EFFECTS OF EXTERNALLY APPLIED ELECTRICAL STIMULUS ON BRAIN-MUSCLE RELATIONSHIP DURING ISOMETRIC CONTRACTION OF HAND MUSCLE

SURIANI BINTI HASAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

EFFECTS OF EXTERNALLY APPLIED ELECTRICAL STIMULUS ON BRAIN-MUSCLE RELATIONSHIP DURING ISOMETRIC CONTRACTION OF HAND MUSCLE

SURIANI BINTI HASAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical – Electronics & Telecommunications)

> Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > NOVEMBER 2008

To my beloved parents and families

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah thanks to Allah for the blessing and strength given to me in completing this project. I would also like to take this opportunity to express my greatest appreciation to my project supervisor, Dr. Norlaili binti Mat Safri, for her worthy suggestions and endless guidance. My gratefulness also to my family members especially to my husband, Mr. A. Rahmad bin Ngah, to my father, Mr. Hasan bin Mohd. Tahir, to my mother, Mrs. Jamilah binti Taib and to my son, Ahmad Danish bin A. Rahmad for their support, encouragement and being understanding. I would also like to thank all Master students under Dr. Norlaili's supervision for their support especially to Siti Hajar Aminah binti Ali. Lastly, my sincere appreciation to those names I didn't put in writing who have helped directly or indirectly in the completion of this project.

ABSTRACT

Communications exists between the peripheral muscles and the sensorimotor cortex, which controls the movement functions in the brain. There are five brain's natural oscillations classified as delta, theta, alpha, beta and gamma which governed most general dynamics in the brain. It is proven that increased alpha activity can be expected in situations or tasks in which attentional demands require inhibition of non-task relevant processes. Recently, study on effects of counting or ignoring externally applied electrical stimulus while performing motor task has shown that cortico-muscular coherence in the beta band during isometric hand contraction can be enhanced by a electrical stimulus unrelated to the motor task. The finding suggests that cognitive effort is needed to clarify these functional connections between different brain regions. By exploring the C_3 -EEG coherence, this project study the functional connection between different brain regions and in addition, measure speed of information transfer between brain areas.

ABSTRAK

Komunikasi wujud antara otot dan sensorimotor korteks, yang mengawal fungsi pergerakan di dalam otak. Terdapat lima kumpulan gelombang semulajadi otak dikategorikan sebagai delta, theta, alpha, beta dan gamma, yang memberikan arahan kepada hampir keseluruhan daya gerak di dalam otak. Telah dibuktikan bahawa peningkatan aktiviti alpha akan berlaku dalam situasi atau tugasan yang memerlukan perhatian bagi menghalang proses atau kawasan yang tidak releven. Baru-baru ini, kajian tentang kesan menghitung atau mengabaikan ransangan elektik yang diberikan dari luar sambil melakukan pergerakan telah menunjukkan bahawa koherens antara otak dan otot dalam gelombang beta semasa pengecutan isometrik tangan boleh ditingkatkan oleh ransangan elektrik yang tidak berkaitan dengan pergerakan tersebut. Ini menujukkan bahawa daya kognitif diperlukan untuk mengekalkan pengecutan isometrik otot. Memandangkan daya kognitif melibatkan perhubungan antara bahagian otak yang berlainan, kajian lanjut diperlukan untuk menjelaskan perhubungan ini. Dengan mengkaji pertalian C₃-EEG, projek ini mempelajari pertalian antara bahagian otak yang berlainan dan sebagai tambahan, mengukur kelajuan penghantaran informasi antara bahagian-bahagian otak.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | | | PAGE | | |
|------------|---------|--------------------------------|------|---|-----|
| | DEC | ii | | | |
| | DED | ICATION | | | iii |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENTS | | | iv |
| | ABS' | TRACT | | | v |
| | ABS' | TRAK | | | vi |
| | TAB | LE OF CONTENTS | | | vii |
| | LIST | Х | | | |
| | LIST | xi | | | |
| | LIST | COF ABBREVIATIONS | | | xiv |
| 1 | INTI | RODUCTION | | | 1 |
| Introducti | ion | | | 1 | |
| Experime | ntal Pr | ocedures of Previous Project | | 3 | |
| Material a | and Me | ethods | 3 | | |
| | 1.3 | Findings of the Previous Proje | ect | | 6 |
| | 1.4 | Problem Statement | | | 9 |
| | 1.5 | Objectives | | | 10 |
| | 1.6 | Project Scope | | | 10 |
| | 1.7 | Project Contribution | | | 11 |
| | 1.8 | Thesis Outline | | | 12 |

