# SUPPLIER PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT TOOL IN AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY USING MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

MOHD AZRIL BIN AMIL

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# SUPPLIER PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT TOOL IN AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY USING MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

# MOHD AZRIL BIN AMIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

**AUGUST 2009** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

The supplier evaluation process is complicated because a variety of criteria must be simultaneously considered. In some approaches to supplier evaluation, only quantitative factors are allowed in the model, or qualitative factors can be used in the model but the data are replaced by the assigned numbers. In practice, different goals, multiple criteria, constraints and parameters that involve conflicting quantitative and qualitative criteria make the decision making complicated. This thesis presents a development of a supplier performance assessment tool to evaluate automotive suppliers based on multivariate analysis. A questionnaire was prepared and sent to 278 companies from automotive sector in Malaysia. 5 forms were provided for each of the company to perform total of 1390 recipients, thus giving 24.3 percent response rate. Attempts were made to find the extent of practices in 5 different factors; quality system, in-process quality, logistics and management, shipping and delivery, and after sales services. The results were analyzed using the SPSS software. Factor Analysis, one of the tools for multivariate analysis was used to design the assessment tool for Supplier Performance Assessment and Evaluation. It can also be used for Supplier Control. The procedure utilizes a proposed assessment tool; constructed from factor analysis to do the evaluation. Since the supplier evaluation is a decision problem combining multiple criteria or attributes into a single measure of supplier performance, the objective is to find a method that can be used to objectively evaluate the best supplier. It was also found that it is advantageous to use the proposed instrument as it requires minimal manual interferences. If the proposed instrument is executed and controlled regularly, the performance level of automotive suppliers may be improved continuously. As a conclusion, this study may be able to assist automotive suppliers to maintain and improve their performance. This will support our Malaysian automotive industry as a whole.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Pengukuran prestasi pembekal atau vendor adalah agak sukar dan kompleks kerana ia melibatkan banyak faktor dan ciri-ciri yang perlu dipertimbangkan secara serentak. Di dalam sebahagian kaedah yang digunakan untuk mengukur prestasi vendor, hanya faktor-faktor kuantitatif yang diambil kira dalam model penilaian, ataupun hanya faktor-faktor kualitatif yang diterjemahkan dalam bentuk angka supaya ia dapat digunakan sebagai pengiraan. Dari segi amalan, matlamat yang berbeza, kriteria yang pelbagai, kekangan dan parameter yang melibatkan angkaangka kuantitatif dan kualitatif bertentangan menyebabkan sukar untuk membuat keputusan bagi permasalahan ini. Tesis ini menerangkan prosedur untuk membangunkan alat/kaedah untuk mengukur keupayaan prestasi pembekal/vendor melalui analisis multi-variasi. Borang soal-selidik telah disediakan dan telah diedarkan kepada 278 syarikat pembekal dalam sektor automotif di seluruh negara. Setiap syarikat itu telah diedarkan 5 set borang soal-selidik dan menjadikan sebanyak 1390 penerima borang soal-selidik seluruhnya. Kadar respon adalah 24.3 peratus. Soal-selidik ini adalah untuk mencari keluasan penggunaan 5 faktor yang berbeza iaitu; kualiti sistem, kualiti proses, pengurusan logistik, penghantaran dan juga servis selepas jualan. Keputusan soal-selidik itu kemudiannya di analisa dengan perisian SPSS. Analisa Faktor, salah satu kaedah Analis Multivariasi telah digunakan bagi mengukur prestasi vendor di dalam kajian ini. Di samping itu, kaedah ini juga boleh digunakan sebagai kaedah pengawalan vendor. Prosedur ini menggunakan alat yang dicadangkan hasil daripada analisa tersebut. Oleh kerana pengukuran prestasi vendor merupakan masalah keputusan yang melibatkan pelbagai ciri, maka keputusan tersebut seharusnya berkisar untuk mencari vendor yang terbaik. Didapati juga bahawa kaedah/alat yang dicadangkan mempunyai kelebihan lain iaitu ia hanya memerlukan pengolahan data yang minima. Jika kaedah yang dicadangkan diimplementasi dan dikawal dengan baik, tahap prestasi vendor automotif dijangka dapat ditingkatkan dengan lebih baik. Sebagai rumusan, kajian ini diharapkan dapat membantu untuk meningkatkan prestasi vendor-vendor automotif. Seterusnya ia akan menambah-baik industri automotif Malaysia secara keseluruhannya.

