SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS OF CRITICAL SLOPE ALONG NORTH SOUTH HIGHWAY (SOUTHERN REGION) USING LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM METHOD

MOHAMMED RIDZUAN BIN JAHIDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering University Technology Malaysia

> > DECEMBER 2010

DEDICATION

To The Almighty Allah that granted me with patient and strength to complete this project.

To Beloved Mother and Father

То

My beloved wife and all my kids, your love and support are much appreciated

ACKNOLEDGEMENT

During the preparation of this project, i was involved with so many people. I would like to thank my management of Malaysia Highway Authority by allowing me to do my master degree in Civil Engineering. I am also very thankful to my best supervisor Dr. Nazri Ali for his continuous guidance, support and motivation throughout my project preparation period. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my entire friend especially Ir. Zulkhairi, Mr. Rahim and Mrs Ramlah for sharing their knowledge and experience.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be appreciated for their full support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their helps and tips are very useful. To all that are not listed in this limited space, you are still deserved my appreciation. Lastly, i am very grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

The occurrence of slope failure is always hard to be predicted. Hence, slope stability analysis must be often carried out in order to ensure the slope is safe and also minimise the probability of slope failure. The slope stability analysis is a prediction on what conditions that can make the slope fail. In this study, the Limit Equilibrium approach is used to determine the degree of stability in terms of Factor of Safety (FOS). Malaysia climatic condition that governed by wet and dry periods will give an effect to the FOS of the slope in terms of shrinkage and creep that accelerate the development of tension cracks on the slope surface. The Proposed Slope Stabilization Works at KM 16.10 (Northbound) Machap - Skudai Expressway has been chosen as a case study. This slope is considered as critical slope because it has been experience a failure in 2004. Tension cracks and high intensity rainfall has been specified as a factor that accelerate the probability of slope failure. The results obtained using SLOPE/W shown that, the slope analyzed is still intact with the minimum FOS is 1.258 even though with the presence of the two factors. Based on that the slope analyzed is confirmed to be safe and the slope has been constructed based on an adequate design.

ABSTRAK

Kejadian cerun runtuh adalah sesuatu yang sukar untuk dijangka. Sehubungan dengan itu kajian kestabilan cerun perlu dilakukan dengan kerap bagi memastikan cerun adalah selamat dan ianya juga dapat meminimakan kebarangkalian cerun runtuh. Kajian kestabilan cerun adalah proses membuat jangkaan tentang keadaan-keadaan yang boleh menyebabkan sesuatu cerun tersebut runtuh. Dalam kajian ini, kaedah Had Kesaksamaan (Limit Equilibrium Method) digunakan bagi mendapatkan faktor keselamatan bagi cerun yang dikaji. Keadaan cuaca di Malaysia yang terdiri dari keadaan kering dan lembab boleh mempengaruhi faktor keselamatan cerun dimana ianya menyebabkan pengembangan dan pengecutan tanah serta mempercepatkan kewujudan rekahan di permukaan cerun. Cadangan kerja-kerja pengukuhan cerun di KM 16.10 arah utara lebuhraya Skudai – Machap telah dipilih sebagai cerun kajian di dalam projek ini. Cerun ini di kategorikan sebagai cerun kritikal kerana ianya pernah mengalami kejadian runtuh pada thaun 2004. Rekahan permukaan dan kadar hujan yang tinggi telah digunakan sebagai faktor yang boleh mempercepatkan kebarangkalian cerun untuk runtuh. Hasil kajian melalui perisian SLOPE/W mendapati cerun tersebut tetap stabil walaupun dengan kehadiran kedua-dua faktor tersebut dengan faktor keselamatan minimum yang diperolehi adalah 1.258. Sehubungan dengan itu, cerun tersebut dipastikan selamat serta telah dibina mengikut rekabentuk yang mencukupi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION		ii

		DEDICATION	iii
		ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
		ABSTRACT	V
		ABSTRAK	vi
		TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
		LIST OF TABLES	X
		LIST OF FIGURE	xi
		LIST OF SYMBOLES	xiv
		LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1.		INTRODUCTION	
	1.1	Background of study	1
	1.2	Problem statement	2
	1.3	Case study	3
	1.4	Aims and objectives	4
	1.5	Scope and limitation of the project	4
	1.6	Significant of research	5
2.		LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	Types of slope	6
	2.2	2.1 Natural slope	7

