# DEVELOPMENT OF MOBILE MAPPING SYSTEM USING GPS AND PHOTOGRAMMETRY TECHNIQUE

#### Shahrum Ses, Anuar Ahmad, and Wong Chee Siang Tony

## Department of Geomatic Enginnering, Faculty of Geoinformation Science & Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, JOHOR E-Mail: tonywcs@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

The development of Mobile Mapping System (MMS) is still at initial stage in Malaysia. The research in MMS has been carried out quite awhile since the first prototype introduced by the Center of Mapping at The Ohio State University (Bossler et.al., 1991). This system integrates some navigation sensor such as Global Positioning System (GPS), inertial navigation system (INS) and mapping sensor such as Charge Coupled Device (CCD) camera. The strength of the MMS lays in their ability to directly georeferenced their mapping sensor by GPS. A mapping sensor is georeferenced, the mapping sensor can be used to determine the position of object points bases on the digital image in the same mapping coordinate frame. This research concentrates on georeferencing the geographic and topographic features and updating a GIS (Geographic Information System) base map using consumer digital camera and GPS positioning technique. The GPS provides the position of the camera and the images from the camera are used to determine the geodetic position of the object points using PhotoModeler software and developed program.

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The last two decades have shown an increased trend in the use of GPS technology in several applications in Malaysia which included land vehicles and automated car navigation, GPS-equipped KLIA limousines, land and marine survey job which currently applied in private sector and government sector such as the Department of Surveying and Mapping Malaysia, deformation monitoring and others.

The development of Mobile Mapping System (MMS) is still at initial stage in Malaysia. The research in MMS has been carried out quite awhile since the first prototype introduced by the Center of Mapping at The Ohio State University (Bossler et.al.,1991). This system integrates some navigation sensor such as Global Positioning System (GPS), inertial navigation system (INS) and mapping sensor such as Charge Coupled Device (CCD) camera.

The strength of the MMS lays in their ability to directly georeferenced their mapping sensor (i.e *digital camera*) by GPS. A mapping sensor is georeferenced when its position and orientation relative to a known mapping coordinate frame. Once georeferenced, the mapping sensor can be used to determine the positions of points external bases on the digital image in the same mapping coordinate frame.

This research is concentrates on mapping the geographic and topography features and updating map using a consumer digital camera and GPS positioning technique. The GPS provides the position of the digital camera and the images from the digital camera are used to determine the positions of the object of interest.

## 2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

The mobile mapping technology has been developed since 1980's. The development of the mobile mapping system became possible due to the availability of GPS signal to the civilian community.

During the past year, tremendous advances have taken place in GPS technology especially the satellite receiver, data collection hardware and field data collection software. The autonomous GPS accuracy has been improved, the data collectors have become smaller, lighter, and less expensive. The GPS and GIS software has become cheaper and easier to learn. All of these advancements have made the GPS/GIS data collection tasks easier, faster and more economical.

Each GPS satellite transmits signal on two frequencies: L1 (1575.42 Mhz) and L2 (1227.60 Mhz). The L1 frequency contains the civilian Coarse Acquisition (C/A) Code as well as the military Precise (P) Code. The L2 frequency contains only P code. The P code is encrypted by the military- using a technique known as anti-spoofing and is only available to authorized personnel. The encrypted P code is referred to as the Y code. Civilian GPS receivers use the C/A code on the L1 frequency to compute positions, although high-end survey grade civilian receivers use the L1 and L2 frequencies' carrier waves directly. Military GPS receivers use the P (Y) Code on the both L1 and L2 frequencies to compute positions.

GPS receivers need at least three satellites for computation. Satellites position computation method is called triliteration. This position is accurate from about 10 to 15 meters. Now that selective availability, an intentional degradation of the satellites signals, has been turned off down to centimeters or less, depending on equipment and conditions.

It is important to understand that there are some amounts of uncertainties or errors, inherent in these positions. A number of factors that contribute to these errors, which included satellite clock drift, atmospheric conditions, measurement noise and multi-path. In addition, due to the satellite geometry, vertical accuracy (i.e elevation) is generally one and a half to three times worse than horizontal accuracy.