| CHAPTER |     | TITLE                               | PAGE                       |     |  |  |
|---------|-----|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|--|--|
|         |     | DECLARATION                         |                            | ii  |  |  |
|         | j   | iii                                 |                            |     |  |  |
|         |     | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT                     | j                          | iv  |  |  |
|         |     | ABSTRACT                            |                            | V   |  |  |
|         | ,   | vi                                  |                            |     |  |  |
|         | •   | vii                                 |                            |     |  |  |
|         |     | xi                                  |                            |     |  |  |
|         | X   | kiii                                |                            |     |  |  |
|         |     | LIST OF APPENDICES                  | х                          | kiv |  |  |
| 1       | IN  | TRODUCTION                          |                            |     |  |  |
|         | 1.1 | Introduction                        |                            | 1   |  |  |
|         | 1.2 | Background of the Problem           |                            | 2   |  |  |
|         | 1.3 | Research problem                    |                            | 3   |  |  |
|         |     | 1.3.1 Statement of Research Problem |                            | 3   |  |  |
|         |     | 1.3.2 Research Question             |                            | 3   |  |  |
|         | 1.4 | Objectives of the Research          | Objectives of the Research |     |  |  |
|         | 1.5 | Scope of the Research               |                            | 4   |  |  |
| 1.6     |     | Significance of the Research        |                            | 5   |  |  |
|         |     | 1.6.1 Why Automotive Industry?      |                            | 6   |  |  |
|         | 1.7 | Layout of Thesis                    |                            | 6   |  |  |
| 2       | LIT | ERATURE REVIEW                      |                            |     |  |  |
|         | 2.1 | Introduction                        |                            | 8   |  |  |
|         | 2.2 | Supplier Performance Issues         |                            | 9   |  |  |
|         | 2.3 | Supplier Development Issues         |                            | 11  |  |  |
|         | 2.4 | Supplier Performance Evaluation and | Performance                | 15  |  |  |
|         |     | Assessment Categories               |                            |     |  |  |

|   |                  | 2.4.1      | Method of S     | Supplier Selection and Supplier | 16 |
|---|------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----|
|   |                  |            | Performance     | Evaluation                      |    |
|   |                  |            | 2.4.1.1         | Rating Methods                  | 16 |
|   |                  |            | 2.4.1.2         | Mathematical Methods            | 20 |
|   | 2.5              | Criteria f | or Supplier Per | formance Assessment             | 28 |
|   | 2.6              | Previous   | Research Stud   | dies on Criteria Assessment for | 32 |
|   |                  | Supplier'  | s Performance   | Evaluation                      |    |
|   | 2.7              | Summary    | 7               |                                 | 40 |
| 3 | MULTIVA          | RIATE A    | NALYSIS TH      | EORY AND ITS                    |    |
|   | APPLICAT         | ΓΙΟΝ       |                 |                                 |    |
|   | 3.1              | Introduct  | ion             |                                 | 41 |
|   | 3.2              | Factor Ar  | nalysis         |                                 | 42 |
|   |                  | 3.2.1      | Steps in Fact   | or Analysis                     | 44 |
|   |                  | 3.2.2      | Examining th    | ne Correlation Matrix           | 45 |
|   |                  | 3.2.3      | Factor Extrac   | etion                           | 47 |
|   |                  |            | 3.2.3.1         | Methods for Factor Extraction   | 48 |
|   |                  | 3.2.4      | The Rotation    | Phase                           | 50 |
|   |                  |            | 3.2.4.1         | Factor Scores                   | 52 |
|   | 3.3              | Summary    | /               |                                 | 52 |
| 4 | RESEARC          | н метно    | ODOLOGY         |                                 |    |
|   | 4.1 Introduction |            |                 | 53                              |    |
|   |                  | 4.1.1      | Overview of     | Research Methodology            | 53 |
|   | 4.2 Survey       |            | Methodology     |                                 | 56 |
|   |                  | 4.2.1      | Introduction    |                                 | 56 |
|   |                  | 4.2.2      | Survey Quest    | tionnaires Development          | 56 |
|   |                  | 4.2.3      | Expert Valida   | ation and Pilot Study of Survey | 58 |
|   | 4.3              | Data Coll  | lection         |                                 | 59 |
|   |                  | 4.3.1      | Mail Surveys    | 3                               | 59 |
|   |                  | 4.3.2      | Sampling Fra    | ames                            | 60 |

