

STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR OF A SINGLE TENDON END BLOCK

MARIA BINTI NUID

A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL, 2010

ABSTRACT

There are many proprietary design for end blocks with cable of multiple tendons available in the market. However, in this study tests have been carried out on end block with single tendon specifically design for laboratory work. This study investigate the effect of different types of bursting reinforcement, number of bearing plate and size of end block for a single tendon cable. A total number of 18 end blocks have been tested until failure using a compression testing machine. Failure load were than compared with those obtained from design using BS 8110: 1997 and CIRIA guide 1 (1976). Test results show that spiral bursting reinforcement failed with higher failure load than orthogonal bursting reinforcement. Is has been noticed that end block using two bearing plate separately can accommodate a higher failure load compared with using only a single bearing plate. The overall failure loads for all the specimens are closer to that design by BS 8110: 1997 and thus it can be concluded that it is a more conservative design than CIRIA guide 1 (1976).

ABSTRAK

Rekabentuk blok hujung yang menggunakan berbilang tendon banyak terdapat di pasaran. Namun, bagi tujuan ujian ini blok hujung hanya menggunakan satu tendon sahaja untuk kerja-kerja yang perlu dilakukan di dalam makmal. Kajian ini meneliti kesan daripada pelbagai jenis tetulang, jumlah plat galas dan pelbagai saiz blok hujung yang menggunakan tendon tunggal. Sebanyak 18 sampel blok hujung yang disediakan dan diuji menggunakan mesin mampatan sehingga gagal. Kegagalan beban dibandingkan dengan kod amalan yang berbeza iaitu BS 8110:1997 dan Manual CIRIA 1 (1976). Daripada experiment yang telah dijalankan menunjukkan bahawa tetulang jenis pilin mampu menampung kegagalan beban yang tinggi jika dibandingkan dengan tetulang jenis ortogon. Selain itu, specimen yang menggunakan dua plat galas yang berasingan dapat menampung beban kegagalan yang lebih tinggi jika dibandingkan dengan specimen yang hanya menggunakan satu plat galas. Kegagalan beban keseluruhan untuk semua specimen adalah menghampiri kepada BS 8110:1997 dan dapat disimpulkan bahawa rekabentuk adalah lebih konservatif apabila menggunakan Manual CIRIA 1 (1976).

LIST OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	APPRECIATION	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xv
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background And Motivation	2
	1.3 Objectives Of Study	3
	1.4 Scope Of Study	3
	1.5 Importance Of Study	4
	1.6 Thesis Organisation	4
CHAPTER II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.0 Introduction	6

2.1 End Block	6
2.2 Analysis Using the Theory of Elasticity	7
2.3 Photoelastic Investigation	12
2.4 Finite Element Analysis	13
2.4.1 Analytical Study by Burdet	13
2.4.2 Approximate Equations y Burdet	14
2.5 Strut and Tie Model	16
2.6 Experimental Investigation	16
2.7 Problems with End Block	18
2.7.1 Excessive Cracking	19
2.7.2 Failure	19
2.7.3 Lack of Design Specifications	20
2.7.4 Allocation of Responsibilities	21
2.8 Code and Design Guidelines	21
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	26
3.0 Overview	26
3.1 Details of Samples	26
3.2 End Zone Reinforcement	28
3.2.1 Designs for Bursting Force	29
3.3 Design of Bearing Plate	34
3.4 Materials of Reinforced Concrete	36
3.4.1 Steel Reinforcement	37
3.5 Preparation of Test Specimens	38
3.5.1 Formwork	38
3.5.2 Reinforcement	39
3.6 Curing Process	40
3.7 Testing of concrete	42
3.7.1 Workability test	42
3.7.1.1 Slump Test	43
3.7.2 Compressive Strength Test	44
3.8 Testing Specimens	46

