

**PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTIC OF THERMOELECTRIC MODULE**

**NAZRI BIN MD DAUD**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

# **PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTIC OF THERMOELECTRIC MODULE**

**NAZRI BIN MD DAUD**

A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOV 2007

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Mazlan Bin Abdul Wahid as my supervisor for giving a lot of guidance, advice and support. Thank you also to Mr. Hanafi, Mr. Syukri, Mr. Hafiz and Mr. Faizal for your assistance in providing some apparatus for experimental works

To my fellow postgraduate students thank you for your motivation and advices. Lastly special thanks to my beloved wife and daughters in giving such as tremendous encouragement and efforts.

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine the physical properties and performance curves of the thermoelectric module. In order to do that a test rig has been fabricated and 2 thermoelectric modules are tested which are TEC1-12705 and CP1.4-71-06L. The voltage generated by the thermoelectric module and the temperature difference are measured and by using some equations, physical properties such as Seebeck coefficient  $\alpha$ , Electrical resistance R and Thermal conductivity  $K_t$  are obtained. These experiments data are then compared with the manufacturer data. Performance curves are determined by testing the thermoelectric module with 3 different heat sinks which are water cooling jacket, cooling fan with fin and fin itself as a heat sink. Then by using some calculations, the values of P,  $Q_H$  and COP are determined. Optimizations have been done to improve the performance of thermoelectric module by studying the effect of different water flow rate in water cooling jacket, different power supply of cooling fan and the different number of fin and ratio between the fin area contacts to the thermoelectric module surface.

## ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk mencari sifat fizikal dan lengkung prestasi bagi modul termoelektrik. Untuk itu satu kelengkapan ujian telah difabrikasi dan 2 buah modul termoelektrik iaitu TEC1-12705 dan CP1.4-71-06L telah diuji. Voltan yang dihasilkan oleh modul termoelektrik dan perbezaan suhu akan diukur dan dengan menggunakan beberapa persamaan, pekali Seebeck  $\alpha$ , Rintangan elektrik R dan Konduktiviti terma  $K_t$  telah diperolehi. Semua data eksperimen akan dibandingkan dengan data daripada pihak pembuatan. Lengkung prestasi telah ditentukan dengan melakukan ujian ke atas modul termoelektrik pada 3 pembuang haba yang berbeza iaitu jaket air penyejuk, kipas penyejuk bersama sirip penyejuk dan pembuang haba sirip penyejuk sahaja. Melalui pengiraan, nilai P,  $Q_H$  dan COP ditentukan. Prestasi modul termoelektrik boleh diperbaiki dengan melakukan kajian terhadap kesan aliran air dalam jaket air penyejuk, kesan perbezaan kuasa yang dibekalkan ke atas kipas penyejuk dan bilangan sirip penyejuk dan nisbah luas sentuhan antara permukaan sirip penyejuk dengan permukaan modul termoelektrik.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Objectives	4
	1.3 Scopes	4
	1.4 Problem statement	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Thermoelectric Laws	6
	2.2 Thermoelectric module	8
	2.3 Thermoelectric effects	8
	2.3.1 Seebeck Effect	8
	2.3.2 Peltier Effect	9
	2.3.3 Thomson Effect	10

2.4	Thermoelectric refrigeration	10
	2.4.1 Description of a thermoelectric refrigeration module	11
2.5	Thermoelectric generator	14
2.6	Thermoelectric cooling	16
2.7	Thermoelectric Materials	16
2.8	Figure of merit	18
2.9	Determination of physical properties of thermoelectric module	18
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	General Descriptions	19
3.2	Experiment 1: Determination of physical properties which are Seebeck coefficient $\alpha$ , electric resistance R and thermal conductivity, $K_t$	20
	3.2.1 Description of experiment 1	21
	3.2.2 Experimental Procedure	21
3.3	Experiment 2a: Determination of performance curve of the thermoelectric module by using water cooling jacket in a vacuum chamber.	22
	3.3.1 Description of experiment 2a	23
	3.3.2 Experimental Procedure	24
3.4	Experiment 2b: Determination of performance curve of the thermoelectric module by using cooling fan as a heat sink.	25
	3.4.1 Description of experiment 2b	26
	3.4.2 Experimental Procedure	26

