

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF NITROGEN GAS-ASSISTED LASER  
CUTTING ON 316L AUSTENITIC STAINLESS STEEL PLATE

SHAHRIZAL BIN ABD HALIM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical – Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2010

*First of all, all the praises and thanks to Allah S.W.T for His Love,  
This thesis is dedicated to my family*

*To my beloved wife and son Khariah Bt Othman and Muhammad Hasif Bin  
Shahrizal*

*To my beloved parent, Aishah Bt Abd Malek, Abd Halim Bin Abd Rahim and  
Shahliza Bt Abd Halim*

*Thank you very much for your support and encouragement May Allah bless  
all people that I love and it's my honour to share this happiness with my love  
ones.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Allah Almighty for His blessing and giving me strength to accomplish this master project. A special thank to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Safian Sharif who greatly helped me in every way I need to go through this study, Mr. Mohzani Mokhtar for being my co-supervisor and also for his encouragement.

Many thank to the director of KKTM Balik Pulau for giving me permission to use the laser cutting machine in my investigation. I am also grateful to all of the technician in Metrology Laboratory at KKTM Balik Pulau and at School of Mechanical USM for their advice and support. I would like to express my sincere appreciation to all of my friends and colleagues in KKTM Balik Pulau for colouring my daily live and helped me in one-way or another.

Deepest gratitude to my beloved wife and son, Khariah Bt Othman and Muhammad Hasif Bin Shahrizal, who give me their real love, pray, and support. Also to my mother and father, Aishah Bt Abd Malek and Abd Halim Bin Abd Rahim for their continuous encouragement and patience. Finally, I am grateful to University Sains Malaysia for their support during the period of this work.

## ABSTRACT

Austenitic stainless steel in particular 316L has played an important role in the history of medicine for centuries. It is the most widely used material for medical devices, implants and controlled drug delivery systems. Stainless steels are popular class of engineered material because of its strength, corrosion resistance and oxidation resistance at temperatures up to 550°C. Austenitic stainless steels are typical difficult to machine by the traditional methods and also non-traditional method. Laser cutting which is very prominent amongst the non-conventional machining methods is expected to be used quite extensively in cutting austenitic stainless steel due to the favourable features and advantages that it can offer. This project was undertaken to evaluate the cutting performance of laser cutting on austenitic stainless steel. The effects of cutting parameters such as laser power, cutting speed and gas pressure on the machining responses such as kerf width (KeW), surface roughness (SR) and striation width (SW) were investigated. The experimental plans were conducted according to the design of experimental (DOE) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in evaluating the experimental data. Subsequently mathematical models for KeW, SR and SW were established. Results showed that laser power and cutting speed were significant in influencing the cutting responses during laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L.

## ABSTRAK

Keluli tahan karat austenite, lebih terperinci 316L telah memainkan peranan yang penting dalam sejarah perubatan berabad yang lalu. Ia adalah bahan yang digunakan secara meluas untuk alatan perubatan, implan dan sistem pemberian ubat terkawal. Keluli tahan karat adalah bahan kejuruteraan yang popular dalam klasnya kerana kekuatan, tahan karat dan tahan pengoksidaan pada suhu 550 °C. Keluli tahan karat austenit adalah sukar untuk dimesin dengan kaedah tradisional dan juga kaedah bukan tradisional. Pemotongan laser adalah di antara kaedah pemesinan bukan tradisional yang terkemuka dijangka akan digunakan dengan meluas untuk pemotongan keluli tahan karat austenit disebabkan oleh ciri-ciri terpilih dan kelebihan yang boleh ditawarkan. Projek ini telah dijalankan untuk menilai prestasi pemotongan laser ke atas keluli tahan karat austenit. Tindakbalas apabila parameter pemotongan seperti kuasa laser, halaju pemotongan dan tekanan gas ke atas hasil pemotongan seperti jarak kerf (KeW), kekasaran permukaan (SR) dan jarak jaluran (SW) telah disiasat. Plan eksperimen telah dijalankan secara statistic dan analisa variasi (ANOVA) telah digunakan untuk menilai data experiment. Seterusnya model matematik untuk KeW, SR dan SW telah diperolehi. Keputusan menunjukkan kuasa laser dan halaju pemotongan adalah parameter mempengaruhi tindakbalas pemotongan untuk pemotongan laser ke atas keluli tahan karat austenit 316L.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURE</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOL</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of Research	2
	1.3 Research Statement	3
	1.4 Problem Statement	4
	1.5 Objective	4
	1.6 Scope of study	5

