

DELAYS AT UNSIGNALISED JUNCTION

ONG HOCK CHYE

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil – Transportation and Highway)

**Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

NOVEMBER 2009



*Dedicated To Traffic Engineering
Relevant Parties...*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I am thankful to God for completing this pre-master project report successfully. I would also like to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Othman Che Puan for his guidance, advice and continuous support. His kindness and encouragement made me always active and confident.

I would also like to thank evaluation's Panel i.e. Associate Professor Dr Johnnie Dr. Johnnie Ben-Edigbe and Dr. Haryati Yaacob for their guidance and comments about my master project during my presentation.

Thank to all my friends and colleagues for their motivation and support towards producing successful report. Their views and tips are useful indeed. I also would like to express my gratitude to my parents for their encouragement and support.

Finally, I hope that this report will be beneficial in the future.

ABSTRACT

Traffic delay is an important measure of quality of a journey. The longer the delay, the lower the quality of a journey. Delay is one of the aspects considered of a road facility such as intersection. This project concerns with the delay experienced by the drivers on minor road at priority T-junctions. The main objective of the study is to evaluate the applicability of the current method of estimating delay to the analysis of the performance of priority junctions. Data for analysis of traffic delays was collected at six priority T-junctions in various parts of sub-urban areas. The result of the analysis show that current method of estimating delay cannot applicable to the evaluation of performance for local priority junction. However, more data are required to validate the results of the study.

ABSTRAK

Kelengahan trafik adalah penting untuk mengukur qualiti perjalanan. Semakin panjang kelengahan, semakin rendahnya qualiti perjalanan. Kelengahan adalah satu aspek yang mempertimbangkan analisa keupayaan untuk kemudahan jalanraya seperti persimpangan. Projek ini mengambil kira kelengahan yang dialami oleh pemandu daripada jalan minor di Persimpangan Keutamaan-T. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menaksir keterterapan kaedah menganggar kelengahan yang sediada dengan analisa keupayaan Persimpangan Keutamaan-T. Data untuk analisa kelengahan trafik telah dikumpul di enam Persimpangan Keutamaan-T di pelbagai kawasan pinggir bandar. Keputusan daripada analisa menunjukkan bahawa kaedah menganggar kelengahan yang sediada tidak dapat digunakan untuk menaksir keupayaan persimpangan keutamaan tempatan. Akan tetapi, lebih banyak data adalah diperlukan untuk mengesahkan kebenaran keputusan kajian ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem of Statement	1
	1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study	2
	1.4 Scope of Study	2
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	3
	2.2 Junction	4
	2.2.1 Unsignalised Junction	6
	2.2.2 Basic Form of a Junction	6
	2.2.3 Design of Junction	8

4.6	Traffic Volume	41
4.7	Capacity Data	42
4.8	Observed Delays	44
	4.8.1 Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	45
	4.8.2 Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Traffic	56
4.9	Theoretical Delay	63
	4.9.1 Tanner Theoretical Delay	63
	4.9.2 Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Delay	75
	4.9.3 Highway Capacity Manual 2000 Theoretical Delay	85
4.10	Evaluation of Actual Delay and Theoretical Delay	97
	4.10.1 Average Delay for the Minor Road	97
	4.10.2 Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	101
	4.10.3 Delay for the Double Lane Minor Road Traffic	104
4.11	Implication of Actual and Theoretical Delay	109
	4.11.1 Evaluation with Highway Capacity Manual 2000 Level of Service Criteria	109
	4.11.2 Evaluation with Malaysian Approach Level of Service Criteria	119
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	Comparison of Actual and Theoretical Delays	123
5.3	Implication of Actual and Theoretical Delays	123
5.4	Suggestion for Future Study	123
5.5	Findings	124
	5.5.1 Assess the Actual Delays at Local Unsignalised Junctions	124
	5.5.2 Comparison between Actual Delays and Theoretical Delays	125
	5.5.3 Evaluation of Implication for Actual and Theoretical Delays	126
5.6	Conclusion	127

REFERENCES	128
APPENDICES	130

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Level of Service Criteria for Junction	13
Table 2.2	Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalised Junction	14
Table 4.1	Geometry Parameter for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	38
Table 4.2	Traffic Flow for Junction at Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah during Weekday 1 Morning Peak	39
Table 4.3	Data for Composition of Traffic at Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	40
Table 4.4	Conversion Factor for PCU	42
Table 4.5	Traffic Volume for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	42
Table 4.6	Capacity Data for Minor Road in PCU / h	43
Table 4.7	Observed Delays for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	47
Table 4.8	Observed Delays for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	49
Table 4.9	Observed Delays for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	50
Table 4.10	Observed Delays for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	52
Table 4.11	Observed Delays for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	53
Table 4.12	Observed Delays for Double Lane Minor Road Traffic	58