| LITE | RATUI | RE REVIEW | 13 |
|------|--------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Introd | uction | 13 |
| 2.2 | Neura | l System | 13 |
| 2.3 | The Se | omatic Sensory Cortex | 16 |
| 2.4 | The M | lotor Cortex | 18 |
| 2.5 | Assoc | iation Cortices | 21 |
| 2.6 | Muscl | e and Cortical Electrical Rhythm | 22 |
| | 2.6.1 | Electromyography | 22 |
| | 2.6.2 | Electroencephalography | 23 |
| 2.7 | Data A | Acquisition and Processing | 27 |
| | 2.7.1 | Data Conversion | 27 |
| | 2.7.2 | Data Qualification | 29 |
| | 2.7.3 | Data Analysis Procedure | 31 |
| | | 2.7.3.1 Procedure for analyzing Individual | |
| | | Records | 31 |
| | | 2.7.3.2 Procedure for analyzing Multiple | |
| | | Records | 33 |
| 2.8 | Concl | usion | 35 |
| MET | HODO | LOGY | 36 |
| 3.1 | Introd | uction | 36 |
| 3.2 | Subjec | ct Population | 36 |
| 3.3 | Specif | ication | 37 |
| 3.4 | Data F | Processing | 39 |
| | 3.4.1 | Flowchart | 39 |
| | 3.4.2 | Data Conversion | 40 |
| | 3.4.3 | Remove Bad Epoch | 41 |
| | 3.4.4 | Current source density | 42 |
| | | 3.4.4.1 Surface Laplacian of Spherical | |
| | | Splines | 43 |
| | | 3.4.4.2 Spherical Splines for Scalp Potentia | 1 |
| | | Mapping | 45 |
| | | 3.4.4.3 Spherical Splines for Scalp Current | |
| | | Mapping | 46 |
| | | | |

2

3

| | | 3.4.5 | Coherence | 47 |
|---|------|---------|---|----|
| | 3.5 | Conclu | ision | 48 |
| 4 | RESU | ULTS A | ND FINDINGS | 49 |
| | 4.1 | Introdu | action | 49 |
| | 4.2 | Result | s and Findings | 50 |
| | | 4.2.1 | C ₃ -EEG Coherence | 50 |
| | | | 4.2.1.1 Control vs. ES-CountLeft Tasks | 51 |
| | | | 4.2.1.2 Control vs. ES-CountRight Tasks | 52 |
| | | | 4.2.1.3 Control vs. ES-Ignore Tasks | 54 |
| | | | 4.2.1.4 Comparison between All Tasks | 55 |
| | | 4.2.2 | C ₃ -EEG Temporal Relationship | 58 |
| | 4.3 | Conclu | ision | 60 |
| 5 | DISC | CUSSIO | N | 61 |
| | 5.1 | Introdu | action | 61 |
| | 5.2 | Cohere | ence Analysis | 62 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Alpha Band | 63 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Somatosensory Cortex | 64 |
| | | 5.2.3 | Sensitivity towards Tactile Stimulus | 65 |
| | | 5.2.4 | Gating Mechanism | 66 |
| | 5.3 | Time I | Delay Analysis | 67 |
| 6 | CON | CLUSI | DN | 69 |
| | 6.1 | Introdu | uction | 69 |
| | 6.2 | Result | Achievement | 69 |
| | 6.3 | Limita | tion on Project | 70 |
| | 6.4 | Future | Work | 71 |
| | 6.5 | Conclu | usion | 71 |
| | | | | |

