BEHAVIOUR OF WIDE REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM IN SHEAR

HAIDAR.R.HASHIM AL.DYWANY

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil - Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2010

To my lovely mother, and to my honorable father
and to my dear brothers

Thank you all for the plentiful supports

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In preparing this project report, I want to express my gratitude and thankful to my family for their financial and moral support, and without them this thesis will not be existed. And also, I want to thank all the academic staff in the department of Civil Engineering in the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, especially my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Ramli Abdullah for his professional guidance through making this project, and once again, I want to appreciate the honor given to me through this opportunity to be under his supervision.

My sincere appreciation to Emmanuel Achara Bitrus, for his assistance through this project, also, I want to thank all the staff in the structural lab for their friendship and assistance, and finally but not the last, I want to express my thankful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia to give me this opportunity to award the master degree in structural civil engineering.

ABSTRACT

Wide reinforced concrete beams were been used in buildings to reduce reinforcement congestion and floor heights for a required headroom. The beam in most of these cases is wider than that of the supporting columns. Consequently, their shear capacity might be effected and differ from that of conventional beams. This project report presents the test results of ten wide beam specimens in which their shear performances were studied. The influence of the support widths (100%, 50% and 25% of the beam width), the arrangement of flexural reinforcement across the beam width, and the presence of shear reinforcement in the forms of vertical links, were investigated. The test setup was made similar for all the specimens, two pointed load were distributed equally on the beam width, each at distance equal to 630 mm from the center of support, therefore, all the specimens failed in diagonal tension shear. The results showed that the narrow support has no effect on the shear strength of concrete and the influence of concentrating the flexural reinforcement within the support width has no significant effect on the shear strength of concrete. On the other hand, the links efficiency reduced by 80 % due to the narrow support, and the influence of concentrating the flexural reinforcement within the support width recovers their efficiency to 100 %.

ABSTRAK

Rasuk lebar konkrit bertetulang digunakan dalam bangunan untuk mengurangkan kesesakan tetulang dan ketinggian tingkat yang dikehendaki pada ruang bilik. Rasuk dalam kebanyakkan kes adalah lebih lebar supaya ia dapat menyokong tiang. Oleh sebab itu, kapasiti ricih rasuk lebar kemungkinan memberi kesan dan berlainan daripada rasuk konvensional. Laporan projek ini telah menghasilkan keputusan ujian ke atas sepuluh spesimen rasuk lebar di mana kelakuan ricih di kaji. Pengaruh terhadap lebar penyokong (100%, 50% dan 25% lebar rasuk), penyusunan tetulang utama merentasi lebar rasuk, dan kehadiran tetulang ricih dalam bentuk perangkai pugak telah dijalankan. Perbentukkan ujikaji telah dibuat sama untuk kesemua spesimen, dua titik beban diagihkan secara samarata pada lebar rasuk, di mana setiap jarak bersamaan 630 mm daripada pusat penyokong. Oleh itu, kesemua spesimen gagal dalam penjuru ricih tegangan. Keputusan telah menunjukkan bahawa penyokong yang sempit tidak memberi kesan ke atas kekuatan ricih konkrit dan pengaruh pada penumpuan tetulang utama dalam lebar penyokong tidak memberi kesan jelas pada kekuatan ricih konkrit. Dengan kata lain, keberkesanan perangkai dikurangkan sebanyak 80% disebabkan oleh penyokong yang sempit, dan pengaruh yang menumpukan pada tetulang utama dalam lebar penyokong dengan mendapat semula kebersanannya kepada 100%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE		PAGE
	DECLARATION		ii
	DEDICATION		iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iv
	ABSTRACT		v
	ABSTRAK		vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS		vii
	LIST OF TABLES		xi
	LIST OF FIGURES		xii
	LIST OF PHOTOS		xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS		xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES		xvii
1	**INTRODUTION**		
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Objectives of the study	5
	1.4	Scope of the study	6

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Wide reinforced concrete beams in shear 7

