

MIXED INTEGER PROGRAMMING (MIP) MODEL FOR PRODUCTION
SCHEDULING IN AN AUTOMOTIVE PART COMPANY

AISYAH NABILAH BINTI KAMARUDDIN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2010

Thanks to

My beloved parents

Kamaruddin bin Hashim and Roslina binti Nik Mohd. Amin

My supportive fiancée

Mohd. Khairul Rijal bin Ab. Rahman

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express a lot of thank to Allah S.W.T for His Blessing and giving me the strength to complete this project. I manage to complete my Master Project without facing any major problems.

My sincere and heartfelt thanks to my project supervisor, Dr.Wong Kuan Yew, for enlightening supervision and countless hours spent in sharing his insightful understanding, profound knowledge and valuable experiences in order to make sure my project is done successfully. As a supervisor, he has been a source of motivation towards the completion of this project.

Thousands gratitude credited to Mr.Mohamad Ikbar bin Abdul Wahab for helping to make this project success. I would also like to express a special thank to my family members for their priceless support, encouragement, constant love, valuable advices, and their understanding of me. Last but not least, thanks to all my friends, and to all people who have involved directly and indirectly in making my research project become flourishing and successful.

ABSTRACT

Scheduling is defined as the allocation of resources to task over time in such a way that a predefined performance measure is optimized. Scheduling is a decision making function that plays an important role in manufacturing industries. In this research, a model of Mixed Integer Programming is proposed based on the scheduling problems in an automotive part production company. This mathematical method provides a theoretical framework for the formulation and solution of the models which involve the translation of the real problem into a model. This model targets to optimize the scheduling production in order to increase productivity. All standard constraints encountered in the production scheduling are included in the model (machine capacity, material balances, manpower restrictions and inventory limitations). Machine setup time changeover and cost changeover for the different product will influence the arrangement of scheduling process. The objective function that is minimized considers all major sources of variable cost that depend on the production schedule, such as changeover cost between products, inventory cost and labor cost. The result from this study are presented and discussed based on the optimal production schedule.

ABSTRAK

Penjadualan ditakrifkan sebagai peruntukan sumber daya untuk tugas dari masa ke masa sedemikian rupa sehingga saiz prestasi yang telah ditetapkan dioptimumkan. Penjadualan adalah proses membuat keputusan yang memainkan peranan penting dalam industri perkilangan. Dalam kajian ini, model ‘Mixed Integer Programming’ dibentuk berdasarkan kepada masalah penjadualan yang timbul di bahagian pengeluaran automotif di syarikat. Kaedah matematik memberikan kerangka teori untuk formulasi dan penyelesaian model yang diterjemahkan dari masalah nyata ke dalam bentuk model. Model ini mensasarkan untuk mengoptimumkan penjadualan pengeluaran dengan tujuan meningkatkan produktiviti. Semua rintangan yang wujud dalam penjadualan pengeluaran akan diserapkan ke dalam model (mesin kapasiti, keseimbangan bahan mentah, sekatan tenaga kerja dan keterbatasan inventori). Pertukaran masa persediaan mesin dan pertukaran kos untuk produk yang berbeza akan mempengaruhi tatacara proses penjadualan. Fungsi objektif yang diminimalkan mempertimbangkan semua sumber utama dari kos pembolehubah yang bergantung pada jadual pengeluaran, seperti kos pertukaran di antara produk, kos persediaan dan kos tenaga kerja. Hasil dari kajian ini disajikan dan dibahas mengikut penjadualan pengeluaran yang optimum.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	2
	1.4 Scopes of the Study	3
	1.5 Significance of the Study	3
	1.6 Outline of the Report	4
	1.7 Conclusion	7
2	SCHEDULING	8
	2.1 Production Planning	8
	2.2 Scheduling	9
	2.2.1 Flowshop Scheduling	11
	2.3 Review of Previous Work in Scheduling	12

