

IMPROVING THE PERFORMANCE OF PRECAST CONCRETE
BEAM-TO-BEAM CONNECTION WITH INADEQUATE LAP LENGTH

LING JEN HUA

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Civil Engineering (Civil-Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University of Technology Malaysia

NOVEMBER, 2007

Dedicated to all my family members

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the author wishes to express the greatest appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Baharuddin bin Abdul Rahman as the supervisor of this project. With all the guidance and advices given by the supervisor, this project was able to complete on time. His dedication and continuous assistances have led the author to strive for better achievement in this project.

Besides that, my sincere appreciation also extends to my colleagues Mr Lim Jee Hock and Mr Abdul Rahim Ghazali for their assistance and guidance in ensuring the successful completion of this research. I am also very thankful to all the technicians in structural laboratory who had assisted me in preparation of specimens and conducting testing in laboratory.

I also owe a debt of gratitude to my family and friends for their support and encouragements throughout my program and also in completing the writing of this project report.

Finally, the author wishes to thank all those who have contributed in one way or another in making this project a possible one.

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a feasibility study of different types of precast concrete beam-to-beam connections under inadequate lap length. The aim of the study is to develop a beam-to-beam connection, which is able to produce comparable bond strength with the conventional lapping system although the anchorage length is inadequate. A total of six connections were tested for a preliminary study in Phase I. Then, the connection that gave the best performance was selected as the proposed beam-to-beam connection for further study in Phase II. In the studies, steel plates, bolts and nuts system and welding technique were used to enhance the performance of the anchorage system. The welded end plate connection system shows a satisfactory result as it significantly increases the bearing capacity of bars to resist the slippage between reinforcement and concrete. Several unsatisfactorily connections, however, still show potentials of reaching the required loading capacity. Therefore, modification and improvement can be made to improve their performances.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini mengkaji beberapa jenis sambungan rasuk-ke-rasuk yang mempunyai tambatan tetulang yang tidak mencukupi. Laporan ini melaporkan kebolehlaksanaan sambungan-sambungan tersebut. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk merekabentuk satu sambungan rasuk-ke-rasuk yang berupaya membekalkan kekuatan ikatan yang hampir sama dengan sambungan tradisional walaupun tambatan yang disediakan adalah tidak mencukupi. Terdapat enam sambungan yang dikaji dalam kajian awal Fasa I. Sistem sambungan yang dicadangkan diperbaiki dengan pelbagai cara. Misalnya, menggunakan kepingan-kepingan besi, sistem skrew dan teknik kimpalan. Seterusnya, sambungan yang memberikan keputusan yang terbaik akan dipilih untuk kajian yang lebih teliti dalam Fasa II. Ianya digunakan sebagai sambungan untuk menyambungkan rasuk-rasuk pratuang. Sistem tambatan yang menggunakan kepingan-kepingan besi yang dikimpal memberikan keputusan yang memuaskan kerana ia berupaya menambah kapasiti galas tetulang besi untuk menanggung daya gelinciran antara tetulang besi dengan konkrit. Terdapat beberapa sambungan yang kurang memuaskan. Walaupun begitu, keputusan menunjukkan potensi untuk memperbaiki keberkesannya dalam menanggung beban. Oleh itu, pengubahsuaian dan kemajuan perlu dilakukan supaya ia dapat berfungsi dengan berkesan.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
	SUPERVISOR APPROVAL	i
	TITLE	ii
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOL	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xviii
 CHAPTER I	 INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Importance of Study	4