2.2 Factors influencing shear strength of wide beams 20

2.2.1 Beam width 20

2.2.2 Narrow support 21

2.2.3 Flexural reinforcement 25

2.3 Summary of review 27

3 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

3.1 Introduction 28

3.2 Detailed description of test specimens 30

3.3 Preparation of test specimens 36

3.3.1 Formwork 36

3.3.2 Reinforcement 37

3.3.3 Concrete cover 40

3.3.4 Casting the specimens 41

3.3.5 Curing and finishing 41

3.4 Test procedure 43

4 PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULTS

4.1 Specimens without shear reinforcement 59

4.1.1 Control specimen A1 62

4.1.2 Specimen A1/2 62

4.1.3 Specimen A1/4 63

4.1.4 Specimens RA1/2 and RA1/4 63

4.2 Specimens with shear reinforcement 65

4.2.1 Control specimen B1 67

4.2.2 Specimen B1/2 67

4.2.3 Specimen B1/4 68

4.2.4 Specimens RB1/2 and RB1/4 68

5 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS

5.1 Specimens without shear reinforcement 70

5.1.1 Shear capacity 70

5.1.2 Deflection 72

5.1.3 Crack Pattern 73

5.2 Specimens with shear reinforcement 74

5.2.1 Shear capacity 74

5.2.2 Deflection 76

5.2.3 Crack pattern 76

6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions 78

6.2 Recommendations in future work 79

6.3 Recommendations in design wide beams 79

REFERENCES 81

Appendices A - D 82-103

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Beams properties and result in Lubell research	22
2.2	Reinforcement ratio and shear capacity	25
3.1	Specimens configurations	33
3.2	Formwork material	36
4.1	Experimental result, group (A)	60
4.2	Materials properties	60
4.3	Experimental result, group (B)	65

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Types of concrete beams	9
2.2	Types of shear failure in beams	13
2.3	Types of diagonal shear crack	14
2.4	Concrete shear strength mechanisms	17
2.5	Affect of beam width on shear strength	20
2.6	Beams configurations in Lubell research	22
2.7	Crack pattern in lubell research	23
2.8	Affect of narrow support on shear strength	24
2.9	The effect of reinforcement ratio in different experiments	26
3.1	Specimen dimensions relationship	30
3.2	Specimens configuration, group (A)	34
3.3	Specimens configuration, group (B)	35
3.4	Typical wooden mould	36
3.5	Links arrangement	38
3.6	Rotated bearing plate	43
3.7(a)	Test configurations (plane view)	46

3.7(b)	Test configurations - section A	46
3.7(c)	Test configurations - section B	47
4.1	Deflection response: reinforcement uniformly distributed, group (A)	61
4.2	Deflection response: reinforcement rearranged, group (A)	61
4.3	Shear crack pattern, group (A)	64
4.4	Deflection response: reinforcement uniformly distributed, group (B)	66
4.5	Deflection response: reinforcement rearranged, group (B)	66
4.6	Shear crack pattern, group (B)	69
5.1	Shear capacity comparison, group (A)	71
5.2	Shear stress distribution	72
5.3	Loading and narrow support affect, group (A)	74
5.4	Shear capacity comparison, group (B)	75
5.5	Loading and narrow support affect, group (B)	77

LIST OF PHOTOS

PHOTOS NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Narrow rectangular support situation	11
2.2	Narrow circular support situation	11
2.3	Wide beam during construction	11
3.1	Formworks	48
3.2	Reinforcement uniformly arranged	48
3.3	Reinforcement concentrated at 190 mm	49
3.4	Reinforcement concentrated at 375 mm	49
3.5(a)	Links in uniformly arranged specimens	50
3.5(b)	Links in specimen (RB1/4)	50
3.5(c)	Links in specimen (RB1/2)	51
3.6	Before casting the specimens	51
3.7	Preparations for casting	52
3.8	Casting the specimens	52
3.9	Specimens curing	53
3.10	Samples curing	53
3.11	Specimens after polishing	54
3.12	Specimens after painting	54