2.4	Issues in Scheduling	16
2.5	Mixed Integer Programming	17
2.5.1	Mixed Integer Programming Algorithm	18
2.6	Conclusion	19
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	20
3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Research Methodology	20
3.3	Company I	23
3.3.1	Data Collection	24
3.4	AIMMS Modeling System Software	27
3.5	Conclusion	29
4	FORMULATION MODEL	30
4.1	Introduction	30
4.2	Problem Structure	30
4.3	Problem Definition	31
4.4	Model Formulation	34
4.4.1	Parameters	34
4.4.2	Decision Variables	35
4.4.3	Objective Function	37
4.4.4	Constraints	37
4.5	Conclusion	41
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
5.1	Introduction	42
5.2	New Proposed Production Schedule	42
5.3	Conclusion	51

6	CONCLUSION	52
6.1	Introduction	52
6.2	Conclusion	52
6.3	Limitations of Study	53
6.4	Future Research	54
	REFERENCES	55
	APPENDIX	60

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Structure if the report	6
31	Product demand for a month period	24
3.2	Cycle time of each product in the production process	25
3.3	Changeover time from one product to another product	25
3.4	Changeover cost from one product to another product	26
3.5	Labor cost for a month period	26
4.1	Investigation of correctness of set (7)-(10)	42
5.1	The calculated production schedule	43
5.2	The flow of the whole process from one machine to another machine with the quantity of products to be made	46
5.3	The flow of the whole process from one machine to another machine with the quantity of products to be made	47
5.4	Daily production time including setup times	49

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	The overall methodology of the study	22
3.2	The process flow of the products in the company	23
4.1	Nomenclature contains indices, parameter and decision variables used in the model	36
5.1	Percentage of total production days needed for each product	48

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Scheduling can be broadly defined as the allocation of resources to tasks over time in such a way that a predefined performance measure is optimized. From the view point of production scheduling, the resources and tasks are commonly referred to as machines and job and the commonly used performance measure is the completion time of jobs [1]. In manufacturing, there are many types of scheduling such as job shop, flow shop, sequencing, parallel and etc. Problems arise in scheduling when the process of the production has many products to be produced. Therefore, scheduling can be said as a strategy to determine what product will be produced in a certain day based the certain limitations that need to be considered.

In order to have a good scheduling planning, a technique can be applied in this problem is Mixed Integer Programming (MIP). Mixed integer programming is known as a guiding quantitative decision in business, industrial engineering and to the social and physical sciences. In World War II, this technique used to deal with transportation, scheduling and allocations of resources under constraints like cost and priority gave subject an impetus that carried it into the postwar era. This means that, this technique can be used in order to help in solving scheduling problem. In this study, MIP is used to model the real life situations in scheduling of automotive parts production process.

1.2 Problem Statement

Scheduling is a complicated problem where there is a variety of products needs to be scheduled in a certain period of time. In one day, production only can produce a certain amount of product. This issue is further complicated where a decision needs to be made in order to schedule the production process for all of the products. This decision must take into consideration about the limitations that may present during the scheduling process. Limitations that present in the scheduling problem are machine capability, labor hour, changeover time for transition between two products, change over cost for transition between two products and cycle time for each product. Each type of product may have a different cycle time process where it will restrict the amount of production due to the machine capacity. Besides, in one day, there is possibility to have more than one product to be manufactured which involve the changeover time and cost between those products. Therefore, a good scheduling planning is needed to overcome all the restriction so that an optimal production can be achieved.

1.3 Objective

In order to complete this project within the time frame and the scope given, several objectives for this research have been identified and listed such as below:

- a) To formulate a model based on Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) method which can solve scheduling problem in an automotive part company.

- b) To determine the optimum schedule for the automotive part production process.

1.4 Scope of the Study

In this research, the data used were taken from a company named company I which is located at Pasir Gudang, Johor. This study focused only on the production department where automotive component part is produced. The scope is narrow down by only selecting a single production line as the subject in this study which is capable to produce 8 different types of product. A complete data for a month duration will be used in the study.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The significance of this study is to create a Mixed Integer Programming model based on the company scheduling problem. This model will be used to solve the scheduling problem in order to help the company to have a better planning in the scheduling production process. Through this model, an effective scheduling planning for the production process in a month period can be created as a guide to the company so that an optimal production can be obtained.

