

PERFORMANCE OF HORIZONTAL SOIL HOOK SYSTEM IN SAND

HAMED NIROUMAND

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

Department of Geotechnics and Transportation
Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

March, 2010

May 60

Librarian
Perpustakaan Sultanah Zanariah
UTM, Skudai
Johor

Sir,

CLASSIFICATION OF THESIS AS RESTRICTED
PERFORMANE OF HORIZONTAL SOIL HOOK SYSTEM IN SAND

Hamed Niroumand

Please be informed that the above mentioned thesis entitled " PERFORMANCE
OF HORIZONTAL SOIL HOOK SYSTEM IN SAND " be classified as RESTRICTED for
a period of three (3) years from the date of this letter. The reasons
for this classification are

- (i) This system is a new method and invention,
- (ii)
- (iii)

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Pd. K. A. A. A.
Dept. of Civil Engg.
Faculty of Engg.
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Dedication

To my wife & my parents

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express profound gratitude to his advisor Prof. Dr. Khairul Anuar Kassim, for his direct supervision, continues advice and guidance throughout the work of this research. The author was gratefully acknowledgement invaluable, help, and patience.

Thanks and appreciations are due to Department of Geotechnical Engineering, who provided valuable discussion in this research. Acknowledgment are also due to the entire laboratory technician Mr. Zul and another their colleagues who patiently provided assistance on the experimental portion of this research.

Finally, the author wishes to express special thanks and appreciate to his parents and family for the emotional support and love. Special thanks to author's wife, for her love, sacrifice and continuous struggle towards the accomplishment of this research.

ABSTRACT

Structures like buried pipelines, transmission towers and earth structures subjected to considerable vertical or horizontal pullout forces are usually supported by anchors. The anchors are helical or plate systems which require excavation and grout in their applications. During the last fifty years, many researchers focused on pullout capacity of anchors. Comparison between experimental and theoretical analyses has been performed to validate the ultimate pullout load of anchors. The aim of this research is to obtain breakout factor and ultimate pullout capacity load of Soil Hook System (SHS) and compares it with previous finding by different researchers. SHS is a new anchor system base on the state of the art of plate anchors that does not require grout and excavation in their applications. The experiments were conducted in a chamber box. Two sizes of SHS with the length 297 and 159 mm were used. For the 159 mm long SHS, an embedment ratio between 1 and 7 were employed. For the SHS of length 297mm the embedment ratio used were between 1 to 4. Both SHS were tested in loose and dense sand. Dry sand with unit weight of $\gamma_d = 14.90 \text{ kN/m}^3$ and $\gamma_d = 16.95 \text{ kN/m}^3$ were used for loose and dense sand packing respectively. The results of a comprehensive theoretical analysis on the behavior of SHS were presented. These include hook size, ultimate pullout capacity; pull out load, and breakout factor. It was found that the pullout load capacity and breakout of SHS was similar to the works done by the previous researchers in plate anchors. The results show that the failure mechanism pattern around the SHS at shallow and deep levels were similar to the rupture surface pattern from previous researchers. This research has solved the problem of the installation of anchors into sand with SHS without the need to grout or excavate.