3.13	Bearing plates	55
3.14	Support stands	55
3.15	Automatic load jack	56
3.16	Load cell	56
3.17	Typical test setup	57
3.18(a)	Concrete compressive strength test	58
3.18(b)	T12 tensile reinforcement test	58
3.18(c)	R6 tensile reinforcement test	58

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_s	-	Area of tension reinforcement
A_{sh}	-	Area of shrinkage bars
A_v	-	Area of links
a_v	-	Shear arm
b	-	Beam width
b_s	-	Support width
d	-	Effective depth
f_{cu}	-	Concrete strength
f_y	-	Tension reinforcement strength
f_{yv}	-	Characteristic strength of links
h	-	Overall section depth
k	-	Ratio of support to beam widths ($k=b_s/b$)
L	-	Total span length
L_0	-	Effective span length
M	-	Moment
S_v	-	Spacing of links along the member
V	-	Shear force due to ultimate load
ν	-	Design shear stress at a cross-section
ν_c	-	Design concrete shear stress
w_s	-	The width in which the (ρ_s) distributed in
γ_m	-	Partial safety factor for strength of material
ρ	-	Percentage area of tension reinforcement ($\rho=100A_s/b.d$)
ρ_s	-	Percentage area of tension reinforcement on (w_s)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Analysis and design of the specimens	82
B	The arrangement of flexural reinforcement	86
C	Photos of specimens after test	89
D	Design example	97

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUTION

1.1 Introduction

Reinforced concrete beams are common elements in more than 80% of the structures around the world, used as horizontal members transferring the load from the floor slab to the vertical members, also, it used for stiffening the structure, by connecting the columns together creating a stiff structural system.

These beams are made from concrete, which it is easy to shape, various geometries could be found in the structures, depending on the structure requirements and also the design, where flanged concrete beams could be found as L, T or double T shapes, and the another shapes which are not common such the hollow beam section as trapezoidal or box shape.

The most common reinforced concrete beams are inform of solid rectangular shape, and there is no concrete structure does not have it, since the design procedures and requirements are simple, beside, construction of these beams does not required complexity or special tools.

Even through the rectangular solid beams variation in the length, width and depth is possible, where deep, shallow and conventional beams are considers rectangular in shape and the difference is only by their behaviour, which finally affect the design procedures and requirements.

The deep reinforced concrete beams are rarely use in structures, and mainly used as transfer girders, supporting new planted columns in which changing in the structure plan is required, in addition, it is common in the tall building system as outriggers, used to increase the stiffness of the structure by reducing the affect of lateral load.

The shallow and the conventional reinforced concrete beams are widely used in all types of concrete structures, the solid slab and the beams which carry it are an example, and usually the length to the depth ratio of these members exceeds 5, therefore, it designed as slender beams, where the section first design to resist the flexural stresses by providing adequate reinforcement, then, checking the section for shear and deflection.

In addition, the design procedures are the same for both the shallow and the conventional beams, and it is provided in all the practical design codes in a simple manner, based on experimental and theoretical investigations.

The conventional concrete beam usually has width to depth ratio in the range of 0.5 to 0.75, increasing the width of such beams so that exceed the depth is a usual practice in structural design, the solid slab is an example of such geometrical changes as mentioned before, where shallower sections can be used to resist flexural moment and shear forces, if adequately designed.

When the conventional beam has obvious width compared with its depth, shallow beam section form as a result, and since the shallow term usually refer to the slab, a wide reinforced concrete beam term was given to such geometry change, and these beams are frequently used as primary structural members in buildings, especially in Middle East counties, supporting the slab and transferring the load from the slab to the columns or walls.

In situation where increasing the beam depth is not an option, designers usually turn to increase the beam width to achieve the required strength or to reduce reinforcement complexity, In addition, the use of wide beams in the building provide practical advantages such as simplifying the formwork, reducing the reinforcement detailing and increasing the net floor height which will reduce the total height of the building.

1.2 Problem Statement

In design, the practical codes are differing in manner and united by result, to provide safe and practical design, the BS 8110 (1997) is one of the famous and reliable codes for design concrete structures, provide equations and requirements especially in design concrete beams.