3.5	Experiment 2c: Determination of performance curve of the thermoelectric module by using fin as a heat sink.	27
3.5.1	Experimental Procedure	28
3.6	Experiment 3a: Optimization of the performance of thermoelectric module by studying the effect of different water flow rate in water cooling jacket.	29
3.6.1	Experimental Procedure	29
3.7	Experiment 3b: Optimization of the performance of thermoelectric module by studying the effect of different power supply for cooling fan as a heat sink.	30
3.7.1	Experimental Procedure	30
3.8	Experiment 3c: Optimization of the performance of thermoelectric module by studying the effect of different number of fin and ratio between the fin area contacts to the thermoelectric module surface	31
3.8.1	Experimental Procedure	31
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	
4.1	Result experiment 1 for thermoelectric module model TEC1-12705	32
4.1.1	Determination of Seebeck coefficient, $\alpha$ for TEC1-12705	33
4.1.2	Determination of Electrical resistance, R for TEC1-12705	34
4.1.3	Determination of Thermal conductivity, Kt for TEC1-12705	34
4.2	Result experiment 1 for thermoelectric module model CP1.4-71-06L	36
4.2.1	Determination of Seebeck coefficient, $\alpha$ for CP1.4-71-06L	37



4.2.2	Determination of Electric resistance, R for CP1.4-71-06L	38
4.2.3	Determination of Thermal conductivity, Kt for CP1.4-71-06L	38
4.3	Result experiment 2a: Performance curve of TEC1-12705 by using water cooling jacket in a vacuum chamber	40
4.4	Experiment 2a: Performance curve of CP1.4-71-06L by using water cooling jacket in a vacuum chamber	46
4.5	Comparison performance between TEC1-12705 and CP1.4 71-06L in experiment 2a	52
4.6	Result experiment 2b:Performance curve of TEC1-12705 by using cooling fan as a heat sink.	54
4.7	Experiment 2b: Performance curve of CP1.4-71-06L by using cooling fan as a heat sink.	60
4.8	Comparison performance between TEC1-12705 and CP1.4 71-06L in experiment 2b	66
4.9	Result experiment 2c:Performance curve of TEC1-12705 by using fin as a heat sink.	68
4.10	Experiment 2c: Performance curve of CP1.4 71-06L by using fin as a heat sink.	74
4.11	Comparison performance between TEC1-12705 and CP1.4 71-06L in experiment 2c	80
4.12	Result experiment 3a: Optimization of the performance of thermoelectric module at different water flow rate	82
4.13	Result experiment 3b: Optimization the performance of thermoelectric module at different power supply for cooling fan	84
4.14	Result experiment 3c: Optimization the performance of thermoelectric module at different number of fin and the different ratio between the fin area contacts to the thermoelectric module surface	86

4.15	Comparison of COP for 3 different heat sinks which are water cooling jacket, cooling fan and fin itself for TE model : TEC1-12705	88
4.16	Discussions	90
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>98</b>

## **REFERENCES**

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	The values of $T_H-T_L$ and the voltages generated by TEC1-12705	32
2	Specifications of TEC1-12705 [21]	35
3	Percentage error between experiment data and manufacturer data	35
4	The values of $T_H-T_L$ and the voltages generated by CP1.4-71-06L	36
5	Specifications of CP1.4-71-06L [22]	39
6	Percentage error between experiment data and manufacturer data	39
7	Result experiment 2a for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=0W$	40
8	Result experiment 2a for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=1.5W$	40
9	Result experiment 2a for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=2.5W$	41
10	Result experiment 2a for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=3.5W$	41
11	Result experiment 2a for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=4.5W$	41
12	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP : TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=0W$ (Experiment 2a)	42
13	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP : TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=1.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	42
14	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP : TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=2.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	42
15	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP : TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=3.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	43
16	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP : TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=4.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	43
17	Result experiment 2a for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=0W$	46
18	Result experiment 2a for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=1.5W$	46
19	Result experiment 2a for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=2.5W$	47
20	Result experiment 2a for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=3.5W$	47
21	Result experiment 2a for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=4.5W$	47
22	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 0W$ (Experiment 2a)	48
23	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 1.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	48
24	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 2.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	48
25	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 3.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	49