ABSTRAK

Struktur seperti rangkaian paip tertanam, menara talian dan struktur bumi yang dikenakan daya tarikan menegak atau mendatar kebiasaannya disokong oleh penambat. Penambat sistem heliks atau plat memerlukan penggalian dan turapan didalam penggunaannya. Sepanjang lima puluh tahun, para penyelidik hanya menumpukan pada keupayaan tarik keluar penambat. Perbandingan antara analisis eksperimen dan teori telah dilakukan untuk menilai semula beban penarikan keluar muktamad penambat. Tujuan utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mendapatkan nilai faktor putus dan keupayaan tarikan keluar muktamad sistem cangkuk dan membandingkannya dengan penyelidikan sebelumnya oleh penyelidik dari seluruh dunia. Sistem cangkuk tanah merupakan sistem penambat baru kerana seni reka bentuk pada penambat yang tidak memerlukan penggalian dan turapan dalam penggunaannya. Ujikaji dijalankan di dalam kotak kebuk yang memuatkan dua saiz sistem cangkuk dengan panjang 297 dan 159 mm. Untuk sistem cangkuk tanah bagi, panjang 159 mm, tanah nisbah kedalaman yang digunakan adalah antara 1 dan 7. Sistem cangkuk tanah bagi panjang 297mm nisbah kedalaman yang digunakan adalah antara 1 hingga 4. Kedua-dua sistem cangkuk ditanam dalam pasir longgar dan padat. Pasir kering dengan berat unit $\gamma_d = 14.90 \text{ kN/m}^3$ dan $\gamma_d = 16.95 \text{ kN/m}^3$ digunakan untuk pasir longgar dan padat. Keputusan analisis teori yang menyeluruh tentang ciri-ciri sistem tanah cangkuk diuraikan. Ini termasuk dimensi cangkuk, keupayaan tarik keluar muktamad, beban tarik keluar dan faktor putus. Dapat dilihat bahawa keupayaan beban tarik keluar dan putus bagi sistem cangkuk adalah sama dengan hasil ujikaji oleh penyelidik sebelumnya dalam penambat plat. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa pola mekanisma kegagalan di sekitar cangkuk tanah pada paras cetek dan dalam adalah sama dengan pola pecah permukaan oleh para penyelidik yang lepas. Penyelidikan ini telah menyelesaikan masalah pemasangan penambat didalam tanah pasir dengan sistem cangkuk tanah tanpa perlu penggalian dan turapan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	CONTENTS	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF NOTATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Statement of Problem	1
	1.3 Objectives of Research	2

	1.4 Scope of Study	2
	1.5 Thesis Outline	3
	1.6 Expected Finding	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Review of Previous Experimental Works	6
	2.2.1 Methods of Analysis in Anchor Plate	8
	2.2.2 Previous Experimental Works	9
	2.2.3 Recent Experimental Work	14
	2.2.4 Limitations of Experimental Work	17
	2.3 Preview of Previous Theoretical and Numerical Work	18
	2.3.1 Numerical Methods	19
	2.3.2 Recent Numerical Work	28
	2.3.3 Limitations of Numerical Work	35
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	38
	3.1 Introduction	38
	3.2 Testing Program	38
	3.3 Chamber Box Arrangement	39

3.4	Testing Procedure in Chamber Box	42
3.5	Experimental Program	43
3.5.1	Properties of Sand	43
3.5.2	Soil Hook System (SHS) Materials	47
3.5.3	Chamber Box	49
3.5.4	Failure Mechanism	52
3.6	Theoretical Analysis	54
4	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	56
4.1	Introduction	56
4.2	Soil Hook System (SHS) in Chamber Box	57
4.2.1	Soil Hook System (SHS) in Chamber Box	57
4.2.1.1	The Effect of Embedment Ratio	57
4.2.1.2	The Effect of Sand Density	63
4.2.1.4	Break out Factor	64
4.3	Failure Mechanism	66
4.3.1	Failure Mechanism in Loose Sand	66
4.5.2	Failure Mechanism in Dense Sand	71
4.5.3	Summary of Failure Mechanism	74

5	COMPARISON BETWEEN EXISTING THEORIES AND EXPERIMENTAL WORKS	75
	5.1 Introduction	75
	5.2 Assumption in Comparison Work	75
	5.3 Comparison of the Breakout Factor and Existing Theoretical Breakout Factor	76
	5.3.1 Comparison of the Breakout Factor and Existing Theoretical Breakout Factor in Loose Sand	77
	5.3.2 Comparison of the Breakout Factor and Existing Theoretical Breakout Factor in Dense Sand	79
6	CONCLUSIONS	82
	6.1 Conclusion on the Research	82
	6.2 Suggestion for Future Research	83
	REFERENCES	84
	APPENDICES	87

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Last Experimental Models Tests on Horizontal Anchors in sand	17
2.2	Previous Theoretical Analysis on Horizontal Anchors in sand	37
3.1	Parameters of Sample Tested	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
2.1	Failure Surface Assumed by Mors (1959)	8
2.2	Failure Surface Assumed by Downs & Chieurrzzi (1959)	9
2.3	Failure Surface Assumed by Balla (1961)	10
2.4	Laboratory Test Devices of Lidiya and Pavao (2003)	14
2.5	Scheme of Laboratory Tested for Pullout Test of Lidiya and Pavao (2003)	15
2.6	Load/Displacement Curves of Murray and Geddes (2006): (a) Very Dense Sand; (b) Medium Dense Sand	15
2.7	3D view of the chosen experimental set up of Kumar and Bhoi (2008)	16
2.8	Failure surface assumed by Mors (1959)	20
2.9	Anchor under pullout test	21
2.10	Variation of $FI+F3$ Based on Balla's Result (1961)	22
2.11	Variation of Ku Based on Meyerhof and Adams (1968)	24
2.12	Variation of m Based on Meyerhof and Adams (1968)	24
2.13	View of Tests of Vesic (1971)	25
2.14	Breakout Factor in Strip Anchor Plate of Vesic (1971)	25
2.15	Failure Surface assumed by Veesaert and Clemence(1977)	26
2.16	Variation of Capacity Factor $F\gamma$ in Rowe and Davis (1982)	27