REFERENCES

72

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO. TITLE PAGE 2.1 The function of each EEG frequency band [adapted from

| 2.1 | The function of each EEO frequency band [adapted from | |
|-----|---|----|
| | 8] | 26 |
| 4.1 | Peak mean coherence of all tasks | 56 |
| 4.2 | Peak cross-correlations at the P_z sites of all tasks | 59 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|-------|------|
| | | |

| 1.1 | The pressure measurement [adapted from 8] | 3 |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.2 | Experimental setting for recording of EEG and EMG for | |
| | "electrical stimulus" (ES) tasks [adapted from 8] | 5 |
| 1.3 | Task sequence of Control and "electrical stimulus" (ES) | |
| | tasks [adapted from 8] | 6 |
| 1.4 | Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) | |
| | signals for ES-Ignore Task [adapted from 8] | 7 |
| 1.5 | Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) | |
| | signals for (ES)-CountRight task. [adapted from 8] | 7 |
| 1.6 | Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) | |
| | signals for ES-CountLeft task. [adapted from 8] | 8 |
| 2.1 | Major components of CNS and PNS and their functional | |
| | relationship. [adapted from 9] | 14 |
| 2.2 | A lateral and ventral view of the subdivisions and | |
| | components of CNS [adapted from 9] | 15 |
| 2.3 | A lateral view of the four lobes of the brain and some of | |
| | the major sulci and guyri evident from this perspective | |
| | [adapted from 9] | 15 |
| 2.4 | The somatic sensory portions of the thalamus and their | |
| | cortical targets [adapted from 9] | 16 |
| 2.5 | Medial and lateral arrangement of somatosensory cortex | |
| | [adapted from 9] | 17 |
| 2.6 | Cortical motor areas [adapted from 9] | 18 |

| 2.7 | Localization of motor and sensory function [adapted from | ı |
|------|---|----|
| | 9] | 19 |
| 2.8 | Body representations in human motor cortex [adapted from | m |
| | 9] | 19 |
| 2.9 | An example of EMG activity recorded from FDI muscle. | 23 |
| 2.10 | The 10-20 System of Electrode Placement at the scalp | |
| | [adapted from 8] | 24 |
| 2.11 | An example of EEG activity recorded at brain scalp | 25 |
| 2.12 | Sampling of analog signal [adapted from 12] | 28 |
| 2.13 | Frequency aliasing due to an inadequate digital sampling | |
| | Rate [adapted from 12] | 28 |
| 2.14 | Illustration of quantization error [adapted from 12] | 29 |
| 2.15 | Examples of random data with anomalies [adapted from | |
| | 12] | 30 |
| 2.16 | General procedure for analyzing individual sample record | ls |
| | [adapted from 12] | 32 |
| 2.17 | General procedure for analyzing multiple sample records | |
| | [adapted from 12] | 33 |
| 3.1 | Segments of non-overlapping epochs | 38 |
| 3.2 | Flowchart of the overall project | 39 |
| 3.3 | The relationship of Actual Number Stored, voltage | |
| | range, EMG resolution and EEG resolution [adapted from | ı |
| | 16] | 41 |
| 3.4 | The conversion of potential to current (CSD) as a reference | ce |
| | to the EEG signals [adapted from 8] | 43 |
| 3.5 | The spherical coordinate system is a three-dimensional | |
| | polar coordinate system [adapted from 8] | 44 |
| 4.1 | Individual coherence spectra between the EEG and C_3 | |
| | signals for ES-CountLeft task. (a) Kuwa (b) Noda | |
| | (c) Yamabe (d) Sakamoto (e) Mine | 51 |
| 4.2 | Individual coherence spectra between the EEG and C_3 | |
| | signals for ES-CountRight task. (a) Yamabe (b) Sakamot | 0 |
| | (c) Mine (d) Sanaka (e) Nagatani | 53 |

