

EVALUATION OF MARSHALL PROPERTIES OF ASPHALT MIXTURES  
WITH AGGREGATE GRADATIONS DESIGNED USING THE BAILEY  
METHOD

ROSMAWATI BINTI MAMAT

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil - Transportation and Highway)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2008

## **ABSTRACT**

This study investigates the properties of asphalt concrete mixtures with aggregate gradations designed using Bailey method and compared with the JKR specification. Bailey method is a systematic approach in blending aggregates with difference gradation (fine aggregate and coarse aggregate) that provides aggregate interlocking as the backbone of the structure and a balanced continuous gradation to complete the mixtures. The Bailey gradation parameter separates the aggregate structure into three gradation namely coarse, medium and fine. This separation were quantified by the decrease in the volume of coarse aggregate in the structure when changing from coarse to fine gradation. The aggregates structures designed using Bailey method were applied in Marshall mix design method to obtain the Marshall properties based on Malaysian Standard and the gradation parameters were compared with the requirement from JKR specification. Two hot mix mixtures considered in this study were Asphalt Concrete Wearing (ACW 14) and Asphalt Concrete Wearing (ACW 10). The mixtures have nominal maximum aggregate sizes (NMAS) of 12.5 mm and 9.5 mm respectively and each sample was compacted using 75 blows per face. The compaction characteristics of the mixtures were analyzed using data from the Marshall Compactor. The value for both VTM and VMA from graph shows when the size of aggregate is smaller (fine aggregate), the percentage of voids in mineral aggregate is low, on the other hand the percentages of VMA and VTM is higher for coarse aggregate.

## **ABSTRAK**

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji campuran konkrit berbitumen dengan pengadunan batu baur menggunakan kaedah Bailey dan membandingkan dengan spesifikasi JKR. Kaedah Bailey adalah secara sistematik campuran batu baur yang gradasi (batu baur halus dan batu baur kasar) yang menyediakan saling kunci batu baur sebagai tulang belakang kepada struktur dan gradasi berterusan yang seimbang bagi melengkapkan campuran. Parameter gradasi bagi kaedah Bailey mengasingkan struktur batu baur kepada tiga iaitu kasar, sederhana, dan halus. Pengasingan ini dibezakan melalui penurunan isipadu bagi batu baur kasar di dalam struktur apabila ia bergerak dari gradasi kasar kepada gradasi halus. Rekabentuk struktur batu baur menggunakan kaedah Bailey di gunakan dalam kaedah Marshall untuk mendapatkan ciri-ciri Marshall berdasarkan piawaian Malaysia dan parameter gradasi di bandingkan dengan spesifikasi JKR. Dua campuran panas telah digunakan dalam kajian ini iaitu konkrit berbitumen (ACW 14) dan konkrit berbitumen (ACW 10). Campuran ini mempunyai saiz nominal maksimum batu baur 12.5 mm dan 9.5 mm dan setiap sampel dipadatkan dengan 75 hentaman. Ciri-ciri pemadatan bagi campuran dianalisa menggunakan data dari pemadat Marshall. Nilai bagi kedua-dua VTM dan VMA daripada graf menunjukkan apabila saiz batu baur kecil (batu baur halus), peratus udara dalam mineral batu baur tersebut adalah rendah, manakala peratus bagi VMA dan VTM adalah tinggi untuk batu baur kasar.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/SYMBOLS</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Significance of the Study	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Asphalt Cement Binder Role	7
	2.2.1 Aggregate Roles	8
	2.2.2 Aggregate Gradation	9
	2.3 History of Bailey Method	11

2.4	Mixture Design	12
2.4.1	Marshall Mix Design	13
2.5	Aggregate Packing	15
2.5.1	Coarse and Fine Aggregate	17
2.5.2	Bailey Method of Aggregate Blending and Evaluation	19
2.5.3	Combining Aggregate by Weight	20
2.5.4	Chosen Unit Weight of Coarse Aggregate	20
2.5.5	Analysis of the Design Blend	21
2.5.5.1	CA Ratio	21
2.5.5.2	Coarse Portion of Fine Aggregate	23
2.5.5.3	Fine Portion of Fine Aggregate	24
2.5.5.4	Summary of Ratios	24
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Operational Framework	25
3.3	Materials	29
3.3.1	Aggregates	29
3.3.2	Bituminous Binder	30
3.4	Sieve Analysis	30
3.4.1	Dry Sieve Analysis	31
3.4.1.1	Apparatus	31
3.4.1.2	Procedures	31
3.4.2	Wash Sieve Analysis	32
3.4.2.1	Apparatus	33
3.4.2.2	Procedures	33
3.5	Aggregate Gradation	34
3.6	Determination of Specific Gravity for Aggregate	35
3.6.1	Specific Gravity for Coarse Aggregate	36
3.6.1.1	Apparatus	36
3.6.1.2	Procedures	36
3.6.2	Specific Gravity for Fine Aggregate	37
3.6.2.1	Apparatus	38