2.17 Problem Definition by Merfield and Sloan (2006)	30
2.18 Mesh for Square Anchor Plate by Merfield and Sloan (2006)	30
2.19 Mesh for Circular Anchor Plate by Merfield and Sloan (2006)	31
2.20 Very Fine Mesh in Analysis of a Strip Anchor with an Embedment Ratio $L/D=7$ in PLAXIS by Dickin and Laman (2007)	32
2.21 Coarse Mesh in Analysis of a Strip Anchor with an Embedment Ratio $L/D=7$ in PLAXIS by Dickin and Laman (2007)	32
2.22 Breakout Factors Finding in Loose Sand in Dickin & Laman (2007)	33
2.23 Breakout Factors Finding in Dense Sand in Dickin & Laman (2007)	33
2.24 Definition of the Problem by Kumar and Bhoi (2008)	34
2.25 (a) Position and Loading of Anchors & (b) Boundary Condition by Kuzer and Kumar (2009)	35
3.1 View of Pullout Test	39
3.2 View Elevation of Data Logger	40
3.3 View of Motor Winch System	40
3.4 View of Soil Hook Systems (SHS) Details	41
3.5 View of Primary Vehicles	41
3.6 Top View of Chamber Box	42
3.7 View of Seive Test	44
3.8 Variations of Grain Size Distribution of Sand	44
3.9 View of Direct Shear Test	45
3.10 View of Variations of Shear Stress with Normal Stress	45
3.11 Soil Hook Systems (SHS) with Length 297mm & 159mm	47
3.12 Small Soil Hook System (SHS) with Length 159mm	48
3.13 View of Analysis of Soil Hook System (SHS) in 4m in CATIA	48

3.14 View of Finite Element Mesh of Soil Hook System (SHS)	49
3.15 Sand Packing in Chamber Box	50
3.16 Position of Soil Hook System (SHS) in Compacting	51
3.17 View of Line Definer in Pullout	51
3.18 View of Testing Still Running	52
3.19 View of Failure Mechanism	53
4.1 Variations of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	58
4.2 Variations of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	58
4.3 Variations of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	59
4.4 Variations of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	59
4.5 Result of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	60
4.6 Result of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	61
4.7 Result of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	62
4.8 Result of Pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	62
4.9 Variations of pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose and Dense Sand	63
4.10 Variations of pullout Load with Embedment Ratio L/D for Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose and Dense Sand	64
4.11 Variations of Break out with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small and Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	65
4.12 Variations of Break out with Embedment Ratio L/D for Small and Big Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	65

4.13 Failure Mechanism Setup	67
4.14 Front Elevation for Failure Mechanism Test in Loose Sand	67
4.15 Front Elevations for Failure Mechanism Testing in Loose Sand. Rotation Zone Was Start to Developed Shear Plane Indicated by Lines.	68
4.16 Front Elevations for Failure Mechanism Testing in Loose Sand. Rotation Zone Was Indicated by Lines.	68
4.17 Front Elevations for Failure Mechanism Testing in Loose Sand. Different Steps of Rotation in Small Model Were Indicated by Lines.	69
4.18 Front Elevations for Failure Mechanism Testing in Loose Sand. Last Step of Rotation in Small Model Was Indicated by Lines.	69
4.19 Schematic Diagram of Failure Mechanism in Loose Sand	70
4.20 Final Schematic of Failure Zones of Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Loose Sand	70
4.21 View of Setup Failure Mechanism in Dense Sand	71
4.22 View of Different Dense Sand Layers	72
4.23 Front Elevation for Failure Mechanism Testing of Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand, Model Start to Move Indicated by Lines	72
4.24 Front Elevation for Failure Mechanism Testing of Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand, Failure Zone in First Time Indicated by Lines	73
4.25 Front Elevations for Failure Mechanism Testing in Dense Sand. Failure Zone in Small Model Was Indicated by Lines.	73
4.26 Final Schematic of Failure Zones of Small Soil Hook System (SHS) in Dense Sand	74