For close range photogrammetry, due to recent advances in micro-electronics and semiconductor technology, photogrammetry in general has received a substantial push forward towards the fully digital domain. The development of new sensors, such as solid state camera, and more powerful computer hardware has opened new technologies and fields of application. Hybrid and fully digital acquisition and processing system have triggered much interest among photogrammetrist since the 15<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Photogrammetry has matured to the extent that it can now serve as a precise and reliable technique for non-contact three-dimensional measurement. The ease and speed of data acquisition, the inherent on-line and even real time capabilities, the high degree of automation and the adaptability to varying the requests have made it a viable measurement tool for a great number of different applications in science, art and industry. (Akinson,1996)

The position of a point in space is commonly defined by a three dimensional Cartesian coordinate system, the origin, scale and orientation of which can be arbitrarily defined. It is often necessary to convert between coordinates in systems having different origins, orientations and possibly scale (Akinson,1996). In other words, it is needed to define coordinate of points with reference to a coordinate datum related to features on the object itself, it may then necessary to define the points to a new datum.

MMS is capable of observing the objects at closer range, thus giving greater details. Land-based MMS uses digital cameras as imaging sensors. This was possible because of the much smaller camera-to-object distance in land-based MMS when compared to air-borne systems. The poor resolution of CCD chips

meant that they could not used in aerial applications without noticeable accuracy degradation (El-Sheimy,1999). The use of digital camera is advantageous because they eliminate the requirement to scan photographs. Consequently they substantially reduce the period from raw data collection to extracted data dissemination.

MMS integrates navigation sensors and algorithms together with sensors that can be used to determine the positions of points remotely. All the sensors are rigidly mounted together on a platform. The navigation sensors are used to determine the position and orientation of the platform and the algorithms determine the position of points external to the platform. The sensors that are used for remote position determination are predominantly photographic sensors and thus they are typically referred to as imaging sensor (El-Shemy, 1999).

Land vehicle based mobile mapping systems result in, among others, a) close distances between the systems and the objects to be surveyed, b) no ground control and no triangulations across images exposed at different time, and c) completely digital processing. These systems are designed mainly for mapping purposes. Different approaches to the system design and implementation have been used. (R.Li,1999)

The strength of MMS lays in their ability to directly georeference their mapping sensors. A mapping sensor is georeference when its position and orientation relative to mapping coordinate frame is known. Once georeferenced, the mapping sensor can be used to determine the position of points external to the platform in the same mapping coordinate frame. The MMS is fundamentally different from the traditional indirect georeferencing where the position and orientation of the platform are determined using measurements made to control points. These control points are established through a field survey prior to or after the data acquisition. This is typically time consuming.(Joao Fernandos,C.Da Silva 2003)

The system operation can be divided into three components: raw data acquisition, georeferencing of the digital images, and 3D feature extraction. Data acquisition begins by supplying power to the system while it is stationary. The IMU uses this time to "warm up", while the GPS receiver uses this time to resolve integer ambiguities. After this warm up period, which lasts several minutes, it's then free to capture images of points or objects of interest - ensuring that each point or feature of interest is captured in at least two images.

Once the data collection is completed, the images and navigation data from the INS and GPS sensor are downloaded to a personal computer. Then the INS and GPS data is processed and the digital images are georeferenced using particular software. Finally, using photogrammetric principles, two or more georeferenced digital images, 2D and 3D positions of any point or object that is visible in two or more images can be determined.

## **3.0 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The conventional method of mapping, such as aerial-photo interpretation and field surveys are coupled with the use of different remote sensing method and all the data are integrated within a GIS environment. Limitation of traditional land-based surveying systems is the necessity to occupy each point of interest. The analysis of remote sensing data regards both optical and radar images. The processing of these conventional methods is more difficult, time consuming and cost higher. The use of digital camera is advantageous because it eliminates the requirement to scan photographs and it would substantially reduce the period from raw data collection to extracted data dissemination.

In MMS, direct georeferencing is done by using GPS and INS to determine the camera position and orientation. This is fundamentally different from traditional indirect georeferencing where the position and orientation of the platform are determined using measurements made to control points.

These control points are established through a field survey prior to or after data acquisition, and their establishment is typically expensive and time-consuming. Therefore, eliminating this step results in obvious decrease in both the cost and time requirements for data collection. The task of establishing ground control is additionally complicated since its cost and time requirement are frequently difficult to estimate. Also, for many terrestrial surveys the establishment of sufficient ground control is virtually impossible, for example; consider the control requirements to map an entire city using close range photogrammetry. The establishments of these ground controls are not practical unless direct georeferencing is performed. Figure 1 below shows the integration between GPS and photogrammetry in mobile mapping.



