

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
BUSINESS FRAMEWORK AND
TECHNO-FINANCIAL MODEL FOR
BIOMASS POWER PLANTS**

IR. ABDUL MAULUD BIN ABDUL LATIF

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THE DEVELOPMENT OF A BUSINESS FRAMEWORK AND
TECHNO-FINANCIAL MODEL FOR
BIOMASS POWER PLANTS

IR. ABDUL MAULUD BIN ABDUL LATIF

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Engineering (Engineering Business Management)

Business and Advanced Technology Centre
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2005

DEDICATION

In unreserved dedication to my beloved wife, children and family. It is their understanding, patience, undying love and continuous support that have made this dissertation possible.

I also dedicate this dissertation to my (Allahyarham) mother and father for their unremitting guidance and upbringing, cultured forever with the never ending quest for knowledge and success.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this dissertation, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians and industrialists. They have made invaluable contributions towards my understanding of the subjects and the preparation of the dissertation.

In particular, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Professor Dr. Hamdani Saidi, my academic supervisor and Managing Director of Business and Advance Technology Centre (BATC), and Dr. Mohd Zamzam Jaafar, the Managing Director of Malaysia Transformer Manufacturing Sdn Bhd, my Industrial Supervisor, for their most invaluable contribution, guidance and advise that were of immeasurable benefit to the full completion of this dissertation. Also deserving mention are my external examiners, both academic and industrial, for their invaluable comments and contributions.

In addition, I wish to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to all the BATC management and library staff for their invaluable assistance, in particular Professor Dr. Nooh Abu Bakar, the former Managing Director of BATC. This vote of thanks is also extended to the management of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Also others, too many to mention, for their invaluable support and encouragement, including the Energy Commissioner Dato' Ir. (Dr) Hj. Mohd. Annas bin Hj Mohd. Nor, and the Ketua Setiausaha Kementerian Tenaga, Air dan Komunikasi, Dato' Dr. Halim Shafie, as well as respondents in the survey.

Also deserve mention are equipment suppliers, consultants, bankers and friends in various organisations in Malaysia, Britain, Thailand and India who have made equally invaluable contributions and suggestions.

Last but not least, are my fellow Engineering Doctorate course-mates for their invaluable support and encouragement.

ABSTRACTS

Renewable Energy (RE) was included in the 8th Malaysia Plan to ensure a sustainable energy growth for the country. An initial target of 600MW grid connected power plants, fuelled by RE sources, was set by the year 2005. However, their planting up have been sluggish. Only a few of the 62 approvals have taken off indicating, invariably, the need to revamp the 5th fuel policy for the forthcoming 9th Malaysia Plan (2006-2010). The objective of this Research Project is, therefore, to structure a business framework for the implementation of a technically feasible RE project and the construction of a Techno-Financial Model to determine its financial viability. The methodology of the research was, firstly, a research survey to identify the critical factors that ensure successful implementation of such a project and also the financial parameters that determine its viability. These data, from actual projects, were then used to design the business framework and the Techno-Financial Model. The business framework in the implementation of a biomass power project comprises the implementation, technical and financial structures. The implementation structure involves putting all the “players” and their linkages in place; the technical structure considers the technology to be employed; and the financial structure involves structuring the (financial) viability of the project. The research project delved in-depth the construction of a Techno (sic) - Financial Model (TFM) which amalgamates all the crucial “technical” and “financial” components inter-reacting iteratively to provide a cost-efficient investment. The TFM constructed also acts as a “negotiating” and “enabling” tool which was used successfully during negotiation of the electricity tariff with the Utilities and rising of funds with the Bankers. Analysis using the TFM on a Biomass Power Plant reveals that the electricity tariff of RMsen 17 per kWh yielding an Internal Rate of Return of 12.12% with a payback period of 7 years is not lucrative enough to attract RE Developers. The Research thus concluded that grid connected RE power generation in Malaysia is not a viable proposition, except in cogenerations for captive consumers. Therefore, these initiatives must be localised: localised feedstock; localised RE Technology; and localised distribution. It is recommended that the determination of RE generated electricity tariff by a Renewable Energy Development Board be based on a-case-by-case basis to ensure its viability and success.

ABSTRAK

Tenaga elektrik yang boleh diperbaharui (RE) telah dijadikan salah satu komponen dalam Rancangan Malaysia ke-8 untuk memastikan pertumbuhan tenaga yang berterusan. Selaras dengan pendekatan ini, sasaran awal bagi loji janakuasa elektrik yang menggunakan sumber tenaga yang diperbaharui sebanyak 600 MW bagi tahun 2005 telah ditetapkan. Walaubagaimanapun, proses pelaksanaan adalah agak lembab. Setakat ini, hanya beberapa projek, daripada 62 projek yang telah di luluskan, berjaya dilaksanakan. Ini menunjukkan bahawa 'Polisi Bahanapi' yang ke-lima perlu disusun semula sebelum diserap ke RMK9 yang akan datang (2006–2010). Tujuan kajiselidek ini adalah untuk menentukan rangka perniagaan yang boleh memastikan kejayaan pelaksanaan dan daya maju kewangan projek biojisim. Kaedah pendekatan adalah dengan mendapatkan data kritikal daripada projek sebenar dan menggunakan data tersebut untuk merangka struktur perniagaan dan membuat Model Tekno-Kewangan (MTK). Rangka perniagaan untuk menjayakan pelaksanaan projek biojisim ini merangkumi struktur pelaksanaan, teknikal dan kewangan. Dalam struktur pelaksanaan, ia melibatkan "pemain" dan hubungkait mereka. Struktur teknikal melibatkan semua aspek teknologi yang akan digunapakai, manakala struktur kewangan pula melibatkan pengstruktur aliran kewangan yang berdayamaju. Penyelidikan projek akan melibatkan pembinaan MTK. MTK ini akan menggabungkan kesemua komponen penting "teknikal" dan "kewangan" yang akan saling bertindakbalas untuk menyediakan satu pelaburan yang efektif dalam aspek kos. MTK ini juga haruslah bertindak sebagai alat "perundingan" dan "pembolehan" yang boleh digunakan dengan jayanya semasa proses perundingan tariff elektrik dengan pihak pembeli tenaga serta pihak bank dalam mendapatkan dana pinjaman. Kajian yang menggunakan MTK untuk loji janakuasa biojisim telah mendapati bahawa tarif tenaga elektrik sebanyak RM17 sen/kWh akan memberikan pulangan 12.12% dengan tempoh pembayaran semula 7 tahun. Hasil daripada kajian ini, pulangan sebegini tidak mencukupi untuk menarik minat para pemaju RE. Penyelidikan juga telah merumuskan bahawa penjanaankuasa elektrik RE adalah satu cadangan yang tidak berdayamaju kecuali penjanaankuasa elektrik "cogeneration" yang telah mempunyai pengguna yang tersedia. Oleh yang demikian, inisiatif-inisiatif ini mestilah berbentuk tempatan iaitu bahanapi tempatan, teknologi RE tempatan dan juga pengagihan tempatan. Adalah dicadangkan supaya Lembaga Tenaga RE ditubuhkan bagi menentukan tarif tenaga elektrik RE yang berdasarkan kepada kes-kes tertentu bagi memastikan ianya berdaya saing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION OF STATUS	i
	CLASSIFICATION OF THESIS	ii
	SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	iii
	TITLE	iv
	DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	
	AND EXCLUSIVENESS	v
	DEDICATION	vi
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
	ABSTRACTS/ABSTRAK	viii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xvii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Preamble	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Research Project	4
	1.5 Limitations of the Research	5
	1.6 Significance and Contribution of the Research	6