The shear design of slender beams either conventional or shallow slab is specified in clause 3.4.5 in BS 8110 (1997), where the shear capacity of concrete beam if shear reinforcement are not provided is a function of the concrete grade, beam depth and the percentage of flexural reinforcement, while if shear reinforcement are provided, another function added to the mentioned based on the link sectional area, spacing and the strength of link bars.

The support condition is always taken as the beam width in BS 8110 (1997), while the narrow support is a fact when the beam width exceed the column width, and such situation is common when the wide reinforced concrete beam used, where these beams are usually supported by columns in which the ratio of the column width to the beam width is less than 1.0, which influence the behaviour of beam in shear failure.

Studying the behaviour of wide reinforced concrete beams under shear failure, especially the diagonal tension shear failure, is as important as flexural failure, because such failure is forming from the combination of both flexure and shear cracks, which it is brittle and suddenly fails.

Accordingly, the prediction of shear capacity using the BS 8110 (1997) or other design codes may lead to incorrect shear capacity, since the consideration for narrow support is not counted, therefore, an experimental investigation conducted in this project to study the behaviour of such beams in shear, to provide guidance through safe and practical design.

In addition, the arrangement of flexural reinforcement in beams were limited for two purposes only, improving the compaction of concrete during casting and minimizing the flexural cracks width at service loading. Where as stated previously, the shear capacity of beams is a function of flexural reinforcement ratio, and since the wide beams have obvious width, therefore, arranging the flexural reinforcement in these beams to enhance or increase the shear strength is possible to serve both the practical and the design requirements.

1.3 Objectives of the study

The general aim of the investigation was to determine the shear performance of wide reinforced concrete beams with variation in support width and the arrangement of flexural reinforcement. In more specific terms, the objectives of the study are as following:

a) To evaluate the influence of the width of support on the shear strength of wide beams with and without shear reinforcement.

b) To determine the effect of flexural reinforcement arranged uniformly across the width on the shear capacity of wide beams having narrow support, provided with and without shear reinforcement.

c) To determine the effect of flexural reinforcement concentrated within the support width on the shear capacity of wide beams having narrow support, provided with and without shear reinforcement.

1.4 Scope of the study

The study was carried out within the following scope and limitations:

a) The study was based on laboratory tests on 10 reinforced concrete wide beams of the same cross section and span length.

b) All beams were reinforced with the same amount of flexural reinforcement.

c) The breadth to overall depth ratio of all specimens was 3.0.

d) All specimens were cast from the same batch of concrete mix, designed to achieve a compressive strength of 30 N/mm^2.

e) The beams were tested to failure using two point loads applied on the whole width at a distance of three times the effective depth from the support.

f) The specimens were simply supported on steel rollers at both ends during the test.

g) The 10 specimens were differing in at least one variable, therefore, the result based on single specimen behaviour.

REFERENCES

Adam S. Lubell, Evan C. Bentz, and Michael P. Collins. Influence of longitudinal reinforcement on one-way shear in slabs and wide beams. 2009. ASCE J

Adam S. Lubell, Evan C. Bentz, and Michael P. Collins. One-way shear in wide concrete beams with narrow supports. 2008. ASCE J

American Concrete Institute. Building code requirements for structural concrete and commentary. United State of America, ACI 318. 2008

Arthur H. Nilson, David Darwin, and Charles W. Dolan. Design of concrete structures. 13th ed. United State of America: McGraw-Hill. 2004

British Standard Institution. Scheduling dimensioning, bending and cutting of steel reinforcement for concrete. London, BS 8666. 2005

British Standard Institution. Structural use of concrete. London, BS 8110. 1997

C. R. Hendy and D. A. Smith. Designers Guide to EN 1992-2, Part 2: concrete bridge. London: Thomas Telford. 2007

Sherwood, E.G. One-way shear behavior of large, lightly-reinforced concrete beams and slabs. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Toronto; 2008

Tyler Gregory Hicks. Hand book of civil engineer calculation. 2nd ed. United State of America: McGraw-Hill. 2007