Figure 1: Mobile Mapping System

The final output is easily integrated with the Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Cartography and GIS have increased their presence in the modern days. This new situation requires spatial databases. Modern data requirements necessitate distinct solutions for acquisition of spatial information. The growing use of GIS technology and the consequent necessity to update information increases the importance of an environment to handle spatial data and information that will be even more demanding. An efficient electronic deposit of a large quantity of road images obtained by the mobile system is envisaged, whereby an image database is required to manage growing volume of data and images (Joao Fernando et al., 2003).

## 4.0 SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

The GPS antenna and camera are mounted on a roof rack of a van. The two GPS antenna are set parallel to 1 side of the vehicle to define the position and azimuth. The camera is located in the extension of the antenna. Figure 2 shows the system design.

In this study, the instrumentation used includes a digital camera (Kodak DC290) and Trimble GPS receiver. Also, a photogrammetry software for the close range photogrammetry known as PhotoModeler

will be used. Matlab will be use for computer programming. Figure 3 shows the digital camera, GPS receiver, Photomodeler and Matlab.



Figure 2: System Design



Figure 3: Photomodeler, digital camera, Trimble Receiver and Matlab

#### 4.1 Mapping sensor

Kodak DC290 zoom digital camera will be used in this research. The resolution of the camera is 1792 x 2100 mega pixels.

## 4.2 GPS Positioning

GPS Trimble 4800 is use in determine the position of the camera station. Stop And GO technique is applied to shorten the field measurement period. The data is process using TGO (Trimble Geomatic Office).

## 4.3 PhotoModeler

PhotoModeler is one of the close range photogrammetry software produces by Eos System. It is a windows program which is good in extract measurements and 3D models from photographs. By using a camera as an input device, the mapping scenes are captured. It then organizes the model building process as trace over photos on the screen.

To use PhotoModeler, one or more photographs of a scene or an object are taken. The photographs are displayed on screen and each photograph is mark with the mouse, tracing and tagging features of interest. PhotoModeler then combines the data and locates the marked features in three dimensions. The result is a 3D model that can be transferred to any graphics or CAD program. In this research, Photomodeler is used to determine the object position in local coordinate system.

#### 4.4 Matlab

MATLAB is a high-level technical computing language and interactive environment for algorithm development, data visualization, data analysis, and numerical computation. It can also display information graphically. The photographs apply with photo coordinates are process using collinearity equation with MATLAB. The outputs of the program are object coordinates of the selected mapping object.

#### 5.0 CAMERA CALIBRATION

Before carrying out survey on sites, the camera calibration has to be performed. Camera calibration is the process of determining the characteristic of a camera so it can be used as a measurement device. Figure 4 shows the calibration grid provided in PhotoModeler. To obtain the required data of camera calibration, six or more photographs taken from different angles of a dense point grid are needed. Figure 5, shows the photographs of the grid taken at different positions.

| ٠ | •  | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | •   | • |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|
| ٠ |    | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | Г   | • |
| • | ٠  | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | •   | • |
| ٠ | •  | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠   | • |
| • | •  | • | • | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠   | • |
| ٠ | ٠  | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | •   | • |
| • | •  | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠   | • |
| ٠ | •  | • | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠   | ٠ |
| • | ÷. | • | • | • | • | • | • | fry | • |
| ٠ | •  | ٠ | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | •   | • |

**Figure 4: Calibration grid** 



Figure 5: Photograph taken at different position

The purpose of camera calibration is to determine numerical estimates of the interior orientation parameter of the implemented camera. The interior orientation parameter comprises the focal length(c), location of the principle point (xp,yp) and lens distortion (K1,K2,K3,P1,P2). Figure 6 shows the information of the calibrated camera.

| Camera Information    |              | X                         |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Camera Name: 🖡        | todak de290  |                           |
| Focal Length:         | 6.0832       | mm                        |
| Format Size W:        | 5.6265       | H: 3.7700 mm              |
| Principal Point X:    | 2.7594       | Y: 1.7798 mm              |
| Lens Distortion K1: 2 | 2.630e-003   | P1: 7.783e-005            |
| К2: 🗗                 | 6.168e-005   | P2: -2.142e-004           |
| КЗ: 🛛                 | ).000e+000   |                           |
| Image Size: 1792      | x 1200       | Set from file             |
| Fiducial type:        | No Fiducials | -                         |
| Modify                | iducials:    | mm                        |
| Calibrated: yes       | 🗖 Make       | e copy for Inverse Camera |
| ОК                    | Cancel       | Help                      |

