

SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF BUTANE AUTOTHERMAL
REFORMER FOR FUEL CELL APPLICATIONS

MOHAMAD SHAHIR BIN ABDULLAH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Dedicated to my family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank God for giving the opportunity of studying this particular research and successfully finished it on time. Many obscures happened throughout this study from the research background to the results of the study. I acknowledge with appreciation the suggestions, counsel and encouragement from many people directly or indirectly contributed to the contents of this study. My appreciations go to supervisor, Engr. Mohd Kamaruddin Abd Hamid for continually giving ideas and critics. To my colleagues Aifa, Azmil, Cosmas, Farhana, Henry, Badri and Syafiq, these guys were relentlessly helped me out in making this study completed. I also would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Maketab Mohamed and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Ghazali Mohd Nawawi for the guides during the process of selecting the research scope which I interested in. They also have played the role of making the research a success. Great thanks to my family for supporting me in my life. Thanks to all people that have been mentioned or carelessly missed to mention that I must apologise. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen (H_2) production has gaining popularity among researchers to aim a better future environment. H_2 is very excellent candidate to replace the existing fuel. Its high flammability and energy produced alongside no side product generated make it even more popular. The objective of the study is to develop a general steady-state simulation of H_2 production plant for fuel cell application using butane as the feedstock. The scopes of the study include stoichiometry mathematical calculations, base case steady-state simulation, base case simulation validation, a design of heat integration, carbon monoxide (CO) clean-up processes which contains water gas shift (WGS) and preferential oxidation (PrOx) reactors and plant wide optimization. The simulation has been run in Aspen HYSYS 2004.1 in steady-state mode in which optimization was done to generate more H_2 as well as CO reduction. The butane fuel processor was optimized at O/C ratio of 2.18 and S/C ratio of 4.6 to produce 39.2% of H_2 and has achieved 78.1% efficiency. While CO clean-up units was capable to reduce the CO concentration down to 10 ppm.

ABSTRAK

Penghasilan hidrogen (H_2) telah menjadi semakin popular dikalangan pengkaji-pengkaji bertujuan supaya alam sekitar menjadi lebih baik. H_2 adalah calon yang terbaik untuk menggantikan bahan bakar yang digunakan sekarang. Kebolebakarannya yang tinggi dan mengeluarkan tenaga yang banyak serta tidak menghasilkan produk sampingan menjadikan ia semakin popular. Objectif kajian ini ialah untuk membangunkan simulasi mod malar penghasilan H_2 melalui pengubah terhaba sendiri untuk aplikasi sel bahan bakar menggunakan butana sebagai bahan muatan. Skop-skop kajian ini termasuklah pengiraan matematik stoikiometri, simulasi keadaan-malar asas, pengesahan simulasi keadaan-malar asas, merekabentuk integrasi haba, proses pembersihan karbon monoksida yang mempunyai pertukaran gas berair (WGS) and pengoksidaan terpilih (PrOx) reaktor dan pengoptimuman loji keseluruhan. Simulasi telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian Aspen HYSYS 2004.1 dalam mod malar di mana pengoptimuman telah dilakukan supaya H_2 yang dihasilkan lebih banyak disamping mengurangkan CO. Pemproses bahan bakar butana ini berada pada keadaan optimum apabila nisbah O/C bernilai 2.18 dan nisbah S/C bernilai 4.6 yang mengandungi 39.2% H_2 yang mana kecekapannya telah mencapai 78.1%. Manakala unit-unit pembersihan CO telah dapat mengurangkan kepekatan CO sehingga 10 ppm.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	NOMENCLATURE	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective and Scopes of the Study	2
	1.4 Report Organization	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Hydrogen Characteristics	4
	2.2 Fuel Cell	5
	2.3 Hydrogen Production for Fuel Cell Application	6