26	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 4.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	49
27	Result experiment 2b for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 0W$	54
28	Result experiment 2b for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 1.5W$	54
29	Result experiment 2b for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 2.5W$	55
30	Result experiment 2b for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 3.5W$	55
31	Result experiment 2b for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 4.5W$	55
32	Calculated $P, Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 0W$ (Experiment 2b)	56
33	Calculated $P, Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 1.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	56
34	Calculated $P, Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 2.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	56
35	Calculated $P, Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 3.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	57
36	Calculated $P, Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 4.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	57
37	Result experiment 2b for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 0W$	60
38	Result experiment 2b for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 1.5W$	60
39	Result experiment 2b for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 2.5W$	60
40	Result experiment 2b for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 3.5W$	61
41	Result experiment 2b for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 4.5W$	61
42	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 0W$ (Experiment 2b)	62
43	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 1.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	62
44	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 2.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	62
45	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 3.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	63
46	Calculated $P, Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L = 4.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	63
47	Result experiment 2c for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 0W$	68
48	Result experiment 2c for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L = 1.5W$	68

49	Result experiment 2c for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=2.5W$	69
50	Result experiment 2c for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=3.5W$	69
51	Result experiment 2c for TEC1-12705 at $Q_L=4.5W$	69
52	Calculated P, $Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L= 0W$ (Experiment 2c)	70
53	Calculated P, $Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L= 1.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	70
54	Calculated P, $Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L= 2.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	70
55	Calculated P, $Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L= 3.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	71
56	Calculated P, $Q_H$ , and COP: TEC1-12705 at $Q_L= 4.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	71
57	Result experiment 2c for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=0W$	74
58	Result experiment 2c for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=1.5W$	74
59	Result experiment 2c for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=2.5W$	74
60	Result experiment 2c for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=3.5W$	75
61	Result experiment 2c for CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L=4.5W$	75
62	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 0W$ (Experiment 2c)	76
63	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 1.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	76
64	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 2.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	76
65	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 3.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	77
66	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: CP1.4-71-06L at $Q_L= 4.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	77
67	Result experiment 3a : TEC1-12705 for flow rate = $6.62cm^3/s$	82
68	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for flow rate = $6.62cm^3/s$	82
69	Result experiment 3a: TEC1-12705 for flow rate = $2.32cm^3/s$	83
70	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for flow rate = $2.32cm^3/s$	83
71	Result experiment 3b: TEC1-12705 for cooling fan power = $2.28W$	84
72	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for cooling fan power = $2.28W$	84
73	Result experiment 3b: TEC1-12705 for cooling fan power = $1.92W$	85
74	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for cooling fan power = $1.92W$	85
75	Result experiment 3c: TEC1-12705 for fin size = $130x110x15$	86
76	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for fin size = $130x110x15$	86
77	Result experiment 3c: TEC1-12705 for fin size = $110x100x15$	87
78	Calculated P, $Q_H$ and COP: TEC1-12705 for fin size = $110x100x15$	87
79	Physical properties for TEC1-12705 and CP1.4-71-06L	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Schematic diagram of the thermoelectric properties of a thermocouple in terms of its component thermo elements	7
2	Simple thermocouples circuit to illustrate Seebeck effect	8
3	Thermocouples circuit after modification to illustrate Peltier effect	10
4	Typical thermoelectric module designs : (a) single-stage module, (b) multistage module	12
5	Schematic diagram of thermoelectric generator	15
6	Typical figure of merit Z for several TE materials at various temperatures[13]	17
7	Schematic diagram for experiment 1	20
8	Schematic diagram for experiment 2a	22
9	Schematic diagram for experiment 2b	25
10	Schematic diagram for experiment 2c	27
11	Graph voltage vs $T_H-T_L$ for TEC1-12705	33
12	Graph voltage vs $T_H-T_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L	37
13	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2a)	44
14	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2a)	44
15	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2a)	45
16	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2a)	50
17	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2a)	50
18	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2a)	51
19	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=4.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	52
20	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=3.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	52
21	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=2.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	53
22	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=1.5W$ (Experiment 2a)	53