Table 4.13	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kela	66
Table 4.14	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	67
Table 4.15	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	69
Table 4.16	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	70
Table 4.17	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kebudayaan and Jalan Kebudayaan 21	72
Table 4.18	Tanner Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	73
Table 4.19	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	77
Table 4.20	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	79
Table 4.21	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	80
Table 4.22	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	81
Table 4.23	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kebudayaan and Jalan Kebudayaan 21	83
Table 4.24	Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	84
Table 4.25	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	88
Table 4.26	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	89
Table 4.27	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	91
Table 4.28	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	92
Table 4.29	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Kebudayaan and Jalan Kebudayaan 21	94

Table 4.30	HCM 2000 Theoretical Average Delay for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	95
Table 4.31	Evaluation of Observed and Tanner Theoretical Average Delays	98
Table 4.32	Evaluation of Observed and Kimber and Hollis Theoretical Average Delay	99
Table 4.33	Evaluation of Observed and HCM 2000 Theoretical Delays	102
Table 4.34	Evaluation of the Actual Delays and HCM 2000 Theoretical Delays for Double Lane Minor Road Traffic	105
Table 4.35	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	111
Table 4.36	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	112
Table 4.37	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	113
Table 4.38	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	114
Table 4.39	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Jalan Kebudayaan and Jalan Kebudayaan 21	116
Table 4.40	Evaluation of Implication for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	117
Table 4.41	Reserved Capacity, C_R in PCPH	119
Table 4.42	Evaluation of Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1 with LOS Criteria	121

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Basic Form of a Junction	7
Figure 2.2	Capacities at Unsignalised T-Junction	12
Figure 2.3	Definitions and Computation of Conflicting Traffic Volume	17
Figure 2.4	Selection of Capacity	18
Figure 2.5	Impedance Factor	18
Figure 2.6	Computation of Movement Capacity	19
Figure 2.7	Calculation of Conflicting Flow at Unsignalised Junctions	24
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart for Methodology of Study	27
Figure 3.2	Partial Map for Junction of Senai Expressway and Jalan Kelah	29
Figure 3.3	Partial Map for Junction of Skudai-Pontian Expressway and Jalan Jeram Batu	29
Figure 3.4	Partial Map for Junction of Jalan Abu Bakar and Jalan Thomson	29
Figure 3.5	Partial Map for Junction of Jalan Kempas Baru and Jalan Dataran 4	30
Figure 3.6	Partial Map for Junction of Jalan Kebudayaan and Jalan Kebudayaan 21	30
Figure 3.7	Partial Map for Junction of Jalan Ladang and Jalan Kempas 1	30
Figure 4.1	Variation of Average Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	55
Figure 4.2	Variation of Stop Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	55
Figure 4.3	Variation of Total Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	56
Figure 4.4	Variation of Average Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	60

Figure 4.5	Variation of Average Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	60
Figure 4.6	Variation of Stop Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	61
Figure 4.7	Variation of Stop Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	61
Figure 4.8	Variation of Total Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	62
Figure 4.9	Variation of Total Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	62
Figure 4.10	Variation of Theoretical and Observed Average Delay for Minor Road Traffic	100
Figure 4.11	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Average Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	103
Figure 4.12	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Stop Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	103
Figure 4.13	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Total Delay for Share Lane Minor Road Traffic	104
Figure 4.14	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Average Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	106
Figure 4.15	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Average Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	106
Figure 4.16	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Stop Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	107
Figure 4.17	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Stop Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	107
Figure 4.18	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Total Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Right Turning Traffic	108
Figure 4.19	Variation of Observed and HCM Theoretical Total Delay for Double Lane Minor Road Left Turning Traffic	108

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

i.e.	that is / that are
LOS	Level of Service
q	Traffic Flow
q _{Major}	Major Road Traffic Flow
q _T	Total Traffic Flow Rate
q _R	Flow Rate for Right Turning
q _L	Flow Rate for Left Turning
q _{NS}	Near Side Flow Rate
q _{FS}	Far Side Flow Rate
q _{Motor}	Motorcycle Flow
veh	Vehicle
h	Hour
min	Minutes
sec	Seconds
WD	Weekday
SAT	Saturday
SUN	Sunday
PCU	Passenger Car Unit
Vs.	Versus
VPH	Vehicle per Hour
PCPH	Passenger Car per Hour
R _T	Minor Road Right Turning
L _T	Minor Road Left Turning
M _{RT}	Major Road Right Turning