1.6 Outline of the Report

The structure of the report can be summarized as shown in Table 1.1. The description of each chapter in the report is as follow:

1) Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter gives a broad idea about the whole study. The main topics included in this chapter are background of scheduling and mixed integer programming (MIP) technique, problem statement, objective, scope, significance of study and the outline of the project report.

2) Chapter 2: Scheduling

This chapter summarized all the theories, information and previous research that related to the scheduling problem and mixed integer programming technique. Discussion based on the finding from journals, books, internet, articles and etc are done in this chapter. Topics included in this chapter are production planning, scheduling, mixed integer programming (MIP) and previous research related to scheduling problem.

3) Chapter 3: Methodology

This chapter describes research methods used in this study. It gives information on how the study are done and conducted through the whole period in completing the study. Data will be collected from the company's information during the case study. Once data have been completed, an analysis of the data will be done by using AIMMS software in order to produce optimal production schedule.

4) Chapter 4: Formulation Model

A mixed integer programming model formulation will be created and discuss in this chapter. Information about the model is explained in details here.

5) Chapter 5: Optimal Production Schedule

This chapter presents all the results of this study which was solved by using AIMMS software. The solution of the problem will be proposed once it satisfied all the restrictions in production process.

6) Chapter 6: Conclusion

This chapter summarizes the report. Recommendations for future works will be suggested in this chapter also.

Table 1.1: Structure of the report

CHAPTER	CONTENTS
Chapter 1 Introduction	<p>This chapter examines the overall perspective for the research, such as:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Background of the study - Problem statement of the study - Objectives of the study - Scope of the study - Significant of the study - Outlines of the report
Chapter 2 Scheduling	<p>This chapter focuses on the following topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Production planning - Scheduling - Mixed integer programming - Previous research
Chapter 3 Research Methodology	<p>This chapter introduces the research methodology structure and research process which consists of:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Research methodology - Data collected
Chapter 4 Formulation Model	<p>This chapter discuss about the data used in the process of created a MIP formulation model based on the company's scheduling problem which consists of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Problem structure - Problem definition - Model formulation

Chapter 5 Results and Discussion	This chapter discuss about the data analyses, findings and the proposed solution. Topics included are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Result - Findings - Discussion
Chapter 6 Conclusion	This chapter is the last chapter of the thesis which is the concluding and recommendation part. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conclusion of the study - Recommendation for future works

1.7 Conclusion

As the conclusion, scheduling problem is a big issue to the manufacturer. A good manufacturer will identify the problem of the company's scheduling planning so that the problem can be solved by using certain suitable method. This study was done in order to help in improving the company scheduling planning by using application of the mathematical formulation. In the next chapter, further discussion about the problem in scheduling and Mixed Integer Programming technique will be discussed for more understanding on this research.

References

- [1] Ozguven.C,Ozbakir.L.,Yavuz.Y. (2009). Mathematical Models for Job-Shop Scheduling Problems with Routing and Process Plan Flexibility. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*.In Press.
- [2] Kanishka Bedi. (2007). Production and Operations Management. *Second editon*. Oxford University Press. India.
- [3] Heizer.J. and Render.B. *Operations Management*. 9th edition. Upper Saddle River, N.J.Pearson Prentice Hall. 2008.
- [4] Wazed.M.A, Ahmed.S. and Nukman.Y. (2009) .*Commonality Indices in Design and Production Planning*. Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. Vol. 3(1). Pp 1-20.
- [5] Yavuz.Y. et al. (2009). *Mathematical Models for Job-Shop Scheduling Problems with Routing and Process Plan Flexibility*. Applied Mathematical Modeling. Elsevier Inc. In Press.
- [6] Pochet.Y. and Wolsey.L.A. *Production Planning by Mixed Integer Programming*. United States of America. Springer .2006
- [7] Yavuz.Y. et al. (2009). *Mathematical Models for Job-Shop Scheduling Problems with Routing and Process Plan Flexibility*. Applied Mathematical Modeling. Elsevier Inc. In Press.
- [8] Omar.K.M and Teo.S.C. (2006). *Minimizing the Sum of Earliness/Tardiness in Identical Parallel Machines Schedule with Incompatible Job Families : An Improved MIP Approach*. Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol.181. pp 1008-1017.
- [9] Blomer.F and Gunther.H.O. (1998). *Scheduling of a Multi Product Batch Process in the Chemical Industry*. Computers in Industry. Vol.36. pp 245-259