1.7	Conclusion	8
2	RENEWABLE ENERGY – SUSTAINABLE GROWTH FOR THE FUTURE	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Objectives	10
2.3	Definition and Concept of Renewable Energy	10
2.4	The Malaysian Power Generation Industry	11
2.4.1	An Overview of the Strategies of the Power Industry	11
2.4.2	Malaysia’s Electricity Supply and Demand	14
2.5	The Malaysian Renewable Energy Industry	17
2.5.1	Renewable Energy as the 5 th Fuel Policy	17
2.5.2	Sources and Types of Biomass Waste	18
2.5.3	Biomass Supply in Malaysia	19
2.6	Regional Biomass Potential	22
2.6.1	Asia and Australasia’s Renewable Energy Potentials	23
2.6.2	European and American Renewable Energy Initiatives	25
2.7	RE Power Generation Technology	27
2.8	Financial Modelling for Renewable Energy	34
2.9	Market Barriers to the Successful Implementation of Renewable Energy	39
2.10	Key Factor of Success for RE as the Sustainers for Future Energy Growth	41
2.11	Kyoto Protocol and Carbon Credit Trading	43
2.12	Malaysia’s SREP Programme	44
2.13	Conclusion	47

3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Objectives	49
3.3	Methodologies of the Research Project	50
3.4	Development of the Business Framework and the Techno-Financial Model	53
3.4.1	Development of the Business Framework	53
3.4.2	Development of the Techno-Financial Model	54
3.5	Conclusion	56
4	THE BUSINESS FRAMEWORK FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A GRID CONNECTED BIOMASS POWER PLANT	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Objectives	58
4.3	Limitations of the Research Project	58
4.4	Business Framework	59
4.4.1	Implementation Structure	61
	4.4.1.1 Special Purpose Vehicle Corporate Structure	61
	4.4.1.2 Implementation Structure Contractual Components	64
4.4.2	Technical Structure	73
	4.4.2.1 Concept of Project: Waste-to-Energy	74
	4.4.2.2 Case Study I: Landfill Gas Power Plant Project	77
	4.4.2.3 Case Study II: Biomass Power Plant Project	90
4.4.3	Financial Structure	106
	4.4.3.1 Project Financing for Biomass Power Plant	106
	4.4.3.2 Lukut Biomass Plant Islamic Funding	113

4.5	Conclusion	120
5	THE TECHNO-FINANCIAL MODEL FOR BIOMASS POWER PLANTS	121
5.1	Introduction	121
5.2	Objectives	121
5.3	Limitations	122
5.4	Framework of the Techno-Financial Model	123
5.4.1	Genesis of the Techno-Financial Model	123
5.4.2	Concept of Techno-Financial Model: Engineering Finance	126
5.4.3	Techno-Financial Model Design Objectives	127
5.4.4	Inter-reactive Process of the Techno-Financial Model	128
5.5	Structure of Techno-Financial Model and System Requirements	130
5.5.1	TFM Structure and Input–Output Model	130
5.5.2	System Requirements	138
5.6	Techno-Financial Models Report Generating Capabilities	138
5.6.1	Executive Summary	139
5.6.2	Technical and Financial Assumptions Input Model	142
5.6.3	Revenue Model	145
5.6.4	OpEx Model (Fixed and Variable Cost)	147
5.6.5	Cashflow Model	148
5.6.6	Profit and Loss Model	150
5.6.7	Return On Investment Model	152
5.6.8	Techno-Financial Model Sub-models	154
5.6.8.1	Construction Drawdown/Interest During Construction Computation	154
5.6.8.2	Debt Schedule and Reserves	156
5.6.8.3	Depreciation and Capital Allowance	157
5.6.8.4	Taxation Schedule	158
5.6.8.5	Dividends	160

	5.6.8.6 Salaries and Wages	161
	5.6.8.7 Technical Worksheets	162
5.7	Techno-Financial Model Test and Validation	165
5.7.1	Financial Validity Test	166
5.7.1.1	Cash Flow Test	166
5.7.1.2	Profit and Loss Test	167
5.7.1.3	Tariff vs. IRR, ROE and Payback Period	167
5.7.1.4	Economies of Scale Analysis	168
5.7.1.5	Capacity Degradation vs. IRR and ROE	170
5.7.1.6	CapEx, OpEx Degradation vs. IRR and ROE	171
5.7.1.7	Foreign Exchange vs. IRR	171
5.7.2	Techno-Financial Model Test Cases	172
	Case I: Landfill Gas Power (using LFG Gen 1)	174
	Case II: Landfill Gas Power-LFG Gen 1 vs. TFM Gen 2	176
	Case III: TFM Gen 2 for Palm Oil Mills	177
	Case IV: TFM Gen 2 Market Positioning for Plasma Reactors	178
	Case V: Landfill Gas Power Plant using Different Technologies	180
5.8	LFG and Biomass Power Project Viability Analysis	182
5.8.1	Financial Viability of the LFG Power Project	182
5.8.2	Financial Viability of the Biomass Power Project	184
5.9	Conclusion	189
6	RESEARCH SURVEY	192
6.1	Introduction	192
6.2	Problem Definition and Objectives	193
6.3	Limitations	194
6.4	Methodologies of the Research Survey	195
6.5	Design of Research Survey	196
6.5.1	Research Process Model	197