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Basic principle of laser	7
2.2.1 Producing gas laser (carbon dioxide laser)	9
2.2.2 The difference between light from a bulb and light from a laser	11
2.3 Laser cutting approaches	13
2.3.1 Evaporative laser cutting	15
2.3.2 Laser fusion cutting	15
2.3.3 Reactive or oxygen-assisted laser cutting	16
2.3.4 Controlled fracture technique	18
2.4 Laser material processing	20
2.4.1 Laser cutting machine	22
2.4.2 Laser cutting parameter	23
2.4.2.1 Effect of laser power and cutting speed	24
2.4.2.2 Effect of gas assisted pressure	27
2.4.2.3 Effect of focus position	29
2.4.3 Laser cutting quality (response)	30
2.4.3.1 Striation and surface roughness	31
2.4.3.2 Kerf width	35
2.4.3.3 Heat affected zone (HAZ)	35
2.4.3.4 Dross	36
2.5 Austenitic stainless steel and their machinability	37
2.5.1 Introduction	37
2.5.2 Classification of stainless steel	37
2.5.3 Machinability of stainless steel	38
2.5.3 Laser cutting of stainless steel	39
2.6 Design of experiment (DOE)	40
2.6.1 Two-level full factorial design	41
2.6.2 Test of statistical significance	42

<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
	3.1 Introduction	44
	3.2 Research Design Variables	44
	3.2.1 Workpiece material	45
	3.2.2 Machine and Equipment	49
	3.3 Analysis	53
	3.3.1 Statistical analysis	53
	3.3.2 Surface Roughness Measurement	54
	3.3.3 Measurement kerf width (KeW) and striation width (SW)	55
	3.4 Experimental Flowchart	56
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	
	4.1 Introduction	57
	4.2 Experiment result	58
	4.2.1 Laser Cutting Of Austenitic Stainless Steel 316L	58
	4.2.2 Conformation Run	79
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
	5.1 Laser Cutting Of Austenitic Stainless Steel 316L	82
	5.2 Variation of Kerf Width	86
	5.3 Variation of surface roughness	88
	5.4 Heat affected zone	88
	5.5 Summary	90



<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
	5.1 Introduction	91
	5.2 Conclusion	91
	5.3 Recommendations	92
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	93
	<b>Appendices A1 – A5</b>	96 - 101

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Gas lasers	10
2.2	Properties of laser light and the light from an ordinary bulb	12
2.3	Various approaches of laser cutting	14
2.4	2 <sup>2</sup> full factorial	42
3.1	Cutting parameters	45
3.2	Chemical properties of austenitic stainless steel 316L	46
3.3	Mechanical properties of austenitic stainless steel 316L	46
4.1	Factor and levels for laser cutting austenitic stainless steel 316L	58
4.2	Experiment plan for laser cutting austenitic stainless steel 316L	59
4.3	Experimental result for laser cutting austenitic stainless steel 316L	59
4.4	ANOVA table for kerf width in laser cutting process	60
4.5	ANOVA table for surface roughness in laser cutting process	67
4.6	ANOVA table for striation width in laser cutting process	73
4.7	Summary of the significant factors in laser cutting experiments of 316L	79
4.8	Example output from the point prediction tool in laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L	79
4.9	Analysis of confirmation experiments for kerf width (KeW) in laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L	80
4.10	Analysis of confirmation experiments for Surface roughness (SR) laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L	80

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.11	Analysis of confirmation experiments for striation width (SW) in laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L process	80
5.1	Selected cutting condition	89

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Basic principle of producing laser beam ( <a href="http://www.yourdictionary.com/computer/laser">www.yourdictionary.com/computer/laser</a> )	8
2.2	Process of producing CO <sub>2</sub> laser.	11
2.3	The difference between light from a bulb and light from a laser	12
2.4	General schematic of a laser-cutting process (Powell 1998)	14
2.5	Model geometry for laser cutting showing a control volume bounded by two inclined semicircular cylinders (Kaplan 1996).	16
2.6	Physical mechanism of oxygen assisted laser cutting. (Schuöcker 1986)	18
2.7	Mechanism of laser cutting by controlled fracture for asymmetrical cutting. (Tsai and Chen 2004)	19
2.8	Classification of laser material processing (Jdutta majumdar and Imanna)	21
2.9	Schematic diagram of laser cutting machine	23
2.10	Effect of applied power and cutting speed (K. Abdel Ghany, M. Newishy 2005)	25
2.11	Optimum laser cutting area which gives clean thorough cuts. (Lamikiz et al. 2005)	26
2.12	Effects of the assistant gas pressure (Shang-Liang Chen 1997)	28
2.13	Effect of the focus position (K. Abdel Ghany, M. Newishy 2005)	29