2.2	2.2.2 Cut slope		7
2.2	2.3	Fill slope	8
2.3	Ba	sic of slope failure	9
2.3	3.1	Sliding failure	10
2.3	3.2	Rotational failure	11
2.3	3.3	Translational failure	12
2.3	3.4	Rock falls	13
2.3	3.5	Toppling	14
2.3	8.6	Spreading	15
2.3	3.7	Debris flow	15
2.4	Slo	ope failure driving force	16
2.5	Th	e speed of slope failure	17
2.6	Ca	use of slope failure	18
2.7	2.7 Slope stability analysis		19
2.7	7.1	Importance aspects in slope stability analysis	19
2.8	M	ethod of slope stability analysis	23
2.9	Fu	ndamental of Limit Equilibrium Method	23
2.10	Li	mit Equilibrium Method	26
2.11	Su	mmary of the Limit Equilibrium Method	39
2.12	So	ftware used for stability analysis	41
2.13	SL	OPE/W software	42

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Morgenstern – Price Method	44
3.3	Slip surface shapes	48
3.4	Grid and radius for circular slips	49
3.5	Tension cracks	52
3.6	Geometry	54
3.7	Slice discretization	56
3.8	Material strength	59
3.9	Pore-water	62

4. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Rainfall intensity	66
4.3	Tension cracks	67
4.4	Material properties	67
4.5	Numerical method	68
4.6	Numerical modelling	69
4.7	Discussion of results	71

5. CONCLUSSION

5.1	Main findings of the project and contribution	81
5.2	Conclusion and recommendation	82

APPENDICES

86

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TA	BL	Æ	NO	
----------	----	----	---	----	--

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	The cause of slope failure	18
2.2	Summary of LEM	27
2.3	Sensitivity of FOS in circular analysis	41
4.1	Material properties used in the analysis	68

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Types of slope failure	9
2.2	Sliding failure with multiple plane	10
2.3	Rotational failure	11
2.4	Rotational failure	12
2.5	Cross-section of a translational slope failure	13
2.6	Sketch of a typical rock fall	13
2.7	Typical of rock fall	14
2.8	Rock toppling	14
2.9	Sketch of lateral spreading	15
2.10	Debris flow	16
2.11	Effect of gravitational forces on a mass	16
2.12	How an increasing slope will cause the sliding	
	of the material on it.	17
2.13	Relative failure speed for different types of	
	slope failure	18
2.14	Categories of the factor of safety (FOS)	25
2.15	Rotation centre	36
2.16	Effects on FOS	40
3.1	Result of Morgenstern – Price analysis	45
3.2	Morgenstern – Price safety factors with half-sir	ne
	Function	46
3.3	Interslice half-sine function	47

3.4	Free body and force polygon for	
	Morgenstern – Price method	47
3.5	The grid and radius of specifying trial	
	slip surfaces	50
3.6	Imaginary trial slip surface	51
3.7	Different location of radius line	52
3.8	Tension crack line	53
3.9	Representative basic region	54
3.10	Region point	55
3.11	Typical region for slope stability analysis	55
3.12	Sections in the slice discretization process	57
3.13	Descretization when the specified number	
	is 15 slices	58
3.14	Discretization when the specified number	
	is 30 slices	58
3.15	Graphical representation of Coulomb	
	shear strength equation	60
3.16	Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope	61
3.17	Undrained strength envelope	61
3.18	Pore-water pressure from pizometric line	63
3.19	Piezometric assigned only on the clay	64
4.1	The suggested geometry of the slope	66
4.2	Monthly rainfall	67
4.3	The geometry of the model simulated	
	using SLOPE/W	68
4.4	Fully saturated piezometric line	69
4.5	Medium saturated piezometric line	70
4.6	Low saturated piezometric line	70
4.7	Scope 1	72
4.8	Scope 2	73
4.9	Scope 3-1	74
4.10	Scope 3-2	75
4.11	Scope 3-3	76

4.12	Scope 3 (Rainfall and FOS Vs Month)	77
4.13	Scope 4-1	78
4.14	Scope 4-2	79
4.15	Scope 4-3	80
4.16	Scope 4 (Rainfall and FOS Vs Month)	81

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Basic parameters and dimension used in stability analysis

 $\alpha = alpha$ β = beta $\gamma = gamma$ $\delta = \text{delta}$ $\varepsilon = epsilon$ $\zeta = zeta$ $\eta = \text{eta}$ θ = theta i = iota $\kappa = kappa$ $\lambda =$ lambda $\mu = mu$ v = nu $\pi = pi$ $\rho = rho$ σ = sigma $\tau = tau$ $\varphi = phi$ ω omega