6.5.2	Research Sample	199
6.5.3	Hypothesis Formulation and Testing	201
6.6	Questionnaire Design Structure	204
6.6.1	Design Structure and Guidelines	204
6.6.2	RE Development Response Survey (REDS)	209
6.6.3	Techno-Financial Survey (TFS)	210
6.7	Pre-Survey Response Rate Test	210
6.8	Pilot Survey (Reliability Test Survey)	212
6.9	Main Survey	215
6.9.1	RE Development Response Survey (REDS)	216
6.9.2	Techno-Financial Survey (TFS)	217
6.10	Data Preparation for Analysis	218
6.11	Conclusion	219
7	RESEARCH SURVEY FINDINGS	221
7.1	Introduction	221
7.2	Research Survey Statistical Test	221
7.2.1	Cronbach's Reliability Test	221
7.2.2	Significance Test	222
7.2.3	Hypotheses Testing	223
7.3	Research Project Findings	225
7.3.1	Survey Findings	225
7.3.2	Research Findings	232
7.4	Suggestions and Recommendations	237
7.5	Conclusion	243
8	CONCLUSIONS	244
8.1	Introduction	244
8.2	Recommendations to Ensure Success of the Malaysian RE Initiatives	244
8.3	Meeting the Objectives of the Research Project	247
8.4	Research Contribution to the Body of Knowledge	248
8.5	Future Directions: Emerging Trends and Challenges	250-253

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1:	Contribution from Renewable Energy as Percentage of Total Gross Energy Output	13
Table 2.2:	Installed Generating Capacity, Peak Demand and Reserve Margin in Semenanjung Malaysia (1995-2005)	15
Table 2.3:	Installed Capacity of Power Plants in Malaysia (2002)	17
Table 2.4:	Biomass Resources Potential (2002)	20
Table 2.5:	RE Source Calorific Value	20
Table 2.6:	Typical Waste Quantities Produced (per tonne of FFB)	21
Table 2.7:	Forecast Production of Biomass Waste Products from FFB	22
Table 2.8:	Forecast Biomass-based Power Generation Capacity and Percentage of Total National Power Generation	22
Table 2.9:	Renewable Energy Production in the European Union	25
Table 5.1:	Comparison of Biomass Power Plant at 5MW, 7MW and 10MW	169
Table 5.2:	Comparison of IRR and ROE to varying USD rates	172
Table 5.3:	Comparison between LFG Gen 1 and TFM Gen 2 Model	176
Table 5.4:	Cost Comparison on LFG power generation using various RE Technologies	181
Table 5.5:	LFG Power Plant Actual vs. Forecast	182
Table 5.6:	LFG Power Plant Britain and Malaysia	183
Table 5.7:	Comparison of Biomass Plant for Different Countries	188
Table 6.1:	Sampling Size with Stratified Groups	200
Table 6.2:	Group Stratification and Response Part	206
Table 6.3:	Pre-Survey Test Response rate	212

Table 6.4:	Pilot Survey Respondent Samples	213
Table 6.5:	Questions Modifications Analysis	214
Table 6.6:	Main Survey Respondent Sample	215
Table 6.7:	Response Rate	215
Table 7.1:	Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Ratio	222
Table 7.2:	Significance Test	223
Table 7.3:	Comparison of Responses	229
Table 7.4:	Main Reasons for Failure to Plant Up	230

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1:	RREAD model	37
Figure 4.1:	Biomass Power Plant Implementation Structure Model	62
Figure 4.2:	Waste-to-Energy Concept Model	74
Figure 4.3:	Inter-relation between Site-Technology-Feedstock	76
Figure 4.4:	3D model of the Air Hitam Sanitary Landfill site	78
Figure 4.5:	Landfill Gas Production Curve Prediction	79
Figure 4.6:	Results of Pumping Trial	81
Figure 4.7:	Power Plant Capacity Fitting Curve	82
Figure 4.8:	Waste-to-Profit Model	82
Figure 4.9:	Schematics of a Landfill Gas Operation - Power Generation	85
Figure 4.10(a):	Schematic Cross-section of Landfill Gas-Power plant	86
Figure 4.10(b):	Schematic of Typical Cell with Extraction Well and Gas Flow Pattern	86
Figure 4.11:	The Air Hitam Landfill Gas Power Plant	88
Figure 4.12:	Perspective of the Air Hitam LFG Power Plant	88
Figure 4.13:	Biomass Power Plant Schematic Process	90
Figure 4.14:	Schematic Flow Diagram of Palm Oil Mill	91
Figure 4.15:	Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB)	93
Figure 4.16:	EFB Shredding Machine	93

Figure 4.17:	Wood Chipping Machine	94
Figure 4.18:	Woodchips, Planer Chips and Sawdust	95
Figure 4.19:	Schematic Diagram of Fuel Preparation Plant	95
Figure 4.20:	Diagrammatic cross-section of Boiler	97
Figure 4.21:	Condensing Turbine – model	98
Figure 4.22(a):	Biomass Power Plant	99
Figure 4.22(b):	Fuel Preparation Plant	100
Figure 4.23:	Interconnection Diagram	102
Figure 4.24:	Layout of Biomass Power Plant	103
Figure 4.25:	Biomass Power Plant Implementation Schedule	104
Figure 4.26(a):	Financial Structure of Special Purpose Vehicle	115
Figure 4.26(b):	Approval and Drawdown Structure	116
Figure 4.26(c):	Monthly Payment Chart	117
Figure 5.1(a):	Engineering Finance Model Structure	121
Figure 5.1(b):	Engineering Finance Model Inter-Reactive Process	121
Figure 5.2:	Business Financial Model	130
Figure 5.3:	Input-Output Model	131
Figure 5.4(a):	Techno-Financial Model Flow Chart	134
Figure 5.4(b):	Technical-Financial Link Flow Chart	135
Figure 5.5:	Executive Summary	141
Figure 5.6:	Technical and Financial Input Assumptions Model	142
Figure 5.7:	Revenue Model	146
Figure 5.8:	Fixed and Variable Cost Model	147
Figure 5.9:	Cash Flow Model	150
Figure 5.10:	Profit & Loss Model	151
Figure 5.11:	Return Model	152
Figure 5.12:	Construction Drawdown/IDC Sub-Model	155
Figure 5.13:	Debt Schedule and Reserves Sub-Model	157
Figure 5.14:	Depreciation and Capital Allowance Sub-Model	158

