

EFFECTS OF AIR VOID AND POROSITY ON MOISTURE DAMAGE OF
MALAYSIAN SPECIALTY HMA

HAMED ABDULGADER DOW

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering
(Civil - Transportation and Highway)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER, 2008

ABSTRACT

One of the main causes of distress in asphalt pavements is damage due to water. This causes related to many effects. The study evaluates different type of asphalt mixtures towards the resistance to moisture damage. The approach is to investigate the relationship between air void and porosity against the abrasion resistance and indirect tensile strength. The evaluation of such properties concentrates on the following three tests; porosity test, indirect tensile test (IDT) and CANTABRO test (CAT) .Three different wearing courses with modified asphalt which porous asphalt (PA),stone mastic asphalt (SMA) and gap-graded asphalt (GPA) that classified by (JKR/SPJ/2007) are studied. These three mixtures were designed by means of Superpave method to determine OBC. After that Specimens were prepared by means of Superpave Gyratory Compactor (SGC) and divided in two different subsets for controlled dry and wet conditioned testing and tested for water damage. Results provide relationship between porosity and air voids for PA mixture. In addition, study also able to establish good models for SMA and GPA mixes compared to other researcher (Walaa,2002). SMA and GPA mixtures (low porosity) showed less influence to moisture damage probably due to the reduce amount of penetrating water. The IDT and CAT are able to discriminate between mixtures of different porosity.

ABSTRAK

Salah satu punca utama masalah yang merisaukan dalam laluan pejalan kaki asphalt ialah kerosakan disebabkan air. Masalah ini berkaitan dengan pelbagai kesan. Kajian ini mengkaji beberapa jenis campuran asphalt ke arah ketahanan dari kerosakan yang disebabkan oleh kelembapan. Kaedahnya adalah dengan mengkaji kaitain antara ruang dan liang udara dengan ketahanan kemelecetan dan kekuatan ketengan secara tidak langsung. Pengkajian tentang perkara-perkara tersebut menumpu kepada tiga ujian berikut; ujian liang udara, ujian ketegangan secara tidak langsung, dan ujian CANTABRO. Tiga laluan berlainan dengan asphalt yang telah diubah kepada porous asphalt (PA), batu mastic asphalt (SMA), dan gap-graded asphalt (GPA) yang telah diklasifikasi oleh (JKR/SPJ/2007) telah dikaji. Ketiga-tiga campuran ini telah direka menggunakan kaedah Superpave untuk menentukan OBC. Selepas specimen telah disediakan menggunakan Superpave Gyratory Compactor (SGC) dan dibahagikan kepada dua subset yang berbeza untuk ujian kekeringan dan kebasahan terkawal dan diuji untuk kerosakan air. Keputusan menunjukan hubungan antara liang dan ruang udara untuk campuran PA. Tambahan itu, kajian juga telah berjaya memberikan model yang baik bagi campuran SMA dan GPA dibandingkan dengan pengkaji yang lain (Walaa,2002). Campuran SMA dan GPA (liang udara yang rendah) telah menunjukkan pengaruh yang kurang kepada kerosakan yang disebabkan oleh kelembapan kerana pengurangan jumlah ketembusan air. IDT dan CAT berupaya membezakan campuran liang udara yang berlainan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	PROJECT OVERVIEW	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Overview of Hot Mix Asphalt	4
	2.2 Malaysia specialty asphalt mix design	6
	2.2.1 Porous Asphalt Design (PA)	7