- [10] Doganis. P and Sarimveis.H. (2006). *Optimal Scheduling In A Yogurt Production Line Based On Mixed Integer Linear Programming*. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 80:445-453.
- [11] Harjunktoski.I , Isaksson.J and Westerland.T. 1998. *Solving a Two-dimensional Trim-Loss Problem with MILP*. *European Journal of Operational Research*. Vol.104. pp 572-581.
- [12] Nystrom.R.H , Harjunktoski.I and Kroll.A . 2006. *Production Optimization for Continuously Operated Processes with Optimal Operation and Scheduling of Multiple Units*. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. Vol.30 . pp 392- 406.
- [13] R.Simpson and A.Abakarov. 2009. *Optimal Scheduling of Canned Food Plants Including Simultaneous Sterilization*. *Journal of Food Engineering*. Vol.90. pp 53-59.
- [14] Lee.H, Pinto.J.M, Grossman.I.E and Park.S. (1996). *Mixed-Integer Linear Programming Model for Refinery Short-term Scheduling of Crude Oil Unloading with Inventory Management*.*Industrial Engineering Chemistry*. Vol.35. pp 1630-1641.
- [15] Choi.B.C et al. (2007). *Single Machine Scheduling Problem with Controllable Processing Times and Resources Dependent Release Times*.*European Journal of Operational Research*. Vol 181. Pp.645-653.
- [16] Mendez.C.A., Grossmann.I.E., Harjunktoski.I. and Kabor.P. (2006). *A Simultaneous Optimization Approach for Off-line Blending and Scheduling of Oil-Refinery Operations*. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. Vol.30. pp 614-634.
- [17] T'kindt.V., Jatinder.N.D.G, and Billau.J.C. (2003). *Two Machine Flowshop Scheduling with a Secondary Criterion*. *Computers & Operations Research*. Vol 30. Pp 505-526

- [27] Orcun.S., Altinel.I.K. and Hortacsu.O. (2001). *General Continuous Time Models for Production Planning and Scheduling of Batch Processing Plants: Mixed Integer Linear Program Formulations and Computational Issues*. Computers and Chemical Engineering. Vol.25. pp 371-389.
- [28] F.Werner. (1997). *Parallel Machine Scheduling Problems with a Single Server*. Mathematical Computer Modelling. Vol. 26. Pp 1-11
- [29] Earl.M.G. (2005). *Iterative MILP Methods for Vehicle-Control Problems*. IEEE Transactions on Robotics. Vol.21.
- [30]Grotschel.M. and Nemhauser.G.L. (2008). *Georgre Dantzig's Contributions to Integer Programming*.Discrete Optimization. Vol.5. pp 168-173.
- [31] Jin.M., Luo.Y. and Eksioglu.S.D. (2008). *Integration of Production Sequencing and Outbound Logistics in the Automotive Industry*. Int.J.Production Economics. Vol.113. pp 766-774.
- [32] Kim.s. et al. (2008). *A Mixed Integer Programming Model for the Multiperiod Scheduling Problem of Blending Zinc Bearing Materials to Minimize Setups in the Hydrometalurgical Process*. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B.Vol.39B.pp 896-905.
- [33] Omar.M.K. and Teo.S.C. (2006). *Minimizing the Sum of Earliness/Tardiness in Identical Parallel Machined Schedule with Incompatible Job Families : An Improved MIP Approach*.Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol.181. pp 1008-1017.
- [34] Chen.K. and Ji.P. (2007). *A Mixed Integer Programming Model for Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS)*. European Journal of Operational Research. Vol.181. pp515-522.
- [35] Wolsey.L.A. (1997). *MIP Modelling of Changeovers in Production Planning and Scheduling Problems*. European Journal of Operational Research. Vol.99. pp 154-165.
- [36] Rocha.P.L. et al. (2008). *Exact Algorithms for A Scheduling Problem with Unrelated Parallel Machines and Sequence and Machine Dependent Setup Times*. Computers & Operations Research. Vol.35. pp 1250-1264.