2.3.1	Natural Gas	6
2.3.1.1	Methane	6
2.3.1.2	Ethane	7
2.3.1.3	Propane	7
2.3.1.4	Butane	8
2.3.2	Gasoline	8
2.3.3	Jet Fuel	9
2.3.4	Alcohols	9
2.3.4.1	Methanol	9
2.3.4.2	Ethanol	10
2.3.4.3	Propanol	10
2.3.5	Naphtha	11
2.4	Hydrogen Production from Butane	11
2.4.1	Steam Reforming of Butane	12
2.4.2	Partial Oxidation of Butane	12
2.4.3	Autothermal Reforming of Butane	13
2.5	Carbon Monoxide Clean-up Section	14
2.6	Steady-Simulation of Butane Autothermal Reforming	15
2.7	Summary	15
3	METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	Research Tools	17
3.1.1	Aspen HYSYS 2004.1	17
3.2	Research Activities	18
3.2.1	Data Collection	18
3.2.2	Steady State Model Development	18
3.2.3	Steady State Model Validation	19
3.2.4	Autothermal Reforming Reactor Optimization	19
3.2.5	Heat Integration Development	20
3.2.6	Carbon Monoxide Clean-up Section Development	20
3.2.7	Plant Wide Optimization	20

3.2	Summary	20
4	SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF BUTANE AUTOTHERMAL REFORMER FOR FUEL CELL APPLICATION	22
4.1	Process Description of Butane Autothermal Reforming Fuel Processor	22
4.2	Simulation of Butane Autothermal Reforming Fuel Processor	23
4.2.1	Physical Properties of the Pure Components	23
4.2.2	Thermodynamic Property	24
4.2.3	Numerical Methods Solutions	26
4.2.4	Steady State Simulation	27
4.3	Summary	27
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
5.1	Stoichiometry Mathematical Analysis	29
5.2	Base Case of Autothermal Reforming Reactor	30
5.3	Base Case Simulation Validations	30
5.4	Autothermal reforming Reactor Optimization	31
5.5	Heat Integration	34
5.6	Carbon Monoxide Cleaning Units	34
5.6.1	Water Gas Shift Reactors	35
5.6.2	Additional Preferential Oxidation Unit	35
5.7	Plant Wide Optimization	37
5.7.1	Preferential Oxidation Inlet Air Optimization	38
5.7.2	Further Optimization	39
5.8	Temperature and Components Profiles	40
5.8.1	Temperature Profiles of Reactor Operating	40
5.8.2	Components Profiles in the Outlet Stream	41

5.9	Fuel Processor Efficiency	42
5.10	Summary	43
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Summary	45
6.2	Conclusions	46
6.3	Recommendation for Future Works	46
	REFERENCES	48
	Appendices A - D	52 - 58

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Properties of gasoline, natural gas and hydrogen	5
4.1	Physical Properties of the component	24
5.1	Based Case simulation model	31
5.2	The effects of ATR product stream components	33
5.3	Initial compositions of each reactors product	36

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Algorithm for methodology	21
4.1	Butane ATR fuel processor	23
4.2	Block diagram of the simulation of hydrogen production plant using Aspen HYSYS 2004.1	28
5.1	Base case of ATR simulation model	30
5.2	Optimization of ATR product stream	32
5.3	Heat integration design	34
5.4	ATR and Water Gas Shift Section	35
5.5	Overall plant diagram with additional preferential oxidation unit	36
5.6	Water flow optimization	37
5.7	Effects of PrOx air flow to CO concentration	38

5.8	Steam-to-carbon and air flow effect to CO concentration	39
5.9	Temperature profiles of the fuel processor	41
5.10	Components profiles	42

NOMENCLATURE

A	=	Heat transfer area
ATR	=	Autothermal reforming
a	=	Parameter, cubic equation of state
b	=	Parameter, cubic equation of state
C_p	=	Heat capacities, constant pressure
C_p°	=	Standard heat capacities, constant pressure
F	=	Volumetric flow rate
g	=	Local acceleration of gravity
H	=	Molar or specific enthalpy $\equiv U + PV$
H^{ID}	=	Specific enthalpy of ideal gas
HTS	=	High temperature shift
ΔH	=	Enthalpy change (“heat”) of mixing; also, latent heat of phase transition
ΔH_{298}°	=	Standard enthalpy change
i	=	Species
LTS	=	Low temperature shift
LHV	=	Lower heating value
MTS	=	Medium temperature shift
MW	=	Molecular weight
O/C	=	Oxygen-to-carbon ratio
P	=	Absolute pressure
P_o	=	Reference pressure
P_{ci}	=	Critical pressure, species i
P_{ri}	=	Reduced pressure, species i