**Figure 6: Camera Information** 

## 6.0 MATHEMATICAL PROCESSING

The object coordinate is established by the collinearity equation. In accordance to the collinearity condition, every object point, its image and the projection center, should belong to a straight line. A distortion in the image signifies that there is a deviation from collinearity. The collinearity equation, which define the relationship between the image and ground coordinates of a point in the image are as follow:

$$F_{x} = x_{i} - x_{0} + f \frac{m_{11}(X_{i} - X_{0}) + m_{12}(Z_{i} - Z_{0})}{m_{31}(X_{i} - X_{0}) + m_{3}(Z_{i} - Z_{0})} + \frac{m_{13}(Y_{i} - Y)}{m_{33}(Y_{i} - Y_{0})} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{y} = y_{i} - y_{0} &+ f \frac{m_{11}(X_{i} - X_{0}) + m_{12}(Z_{i} - Z_{0})}{m_{31}(X_{i} - X_{n}) + m_{n}(Z_{n} - Z_{n})} + m_{11} \frac{(Y_{i} - Y)}{m_{nn}(Y_{i} - Y_{0})} = 0 \\ m_{11} &= \cos \phi \cos \kappa \\ m_{12} &= \sin \omega \sin \phi \cos \kappa + \cos \omega \sin \kappa \\ m_{13} &= -\cos \omega \sin \phi \cos \kappa + \sin \omega \sin \kappa \\ m_{21} &= -\cos \phi \sin \kappa \\ m_{22} &= -\sin \omega \sin \phi \sin \kappa + \cos \omega \cos \kappa \\ m_{23} &= \cos \omega \sin \phi \sin \kappa + \sin \omega \cos \kappa \\ m_{31} &= \sin \phi \\ m_{32} &= -\sin \omega \cos \phi \\ m_{33} &= \cos \omega \cos \phi \\ m_{33} &= \cos \omega \cos \phi \\ xi, yi &= image \text{ coordinates of points} \\ xo, yo &= \text{ principle points} \\ f &= \text{ focal length} \\ Xo, Yo, Zo &= \text{ camera positions} \\ Xi, Yi, Zi &= \text{geodetic coordinate of object point} \end{aligned}$$

#### 6.1 Exterior Orientation

The exterior orientation consist of camera positions (Xc,Yc,Zc) and the exterior orientations of the camera, which are rotation through x-axis (omega, $\omega$ ), rotation through y-axis (phi, $\phi$ ), rotation through z-axis (kappa, $\kappa$ ). The camera position is determined by GPS and checking with the processed camera's coordinates in PhotoModeler, the camera orientation parameters ( $\omega$ , $\phi$ , $\kappa$ ) are determined using the PhotoModeler.

Figure 7 shows the exterior orientation of the camera can be retrieved after processing the photographs in PhotoModeler. Figure 8 shows the relations between object points and camera positions in 3D model.

| 🖬 Photo  | Table       |              |              |              |              |            |              |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| CameraS  | tation 💌    | Configure    | Close        |              |              |            |              |
| Properti | Export      | Help         |              |              |              |            |              |
| Photo 🛆  | Description | Center X (m) | Center Y (m) | Center Z (m) | Omega (deg.) | Phi (deg.) | Kappa (deg.) |
| 1        | p0003276    | 626919.23    | 172520.265   | 35.6         | 99.606816    | -3.862796  | 0.319833     |
| 2        | p0003277    | 626909.8032  | 172521.07576 | 35.272304    | 106.322253   | -33.629443 | 6.923125     |
| 3        | p0003278    | 626932.9665  | 172522.0142  | 35.889796    | 105.089829   | 34.382877  | -6.179192    |
| 5        | P0003250    | 626919.4576  | 172520.2789  | 35.62432     | 102.559417   | -14.123419 | 0.196709     |
| 6        | P0003251    | 626919.4260  | 172520.3318  | 35.537712    | 110.055688   | -15.666979 | 0.417708     |

Figure 7: The exterior orientation of the camera



Figure 8: The relations between object points and camera positions

# 7.0 SIMULATION TEST 1

A simulation test has been conducted, aiming to determine the accuracy maybe reached at close range photogrammetry when using the exterior orientation parameter provided in PhotoModeler, GPS positioning and consumer camera Kodak DC290.