3.6.2.2	Procedures	38
3.7	Aggregate Structure Design	40
3.7.1	Aggregate Blending	41
3.8	Marshall Mix Design	42
3.8.1	Marshall Mix Design Procedures	42
3.8.1.1	Apparatus	43
3.8.1.2	Procedures	44
3.8.2	Theoretical Maximum Density	46
3.8.2.1	Apparatus	47
3.8.2.2	Procedures	47
3.8.3	Flow and Stability Test	48
3.8.3.1	Apparatus	48
3.8.3.2	Procedures	49
3.9	Data Analysis	50
3.9.1	Bulk Specific Gravity	51
3.9.2	Void Fill with Bitumen	51
3.9.3	Void in Total Mix	51
3.9.4	Void in Mineral Aggregate	52
3.9.5	Determination of Optimum Bitumen Content	52
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Materials Preparation	53
4.2.1	Aggregate	54
4.2.1.1	Gradation Analysis	54
4.2.1.2	Washed Sieve Analysis	57
4.2.1.3	Specific Gravity	57
4.3	Marshall Sample	58
4.3.1	Sample Preparation	58
4.3.2	Determination of Optimum Bitumen Content	58
4.3.3	Theoretical Maximum Density	59
4.3.4	Results of Volumetric Properties	59
4.3.5	Analysis Volumetric Properties Based on R Square	61

<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Conclusions	63
5.2	Recommendations	64
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>65</b>
	<b>APPENDICES A-H</b>	<b>68</b>

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Hot mix asphalt (HMA) is the most common material used for paving applications around the world. It primarily consists of asphalt cement binder and mineral aggregates. It is defined as a combination of heated and dried mineral aggregates that are uniformly mixed and coated with a hot asphalt binder. When bound by asphalt binder, mineral aggregate acts as a stone framework that provides strength and toughness to the system. The behavior of HMA depends on the properties of the individual components and how they react with each other in the system. HMA is a composite material consisting of aggregate particles with different sizes, an asphalt binder that is much softer than the aggregate, and air voids (Alshamsi, 2006)

The mixture design process consists of two main parts, the volumetric design portion and empirical mechanical testing to verify the design. In addition, the design method may include other requirements that the mixture must meet in order to satisfy the overall specification standard. Such requirements may include certain aggregate qualities like minimum percent of crushed aggregate, maximum amount of rounded sand materials and specific aggregate gradation requirements (Asphalt Institute, 2001).



Controlling the volumetric in HMA is not a new concept and in fact has been around for over a century. In 1903, Bitulithic Macadam, an early HMA design based on volumetric, was patented by Frederick J. Warren, founder of Warren Brothers Company in Boston, Massachusetts. Back then, Mr. Warren designed an experiment to determine the optimum size and gradation of aggregate particles needed to fill a container of known volume (Roberts *et al.*, 1996).

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Generally, in the conventional method the mixtures is accepted or rejected based on those criteria at an early stage in the design process without any validation of their expected performance. An example of such criteria is the percentage of voids in the mineral aggregate (VMA). VMA is the total void space between the aggregate particles in compacted asphalt concrete, including air voids and asphalt not absorbed by the aggregates. It were report by several researchers and highway agencies that there exist difficulties in meeting the minimum voids in VMA requirements (Kandhal, Foo and Mallick, 1998).

Studies have also shown that the current defined VMA criteria were seen to be insufficient to correctly differentiate well performing mixtures from poor ones. In other words, the design process in the Marshall mix system does not properly address the expected performance of the designed mixtures in terms of major pavement distresses like permanent deformation and rutting through laboratory performance testing. So, the new method is looking for improvement on those specifications and requirements especially designing the aggregate gradations to improve mixture stability. In the current Marshall mix system, guidance is lacking in the selection of the design aggregate gradations and understanding the interaction of the aggregate structure with mixture design and performance (Asphalt Institute, 2001). Furthermore, the trial and error nature of the actual conventional process of formulating the gradation curve, and the use of weight instead of volume when blending aggregates, offer alternatives to evaluate more rational approaches to design

an aggregate structure based on principles of aggregate packing concepts (Vavrik *et al.*, 2002)

A key to a successful mixture design is the balance between the volumetric composition and the properties of the raw materials used (binder and aggregates). The interaction between these components coupled with the different types and magnitude of loadings the pavement were subjected to results in highly complex mixture responses that require more complete understanding of asphalt mixture behavior. The key step to achieve that is to understand how the mechanical performances of asphalt mixtures were affected by different mixture components and properties (Kandhal, Foo and Mallick, 1998).