|   |                             | 4.3.3                                      | Population of the Study                  |                                   | 61 |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----|
|   |                             | 4.3.4                                      | Management for Non-response and Steps to |                                   | 62 |
|   |                             |  | Increase Response Rates                  |                                   |    |
|   | 4.4                         | Data Ana                                   | lysis                                    |                                   | 63 |
|   |                             | 4.4.1                                      | Reliabilty                               | y                                 | 63 |
|   |                             | 4.4.2                                      | Multivari                                | ate Analysis                      | 63 |
|   |                             |  | 4.4.2.1                                  | Using Factor Analysis for         | 64 |
|   |                             |  |  | Supplier Performance Assessment   |    |
|   |                             |  |  | and Evaluation                    |    |
|   |                             |  | 4.4.2.2                                  | Steps in Using Factor Analysis in |    |
|   |                             |  |  | Supplier Performance Assessment   |    |
|   |                             |  |  | and Evaluation                    |    |
|   | 4.5                         | Summary                                    |  |                                   | 68 |
|   |                             |  |  |                                   |    |
| 5 | SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS |  |  |                                   |    |
|   | 5.1                         | Introducti                                 | on                                       |                                   | 69 |
|   | 5.2                         | Response                                   | criptive Statistics of Respondents       |                                   |    |
|   | 5.3                         | Descriptiv                                 |  |                                   |    |
|   | 5.4                         | Reliability                                | iability Test<br>n-Response Bias         |                                   | 74 |
|   | 5.5                         | Non-Resp                                   |  |                                   | 75 |
|   |                             | 5.5.1                                      | Hypothes                                 | ses                               | 75 |
|   |                             | 5.5.2                                      | T-test for                               | equality of mean                  | 76 |
|   | 5.6                         | Examinat                                   | nation of data                           |                                   | 78 |
|   |                             | 5.6.1                                      | Validatio                                | n of Data Entry                   | 78 |
|   | 5.7                         | Data Processing with Multivariate Analysis |  |                                   | 82 |
|   |                             | 5.7.1                                      | Initial Output layout                    |                                   | 87 |
|   |                             |  | 5.7.1.1                                  | KMO and Bartlett's Test           | 87 |
|   |                             |  | 5.7.1.2                                  | Communalities                     | 88 |
|   |                             | 5.7.2                                      | Output fo                                | or rerun                          | 90 |
|   |                             |  | 5.7.2.1                                  | KMO and Bartlett's Test with      | 90 |
|   |                             |  |  | Communaltities                    |    |

|   |         | 5.7.3                                       | Total Variance Explained                 | 92  |  |
|---|---------|---|--|-----|--|
|   |         | 5.7.4                                       | Scree Plot and Component plot in rotated | 93  |  |
|   |         |   | space                                    |     |  |
|   |         | 5.7.5                                       | Rotated Component Matrix                 | 95  |  |
|   | 5.8     | Interpreti                                  | ng Result                                | 97  |  |
|   | 5.9     | Constructed Supplier Performance Assessment |  |     |  |
|   |         | Automot                                     | ive Industry                             |     |  |
|   | 5.10    | Discussion                                  | on and Summary                           | 104 |  |
| 6 | CONCLUS | SION AND                                    | RECOMENDATION                            |     |  |
|   | 6.1     | Introduct                                   | ion                                      | 106 |  |
|   | 6.2     | Summary                                     | and Conclusions of the Research          | 106 |  |
|   | 6.3     | Limitation of the study                     |  |     |  |
|   | 6.4     | Future Ro                                   | esearch Recommendations                  | 110 |  |
|   |         |   |  |     |  |
|   | REFEREN | ICES  |  | 113 |  |
|   | APPENDI | CES   |  |     |  |
|   |         | Appendix                                    | x A-C                                    | 119 |  |

#### **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Introduction

It is a well established fact that an organization can perform no better than its suppliers. This fact along with greater demands for lower prices and continuous improvement in all aspects of supply management make supplier assessment and performance measurement a critical process in world-class organizations. Yet it is acknowledged that a majority of enterprises are less than satisfied with their ability to consistently select the best suppliers or measure and manage supplier and contractor performance. Nowadays, there are many techniques had been used such as those that focus on accounting techniques, auditing techniques and quality certificates for performance measurement.