\bar{p}	=	Bias (steady-state) value
PrOx	=	Preferential oxidation
Q	=	Heat
\dot{Q}	=	Rate of heat transfer
S	=	Molar or specific entropy
S^{ID}	=	Entropy of ideal gas
S/C	=	Steam-to-carbon ratio
T	=	Absolute temperature, Kelvins
T_n	=	Normal boiling point at 25°C, 1 atm
T_{ci}	=	Critical temperature, species i
T_{ref}	=	Reference temperature
T_{ri}	=	Reduced Temperature, species i
t	=	Time
U	=	Molar or Specific internal energy
V	=	Molar or specific volume
Z	=	Compressibility factor $\equiv PV/RT$

Superscript / Subscript

ID	=	Ideal gas
D	=	Derivative
i	=	Integral
av	=	Average

Greek letters

α	=	Function, cubic equation of state
μ	=	Viscosity
ρ	=	Density
ω	=	Acentric factor
η	=	Efficiency, %

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	A general fuel processor process flow diagram	52
B	The effect of air molar flow to the ATR Vap Out temperature	53
C	The effect of water molar flow to the HE3 Out temperature	54
D	The overall fuel processor system condition	55

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

This study is mainly about general simulation of hydrogen (H_2) production for fuel cell application using butane as a raw material. The H_2 produced will be used in fuel-cell-powered vehicle that is known for its clean and recyclable fuel. In fact H_2 is the most abundant element that makes up over 90 percent of the matter of universe. When it is used as fuel, it will only produce water. It is such a good alternative to use H_2 as a fuel because of its colourless, odourless and extremely flammable gas and also the smallest and simplest member of the family of chemical elements compared with other fuel such as hydrocarbons, alcohols, or coals (Kothari et al., 2004).

Moreover, no side products are involved in the electrochemical conversions of H_2 with zero emission of hazardous species for instances volatile organic compound (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and carbon oxides (CO) (Darwish et al., 2004). The main goal of this study is to generate H_2 production on-board the vehicle from hydrocarbon feedstock. It is better than having H_2 in pressurized vessel or in cryogenic state for the reason that safety will be the major concern. Therefore, on-board conversion of butane into H_2 through an efficient and compact fuel processor is the main reason of this study.

1.2 Problem Statement

A simulation of butane reforming is scarce in the recent study for that reason a general simulation an optimization study of hydrogen production plant had been carried out. The aims of the study are to study preliminary design parameters for fuel cell processor, and investigate the H₂ production efficiency by using butane as a raw material and to clean carbon monoxide (CO) that produced prior to combustion and steam reforming reactions.

1.3 Objective and Scopes of the Study

The objective of this study is to develop a general steady-state simulation of H₂ production plant for fuel cell application using butane as the feedstock. In order to achieve the objective, scopes as shown below have been planned.

- i. Stoichiometry mathematical calculation by using overall reaction to calculate inputs and outputs flow rate for fuel processor.
- ii. Base case steady-state simulation was conducted in a selected simulator. In this scope, the data and reactions occurred in the autothermal reforming (ATR) reactor was set.
- iii. Base case simulation validation was carried out. The purpose of this scope was to certify the overall reactions of ATR by distinguishing between calculated outputs composition and steady-state simulation outputs composition.
- iv. Heat integration process was made to heat up the raw material to 100°C before entering the ATR reactor. The design of heat integration could also minimize the heating requirement.

- v. CO clean-up processes which contains water gas shift (WGS) and preferential oxidation (PrOx) reactors.
- vi. Plant wide optimization where high H₂ generation as well as low CO concentration is needed for better hydrogen processor efficiency.