From the above discussion, there is clearly a need to address the issues of concern in the current Marshall mix design system by introducing more rational which is the new method for aggregate structure known as Bailey method. It is a systematic step to the current system for better design and evaluation of asphalt mixtures.

The Bailey method of gradation evaluation focus on the aggregate properties that affect the way aggregates fit together (or pack) in a confined space or volume. To analyze the packing factors, the method defines four key principles that break down the overall combined aggregate blend into four distinct fractions. Each fraction is then analyzed for its contribution to the overall mix volumetric (Vavrik *et al.*, 2001).

By comparing the size of particles that fit into the voids between the largest aggregate pieces to the size of the largest aggregate pieces found in a fraction, ratios can be developed that is an indication of how well all the particles in the fraction fit together. Once a mix designer has been taught the principles of the Bailey method and how to apply them, and then begin to predict how changes in the factors that affect packing will change volumetric and compactability of a particular mixture (Vavrik *et al.*, 2001).

### **1.3 Objective of the Study**

The objective of this study is to evaluate Marshall properties of asphalt concrete mixtures with aggregate gradations designed using Bailey method.

### **1.4 Scope of the Study**

In order to archive the objective, the two types of mix designs of asphalt concrete (ACW) were prepared in accordance to the JKR Specification. They were ACW 10 and ACW 14. The aggregate structure (coarse, medium, and fine) was design using the Bailey method of aggregate gradation evaluation. The coarse aggregate structure has the highest volume of coarse particles. This volume decreases as the structure becomes finer. Asphalt cement 80-100 PEN was used in the designed mixture.

This study focus in designing the aggregate gradations and performing Marshall mixture design to determine the design asphalt content that provides four percent air void that is currently being used by the Marshall system as an acceptable design parameter for dense graded mixtures (Lavin, 2003). The evaluation tests were conducted in order to determine the best performing aggregate skeleton for each aggregate type and size combination (Thompson, 2006). This evaluation includes determining compaction properties of the mixtures.

### **1.5 Significance of the Study**

From the results of this study, it can provide a better understanding in the relationship between aggregate gradation and mixture voids. The Bailey method procedure help to ensure aggregate interlock and good aggregate packing, giving resistance to permanent deformation, while maintaining volumetric properties that provided resistance to environmental stress (Thompson, 2006). Use of the Bailey

method will ensure coarse aggregate interlock and control of aggregate packing, allowing the designer to specify desired mixture properties. This will eliminate the normal trial and error process used in determining the design aggregate gradations and will help in the transition to contractor mix design. The evaluation tools in the Bailey method can also be used for quality control during the construction process. The proper changes to the production process can be made to meet the quality requirements in the field as a result of the understanding of the effects of aggregate gradations on the properties of the asphalt mixture (Aurilio, William and Lum, 2005). It were expected that, the results of this research will provide a better understanding of the relationship between aggregate gradations and the volumetric properties, ease of construction, and performance.

## REFERENCES

- Alshamsi, K. (2006). Development of a Mix Design Methodology for Asphalt Mixtures with Analytically Formulated Aggregate Structures. *Journal of Association of Asphalt Paving Technology*.
- Alshamsi, K., Mohammad, L. N., Wu, Z., Cooper, S., and Abadie, C., (2006). Compactability and Performance of Superpave Mixtures With Aggregate Structures Designed Using the Bailey Method. *Journal of Association of Asphalt Paving Technology*.
- Aurilio, V., William, J. P. and Lum, P. (2005). “The Bailey Method Achieving Volumetric and HMA Compactability”, *Course Materials and Handouts*.
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (2000). *Determination of Draindown Characteristics in Uncompacted Asphalt Mixtures*. Washington D. C. AASHTO T 305.
- Asphalt Institute (2001). *HMA Construction: Marshall Method*. Manual Series No. 22 (MS-22). Lexington, KY
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Standard Test Method for Materials Finer than 75- $\mu$ m (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing*. Philadelphia, ASTM C 117.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Standard Test Method for Specific Gravity and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate*. Philadelphia, ASTM C 127.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Standard Test Method for Specific Gravity and Absorption of Fine Aggregate*. Philadelphia, ASTM C 128.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Standard Test Method for Bulk Specific Gravity and Density of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures Using Saturated Surface-Dry Specimens*. Philadelphia, ASTM D 2726.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Standard Test Method for*

- Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity and Density of Bituminous Paving Mixtures*. Philadelphia, ASTM D 2041.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1992). *Method for Sieve analysis for Fine and Coarse Aggregate*. Philadelphia, ASTM C 136.
- Baladi, G. Y., Lyles R. W. and Harichandran, R. S., (1998), “Asphalt Mix Design: An Innovative Approach”. *The 67<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D. C.*, January 11-14.
- Cooper, K. E. and Brown, S. F., (1991). “Development of a Practical Method for the Design of Hot Mix Asphalt”. *The Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C.*
- Dukatz, E. L., (1989) “Aggregate Properties Related to Pavement Performance”. *The Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*, Vol 58.
- Garber, N. J., and Hoel, L. A. (2002). *Traffic and highway Engineering*. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.) United States of America: Brooks/Cole.
- Hanson, D. I., Mallick, R.B. and Brown, E. R., (1994) . Five-Year Evaluation of HMA Properties at the AAMAS Test Projects., *Transportation Research Record*, No. 1454, pp. 143-143.
- Hveem, F. N., (1946)” The Centrifuge Kerosene Equivalent as Used in Establishing the Oil Content for Dense Graded Bituminous Mixtures” *A report prepared for the State of California, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways*.
- Ishai, I., and Tons, E., (1971), Aggregate Factors in Bituminous Mixture Designs. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Report 335140-1-F.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya, (2005). *Standard Specifications for Road Works*, JKR/SPJ/rev2005. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Kandhal, P. S., and Mallick, R. B., (2000), “Effect of Mix Gradation on Rutting Potential of Dense Graded Asphalt Mixtures”, *80<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of the Transportation research Board, Washington, D. C.*
- Kandhal, P. S and Cross, S. A., (1993). “Effect of Aggregate Gradation on Measured Asphalt Content”, *NCAT Report No. 93-1*.
- Kandhal, P. S., Foo, K. Y., and Mallick, R. B., (1998). Critical Review of the Voids in Mineral Aggregate Requirements in Superpave. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 1609*, TRB, National Research Council, pp. 21–27.

- Lavin, P. G., (2003). *Asphalt Pavements: A Practical Guide to Design, Production, and Maintenance for Engineers and Architects*: London: Spon Press
- National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA). "A Guide for Hot Mix Asphalt Pavement". *Information Series 131*, 2002.
- Roberts, F. L., Mohammad, L. N., and Wang, L. B., (2002). "History of Hot Mix Asphalt Mixture Design in the United States". *150<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Paper, American Society of Civil Engineers, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 14, No. 4.
- Roberts, F. L., Kandhal, P. S., Brown, E. R., Lee, D. Y., and Kennedy, T. W., (1996). *Hot Mix Asphalt Materials, Mixture Design and Construction*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) NAPA Research and education Foundation: Lanham, Maryland.
- Thompson, G., (2006). Investigation of the Bailey Method for the Design and Analysis of Dense Graded Hot Mix Asphalt Concrete Using Oregon Aggregate: Final Report, SPR 304-311.
- Tons, E., and Goetz, W. H., (1968), "Packing Volume Concepts for Aggregates," *Highway Research Record* 236, pp. 79 – 96.
- Transportation Research Board Circular No. E-C044. "Bailey Method for Gradation Selection in Hot-Mix Asphalt Mixture Design". *Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC*, October 2002.
- Vavrik, W. R., and Carpenter, S. H., (1998). Calculating Air Voids at Specified Number of Gyration in Superpave Gyrotory Compactor. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 1630, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, DC, pp. 117–125.
- Vavrik, W. R., Pine, W. J., Huber, G. A., Carpenter, S. H., and Bailey, R., (2001). The Bailey Method of Gradation Evaluation: The Influence of Aggregate Gradation and Packing Characteristics on Voids in the Mineral Aggregate. *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*, Vol. 70, pp. 132–175.
- Vavrik, W. R., Huber, G. A., Pine, W. J., Carpenter, S. H., and Bailey, R., (2002). Bailey Method for Gradation Selection in HMA Mixture Design. *Transportation Research Circular E-C044. Bailey Method for Gradation Selection in Hot-Mix Asphalt Mixture Design*, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., p 1.