2.2.2	Stone Mastic Asphalt Design (SMA)	8
2.2.3	Gap Graded Asphalt Design	9
2.3	The Definitions and the Cause of the Moisture Damage of HMA	10
2.3.1	Adhesive Failure	10
2.3.2	Cohesive Failure	13
2.3.3	Factors Influencing Moisture Damage in HMA	14
2.4	The Mechanisms of Moisture Damage in HMA	14
2.5	Current Test Methods Used to Predict the Moisture Sensitivity of HMA	17
2.6	Porosity of HMA	18
3	METHODOLOGY	20
3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Material characteristics	20
3.2.1	Aggregates	22
3.2.1.1	Sieve Analysis	22
3.2.1.2	Aggregate specific gravity	24
3.2.2	Asphalt Binders	26
3.3	Aggregate Structure Design	26
3.4	Asphalt Mixture Design	27
3.4.1	Bulk specific gravity (corelok system)	29
3.4.2	Theoretical Maximum Density (TMD) Test	30
3.4.3	Analysis of Volumetric Parameters	30
3.4.3.1	Analysis of Air Void in the Compacted Mix(VIM)	31
3.4.3.2	Analysis of Void in Mineral Aggregate (VMA)	31
3.4.3.3	Analysis of Void in Mineral Aggregate (VMA)	32
3.4.4	Determination of Optimum Bitumen Content (OBC)	32
3.4.5	Binder Drain-down Test	32
3.4.6	Cantabro Test on Air Cured Samples	33
3.5	Experimental Program	34
3.5.1	Determination of sample porosity using the (Corelok system)	35

3.5.2	Indirect Tensile Test IDT	38
3.5.3	CANTABRO Test	38
3.5.3.1	Cantabro Test on Air Cured Samples	39
3.5.3.2	Cantabro Test on Water Soaked Samples	39
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Results of Materials Tests	40
4.2.1	Sieve Analyses	41
4.2.2	Determination of Materials Specific Gravity	41
4.2.2.1	Specific Gravity of Coarse Aggregate	41
4.2.2.2	Specific Gravity of Fine Aggregate	42
4.2.2.3	Specific Gravity of Mineral Filler	42
4.2.2.4	Blend Specific Gravity of Aggregate	42
4.2.2.5	Specific Gravity of Bitumen	43
4.3	Aggregate Gradation	43
4.4	Asphalt Mixture Design Results	45
4.5	Relationship between air void and porosity	46
4.6	Retained Strength or Stiffness	48
4.7	CANTABRO Test	54
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	57
5.1	Conclusions	57
5.2	Recommendations	58
	REFERENCES	59
	APPENDIX A - D	62 - 82

CHAPTER 1

PROJECT OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

Moisture damage of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) mixtures, generally called stripping, is a major form of distress in asphalt concrete pavement. Water is a primary cause of stripping of asphalt pavements since it accelerates or causes typical pavement distresses such as bleeding, rutting, cracking, raveling and localized failures (potholes) (Hicks,2003). It is characterized by the loss of adhesive bond between the asphalt binder and the aggregate (a failure of the bonding of the binder to the aggregate) or by a softening of the cohesive bonds within the asphalt binder (a failure within the binder itself), both of which are due to the action of loading under traffic in the presence of moisture.

Water flows through accessible voids or pore spaces in a pavement. Hence, the rate of flow must be related to the amount of water accessible voids, or porosity, in some way. Therefore, the porosity or permeability must be a function of air void. The nature and the growth rate of the traffic effects are associated with static and dynamic processes. Static processes cause weakening of cohesion and adhesion or structural destruction after freeze thaw cycles (Little, 2003). In case of water saturated pavements, the dynamic processes are directly related to traffic action which generates tension and water pressure in pores. This process starts when the water is allowed to circulate freely through the interconnected voids (Kandhal, 2001).

The potential for moisture damage in HMA has traditionally been evaluated through laboratory testing. Factors affecting moisture damage of HMA have been identified as the type and use of the mix, the characteristics of the asphalt binder and the aggregate and environmental effects during and after construction, and the use of anti-stripping additives (Kiggundu,1988), (Stuart,1990) and (Hicks,1991).