The simulation test located at building C05, Faculty of Geoinformation Science and Engineering, UTM. Two GPS stations have been established approximately 20m from the building. Then the building has been surveyed by a total station, which was set up at the known GPS station. On the building, eleven points have been surveyed using intersection method. The error of their location is within the range of +/-0.5cm. The same frontage has been survey photogrammetrically with digital camera Kodak DC290 at the established GPS stations. The main camera optical rays are approximately parallel and inclining towards the vertical plane.

The digital images were processed using PhotoModeler. The calibration with this software is done during the processing. For the purpose of this experiment, the orientation of the building has been processed by mean of three control points (3 Point). The coordinates of eleven geodetically determined checkpoints have been measured and compare with the ones, which have been process using 3 Point method in PhotoModeler. Figure 9 is the digital image that process with 3-Point method in PhotoModeler. The points in red are set as control points (Point 14,19,25).



Figure 9: Photo 1 processed with 3 Point method

The accuracy of the checkpoints is then compared. Table 1 shows the accuracy of some geodetic coordinate checkpoints that were processed using PhotoModeler. The processed z coordinate in PhotoModeler for point 28 and 29 are not satisfactory because of some bugs in the software that cause the changing of the coordinate-z in fix control Point 14 after the processing.

|       | Table 1: Accuracy Assessment Test 1 |            |        |                     |            |        |            |      |      |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|------------|------|------|
| Check | Geodetic Coordinate                 |            |        | Geodetic Coordinate |            |        | Differents |      |      |
| Point | (Total Station)                     |            |        | (PhotoModeler)      |            |        |            |      |      |
|       | (metres)                            |            |        | (metres)            |            |        | (metres)   |      |      |
|       | Х                                   | Y          | Z      | Х                   | У          | Z      | ∆dx        | ∆dy  | ∆dz  |
| 5     | 626908.564                          | 172535.477 | 39.935 | 626908.577          | 172535.499 | 39.926 | 0.01       | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 23    | 626911.743                          | 172537.322 | 39.914 | 626911.747          | 172537.347 | 39.923 | 0.004      | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 28    | 626908.573                          | 172535.496 | 43.311 | 626908.584          | 172535.486 | 43.653 | 0.01       | 0.01 | 0.3  |
| 29    | 626911.792                          | 172537.272 | 43.220 | 626911.770          | 172537.315 | 43.629 | 0.02       | 0.04 | 0.4  |

| Table 1: | Accuracy | Assessment ' | Test 1 |
|----------|----------|--------------|--------|
|----------|----------|--------------|--------|

#### 8.0 **SIMULATION TEST 2**

The second simulation test has been conducted in November 2004. This experiment was conducted aiming to determine the accuracy of the checkpoint in digital image without setting up the control points during processing with the developed program. The simulation test conducted in a room. Total Station used to measure the coordinate of the object on the wall. The photographs were taken in stereo. The distance between the object and camera station is approximately 3m. Figure 13 shows the left image and figure 14 shows the right image. Both images are capture in stereo.



Figure 10: Simulation Test 2



Figure 11: Left image



Figure12: Right image

Table 2 shows the accuracy of the point coordinates which processed with develop program. The results are satisfactory with no control point setting up in the digital image. The biggest different vector is Point 4, which is 0.27m. Vector for Point 2 and 3 are about 0.15m.

|                | 1.                                     | 510 = 1 1100 al | ssinene rese |  |        | 5    |            |      |      |
|----------------|--|-----------------|--------------|--|--------|------|------------|------|------|
| Check<br>Point | Geodetic Coordinate<br>(Total Station) |                 |              | Geodetic Coordinate<br>(Develop MMS Program) |        |      | Differents |      |      |
|                | (metres)                               |                 |              | (metres)                                     |        |      | (metres)   |      |      |
|                | Х                                      | Y               | Z            | Х  | у      | Z    | ∆dx        | ∆dy  | ∆dz  |
| P2             | 100.973                                | 102.67          | 1.56         | 100.89                                       | 102.46 | 1.73 | 0.08       | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| P3             | 100.973                                | 102.66          | 1.26         | 100.90                                       | 102.6  | 1.32 | 0.07       | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| P4             | 100.556                                | 102.66          | 1.26         | 100.38                                       | 102.46 | 1.31 | 0.17       | 0.21 | 0.04 |

| Table | 2. | Accuracy | Assessment | Test 2  | usino | develo | ned i | nragram |
|-------|----|----------|------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| 1 ant | 4. | necuracy | rescontent | I USU Z | using | ucveio | Juu   | program |

The simulation test 1 photographs are processed using the developed program. Table 3 shows the accuracy assessment of the points coordinates.