- [37] Dastidar.S.G. and Nagi.R. (2005). *Scheduling Injection Molding Operations with Multiple Resource Constraints and Sequence Dependent Setup Times And Costs*. Computers & Operational Research. Vol.32. pp 2987-3005.
- [38] Roslof.J. et al. (2002). *Solving a Large Scale Industrial Scheduling Problem Using MILP Combined with a Heuristic Procedure*. European Journal of Operational Research. Vol. 138. Pp 29-42.
- [39] Mokotoff.E. (2004). *An Exact Algorithm for the Identical Parallel Machine Scheduling Problem*. European Journal of Operational Research. Vol.152. pp 758-769.
- [40] Pochet.Y. and Warichet.F. (2008). *A Tighter Continuous Time Formulation for the Cyclis Scheduling of a Mixed Plant*. Computers and Chemical Engineering. Vol.32. pp 2723-2744.
- [41] Taha.H.A. *Operations Research: An Introduction*. 8th edition. Upper Saddle River, N.J . prentice Hall .2007.

DATA : CHANGEOVER TIME (IN SECOND)

The screenshot shows the AIMMS software interface with the 'ChangeoverSetup' data table selected. The table contains the following data:

K	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8
P1	1260	1018	1378	1424	1190	1378	1260	
P2		1018	1404	1497	1424	1190	1404	
P3			1260	1332	1440	1424	6.383	
P4				1260	1378	1083	1424	
P5					1332	1440	1018	
P6						1497	1378	
P7							1440	
P8								

DATA : CYCLE TIME FOR EACH PRODUCT

The screenshot shows the AIMMS software interface with the 'CycleTimeProduct' data table selected. The table contains the following data:

K	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8
P1	111							
P2	126							
P3	115							
P4	138							
P5	140							
P6	147							
P7	201							
P8	254							

CONSTRAINT : TOTAL PRODUCT

The screenshot displays the AIMMS software interface. On the left, the 'Model Explorer' shows a tree structure under 'Constraint Declaration' with 'TotalProduct(k)' selected. The main workspace shows the 'TotalProduct' constraint definition. The 'Type' is set to 'Constraint'. The 'Identifier' is 'TotalProduct'. The 'Index domain' is 'k'. The 'Definition' field contains the mathematical expression: $\text{sum} [(i), \text{ProductProduce} (i, k)] = \text{Demand} (k)$. The status bar at the bottom right indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : MAXIMUM LOT

The screenshot displays the AIMMS software interface. On the left, the 'Model Explorer' shows a tree structure under 'Constraint Declaration' with 'MaximumLotProduct(i,k)' selected. The main workspace shows the 'MaximumLotProduct' constraint definition. The 'Type' is set to 'Constraint'. The 'Identifier' is 'MaximumLotProduct'. The 'Index domain' is '(i, k)'. The 'Definition' field contains the mathematical expression: $\text{ProductProduce} (i, k) \leq \text{MaximumLot} (k) * \text{BIN} (i, k)$. The status bar at the bottom right indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : MINIMUM LOT

The screenshot displays the AIMMS - Non-commercial Student Version interface. The 'Model Explorer' on the left shows a tree structure with 'MinimumLotProduct(i,k)' selected under 'Constraint Declaration'. The main workspace shows the details for this constraint:

- Type:** Constraint
- Identifier:** MinimumLotProduct
- Index domain:** (i, k)
- Text:**
- Unit:**
- Property:**
- Definition:** $ProductProduce(i, k) \geq MinimumLots(k) * BIN(i, k)$
- Comment:**