23	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2b)	58
24	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2b)	58
25	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2b)	59
26	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2b)	64
27	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2b)	64
28	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2b)	65
29	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=1.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	66
30	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=2.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	66
31	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=3.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	67
32	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=4.5W$ (Experiment 2b)	67
33	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2c)	72
34	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2c)	72
35	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for TEC1-12705 (Experiment 2c)	73
36	Graph $T_H-T_L$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2c)	78
37	Graph COP vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2c)	78
38	Graph $Q_H$ vs I at different $Q_L$ for CP1.4-71-06L (Experiment 2c)	79
39	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=1.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	80
40	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=2.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	80
41	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=3.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	81
42	Graph COP vs I for 2 TE Modules at $Q_L=4.5W$ (Experiment 2c)	81
43	Graph COP vs I at different flow rate for TEC1-12705	83
44	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different cooling fan power	85
45	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different size of fin	87
46	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different heat sink ( $Q_L=4.5W$ )	88
47	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different heat sink ( $Q_L=3.5W$ )	88
48	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different heat sink ( $Q_L=2.5W$ )	89
49	Graph COP vs I for TEC1-12705 at different heat sink ( $Q_L=1.5W$ )	89
50	Graph voltage versus the temperature difference [1]	90
51	Simulation measured performance curves of the thermoelectric module DT [3]	92
52	Simulation result for COP vs I for thermoelectric module DT [3]	93

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

COP	-	Coefficient of performance
I	-	current through the thermoelectric module ( A )
P	-	electric power supplied to the thermoelectric module ( W )
R	-	total electrical resistance of thermoelectric module ( $\Omega$ )
V	-	voltage to the thermoelectric module ( V )
Z	-	figure of merit of thermoelectric module ( $^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ )
$\Delta T$	-	temperature difference ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$\frac{dE_{AB}}{dT}$	-	relative Seebeck coefficient of thermo element A and B
$\alpha$	-	Seebeck coefficient of the thermoelectric module ( $\text{V}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ )
$\pi$	-	Peltier coefficient ( V )
$K_t$	-	total thermal conductivity of thermoelectric module ( $\text{W}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ )
$Q_L$	-	cooling capacity ( W )
$Q_H$	-	heating capacity ( W )
$T_H$	-	hot-end temperature of the thermoelectric module ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$T_L$	-	cold-end temperature of the thermoelectric module ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$\rho$	-	electrical resistivity ( $\Omega\text{-m}$ )
$A_p$	-	cross section area of p type ( $\text{m}^2$ )
$A_n$	-	cross section area of n type ( $\text{m}^2$ )
$E_{AB}$	-	emf along conductor thermo element AB
$T_0$	-	temperature reference ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$T_1$	-	temperature at point 1 ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$T_2$	-	temperature at point 2 ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$T_3$	-	temperature at point 3 ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$\alpha_A$	-	Seebeck coefficient at thermo element A ( $\text{V}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ )



$\alpha_B$	-	Seebeck coefficient at thermo element B ( $V^\circ C^{-1}$ )
$\alpha_C$	-	Seebeck coefficient at thermo element C ( $V^\circ C^{-1}$ )
$\alpha_p$	-	Seebeck coefficient of p type ( $V^\circ C^{-1}$ )
$\alpha_n$	-	Seebeck coefficient of n type ( $V^\circ C^{-1}$ )
$\rho_p$	-	electrical resistivity of p type ( $\Omega\text{-m}$ )
$\rho_n$	-	electrical resistivity of n type ( $\Omega\text{-m}$ )
$\lambda_n$	-	thermal conductivity of n type ( $W^\circ C^{-1}$ )
$\lambda_p$	-	thermal conductivity of p type ( $W^\circ C^{-1}$ )

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

Basically thermoelectric module is a simple and unique device that converts electrical energy into thermal energy or reverse. It has been used in various applications such as to cool infrared detectors and to stabilize the temperature of solid-state lasers. Furthermore thermoelectric is also one of the famous field in the university courses and many researchers have been studied about it.