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.14	Typical striation patterns (Powell 1998)	32
2.15	Effect of cutting speed on the surface profile of striations	32
2.16	Schematic mechanism of formation of striations during laser cutting. (Powell 1998.)	33
2.17	Kerf width	35
3.1 (a)	Jig on Working Table	47
3.1 (b)	Uncut workpiece on Jig	47
3.1 (c)	Workpiece material after Cut	48
3.2	Specimen for experiment	48
3.3	Cutting position	49
3.4	TRUMPF CNC (CO <sub>2</sub> ) laser cutting centers	50
3.5	Surface roughness testers	50
3.6	Optical 3D microscope	51
3.7	Profile projector	52
3.8	Metallurgical specimen cutters	53
3.9	Flow chart for the analysis steps	54
3.10	Typical geometry of striations from top view (Ivarson et al. 1994.)	55
3.11	Experimental flow chart	56
4.1	Normal probability plots of residuals for Kerf width in Laser Cutting process	62
4.2	Residual vs predicted response for Kerf Width in Laser cutting process	62
4.3	Outlier T plot for Kerf width in Laser cutting process	63
4.4	Interaction of main effects plot for kerf Width and laser power	64
4.5	Interaction of main effects plot for Kerf Width and gas pressure	65
4.6	3D surface graph for kerf width in laser cutting process	66
4.7	Normal probability plots of residuals for surface roughness in Laser Cutting process	68

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.8	Residual vs predicted response for surface roughness in Laser cutting process	68
4.9	Outlier T plot for Surface roughness in Laser cutting process	69
4.10	Interaction of main effects plot for Surface roughness and laser power	70
4.11	Interaction of main effects plot for Surface roughness and gas pressure	71
4.12	3D surface graph for surface roughness in laser cutting process	72
4.13	Normal probability plots of residuals for striation width in Laser Cutting process	74
4.14	Residual vs predicted response for striation width in Laser cutting process	74
4.15	Outlier T plot for striation width in Laser cutting process	75
4.16	Interaction of main effects plot for striation width and laser power	76
4.17	Interaction of main effects plot for striation width and gas pressure	77
4.18	3D surface graph for striation width in laser cutting process	78
5.1	Perturbation plot for kerf width	83
5.2	Perturbation plot for surface roughness	84
5.3	Perturbation plot for striation width	86
5.4	Kerf width measurement point	87
5.5	Trend Of Kerf Width	87
5.6	Graft of HAZ width	90

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance
CI	-	Confidence Interval
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	Carbon dioxide
DOE	-	Design Of Experiment
HAZ	-	Heat affective zone
He	-	Helium
KeW	-	Kerf Width
MRR	-	Material Removal Rate
N <sub>2</sub>	-	Nitrogen
SR	-	Surface Roughness
SW	-	Striation Width

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A.1	Box-Cox Plot for Kerf width in laser process	96
A.2	Box-Cox Plot for Surface roughness in laser process	97
A.3	Box-Cox Plot for Striation width in laser process	98
A.4	Measured kerf width value	99
A.5	Picture of HAZ at the cutting edge	100



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

For centuries metals have played an important role in the history of engineering and medicine. The first stainless implant in modern medicine was dated back in 1926 by E.W. Haey Groves that used 18-8 (austenitic 304) stainless screws in fixation of femoral neck fracture. Following this achievement, several advances have been made in the use of stainless steel devices and implant in the human body. Nowadays biomaterials are becoming important as they are used to replace a part or a function of the body in a safe, reliable, economic and physiologically acceptable manner. Concurrent with this progress the demand for improved materials are increasing rapidly.