LIST OF NOTATIONS

A	:	Area of Anchor Plate
B	:	Length of Anchor Plate/ Soil Hook System (SHS)
C_u	:	Coefficient of Uniformity
D	:	Width of Anchor Plate / Soil Hook System (SHS)
D_{10}	:	Effective Grain Size
D_{50}	:	Uniformity of Sand
e	:	Void Ratio
G_s	:	Specify Gravity
H	:	Depth of Soil
K_o	:	Earth Pressure Coefficient at Rest
L	:	Depth of Soil above Anchor Plate/ Soil Hook System (SHS)
L/D	:	Embedment Ratio
n	:	Porosity
P	:	Pullout Load
P_u	:	Ultimate Pullout Load
t	:	Time
γ	:	Unit Weight of Soil
ϕ	:	Soil Friction Angle
N_q	:	Breakout Factor
γ_d	:	Dry Unit Weight of Soil
M	:	Mass of Soil
V	:	Volume of Soil
S_f	:	Shape Factor
H_{cr}	:	Critical Embedment Ratio
W	:	Effective Weight of Soil
P_s	:	Shearing Resistance

P_t	:	Force Below of Area
K_u	:	Nominal Pullout Coefficient of Earth Pressure on a Convex Cylindrical
n_r	:	Relative Porosity
I_d	:	Density Index

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Title	Page
Appendix A	Properties of shear direct test in dense sand	88
Appendix B	Properties of shear direct test in loose sand	93
Appendix C	Design and modeling of Soil Hook System (SHS) in CATIA	98
Appendix H	Variation of breakout factor on author's empirical formula	147
Appendix I	Variation of breakout factor based on Balla's theory (1961)	148
Appendix J	Variation of breakout factor based on Balla's theory (1961)	150
Appendix K	Variation of breakout factor in Meyerhof & Adams theory (1968)	152
Appendix L	Variation of breakout factor in Meyerhof & Adams theory (1968)	154
Appendix M	Variation of breakout factor in Vesic theory (1971) in loose sand	156
Appendix N	Variation of breakout factor in Vesic theory (1971) in dense sand	158
Appendix O	Variation of breakout factor in Rowe and Davis theory (1982)	160
Appendix P	Variation of breakout factor in Rowe and Davis theory (1982)	161
Appendix Q	Variation of breakout factor in Murray & Geddes theory (1987)	162
Appendix R	Variation of breakout factor in Murray & Geddes theory (1987)	163
Appendix S	Variation of breakout factor in Dickin & Laman finding (2007)	164
Appendix T	Variation of breakout factor in Dickin & Laman finding (2007)	165

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Structures such as transmission towers, tunnels, sea walls, buried pipelines, retaining walls and others are subjected to considerable pullout forces. In such cases, an economic design solution may be obtained through the use of tension members. These elements, which are related to anchors, are generally fixed to the structure and embedded in the ground to effective depth so that they can resist uplifting forces.

1.2 Statement of Problem

Most of the anchoring system in this country is a grout base anchoring .The installation may take several days. Soil Hook System (SHS) is a new anchoring with innovative state of the art plate which can be installed in sand without having to grout it. It is expected from this system to increase the speed of construction and cost effective.

1.3 Objectives of Research

The main aim of this research is to investigate the behavior of ultimate pullout capacity of Soil Hook System (SHS) in dry loose and dense sand. In order to achieve the aim of study, five objectives have been identified:

- i. To investigate the ultimate pullout capacity of two size Soil Hook System (SHS) in loose and dense sand,
- ii. To make new empirical formula based on the result of Soil Hook System (SHS) in sand , due to real tests in soil laboratory,
- iii. To compare the break-out factor in Soil Hook System (SHS) with previous works by different researches.
- iv. To design rigid of Soil Hook System (SHS) in CATIA , that it is a mechanical software,

1.4 Scope of Study

In this study special attention is provided to Soil Hook System (SHS) that is investigated with only horizontal pullout load test in dry Kota Tinggi sand. The data for this study will be obtained from test data in geotechnical laboratory in University Technology Malaysia.

