224
7.17	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ positive peak polarity	224
7.18	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $120^\circ$ negative peak polarity	225
7.19	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ positive peak polarity	225
7.20	Switching de-energization comparison histogram for phase-to-phase spaced $180^\circ$ negative peak polarity	226

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Alternating Current
ACSR	-	Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced
ANSI	-	American National Standards Association
BIL	-	Basic Insulation Level
BSL	-	Basic Switching Impulse Insulation Level
CB	-	Circuit Breaker
CFO	-	Critical Flash Over
DC	-	Direct Current
DSO	-	Digital Storage Oscilloscope
EHV	-	Extra High Voltage
FACTS	-	Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GMSG	-	Gua Musang
GPIB	-	General Purpose Interface Bus
GWh	-	Giga Watt Hour
HFIM	-	High Frequency Inductance Meter
HFT	-	High Frequency Test
HPO	-	High Phase Order
HPRTC	-	High Phase Reengineered Transformer Converter
IVAT	-	Institute of High Voltage & High Current
KKRI	-	Kuala Krai
LFT	-	Low Frequency Test
LOV	-	Lightning overvoltage
MLAGF	-	Multi-layered air gap ferrite
MW	-	Mega Watt
MVA	-	Mega Volt Ampere

PC	-	Personal computer
PDU	-	Protective Device Unit
pu	-	Per unit
ROW	-	Right-of-Way
SDPTLM	-	Scaled-Down Power Transmission Line Model
SLOMCIR	-	Single-layered open magnetic circuit cylindrical iron rod
SOV	-	Switching overvoltage
SPTL	-	Six-Phase Transmission Line
TCPS	-	Total capacitance per segment
TNB	-	Tenaga Nasional Berhad
TOV	-	Temporary overvoltage
TWh	-	Terra Watt hour
USB	-	Universal Serial Bus
US	-	United State

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$a_{ch}$	-	The largest dimension of core, m
$A$	-	Cross section area, $m^2$
$A_e$	-	Cross section area of core, $cm^2$
$A_g$	-	Cross section area of air gap, $m^2$
$\lambda$	-	Flux linkages, weber-turn
$B$	-	Magnetic flux density, $weber/m^2$
$C$	-	Capacitance, Farad
$C_b$	-	Base capacitance, Farad
cm	-	Centimetre
$\Delta$	-	Type of transformer connection (delta type)
$D$	-	Diameter, meter
$D_{eq}$	-	Diameter equivalent, meter
F/m	-	Farad per meter
$G$	-	Conductance (leakage resistance), siemens
$\gamma$	-	Propagation constant
$H$	-	Magnetic field intensity, ampere-turn/meter
Hz	-	Hertz
H/m	-	Henry per meter
$I$	-	Current, ampere
$I_b$	-	Base current, ampere
$I_C$	-	Charging current, ampere
$k$	-	Skin correction factor
Kv	-	kilovolts
Kva	-	kilovoltampere
$L$	-	Inductance, henry
$L_b$	-	Base inductance, henry

$L_{\text{int}}$	-	Internal inductance, henry
$l$	-	Length, meter
$l_e$	-	Length of the rod (core)
$l_{fe}$	-	Equivalent length of the flux path, meter
$\Omega$	-	Unit of resistance, ohm
Nf	-	nanofarad
Pf	-	picofarad
$P_h$	-	Heat dissipation
$q^+$	-	Positive charge
$q^-$	-	Negative charge
R	-	Resistance, ohm
$R_{AC}$	-	AC Resistance
$R_{DC}$	-	DC Resistance
rms	-	root-mean-square
r	-	Radius, meter
$\rho$	-	Resistivity, ohm meter
$S_{\text{Load}}$	-	Apparent power, MVA
$S_b$	-	Base apparent power, VA
S/m	-	Siemens per meter
T	-	Temperature coefficient, °C
$\mu\text{F}$	-	Micro Farad
$\mu\text{F/km}$	-	Micro Farad per kilometer
$\mu_r$	-	Relative permeability
V	-	voltage
$V_b$	-	Base voltage
$V_{HV}$	-	High voltage input
$V_{LL}$	-	Line-to-line voltage
$V_{LG}$	-	Line-to-ground voltage
$V_{MAX}$	-	Maximum voltage
$V_{LV}$	-	Low voltage output
$X_C$		Inductive Capacitance
$X_L$	-	Inductive reactance
$\omega$	-	Angular frequency, rad/sec

