ALLOCATION OF SECURITY COST IN A PURE POOL-BASED ELECTRICITY MARKET DESGIN BASE ON LOAD CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SECURITY PROBLEM

NOOR ZARITH IFFAH BINTI JASMANI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of degree of

Master of Engineering (Electrical - Power)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia To my beloved mak, ayah and abg

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, thanks to Allah SWT the most merciful for the guidance and knowledge throughout this project.

I wish to express my gratitude to my project supervisor, Dr. Md Pauzi bin Abdullah for his guidance, knowledge, support and unfailing patience when i was under his supervision. His motivation made me successfully completing the project.

The finishing of the project was also contributed by many suggestion and comments from the panels of the project and my course mates. I am very grateful to them.

Finally, special thanks to my family members for the constant support and encouragement. Without their support, the project could not be completed.

ABTRACT

Transmission congestion management in pool market model has become the main issue of the debate in competitive electricity markets over the years. This happened due to a lot of problems and associated issues which need serious attention from all participants; suppliers, pool operator and consumers. Previously the UK pool handled the cost of transmission constraints by an uplift charge and used a uniform price for transaction between suppliers and consumers. However, it is some kind of nondiscriminatory auction since it gives incorrect signals for sitting new power plants. Then, nodal pricing is introduced in pool market as another alternative to solve the security cost allocation. The approach is able to reflect the locational value in accounting for losses and congestion. Though, it contributes towards merchandizing surplus. In order to assure the security cost allocation satisfies the economic efficiency and provides fair prices, a study on this issue is being conducted. Several comparisons are made between uniform pricing, nodal pricing and game theory methods by testing them in pool system under different case studies. In order to realize it, MATLAB programs have been developed for three bus system and IEEE-14 bus system applications. The results obtained are analyzed for further improvements and recommendations.

ABSTRAK

Pengurusan kesesakan di talian penghantaran di dalam model pasaran kelompok (pool market) telah menjadi isu utama perdebatan di dalam pasaran elektrik sejak bertahun-tahun dulu. Ini berlaku kerana begitu banyak masalah dan isu-isu berkaitan yang memerlukan perhatian yang serius daripada semua pihak; tidak kira sama ada pembekal, operator kelompok dan pengguna. Sebelum ini, pihak pengurusan kelompok UK mengendalikan kos penghadan penghantaran sebagai harga bayaran angkatnaik (uplift) dan menggunakan harga yang sama untuk tranksaksi di antara pembekal dan pengguna. Walau bagaimanapun, ini merupakan seperti pendiskriminasian jual beli memandangkan ia memberikan isyarat yang tidak betul untuk mendirikan sebuah loji kuasa baru. Kemudian, harga nod diperkenalkan di pasaran kelompok sebagai alternatif lain untuk menyelesaikan peruntukan kos sekuriti. Pendekatan ini mampu mengembalikan nilai lokasi dalam mengambilkira kehilangan dan kesesakan. Namun, ia menyumbang kepada lebihan dagangan. Dalam memastikan peruntukan kos sekuriti memenuhi kecekapan ekonomi dan memberikan harga yang adil, satu kajian tentang isu ini dijalankan. Beberapa perbandingan dibuat antara kaedah harga seragam, harga nod dan teori permainan dengan mengujinya di sistem kelompok dengan kajian kes yang berbeza. Untuk merealisasikannya, program MATLAB telah dibangunkan untuk aplikasi sistem tiga bas dan sistem IEEE-14 bas. Keputusan yang diperolehi dianalisis untuk penambahbaikan dan cadangan lebih lanjut.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE		PAGE	
	DECLARATION		ii	
	DEI	DICATION	iii	
	ACI	KNOWLEDGMENTS	iv	
	ABS	STRACT	V	
	ABS	vi		
	TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii	
	LIS	X		
	LIS	T OF FIGURES	xi	
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xiii	
	LIS	T OF APPENDICES	xiv	
1	INT	RODUCTION	1	
	1.1	Project background	1	
	1.2	Problem Statement	2	
	1.3	Objective	3	
	1.4	Scope of Project	3	
	1.5	Thesis Outlines	3	
2	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	5	
	2.1	Introduction	5	
	2.2	Pool Market	6	
		2.2.1 One-sided Pool	7	
		2.2.2 Two-sided Pool	8	
	2.3	Transmission Congestion	8	
	2.4	Security Cost	9	
	2.5	Security Cost Allocation Methods	11	