|       | 1 a                 | DIC J . ACCUI | ssment restri | ent rest rusing ueveloped program |                     |        |          |            |      |  |
|-------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|------------|------|--|
| Check | Geodetic Coordinate |               |               | Geod                              | Geodetic Coordinate |        |          | Differents |      |  |
| Point | (Total Station)     |               |               | (PhotoModeler)                    |                     |        |          |            |      |  |
|       | (metres)            |               |               | (metres)                          |                     |        | (metres) |            |      |  |
|       | Х                   | Y             | Z             | Х                                 | у                   | Z      | ∆dx      | ∆dy        | ∆dz  |  |
| 7     | 626905.393          | 172533.633    | 39.931        | 626913.446                        | 172529.297          | 34.6   | -8.05    | -6.6       | 5.33 |  |
| 4     | 626914.898          | 172539.158    | 43.157        | 626919.384                        | 172527.288          | 30.922 | -4.48    | 11.8       | 12.2 |  |
| 28    | 626908.573          | 172535.496    | 43.311        | 626920.37                         | 172527.416          | 32.8   | -18.8    | 8.08       | 10.5 |  |
| 3     | 626914.898          | 172539.158    | 43.157        | 626917.085                        | 172529.100          | 35.263 | -2.1     | 10.0       | 7.89 |  |

Table 3 : Accuracy Assessment Test 1 using developed program

## 9.0 ANALYSIS

The different between the two simulation tests are, test 2 was conducted in stereo-pair at the time captured the photograph and the data are processed using the developed program in MatLab. The results of the two test show big different in accuracy where the data process using Photomodeler with control point showed better accuracy compared to data of the simulation test 2. Test 2 was processed without control points in the photo using the developed program. The result of the 20meters (Simulation Test 1) are bad if compare to the 3meters length (Simulation Test 2). Therefore, distance between the camera and object affect the accuracy of the object points.

## **10.0 SIMULATION TEST 3**

The third simulation test has been conducted on March2005, aiming to determine the effectiveness of PhotoModeler to provide accurate objects coordinate for longer distance. The field procedures are same like the simulation tests before. The ground coordinates are determined with two known point and the building are surveyed with total station. This simulation test is conducted in different length start with 5meters, 10meters, 15 meters and 20 meters between the camera and object (building).Local coordinate systems need to establish in photograph processing because no physical ground control point are established during the field procedure. The local coordinate are then transform to real coordinate system through the developed 2D transformation program.

| Photo: 2 (1:3) :<br>85 86 87 | P0003634 : oriented  | <sup>3</sup> 95 <sup>2</sup> 96 |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 81 71                        | 72 P33 4 7 8 72 76 83<br>70 P1 1 2 5 P2.6 73 74 84   | 75 79<br>77 80                  |
|                              | 3D Scale and Rotation  |                                 |
|                              | Point 1         Point 2         Point 3           X:         1000.0000 m         1002.3000 m         1000.0000 m           Y:         1000.0000 m         1000.0000 m         1000.0000 m           Z:         1000.0000 m         1000.0000 m         1000.8500 m |                                 |
|                              | Define         Define         Define           OK         Cancel         Help  | 4/28/2005                       |

Figure 13: Set up Local Coordinate System

# 11.0 ANALYSIS

Tables below show the accuracy assessment of the simulation test in 5meters to 20 meters. P1, P2 and P3 are the object points in the photograph.

| Table 4: Accuracy Assessment in | 1 5meters |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|

| Check | Geodetic Coordinate |         | Geodetic (     | Coordinate    | Different           |                     |      |  |
|-------|---------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|--|
| Point |                     |         | (Integrate Pho | toModeler and |                     |                     |      |  |
|       |                     |         | developed      | l program)    |                     |                     |      |  |
|       | Х                   | Y       | Х              | Y             | $\Delta \mathbf{X}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{Y}$ | ΔΧΥ  |  |
| P1    | 1000.31             | 1004.77 | 1000.29        | 1004.99       | 0.02                | -0.22               | 0.22 |  |
| P2    | 1002.60             | 1004.44 | 1002.71        | 1004.66       | -0.09               | -0.22               | 0.24 |  |
| P3    | 1001.23             | 1004.63 | 1001.23        | 1004.87       | 0.00                | -0.24               | 0.24 |  |

| Tabl | e 5 : Accuracy | Assessment in | 10meters |
|------|----------------|---------------|----------|
|      |                |               |          |