$Y$	-	Admittance
$Y_b$	-	Base admittance
$Z$	-	Impedance, ohm
$Z_b$	-	Base impedance, ohm
$Z_{Load}$	-	Load impedance, ohm

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	AC and DC Resistance of Various ACSR Diameter	242
B	Derivation of Internal Inductance Conductor Equation	244
C	One Line Diagram of KKRI and Gua Musang Substation	247
D	Measurement Concept and Detail Circuit of HFIM	250
E	SLOMCCIR Measurement Test and Calibration Record	257
F	List of Publication	264

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The transmission line is part of an electric power system network. It serves to transfer electric energy from generating units located at various locations to load centres via the distribution system. The transmission lines also interconnect neighbouring utilities which permits not only economic dispatch of power within regions during normal conditions, but also the transfer of power between regions during emergencies (Saadat,1999).

The increasing demand for power, coupled with the difficulty in obtaining new rights-of-way, the electric power utilities are often faced with the challenge of increasing power transfer capabilities using the existing transmission lines. Beside the cost of development of new transmission line project is high, other reason responsible for the slow increase of transmission is the growing difficulty in getting permits for new lines. Hence, that reason dictates the feasibility of upgrading the capacity of the existing lines. In upgrading the capacity of a line, the possible options among others include installing larger conductors on existing structures, increasing the operating voltage, increasing operating temperature, increasing the reliability, or a combination of above (Rural Utilities Service, 1984). However, they typically involve one or more conditions that exceed the original design capabilities of the existing electrical and structure aspects. An upgrading involves increased mechanical



loads or electrical insulation clearance, a number of factors should be considered that can minimize the extent of modification (Simpson, 1990).

## **1.2 Research Background**

Malaysia is a developing country where initially its economy is primarily depending on agriculture, but now industrial activities have contributed more to the economy. Through the “Malaysia Incorporated” concept, Malaysia has successfully changed from an agricultural-based economy to an industrial one, within just two decades (Khairul, 2003). For example, from January to August 2008, investments amounting to RM49.8 billion were approved in Malaysia's manufacturing sector. Investments for the first eight months of 2008 have also surpassed the record for the whole of 2007, which was RM33.4 billion (MIDA, 2008). This strategy encourages foreign investor to set up production, assembly and packaging plants in the country to move the manufacturing sector. To promote such import-substituting industries, the government, directly and indirectly, encourages the establishment of new factories and protects the domestic market. The Malaysian government policy is some ways help to develop new automotive factories, electronic or other factories.

Growth prospects for the Malaysian economy remain favorable in 2007, despite uncertainty in the global economic environment. Strong domestic economic fundamentals will enable the economy to grow at 6.0% in 2007 compare 5.9% in 2006 (Ministry of Finance Malaysia, 2007) and remained sturdy with a growth of 7.1% in the first quarter of 2008 (Ministry of Finance Malaysia, 2008). The strongest growth will be from the industry (mainly the manufacturing sector) and the services sectors, attributing shares of expected 54% and 46% to total Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2030 respectively (APEC, 2006). The growth in electricity demand is heavily influenced by the strong demand from the industrial sector, which is projected to increase at 5.4% annually over the decade. Electricity demand for the residential sector will also experience strong growth of 4.9% per year due to improving living standards. And electricity demand of Malaysia will be expected to

increase by 4.7% per year over the period of concern, to reach 274 TWh in 2030 (APEC, 2006).

Demands of electric power energy in Malaysia supplied by Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) have increased nearly exponentially over the last two decades (TNB, 2000). Table 1.1 shows the statistical data of Sales of Energy by TNB (Jabatan Bekalan Elektrik & Gas Malaysia, 1999 and Suruhanjaya Tenaga, 2005).