		2.5.1	Uniform Pricing	12
		2.5.2	Nodal Pricing	13
		2.5.3	Game Theory	14
	2.6	Concl	usion	18
3	RES	EARCI	H METHODOLOGY	19
	3.1	Introd	uction	19
	3.2	Projec	t Methodology	19
	3.3	Concl	usion	22
4	MA	ΓLAB F	PROGRAMMING	23
	4.1	Introd	uction	23
	4.2	MATI	LAB Programming	23
		4.2.1	Problem Identification	24
		4.2.2	Design of Program	26
		4.2.3	Program Encoding	32
		4.2.4	Program Testing	32
		4.2.5	Program Documentation	32
	4.3	Concl	usion	33
5	RES	ULTS,	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	34
	5.1	Introd	34	
	5.2	Case S	Study 1	34
		5.2.1	Analysis and Discussion	35
	5.3	Case S	Study 2	36
		5.3.1	Analysis and Discussion	37
	5.4	Case S	Study 3	38
		5.4.1	Analysis and Discussion	39
	5.5	Case S	Study 4	40
		5.5.1	Analysis and Discussion	41
	5.6	Case S	Study 5	42
		5.6.1	Analysis and Discussion	43
	5.7	Concl	usion	43

6	CO	44	
	6.1	Conclusion	44
	6.2	Recommendations for Future Works	45
REFEREN	CES		47
Appendices	A - E		49-82

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Generator capacity and bid prices	10
2.2	Example of congestion charge under uniform pricing	13
2.3	Comparison between cooperative and non-cooperative	15
	game theory	
2.4	Choosing sides (Example of cooperative Game Theory)	15
5.1	Security cost allocation	35
5.2	Total congestion payment and surplus with congested	38
	branch 1-2	
5.3	Total congestion payment and surplus with congested	40
	branch 1-5	
5.4	Security cost allocation on loads and generators	41
5.5	Power generation during unconstrained and constrained	41
	dispatch for three bus system	
5.6	Power generation during unconstrained and constrained	43
	dispatch for IEEE-14 bus system	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Pool model	6
2.2	Supply and demand curve for one-sided pool	7
2.3	Supply and demand curve for two-sided pool	8
2.4	Example a system for pool operation	9
2.5	Elements in cooperative game theory	16
3.1	Flow chart of first part of project	20
3.2	Flow chart of second part of project	21
4.1	Three bus system for allocation security cost on load	24
	side only	
4.2	Three bus system for allocation security cost on load	25
	and generator sides	
4.3	IEEE-14 bus system for allocation security cost on	26
	load and generator side	
4.4	Flow chart of optimal power flow program for	27
	different percentage of loading (for load side only)	
4.5	Flow chart for program of security cost allocation on	28
	load side	
4.6	Flow chart of optimal power flow program for	29
	different percentage of loading (for generator load	
	side)	
4.7	Flow chart for program of security cost allocation on	31
	generator and load sides	
5.1	Cost charged to the loads in congested network under	36
	nodal pricing	
5.2	Security cost allocation using different methods with	37
	congested branch 1-2	

5.3	Security cost allocation using different methods with	39
	congested branch 1-5	
5.4	Security cost allocation on loads and generators	42

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEGB - Central Electricity Generating Board

DisCo - Distribution Company

h - hour

ISO - Independent System Operator

MP - Market Price MW - Megawatt

MWh - Megawatt-hour

OPF - Optimal Power Flow

SMP - System Marginal Price

SRMCC - Short Run Marginal Congestion Cost

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Optimal Power Flow Program	49
B1	Program for security cost allocation on load side	52
	(3 bus system)	
B2	M-File (TEST2.m)	55
C1	Program for security cost allocation on load side	57
	(IEEE-14 bus system)	
C2	M-File (TEST14a.m)	64
D1	Program for security cost allocation on generator	66
	and demand side (3 bus system)	
D2	M-File (TESTgen.m)	70
E1	Program for security cost allocation on generator	72
	and demand side (IEEE-14 bus system)	
E2	M-File (TEST14gen.m)	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

In competitive electricity market, a wide access to networks that connect customers and suppliers is required. The access to the transmission system by generators and loads is expected to be traded in a non-discriminatory and equitable manner. It shows the transmission networks play an important role in power system operation.

However, one characteristic of electric power networks has become a major concern in order to achieve transmission open access. The transmission congestion became a serious issue since the past few decades because of its effects to the power system operation. This special phenomenon may prevent the system operators from dispatching additional power from a specific generator and hence increases in cost which can be much greater than in the case of transmission losses.

The increase in cost is due to re-dispatching the cheapest available generators. Generation re-dispatch would cause the power generated by the cheaper generator not being delivered to the desired load and thus increasing the cost of energy production. This increase in cost is considered as the cost of having a secure system or in other words, a security cost.

A relevant problem related to the competitive electricity markets operating under the pool model is the allocation of the security cost among the consumers.

Typically, this cost is charged to the consumers as an uplift charge. However, this approach cannot give the correct signals to the consumers. Despite that, another approach is implemented in certain countries to reflect the locational value of the consumers. Yet, it still has weaknesses in the transaction between suppliers and consumers.

Therefore, there are many methods available and have been proposed with the purpose of solving the security cost allocation. Some of them are traceable flow method, new-user oriented, multi-stage method, Lagrange multiplier method, uniform pricing, nodal pricing and game theory. However, this project only concentrates on uniform pricing, nodal pricing and game theory. Several comparisons will be done between them by monitoring each performance in three bus system and IEEE-14 bus system applications. For that purpose, a MATLAB programs will be developed for application in pool model market.

