

COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF AMMONIUM PERCHLORATE
BASED SOLID ROCKET PROPELLANT

AMIR BIN AZIZ

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

April 2011

Dengan nama Allah yang Maha Pengasih lagi Maha Penyayang..

Teristimewa buat ibu dan ayah yang tersayang, Ramlah Binti Jusoh dan Aziz Bin Othman. Begitu juga kepada Rokiah, Zaiton, Zainun, Razali, Razman, Zawiah, Abdul Razak serta Nur Zulika ...

Terima kasih atas sokongan dan berkat doa kalian sehingga aku mampu mencapai hingga ke tahap ini. Pengorbanan kalian semua tidak akan aku sia-siakan...

Amin..

Motivator terbaik adalah diri sendiri...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to Allah S.W.T, the Almighty God and the Lord of the Universe, the Merciful and Gracious. Salam to our beloved prophet, Nabi Muhammad s.a.w for His mercy has given me the strength, blessing and time to complete this project.

Words cannot express my thankfulness to my supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Wan Khairuddin Wan Ali who relentlessly providing me guidance throughout the study with his knowledge and great patience. Both his dedications and passions toward science have inspired me a lot. His encouragement is always with me all through my study years. Without his endless support and guidance, I certainly will not be able to accomplish this thesis and presented.

Thank you to Malaysia Space Agency (ANGKASA) and Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for funding my research and scholarship. I extend my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Subramaniam Krishnan, Mr. Yaheyia Aldreany and Mr. Wan Zaidi, who wealthily shared their experience and knowledge on the rocket propellant and combustion analysis. I express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to our research officers and team members, Miss Zuriana, Mrs. Intan, Mr. Rozi, Mrs. Norazila and all staffs of Aeronautic laboratory for their guidance, advices and motivation while completing this project.

Last but not least, the biggest appreciation to my parent and family and also to everyone for their precious contribution and a warm thank for all the support given.

Thank you...

ABSTRACT

There were many studies on ammonium perchlorate (AP) based solid rocket propellant (SRP) and some data already exist. However, there is no complete data available in Malaysia especially the information on the combustion characteristics of baseline data of AP based SRP. This thesis discusses on the combustion characteristics of AP based SRP including the methods of the propellant selection and fabrication, burning rate test and static thrust test. Together with literature study and theoretical performance, thirteen sets of different propellant mixture were finalized with consideration of the mechanical and processability factors. The propellant was a mixture of AP as an oxidizer, Aluminum (Al) as fuel and Hydroxy-Terminated Polybutadiene (HTPB) as binder/fuel. For each mixture, HTPB binder was fixed at 15% and cured with Isophorone isocyanate (IPDI) (9.33% per mass of HTPB). The percentage of the solid materials was set at a constant value of 85%. By varying the AP and Al, the effect of oxidizer-fuel (O/F) ratio on the whole propellant can be determined. The propellant strands were manufactured using press-molding method and burnt in the strand burner at ambient pressure to obtain the initial burning characteristics. Then four propellant compositions were selected, namely p60, p66, p74 and p80 for further evaluation over a range of pressure from 6 atm to 31 atm. The results show that the increasing of O/F ratio and combustion pressure lead to the increase in burning rate. The fastest burning rate achieved was 12 mmsec^{-1} at combustion pressure of 31 atm for propellant p80 which has O/F ratio of 4.0. It was found that, the formulated propellant in this study have the normal burning characteristics with pressure exponent lies within the range of 0.501 to 0.561. Based on theoretical evaluation, formulation for p66 gives highest specific impulse, I_{sp} . Thus, p66 has been selected to be evaluated in static thrust testing to obtain its performance characteristics. The results showed that the maximum thrust obtained is 162 N with generating I_{sp} of 143.92 sec.