| Check | Geodetic Coordinate |         | Geodetic Coordinate         |         | Different           |                     |      |
|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Point |                     |         | (Integrate PhotoModeler and |         |                     |                     |      |
|       |                     |         | developed program)          |         |                     |                     |      |
|       | Х                   | Y       | Х                           | Y       | $\Delta \mathbf{X}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{Y}$ | ΔΧΥ  |
| P1    | 1000.31             | 1004.77 | 1000.51                     | 1004.88 | -0.14               | -0.11               | 0.17 |
| P2    | 1002.60             | 1004.44 | 1002.81                     | 1004.48 | -0.19               | -0.05               | 0.24 |
| P3    | 1001.23             | 1004.63 | 1001.42                     | 1004.74 | 0.26                | -0.1                | 0.27 |

| Check | Geodetic Coordinate |         | Geodetic Coordinate         |          | Different           |                     |      |
|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Point |                     |         | (Integrate PhotoModeler and |          |                     |                     |      |
|       |                     |         | developed program)          |          |                     |                     |      |
|       | Х                   | Y       | Х                           | Y        | $\Delta \mathbf{X}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{Y}$ | ΔΧΥ  |
| P1    | 1000.31             | 1004.77 | 1000.168                    | 1003.807 | 0.2                 | 0.96                | 0.98 |
| P2    | 1002.60             | 1004.44 | 1002.32                     | 1003.5   | 0.29                | 0.96                | 0.97 |
| P3    | 1001.23             | 1004.63 | 1001.01                     | 1003.69  | 0.67                | 0.95                | 1.16 |

#### Table 6 : Accuracy Assessment in 15meters

| Check | Geodetic Coordinate |         | Geodetic Coordinate         |         | Different           |                     |             |
|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Point |                     |         | (Integrate PhotoModeler and |         |                     |                     |             |
|       |                     |         | developed program)          |         |                     |                     |             |
|       | Х                   | Y       | Х                           | Y       | $\Delta \mathbf{X}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{Y}$ | $\Delta XY$ |
| P1    | 1000.31             | 1004.77 | 1000.655                    | 1005.95 | -0.28               | -1.18               | 1.21        |
| P2    | 1002.60             | 1004.44 | 1003.079                    | 1005.53 | -0.66               | -1.105              | 1.19        |
| P3    | 1001.23             | 1004.63 | 1001.609                    | 1005.79 | -0.07               | -1.049              | 1.15        |

#### Table 7 : Accuracy Assessment in 20meters

The results show that the greater the distance between the camera and object, the lower the accuracy can be obtained. The initial setup of this mobile mapping system is, captured the photograph within 10meters between the camera and object. Some processing technique that can minimized the errors and maximized the measurement accuracy is to ensure that the well calibrated camera is used for MMS, maximize the number of photographs that each point is marked on and all the points appeared on three or more photographs, and ensure the point or line marking are precise on the photograph.

## 12.0 CONCLUSION

The research is on going and concentrates in developed the mobile mapping system. There are still many uncertainties in the program that need to be solved and determined. Some aspect that need to be evaluated and examined include the true value of the exterior orientation of the camera for each photograph (in field survey), the calibration parameters, the image coordinates, the accuracy of the GPS positioning, technical problem and others. These uncertainties and unknown parameters will be figure out as more experiment and simulation test will be conducted. The outcome of this research is hoped to be useful and could be implemented in Malaysia.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Atkinson, K.B. (1996). *Close Range Photogrammetry and Machine Vision*. Department of Photogrammetry and Surveying, University College London.
- 2. Bossler, J.D., Goad, C.C. and Johnson, P.C. and Novak, K. (1991). *GPS and GIS map the nation's highway*. Geo Info Systems.
- 3. Corumluoglu,O. et al. (2003). GPS Virtual Station Technique (GPSSIT) and Its Challenge In Terrestrial Photogrammetric Application. Selcuk University, Turkey
- 4. Manandhar, D. (2000). *Georeferencing of Multi Sensor Range Data for Vehicle Born Laser Mapping System (VLMS)* ACRS2000, The University Of Tokyo
- 5. *ESRI Corporation ( 2001)* .ArcUser: *The Mag. For ESRI Software Users*. United States of America
- 6. Ellum, C.M. and El-Sheimy, N. (2002). *Land Based Mobile Mapping Systems*. Photogrammetry Engineering & Remote Sensing, 68(1):13, 15-17 and 28
- 7. El-Sheimy, N. (1996). *The Development of VISAT-A Mobile Survey System for GIS Applications*, UCGE Report #20101, Department of Geomatics Engineering, The University of Calgary, Canada.