| 4.3 | Individual coherence spectra between the EEG and C ₃ | |
|-----|---|----|
| | signals for ES-Ignore task (a)Mine(b)Sakamoto(c)Sanaka | 54 |
| 4.4 | Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and C_3 | |
| | signals for n subjects in (a) ES-CountLeft | |
| | (b) ES-CountRight (c) ES-Ignore tasks | 55 |
| 4.5 | Brain connectivity between C_3 and P_z during concurrently | |
| | performing motor task and counting tactile stimulus | |
| | presented at the left hand | 57 |
| 4.6 | Difference in percentage distribution of mean coherence | |
| | between ES-CountLeft (CL), ES-CountRight (CR) and | |
| | ES-Ignore (I) and their Control task at the alpha band | 58 |
| 4.7 | Mean magnitude of cross-correlation between C_3 and | |
| | other channels during (a) ES-CountLeft | |
| | (b) ES_CountRight (C) ES-Ignore | 59 |
| 4.7 | Mean magnitude of cross-correlation between C_3 and | |
| | other channels during (a) ES-CountLeft | |
| | (b) ES_CountRight (C) ES-Ignore | 59 |
| 5.1 | Somatosensory stimulus applied to bilateral third | |
| | fingers of human hand [adapted from 8] | 68 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ADC | - | analog-to-digital converter |
|----------|---|--|
| CNS | - | central nervous system |
| CSD | - | current source density |
| DFT | - | discrete Fourier Transform |
| EEG | - | electroencephalography |
| EMG | - | electromyography |
| ES | - | electrical stimulus |
| FDI | - | first dorsal interosseous |
| FFT | - | fast Fourier transforms |
| IFFT | - | inverse Fourier transformation |
| ISI | - | inter-stimulus-interval |
| LSR | - | least squares regression analysis |
| MAX-COH | - | maximum coherence |
| MEAN-COH | - | mean of significant coherences |
| MEG | - | magnetoencephalography |
| MVC | - | maximum voluntary contraction |
| PMC | - | premotor cortex |
| PNS | - | peripheral nervous system |
| PTNs | - | pyramidal tract neurons |
| SCDs | - | scalp current densities |
| SEM | - | standard error of the mean |
| SMA | - | supplementary motor area |
| SPs | - | scalp potentials |
| WLSR | - | weighted least squares regression analysis |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Voluntary movements involve the cooperation of many muscles. Communications are believed to exist between the peripheral muscles and the sensorimotor cortex, which controls the movement functions in the brain. During voluntary activity in humans, motor units are exposed to a number of descending drives that tend to synchronize motor unit activity at particular frequencies. In particular, the contralateral motor cortex drives muscle discharge in the beta (15-30Hz) and Piper (30-60Hz) bands. The cortical activity in these bands is task specific, somatopicly distributed and generally precedes muscle discharge by an interval appropriate for conduction on fast pyramidal pathways. Coherence between cortex and muscle in the beta band is found during isometric contraction of weak and moderate strengths. Thus oscillations within the beta band seem to coincide with the stable, relatively immutable state – a free running mode of the motor cortex that may maintain stable motor output with a minimum of computational effort. Magnetoencephalography (MEG) and electromyography (EMG) show significant coherence in the frequency band 15-35 Hz of measurements of the first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle [1]. hand

A direct cortical drive to muscle should be associated with lags between muscles comparable to those seen after transcutaneous magnetic stimulation of the motor cortex, which is known to involve fast pyramidal pathways [2]. Other authors have found that EMG lags cortical activity in both beta and Piper bands, and that the difference in lag between muscle is consistent with conduction down fast pyramidal pathways [3]-[5]. The MEG-EMG phase depends linearly on frequency in the frequency range with significant coherence. This confirms the interpretation of a fixed delay between the MEG and EMG signals. The absolute lags between cortex and muscle is difficult to settle. Although the rank ordering in MEG-EMG delays between hand and foot muscles corresponds to differences in conduction times, the absolute latencies determined from cross-correlograms (3-8ms for the first dorsal interosseous, 17-25 ms for tibialis anterior) are much shorter than would be expected for conduction in pyramidal pathways. Such short latencies could, in principle, be explained if both the cortical and the spinal level were driven by a common input. Literature on time delay is vast and well documented in [6]-[7].

1.2 Experimental Procedures of Previous Project [8]

1.2.1 Materials and Methods

The data were obtained through the experiment carried by Norlaili Mat Safri [8]. In this experiment, 7 right-handed subjects participated, aged 20-24 years old. The international 10-20 electrode placement method was used with 19 electrodes placed on the scalp for capturing EEG signals (MME-3124; Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). Surface EMG was recorded from the first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle of the right hand with reference to the head of the second metacarpal bone of the index finger (Figure 1.1). EEG and EMG signals were stored in a personal computer with a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz and recorded with passbands of 0.5-200 Hz and 5-500 Hz, respectively, when subjects made weak isometric contraction. EMG signals were then rectified and used for the analysis.