ABSTRAK

Kajian mengenai bahan dorong yang berasaskan ammonium perchlorate (AP) telah banyak dijalankan dan sebahagian data telah diperolehi. Walaubagaimanapun, tiada maklumat lengkap mengenai data-data asas bagi ciri-ciri pembakaran bahan dorong yang berasaskan AP di Malaysia. Tesis ini merupakan kajian mengenai ciri-ciri pembakaran bahan dorong berasaskan AP yang meliputi kaedah pemilihan dan penyediaan bahan dorong, ujian kadar pembakaran dan ujian daya tujah statik. Berdasarkan kajian literatur dan prestasi andaian ini, tigabelas set campuran bahan dorong telah dipilih selepas mengambil kira faktor mekanikal dan kebolehsediaan. Bahan dorong ini mengandungi AP yang bertindak sebagai bahan pengoksida, aluminium (Al) sebagai bahan bakar dan hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) sebagai bahan pengikat/bahan bakar. Bagi setiap campuran, HTPB telah ditetapkan sebanyak 15%. Manakala bahan silangrantainya adalah isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) (9.33% daripada berat HTPB). Peratusan bahan pepejal pula telah dimalarkan pada nilai 85%. Kesan nisbah bahan pengoksida-bahan bakar, O/F untuk keseluruhan bahan dorong boleh diperolehi dengan mengubah kandungan AP dan Al. Jalur bahan dorong disediakan dengan menggunakan kaedah acuan mampat dan dibakar di dalam pembakar jalur pada tekanan atmosfera bagi memperolehi ciri-ciri pembakaran awal. Kemudian, empat komposisi bahan dorong iaitu p60, p66, p74 dan p80 telah dipilih bagi kajian lanjutan di bawah tekanan antara 6 atm hingga 31 atm. Keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan nisbah O/F dan tekanan kevakuman merupakan faktor kepada peningkatan kadar pembakaran. Kadar pembakaran terpanjang yang diperolehi adalah 12 mmsec^{-1} pada tekanan kevakuman 31 atm untuk bahan dorong p80 yang mempunyai nisbah O/F 4.0. Kajian mendapati keempat-empat formulasi bahan dorong dalam kajian ini mempunyai ciri-ciri pembakaran normal dengan nilai pekali tekanan, n antara 0.501 hingga 0.561. Berdasarkan kepada prestasi andaian, formulasi p66 menunjukkan nilai denyut tentu, I_{sp} yang tertinggi. Dengan itu, p66 telah dipilih untuk diuji dalam ujian daya tujah statik bagi memperolehi ciri-ciri prestasi. Nilai daya tujah tertinggi yang diperolehi adalah 162 N dengan nilai denyut tentu 143.92 saat.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Objective of the Study	2
	1.2 Scope of Study	2
	1.3 Research Methodology	2
	1.4 Outline of Report	3
	1.5 Limitation of study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Overview	6
	2.2 History of solid propellant rocket	6
	2.3 Solid Rocket Propellant	8
	2.4 Propellant Ingredients and Properties	9
	2.4.1 Binder	12
	2.4.2 Metal Fuel	14
	2.4.3 Oxidizer	18
	2.4.4 Additives	21

2.5	Solid Propellant Burning Rate Measurement	22
2.5.1	Strand burner (Crawford Bomb)	22
2.5.2	Small ballistic evaluation motors (BEM)	23
2.5.3	Full-scale Motors	29
2.6	Burning Rate Equation	29
2.7	Summary	32
3	CHEMICAL ROCKET PROPELLANT	
	PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	39
3.1	Overview	39
3.2	Assumptions for ideal rocket motor	39
3.3	Chemical Analysis of Propellant Combustion	40
3.3.1	Adiabatic Flame Temperature	40
3.3.2	Analysis of Thermochemical Properties	45
3.4	Analysis of performance parameter	50
4	SOLID PROPELLANT SELECTION AND	
	FABRICATION	52
4.1	Overview	52
4.2	Propellant Formulation Selection	52
4.3	Fabrication of the Propellant Strand	55
4.3.1	Preparation of Ammonium Perchlorate	56
4.3.2	Mixing procedure	59
4.3.3	Compression and cured	60
5	BURNING RATE CHARACTERISTICS	65
5.1	Overview	65
5.2	Combustion of AP Based Solid Propellant	65
5.3	Strand Burner Design	70
5.4	Burning Rate Test	73
5.5	Preliminary testing	89
5.6	Results and discussion	80
	5.6.1 Effect of O/F and Combustion Pressure on the Burning Rate	81

5.6.2	Effect of O/F on the Pressure Exponent	85
5.6.3	Uncertainty Analysis for Burning Rate Test	93
6	STATIC THRUST TESTING	94
6.1	Overview	94
6.2	Static thrust facilities	94
6.3	Rocket motor	96
6.4	Testing procedure	100
6.5	Results and discussion	103
6.5.1	Nozzle with throat diameter 25 mm	103
6.5.2	Nozzle with diameter throat 30mm	106
6.5.3	Uncertainty Analysis for Static Thrust Testing	109
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS	111
7.1	Conclusion	111
7.2	Recommendation	112
	REFERENCES	113
	APPENDICES A-M	121-172

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Propellant ingredients used for composite solid propellant	9
2.2	Example of the ingredients in AP based solid propellants	10
2.3	Example of additives in the AP based solid propellant	11
2.4	HTPB as polymeric binder	13
2.5	Aluminum as metallic fuel	18
2.6	Comparison of crystalline oxidizers	19
2.7	Ammonium perchlorate as an oxidizer	20
2.8	Three common particle-size ranges for AP	20
2.9	Classifications of BEM	25
2.10	Summary for binder	32
2.11	Summary for metal fuel	33
2.12	Summary for oxidizer	34
2.13	Summary for additives	35
2.14	Summary for strand burner	36
2.15	Summary for small ballistic evaluation motors	37
2.16	Summary for full-scale motors	38
3.1	Percentage of the ingredients	41
3.2	Enthalpy of formation (h_f) for every compounds (at 298K)	43
3.3	Values for Linear Interpolation	44
3.4	Molecular weight, mole and mass each species of reactants and products	46
3.5	Specific heat solid (Cs) and gas (Cp)	47
3.6	Comparison between manual calculation and output from CEC71.	51
4.1	Formulation of thirteen set of different mixtures.	55

5.1	Effect of combination of each ingredient	69
5.2	Observations	69
5.3	Average burn rate at pressure 1 atm	81
5.4	Average burn rate for four selected composition	81
5.5	Data for log combustion pressure and log burning rate	87
5.6	Empirical constant and pressure exponent	90
6.1	Specification of the propellant grain	99
6.2	Input data for nozzle throat 25 mm	105
6.3	Propellant performance for nozzle with throat 25 mm	106
6.4	Input data for nozzle throat 30 mm	108
6.5	Propellant performance for nozzle with throat 30 mm	108
7.1	Characteristics of the propellant from burning rate test	111