Malaysia, like many other countries in the world has relatively high rainfall ranging from 400 to 450 mm monthly. Rainfall is distributed throughout most of the year with portions of the months (June and July) being dryer than other months (monthly weather review 2008). Water penetrated through pores or affected cracks areas of the pavements, and caused stripping. In general, after being open to traffic for approximately four years, the pavements experienced minor to medium cracking problems. The effect of porosity on pavements associated with moisture damage immediate after being open to traffic is an important, yet often overlooked, issue .Three different wearing courses modified asphalt mixtures classified by (JKR/PSJ/2007), (PA) porous asphalt, (SMA) stone mastic asphalt and (GPA) gap graded asphalt were be evaluated in this study for the moisture damage.

1.2 Problem statement

The environment and traffic effects are associated with static and dynamic processes. Static processes cause weakening of adhesion between binder and aggregate or structural destruction after freeze thaw cycles (Little,2003). In case of water-saturated pavements, the dynamic processes are directly related to traffic action, which generates tension and water pressure in pores. This process starts when the water is allowed to circulate freely through the interconnected voids (Kandhal, 2001). Both dynamic and static processes are related to air voids and porosity content. As water passes through pores voids, these effects might result in a weak and saturated pavement immediate after being open to traffic. The action of water in an asphalt mixture is, however, highly

complicated. No single theory can well explain the effect of porosity on moisture damage.

1.3 Objectives

To date, there has not been any guideline to determine the potential of water damage in asphalt mixtures associated with porosity. The objectives of this study are as follows:

- Water flows through accessible voids or pore spaces in a pavement. Hence, the rate of flow must be related to the amount of water accessible voids, or porosity, in some way. Therefore, the porosity or permeability must be a function of air void.
- This study is attempted to simulate the water damage on asphalt pavement by indirect tensile strength and compacted mixtures loses.

1.4 Scope of the Study

This study involves laboratory experiments where this study focus on three different wearing courses modified asphalt mixtures classified by (JKR/PSJ/2007), (PA) porous asphalt, (SMA) stone mastic asphalt and (GPA) gap graded asphalt were be designed and evaluated in this study for the moisture damage. The mixtures design followed superpave method and their evaluated for moisture damage conducted by CANTABRO test (CAT) and indirect tensile test (IDT).

REFERENCES

1. Hicks, R.G., Santucci, L. and Aschenbrener, T., '*Introduction and seminar objectives*', TRB (2003).
2. Little, D.N. and Jones IV, D.R., '*Chemical and mechanical process of moisture damage in hot-mix asphalt pavements*', TRB (2003).
3. Kandhal, P.S. and Rickards, I.J., '*Premature failure of asphalt overlays from stripping: case histories*', NCAT Report No 01- 01, (2001).
4. Hicks, R.G. "Moisture *Damage in Asphalt Concrete.*" NCHRP Synthesis of Highway Practice, Vol.175, Transportation Research Board, October 1991.
5. Kiggundu, B.M. and Roberts, F.L. *Stripping in HMA Mixtures: State of The Art and Critical Review of Test Methods.* NCAT Report 88-2, National Center for Asphalt Technology, September 1988.
6. Stuart, K.D. *Moisture Damage in Asphalt Mixtures – A State of the Art Report.* FHWA-RD-90-019, Federal Highway Administration, August 1990.
7. http://www.kjc.gov.my/english/service/climate/climate_upd.html
8. "A *guide to the visual assessment of flexible pavement surface conditions*". Jabatan Kerja Malaysia; 2005.
9. "A *guide to the visual assessment of flexible pavement surface conditions*". Jabatan Kerja Malaysia; 2007.
10. Majidzadeh, K. and Brovold, F, N. *Effect of Water on Bitumen – Aggregate Mixtures – State of the Art.* Special HRB Report, No. 98, Highway Research Board, 1968
11. Kennedy, T.W., Roberts, F.L., and LEE, K.W. "Evaluation of *Moisture Susceptibility of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Texas Freeze-Thaw Pedestal Test.*" Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Vol. 53, 1982.
12. Tunnickliff, D.G. and Root, R.E. "Antistripping *Additives in Asphalt Concrete – State of the Art 1981.*" Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Vol. 53, 1982.