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A	GEOMETRY PARAMETERS	130
APPENDIX B	TRAFFIC FLOW FOR EVERY 15 MINUTES	133
APPENDIX C	COMPOSITION OF TRAFFIC	150
APPENDIX D	TRAFFIC VOLUME	157
APPENDIX E	CAPACITY	174
APPENDIX F	DELAY	180
APPENDIX G	TRAFFIC FLOW RATE AND DELAY	188
APPENDIX H	TANNER THEORETICAL DELAY	195
APPENDIX I	KIMBER AND HOLLIS THEORETICAL DELAY	202
APPENDIX J	HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL 2000	
	THEOREICAL DELAY	210
APPENDIX K	EVALUATION OF OBSERVED AND THEORETICAL DELAY BY χ^2	219
APPENDIX L	LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR MALAYSIAN APPROACH EVALUATION	258
APPENDIX M	FIGURE	269

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The construction of junction is to allow the road users to change their direction of journey. The ability of the vehicles from minor road cross and merging with the vehicles from major road at a junction depends on types of junction control and the conditions of traffic flow on major road. Types of junction control include signalised control, stop-controlled and roundabout. This study focuses on the delay at unsignalised T-junction for local traffic conditions. The actual delays and theoretical delays are evaluated.

1.2 Problem of Statement

A stop-signed traffic control system is usually used at junction accommodating relatively low volume of traffic. This performance of traffic operation at this type of junction is expected to be influenced by geometry layout at

the junction and the distribution of the traffic flow. At an unsignalized junction, the road users are exposed to high risk of accident during diverging, merging or crossing. The parameters that influence delay at stop-signed junction are capacity of the junction, traffic demand, activity surrounding the junction area and driver characteristics. Current method of analysis is based on the American Highway Capacity Manual. Therefore, there is need to assess the delays at stop controlled junctions for local traffic condition to evaluate the applicability of the existing Highway Capacity Manual to the analysis priority junctions. Besides that, the levels of service for stop-signed junction are estimated through this study. Therefore, solution for solve the delays problem can be proposed based on the level of service at junctions in order to reduce the delay problem to the traffic especially minor road traffic.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study

The aim of this study is to assess the delays at unsignalised junctions. The objectives are:

- To assess the actual delays at local unsignalised junctions;
- To compare the actual delays and theoretical delays; and
- To evaluate the implication of actual and theoretical delays.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study focuses on the delay experience by the minor road traffic at unsignalised junctions. Types of delay considered are the stop delay, total delay and average delay.

REFERENCES

1. Wells, W. *Traffic Engineering an Introduction*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: Charles Griffin & Company Limited. 1979.
2. Ashton, W. D. *The Theory of Road Traffic Flow*. United Kingdom: Spottiswoode, Ballantyne and Co Ltd. 1966.
3. Hobbs, F. D. *Traffic Planning Engineering*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: Cox & Wyman Ltd. 1979.
4. Seymer, N. Translated. *Transportation and Town Planning*. United Kingdom: The M.I.T. Press. 1970.
5. O'Flaherty, C. A. *Transport Planning and Traffic Engineering*. United Kingdom: Arnold. 1997.
6. Salter, R. J. and Hounsell N. B. *Highway Traffic Analysis and Design*. Third Edition. USA: PALGRAVE. 1996.
7. Matson, T. M., Smith, W. S. and Hurd, F. W. *Traffic Engineering*. USA: McGraw-Hill Book Company. 1955.
8. Davies, E. ed. *Traffic Engineering Practice*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: E. & F. N. Spon Ltd. 1968.

9. Behr, J. B. ed. *Research on Road Traffic*. United Kingdom: Her Majesty's Stationery Office. 1965.
10. Cawangan Jalan, Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia. *A Guide to the Design of at Grade Intersections*. Malaysia; Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 11/87. 1987.
11. Cawangan Jalan, Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia. *A Guide to Geometric Design of Road*. Malaysia; Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86. 1986.
12. Pignataro, L. J. *Traffic Engineering: Theory and Practice*. USA: Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1973.
13. May, A. D. *Traffic Flow Fundamentals*. USA: Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1990.
14. Road Engineering Association Malaysia. *A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads*. Malaysia. 2000.
15. National Research Council Washington D.C. *Highway Capacity Manual 2000*. USA. 2000.
16. Salter, R. J. *Traffic Engineering Worked Examples*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: Macmillan Education Ltd. 1989.