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Effect of mass fraction of HTPB on the burning rate and adiabatic flame temperature of AP-HTPB propellants	13
2.2	Sample of aluminum particles under SEM	16
2.3	REM photograph of the conventional aluminum YX 76	16
2.4	REM photograph of the Alex powder	17
2.5	A chimney-type strand burner	23
2.6	Typical 2-inch BEM	24
2.7	Definition of burning time and action time	25
2.8	Example for free standing grain for KNO ₃ -Carbon propellant	27
2.9	Examples for case-bonded grains and igniter configuration	28
2.10	Grain design and thrust vs. time	29
2.11	Burning rate of propellant	30
4.1	Example of casting aluminized/HTPB propellant	53
4.2	Simplifications on the methods of manufacturing the propellants strand	55
4.3	Roller milled	57
4.4	Sample for AP particle before grinding	57
4.5	Sample of AP particle after grinding	58
4.6	Preparation of the propellant mixture	60
4.7	Strand wrapped with white tape	62
4.8	Strand ready dried before storage	62
4.9	AP/HTPB and Aluminized AP/HTPB propellant	63
4.10	cross sectional view of propellant p80	64

5.1	Combustion mechanism of AP particles and HTPB binder	66
5.2	Low pressure temperature profile	67
5.3	High pressure temperature profile	67
5.4	Flame of the propellant strand	70
5.5	Assembly view of 550 Psi strand burner	71
5.6	Example of strand mounting	72
5.7	Schematic diagram of the strand burner facility	72
5.8	Propellant strand	73
5.9	Actual burning time of the solid propellant at specified length	74
5.10	Burning rate in atmospheric air and nitrogen gas	80
5.11	Burning rate at 1 atm for all formulations	82
5.12	Burning rate-O/F mixture ratio relationship	83
5.13	Effect of AP mass percentage on the burning rate of a 50 μm propellant	84
5.14	Burning rate-pressure relationship	85
5.15	Logarithmic plot of burning rate for all propellant formulations	90
5.16	Effect of Al concentration on burning characteristics	91
5.17	Effect of AP concentration on burning characteristics	92
6.1	Test bed	95
6.2	Pendulum holder for static thrust testing	95
6.3	Attachment of load cell to the rocket motor	96
6.4	Nozzle of the rocket motor	97
6.5	Igniter (I80)	98
6.6	Dimension of the propellant grain	98
6.7	End of grain with silicone RTV	99
6.8	Installation of igniter on the rocket motor	100
6.9	Static thrust testing for propellant p66	102
6.10	Solid precipitation on the nozzle	103
6.11	Graph for the nozzle with throat diameter of 25 mm	104
6.12	Graph for the nozzle with throat diameter of 30 mm	107
6.13	Propellant performance in two different throat diameters	109

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Cross sectional surface area for the propellant strand
a	-	Empirical constant
AFT	-	Adiabatic flame temperature
AN	-	Ammonium nitrate
Al	-	Aluminum
Al_2O_3	-	Aluminum oxide
AO	-	Ammonium oxalate
AP	-	Ammonium perchlorate
atm	-	Atmosphere
a_{ij}	-	Number of atoms element i on the molecular formula
$AlOHCl_2$	-	Gidroksoaluminium chloride
BEM	-	Ballistic evaluation motor
BE	-	Beryllium
CEA	-	Chemical equilibrium application
CRV7	-	Canadian Rocket Vehicles 7
C17	-	Canadian 17
CTPB	-	Carboxyl terminated polybutadiene
CO	-	Carbon monoxide
CO_2	-	Carbon dioxide
C	-	Carbon
Cl	-	Chlorine
C_s	-	Specific heat solid, $J\ mol^{-1}K^{-1}$
C_p	-	Specific heat gas, $J\ mol^{-1}K^{-1}$
$C_{p_{gas}}$	-	Specific heat of gaseous, $J\ mol^{-1}K^{-1}$
$C_{p_{mix}}$	-	Specific heat of mixture, $J\ mol^{-1}K^{-1}$
c^*	-	Characteristic exhaust velocity, $m\ s^{-1}$

C_F	-	Thrust coefficient
DAE	-	Department of Atomic Energy
DAQ	-	Data acquisition system
DDI	-	Dimeryl-diisocyanate
DOA	-	Dioctyl adipate
DOP	-	Dioctyl phthalate
<i>etc.</i>	-	et cetera
Fe_2O_3	-	Ferum oxide
g	-	Gas or a vapor
g_o	-	Gravity, (9.81 m s^{-2})
HTPB	-	Hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene
HTPS	-	Hydroxy-terminated polyester
HTPE	-	Hydroxy-terminated polyether
HTPA	-	Hydroxy-terminated polyacetylene
H_2	-	Hydrogen
H_2O	-	Water
HCl	-	Hydrogen Chloride
H	-	Hydrogen (atom)
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
ISRO	-	India Space Research Organization
IPDI	-	Isophorone diisocyanate
I_{sp}	-	Specific impulse, sec
IDP	-	Isodecyl pelargonate
JANAF	-	Join Army-Navy- Air Force
KNO_3	-	Potassium nitrate
$KClO_4$	-	Potassium perchlorate
K	-	Kelvin
k_{mix}	-	Specific heats ratio of mixture
kg	-	Kilogram
$LiClO_4$	-	Lithium perchlorate
log	-	Logarithm to the base 10
L_p	-	Measured propellant length (mm)
l	-	Liquid