The status bar at the bottom indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : TOTAL MATERIAL BALANCE

The screenshot displays the AIMMS - Non-commercial Student Version interface. The 'Model Explorer' on the left shows a tree structure with 'TotalMaterialBalance(k)' selected under 'Constraint Declaration'. The main workspace shows the details for this constraint:

- Type:** Constraint
- Identifier:** TotalMaterialBalance
- Index domain:** (k)
- Text:**
- Unit:**
- Property:**
- Definition:** $OpenInventory(k) + \sum\{i\}, ProductProduce(i, k) = Demand(k) + \sum\{i\}, Inventory(i, k)$
- Comment:**

The status bar at the bottom indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : TOTAL MACHINE TIME

The screenshot displays the AIMMS interface for the 'TotalMachineTime' constraint. The left pane shows a tree view of the model structure, with 'TotalMachineTime(i)' selected under the 'Constraint Declaration' folder. The main pane shows the following details:

- Type:** Constraint
- Identifier:** TotalMachineTime
- Index domain:** (i)
- Text:**
- Unit:**
- Property:**
- Definition:**

$$\text{Time}(i) = \text{sum}[(k), \text{ProductProduce}(i, k) / \text{CycleTimeProduct}(k)] + \text{sum}[(k, m), \text{ChangeoverCoat}(k, m) * \text{BINSETUP}(i, k, m)]$$
- Comment:**

The status bar at the bottom right indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : SETUP 1

The screenshot displays the AIMMS interface for the 'Setup1' constraint. The left pane shows a tree view of the model structure, with 'Setup1' selected under the 'Constraint Declaration' folder. The main pane shows the following details:

- Type:** Constraint
- Identifier:** Setup1
- Index domain:** (i, m, k)
- Text:**
- Unit:**
- Property:**
- Definition:**

$$\text{BINSETUP}(i, k, m) \leq 1 + (1 - \text{BIN}(i, k)) + (1 - \text{BIN}(i, m)) \quad \text{sum}[(m-1 <= k <= m+1), \text{BIN}(i, k)]$$
- Comment:**

The status bar at the bottom right indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : SETUP 2

The screenshot displays the AMMS software interface for defining a constraint. The left pane shows a tree view of the model structure, with 'Setup2(i,k,m)' selected under 'Constraint Declaration'. The right pane shows the details for 'Setup2'.

Property	Value
Type	Constraint
Identifier	Setup2
Index domain	(i, k, m)
Text	
Unit	
Property	
Definition	$\text{BINSETUP}(i, k, m) \geq \text{BIN}(i, k) + \text{BIN}(i, m) - 1 - \text{sum}[(m-1-k \leq k \leq k+1), \text{BIN}(i, k)]$
Comment	

The status bar at the bottom indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : SETUP 3

The screenshot displays the AMMS software interface for defining a constraint. The left pane shows a tree view of the model structure, with 'Setup3(i,k,m)' selected under 'Constraint Declaration'. The right pane shows the details for 'Setup3'.

Property	Value
Type	Constraint
Identifier	Setup3
Index domain	(i, k, m)
Text	
Unit	
Property	
Definition	$\text{BINSETUP}(i, k, m) \leq \text{BIN}(i, k)$
Comment	

The status bar at the bottom indicates 'READY'.

CONSTRAINT : SETUP 4

The screenshot displays the AIMMS software interface. On the left, the Model Explorer shows a tree structure under 'main masterInnovalues.amb'. The 'Constraint Declaration' folder is expanded, and 'Setup4(i,k,m)' is selected. The main workspace shows the details for 'Setup4', which is a 'Constraint' type. The 'Identifier' is 'Setup4', the 'Index domain' is '(i, k, m)', and the 'Definition' is the mathematical expression:
$$\text{BINSETUP}(i, k, m) \leq \text{BIN}(i, m)$$