Figure 1.1 The pressure measurement [adapted from 8]

Four trials were performed for each task, in which a single trial lasted for 1 minute. Before each run, each subject's maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) and threshold voltage was optimized to maintain weak contraction and fixed the interstimulus-interval (ISI) throughout the experiment. The procedures to get the values are explained in the next paragraphs.

Subjects were asked to hold a device with a force gauge sensor at its center between the thumb and the index finger, and to squeeze the device to cause a weak contraction, $\sim 10\%$ of MVC. The MVC force level was displayed via a digital

display (NMB CSD-815 Digital indicator; Minebea Co. Ltd), recorded and repeated for five times. The average of these MVC force level was taken as the MVC force level for the subject giving 61 ± 5 N (mean \pm standard error of the mean [SEM]; n=10).

The ISI was fixed at 1 Hz and the intensity of the electrical stimulus was set at 1.5 times bigger than the threshold. To find the threshold voltage, first, electrical impulse was given to subject at a random intensity. If subject could felt the electrical impulses, the intensity was reduced until subject could no longer felt the electrical impulses. The new intensity then became the threshold voltage for the subject. The threshold voltage varied among subjects and within subject's bilateral third fingers. Experiments were done to delineate the effects of electrical stimulus with ISI fixed at 1 Hz.

Four different experimental conditions were investigated in a given recording session.

| Control task: | Subjects had to pay attention and perform the motor act with |
|-----------------|---|
| | the gazed fixed on the FDI muscle. Motor act was maintained |
| | for the entire recordings. This Control task was done before |
| | and after each Electrical Stimuli -Ignore (ES-Ignore), - |
| | CountRight (ES-CountRight) and -CountLeft (ES-CountLeft) |
| | task. |
| ES-Ignore task: | In this task, electrical stimulus was given at subjects bilateral |
| | hand's third fingers in random sequence with ISI 1 Hz. |
| | Subjects were told to ignore the presented stimulus, while at |
| | the same time, perform the motor act as in Control task. |

- **ES-CountRight task:**The procedures and settings were the same as the ES-Ignore task, except to count the number of stimulus given at the right hand only, and concurrently, maintain the FDI muscle contraction for the entire recordings.
- **ES-CountLeft task:** The procedures and settings were the same as the ES Ignore and -CountRight tasks, except to count the number of stimulus given at the left hand only, and concurrently, maintain the FDI muscle contraction for the entire recordings.

Figure 1.2 shows the measurement system of "electrical stimulus" (ES) tasks, while Figure 1.3 shows the task sequence.



Figure 1.2 Experimental setting for recording of EEG and EMG for "electrical stimulus" (ES) tasks [adapted from 8]



Figure 1.3 Task sequence of Control and "electrical stimulus" (ES) tasks [adapted from 8]

1.3 Findings of the Previous Project

Grand averages of 7 subjects were used in each coherence spectrum in all the tasks. Coherence spectra were organized topographically according to the approximate location of the 19 electrodes on the scalp. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence level. The coherence magnitude is significant when it is above the confidence limit. Figure 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 shows significant magnitude of the coherence was found at the C_3 site, at the beta frequency band. The similar frequency range of the coherence was also seen at the F_3 and P_3 sites for ES-Ignore and –CountRight tasks while seen at the F_3 , P_3 and C_z sites for the ES-CountLeft tasks, although the magnitude was smaller than at the C_3 site. The spectral peak at the C_3 site for the ES-Ignore, -CountRight and –CountLeft tasks are slightly higher than the Control task. In the After (Control) task, the spectral peak decreased back to value near the Control Task, showing the recovery.



Figure 1.4 Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) signals for ES-Ignore Task [adapted from 8]



Figure 1.5 Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) signals for (ES)-CountRight task. [adapted from 8]



Figure 1.6 Mean coherence spectra between the EEG and EMG (FDI) signals for ES-CountLeft task. [adapted from 8]

The major finding of this study is that the cortico-muscular coherence during the isometric hand contraction can be enhanced by the electrical stimulus unrelated to the motor task. Since such an electrical stimulus is thought to act as a disturbance against the motor task, one would think that the motor task can be interfered, and/or the magnitude of coherence can be declined, by the electrical stimulus. However, the results of this experiment have shown the opposite of this view. This provides an additional aspect for cross-modal modulation of the functional coupling between the muscle and the sensorimotor cortex in humans.