MDI	-	Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate
Mg	-	Magnesium
MSDS	-	Material safety data sheet
mol_s	-	Mole of species
m_s	-	Mass of species
\overline{M}_s	-	Molecular weight species
\overline{M}	-	Effective molecular weight
M	-	Mach number
m_{strand}	-	Mass of strand
m_t	-	Total mass
MAPO	-	Tris[1-(2-methyl) aziridiny] phosphine oxide
NASA	-	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NG	-	Nitroglycerin
NC	-	Nitrocellulose
NA	-	Not available
NH_4ClO_4	-	Ammonium perchlorate
N_2	-	Nitrogen
N	-	Nitrogen
n	-	Number of species
n_j	-	Mol of molecule in the balance chemical equation
n_i	-	Number of moles of gas components
n_s	-	Number of moles of condensed component
n	-	Total number of moles gas
$NaNO_3$	-	Sodium nitrate
O/F	-	Oxidizer to fuel mixture ratio
ODI	-	Octadecylisocyanate
O	-	Oxygen
OH	-	Hydroxide
P	-	Absolute pressure
P_a	-	Atmospheric pressure
P_c	-	Chamber pressure, bar
PBAN	-	Polybutadiene acrylonitrile

PU	-	Polybutadiene
Psi	-	Pound per square inch
P_f	-	Final pressure
r	-	Burning rate, mm sec ⁻¹
R_o	-	Universal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
$R_{specific}$	-	Specific gas constant, J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
RTV	-	Room-temperature vulcanizing
SRP	-	Solid rocket propellant
S.E.M	-	Scanning electron microscope
S.W.G	-	Standard wire grade
TDI	-	Toluene-2,4 diisocyanate
TiO ₂	-	Titanium Oxide
TPB	-	Triphenyl bismuth
T_e	-	Temperature at exit condition, K
t_b	-	Burning time, sec
T_f	-	Final temperature, K
UFAL	-	Ultra Fine Aluminum
$V_{s.b}$	-	Volume of strand burner, m ³
Zr	-	Zirconium
ΔU	-	Change in internal energy, kJ mol ⁻¹
ΔH	-	Change in enthalpy, $H=U+PV$, kJ mol ⁻¹
ΔH	-	Heat of combustion, kJ mol ⁻¹
Δ	-	The increment in a given property for a given process or reaction, taken as the value for the final state (or sum for the products) less that for the initial state (or sum for the reactant)
ΔH_f^o	-	Standard enthalpy of formation, in which is the increment in enthalpy associated with the reaction of forming the given compound from its elements in their reference states, with each substance in its thermodynamic standard state at the given temperature, kJ mol ⁻¹

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Guide for using CEC71 Program	121
B	Output of NASA CEC71 for propellant70	131
C	Heat of formation	135
D	Mass of products and reactants	136
E	Performance analysis	138
F	Drawing of strand burner	142
G	Electronic timer	149
H	Sample preparation and testing procedure for burning rate test	153
I	Example results from burning rate	157
J	Drawing of rocket motor	158
K	Fabrication of the propellant grain	168
L	Example results from static thrust testing	170
M	Manual calculation for rocket performance	172

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Ammonium perchlorate (AP) has been used as a major propellant ingredient for decades in ballistic missiles, military attack missiles, space applications, etc. It is known for its advantages as an oxidizer for solid propellant. Two main advantages of AP are, its stability, resulting in safe munitions, and its ability to control a propellant's burning rate.

There were a lot of studies from those who used AP based propellant and some of the data are already existed. However, there is no complete information on the characteristic of the baseline data of AP based solid rocket propellant as well as the device and technique used to get the data. Knowing the limited data and technique used is not enough since other parameters such as the size and type of device used to generate the baseline data is not fully taken into account, then the data cannot be correctly interpreted and errors due to the scale up may result.

Fundamental study had been conducted to obtain the data of characteristic for AP based solid propellant by using facilities available in UTM. The current study was based on a typical and established heterogeneous or sometimes called composite propellant composed of ammonium perchlorate (AP) as an oxidizer, aluminium (Al) as a metal fuel, hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) as a binder and isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) as a curative agent. In this study, by varying the oxidizer-fuel mixture ratio it is possible to achieve different propellant burning rates. The burning characteristics of propellant were obtained by using a strand burner.

The performance characteristics of AP base solid rocket propellant (SRP) was evaluated using a ballistic evaluation motor (BEM) or also known as static thrust testing to obtain another important parameter, which is the specific impulse. Although both measuring technique and devices used will introduce errors, accurate prediction is possible by establishing a correlation between subscale test motor and strand burner test.

1.1 Objective of the Study

To determine the combustion characteristics data of the developed ammonium perchlorate based solid rocket propellant (SRP).