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

The screenshot displays the AIMMS software interface. On the left, the Model Explorer shows a tree structure under 'main masterInnovalues.amb'. The 'Objective Function Declaration' folder is expanded, and 'LeastCostScheduling' is selected. The main workspace shows the details for 'LeastCostScheduling', which is a 'Variable' type. The 'Identifier' is 'LeastCostScheduling', and the 'Definition' is the mathematical expression:
$$\text{sum}[(i, k, l), \text{ChangeoverSetup}(k, l) * \text{BINSETUP}(i, k, l)] + \text{sum}[(i, k), \text{Inventory}(i, k)] + \text{LaborCost} * \text{Time}(i)$$

DATA USED IN THE PROJECT

The screenshot displays the AIMMS software interface with the 'masterInnovalues Input n Outp...' window open. The left sidebar shows a project tree with 'Parameter Declaration' expanded, highlighting 'ChangeoverSetup(k,l)'. The main workspace contains five data tables:

	Demand
P1	4250
P2	1710
P3	3334
P4	3334
P5	1667
P6	200
P7	500
P8	2477

	CycleTimeProduct
P1	111
P2	126
P3	115
P4	135
P5	140
P6	147
P7	201
P8	204

	LaborCost
	3000

	ChangeoverCost							
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8
P1	310	365	330	310	330	350	320	
P2		340	365	345	310	340	365	
P3			330	320	365	335	350	
P4				310	320	365	345	
P5					340	365	320	
P6						340	310	
P7							350	
P8								

	ChangeoverSetup							
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8
P1	1260	1018	1378	1404	1198	1378	1260	
P2		1018	1404	1497	1404	1198	1404	
P3			1260	1332	1440	1404	1378	
P4				1260	1378	1083	1404	
P5					1332	1440	1018	
P6						1497	1378	
P7							1440	
P8								

The bottom status bar shows 'masterInnovalues.prj / Act.Case: [Initial Data masterInnovalues]' and a 'READY' indicator.

RESULT OBTAIN FROM AIMMS SOFTWARE

AIMMS - Non-commercial Student Version

File Edit View Data Object Run Settings Tools Window Help

Page Manager

- Page Tree
- All Data Pages
- masterInnovalues Input n Out
- masterInnovalues Output

*masterInnovalues Output

	ProductProduceOnDay								Total
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	
D1	713								713
D2						394			394
D3		628							628
D4								388	388
D5					538				538
D6				586					586
D7			688						688
D8	437					200			637
D9	713								713
D10								388	388
D11		628							628
D12			688						688
D13	248			375					623
D14			688						688
D15				586					586
D16								388	388
D17			449	29			106		584
D18	713								713
D19								388	388
D20					538				538
D21				586					586
D22					341		149		490
D23	713								713
D24		688							688
D25								388	388
D26	713								713
D27				586					586
D28	248	400							648
D29				586					586
D30								388	388
D31		54	133		250				437
Demand	4250	1710	3334	3334	1667	200	500	2477	

	TotalTime								Totaltime
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	
D1	21.9								21.9
D2							21.9		21.9
D3		22.0							22.0
D4								22.0	22.0
D5					20.9				20.9
D6				22.0					22.0
D7			22.0						22.0
D8	13.5					8.2			22.0
D9	21.9								21.9
D10								22.0	22.0
D11		22.0							22.0
D12			22.0						22.0
D13	7.6			14.1					22.0
D14			22.0						22.0
D15				22.0					22.0
D16								22.0	22.0
D17			14.3	1.1			5.9		22.0
D18	21.9								21.9
D19								22.0	22.0
D20					20.9				20.9
D21				22.0					22.0
D22						13.3		8.4	22.0
D23	21.9								21.9
D24			22.0						22.0
D25								22.0	22.0
D26	21.9								21.9
D27				22.0					22.0
D28	7.6	14.0							22.0
D29				22.0					22.0
D30								22.0	22.0
D31		1.9	4.2		9.7				16.5

Model Expl... Page Mana...

Messages / Errors

masterInnovalues.prj Act.Case: [Initial Data masterInnovalues] (66,24) - (456,516) size: 390x492 - Table READY