Figure 5.15:	Taxation Schedule Sub-Model	159
Figure 5.16:	Dividends Sub-Model	160
Figure 5.17:	Salaries and Wages Sub-Model	161
Figure 5.18(a):	Performance Specification	162
Figure 5.18(b):	Computation of Feedstock Requirements	164
Figure 5.19:	Cash Flow Chart	166
Figure 5.20:	Profit and Loss Chart	167
Figure 5.21:	Tariff vs. IRR, ROE and payback period	168
Figure 5.22:	Economies of Scale Analysis	169
Figure 5.23:	Capacity Degradation vs. IRR and ROE	170
Figure 5.24:	CapEx, OpEx Degradation vs. IRR and ROE	171
Figure 5.25:	LFG Gen 1 Model	175
Figure 5.26:	LFG Gen 1 Revenue-Expenditure-Cashflow Curve	175
Figure 5.27:	LFG Power Plant using TFM Gen 2 model	177
Figure 5.28:	Executive Summary of Palm Oil Mill Project	178
Figure 5.29:	Waste Industry Market Segmentation	179
Figure 5.30:	Technology Comparison for Landfill Gases	180
Figure 5.31:	Pictorial Map of the TFM	190
Figure 6.1:	Research Process Model	198
Figure 6.2:	Pre-Survey Response Test Flow Chart	211
Figure 6.3:	Pre-Survey Response Test	211

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGO	-	Australian Greenhouse Office
BNM	-	Bank Negara Malaysia
BOO	-	Build-Operate-Own
BOOT	-	Build-Operate-Own-Transfer
BPM	-	Bankruptcy Prediction Models
CDM	-	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	-	Certified Emission Reduction
CFC	-	Critical Financial Component
CH ₄	-	methane
CO ₂	-	carbon dioxide
CP	-	Condition Precedent
CTC	-	Critical Technical Component
DG	-	Distributed Generation(s)
CPO	-	crude palm oil
EB	-	Executive Board
EC	-	European Community
EFB	-	Empty Fruit Bunch
EGAT	-	Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand
EPCC	-	Engineering, Procurement, Construction and Commissioning
EPU	-	Economic Planning Unit (Prime Minister's Department)
ERU	-	Emissions Reductions Units
EU	-	European Union
EWS	-	Early Warning System
FFB	-	Fresh Fruit Bunch
FIM	-	Feed-In Model
FSA	-	Fuel Supply Agreement
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	-	green house gases
GIA	-	Government Investment Act

GIC	-	Government Investment Certificates
GWh	-	giga watt hour
Hc.	-	hectare
IBA	-	Islamic Banking Act
IFSB	-	Islamic Financial Services Board
IPP	-	Independent Power Producers
IRR	-	Internal Rate of Return
JAS	-	Jabatan Alam Sekitar (DOE)
JBEG	-	Jabatan Bekalan Elektrik dan Gas
JI	-	Joint Implementation
JSG	-	Joint and Several Guarantees
KATK	-	Kementerian Air, Tenaga dan Komunikasi
Kt	-	kilo tonne
kWh	-	kilo watt hour
LCO	-	Letter of Conditional Offer
LFG	-	Liquefied Fuel Gas
LLN	-	Lembaga Letrik Negara
LNG	-	Liquefied Natural Gas
Mj/kg	-	Mega Joules per kilogram
Mm ³	-	million cubic meter
MoF	-	Ministry of Finance
Mt	-	metric tonne
mmBTU	-	million British Thermal Unit
MTG	-	Microturbine generator
Mtoe	-	million tonnes oil equivalent
Mton	-	million tonnes
MW	-	mega watt
NFFO	-	Non-Fossil Fuel Obligation
NPL	-	Non Paying Loans
NSAC	-	National Syariah Advisory Council
O&M	-	Operation and Maintenance
OE	-	Operational Entity
OER	-	Oil Extraction Rate
OPP3	-	Outline Perspective Plan 3

PFC	-	Principal Financial Components
POME	-	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PTM	-	Pusat Tenaga Malaysia
PV	-	photovoltaic
RE	-	Renewable Energy
REPPA	-	Renewable Energy Power Purchase Agreement
RET	-	Renewable Energy Technologies
RM	-	Ringgit Malaysia
RPS	-	Renewables Portfolio Standard
ROE	-	Return on Equity
SCORE	-	Special Committee On Renewable Energy
SESB	-	Sabah Electricity Sdn Bhd
SESCO	-	Sarawak Electricity State Corporation
SPV	-	Special Purpose Vehicle
SREP	-	Small Renewable Energy Programme
SWOT	-	Strength Weaknesses Opportunities and Threats
TEM	-	Techno-Economic Model
TFM	-	Techno-Financial Model [©]
TGC	-	Tradable Green Certificates
TNB	-	Tenaga Nasional Bhd
TNBG	-	TNB Generation Sdn Bhd
TNBT	-	TNB Transmission Sdn Bhd
UGR	-	Ultimate Generation Rate
UK	-	United Kingdom
UNFCCC	-	United Nation Framework Convention on Climatic Changes
USD	-	United States Dollar
WASP	-	Wein Automatic System Planning

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE
Appendix 1.0	Biomass Power Plant Implementation Schedule
Appendix 2.0	Techno-Financial Model <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Appendix 2.1 Techno-Financial Model[©] Gen 2• Appendix 2.2 Islamic Fund Model• Appendix 2.3 Comparison of 5MW, 7MW and 10MW• Appendix 2.4 Landfill Gas Power LFG Gen 1• Appendix 2.5 LFG using TFM Gen 2• Appendix 2.6 TFM Gen 2 for Palm Oil Mills• Appendix 2.7 TFM Gen 2 for Plasma Reactors
Appendix 3.0	Research Survey <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Appendix 3.1 RE Development Response Survey (REDS)• Appendix 3.2 Techno-Financial Survey (TFS)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble

Renewable Energy (RE) was included as the fifth fuel in the expanded four-fuel diversification policy of hydro-gas-coal-oil, and incorporated in the 8th Malaysia Plan: 2001-2005 (EPU, 2001). It will be a strategic complement of energy expansion in the future, to ensure competitiveness of the country's product into the borderless global market, well beyond the 2020 boundaries. It has been acknowledged as the insurance for sustainable growth of the power generation industry in the future, in the face of fast depleting (finite) fossil fuel reserves. The 1998 fuel crisis in the USA and the European Union countries is only a glimpse of the likely scenario that could develop in the next few decades when the supply of hydrocarbon (fossil) fuel fizzles out or reaches a level of "unaffordability". The current "price war" is an insight of the possible scenario for the future. Malaysia must also prepare for the time when she eventually becomes a net importer of fossil fuel. Nymex Crude as at August 12th, 2005, shot past the USD65 per barrel psychological level to USD66.56 per barrel, the highest recorded price, and more than double from a year and half earlier (USD27-30 per barrel in early 2004). Pump prices also surge upwards to double at USD2.50 a (US) gallon, and propane, a by-product of oil refinery and a common fuel, jumped 76% to USD1.79 per mmBTU during the same period. These have a knock-on effect on natural gas that rose to a 14 year high of USD8.10 (RM30.78) per mmBTU. All these price increases, although temporary due to unexpected increase in demand in anticipation of a colder winter in the west, and stronger than expected demand from China and India due to stocking up of oil reserve and improvement of their economy, will not settle down to its original, pre-price war

crisis, level of less than USD30 per barrel. This has a far reaching implication to the exports of most of the emerging economies such as Malaysia. These unpleasant scenarios would, therefore, hasten the need for the country to seek less (price) volatile alternative fuel and renewable energies to maintain global competitiveness.