- A area
- A activity
- B breadth or width
- B parameter for peak state power law envelope
- B pore pressure parameter
- C compliance
- C cover (above tunnel)
- Cc slope of the normal compression line
- Cs slope of a swelling and recompression line
- D depth
- Dr relative density
- E work done by external loads
- E Young's modulus (E_ for effective stress; Eu for undrained loading)
- F force
- Fa axial force
- Fn normal force
- Fs shear force
- Fs factor of safety
- G shear modulus (G_ for effective stress; Gu for undrained loading)
- Gp, Hp parameters for peak strength in triaxial tests
- Go shear modulus at very small strain
- Gs specific gravity of soil grains
- H height or thickness
- H maximum drainage path
- H horizontal load (on a foundation)
- Hc critical height (of a slope)
- Il liquidity index
- IP plasticity index
- $I\sigma$ influence coefficient for stress
- Ip influence coefficient for settlement
- J stiffness modulus coupling shear and volumetric parameters
- K_ bulk modulus
- K0 coefficient of earth pressure at rest
- Ka coefficient of active earth pressure
- Kp coefficient of passive earth pressure
- L length
- Lf load factor
- M_ one dimensional modulus
- N normal force
- Nc,Ny,Nq bearing capacity factors
- Nd number of equipotential drops (in a flownet)
- Nf number of flow channels (in a flownet)
- Ns stability number (for undrained slopes)
- P length of tunnel heading
- P potential
- P force on retaining wall
- Pa force due to active pressure

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Rainfall Maps	86
В	Data summary	93
С	Laboratory test summary result	97

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Slope stability analysis is often carried out in order to ensure that the slope analyzed is safe and also minimise the probability of slope failure. Slope along the highway which have been experiencing deep excavations are very susceptible to slope failure and thus landslide. The highway engineers must therefore give serious considerations before any construction or development is executed to ensure the designed slopes remain stable (Hamdan et al., 2006).

Malaysia climatic condition is governed by wet and dry periods. Shrinkage or creep of soil during dry periods after prolonged wet-and-dry cycles lead to the development of tension cracks on the surface of a sloping land (Nurly et al., 2006). The high intensity of rainfall and the absence of tension cracks will bring severe risk to the critical slope. Infiltration of rainwater into soil will increase the moisture content of soil, alter the structure of soils and thus reduce or eliminate frictional and cohesive strength (Reddi. 2003).

The slope stability analysis is a prediction on what conditions that can make the slope fail. Among The predictions are (1) Correlations between slope failure and pattern of rainfall, (2) analytical method, usually in the form as limit equilibrium method. Slope stability analysis is usually based on the limit equilibrium approach. The degree of stability is quantified in terms of factor of safety which is most commonly defined as the ratio between average shear resistance and the average shear stress along the critical slip surface (H.A Faisal., 2000).

A critical slope exists when a combination of soil and slope factors create a high potential for slope failure and subsequent erosion. Over-steepened freshly graded or disturbed slopes are considered critical when resistance to surface erosion is low and sheer and strength resistance tolerances are exceeded.

1.3 Problem Statement

In ten (10) years back, there are few incidents about slope failure in highway. A good examples of slope failure in highway, one which happen in 1996 at km 303.80(south bound) near Gua Tempurung, Perak and Bukit Lanjan at km 21.80(east bound) in November 2003(Ahmad.,2008). Most of the incidents happen during the high intensity rainfall period. Based on the study done to investigate the cause of this failure, it is revel that the lack of slope maintenance is the main factor that caused the failure. Besides that, high intensity rainfall also can cause slope failure to be happen and the existence of tension crack make the things worst.

The used of well graded sand (Cu > 6 and 1 < Cc < 3) (Nurly, 2007) as a drainage layer between soil and rock fill need to be investigate. It may be in good performance when it is installed during the construction time, but after been installed

for a few years, the drainage layer may be exposed to the performance reduction factor such as clogging and also damaged.

1.3.1 Case Study

A major cut slope failure at KM 16.1 NB occurred on 17th January 2001. The deep-seated failure affected the first berm to the eighth berm, measuring about 50m in width. Soil investigation works and topographical survey were carried out immediately after the failure.

Based on the desk study and site reconnaissance, the slope failure was probably triggered by an increase in pore water pressure within the slope. This resulted in the reduction of the available effective shear strength of the soil. Failure occurred at a point when the shear stress within the soil mass exceeded the available effective shear strength of the soil. The increase in pore water pressure within the slope can be attributed by the nature of high groundwater table at the site and prolonged precipitation.

The pore water pressure has a vital influence over the stability of the slope. As such, the key requirement for the design of the rehabilitation scheme is to focus on the drainage system to address the groundwater and surface runoff problem. The proposed slope rehabilitation works involves excavation and removal of all unsuitable materials from the failure scars and reinstate back the slopes with the combination of earth fill, rock fill and geogrid reinforced wall; and construction of gravel trenches, interceptor and cascade drains, and sumps at several strategic locations to address the high groundwater and surface runoff.