**Table 1.1:** Statistical data of Sales of Energy in GWh

No	Year	Domestic	Commercial	Industrial	Public Lighting	Mining
1	1994	5,006	7,892	15,932	208	93
2	1995	5,800	9,132	18,414	229	81
3	1996	6,655	10,352	20,704	255	68
4	1997	7,203	12,070	24,606	290	76
5	1998	8,516	13,151	24,447	358	68
6	1999	8,507	13,821	27,051	498	64
7	2000	9,093	14,747	29,818	527	69
8	2001	10,315	16,196	30,754	590	67
9	2002	10,939	17,032	31,371	629	64
10	2003	11,765	18,367	33,440	663	56
11	2004	12,530	19,967	35,732	682	54
12	2005	14,365.5	23,858.6	37,835.3	853.9	38.1
13	2006	15,058.7	24,311.7	37,979.4	876.3	41.5
14	2007	16,051.8	26,323.3	39,289.1	926.9	34.4

To meet the ever-increasing demand for electricity, large and larger power stations are being planned, built, and commissioned for efficient utilization of hydropower and conventional fuel. TNB's total installed generation capacity remains at 8,416 MW. It comprises of 6,505 MW thermal and 1,911 MW hydroelectric power plants. With an additional capacity of 2,400 MW from Kapar Energy Ventures, TNB contributes 56.96 per cent of the total installed capacity in Peninsular Malaysia. Table 1.2 shows total sales, maximum demand and installed generation capacity managed by TNB. The maximum power demand in Peninsular Malaysia in 2007 was 13,620 MW, recorded on 8 August 2007. The year-on-year capacity demand growth rate of 4.85% indicates a rise 3.98% from 2006 (TNB, 2008).



**Figure 1.1** Peninsular Malaysia Transmission Network  
(Adopted from TNB Annual Report 2007, page 138)

As a consequence of the increasing generation capacity, at the same time longer new transmission lines are to be constructed resulting from the increased demand for electrical power, its increased cost and restriction on Rights-of-Way (ROW) and higher transmission efficiency are some of the major reasons for building Extra High Voltage (EHV) lines. The electric power transmission system in Peninsular Malaysia, consists of four transmission levels, 500 kV, 275 kV, 132 kV

and 66 kV transmission systems which form an integrated network known as the National Grid as a Figure 1.1.

The National Grid consists of approximately 17,836 kilometers of overhead transmission lines, 741 kilometers of underground transmission cables and 385 substations with transformation capacity of 75,828 MVA (TNB, 2008). The 132kV was introduced in 1963 whilst the first 275kV lines were commissioned in 1971. The 66kV network is slowly being phased out and parts of 132kV network are being relegated to sub transmission lines. The 500kV system as the new backbone of the National Grid constructed from Bukit Tarek to Janamanjung to channel power from the new Janamanjung Power Station and cater for the increasing demand for electricity (TNB, 2002).

**Table 1.2:** Total Sales, Generation, and Electric Demand

Year	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Sales (GWh)	86,545	82,218.8	78,933.4	72,921.4	68,254.3	63,533.6	59,417.4
Generation (MW)	11,514.5	11,464.8	11,497.8	11,137.5	10,854.5	9,383.2	9,148.2
Max Demand (MW)	13,620	12,990	12,493	12,023	11,329	10,783	No Data

### 1.3 Power Transfer Capability of Transmission Lines

The amount of power on a transmission line is the product of the voltage and the current of transmission system (Walter, 1981 and EIA Report, 2002) as well as the limitation inherent to system constraint such as stability on maximum capacities of substation terminal equipment, circuit breakers, current transformer and etc (Kiessling, F., et. al, 2002). Additional power can be transmitted reliably if there is sufficient available transfer capability on all lines in the system over which the power would flow to accommodate the increase and certain contingencies or failures that could occur on the system. The power transfer capability of the existing power

transmission systems commonly is limited by thermal related constraints, voltage related constraints, and system operating related constraints (Jose. R.D and Daniel. C.L., 2003).