- 8. El-Sheimy, N., Swartz, K.P. (2004). *Mobile Mapping System –State Of The Art and Future Trends*. Department of Geomatics Engineering, University of Calgary
- 9. Faizah Binti Bakri (2001). *Sistem Maklumat Tanah Taman Universiti: Pembangunan Pangkalan Data Sosio-ekonomi*, Faculty Geoinformation Science and Engineering. UTM. Bachelor Theses.
- 10. Fraser.C. (1997). *Digital Camera Self Calibration*, ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing, 52(1997):149-159.
- 11. Gilliéron P.Y et al. (2001). *Development of a low cost mobile mapping system for road data base management*, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Switzerland.
- 12. Gontran.H. (2000). A Mobile Mapping System For Road Data Capture via Single Camera, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Switzerland.
- 13. Gontran.H. (2003). *Photobus: Towards Real-Time Mobile Mapping*. Swiss Federal Institute of Technology.Switzerland.
- 14. GuanPing He. (2002). *Design And Application Of The GPS Vision Mobile Mapping System*, IAPRS, Volume XXXIV, Part2, COKKISSION II, Xi'an.
- 15. Habib A.F. (2000). *Quantitative Measures For The Evaluation Of Camera Stability*, University of Calgary
- 16. He, G., Novak, K. (1992). Automatic Analysis of Highway Features from Digital Stereo Images, International Archives of ISPRS, 29, B3: 119-124.
- 17. Jia Sheng-Ju (2003). Spatial Location on City 3D Modeling with Close Range Stereo Images, Tongji University Shanghai China.
- 18. Joao Fernando C.Da Silva (2003). Development Of A Low Cost Mobile Mapping System: A south American Experience. Photogrammetry Record 18(101)Mac2003, Sao Paulo State University
- 19. Leick, A.(1998). *GPS Satellite Surveying*. (Edit by John Wiley & Sons) Second Edition. New York ISBN 0471-30626-6. 560 p.
- 20. Li,R (1998). Detection and Location of Objects from Mobile Mapping Image Sequences by Hopfield Neural Networks, The Ohio State University
- 21. Li,R. (1999). *Mobile Mapping- An Emerging Technology For Spatial Data Acquisition*, The Ohio State University
- 22. Kasser.M, Egels.Y, (2002). *Digital Photogrammetry*. Taylor & Francis Inc, London EC4P 4EE.
- 23. Kazuya Aoyama, (2003). Efficient Calibration Of Amateur Digital Camera and Orientation For Photorammetric Application, Tokyo Denki University.
- 24. Leick, A., (1995). GPS Satellite Surveying (2nd edition), John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- 25. Margherita.F. (2003). A Low Cost MMS Integrating GPS, Digital Compass And A Camera To The Direct Georeferencing Of Digital Images, University di Salerno, Italy.
- 26. Meng X. (1999). Vehicle-borne Highway Geometric Alignments and Facilities Data Capture Using DGPS and GPS/GIS Integration, ION GPS'99,1667-16674
- 27. Moffitt F.H., (1982). *Photogrammetry*. University of California, Berkeley.
- 28. Mohd Nor Said (1999). *Pengenalan Kepada Sistem Geoinformasi*. Monograf Faculty Geoinformation Science and Engineering.Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
- 29. Non-topographic photogrammetry, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (1989). American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Falls Church, Virgina.
- 30. Ohdake.T et al. (2004). Development Of Integrated Photogrammetric System and Its Application To 3D Modeling. Tokyo Denki University, Japan.
- 31. Ooishi.T et al (2002). Development of Simple Mobile Mapping System for The Construction of Road Foundation Data. Kokusai Kogyo Co.,Ltd.
- 32. Rafael C.G., Richard E.W. and Steven L.E. (2004). *Digital Image Processing Using MatLab*, Upper Saddle River.
- 33. Seeber, G. and Wubbena, G. (1989). *Kinematic Positioning with Carrier Phases and On The Way' ambiguity resolution*. Proceedings of the Fifth International Geodetic Symposium on Satellite Positioning, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 13-17 March, pp. 600-609.
- 34. Seo, DongJu el at. (2002). Development Of Road Information System Using Digital Photogrammetry. Pukyong National University, Pusan, Korea.
- 35. Silva et al. (2003). *Development of a low cost mobile mapping system*, Photogrammetric Record, 18(101).