However, there is no theoretical or generic approach to studying the practice of ongoing companies' performance measurement, in particular on how companies use performance measurement to manage their relationships and interactions with suppliers and how suppliers respond to the measurement (Schmitz and Platts, 2003).

Supplier Performance Assessment is a technique of measuring a supplier's actual performance against a set of agreed criteria then awarding "marks" according

to the quality of that performance. These criteria are often called "Performance Indicators". The transformation of qualitative data to quantitative data means that it can be measured and evaluated. It is an objective way of assessing a supplier's performance.

## **1.2** Background of the Problem

The supplier evaluation process is complicated because a variety of criteria must be simultaneously considered. In some approaches to supplier evaluation, only quantitative factors are allowed in the model, or qualitative factors can be used in the model but the data are replaced by the assigned numbers. However, the assigned numbers may not directly reflect the impreciseness of the performance data. In order to obtain an effective evaluation, the impreciseness of data should be accurately reflected.

Three traditional techniques are designed to evaluate suppliers: the categorical method, the weighted-point method, and the cost-ratio method (Muralidharan and Anantharaman, 2001). These traditional evaluation processes have the disadvantage of being either intuitively judged by the evaluator or too expensive to use. Operations-research-oriented approaches may also be available for dealing with the problems of the supplier evaluation process. In general, however, they are not only too complex for practical use but they are used to solve optimization-oriented problems. Since the supplier evaluation is a decision making problem combining multiple criteria or attributes into a single measure of supplier performance, the objective of selecting suppliers is to find a method that can be used to objectively evaluate the best supplier. Unfortunately, the methods listed above do not provide a generally applicable methodology, are too complex for practical use by operating managers, or do not fit to this type of problem.

## 1.3 Research Problem

### 1.3.1 Statement of Research Problem

More often than not, a supplier assessment is based on the lowest bid, and in some cases on unsystematic and incomprehensive subjective evaluation and interviews. Therefore, it becomes too late to proactively avoid supplier issues or divest production flow of their symptoms. If causes of the suppliers' issues (i.e quality, delivery, etc) are accounted for early in the supplier assessment process, the associated risk could be minimized.

## 1.3.2 Research Question

The general question this study attempts to answer is this: is there a more comprehensive and effective supplier performance assessment model that minimizes the risk associated for their end product to automotive manufacturer? The general question subsumes several related questions:

- 1. Which practices contribute the most to the suppliers' end products to the automotive manufacturer?
- 2. How are we doing in supplier assessment and performance measurement? It will motivate suppliers with performance measurement and receive feedback from the supplier's point of view.

## 1.4 Objectives of the Research

The objectives of the study:

- To design an assessment tool that can be used as a generic approach to measure supplier performance in automotive industry.
- Using multivariate analysis as a method for supplier performance assessment development process. This is to prove that multivariate analysis can be used to perform the assessment.
- To give benchmark from which to measure improvement. Suppliers need to know how well they are performing and to have the opportunity to meet the needs of the customer better. In the rare event that supplier performance is so poor that the contract needs to be terminated and/or damages sought, supplier rating provides objective documented evidence of unsatisfactory performance.

# 1.5 Scope of the research

The scope of this study is to develop supplier performance assessment tools using multivariate analysis approaches. The focus is limited to companies which are suppliers from automotive industry manufacturing sectors in Malaysia. Also, the focal point process in this research is the evaluation of suppliers and benchmark it based on qualitative and quantitative data from the measurement.

#### 1.6 Significance of the research

Manufacturers can attain multiple benefits by measuring supplier performance. Companies that fail to measure most of their suppliers risk large-scale quality mishaps, service deficiencies, and cost overruns that can eat into bottom-line profits and damage competitive positioning in the market. On the other hand, companies that subscribe to such practices can reduce buffer inventory, cut cycle times, and lower the total cost of ownership (TCO) of their supply chains.