1.4 Aims and Objectives

North South Highway (Plus Highway) was opened to public in 1988. Since that, the highway has been operated for almost twenty two (22) years. Along the period also all items in the highway has been exposed to performance reduction factor such as wear and tear effect, weathering, rainfall and some time disaster. All this items need to be reviewed in terms of safety and serviceability. This study will review the most important item in highway which is slope.

The objectives of this study are to (1) to determine the post construction factor of safety (FOS) on one critical slope along Plus Highway; and (2) to ensure the design adequacy of these slope.

1.5 Scope and Limitations of The Project

The scope of this study will focus on one case study which is the proposed slope stabilization works at km 16.10 (Northbound) Machap – Skudai Expressway, Section 4. This study will focus on:-

(i) The effect of intensity rainfall (with drainage layer in good performance) to the proposed slope. The effect of intensity rainfall (with drainage layer in good performance) and the existence of tension crack to the proposed slope.

- (ii) The effect of intensity rainfall (with drainage layer in bad performance or been clogged) to the proposed slope for a twelve consecutive months (October, 2009 until September, 2010).
- (iii) The effect of intensity rainfall (with drainage layer in bad performance or been clogged) and the existence of tension crack to the proposed slope also for a twelve consecutive months (October, 2009 until September, 2010).

1.6 Significant of Research

Slope failures are not easily forecasted. There are many factors involved and until now many problems solutions such as rehabilitation works are only implemented after the slope collapse. It is necessary to have a systematic method to predict slope failure. This study will ensure that the critical slope along PLUS Highway are safe and the public can have confidence in using this highway.

References

- Ahmad Sayufei.(2008) Maintenance Consideration In Slope Design, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.pp6-101.
- C.S.Chen.(2004) Failure of A Nailed Slope, 15th Southeast Asian Geotechnical Society Conference 22 to 26 November 2004, Bangkok, Thailand pp 315-318.
- D.G. Fredlund.(2001) The relationship between Limit Equilibrium Slope Stability Methods, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada pp 1-8.
- E. Maranha Das Neves.(1990) Method of Slope Stability, Constraints of The Limit Equilibrium Method for Natural Slopes, Head Geotechnique Department Laboratorio Nacional de Engenharia Civil, Lisboa, Portugal pp 85-94.
- Geotechnical Engineering Office.(2000) Highway Slope Manual, Geotechnical Engineering Office, Hong Kong pp144.

Geostudio.(2010) Stability Modeling with SLOPE/W 2007 Version, Geo-Slope International Ltd., Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

Gue, Cheah.(2008) Geotechnical challenges in Slope Engineering of Infrastructures,Gue and Partners Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur pp 1-20.

- Gue, Wong.(2007) Slope Engineering Design and Construction Practice in Malaysia, Gue and Partners Geotechnic Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia pp 1-28.
- Jim Mc Kean.(1999) Field Evaluation of the Long-Term Performance of Geocomposite Sheet Drains, Department of Geological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand pp1-9.
- Lahlou Haderbache, Nasser Lauami.(2005) The Effect of Failure Criterion on Slope Stability Analysis, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Algiers pp 1-4.
- Liew, Liong and Low.(2004) Four Landslide Investigation in Malaysia, Gue and Partners Sdn. Bhd, Kuala Lumpur pp 1-6.
- Michael James, Michel Aubertin.(2004) Evaluation of The Earth Pressures in Backfilled Slopes Using Limit Equalibrium Analysis, 57th Canadian Geotechnical Conference pp 33-39.
- Nermeen Albataineh.(2006) Slope Stability Analysis Using 2d And 3d Methods, The Graduate Faculty of The University of Akron pp 4-50.
- Nurly Gofar, Lee and Marwan.(2006) Transient Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis for Rainfall Induced Landslide: A Case Study, Malaysian Jurnal of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Johor Bahru, Malaysia pp 1-12.
- Nugroho Christanto.(2008) Hydrological Slope Stability Modeling for Landslide Hazard Assessment, Internasional Institute for Geo-Information and Earth Observation, Gajah Mada University pp 10-17.

- Regina Hammah.(2005) A Comparison of Finite Element Slope Stability Analysis With Conventional Limit Equilibrium Investigation, Lasonde Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada pp1-8.
- Shaik Abdul Wahed.(2008) Slope Construction and Maintenance Practice, Jurutera Perunding Gea(M) Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur pp 1-10
- Suat Akbulut, Seracettin Arsan.(2010) The Variation of Cation Exchange Capacity, pH, and Zeta Potential in Expansive Soils Treated by Additives, Department of Civil Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Ataturk University, Turkey pp 139-150.