1.4 Thesis Organization

This thesis consists of 6 chapters. In Chapter 1, the introduction of the study is briefly discussed. While in Chapter 2 literature reviews from various sources are deeply understood to provide correct information prior to the simulation basis. Next is chapter 3 where the methodology of carrying the study is explained briefly. In addition to Chapter 3, Chapter 4 has more information about the study's requirements. Chapter 5 has the results and discussion of the simulation that indicate how the scopes of the study are achieved. To summarize this study, Chapter 6 was done to conclude the study and some recommendations were made.

REFERENCES

- Aartun, I., Gjervan, T., Venvik, H., Görke, O., Pfeifer, P., Fathi, M., Holmena, A. and Schubert, K. (2004). "Catalytic Conversion of Propane to Hydrogen in Microstructured Reactors." *Chemical Engineering Journal*. **101**. 93–99.
- Ahmet, K., Gamman, J. and Foger, K. (2002). "Demonstration of LPG-fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Systems." *Solid State Ionics*. **152-153**. 485-492.
- Aspen Tech. Aspen HYSYS 2004.1 Documentation.
- Avci, A. K., Trimm, D. L. Aksoylu, A. E. and Onsan, Z. I. (2004). "Hydrogen Production by Steam Reforming of N-butane over Supported Ni and Pt-Ni Catalysts." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **258**. 235-240.
- Basile, A., Gallucci, F. and Paturzo, L. (2005). "Hydrogen Production from Methanol by Oxidative Steam Reforming Carried out in a Membrane Reactor." *Catalysis Today*. **104**. 251-259.
- Caglayan, B. S., Avci, A. K., Onsan, Z. I. and Aksoylu, A. E. (2005). "Production of Hydrogen over Bimetallic Pt–Ni/d-Al₂O₃ I. Indirect Partial Oxidation of Propane." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **280**. 181–188.
- Chin, S. Y., Chin, Y. H. and Amiridis, M. D. (2006). "Hydrogen Production via the Catalytic Cracking of Ethane over Ni/SiO₂ Catalysts." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **300**. 8-13.
- Choudhary, T. V., Sivadinarayana, C., Chusuei, C. C., Klinghoffer, A. and Goodman, D. W. (2001). "Hydrogen Production via Catalytic Decomposition of Methane." *Journal of Catalysis*. **199**. 9-18.
- Cipiti, F., Recupero, V. Pino, L., Vita, A. and Lagan, M. (2006). "Experimental Analysis of a 2kWe LPG-based Fuel Processor for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells." *Journal of Power Sources*. **157**. 914-920.
- Comas, J., Laborde, M. and Amadeo, N. (2004). "Thermodynamic Analysis of Hydrogen Production from Ethanol using CaO as a CO₂ Sorbent." *Journal of Power Sources*. **138**. 61-67.

- Darwish, N. A., Hilal, N., Versteeg, G. and Heesink, B. (2004). "Feasibility of the Direct Generation of Hydrogen for Fuel-cell-powered Vehicles On-board Steam Reforming of Naphtha." *Fuel*. **83**. 409-417.
- Dong, W. S., Roh, H. S., Liu, Z. W., Jun, K. W. and Park, S. E. (2001). "Hydrogen Production from Methane Reforming Reactions over Ni/MgO Catalyst." *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **22, No. 12**. 1323-1327.
- Ersoz, A., Olgun, H., Ozdogan, S., Gungor, C., Akgun, F. and Tiris, M. (2003). "Autothermal Reforming as a Hydrocarbon Fuel Processing Option for PEM Fuel Cell." *Power Sources*. **118**. 384-392.
- Fraser, S.D., Monsberger, M. and Hacker, V. (2006). "A Thermodynamic Analysis of the Reformer Sponge Iron Cycle." *Journal of Power Sources*. **161**. 420-431.
- Galvita, V. and Sunmacher K. (2005) "Hydrogen Production from Methane by Steam Reforming in a Periodically Operated Two-layer Catalytic Reactor." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **289**. 121-127.
- Goula, M. A., Kontou, S. K. and Tsiakaras, P. E. (2004). "Hydrogen Production by Ethanol Steam Reforming over a Commercial Pd/ γ -Al₂O₃ Catalyst." *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. **49**. 135-144.
- Junge, H. and Beller, M. (2005). "Ruthenium-catalyzed Generation of Hydrogen from Iso-propanol." *Tetrahedron Letters*. **46**. 1031-1034.
- Karagiannakis, G., Kokkofitis, C., Zisekas, S. and Stoukides, M. (2005). "Catalytic and Electrocatalytic Production of H₂ from Propane Decomposition over Pt and Pd in a Proton-conducting Membrane-reactor." *Catalysis Today*. **104**. 219-224.
- Kothari, R., Buddhi, D. and Sawhney, R. L. (2004). "Sources and Technology for Hydrogen Production: a Review." *Int. J. Global Energy*. **21, Nos. 1/2**. 154-178.
- Lattner, J. R. and Harold, M. P. (2004). "Comparison of Conventional and Membrane Reactor Fuel Processors for Hydrocarbon-based PEM Fuel Cell Systems." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **29**. 393-417.
- Lin, A. T., Chen, Y. H., Yu, C. C., Liu, Y. C. and Lee, C. H. (2006). "Dynamic Modeling and Control Structure Design of an Experimental Fuel Processor." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **31**. 413-426.