There are also several reasons to evaluate suppliers. First, by evaluating results of suppliers, buying firms can identify who fits the requirements best. Buying firms can upgrade and obtain the greatest competitive advantage by cooperating with better performers. Second, supplier evaluation also develops a better negotiating position for the buying firm. Third, supplier's performance directly impacts the buying firm's performance. For example, a better quality product may result from higher quality material, a lower manufacturing cost may result from lower purchasing cost, and a short production schedule results from shorter lead time of orders, etc.

The concept of Supplier Performance Assessment has been developed since 10 to 20 years ago with so many control laws has been introduced but has yet to be evaluated in a real application. In Malaysia, many suppliers and manufacturer lack the expertise to perform such task and with this study, it hopefully will benefit all parties. The study will hopefully be a platform for future research in a similar field.

# 1.6.1 Why Automotive Industry?

The automotive industry was selected due to the diversity of businesses and because the relationships between suppliers and manufacturers are well developed

6

and fairly stable. The automobile manufacturer had indicated that the selected

suppliers were superior or critical for their business success. When a supplier is

critical to the buying firm, the buying firm is more inclined to utilize supplier

development of the problem. (Porter, 1997)

1.7 Layout of thesis

This research thesis is organized into six chapters:

1. Chapter I: Introduction

2. Chapter II: Literature Review

3. Chapter III: Research Methodology

4. Chapter IV : Multivariate Analysis and Its Application

5. Chapter V: Result and Analysis

6. Chapter VI: Conclusion and Recommendations

Chapter 1, describes the background of research, problem statement, purpose of research, importance of the research, scope of the research and layout of the thesis.

Chapter 2, presents a review of the literature to understand the issues and

formulate the research problems. The review describes about Supplier Performance

and development issues, supplier assessment categories and methods that have been

used to perform the assessment. This chapter also described criteria that check upon

during the assessment.

Chapter 3, describes basic definitions of multivariate analysis and the original

set of factor analysis theory, as well as the steps of operation. It explains about

multivariate analysis, its uses and application. Details of steps for conducting Factor

Analysis, the multivariate tool used in this research are explained briefly.

Chapter 4, describes the research methodology employed in conducting the study. Survey methodology is the main approached adopted. The survey method was used to find-out the practices level of performance implemented in supplier's firm. The questionnaire is developed in order to fulfill the objectives of research.

Chapter 5, presents the results and findings from the survey. Using SPSS software, data were processed with factor analysis techniques, mean test and t-test. It explained the results which were relevant to research questions. From the result, an instrument/tool for supplier assessment and performance evaluation was developed. This instrument/tool was used in the case study.

Chapter 6, presents the conclusion of this study. The report culminates with some suggestions and discussions for future research. It also presents the limitation of the study.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Aamer, A. M. (2005). Supplier Evaluation and Selection: A Comprehensive model to minimize the risk associated with quality and delivery. Industrial Engineering, The University of Tennessee. Ph. D Dissertation
- Ahmed, S. and M. Hassan (2003). "Survey and case investigation on application of quality management tools and technique in SMI." International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management 20(7): 795-826.
- Amid, A., S. H. Ghodsypour and C. O'Brien (2006). "Fuzzy multiobjective linear model for supplier selection in a supply chain." Int. J. Production Economics (104): 394-407.
- Araz, C. and Ozkarahan, I. (2006). "Supplier evaluation and management system for strategic sourcing based on a new multicriteria sorting procedure". International Journal of Production Economics. 106(2):585-606
- Barua, A., S. Ravindran and A. Whinston (1997). "Efficient Selection of Supplier over the Internet." Journal of Management Information Systems 13(4): 117-137.
- Carr, Amelia S. and John N. Pearson (1999), "Strategically Managed Buyer-Supplier Relationships and Performances Outcomes, "Journal of Operations Managements. 17: 497-519
- Carr, Amelia, S. Kaynak and Hale (2007). "Communication methods, information sharing, supplier development and performance: An empirical study of their relationships".

  International Journal of Operations and Product Management. 27(4): 346-370
- Cebi, F. and D. Bayraktar (2003a). "A new measure for supplier performance evaluation." IEE Transactions 29: 753-758.