1.2 Problem Statement

A main problem associated with competitive electricity market especially in pool-based market is allocation of the security cost among the consumers. Typically, uniform pricing approach is applied in allocation cost to consumers. However, the uniform pricing does not reflect economic efficiency since it does not consider which consumer contributes more towards congestion in the transmission networks. Also, the nodal pricing approach which is applied in certain regions results surplus in the transaction. This approach causes the revenue collected are in excess of the cost incurred.

Therefore, it is necessary to investigate other method of security cost allocation which satisfies the properties of economic efficiency and provides fair prices.

1.3 Objective

The purpose of this project is to compare between practical security cost allocation methods with other available method. In this project, the comparison on performance towards security cost allocation is made between uniform pricing, nodal pricing and game theory approach. The analysis will lead to advantages and disadvantages of each method.

This project also targets to develop a MATLAB program which able to solve security cost allocation problem in pool market. Therefore, the analysis can be made numerically and effectively.

1.4 Scope of Project

Basically, this project will cover the security cost allocation methods such as uniform pricing, nodal pricing and game theory. Those methods will be applied to three bus system and IEEE-14 bus system in order to monitor each performance. Afterwards, the pro and cons of each method are highlighted. Finally, a MATLAB program will be developed to test the method in simple and large system of pool model.

1.5 Thesis Outlines

This research project is divided into six chapters. Generally, some basic principles, theories, equations, previous researches references, case study and discussion were included in these five chapters based on the contents requirements of each chapter.

In chapter 1, the author has included the project overview, the problem statement for this research project, the objective of the project and the scope of

project. Chapter 2 presents the literature review on pool market model. This chapter briefly explains two types of pool market. This chapter also explains the transmission congestion which occurs in pool-based electricity market. Come to the end of the chapter, the author will present three methods on security cost allocation. The methods which include pro rata, nodal pricing and game theory will be explained in details in this chapter. Some methods are already applied in certain countries which their electricity markets are pool-based model and the remaining are the previous research works done by other researchers.

Chapter 3 presents the methodologies of carrying out the research as well as the procedures for MATLAB programming and power system network testing. The method will be presented in flow chart fort together with a brief explanation.

The MATLAB programming that developed by using MATPOWER will be covered in Chapter 4. There are five case studies will be presented which involving three bus system and IEEE-14 bus system. Each method; pro rata, nodal pricing and game theory will be applied to the same system in order to obtain the security cost allocation between consumers. Also, there will be additional part which attempting to allocate the security cost to both generators and loads. The developed programs will be shown in flow charts. Meanwhile, the MATLAB programs are attached in appendices.

Chapter 5 will discuss on the results from the simulation. The performance of each security cost allocation method in every system will be discussed in detail. The final section of this chapter will compare the results from each method. Some pros and cons for the security cost allocation methods will be pointed out.

Finally, Chapter 6 will conclude all the works, studies and comparisons that had been presented in the previous chapters. Besides, some recommendations for future work will be mentioned.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.L da Silva, J.C. Morales and A.C.G. de Melo, "Allocating Congestion Cost Using Game Theory," *Power Tech Proceedings, 2001 IEEE Porto*, vol.1, no., pp.5 pp. vol.1-, 2001.
- [2] A.G. Bakirtzis, "Aumann-Shapley transmission congestion pricing," *Power Engineering Review, IEEE*, vol.21, no.3, pp.67-69, Mar 2001.
- [3] A. Brandenburger, "Cooperative Game Theory," Apr 2007.
- [4] H. Singh, S. Hao and A. Papalexopoulos, "Transmission congestion management in competitive electricity markets," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol.13, no.2, pp.672-680, May 1998.
- [5] D. Kirschen and G. Strbac, "Fundamentals of Power System Economics, " John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2004.
- [6] M. Y. Hassan, "Teaching Module: Power System Control," 2nd Edition, FKE, UTM, 2007.
- [7] L. A. Barroso, T. H. Cavalcanti, K. Purchala, and P. Giesbertz, "Classification of Electricity Market Models Worldwide", IEEE 2005.
- [8] H. S. Jung, D. Hur and J. K. Park, "Congestion Cost Allocation Method in Pool Model," IEE, 2003.
- [9] A. R. Shirani and H. Siahkali, "Traceable Flow Method in Determination of Congestion Cost in Open Access Power System Network," IEEE, 2002.

- [10] H. Jiang, Y. Q. Zhou, and J. C. Peng, "A New User-Oriented Method for Congestion Cost Allocation," IEEE, 2002.
- [11] http://:en.wikipedia.org/wiki/coordination_game
- [12] M. P. Abdullah, M. Y. Hassan and F. Hussin, "Congestion Cost Allocation in a Pool-Based Electricity Market," PECon 2008, IEEE, Dec 2008.
- [13] R. D. Zimmerman and C. E. Murillo-Sanchez, "MATPOWER User's Manual," Cornell University, 2007.
- [14] M. Z. Mat Cha and W. A. G. Wan Abdullah, "Modul Sains Komputer," Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 1999.