1.5 Thesis Outline

Reviews of previous researchers' activities are discussed in Chapter Two including the analytical procedures. This chapter is a review based on the Introduction to the Topic of plate anchors because Soil Hook System (SHS) is a type of art special in plate anchors.

Field test and two model laboratory tests will be used to research the performance of horizontal Soil Hook System (SHS) subjected to pullout loading. Field studies in plate anchors, reported by last researchers, will be discussed in Chapter Two.

Review of the theories and numerical analysis in plate anchors from previous researchers will be discussed in Chapter Two, including the analytical procedures. Analyses, beginning from Meyerhof and Adams (1968) until the most recent analysis such as Kuzer and Kumar (2009), are also reviewed.

Research methodology will be discussed in Chapter Three. The Soil Hook Systems (SHS) tests were performed to study the pullout test in loose and dense sand around the Soil Hook System (SHS) in chamber box, that the up mentioned system will rotate after the beginning of pullout loading. Raining methods and vibration method were employed in chamber box to obtain loose and dense packing sand respectively.

Chapter Four contains the interpretation of the experimental results. The variations of model ultimate capacity, P_u with the embedment ratio L/D and N_q breakout factor in dry loose sand and dense sand are analyzed. Dry sand was originated from Malaysia sand quarry area, generally having grain sizes between 0.205 to 2.36 mm. It was used as an embedment medium in small and big model in the wood box. Due to the difficulties in preparing the similar sand sizes, a wide range of size between 0.07 to 5.01 mm was used as the embedment of small and big model sizes of Soil Hook System (SHS) in wood box. It was assumed that no prominence effect on the stress value will be encountered between these two arrangements. Soil Hook System (SHS) was fabricated blade, joint and their rods

that they used as a new anchor in special shape, with a length 290 and 145 mm, width 100 and 50 mm, which it can be taken into sand and after putting into balance embedment the rotation begins.

Chapter Five contains the interpretation of the theoretical analysis results. The new empirical formula of model ultimate capacity, P_u with the embedment ratio L/D and N_q breakout factor in dry loose sand and dense sand were compared to previous theories in anchor plates. The proposed results will be compared with previous works such as Murray, Meyerhof and Dickin.

Chapter Six outlines the main conclusions of this research and suggestions for future work.

1.6 Expected Finding

The expected findings of this research have been identified:

- i. Performance of pullout test of Soil Hook System (SHS) in loose and dense sand

The system is expected that the Soil Hook System (SHS) drives into sand consisted of loose sand and dense sand, with no excavation of the waterfront property, thus no holes, no digging, no grouting, no expensive restoration is required.

- ii. Compare of Result in laboratory with previous works

The Compare of result in laboratory and previous works by last researchers will be conducted with anchor plate results without grouting and development scheme in loose and dense sand.

- iii. Conclusion

This study is successful if it can achieve the research study objectives.

REFERENCES

- Adams J. I., and Hayes D. C. (1967). "The uplift capacity of shallow foundations." *Ontario Hydro-Research Quarterly*, 1-13.
- Akinmusuru, J. O. (1978). "Horizontally loaded vertical plate anchors in sand." *J. of Geotech. Eng.*, Vol. 104, No. 2, 283-286.
- Andreadis, A., Harvey R. and Burley E. (1981) "Embedded anchor response to uplift loading." *J. of Geotech.Eng.*, Vol. 107, No. 1, 59-78.
- Baker, W. H. and Konder R. L. (1966), "Pullout Load Capacity of a Circular Earth Anchor Buried in Sand", *Highway Research Record*, No. 108, 1-10.
- Balla, A. (1961). "The resistance of breaking-out of mushroom foundations for pylons", Proceedings, *5th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Vol. 1, 569-576, Paris.
- Basudhar, P. K. and Singh, D. N. (1994). "A generalized procedure for predicting optimal lower bound break-out factors of strip anchors." *Geotechnique*, 44(2), 307-318.
- Bouazza, A. and Finlay, T. W. (1990). "Uplift capacity of plate anchors in a two-layered sand." *Geotechnique*, 40(2), 293-297.
- Das, B. M. and Seeley, G. R. (1975). "Breakout resistance of shallow horizontal anchors." *J. of Geotech. Eng.*, ASCE, 101(9):999-1003.
- Das, B. M. and Seeley, G. R. (1975). "Load displacement relationship for vertical anchor plates." *J. of Geotech. Eng.*, ASCE, 101(7):711-715.
- Das, B. M. (1990). *Earth Anchors*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Dickin, E. A., and Leung, C. F. (1983). "Centrifuge model tests on vertical anchor plates." *J. of Geotech. Eng.*, Vol. 109, No. 12, 1503-1525.
- Dickin, E. A. (1988). "Uplift behaviour of horizontal anchor plates in sand." *J. of Geotech.Eng.*, Vol. 114, No. 11, 1300-1317.