- Cebi, F. and D. Bayraktar (2003b). "An Integrated Approach For Supplier Selection " Logistics Information Management 12(6): 395-400.
- Chen, C. C., T. M. Yeh, et al. (2004). "Customer-focused rating System of supplier quality performance." Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management **15**(7): 599–606.
- Chen, C. T., L. C. Torng, et al. (2005). "A fuzzy approach for supplier evaluation and selection in supply chain management." Int. J. Production Economics 102(289–301).
- Chen, T. W., J. Y. Lin, et al. (2003). "Selecting a supplier by fuzzy evaluation of capability indices, C<sub>pm</sub>" International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology **22**: 534–540. Chicago Press.
- Czaja, Ronald and Johny Blair (1996), Designing Surveys: A Guide to decisions and Procedures, Thousand Oaks, California: Pine Forge Press, Sage Publications.
- Cooper, Donald R. and Schindler P.S (2001). Business Research Method. New York. McGraw-Hill International Edition
- Dahel and Nasr-Eddine (2003). "Vendor selection and order quantity allocation in volume discount environments". Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 8(4):335-342
- Demirtas E.A and Ustun O. (2008). "An integrated multiobjective decision making process for supplier selection and order allocation" Omega 36(1): 76-90
- Dickson, Gary W. (1966), "An analysis of vendor Selection Systems and Decisions," Journal of Purchasing: 5-17
- Dillman, D. A. (2000). Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Dillon, W. R., & Goldstein, M. (1984). Multivariate Analysis: Methods and Applications. New York. John Wiley & Sons, Inc:
- Dobler, D. W. and D. N. Burt (1990). Purchasing and Materials Management: Text and cases. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Evans, J. R. and W. M. Lindsay (2002). The Management and Control of Quality. Cincinnati, Ohio, Thomas Learning.
- Enriquez J., Chuda and Jenny M.Y Leung (2005). "Inventory lot-sizing with supplier selection".

  Computers and Operations Research 32: 1-14
- Forker, Laura B, William A. Ruch and James Hershauer, (1999) "Examining Suppier improvement Efforts from Both Sides," The journal of Supply Chain Management 35(3):40-50
- Ganesalingam, S. and Ganesh, S.. (2002) Detection of financial distress via neural networks and traditional multivariate statistical methods. The 6th Meeting of Saudi Association for Mathematical Sciences, 9-10 April 2002.
- Giunipero, L. C., et al. (1998). "Performance Based Evaluation Systems Under Total Quality Management." International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management 29(1): 35-41.
- Giunipero, Larry C and Daniel J. Brewer, (1998) "Performance Based Evaluation Systems
  Under Total Quality Management," International Journal of Purchasing and Materials
  Management 29(1):35-41
- Hahn, C. K., K. H. Kim and J. S. Kim. (1996). "Costs of Competition: Implications for Purchasing Strategy." Journal of Purchasing and Material Management 26(2): 35-41.

- Handfield, R. b. (2003). "A Resource Dependence Perspective of Just-in-Time Purchasing." Journal of Operations Management 11(3).
- Harman, Harry H. (1976). Modern Factor Analysis. The Third Edition Revised. London. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Hartley, J. and T. Y. Choi (1996). "Supplier Development: Customer as a Catalyst of Process change." Business Horizons 39(4): 37-44.
- Hashim, Mohd Khairudin and Wafa, Syed Azizi (2002). Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in Malaysia. Malaysia. Prentice Hall
- Hishamuddin Md. Som (2005). Panduan Mudah Analisis Data Menggunakan SPSS Windows.
- Hongwei, D., L. Benyouce and Xiaolan Xie. (2003). A simulation-optimization approach using genetic search for supplier selection. Winter Simulation Conference, France.
- Huck, Schuyler W. and Cormier, William H. (1995). Reading Statistic and Research. Second Edition. New York. Harer Collins Publisher Inc.
- Johnson R.A and Wichern D.W (2001). Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall
- Kahraman, C., U. Cebeci and Z. Ulukan (2003). "Multi-criteria supplier selection using fuzzy AHP. Logistics Information Management." Logistics Information Management 16(2): 382-394.
- Krause, D. R., T. Scannel and Calantone, R. (2000). "A Structural Analysis of the Effectiveness of Buying Firm's Strategies to Improve Supplier Performance." Decision Sciences 31(1): 33-55.