Based on the Malaysian biomass power generation in the palm oil mill industry and the Kyoto Protocol which Malaysia has ratified, an initial target of 5% of the industry generating capacity, or 600-900MW equivalent by the year 2005 was set. This was based on the actual Maximum Demand recorded in May 5th 2005 of 12,493MW that forecasted the power demand in 2005 to be 13,913MW (Tenaga Nasional Berhad, Annual Report, 2005). Thus, the opportunities for renewable energy power generation in Malaysia are indeed promising.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the euphoria on the potentials of biomass feedstock for power generation, actual planting up has been sluggish. Only a few of the 62 approvals, such as the 2MW Landfill Gas Power Plant and a few Biomass Power Plants in Sabah and Semenanjung, have taken off (under construction) indicating, invariably, the need to revamp the 5th fuel policy for the forthcoming 9th Malaysia Plan (2006-2010). The primary reason for the sluggish planting up in Semenanjung Malaysia, it seems, was the (marginal) viability of the project at a low electricity tariff (RMsen 16.5 per kWh and RMsen 16.7 per kWh for landfill gas and biomass power respectively) purchased by the national utility board, namely, Tenaga Nasional Bhd (TNB). This, coupled with the heavy financial charges, consequence of the Asian Financial crisis of mid-97s, and sluggish global financial growth, resulted in higher imported capital equipments (due to higher foreign exchange rate), higher interest rates, and restrictive and stringent project loans further dampened the viability. This provides the backdrop and opportunity to research into a financial structure, albeit an

innovative and creative one, that could support the viability of the planting up (of the power plant), thus ensure success in implementing the Malaysian government's fifth-fuel policy.

1.3 Objectives

This research project dissertation entitled "The Development of A Business Framework and Techno-Financial Model for Biomass Power Plants" was proposed as a partial fulfilment for the Doctor of Engineering (Engineering Business Management) programme conducted at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

The objectives of the Research Project will be the development of a "Business Solution" to improve planting up initiatives for biomass power plant projects in Malaysia. It will be two-fold as follows:

1. structuring of the Business Framework for the implementation of the Biomass Power Plant. The framework comprises the implementation, technical and financial structure. The complexities of the project are further exacerbated by the marginal viability of the project and complication due to the difficulties of the inconsistent quality and intermittency of supply of the mixed waste/feedstock. Thus the financial aspect requires in-depth treatment and this will be the basis for the construction of the Techno (sic) - Financial Model which is the core of the financial structure.
2. construction of a Techno -Financial Model to structure the long-term cashflow of the Biomass Power Plant to ensure its financial viability.

This “engineering business” approach is more “financial”, rather than “technical”, as that, so far, lacks proper research. The study will use the Landfill Gas Power Plant as an anchor project to test the Techno-Financial Model, as the project has been successfully commissioned in March 2004. This 2MW LFG Power Plant is Malaysia’s first LFG Power Plant and the first grid-connected Renewable Energy project (under the SREP programme). This project uses methane gas generated from decomposition of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) disposed at the sanitary landfill site in Puchong, Selangor, as the feedstock. Thus, these Business Framework and Techno-Financial Model is the core of the Research Project.

1.4 Scope of Research Project

This Research Project will examine the issue from the perspective of business, technical and finance. These factors are indeed the critical component for success for these types of venture. The feasibility study (technical feasibility and financial viability studies) to develop such a project must integrate these factors in an interactive manner to become a properly structured Business Plan. In other words, the project must be properly “Financial Engineered” to achieve symbiosis for the business, technology and financial structures to make the project viable in the long-term. Based on the findings of these studies, this research will suggest measures that could be adopted to overcome these deficiencies in order to make these RE initiatives a success. As such, the scope of the Research Project would be as follows:

1. Identify key business components such as the Renewable Energy Power Purchase Agreement, Engineering Procurement Construction and Commissioning contract and Fuel Supply Agreement that becomes the implementation structure to ensure the long-term viability of the project.

2. Identify key technical variables that determine the technical structure of the project.
3. Identify key financial variables such as the Capital Cost and the Operating Cost that determine the financial structure of the project.
4. Construct the Techno-Financial Model as an integral component of the financial structure to dynamically link the key technical and financial variables.

The methodology of the Research Project would be by means of Literature Reviews. A Research Survey was also conducted involving two questionnaire surveys: secondary sources for the LFG power and Biomass Power would be from Britain, India and Thailand; and local sources would primarily be from the palm oil industry. Primary data was obtained from the LFG Power Plant project in Air Hitam and Genting Sanyen Power (IPP) co-generation plant in Ulu Langat, Selangor, Malaysia.

1.5 Limitations of the Research

The approach for this Research Project would be “business” rather than “technical” in nature as: firstly, there are already numerous researches conducted in the engineering aspects of biomass power generations; and secondly, the Engineering Doctorate is in Engineering Business Management. Thus, this Research Project would be more inclined towards the business and financial aspects in successfully implementing RE projects, in essence, its financial viability rather than technical feasibility.

Limitations to the study were due primarily to the following factors: Firstly, its infancy as there is inadequate information (secondary data) in the public domain to corroborate the study exhaustively. There are however, fragmented information

from both the oil palm industry and the power generation industry that could be used to create a wholesome model. Secondly, the main players in this industry are entrepreneurs (rather than corporate players). Therefore, there is a lack of documentation, and also a shroud of secrecy, to protect their commercial interest. Corporate players are not keen to participate due to the long gestation period that involves high risk and high hurdles. They are keen to acquire on-going projects even though if it involves premium payment due to the advantage of immediate recognition of income and guaranteed planting up. Lastly, renewable energy is “site specific; technology specific; and feedstock specific”. That is to say, it is applicable only to certain countries, using certain technology due to the abundant availability of a particular source of renewable supply. For example, in the Scandinavian countries wind power generation is found in abundance due to the rather strong wind migration pattern from the North Pole region. This is also true for Malaysia which lacks this wind pattern, and therefore, wind energy is not promising.