1.4 Problem Statement

Generally, coherence size (magnitude) and time delay (phase shift) are investigated to reveal communication between the human motor cortex and muscle. In the previous study, the beta frequency band synchronization between the motor cortex and the motor muscle is sustained/enhanced during isometric contraction in the presence of somatosensory stimulation (via electrical pulses). It is also found that the level of sensitivity towards tactile stimulus also influence the synchronization.

However, in the previous study, the brain region connectivity and its temporal relationship during somatosensory stimulation are not addressed yet. By using the EEG-EEG coherence, it is able to configure if direct relationship exists between each brain region and the C_3 site. C_3 site was chosen as the reference point, since significant magnitude of the brain-muscle coherence was found at the C_3 site, at beta frequency band during the isometric hand contraction. In the other hand, EEG-EEG temporal analysis can be done using many time delay estimation methods available for investigating their physiological significance.

1.5 Objectives

The main objective of this project was to investigate the effects of externally applied electrical stimulus on brain muscle relationship during isometric contraction of FDI muscle in terms of:

- 1. Inter-relationship between brain region
- 2. Temporal brain region relationship

1.6 Project Scope

Previously, electroencephalograph (EEG) and electromyograph (EMG) activities from the first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle of the right hand were recorded in seven subjects performing four different tasks. The project was continued by studying the time delay between $EEG(C_3)$ -EEG signals to establish the temporal relationship between different brain regions and the motor cortex using inverse fast Fourier transforms (IFFT). In the other hand, coherence between the EEG-EEG signals was calculated using spherical splines principle to establish the interrelationship between brain regions.

The raw binary data were converted into decimal and processed to attain the coherence value using the spherical splines principle. The current source density (CSD) reference values were estimated and used in the phase and temporal analysis. In order to study the data signal for its frequency content, they were converted from

time domain into the frequency domain. This was accomplished by applying a mathematical method known as fast Fourier transforms (FFT).

To perform the analysis, C programming language was used. The result is then mapped following the location of the site so as to make the analysis part of verifying the functional connectivities of different region easier to discuss. To support the findings, the frequencies were grouped into five types of EEG band, Delta, Theta, Alpha, Beta and Gamma band.

1.7 Project Contribution

Analysis of the EEG-EMG coherence can provide a useful tool for understanding the corticomuscular functional connection in stroke recovery. The time delay between two dynamical systems can provide information on conduction velocity, and the nature and origin of coupling, between the processes. From this study a better understanding of how the human brain transforms sensory signals into appropriate motor output and how different perceptual and attentional factors influence this transformation are gained. The present study provides an approach to investigate the loci associated with tactile-motor processing and to measure the taskspecific effect of stimulus predictability on network components.

1.8 Thesis Outline

This report consists of 6 chapters as follows:

Chapter 1: This chapter is on the introduction which includes the project background, problem statement, objectives and scope of the project, and the project contribution.

Chapter 2: This chapter provides literature review on neural systems especially on somatic sensory cortex, motor cortex muscle and cortical electrical rhythm. Data acquisition and processing was also discussed by providing relevant procedures and formulas.

Chapter 3: This chapter describes the project methodology by giving details on the flow of calculation involved to establish the objectives.

Chapter 4: This chapter presents the result and findings on coherence and crosscorrelation between brain regions.

Chapter 5: This chapter discusses further the result and findings, which were supported by relevant findings from previous research.

Chapter 6: This chapter concluded the findings by answering the objectives.

REFERENCES

[1] Conway, B. A. Halliday, D. M. Farmer, S. F. Shahani, U. Maas, P. Weir, A. I. and Rosenberg, J. R. Synchronization between Motor Cortex and Spinal Motoneuronal Pool during the Performance of a Maintained Motor Task in Man. *Journal of Physiology*. 1995. Volume 489 (3): 917-924.

[2] Rothwell, J. C. Thompson, P. D. Day, B. L. Boyd, S. Marsden, C. D. Stimulation of the human motor cortex through the scalp. *Exp. Physiol*. 1991. Volume 76: 59-200.