CHAPTER IV	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	48
	4.0 Introduction	48
	4.1 The Results of Concrete Cubes Strength.	48
	4.2 Spiral Reinforcement and Orthogonal Reinforcement	49
	4.3 Steel for Prestressing	53
	4.4 Factor of Safety	54
	4.4.1 Design Factor and Safety Factor	54
	4.5 Jacking Force	57
	4.5.1 Jacking Methods for Single (Mono) Strand Stressing	58
	4.6 Comparison between Methods of BS8110, CIRIA Guide 1 (1976) and Experimental.	61
CHAPTER V	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	63
	6.0 Overview	63
	6.1 Conclusions	63
	6.6 Recommendation and Future Work	65
	REFERENCES	66
	APPENDIX A	69
	APPENDIX B	73
	APPENDIX C	77
	APPENDIX D	84

LIST OF TABLES

LIST	TABLE TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Spalling force according to Guyon	11
Table 2.2	Maximum Bearing Stress under the Anchorage Device According to Various Design Codes and Guidelines	23
Table 2.3	Bursting Force According to Various Codes and Guidelines Details of Specimens	24
Table 3.1	Details of Specimens	27
Table 3.2	Number of Specimen Use for Each Size	27
Table 3.3	Design Bursting Tensile Forces in End Blocks	32
Table 3.4	Description of Workability and Magnitude of Slump	44
Table 4.1	Failure Load of End Block	49
Table 4.2	Properties of Prestressing Steel	53
Table 4.3	Axial load that can be imposed	53
Table 4.4	Factor of Safety for One Bearing Plate	55
Table 4.5	Factor of Safety for Two Bearing Plate	55
Table 4.6	The Value of Jacking Force, P_i for Sample Size is 200x200x150	59
Table 4.7	The Value of Jacking Force, P_i for Sample Size is 150x150x150	60
Table 4.8	Factor of Safety for Sample Size is 200x200x150	60
Table 4.9	Factor of Safety for Sample Size is 300x300x150	61
Table 4.10	Comparison between Different BS 8110, CIRIA Guide 1 (1976).	61

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST	FIGURE TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Chart of Study Organisations	6
Figure 2.1	Geometry and Stress Distribution along the Axis of a Concentric End Block.	8
Figure 2.2	Bursting Stress Distribution According to Burdet (1990)	9
Figure 2.3	Bursting Force According to Burdet, 1990	9
Figure 2.4	Burdet Symmetrical Prism for Eccentric End Block	10
Figure 2.5	Burdet Symmetrical Prism for Multiple End Block	11
Figure 3.1	General Dimension of End Block Specimen	28
Figure 3.2	Stress trajectories in the end zone of a post-tensioned beam	30
Figure 3.3	Local and general zones in the end zone	31
Figure 3.4	Spalling and bursting forces in the end zone	32
Figure 3.5	Spiral and Orthogonal Reinforcement with Two Bearing Plate	33
Figure 3.6	Spiral and Orthogonal Reinforcement with One Bearing Plate	34
Figure 3.7	Bearing Plate	35
Figure 3.8	End and Isometric Views of End Zone	36
Figure 3.9	Several Sizes of Reinforcement Provide in Structure Laboratory of The Faculty of Civil Engineering.	38
Figure 3.10	Reinforced Placement in Formworks	39

Figure 3.11	Steel Cutter Machine	40
Figure 3.12	Water Tank Curing	41
Figure 3.13	Specimen Curing with Wetted Gunnysack	41
Figure 3.14	Slump Cone (Mould)	43
Figure 3.15	Compressive Strength Machine	45
Figure 3.16	Universal Testing Machine	46
Figure 3.17	Specimen Setup with Different Number of Bearing Plate.	47
Figure 4.1	Poor Self- Compaction	50
Figure 4.2	Good Self-Compaction	50
Figure 4.3	Form of Failure for Samples that Unreinforced	51
Figure 4.4	Failure Load That Using Orthogonal Reinforcement	51
Figure 4.5	Failure Load That Spiral Reinforcement	52
Figure 4.6	Factor of Safety for Two Bearing Plate	55