1.2 Scope of Study

- I. Literature review on applications of AP in solid rocket.
- II. Theoretical study on the performance of AP based SRP using NASA CEC71 program.
- III. Evaluate the burning rate of AP based SRP at different O/F ratio and at different operating pressure using strand burner.
- IV. Conduct the static thrust testing.

1.3 Research Methodology

The research was started with literature studies on rocket propellants, especially solid rocket propellants. The study focused on the history and development of rocket technology in the world. Then, the methods that could be used to measure and analyze the burning rate of propellant had been studied and understood in order to find the most appropriate method to be used with the facilities available in UTM.

Lastly, the basic ingredients that commonly used in composite solid propellants were reviewed.

After that, theoretical study on the performance of AP based SRP were conducted by using NASA CEC71 program. Based on the literature reviewed, previous experience and the theoretical performance, thirteen sets of propellant formulation were fabricated with a different oxidizer-fuel mixture ratio.

Initially, the burning characteristic of all propellant formulations were evaluated at an atmospheric condition by using the strand burner. From that, four main formulations were selected and tested at the elevated pressure range of 6 atm to 31 atm. Finally based from the theoretical evaluation by NASA CEC71 program, one optimum mixture namely p66 had been chosen for static thrust testing to obtain the performance characteristics. All the documentation of the work done and literature review related to this study were continuously carried out throughout this research study.

1.4 Outline of Report

The report on this study is divided into six chapters. Chapter 1 provides the general introduction on the study and it include the objectives, scopes, research methodology and outline report of the study was briefly explained.

Chapter 2 presents literature reviews on solid rocket propellants. The reviews start with the history and development of rocket technology around the world. Then, discussion on basic ingredients that commonly used in composite solid propellants is presented. At the end of this chapter is a brief explanation on the methods that could be used to measure and analyze the performance characteristics of a propellant.

Chapter 3 starts with the basic assumptions that must be made in order to simplify the analysis of a rocket motor. Then an example of a propellant analysis of a given propellant formulation is presented. After that, several theoretical approaches to determine the thermochemical properties for a given propellant formulation such as

chamber pressure, nozzle dimensions, and nozzle exit pressure are briefly discussed. Finally, it discussed the method utilized to determine the main performance parameter such as theoretical specific impulse, characteristic exhaust velocity, thrust coefficient and *etc.*

Chapter 4 discussed the three main contributors that significantly influence the selection of a propellant formulation and they are the literature review data, NASA CEC71 program and type of the fabrication method to be used. Then, the methods of preparing the ingredients were shown and explained which later followed by the mixing procedure. Finally the method that is used to prepare the propellant mixture and fabrication of the propellants strand for burning rate test is briefly explained.

Chapter 5 explained the methods and facilities employed for burning rate test. Firstly, the propellants were tested in the strand burner at the ambient pressure by using wire technique to obtain the initial burning characteristics. Then, four propellant compositions which are the p60, p66, p74 and p80 were selected for further evaluation over a range of pressures from 6atm to 31atm. The results obtained were analyzed to find the effect of oxidizer-fuel mixture ratio and chamber pressure to the burning characteristics of the propellant.

Chapter 6 discussed the static thrust testing that was conducted to obtain the thrust time curve characteristics in order to obtain the actual performance of a solid propellant. Final chapter summarized several conclusions and recommendations that could be considered for the next researcher to improve and advancing further the research on ammonium perchlorate based solid propellant.

1.5 Limitation of Study

1. The fabrication of the propellant only utilized press molding method without detailed study on other methods such as casting and extrusion. There was also no study on the effects of varying compression pressure to the burning rate and mechanical properties of the propellant.
2. The purpose of utilizing SEM was to study the structure of the solid loading such as aluminum and ammonium perchlorate in the propellant grain. There was no detailed study on eliminating bubbles in the propellant.
3. The purpose of static thrust test was to obtain the thrust and some performance characteristics. Due to the limitation of scope, there was only two static thrust testing were conducted.

REFERENCES

1. Rizalman Mamat. *Ciri-Ciri Propelan Berasaskan Kalium*. MSc. Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2002
2. Martin J. L. T. *Rocket and Spacecraft Propulsion*. 3rd. ed. Chichester, UK.: Springer. 2006
3. Kurup M.R., Krishnamoorthy V.N., and Uttam M.C. Development of Solid Propellant Technology in India. *Sadhan*. 1988. 12: 229-234.
4. Aoki I. and Kubota N. History of Solid Propellant Development in Japan. *30th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 27-29, 1994. Indianapolis: AIAA. 1994.
5. Mohammad Nazri M.J, Wan Khairuddin W.A., Md Nizam D., and Rizalman M. Development of Solid Rocket Propulsion System at UTM. *Jurnal Mekanikal UTM*. 2004. 18: 111-121.
6. Howard G.C. Solid Propellant Selection and Characterization. NASA. 1971.
7. Yahya S. M. *Fundamentals of Compressible Flow with Aircraft and Rocket Propulsion*. Third ed. New Delhi: New Age International (P), Limited Publishers. 1993.
8. Gokay P. *Analysis of 3-D Grain Burnback of Solid Propellant Rocket Motors and Verification with Rocket Motor Test*. MSc. Natural and Applied Science. The Middle East Technical University; 2004
9. Martin S. M. and Hughes E. H. Subatmospheric Burning Rates and Critical Diameters for Ap/Htpb Propellant. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory. 1989.
10. Fitzgerald R. P., Brewster M. Q. Infrared Imaging of AP/HTPB Laminate Propellant Flames. *Combustion and Flame*. 2008. 154: 660–670.
11. Abraham S., Hadassah A., Moshe K. and David H. The Effect of Nanosized Aluminum on Composite Propellant Properties. *41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 10-13, 2005. Tucson, Arizona: AIAA. 2005.
12. Makoto K. and Saeko Y. Burning Rate Characteristics of AP-based Composite Propellant Using Bimodal AP. *42nd AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 9-12, 2006. Sacramento, California: AIAA. 2006.