### **1.3.1 Thermal Related Constraints**

The thermal related constraints must be given priority aiming to avoid overheating of transmission lines conductor. Overheating of transmission line conductors can produce aluminum annealing (loss of conductor mechanical strength) and excessive sags (violation of minimum conductor-to-ground clearance). For transmission line, it is important to select an adequate maximum allowable conductor operating temperature, which could be related to current rating of conductor. The transmission lines are typically divided into three thermal constraints: a normal operation rating, a long-term emergency rating (4 hours) and a short-term emergency rating (15 minutes). It is possible to increase the power transfer capability of a transmission system by increasing the current carrying capacity of its transmission lines and substation equipment (Jose. R.D and Daniel. C.L., 2003).

### **1.3.2 Voltage Related Constraints**

The over-voltages can cause insulation failure, leading to short circuits and severe corona performance, while under-voltages can produce inadequate operation of equipment and damage of motors at customer's facilities. The transmission systems are typically limited to two voltage constraints: a maximum operating voltage equals to 105% of the nominal voltage and a minimum operating voltage equals to 95% of the nominal voltage. It is possible to increase the power transfer capability of a transmission system by increasing the operating voltage within a voltage class, by controlling reactive power flows and consequently reducing voltage

drops, and by increasing the operating voltage of its transmission lines and substation equipment (Jose. R.D and Daniel. C.L., 2003).

### **1.3.3 System Operating Related Constraints**

It is necessary to limit the active and reactive power transfers to avoid problems of transient instability, steady-state instability and voltage instability. These are some of the usual transmission systems operating related constraints. These constraints can be alleviated by using the following resources: changing connections of lines at substations, inserting switching stations along transmission lines, installing series capacitors and phase-angle regulators in transmission lines, using small inertia generators and distributed generation, installing Flexible Alternating Current Transmission Systems (FACTS) devices, taking advantage of automatic voltage regulators and governor control systems, changing from preventive operating procedure to corrective operating procedure (Jose. R.D and Daniel. C.L., 2003).

## **1.4 Six-Phase Conversion Transmission Line**

There are several practical and theoretical alternatives for increasing the amount of power transmitted through the existing transmission line circuits are as follows (Simpson, 1990) (El-Marsafawy, 1991)(Woodford, 1975)(Grant, 1982):

- a) increase the voltage line,
- b) increase the current line,
- c) addition of series and shunt compensation, and
- d) three-phase to six-phase conversion of three-phase double-circuit transmission line.

There are many factors in considering the alternatives for increasing power transfer capability, the major factors are (Cluts, 1974): power to be transmitted,

clearance required for the voltage selected and clearance available on existing structures, mechanical capability of existing structures, and economics of various alternatives. Increasing voltage involves modifying both electrical and structural aspects of an existing line. The first electrical consideration is the need for the increase ground clearance due to longer insulator strings and higher voltage. Consequently, the conductor attachment points need to be raised and increase conductor tension. Raising conductor attachment points requires extensive structure modifications. For the increasing current line by means of increasing conductor size or number of conductors and retaining the same voltage does not change the electrical parameters but existing structural capacity should be considered (Hanson, 1991). These two methods are not economical due to time constraint and the requirement of new equipment at the substation.

Due to the reasons described in Section 1.3, consideration of the fundamental limits on power transfer capability in restricted rights-of-way (ROW) led to a concept of increasing the number of phases in a transmission line system circuit known as High Phase Order (HPO). The concept of six-phase transmission lines was introduced by Barns & Barthold (Barthold, 1972). This concept involves the conversion of existing three-phase double-circuit system to six-phase single-circuit system. The six-phase system is accomplished by installing phase transformers converter at both sending-end and receiving-end substations. This concept is a cost-effective and minimum time in implementation compared to the increase system voltage because reconfiguration is located only at the sending and receiving substation without require tower or tower insulator modification. One of the main advantages of six-phase transmission is that a six-phase line can carry up to 73% more electric power than a three-phase double-circuit line on the same transmission ROW (Guyker, 1978), without changing the transmission towers and insulation tower. For this reason, the current research has been carried out to have a better picture and clearer understanding of the six-phase power transmission system.