Stainless steels are popular class of engineered materials known for their strength, corrosion resistance and oxidation resistance at temperatures up to 550°C. Stainless steels are the most widely used material for medical devices, implants and controlled drug delivery systems. The most widely used stainless steel in medical applications today is austenitic stainless steels type 316 (Y.V. Murty 2003).

Austenitic stainless steels material are typical difficult to machine by the traditional methods (T. Akasaw *et al.* 2003). Poor surface finish and short tool life are common problem in machining of these materials that leads to high manufacturing cost. Since the cost of using conventional machining is generally prohibitive, non-conventional machining such as laser machining probably amongst the ideal technique in dealing with these materials because of its flexibility, rapid material removal and ability to produced intricate shape.

Laser cutting has proved its capability especially in cutting difficult to cut material such as austenitic stainless steels probably because of its high strength, low thermal conductivity, high ductility and high work hardening tendency (Ihsan Korkut *et al.* 2003). Although laser cutting technology is widely used in the manufacturing of mechanical components, its optimum cutting conditions in producing high quality product is still under investigation by many researchers.

## **1.2 Back ground of research**

Laser cutting is a non-traditional process that is used in a two-dimensional machining process in which material removal is obtained by focusing a highly intense laser beam on the workpiece. The heat of the laser beam subsequently melts/vaporizes the workpiece throughout the thickness or depth of the material thus creating a metal cutting process. It is a noncontact process, whereby the workpiece doesn't need to be clamped or cantered on precise fixtures as in conventional machining. Accurate positioning of the workpiece on the X–Y table with defined direction of cut can be easily obtained during laser cutting thus facilitating machining of flimsy and flexible materials such as thin sheet metal. Demand for this process is increasing because of its ability to produce geometrical complex shapes as well as its ability to cut hard materials that are extremely difficult to machine when using conventional process.

Previous study (Shang-Liang Chen 1997) shows that oxygen cutting is still the best, although argon and nitrogen may be used instead for the cutting of 3 mm thick mild steel plate with high-pressure assistant-gas flow on high-power CO<sub>2</sub> laser . In the previous study, mild steel is the most used material being investigated for the optimum cutting conditions during laser cutting process. K. Abdel Ghany and M. Newishy (2005) reported that the laser cutting quality depends mainly on the laser power, pulse frequency, cutting speed and focus position when cutting 1.2 mm stainless steel sheet using pulsed and CW Nd:YAG laser.

It was found that research study on the effect of cutting conditions on a 3 mm austenitic stainless steels (316) sheet using CO<sub>2</sub> laser cutting was not reported so far. New machining data on laser cutting of austenitic stainless steels (316) sheet served a great significance and could be further exploited especially for continuous cutting condition. This project is undertaken to study the effect of cutting parameters such as laser power, cutting speed and gas pressure on surface roughness, striation and kerf width.

### **1.3 Research Statement**

Laser cutting of austenitic stainless steel 316L with changes of significant cutting parameters will lead to improvement in cutting responses such as surface roughness, size of striation and kerf width.

## 1.4 Problem Statement

Some of the issues that are encountered by laser cutting users are as follows:

- a) Challenging task in setting up the initial laser cutting parameters for material that are not listed in the default database/library of the laser cutting machine.
- b) Extensive laser trial and error in order to obtain good cutting conditions and this can be very wasteful with respect to time and material, subsequently increasing production cost.
- c) Laser cutting process parameter are interrelated, the right combination of optimum process parameters are desired to produce good quality characteristics.

## 1.5 Objective

The main objectives of this study are:

- a) To investigate the effect of laser cutting parameters on the cutting responses such as kerf width (KeW), surface roughness (SR) and striation width (SW) when laser cutting 316L austenitic stainless steel.
- b) To establish mathematical model for the cutting responses using DOE approach.

## 1.6 Scope of study

The scope of the study includes the following:

- a) TC L2530 Plus TRUMPF CNC (CO<sub>2</sub>) laser cutting center at Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA (KKTM) Balik Pulau was used to conduct the experimental works.
- b) The work piece was 316L austenitic stainless steel with 3 mm thickness.
- c) Process parameters were cutting speed, laser power output, gas assisted pressure and focal length.
- d) Cutting responses are surface roughness (SR), striation width (SW) and kerf width (KeW).
- e) Two level full factorial experimental plan was employed to reduce the number of experiment.
- f) ANOVA was used to identify the significant contribution of laser cutting parameters against the response variables.