13. Jayaraman K., Anand K. V., Chakravarthy S. R. and Sarathi R. Effect of Nano-Aluminium in Plateau-Burning and Catalyzed Composite Solid Propellant Combustion. *Combustion and Flame*. 2009. 156: 1662-1673.
14. Masafumi T., Yoshinori N., and Tomonari Y. Intrinsic Instability of AP Composite Propellants in an Intermediate Pressure Range. *41st Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*. January 6-9, 2003. Reno, Nevada: AIAA. 2003.
15. Price E.W., Chakravarthyf S.R., Sigman R.K., and Freeman J.M. Pressure Dependence of Burning Rate of Ammonium Perchlorate-Hydrocarbon Binder Solid Propellants. *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics*,. 1997.
16. Mahanta A. K., Dharmasaktu I., and Pattnayak P. K. Rheological Behaviour of HTPB-Based Composite Propellant: Effect of Temperature and Pot Life on Casting Rate. *Defence Science Journal*. 2007. 57: 435-442.
17. Ide K.M. Composite Propellants with Bi-Plateau Burning Behaviour. Weapons Systems Division, Systems Sciences Laboratory. 2002.
18. Krishnan S. and Rajesh K. K. Erosive Burning of Ammonium Perchlorate/Hydroxyl-Terminated Polybutadiene Propellants under Supersonic Crossflows. *37th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Committee Conference and Exhibit*. July 8-11, 2001. Salt Palace-Salt Lake City, Utah: AIAA. 2001.
19. Jawalkar S. N., Mehilal, Ramesh K., Radhakrishnan K. K., Bhattacharya B. Studies on The Effect of Plasticiser and Addition of Toluene Diisocyanate at Different Temperatures in Composite Propellant Formulations. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2009. 164: 549-554.
20. Muhammad Mazhar I. and Wang L. Modeling The Moisture Effects of Solid Ingredients on Composite Propellant Properties. *Aerospace Science and Technology*. 2006. 10: 695-699.
21. Matthew S., Radolphe C., Steven W., Thomas S., and Eric P. Performance of AP-Based Composite Propellant Containing Nanoscale Aluminum. *41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 10-13, 2005. Tucson, Arizona: AIAA. 2005.
22. Rodolphe C., Matthew S., Jason A., Andreiev P. and Eric P. High-Pressure Testing of Composite Solid Propellant Mixtures: Burner Facility Characterization. *41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 10-13, 2005. Tucson, Arizona: AIAA. 2005.
23. Tanaka M., Nakao C., and Hayakawa S. Combustion of Solid Propellants at Low Pressure. *36th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. 16-19 July, 2000. Huntsville, AL: AIAA. 2000.

24. Korah Bina C., Kannan K. G. and Ninan K. N. DSC Study on The Effect of Isocyanates and Catalysts on The HTPB Cure Reaction. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 2004. 78: 753-760.
25. Meyer D.H. A Study of Propellants and Specific Impulse in Model Rocket Engines. National Association of Rocketry 1984.
26. Patil M. S. and Haridwar S. Ballistic and Mechanical Properties of HTPB Based Composite Propellants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 1988.19: 271-278.
27. Catherine K. B., Krishnan K. and Ninan K. N. A DCS Study on Cure Kinetics of HTPB-IPDI Urethane Reaction. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 2000. 59: 93-100.
28. Vilart W.D., Menezes S.M.C., Seidl P.R. Hydroxyl-Terminated Polybutadiene Iv. Nmr Assignments of Three Main Hydroxylated End Groups. *Polymer Bulletin*. 1997. 38:327-332.
29. Kubota, N. *Propellants and Explosives*. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.KGaA. 2001.
30. Seifolazadeh A. and Edrissi M. TA of HTPB Mixtures with Some of The Energetic Materials-Determination of Effective Factors. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 2004. 76: 329-333.
31. Wingborg N. Increasing the Tensile Strength of HTPB With Different Isocyanates and Chain Extenders. *Polymer Testing*. 2002. 21: 283-287.
32. Howard W. D. Solid Propellant Processing Factors in Rocket Motor Design. NASA. 1971.
33. Fong C.W., Hamshire B.L., and Hooper J.F. The Role of Binders in Plateau Burning HTPB-AP Composite Propellants. Department of Defence, Defence Science and Technology Organization Weapons Systems Research Laboratory. 1985.
34. Frederick Jr. R. A., John R., Xu W. and Xin F. Effect of Binder Curative On Combustion Processes. *AIAA 26th Aerospace Sciences Meeting*. January 11-14, 1988. Reno, Nevada: AIAA. 1988.
35. *Hydroxyl Terminated Polybutadiene*. In Co., AC, ed. Material Safety Data Sheet. Newton Square, 1986.
36. Sutton G. P. *Rocket Propulsion Element Sixth Edition*. John Willy & Sons, MIT 1992.
37. Jones L. E. *A Safety Manual for Experimental and Amateur Rocket Scientists*. Rocket Science Books, California. 2003.