[3] Salenius, S. Portin, K. Kajola, M. Salmelin, R. and Hari, R. Cortical Control of Human Motoneuron Firing During Isometric Contraction. *The Journal of Neurophysiology*. 1997. Volume 77 (6) : 3401-3405.

[4] Brown, P. Salenius, S. Rothwell, J. C. and Hari R. The Cortical Correlate of the Piper Rhythm in Man. *J. Neurophysiol.* 1998. Volume 80: 2911 -2917.

[5] Mima, T. Gerloff, C. Steger, J. and Hallet, M. Frequency Coding of Motor Control System-Coherence and Phase Estimation between Cortical Rhythm and Motoneuronal Firing in Humans. *Soc. Neurosci.* 1768. Volume 24.

[6] M[°]uller, T. Lauk, M. Reinhard, M. Hetzel, A. L[°]ucking, C.H. and Timmer, *J. Annals of Biomedical Engineering*. 2003. Volume 31: 1423.

[7] Lindemann, M. Raethjen, J. Timmer, J. Deuschl, G. and Pfister, G. J. Neurosci. Meth. 2001 Volume 111: 127. [8] Norlaili binti Mat Safri. *Effects of Externally Applied Sensory Simulation on the motor Cortex-Muscle Synchronization in Human*. Ph. D. Thesis. Kumamoto University; 2006

[9] Nolte, J. *The Human Brain: An Introduction to Its Functional Anatomy*. 5th Ed. St. Louis: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2002.

[10] E. Bruce Goldstein. Sensation and Perception. 6th ed. United states of America:Wardsworth Group. 2002

[11] Lam, K., Kakigi, R., Kaneoke, Y., Naka, D., Maeda, K., Suzuki, H. Effects Of Visual And Auditory Stimulation On Somatosensory Evoked Magnetic Fields. *Clin. Neurophysioogyl.* 1999. Volume 110 : 295 – 304

[12] Bendat, J. S. and Piersol, A. G. *Random Data Analysis and Measurement Procedures*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York. 2000

[13] Himelblau, H. Piersol, A. G. Wise, J. H. and Grundvig, M R. Handbook for Dynamic Data Acquisition and Analysis. IEST-RP-DTE12.1, Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology, Mount Prospect, Illinois. 1994.

[14] Halliday, D.M. Conway, B.A. Farmer, S.F. and Rosenberg, J.R. Using Electroencephalography to Study Functional Coupling between Cortical Activity and Electromyograms during Voluntary Contractions in Humans. *Neuroscience Letters*. 1998. Volume 241:5-8.

[15] Nunez, P.L. Srinivasan, R. Westdrop, A.F. Wijesinghe, R.S. Tucker, D.M. Silberstein, R.B. and Cadusch, P.J. EEG Coherency. I: Statistics, Reference Electrode, Volume Conduction, Laplacians, Cortical Imaging, and Interpretation at Multiple scales. *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology*. 1997. Volume 103: 499-515.

[16] Siti Hajar Aminah binti Ali. Identification of Cortical Connectivities During Isometric Contraction With Visual Stimulation Using Coherence Analysis. Masters Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2007

[17] Perrin, F. Pernier, J. Bertrand O. and Echallier, J. F Spherical Splines for Scalp Potential and Current Density Mapping. *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology*. 1989. Volume 72:184-187.

[18] Basar, E. Basar-Eroglu, C. Karakas, S. and Schurmann. M. Gamma, Alpha, Delta, and Theta Oscillations Govern Cognitive Processes. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 2001. Volume 39: 241–248.

[19] Ray, W. J. and Cole, H. W. EEG Activity during Cognitive Processing: Influence of Attentional Factors. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 1985. Volume 3: 43-48.

[20] Pfurtscheller Jr., G. Stancak, A. and Neuper, C. Event-related Synchronization (ERS) in the Alpha Band-An Electrophysiological Correlate of Cortical Idling: a review. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 1996. Volume 24:39-46.

[21] Klimesch, W. Doppelmayr, M. Schwaiger, J. Auinger, P. and Winkler, T. 'Paradoxical' Alpha Synchronization In A Memory Task. *Cogn. Brain Res.* 1999. Volume 7: 493–501.