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Bond Stress	6
2.3 Bond Mechanism	8
2.4 Mechanism of Rib Bearing	9
2.5 Mode of Failure	11
2.6 Models for Ring-Tension Behaviour	12
2.7 Lap Splices	15
2.8 Confinement of splitting stress	16
2.9 Anchorage of bar in Grout	18
2.10 Effect of Concrete Properties	20
2.11 Measurement of bond stress	21
2.11.1 Single Bar Pullout Specimens	21
2.11.2 Beam Specimens	22
2.11.3 Beam-End Specimen	23
2.11.4 Lap Splice Tensile Specimens	24
2.11.5 Lap Splice Beam Specimens	25
2.12 Code provision on Development Length	25
2.12.1 BS 8110 Structural Use of Concrete – Part 1: Code of practice for design and construction	26
2.12.2 ACI 318-05 Building Code Requirement for Structural Concrete	27
2.12.3 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification (2004)	28
2.13 Conclusion	30

CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	31
3.2 Phase I: Simple, single-bar beam	32
3.2.1 Testing Method	32
3.2.2 Design of Specimens	33

3.3 Phase II: Testing of Beam-to-Beam Connection of T-Frame	36
3.3.1 Testing Method	36
3.3.2. Design of Specimens	38
3.4 Preparation of Specimens	40
3.4.1 Steel works	41
3.4.2 Concrete Works	43

CHAPTER IV RESULT AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Phase I: Simple single-bar beam	44
4.1.1 Specimen B-1	44
4.1.2 Specimen B-2	46
4.1.3 Specimen B-3	48
4.1.4 Specimen B-4	49
4.1.5 Specimen B-5	51
4.1.6 Specimen B-6	52
4.1.7 Specimen B-7	54
4.1.8 Specimen B-8	55
4.1.9 Summary of outcomes	57
4.2 Phase II: Beam-to-beam connection	59
4.2.1 Specimen T-1	59
4.2.2 Specimen T-2	61
4.2.3 Specimen T-3	64
4.3 Behaviour of Loading versus Displacement	65
4.4 Behaviour of Strain in Steel Reinforcement Bar and Plate	67
4.5 Response of moment versus rotation	72
4.6 Response of loading capacity versus anchorage length	73
4.7 Response of moment capacity versus anchorage length	74
4.8 Conclusion	75

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Conclusion	76
5.2 Recommendation	77

REFERENCE

APPENDIX

Appendix I

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Value of bond coefficient β (<i>BS8110 Table 3.26</i>)	26
3.1	The lapping lengths for the specimens in Phase II	39
3.2	Reinforcement for precast concrete components	39
4.1	Summary of performance of all proposed anchorage system in Phase I	58

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Stress diagram of concrete section	5
2.2	Development of anchorage length of reinforced concrete	6
2.3	Bond stress distribution (Ferguson et al. 1988)	7
2.4	Bond and splitting components of rib bearing stresses ^[1]	9
2.5	Mechanism of rib bearing on concrete ^[1]	10
2.6	The possible splitting failure	12
2.7	Models for ring-tension behaviour	13
2.8	Cohesive crack growth (<i>Rosati and Schumm</i>) ^[1]	14
2.9	Comparison of various bond model predictions with experimental data (after <i>Tepter and Gambarova</i>)	15
2.10	Slipping around lap bars	16
2.11	Active confinement in a beam end bearing	17
2.12	Confinement steel in the vicinity of a splitting crack ^[1]	17
2.13	Crack width of splitting cracks	18
2.14	Basic failure modes for Grouted connector (<i>Miltenberger 2001</i>)	19
2.15	Top cast bar effect ^[1]	21
2.16	Typical pullout specimen	22
2.17	Typical beam specimen for bond test	23
2.18	Typical beam-end test	24
2.19	Typical lap splice tensile specimens	24
2.20	Typical splice beam specimen	25
3.1	Testing method of Phase I	33
3.2	The location of point loads and the supports to conduct testing	33
3.3	The details of Control Specimen B1 and B2 in Phase I	34
3.4	Proposed anchorage system in Phase I	35