38. Steinz J.A., Stang P.L., and Surmerfield M. The Burning Mechanism of Ammonium Perchlorate-Based Composite Solid Propellants. Princeton University Department Of Aerospace And Mechanical Sciences. 1969.
39. Baschung B., Grune D., Licht H.H. and Samirant M. *Combustion Phenomena of a Solid Propellant Based on Aluminium Powder*. Saint-Louis, France: ISL, French-Gennan Research Institute.
40. *Aluminum Powder*. In Mallinckrodt Baker, I, ed. Material safety data Sheet. Philipsburg, NJ 08865, 1999.
41. Hunley J. D. The History of Solid-Propellant Rocketry: What We Do and' Do Not Know (Invited Paper). *35th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit*. June 20-24, 1999. Los Angeles, CA: AIAA. 1999.
42. Matthew L. G., Scott A. F. and Merrill W. B. Two-dimensional Modeling of AP Composite Propellants with Detailed Kinetics: Particle Size Effects. *42nd AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. 9-12 July 2006. Sacramento, California: AIAA. 2006.
43. *Ammonium Perchlorate*. In Co., WE, ed. Material safety data Sheet. Cedar City, UT 8472, 1999.
44. Miller R. R. Effects of Particle Size on Reduced Smoke Propellant Ballistics. *18th AIAA/SAE/ASME Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 21-23, 1982. Cleveland, Ohio: AIAA. 1982.
45. Muthiah R., Somasundaran U. I., Verghese T. L. and Thornas V. A. Energetics and Compatibility of Plasticizers in Composite Solid Propellants. *Defence Science Journal*. 1989. 39: 147-155.
46. Hayakawa S., Tanaka M. and Nakao C. An Effect of Oxidizer Particle Size on Combustion Stability in Composite Propellants. *36th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 16-19, 2000. Huntsville, AL: AIAA. 2000.
47. Fry R. S., Deluca L., Frederick R., Gadiot G., Strecker R., Besser H. L, Whitehouse A., Traineau J. C, Ribereau D. and Reynaud J.P. Solid Propellant Burning Rate Measurement Methods Used Within the NATO Propulsion Community. *37th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE JPC Conference and Exhibit*. July 8-11, 200. Salt Palace-Salt Lake City, Utah; AIAA. 2001.
48. Bertram B.G. and Carl W.N. Burning Rates of Standard Army Propellants in Strand Burner and Closed Chamber Tests. U.S.A Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground 1977.
49. Andrew H.H. and Raoul A.P. Improved Strand Burn Rate Reproducibility Using a New Preparation Methodology for Paint-Based Inhibitors. Australian Goverment, Department of Defence. 2003.

50. Douglass H.W. Solid Rocket Motor Performance Analysis and Prediction. NASA. 1971.
51. Roy E.C. and John B.F. Strand Size and Low Pressure Deflagration Limit in a Composite Propellant. School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University. 1968.
52. Jolley W.H. and Kirk D.R. Description of the W.R.E. Strand Burning Facilities and Instructions for Use. Weapon Research Establishment, W.R.E. 1977.
53. Meda L., Marra G., Galfetti L., Severini F., Luca L.D. Nano-Aluminum as Energetic Material for Rocket Propellants. *Materials Science and Engineering*. 2007. 27: 1393-1396.
54. Kevin J. A. *An Experimental Investigation of Strand Burning Metallized Solid Propellants*. Master of Science in Engineering Science. Naval Postgraduate School. 1989.
55. Fry R. S. Solid Propellant Test Motor Scaling. Chemical Propulsion Information Agency. Columbia, The John Hopkins University 2001.
56. Marko V. M. and Vladica S. B. Small Motor Measurement method for Determining Burning Rate of Solid Rocket Propellants. *34th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 13-15, 1998. Cleveland, OH: AIAA. 1998.
57. Fry R.S., Deluca L., Frederick R., Gadiot G., Strecker R., Besser H.L., Whitehouse A., Traineau, Ribereau J.C D. and Reynaud, J.P. Evaluation of Methods for Burning Rate Measurement. Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) 2002.
58. Mayor L. Development of the C17 Advanced 2.75 Inch Rocket Motor. *AIAA, ASME, SAE, and ASEE, Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit, 32nd*. July 1-3, 1996. Lake Buena Vista, FL: AIAA. 1996.
59. Jack D. M. *Elements of Propulsion: Gas Turbines and Rockets*. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., Reston, Virginia 2006.
60. Rasmussen B. M. *An Intrinsic, Heterogeneous Model of Composite Solid Propellant Combustion*. MSc. in Engineering. The University of Alabama Huntsville; 1999
61. Brooks W. T. Solid Propellant Grain Design and Internal Ballistics. NASA 1972.
62. Bonnie J. M. and Stanford G. Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions, Rocket Performance, Incident and Reflected Shocks, and Chapman-Jouguet Detonations. 1971.