- Liu, S., Takahashi, K., Fuchigami, K. and Uematsu, K. (2006). "Hydrogen Production by Oxidative Methanol Reforming on Pd/ZnO: Catalyst Deactivation." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **299**. 58-65.
- Mattos, L. V. and Noronha, F.B., (2005). "Hydrogen Production for Fuel Cell Applications by Ethanol Partial Oxidation on Pt/CeO₂ Catalysts: The Effect of the Reaction Conditions and Reaction Mechanism." *Journal of Catalysis*. **233**. 453-463.
- Minutillo, M. (2005). "On-board Fuel Processor Modelling for Hydrogen-enriched Gasoline Fuelled Engine." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 1483-1490.
- Mizuno, T., Matsumura, Y., Nakajima, T. and Mishima, S. (2003). "Effect of Support on Catalytic Properties of Rh Catalysts for Steam Reforming of 2-Propanol." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **28**. 1393-1399.
- Nakagawa, K., Mikka, N. G. and Ando, T. (2005). "Hydrogen Production from Methane for Fuel Cell Using Oxidized Diamond-supported Catalysts." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 201-207.
- Otsuka, K., Shigeta, Y. and Takenaka, S. (2002). "Production of Hydrogen from Gasoline Range Alkanes with Reduced CO₂ Emission." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **27**. 11-18.
- Recupero, V., Pino, L., Vita, A., Cipiti, F., Cordaro, M. and Lagane, M. (2005). "Development of a LPG Fuel Cell Processor for PEFC Systems: Laboratory Scale Evaluation of Autothermal Reforming and Preferential Oxidation Subunits." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 963-971.
- Soo, Y. C., Chin, Y. H. and Amiridis, M. D. (2006). "Hydrogen Production via the Catalytic Cracking of Ethane over Ni/SiO₂." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **300**. 8-13.
- Suzuki, T., Iwanami, H. and Yoshinari, T. (2000). "Steam Reforming of Kerosene on Ru/Al₂O₃ Catalyst to Yield Hydrogen." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **25**. 119-126.
- Steinberg, M. (2006). "Conversion of Fossil and Biomass Fuel to Electric Power and transportation Fuel by high Efficiency Integrated Plasma Fuel Cell (IPFC) Energy Cycle." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **31**. 405-411.

- Wang, Y. N. and Rodrigues, A. E. (2005) "Hydrogen Production from Steam Methane Reforming Coupled with in situ CO₂ Capture: Conceptual Parametric Study." *Fuel*. **84**. 1778-1789.
- Xu, Y., Kameoka, S., Kishida, K. Demura, M., Tsai, A. and Hirano, T. (2005). "Catalytic Properties of Alkali-leached Ni₃Al for Hydrogen Production from Methanol." *Intermetallics*. **13**. 151-155.
- Ye, Y., Liisa, R. S., Munder, B. and Sundmacher, K. (2005). "Partial Oxidation of N-butane in a Solid Electrolyte Membrane Reactor: Periodic and Steady-state Operations." *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **285**. 86-95.