LIST OF SYMBOLS

T_{burst}	=	the bursting force
d_{burst}	=	the distance of bursting force from bearing plate
f_{ca}	=	the compressive stress at distance equal to ahead of the bearing plate
P_u	=	the factored tendon force
a	=	the side length of the bearing plate in the long direction of the rectangular cross section
b	=	the side length of the bearing plate in the thin direction of the rectangular cross section
t	=	the thickness of the cross section
e	=	the eccentricity of the tendon force with respect to the centroid of the rectangular cross section
h	=	the larger side length of the rectangular cross section
α	=	the angle of inclination of the tendon force
A	=	the area of concrete surrounding the anchorage device with a similar shape, representing the confinement provided by surrounding concrete.
A_b	=	the area of the anchorage device.
PT	=	the time of stressing of the tendons.
SL	=	service loads.
f'_{ci}	=	the concrete strength at stressing, but not more than f'_c .
f'_{cu}	=	the concrete cube strength at stressing.
f'_{ck}	=	the characteristic concrete cube.
K	=	1.0 for isolated anchors, 1.5 for anchors distributed in one direction and 2.0 for anchors distributed in two directions.

P	=	the tendon force.
a_1	=	the dimension of the anchorage device.
a_2	=	the lateral dimension of the member.
c	=	given in function of a_1/a_2
b	=	the width of the section in the plane of potential bursting cracks.
d	=	the effective depth of the end block, where the stresses become linear. Generally taken as the depth of the section.
e	=	the eccentricity of the post-tensioning force measured from the centroid of the section.
h	=	the depth of the section.
A_{st}	=	the amount of end zone reinforcement in each direction.
F_{bst}	=	the bursting force.
f_s	=	the stress in the transverse reinforcement
P_k	=	prestress in the tendon
y_{po}	=	length of a side of bearing plate
y_o	=	transverse dimension of the end zone.
f_{br}	=	prestress in the tendon with one bearing plate.
P_k	=	punching area.
A_{pun}	=	area of contact of bearing plate.
$f_{br,all}$	=	allowable bearing stress.
f_{ci}	=	cube strength at transfer.
A_{br}	=	bearing area or maximum transverse area of end block that is geometrically similar and concentric with punching area.
P_{fail}	=	the value that can bear the burden of the specimen before failure at end block.
$P_{jacking\ force}$	=	initial force in tendons.

LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX A

- i. The Design of End Block for the Size of Specimen is 300 mm x 300 mm x 150 mm. 70
- ii. The Design Of End Block for the Size of Specimen is 200 mm x 200 mm x 150 mm. 71
- iii. The Design Of End Block for the Size of Specimen is 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm. 72

APPENDIX B

- i. Reinforcement Design End Zone for Specimen Size is 300 mm x 300 mm x 150 mm 74
- ii. Reinforcement Design End Zone for Specimen Size is 200 mm x 200 mm x 150 mm. 75
- iii. Reinforcement Design End Zone for Specimen Size is 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm. 76

APPENDIX C

- i. The amount of reinforcement used for Size sample is 300 mm x 300 mm x 150 mm. 78
- ii. The amount of reinforcement used for Size sample is 200 mm x 200 mm x 150 mm. 80
- iii. The amount of reinforcement used for Size sample is 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm. 82

APPENDIX D

- i. Jacking Force for the Specimen size is 300 mm x 300 mm x 150 mm. 85

- ii. Jacking Force for the Specimen size is 200 mm x 200 mm x 150 mm. 86
- iii. Jacking Force for the Specimen size is 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm. 87

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Over the last few decades prestressed structures have found wide applications in Europe, North and South American continents, and South Africa, whereas to a lesser extent in Asia and other parts of the world. The applications of prestressing were considered to obtain optimum structural performance of steel with economy in construction of new as well as to reinforce and strengthen existing structures. Method for prestressing concrete divide into two main categories namely are pre-tensioning and post-tensioning. For pre-tensioning method the tendon is applied to the tendons before casting of the concrete. The pre-compression is transmitted from steel to concrete through bond over the transmission length near the ends. Different with the post-tensioning the tension is applied to the tendons (located in a duct) after hardening of the concrete. Whereas the pre-compression is transmitted from the steel to concrete by the anchorage device.