[22] Klimesch, W. Doppelmayr, M. Rohm, D. Pollhuber, D. and Stadler, W. Simultaneous Desynchronization and Synchronization of Different Alpha Responses in the Human Electroencephalograph: a neglected paradox? *Neuroscience Letters*. 2000 Volume 284: 97-100.

[23] Cooper, N. R. Croft, R. J. Dominey, S. J. J. Burgess, A. P. and Gruzelier, J. H. Paradox Lost? Exploring The Role of Alpha Oscillations during Externally vs. Internally Directed Attention and the Implications for Idling and Inhibition Hypotheses. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.*, 2003. Volume 47: 65–74.

[24] Ward, L.M. Synchronous Neural Oscillations and Cognitive Processes. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2003. Volume 7: 553–559.

[25] Tomberg, C. and Desmedt, J. E. Failure to Recognize Objects by Active Touch (astereognosia) Results from Lesion of Parietal-Cortex Representation of Kinaesthesis. *Lancet* .1999. Volume 354(9176):393-394.

[26] Fogassi L, and Luppino G. Motor Functions of the Parietal Lobe. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2005.

[27] Eguibar, J. R. Quevedo, J. Jimenez, I. and Rudomin, P. Selective Cortical Control of Information Flow Through Different Intraspinal Collaterals of the Same Muscle Afferent Fiber. *Brain Res.* 1994. Volume 643: 328–333.

[28] Knecht, S. Kunesch, E. Buchner, and H. Freund, H-J. Facilitation of Somatosensory Evoked Potentials by Exploratory Finger Movements. *Exp Brain Res.* 1993. Volume 95: 330–338.

[29] Staines, W. R. Brooke, J. D. and McIlroy, W. E. Task-relevant Selective Modulation of Somatosensory Afferent Paths from the Lower Limb. *Neuroreport*. 2000. Volume 11: 1713–1719.

[30] Mommers, M.J.C. Braille reading: Effects of Different Hand and Finger Usage. *J. Visual Impairment and Blindness*. 1980. Volume 74(9): 338-343.

[31] Sterr, A. Green, L. and Elbert, T. Blind Braille Readers Mislocate Tactile Stimuli. *Biological Psychology*. 2003. Volume 63:17-127.

[32] Forss, N., Jousma^{*}ki, V.,. Sensorimotor Integration in Human Primary and Secondary Somatosensory Cortices. *Brain Res.* 1998. Volume 781: 259–267.

[33] Huttunen, J., Ho⁻mberg, V. Modification of Cortical Somatosensory Evoked Potentials during Tactile Exploration and Simple Active and Passive Movements. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 1991. Volume 81: 216–223. [34] Kakigi, R., Koyama, S., Hoshiyama, M., Kitamura, Y., Shimojo, M., Watanabe, and S., Nakamura, A. Effects of Tactile Interference Stimulation on Somatosensory Evoked Magnetic Fields. *Neuroreport*. 1996. Volume 7: 405–408.

[35] Jones, S.J., Halonen, H., Shawkat, F., Centrifugal and Centripetal Mechanisms Involved in the 'Gating' of Cortical SEPs during Movement. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 1989. Volume 74: 36–45.

[36] Murayama, N. Lee, Y. Salenius S. and Hari, R. Oscillatory Interaction between Human Motor Cortex and Trunk Muscles during Isometric Contraction. *NeuroImage*. 2001. 1206-1213.

[37] Mima, T. Steger, J. Schulman, A. E. Gerloff C. and Hallett, M. Electroencephalographic Measurement of Motor Cortex Control of Muscle Activity in Humans. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2000. Volume 111: 326-337.

[38] Carr, L. J. Harrison L. M. and Stephens J. A. Evidence for Bilateral Innervation of Certain Homologous Motoneurone Pools in Man," *Journal of Physiology*. 1994. Volume 475 (2): 217-227.

[39] Lopes da Silva, F. Pijn, J. P. and Boeijinga, P. Interdependence of EEG Signals: Linear vs. Nonlinear Associations and the Significance of Time Delays and Phase Shifts. *Brain Topography*. 1989. Volume 2(1/2): 9-18.

[40] Groose, P. Cassidy M. J. and Brown, P. EEG-EMG, MEG-EMG and EMG-EMG Frequency Analysis: Physiological Principles and Clinical Applications. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2002 Volume 113: 1523-1531.