Yaakov [1] studied the Seebeck and Peltier effects in simple experiment with thermoelectric module and data acquisition system. The experiment has a simple method in order to determine the Seebeck coefficient, Peltier coefficient, efficiency of thermoelectric generator and maximum temperature difference provided by Peltier cooler.

Xu Xu et al [2] studied the performance of thermoelectric modules in active building envelopes (ABE). Thermoelectric modules are tested as single thermoelectric unit and in an assembly of eight thermoelectric units. For single unit tests, two types of commercial thermoelectric modules are used which are CP1.4-127-045L and PT4-12-40. Then each type is tested separately in a cooling and heating mode at 3, 5 and 7V. The changes in current and temperature are measured over a period of 2 until 12 hours.

The thermal performance of thermoelectric module depends on physical properties such as the Seebeck coefficient  $\alpha$ , the electric resistance  $R$  and the thermal conductivity,  $K_t$ . B.J. Huang et al [3] reported that the manufacturer of thermoelectric module usually does not provide the correct physical properties of thermoelectric module to their customer. An automatic test apparatus has been designed to find the correct physical properties. From that experiment data and by using several equations, then the performance curves of thermoelectric module are obtained.

Y.J.Dai et al [4] studied on the thermoelectric refrigerator that driven by a solar cells. The experiment is conducted by making a prototype which consist a solar cell driven, thermoelectric refrigerator, controller, storage battery and rectifier. In the end it focused on testing the performance of the daytime used solar driven thermoelectric refrigerator without the need of battery.

S.Maneewan et al [5] reported about the investigation of the thermoelectric roof solar collector (TE-RSC) for power generation using solar energy. Ten thermoelectric cooling modules (model: TEC1-12708) are used in that experiment.

A optimization of heat and cold sink extenders has been studied by Paul G. Lau et al [6] in thermoelectric cooling assemblies. The thermoelectric module is tested by using heat sink extender and cold sink extender.

Instead of experimental investigations, several numerical studies also have been conducted by other researchers such as L.N Vikhor et al [7], A Chakraborty et al [8] and Lingen Chen et al [9].

L.N Vikhor et al [7] used the methods of optimal control theory to determine the optimal parameter values for thermoelectric coolers BiTe-based with single, double and three-segmented legs.

Meanwhile, A Chakraborty et al [8] studied the temperature-entropy analysis starting from the principle of thermodynamics of thermoelectricity, differential governing equations that describing the entropy and energy flows in the thermoelectric element.

The effects of heat transfer on the performance of thermoelectric generators are analyzed by Lingen Chen et al [9]. The paper reported that the heat transfer irreversibility does affect the performance of thermoelectric generator.

In U.K and Lebanon, R.Y. Nuwayhid et al [10] designed a low cost stove-top thermoelectric generator with unreliable electric supply to be used especially during the winter. The current generator that using peltier module has been re-designed in order to produce the maximum power.

K.A. Moores, Y.K. Joshi and G. Miller [11] examined the performance of thermoelectric coolers for used in electronic system and applications in high temperature conditions. A commercially available thermoelectric cooling has been used and the experiment it shows that the differential temperature decline slowly and steadily due to test durations. The type is similar for module voltage as well.

Gao Min and D.M. Rowe [12] conducted the experiment to evaluate the prototype thermoelectric refrigerators in terms of heat-pumping capacity, cooling-down rate and coefficient of performance. The results indicate that the COP increases if the module contact resistance, thermal interfaces and heat exchangers are effective and in the good conditions.

## **1.2 Objectives**

The objectives of this project are

1. To determine the physical properties and performance curves of thermoelectric module
2. To optimize the operation of thermoelectric module experimentally

## **1.3 Scopes**

This is an experimental study where the test rig has to be fabricated in order to achieve the objectives of the project. The scopes are covered by 3 parts and listed as follows:

1. Study on the thermoelectric module principle theory.
2. Determination of performance curve and physical properties of the thermoelectric module by using some related equations. Comparison between the experimental data and manufacturer data will be made.
3. Optimize experimentally some parameters that important to increase the performance of thermoelectric module such as power applied, size, cooling system that involved in the experiment or thermoelectric material itself.