Malaysia has, however, promising potentials in both solar and biomass energy development. Therefore, the only innovative way was to extract the relevant and applicable experiences from these countries, and amalgamate it with the Malaysian experience, and customize its implementation. Malaysia also has quite an extensive renewable energy power generation experience using mini-hydro power and palm oil biomass in the oil palm industry (PTM, 2001) for more than three decades, but the only snag was that these generations are not grid-connected and tends to be “inefficient” (complacency due to abundance of waste supply), and does not contribute to the national power generation mix.

1.6 Significance and Contribution of the Research

Fossil fuel is the prime mover of developing and industrialized countries. For Malaysia to achieve the Vision 2020’s objective of being a fully industrialized nation by the year 2020, the country has to address seriously these initiatives. The significance of this Research Project therefore, evolves around three primary factors:

Firstly, due to economic reasons as fossil fuel is the prime mover of the economy. As supply of fossil fuels are fast depleting causing a drastic increase in fuel prices, and disadvantaging the third world and developing countries, making their produce in the borderless world less competitive, the “best” and perhaps the only alternative, for these predominantly agriculture countries are to develop their own RE technologies based particularly on biomass residues. This Research Project would contribute to a greater understanding of the Business Framework that could ensure the long-term financial viability of the project.

Secondly, due to strategic reasons countries which are dependent on the importation of fuels (import dependents countries) would expose themselves, both in terms of pricing and supply, to the global volatilities and eventually render themselves uncompetitive. The Economic Planning Unit (EPU) of the Prime Minister’s Department conducted a study (Longhi, 1998) on the RE potential in Malaysia and has concluded that RE feedstock, including biomass from wood residue and oil palm waste such as empty fruit bunch (EFB) and kernel shell constitute a significant fuel potential which remains mostly untapped. The estimate of biomass produced in the country, on an oil equivalent, amount to approximately RM10billion a year (produced annually). If properly utilised, this would save the country massive amounts of foreign exchange from the importation of fuels, such as coal and its associated invisible cost such as insurance and freight.

Thirdly, since renewable energies are power generation from an inexhaustible supply of feedstock such as solar, wind and biomass (from forest and agricultural waste) it has minimal impact on natural resources. Besides the generation of power to energize industries, the utilization of waste as feedstock also helps in its disposal which, if neglected, would have undesirable consequences to the environment. This Research Project would contribute not only towards reducing pollutions and green house gas emissions to the environment but adding economic values at the same time.

This Research Project is intended to contribute to the body of knowledge in these areas by constructing the Business Framework as a tool to ensure successful

implementation and Techno-Financial Model to ensure the long-term (financial viability) of the project.

1.7 Conclusion

This Research Project is about designing a “Business Solution” in the form of a Business Framework, integrating a Techno-Financial Model, as a tool, that would be able to ascertain the viability of a proposed project, given the technical and financial factors impinging at that point in time such as interest rates, feedstock cost and capital equipment cost of a particular technology. It is a crucial tool in structuring the long-term viability of the business and guiding the financial conduct at various levels and activities, in the operations of the business. It is also an important, and powerful instrument, in the negotiating process not only with the power off-takers to negotiate for a viable tariff, but also with government agencies to negotiate for investment incentives to enhance the project viability, and bankers (to further enhance the profitability) as it reduces the uncertainties and risk inherent in such a high risk venture. The lesser the risk inherent in a project the softer would be the loan that could enhance the expected return on investments. In addition, the Techno-Financial Model could also be used to determine the market position of the business using new technologies.

This Research Project could contribute to the vast body of knowledge, both in the industrial and academic world, by providing a platform that would enable decision makers (enabling technology) to make a more informed decision. This “knowledge is power” is, by far, heralded as the single most important attributes of Chief Executive Officers in the present k-economy era and beyond.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Alholmens Kraft Report, Cogeneration and On Site Power Production, May-June 2002.
- Annas M. Nor, “*Opportunities and Challenges in the Power Sector from the Perspective of the Construction Industry*”, Institutional Conference on Project Management (ICPM), Lembaga Pembangunan Industri Pembinaan Malaysia, 2003.
- Annas M. Nor, Workshop on Financial Assistance for Renewable Energy Power Projects, Pusat Tenaga Malaysia, 2002.
- Armesto L., Bahillo A., Veijonen K., Cabanillas A. and Otero J., “*Combustion Behaviour of Rice Husk in a Bubbling Fluidised Bed*”, Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol 23 Issue 3, 2002.
- Armstrong C, Embree S. and Levitsky M., “*GHG Emissions Reduction Investments Projects in Developing Countries: Identification and Structuring*”, International Finance Corporation, 2000.
- Azni Idris and Chuah T.G., “*Biomass as the Renewable Energy Source in Malaysia*”, Buletin Ingenieur, Vol. 20 Q4/4, December 2003.
- Bank Negara Malaysia, “*Huge potential for Islamic banking globally*”, October 25th, 2002.
- Bank Negara Malaysia, “*Islamic bonds sales surge in Malaysia*”, December 31st, 2002.
- Bank Negara Malaysia, “*Islamic papers much sought after*”, February 8th, 2003.

- Bank Negara Malaysia, “*Growing demand for ethical funds*”, May 3rd, 2004.
- Bank Negara Malaysia, “*Islamic Banking*”, 2004, www.bnm.gov.my
- Bhattacharya S.C., “*State of the Art of Biomass Gasification*”, Proceedings International Symposium on Advances in Alternative and Renewable Bioenergy, 1997.
- Bhattacharya S.C., Salam A.P., Pham A.L. and Ravindranath N.H., “*Sustainable Biomass Production for Energy in Selected Asian Countries*”, Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol 25 Issue 5, November 2003.
- Bloomberg, “*Energy Prices*”, www.bloomberg.com/energy/, October 26th 2004.
- Brown M., “*Don’t Ignore Network Costs*”, a WADE (World Alliance for Decentralised Energy) report in Co-generation and On-Site Power Production, May-June 2005.
- Brown M. and Walker N, “*The CDM, carbon credits and Decentralized Energy*”, Cogeneration and On Site Power Production, July-Aug 2003.
- Brealey R.A. and Myers S.C., “*Why Net Present Value Leads to Better Investment Decisions than others*”, Principles of Corporate Finance, 7th ed, McGraw Hill, 2004.
- Bridgwater A.V., “*Biomass gasification for power generation*”, Fuel Vol 74 no 5, Butterworth Heinemann, Elsevier Science Ltd, 1995.
- Bridgwater A.V., Toft A.J. and Brammer J.G., “*A techno-economic comparison of power production by biomass fast pyrolysis with gasification and combustion*”, Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews 6, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2002.