Post-tensioned concrete presents several advantages over concrete reinforced with non-prestressed reinforcement only. The deflections under service loads are better controlled and cracks in the concrete are largely eliminated by compressive stresses induced by the post-tensioning force. Economically, the combination of high strength steel in tension with high strength concrete in compression leads to an optimal utilization of both materials and allows for smaller cross sections (Burdet, 1990).

One of the most critical aspects of post-tensioned construction and one necessary for the success of the system is the anchorage zone (Young, 2004; Sanders, 1990). The anchorage zone is where the concentrated post-tensioning force of the tendons is applied to the structure. Anchorage zone is the most commonly used term to designate the length wherein the stress transformation from one stage to another takes place and the part of the member within this zone is known as anchor block or the end block (Saadoun, 1980). Burdet however, has given this zone an alternative name of Lead-in-zone.

1.2 Problem Background And Motivation

A large number of studies of end block behaviour and design have been conducted over more than 40 years, yet this abundance of information seems to have contributed to the confusion rather than alleviating it. While research has focused on a narrow range of special and often much idealized problems the versatility of post-tensioned concrete requires a general and systematic procedure for end block design.

Several instances of the failures of the anchor blocks, some from the construction of a few major structures, have been reported. Due to the complexity of the stress distribution system associated with the end block as well as because of a large number of commonly interactive parameters present in the design situation, codes of practices on structural prestressed concrete in most of the countries, even to this day, either do not include any recommendations or at best make some general comments or suggest some empirical formulae which have little relevance to this problem.

There are many standard end block detail produced by prestressed specialist company such as VSL and PCI. A single tendon end block for post-tensioned beam is not common in practice but more relevant to laboratory work required special investigation. There is to need to study the behaviour of a single tendon end block and produce standard detailing for researcher.

1.3 Objectives Of Study

The objectives of the study are;

- i. To compare the effectiveness of spiral and orthogonal bursting reinforcement at maximum load.
- ii. To compare the factor of safety of failure load against various percentage of ultimate tensile strength of jacking force.
- iii. To compare results from design method proposed by BS 8110, CIRIA Guide 1 (1976), with that obtained from experimental work.

1.4 Scope Of Study

The scope of the research is limited to certain things as follow:

- i. Single tendon end block.
- ii. End block comprise of single plate and two plates
- iii. Effect of incline angle of tendon is neglected.
- iv. Jacking force simulated by applying compressive force to bearing plate through the prestressed barrel.

1.5 Importance Of Study

In a prestressed post-tensioned concrete member, the pre-stressing force is applied to the concrete through relatively small anchorages causing high-local stresses which reduce as the force spreads out over the cross-section of the member. The region in which this spread occurs is known as the anchorage zone and that part of the member within this zone is known as the anchor block. Failure of anchorage zone is perhaps the most common cause of problems arising during construction. Such failures are difficult and expensive to repair and might require replacement of the entire member. Anchorage zones failure due to uncontrolled cracking or splitting of the concrete from insufficient transverse reinforcement. Bearing failures immediately behind the anchorage plate are also common and may be caused by inadequate dimensions of bearing plates or poor quality of concrete.

The stress pattern in the anchor block is quite different from that in the main body of the member. Thus the design of the anchorage zone must be given special consideration. Local thickening of the concrete is often required to accommodate the anchors and to allow sufficient space for applying the prestress, and this also has an influence on the distribution of stress.