#### **1.4 Problem statement**

Theoretically thermal performance of thermoelectric module depends on the physical properties such as the Seebeck coefficient  $\alpha$ , the electric resistance  $R$  and the thermal conductivity,  $K_t$ . But usually the manufacturer of thermoelectric module does not provide this kind of data for their customer or the given data are not accurate because of the variation of manufacturing process. So in order to determine the physical properties of thermoelectric module, an experimental apparatus has been fabricated. Finally by knowing that data we can measure the thermal performance of the thermoelectric module by using some equations that related. Optimization need to be done experimentally to increase the performance of thermoelectric module.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Yaakov Kraftmakher, Simple experiment with a thermoelectric module, *Eur. J. Phys.* 26 (2005) 959-967
- [2] Xu Xu, Steven Van Dessel and Achille Messac, Study of the performance of thermoelectric modules for use in active building envelopes (2005)
- [3] B. J. Huang, C.J Chin and C.L Duang, A design method of thermoelectric cooler, *International Journal of Refrigeration* 23 (2000) 208-218
- [4] Y.J.Dai, R.Z.Wang and L.Ni, Experimental investigation on a thermoelectric refrigerator driven by solar cells, *Renewable energy* 28 (2003) 949-959
- [5] S.Maneewan, J. Khedari, B. Zeghamati, J. Hirunlabh and J. Eakburanawat, Investigation on generated power of thermoelectric roof solar collector, *Renewable energy* 29 (2004) 743-752
- [6] Paul G. Lau, Todd M.Ritzer and Richard J. Buist, Thermodynamic optimization of heat and cold sink extenders in thermoelectric cooling assemblies, TE Technology Inc.
- [7] L.N.Vikhov and L.I.Anatychuk, Theoretical evaluation of maximum temperature difference in segmented thermoelectric coolers, *Applied Thermal Engineering* 26 (2006) 1692-1696
- [8] A.Chakraborty, B.B.Saha, S.Koyama and K.C.Ng, Thermodynamic modeling of a solid state thermoelectric cooling device: Temperature-entropy analysis, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 49 (2006) 3547-3554
- [9] Lingen Chen, Jianzheng Gong, Fengrui Sun and Chih Wu, Effect of heat transfer on the performance of the thermoelectric generators, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 41 (2002) 95-99
- [10] R.Y. Nuwayhid, D.M. Rowe and G.Min, Low cost stove-top thermoelectric generator for regions with unreliable electricity supply, *Renewable energy* 28 (2003) 205-222
- [11] K.A. Moores, Y.K. Joshi and G.Miller, Performance assessment of thermoelectric coolers for use in high temperature electronic application, International Conference on thermoelectric, Baltimore, MD, September 1999.
- [12] Gao Min, D.M. Rowe, Experimental evaluation of prototype thermoelectric domestic-refrigerators, *Applied energy* 83 (2006) 133-152
- [13] D.M. Rowe, CRC Handbook of thermoelectrics, 1995

- [14] [www.ferrotec.com](http://www.ferrotec.com)
- [15] Goldsmid, H.J., *Electronic Refrigeration*, Pion, London, 1986
- [16] Ioffe, A.F, *Semiconductor Thermo elements and the Thermoelectric Cooling*, Infosearch, London, 1957
- [17] [www.melcor.com](http://www.melcor.com)
- [18] H.J. Goldsmid, *Thermoelectric refrigeration*, 1964
- [19] Drabble, J.R. and Goodman, C.H.L., *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 5, 142 (1958)
- [20] Harman, T.C., Logan, M.J., and Goering, H.L., *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 7, 228 (1958)
- [21] Specifications of TE Module Model TEC1-12705
- [22] Specifications of CP1.4-71-06L
- [23] S.B. Riffat and Xiaoli Ma, Improving the coefficient of performance of thermoelectric cooling systems: a review, *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2004; 28:753-768
- [24] S.B. Riffat and Xiaoli Ma, Optimum selection (design) of thermoelectric modules for large capacity heat pump applications, *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2004; 28:1231-1242