Bryne J, Shen B. and Wallace W., “*The economics of sustainable energy for rural development: A study of renewable energy in rural China*”, Energy Policy, Vol 26, no. 1, 1998, Elsevier Science Ltd., 1997.

Bull S.R., “Renewable Energy Today and Tomorrow”, Proceedings of the IEEE, August 2001.

Celik A.N., “*Techno-Econometric analysis of autonomous PV-wind hybrid energy systems using different sizing methods*”, Energy Conversion and Management 44, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2003.

Christine Ohlinger, Christine Egger and Gerhard Dell, “*Electricity from Renewable Sources*” CADDET Infopoint Issue 3/03.

Cooper D.R. and Schindler P.S., Business Research Methods, McGraw-Hill International Edition, (6th ed), 1998.

Davis G., “*Economic and Financial Aspects of Landfill Gas to Energy Project Development in California*”, California Energy Commission - Consultant Report, April 2002.

Department of Trade and Industry Britain, “*Landfill Gas Development Guidelines*”, 1996.

Dong L.Z., “*An economic study on China’s economy, energy and environment to the year 2030*”, Energy Policy 31, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2003.

Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister’s Department, Government of Malaysia, “*Outline Perspective Plan (OPP3)*”, 2001.

Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister’s Department, Government of Malaysia, “*8th Malaysia Plan(2001-2005) (RMK8)*”, Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Bhd, 2001.

- Eldredge J.D., *“Inventory of Research Methods for Librarianship and Informatics”*, Medical Library Association, Chicago USA, 2002.
- Energy Commission, *“Overview of the Electricity Supply Industry in Malaysia”*, Statistics of Electricity Supply, 2003.
- Energy Commission, Small Renewable Energy Power Programme, 2002.
- Energy Economic Newsletter, WTRG Economics, Arkansas, USA.
- European Commission, *“APAS Clean Coal Technology Programme on co-utilisation of coal, Biomass and waste”*, Vol. II: Final Reports, 1995.
- Finnerty J.D., *“Security Arrangement in Cogeneration Project”*, Project Financing: Asset Based Financial Engineering, John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1996.
- Gay L.R. and Diehl P.L., *Research Methods for Business and Management*, Prentice Hall Intl Inc, Singapore, 1966.
- Geller H., *“Fostering a clean energy revolution”*, Co-generation and On-site Power Production, 2003.
- Graham R.L., Lichtenberg E., Roningen V.O., Shapouri H., and Walsh M.E., *“The Economics of Biomass Production in the United States”*, BIN Publication List, February 1996.
- Green S.B., Salkind N.J. and Akey T.M., *Using SPSS for Windows: Analysing and Understanding Data*, Prentice Hall Inc, 1997.
- Haas R., Eichhammer W., Huber C., Langniss O., Lorenzoni A., Madlener R., Menanteau P., Morthorst P. E., Martins A., Oniszk A., Schleich J., Smith A., Vass Z., Verbruggen A., *“How to promote renewable energy systems successfully and effectively”*, Energy Policy 32, 2004.

Hanke J.E., Wichern D.W. and Reitsch A.G., "*The Future of Forecasting*", Business Forecast (7th ed), Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2001.

Hannah R.L., "*Iceland Journal 2002*", The Economic Educator, Spring 2002.

Harder M.K. and Freeman L.A., "A Study of an Integrated Landfill and Coppice Power Station", WREC 1996.

Hobson P., "*Kyoto Provides a Boost for On-Site Generation*", Co-generation and On-Site Power Production, May-June 2005.

Holte S.H., "*Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on U.S. Energy markets and Economic Activity*". Energy Modelling System/Annual Energy Outlook Conference, 1999.

Hulscher W.S., "*Biomass/wood energy resources: Commercial prospects for wood-based technologies*", Proceeding AEEMTRC/ASSN-NRSE Renewable Energy for Project Developers, 1998.

Li X.T., grace J.R., Lim C.J., Watkinson A.P., Chen H.P. and Kim J.R., "*Biomass Gasification in a Circulating Fluidized Bed*", Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol 26 Issue 2, February 2004.

Institution of Engineers Malaysia, "*Air Hitam Sanitary Landfill: Engineered Waste Disposal Facility*", Jurutera no: 7/1998, July 1998.

Iqbal A., "*Can Islamic Banking Appeal to Non-Muslims?*", Banker Middle East, June 2004.

Islam M., Fartaj A. and Ting S.K., "*Current utilization and future prospects of emerging renewable energy applications in Canada*", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2003.

Junfeng Li, Runqing Hu, Yanqin Song, Jingli Shi, Bhattacharya S.C., and Salam A.P., “*Assessment of Sustainable Energy Potential of Non-plantation Biomass Resources in China*”, *Biomass and Energy*, Vol 29 Issue 3, September 2004.

Kishore V.V.N., “*Biomass Power*”, *Terivision*, Issue 47, October 2002.

Koh M.P. and Hoi W.K., “*Sustainable Biomass Production for Energy in Malaysia*”, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, Vol 25 Issue 5, November 2003.

Koopmans A., “*Biomass Energy Demand and Supply for South and South-East Asia – assessing the Resource Base*”, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, Vol 28, Issue 2, February 2005.

Kydes A.S., “*Modelling Technology Learning in the National Energy Modelling System*”, *National Energy Modelling System/Annual Energy Outlook Conference*, 1999.

Kyoto Protocol To The United Nations Framework Convention On Climate Change, www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/1997/global.warming/stories/treaty, Oct 2001.

Lee J.C., *Business and Financial Statistics using minitab 12 and Excel 97*, World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd, Singapore, 2000.

Levy H. and Alderson M. J., *Principles of Corporate Finance*, South-Western College Publishing (International Thomas Publishing), 1998.

Lenssen N., “*Providing energy in developing countries*” Worldwatch Institute, W.W. Worton and Company, New York, 1993.

Longhi F., “*Support to the Development of the Strategy for Renewable Energy as the Fifth Fuel*”, *Dansk Energi Management A/S*, April 2000.

Makridakis S., Wheelright S.C. and Hyndman R.J., *Forecasting Method and Application*, (3rd ed), John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1998.

Malaysian Timber Council, www.mtc.com.my, newsletter, May, 2002.

Mann P. S., *Statistics for Business and Economics*, John Wiley & Sons Inc, Canada, 1995.

Mayer R.C., McGuigan J.R. and Kretlow W.J., “*Evaluation of Financial Performance*”, *Contemporary Financial management* 7th ed, 1998, Southwestern College Publishing.

Merna T. and Njiru C., *Financing Infrastructure Projects*, Thomas Telford Publishing, London, 2002.

Meyer N. I., “*European schemes for promoting renewables in liberalised markets*”, *Energy Policy* 31, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2003.