1.6 Thesis Organisation

The thesis consists of six chapters. Chapter I consist of the problem background, research objectives, scope and important of the study. Chapter II covers the literature review which discusses the domain of the study, related works and fundamental review of end block. Chapter III shows the methodology for the design of end block which includes the design using BS 8110 and CIRIA Guide 1 (1976). Chapter IV presents the analysis results to be obtained through experiments conducted in the laboratory and then presents a table relating to the objectives of the

REFERENCES

- Adeghe, L. N. And Collins, M. P. (1986). “*A Finite element model for studying reinforced concrete detailing problems.*” Departments of Civil Engineering, University Of Toronto, Publication No. 86-12, 66-74.
- Baderul Hisham Ahmad (2009), “*Design of Prestressed Concrete*”, Lecture Note, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Base, G. D., Reed, J. B., Beeby, A.W. and Taylor, H. P. J. (1966) “*An investigation of the crack control characteristics of various types of bar in reinforced concrete beams.*” Research Report No. 18, Cement and Concrete Association, London.
- Breen, J.E., Fenves, G., Sanders, D.H., Burdet, O.L, “*Anchorage Zone Reinforcement for Post-Tensioned Concrete Girders*”, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Interim Report 10-29, University of Texas at Austin, August 1987.
- British Standards Intitution. BS8110:Part 1985 “*Structural Use of Concrete*”. Code of Practice for Design and Construction.1985.
- Burdet, O.L., “*Analysis and Design of Post-Tensioned Anchorage Zones in Concrete Bridges*”, Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, May 1990.
- Clarke, J.L. “*A Guide to the Design of Anchor Blocks for Post-Tensioned Concrete*”.

CIRIA. June 1976.

Dr. Amlan K Sengupta and Prof. Devdas Menon , “*Prestressed Concrete Structures*” Indian Institute of Technology Madras (2010).

Falconer.B.A., “*Post-Tensioning Anchorage Zones in Bridge Decks*”, M.S. Thesis, The University Of Texas At Austin, May 1990.

Gergely, P. And Sozen, M. A. (1967). “*Design of anchorage-zone reinforcement in prestressed concrete beams.*” PCI Journal, Vol. 12, 63-75.

Guyon, Y. (1953). “*Prestressed Concrete .*” John Willy and Sons, Inc., New York.

Komendant, A. E. (1952). “*Prestressd Concrete Structure.*” McGraw-Hill, New York, 172-173.

Mat Lazim Zakaria, (2001) “*Bahan dan Binaan*” Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, Kuala Lumpur.

M.K. Hurst (1988) “ *Prestressed Concrete Design*” Chapman and Hall, London.

Nawy, Edward G. (1989). “*Prestressed Concrete*”. Prentice Hall. Refs. 4.7, 4.28.

Nilson, Arthur H. (1987). “*Design of Prestressed Concrete*”. John Wiley & Sons.

Portland Cemenet Association (1979), “ *Design And Control Of Concrete Mixtures*”, Skokie.

Ravindra K. Dhir, Michael John McCarthy. (1996) “*Appropriate concrete technology: proceedings of the international conference held at the University of Dundee, Scotland, UK on 24-26 June 1996*” Published by Taylor & Francis, pp 85-94.

Rogowsky, D.M., Marti, P., “ *Detailing for Post-Tensioning*”, VSL International,

Berne, 1991.

Saadoun Al Saadoun, 1980 “*A Three-Dimensional Photoelastic Investigation Of The Stress Distribution in The Anchorage Zone Of Post-tensioned Beams*” Master Thesis, King Fahd University Of Petroleum & Minerals Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, October, 1980.

Sanders, D.H., “*Design and Behavior of Anchorage zones in Post-Tensioned Concrete Members*”, Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, August 1990.

Yettram, A. L. And Robbins, K. (1969). “*Anchorage zone stresses in axially post-tensioned members of uniform rectangular section.*” Magazine of Concrete Research, 21,103-112.

Zielinski, J. L. And Rowe, R. E. (1960). “*An Investigation of the Stress Distribution in the Anchorage Zones of Post-Tensioned Concrete Members.*” Research Report No. 9, Cement and Concrete Association, London.