## 1.5 Problem Statement

At present if additional power is required in an area, power utilities must add more power lines. Unfortunately in order to add additional power lines, additional rights-of-way (ROW), and land used to hold power transmission structures must be purchased to support the additional power transmission towers. The power transferability of an existing three phase double-circuit transmission line can be increased by upgrading the existing transmission voltage or by converting it into a six-phase single-circuit line. However, both methods the conversion and voltage upgrading will have impact among other on the insulation of the tower and substation equipment (transformer, switchgear) at both ends of the line.

The conversion of three phase double-circuit transmission line into six-phase single-circuit line is chosen because the conversion is located only at both sides of substation. It is utmost important to consider construction to be done within a reasonable length of time as well as location of work, since the power transmission capacity of the line would be discontinued during the reconfiguration works.

Tests on the actual line settings and topology which are part and parcel for this study are the utmost challenges to researchers who have limited access to the lines. It will be more challenging especially reconfiguration exercises for conversion of three phase to six phase system. This is due to the fact that as the continuous and quality of supply is of utmost importance to utilities. Any changes to the actual line settings and configurations while the systems is running at normal is not appreciated by power utilities who are committed to minimizing causes for any interruption to supply system. The problem being addressed by this research can be stated as follows:

“To design, simulate and develop a prototype of a Scaled-Down Power Transmission Line Model (SDPTLM) of three-phase double-circuit TNB transmission network for existing insulation adequacy assessment before actual line is converted into the six-phase system”.



## 1.6 Research Objective

This research is aimed to conduct a feasibility study for six-phase conversion of the three-phase 132 kV double circuit TNB transmission line. The conversion into a six-phase system is affected by interfacing both end of the line with phase conversion transformers. The research work will accomplish by modeling, simulation and laboratory experimental studies.

If the 132 kV three-phase double-circuit system converted to six-phase system with same operating voltage, the voltage between any two adjacent phases, between any phase and the ground of six-phase system will be 132 kV while it will be the maximum i.e. 264 kV between some of the nonadjacent phases. Thus, potential field distribution on the insulators, tower members, line conductors, transformer conductors and bushings will be substantially different from those in the 132 kV three phase mode. Hence the evaluation of insulation strength for the high phase order conversion is so necessary. The evaluation study is based on the following:

- i. To study on the insulation dependent phenomena/criteria such as critical flash over voltage, Basic Switching Insulation Level (BSL) and switching surge impacts.
- ii. To conduct modeling and simulation study on the insulation strength of the conversion three-phase to six-phase transmission line under steady state power frequency and switching transient condition.
- iii. To develop of a prototype of a six phase transmission line by using “scaled down” concept (operating voltage, tower size, insulator dimension, etc).
- iv. Testing on the prototype which will be subjected to scaled down operating voltage applying at its sending end with 2.887 kV phase-to-ground (equal to 5 kV line-to-line of three-phase system) magnitudes of AC voltage.
- v. To determine the adequacy of existing insulation strength under steady state and switching transient condition for six-phase conversion.

## **1.7 Scope of Work**

One of TNB Transmission Line networks was chosen that forms the basis of the research model. The line chosen was the 132kV three-phase double-circuit transmission line between Gua Musang and Kuala Krai, Kelantan with a line length of 113.1 kilometres to be converted into six-phase single-circuit network.

The scope of this research work includes the following;

1. Computer simulation.
2. Development of prototype SDPTLM
3. Test on the prototype
4. Comparison of simulation and experimental results

## **1.8 Thesis Organisation**

The thesis consists of seven chapters; Chapter 1 presents research background, general introduction to transmission line, and objectives of this work. Chapter 2 describes general overview of power system in Malaysia, overhead line parameters, modeling method of transmission line and stress on the insulator. Chapter 3 describes the literature survey and previous works of six-phase transmission line. Chapter 4 describes the methods used to simulate the six-phase system. The simulation start from gathering the information of line parameters included tower dimension to building the models in ATP are described. Chapter 5 introduces scaling electrical parameter using per unit method. The simulator components for the line models with the inclusion of inductor verification and calibration test are described. Chapter 6 presents experimental results. Chapters 7 presents summary of the results, discussion, the conclusions and suggestion for further research.