- Lacey G. and Elliot S. (2000). "Supplier qualification in the telecommunications industry" Journal of Production Innovation 17(2):110-127
- Larson, P. D. and J. Kulchitsky, (1999). "Single Sourcing and Supplier Certification." Industrial Marketing Management 27(1): 73-81.
- Lasch, R. and C. G.Janker (2005). "Supplier selection and controlling using multivariate analysis." International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management 35(6): 409-425.
- Lessler, J. and W. D. Kalsbeek (1992). Nonsampling Error in Surveys. New York: Wiley.
- Li, C. C., Fun Y. P., Hung J.S (1997). " A new measure for supplier performance evaluation." IIE Transactions 29: 753-758.
- Liao, Z. and Rittscher J. (2006). "A multi-objective supplier selection model under stochastic demand conditions." International Journal of Production Economics 105(1): 150-159.
- Liu J. and Wu C. (2005). An Integrated Method for Supplier Selection in SCM. ICSSSM '05, Services Systems and Services Management.
- Millington, A., M. Eberhardt and Barry Wilkinson (2005). "Supplier performance and selection in China." International Journal of Operations & Production Management 26(2): 185-201.
- Monczka, R.M and S.J Trecha, 1988. "Cost-based supplier performance evaluation". Journal of Purchasing and Material Management 24(2):2-7
- Montgomery, D. C. (2001). Introduction to Statistical Process Control. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

- Moutaz, K. and M. Booth (1995). "A Robust Multivariate Statistical Procedure for Evaluation and Selection of Industrial Robots." International Journal of Operations & Production Management 12(2): 10-15
- Muralidharan, C. and N. Anantharaman (2001). "Vendor rating in purchasing scenario: a confidence interval approach." International Journal of Operations & Production Management 21(10): 1305-1325.
- Najmi, M., J. Rigas and Ip-Shing Fan (2005). "A framework to review performance measurement systems." Business Process Management Journal 11(2): 109-122.
- Norušis M.J (1994). SPSS Professional Statistics 11.0. London:Prentice Hall
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric Theory. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Nydick, R. L. and R. P. Hill (1992). "Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process to Structure the Supplier Selection Procedure." Journal of Purchasing and Material Management: 31-36. Penerbit; Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Skudai Johor Darul Ta'zim
- Peng K.C and. Chen B.D (2005) "Web based cost estimation and supplier selection based on parametric cost estimation". Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management 19(2):110-127
- Pett M.A, Lacky N. R and Sullivan J.J (2003). Making Sense of Factor Analysis: The Use of Factor Analysis for Instrument Development in Health Care Research. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition. Ohio, Sage Publications, Inc
- Porter, A. M. (1997). "Supplier Evaluation Revisited." Purchasing 111(6): 58-68.
- Prahinsky, C. (2001). Communication strategies and supplier performance evaluations in an industrial supply chain, The Ohio State University. **Ph.D**.Dissertation

- Ridge, Andreas M. (2003). Validity and reliability testin case study research: a literature review with "hands-on" applications for each research phase. Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal. 6(2): 75-86
- Saaty, T. L. (1980). The Analytic Hierarchy Process. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. New York, McGraw Hill.
- Sanders, Donald H. (1995) Statistics: A First Course. Fifth Edition NEW York, McGraw Hill
- Saunders, A. (1994). "Supplier Audits as Part of a Supplier Partnership." The TQM Magazine 6(2): 41-42.
- Schimtz, J. and K. W. Platts (2003). "Roles of supplier performance measurement: indication from a study in the automotive industry." Management Decision 41(8): 711-721.
- Stern, L. W. and A. I. El-Ansary (1982). Marketing Channels. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Princess Hall.
- Sucky, E. (2001). A dynamic model for strategic supplier selection Operations Research Proceedings.
- Sucky, E. (2001). A dynamic model for strategic supplier selection Operations Research Proceedings. September 15-18. Dearbon, Michigan: IEEE. 360-365

  The University of Alabama. Ph.D Dissertation
- Sung H. H and Krishnan R. (2007)"A hybrid approach to supplier selection for the maintenance of a competitive supply chain". Expert Systems with Applications. 34(2): 1303-1311
- Tsai, W. C. (1999). A procedure for the supplier evaluation problem under risk using fuzzy sets, The University of Alabama. Ph.Dissertation