Dickin, E. A. and Laman, M (2007). "Uplift response of strip anchors in cohesionless soil." *J. of Advances in Eng. Softwares.*, Vol. 1, No. 38, 618-625.

Frgic, L., Marovic, P (2003). "Pullout capacity of spatial anchors." *J. Eng. Computations*, Vol.21, No.6, 598-700

Frydman, S. and Shamam I. (1989). "Pullout capacity of slab anchors in sand." *Can Geotech. J.*, Vol 26:385-400.

Hanna, T. H, Sparks R. and Yilmaz M. (1971). "Anchor behaviour in sand." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE*, 98(11), 1187-1208.

Hanna, T. H, and Carr, R. W. (1971). "The loading behaviour of plate anchors in normally and over consolidated sands." *Proceedings, 4th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Budapest, 589-600.

Hoshiya, M., and Mandal, J. N. (1984). "Some studies of anchor plates in sand." *Soils and Found.*, Japan , 24(1):9-16.

Kumar J, Kouzer KM. "Vertical uplift capacity of horizontal anchors using upper bound limit analysis and finite elements." *Can Geotech J* 2008; 45: 698–704.

Kuzer,KM., Kumar,J (2009). "Vertical uplift capacity of two interfering horizontal anchors in sand using an upper bound limit analysis." *J. Computer & Geotechnic.*, Vol.1, No.36, 1084-1089

Meyerhof G. G., and Adams J. I. (1968). "The ultimate uplift capacity of foundations." *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.*, 5(4), 225-244.

Merifield,R. ,Sloan SW. "The ultimate pullout capacity of anchors in frictional soils." *Can Geotech J* 2006; 43(8):852–68.

Mors H. (1959). "The behaviour of most foundations subjected to tensile forces." *Bautechnik*, Vol 36 No. 10, 367-378.

Murray, E. J. and Geddes, J. D. (1987). "Uplift of anchor plates in sand." *J. Geotech. Eng., ASCE*, 113(3), 202-215.

Niroumand,H.,2007, *Analysis and Modeling with PLAXIS V.8* ,Vol.1-5,Naghoos Publisher ,Tehran ,Iran,V1:75-128.

Niroumand,H.,2007, *Material Modeling with PLAXIS V.8* ,Vo3.1-5,Naghoos Publisher ,Tehran ,Iran,V3:102-248.

- Rowe R. K., and Davis E. H. (1982). "The behaviour of anchor plates in sand." *Geotechnique*, 32(1), 25-41.
- Saeedy, H. S. (1987). "Stability of circular vertical anchors." *Can. Geotech. J.*, Vol 24, 452-456.
- Sakai, T, and Tanaka, T. (1998). "Scale effect of a shallow circular anchor in dense sand." *Soils and Found.*, Japan, 38(2):93-99.
- Sarac, D. Z. (1989). "Uplift capacity of shallow buried anchor slabs." *Proceedings, 12th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, 12(2):1213-1218.
- Stewart, W. (1985). "Uplift capacity of circular plate anchors in sand." *Can. Geotech. J.*, 22(4):589-592.
- Sutherland, H. B. (1965). "Model studies for shaft raising through cohesionless soils", *Proceedings, 6th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Vol 2, 410-413.
- Tagaya, K., Scott, R. F. and Aboshi, H. (1988). "Pullout resistance of buried anchor in sand." *Soils and Found.*, Japan, 28(3):114-130.
- Vesic A. S. (1971). "Breakout resistance of objects embedded in ocean bottom." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE*, 97(9), 1183-1205.
- Vesic A. S. (1972). "Expansion of cavities in infinite soil mass." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE*, 98(3), 265-290.