63. Nakka R. A. *Solid propellant Rocket Motor Design and Testing*. Bachelor of Science. The University of Manitoba. 1984
64. Makoto K. and Hirotatsu T. Burning Characteristics of Composite Propellant Using Ammonium Perchlorate Modified by Ethylene Glycol. *45th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. August 2-5, 2009. Denver, Colorado: AIAA. 2009.
65. Haff Jr. C. E. Extruded Composite Propellant Technology Development. *AIAA/SAE/ASME 19th Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 27-29, 1983. Seattle, Washington: AIAA. 1983.
66. *Janaf Thermochemical Tables, 3 Edition*. Vol. 14. 1985.
67. Bonnie J.M. and Stanford G. Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions and Applications. NASA 1996.
68. Murray S. C. Advance Binders for Solid Propellants-A Review. *American Chemical Society Publications*. 1966. 54: 93-107.
69. M. Nazri M.J., W. Khairuddin W.A., M. Nizam D. and Rizalman M. Propelan Pepejal Kalium Nitrat Difabrikasi Kaedah Pengacuanan Mampat. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 2009. 50: 41-51.
70. Cooke E. Recent Developments in Extrudable Composite Propellant Technology. *AIAA/SAE/ASME/ASEE 27th Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 24-26, 1991. Sacramento, CA: AIAA. 1991.
71. Anthony J. L. and Sergey V. Effect of Pressure and Sample Type on Decomposition of Ammonium Perchlorate. *Combustion and Flame*. 2006. 145: 779-790.
72. Muhammad Mazhar I. and Wang L. Modelling of Composite Propellant Properties based on Polymer Rheology. *42nd AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 9-12, 2006. Sacramento, California: AIAA. 2006.
73. Matthew S., Thomas S. Rodolphe C., Alexander L., David R., Sudipta S., and Eric P. New Additives for Modifying the Burn Rate of Composite Solid Propellants. *42nd AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit*. July 9-12, 2006. Sacramento, California: AIAA. 2006.
74. Rizalman M., Mohammad Nazri M. J. and Wan Khairuddin W. A. Pengaruh Kaedah Penyediaan Propellan Ke Atas Kadar Pembakaran. 2002. *Journal UTM*.
75. Koury J. L. Solid Strand Burn Rate Technique for Predicting Full-Scale Motor Performance. Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory, Edwards Air Force Base. 1973.

76. Beckstead M. W. Solid Propellant Combustion Mechanisms and Flame Structure. *Journal Pure and Applied Chemistry*. 1993. 65: 297-307.
77. Lee T. H., Kim I. C, and Park Y. K. A Study on the Low Pressure Burning Characteristics of the Composite Propellants. *31st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit*. Diego, CA: AIAA. 1995.
78. Kilpin D. and Jolley W.H. A Windowed Bomb for Propellant Combustion Studies. Defence Science and Technology Organisation 1991.
79. Shahrul Ikhwan A.K. *Development of Crawford Bomb Time Measuring Instrumentation*. BSc. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2009
80. Caveny L. H., Summerfield M., Strittmater R. C. and Barrows A. W. Solid Propellant Flammability Including Ignitability and Combustion Limits. National Technical Service March 1974.
81. Renie J. P. and Osborn J. R. Investigation of the Combustion Mechanisms of Aluminized Propellants. *AIAA/SAE/ASME 19th Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 27-29, 1983. Seattle, Washington: AIAA. 1983.
82. Kubota N. and Kimura J. Oscillatory Burning of High Pressure Exponent Double-Base Propellants. *AIAA /SAE 12th Propulsion Conference*. Palo Alto, California: AIAA. 1976.
83. Godai T. and Shimizu M. Pressure Exponent of Controllable Solid Rocket Propellants. *AIAA/SAE 8th Joint Propulsion Specialist Conference*. New Orleans, Louisiana: AIAA. 1972.
84. Hur B. K. and Kim C. B. A Steady-State Combustion Modelling of Composite Solid Propellants. *KSME International Journal*. 2001. 15(4):473- 481.
85. Curdaneli S., Ak M. A., and Ulas A. Experimental Analysis on the Measurement of Ballistic Properties of Solid Propellants. *IEEE*. 2007.
86. Holman J. P. *Experimental Methods for Engineers*. Seventh Edition ed. McGraw Hill 2001.
87. Amir Aziz. *A Development of 500n Thrust Rocket Motor Using KNO₃ Carbon Propellant*. Bsc. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2008
88. Brooks W. T. Solid Propellant Grain Design and Internal Ballistics. NASA. March 1972.
89. Haven B. A. and Wood C. W. The Rocket Laboratory in the Usaf Academy Aero-Propulsion Curriculum. *AIAA/SAE/ASME/ASEE 29th Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit*. June 28-30. Monterey, CA: AIAA. 1993.

90. Perez D. and Ramohalli K. Scientific Approach to Propellant Processing: Slurry Viscosity and Rheology. *AIAA/SAE/ASME 27th Joint Propulsion Conference*. June 24-27, 1991. Sacramento, CA: AIAA. 1991.