3.5	Reinforcement for all the proposed anchorage system in laboratory	35
3.6	Beam-to-beam connection - welded end plates system was used	36
3.7	Testing method of Phase II	37
3.8	The location of steel and strain gauges of the specimens in Phase II	38
3.9	The dimension of the specimens A in Phase II	39
3.10	Details of T-frame specimens of Phase II	40
3.11	Bar bender	41
3.12	Bar cutter	41
3.13	The mould that was prepared to bend shear links	41
3.14	Tensile machine and failure mode of steel bars under	42
3.15	The reinforcement bars of precast concrete column and beam	42
3.16	Precast concrete columns and beams in Phase II	43
4.1	Failure mode and development of cracks of Control B-1	44
4.2	Graph loading versus deflection of Control B-1	45
4.3	Failure mode and development of cracks of Control B-2	46
4.4	Graph loading versus deflection of Control B-2	46
4.5	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-3	48
4.6	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-3	48
4.7	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-4	49
4.8	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-4	50
4.9	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-5	51
4.10	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-5	51
4.11	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-6	52
4.12	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-6	53
4.13	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-7	54
4.14	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-7	54
4.15	Failure mode and development of cracks of Specimen B-8	55
4.16	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen B-8	56
4.17	Graph of loading versus deflection of all specimens	57
4.18	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen T-1	59
4.19	Stage 1: Specimen T-1 before testing	59
4.20	Stage 2: First crack is detected at 22kN	59

4.21	Stage 3: Crack condition at 25kN	60
4.22	Stage 4: Crack condition at 30kN	60
4.23	Stage 5: Crack condition at loading of 33kN	60
4.24	Stage 6: Crack condition at ultimate load of 36kN	60
4.25	Stage 7: Final crack condition at the end of testing	60
4.26	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen T-2	62
4.27	Stage 1: Specimen T-2 before testing	62
4.28	Stage 2: First crack is detected at 4.9kN	62
4.29	Stage 3: Crack condition at 17.9kN	63
4.30	Stage 4: Crack condition at 20kN	63
4.31	Stage 5: Crack condition at 25kN	63
4.32	Stage 6: Crack condition at 28kN	63
4.33	Stage 7: Crack condition at 31kN	63
4.34	Stage 8: Final crack condition at its ultimate state	63
4.35	Graph loading versus deflection of Specimen T-3	64
4.36	Stage 1: Specimen T-3 before testing	65
4.37	Stage 2: First crack was observed at 8.1kN	65
4.38	Stage 3: Crack condition at 10kN	65
4.39	Stage 4: Final crack condition at its ultimate state	65
4.40	Graph loading versus displacement at 900mm from column face	66
4.41	Graph loading versus displacement at 600mm from column face	66
4.42	Graph loading versus displacement at 300mm from column face	67
4.43	Loading versus tension steel strain in precast column for Specimen T-2 and T-3	67
4.44	Loading versus tension steel strain in precast beam for all specimens	68
4.45	Graph loading versus steel plate strain for all specimens	69
4.46	Graph loading versus tension concrete strain (centre of column) for all specimens	70
4.47	Graph loading versus tension concrete strain (construction joint) for all specimens	71
4.48	Graph moment versus rotation of beam	72
4.49	Loading capacity of specimen at different anchorage length	73

4.50	Moment capacity of beam-to-beam connection with different anchorage length	74
------	--	----

LIST OF SYMBOL

A_b	-	Area of reinforcing bar (in ²)
A_{tr}	-	Area of transverse reinforcement (in ²)
β	-	Coefficient dependent on the bar type
c	-	Spacing or cover dimension measured from centre of connector (in.)
d_b	-	Bar diameter (in.)
f_{bu}	-	The design ultimate anchorage stress
f'_c	-	Specified concrete compressive strength (ksi)
f_c	-	Specified compressive strength of concrete (psi)
f_y	-	Specified yield strength of steel reinforcement (ksi)
f'_y	-	Specified yield strength of the connector (psi)
f_{yt}	-	Specific yield strength of transverse reinforcement (psi)
K_{tr}	-	Transverse reinforcement index
l_d	-	Development length of bar in tension (in.)
l_{db}	-	Basic development length of bar in tension (in.)
n	-	Number of bars being developed along the plane of splitting
s	-	Maximum spacing of transverse reinforcement within l_d (in.)
ψ_t	-	Reinforcement location factor
ψ_e	-	Coating factor
ψ_s	-	Reinforcement size factor (0.8 for #6 and smaller bars, otherwise 1.0)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE
I	Table 3.27 BS8110: Part 1: 1997