Meyer N.I and Koefoed A.L., “*Danish Energy reform: policy Implications for Renewables*”, *Energy Policy* 31, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2002.

Misconi Anne-Marie and Tey Connie, “*Connecting a Continent*” Asian Power, August 1999.

Montgomery D.W., “*International trade and Industry Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol*”, National Energy Modelling System/Annual Energy Outlook Conference, 1999.

Nakata T., Kubo K. and Lamont A., “*Design for renewable energy systems with application to rural area Japan*”, *Energy Policy*, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2003.

Nancy Rader, “*The Mechanics of Renewables Portfolio Standard Applied at the Federal Level*”, American Wind Energy Association, 1997.

Noriyah Ahmad, Special Dialogue Session on Small Renewable Energy Programme, Pusat Tenaga Malaysia 2003, Bangi.

Pimental D., Rodrigues G., Wane T., Abrams R., Goldberg K., Staecker H., Ma E., Brueckner L., Trovato L., Chow C., Govindarajulu U., and Boerke S., “*Renewable Energy: Economic and Environmental Issues*”, Bioscience, Vol 44 no 8, September 1994.

PORIM, “*The economics of FFB milling in Malaysia*”, Proceedings National Seminar on Palm Oil Milling, Refining Technology and Qualities, Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia, 1997.

Pusat Tenaga Malaysia, *Malaysia: Biomass-based Power Generation and Co-generation in the Palm Oil Industry Phase I*, 2001.

Pusat Tenaga Malaysia, “*Renewable energy sources to replace fuel*”, September 2nd, 2002.

Ravindranath N.H., Somashekar H.I., Nagaraja M.S., Sudha P., Sangeetha G., Bhattacharya S.C. and Salam P.A., “*Assessment of Sustainable Non-plantation Biomass Resources Potential Energy in India*”, Biomass and Bioenergy, Elsevier Ltd, Vol 29, Issue 3, 2004.

RE PowerGen, “*Technical Proposal to Lukut Biomass Power Plant*”, unpublished in-house confidential document, 2002.

REALM, Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands, “*Renewable Electricity and Liberalising Markets. Phase 1: Inception Report*”, 1999.

Refocus, “*RE policy in India*”, Elsevier Science Ltd, December 2003.

Renewable Energy Annual, “*Growth of the Landfill Gas Industry*”, 1996.

- Rozainee M., Ngo S.P., Kumoro A.C. and Looi S., “*Renewable Energy Sources from Biomass Through Incineration*”, 4th Asian Science and Technology Congress, Kuala Lumpur, 2002
- Runci P.J., *National Energy Policy and Energy Overview*”, Energy Research and Development in the United Kingdom, Washington DC, March 2000.
- Sadler C. and Sahai I. M., “*Unlocking South Asia’s Potential*”, Asian Power, Dec 1999/Jan 2000.
- Sajjakulkit B., Yingyuad R., Maneekhao V., Pongnarintasut V., Bhattacharya S.C., and Salam P.A., “*Assessment of Sustainable Energy Potential of Non-plantation Biomass Resources in Thailand*”, Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol 29, Issue 3, 2004.
- Securities Commission, Guidelines on the offering of Asset-Backed Debt Securities, 2001.
- Shim J.K., Strategic Business Forecasting, St. Lucie Press, 2000.
- Sims E.H.R., “*A Sustainable Energy Future for New Zealand*”, World Renewable Energy Conference, 1996.
- Sudin H., Shariah: The Foundation for Islamic Banking Laws, Pelanduk Publications (M) Sdn Bhd, 1997.
- Sudin H. and Shanmugam B., Islamic Banking System: Concept and Applications, Pelanduk Publications (M) Sdn Bhd, 1997.
- Stoeckl I, “*Introduction to renewable energy sources*”, Taking IT Global India, 2003.
- Tanatvanit S., Limmeechokchai B. and Chungpaibulpatana S., “*Sustainable Energy Development Strategies: Implication of Energy Demand Management and*

Renewable Energy in Thailand’, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 7, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2003.

Tenaga Nasional Bhd, Annual Report 2005, www.tnb.com.my

Tsaugust, “*The False Promise of Renewable Energy*”, An “Essential” Publication, USA, 2002.

United Nations Development Program, “*World Energy Assessment*”, New York, USA, 2000.

Upreti B. R. and Horst van der, “*National renewable energy policy and local opposition in the UK: the failed development of a biomass electricity plant*”, Biomass and Energy 26, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2004.

Viseshakul P., “*Financial Support to Promote Biomass-based Power Generation in Thailand: A Case Study of Stake-holders Involvement*”, National Economic and Social Development Board in collaboration with United Nation Development Programmes, 2003.

Waters D., Quantitative Methods for Business, (2nd ed), Addison Wesley Longman Publisher, 1997.

Watt M, and Outhred H., “*Australian and International renewable energy policy initiatives*”, Renewable Energy 22, Elsevier Science Ltd., 2001.

Worldwide Holdings Berhad, www.whb.com.my

Weidou N. and Johansson T.B., “*Energy for Sustainable Development in China*”, Energy Policy 32, Elsevier Science Ltd, 2003.

Wilkins G, “*Rural Electrification*”, Asia Power, March 2001.

Wiltsee G., *“Lessons Learned from Existing Biomass Power Plants”*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Colorado, Feb 2000 NREL/SR-570-26946.

World Energy Council, *“The International Environmental Agenda”*, 1998.

World Energy Council, *“Renewable Energy in South Asia – Status and Prospects”*, November 2000.

World Bank, *“World Bank Board Approves Emissions Trading Fund”*, www.weathervane.rff.org/trading_post/WorldBankPCF.htm, December 2000.

Yakcop N.M., Opening Speech Minister of Finance II Malaysia, Seminar on Non-Bank Financial Institutions: Islamic Alternative, Kuala Lumpur, March 2004.

Zachritz W.H., Mimbela L.E. and Gilmore T., Feasibility Study of a Biomass Power Plant Co fired with Natural Gas for the Village of Angel Fire, New Mexico, 2000.

Zamzam Jaafar, Norhayati Kamaruddin and Wong H.K., *“Making Connections – Inroads for Renewable Energy into the 21st Century”*, Buletin Ingenieur, Vol. 20 Q4/4, December 2003.

Zeti A., *“Approaches to Regulation of Islamic Financial Services Industry”*, Islamic Financial Services Industry and the Global Regulatory Environment, London, May 2004.

Zeti A., *“Ensuring Stability in the Islamic Financial System”*, 3rd Annual Islamic Finance Summit, London, Jan 2004.