13. Lee, A.R. and Nicholas, J.W. “*Adhesion in Construction and Maintenance of Roads.*” Adhesion and Adhesives, Fundamentals and Practice, Society of Chemical Industry, London, 1954.
14. Rice, J.M. “*Relationship of Aggregate Characteristics to the Effect of Water on Bituminous Paving Mixtures.*” *ASTM STP 240*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1958.
15. Barksdale, R.D. *The Aggregate Handbook*. National Stone Association, Washington, D.C., 1991.
16. Povarennykh, A.S. *Crystal Chemical Classification of Mineral*. Plenum Press, New York –London, 1972.
17. Mark, C. “*Physic-Chemical Aspects of Asphalt Pavements: Energy Relations at Interface between Asphalt and Mineral Aggregate and Their Measurement.*” Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 1935.
18. Hubbard, P. “Adhesion in Bituminous Road Materials: A Survey of Present Knowledge.” *Journal of the Institute of Petroleum*, Vol. 44, No. 420, pp.423-432, 1958.
19. Bikerman, J.J. “*The Rheology of Adhesion.*” Rheology, Theory and Application, Vol.3, 1960.
20. Kanitpong, K. and Bahia, H, U. “*Role of Adhesion and Thin Film Tackiness of Asphalt Binders in Moisture Damage of HMA.*” Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Vol. 72, 2002.
21. Fromm, J.H. “*The Mechanisms of Asphalt Stripping From Aggregate Surfaces.*” Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Vol. 43, 1974.
22. Terrel, R.L. and Al-Swailmi, S. *Water Sensitivity of Asphalt – Aggregate Mixes: Test Selection*. Report SHRP-A-403, Strategic Highway Research Program, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1994.
23. Kiggundu, B.M. and Roberts, F.L. *Stripping in HMA Mixtures: State of The Art and Critical Review of Test Methods*. NCAT Report 88-2, National Center for Asphalt Technology, September 1988.
24. Thelen, E. “Surface Energy and Adhesion Properties in Asphalt-Aggregate System.” *Proceeding of the Highway Research Board*, Bulletin 192,1958.

25. Kim, Ok-Kee., Bell, C.A. and Hicks, R.G. “The Effect of Moisture on the Performance of Asphalt Mixtures.” *ASTM STP 899*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985.
26. Curtis, C.W., Terrel, R.L., Perry, L.M., AL-Swailm, S., and Braanan, C.J. “Importance of Asphalt –Aggregate Interactions in Adhesion.” *Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*, Vol. 60, 1991
27. Terrel, R.L., and Shute, J.W. *Summary Report on Water Sensitivity*. SHRP-A/IR-89-003, Strategic Highway Research Program, National Research Council, 1989.
28. Brown, A.B., Sparks, W.J., and Marsh, E.G., “ Objective Appraisal of Stripping of Asphalt from Aggregate.” *ASTM STP 240*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985.
29. Takallou, H.T., Hicks, R.G., and Wilson, J.L., “Evaluation of Stripping Problems in Oregon.” *ASTM STP 899*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985
30. Lottman, P. R. “Laboratory Test Method for Predicting Moisture – Induce Damage to Asphalt Concrete.” *Transportation Research Record*, No.843, 1982.
31. Taylor, A.Mark. and Khosla, N.Paul. “Stripping of Asphalt Pavements: State of The art.” *Transportation Research Record*, No.911, 1983.
32. Gzemski, G.F., McGlashan, W.D., and Dolch, L.W. “ Thermodynamic Aspects of the Stripping Problem.” *Highway Research Circular*, No. 78, 1968.
33. R.P.Lottman. *Predicting Moisture-Induced Damage to Asphalt Concrete*. NCHRP Report 192, Transportation Research Board, October 1978.
34. R.P.Lottman. *Predicting Moisture-Induced Damage to Asphalt Concrete – Field Evaluation*. NCHRP Report 246, Transportation Research Board, May 1982.
35. Kandhal, P.S. *Moisture Susceptibility of HMA Mixes: Identification of Problem and Recommended Solutions*. NCAT Report 92-1, National Center for Asphalt Technology, May 1992.