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Reinforced concrete is a combination of concrete, which is strong and relatively durable in compression with reinforcing and steel, which is strong and ductile in tension. In order to maintain the composite action, load transfer between the concrete and steel is essential. It is basically influenced by the bond, which is idealized as continuous stress field that develops in the vicinity of the steel-concrete interface.

When reinforced concrete is subjected to moderate load where the bond stress capacity is not exceeded, there is only relatively little movement between the reinforced steel and the surrounding concrete. However, for severe load condition where localized bond demand exceeds its capacity, localized damage and significant movement between reinforcing steel and the surrounding concrete will occur.

It is know that the force at the free end of a stressed tendon is obviously zero. It require at least a certain distance, known as the transmission length, for the tendon

to develop the full force in order to resist the force subjected to the element. Therefore, insufficient anchorage length will lead to concentration of stresses that need to be resisted by bonds and leads failures of structure.

In precast concrete structures, attention should be given to connections and joints. The quality and the behaviour of connections will directly influence the structure performance. Sufficient anchorage length in certain precast concrete connections is essential to ensure the structure performs in its intended behaviour. In some cases, construction tolerance and insufficient space will lead to insufficient anchorage length and eventually lead to failure at connections.

This paper studies about the matter of tension anchorage length in precast beam-to-beam connections and the method to improve their performance. The study also intended to develop a new anchorage system, which is more effective in developing bond strength with minimum anchorage length.

1.2 Problem Statement

In precast concrete structures, structural elements are assembled together forming skeleton structure frame to transfer loads to the foundations. Precast elements are usually fabricated in factory where the qualities of members are usually properly controlled. Therefore, connections become the most essential factor influencing the performance of the structures, as improper connections among structural members will lead to failure of structures. In order to ensure the loads are properly transfer among structural members, the bond strength between concrete and steels at joints should be greater than the forces to be transferred and the development of the bond strength is influenced by the development length of the anchorage of bars.

The provisions for anchorage of straight bars and hooks sometimes gives detailing problems due to the long development lengths and large bend diameters that are required, particularly when large-diameter reinforcing bars are used. Occasionally the requirements for straight bar anchorage and lap spliced cannot be provided within the available dimensions of elements. Hooked bars can be used to shorten anchorage length, but in many cases, the bend of the hook will not fit within the dimensions of a members or the hooks create congestion and make element difficult to construct. Mechanical anchorage devices can be used to shorten lap splice length, but they frequently require special construction operations and careful attention to tolerances.

1.3 Objective

The objective of the research is as listed below:

- a. To study and compare the tension resistance performance of different types of anchorage systems.
- b. To develop an anchorage system that is able to perform under inadequate lapping and able to provide comparable bonding strength as the conventional reinforcement bar lapping system.
- c. To study the feasibility and loading behaviour of the propose anchorage system as a beam-to-beam connection for precast concrete structures.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of this research is limited to the:

- a. Study on the anchorage length based on requirements stated in BS 8110: Part 1: 1997.

- b. Study of joint of reinforcement bars with inadequate anchorage length.

1.5 Importance of the Study

The study is intended to develop a new anchorage system, which is able to replace the conventional anchorage system in precast concrete structures. The newly developed anchorage system should have shorter requirement of anchorage length. This is able to solve the problem of insufficient space allocation for anchorage system, which will either lead to insufficient development of anchorage length and congestion.

By improving the anchorage performance, the construction method is able to be simplified and the erection time can be reduced. Furthermore, this will reduce the construction cost of precast concrete structures by saving time and labour cost. Besides, the reduced length of anchorage length will save the amount of reinforcement bar being used.