

Comparison of Polyethylene Oxide and Polyvinyl Alcohol as a Host for Graphene Saturable Absorber at 1.5 μm Region

Nabihah Hussin¹, Mohd Rashidi Salim¹, Muhammad Yusof Mohd Noor¹, Asrul Izam Azmi¹, Ahmad Sharmi Abdullah¹, Fauzan Ahmad² and Mohd Haniff Ibrahim^{1*}

¹Lightwave Communication Research Group, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, Malaysia

²Department of Electronic Systems Engineering, Malaysia Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIIT), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: hanif@fke.utm.my

Abstract: This paper compares the performance of two polymeric substance, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) and polyethylene oxide (PEO) as a host in graphene saturable absorber (SA) fabrication. By applying a low-cost solution casting method, graphene flakes which was prepared using the electro-chemical exfoliation technique will be mixed with PEO or PVA solvent as a host. Single concentration (12.04 wt.%) of graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO has been prepared for comparison. At 1.5 μm wavelength region, 2.8 meters erbium doped fiber (EDF) was applied as a gain medium in ring laser cavity setup. Generally, our measurement confirmed stable Q-switched pulses generation (>30 dB) for both SAs at 1.5 μm region. Evidently, the generated pulse by graphene-PVA at 1531.1 nm produced shorter pulse width of 1.85 μs as compared to 18.1 μs by graphene-PEO at maximum permissible pump power. Although smaller pulse energy was calculated for graphene-PVA, the ability of this type of SA to withstand higher pump-power proved its superiority as compared to its counterpart. Notably, this is the first ever effort that assess the performance of PVA and PEO host in graphene-based saturable absorption, particularly at 1.5 μm region.

Keywords: Graphene, Polyvinyl alcohol, Polyethylene oxide, Saturable absorber

© 2023 Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved

Article History: received 3 October 2022; accepted 9 April 2023; published 28 April 2023.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graphene has attracted wide interest of research communities due to its ideal optical characteristics. Features such as rapid recovery time and ultra-wideband absorption has led to its application as saturable absorber (SA) in pulse fiber laser generation [1-3]. It has been stated by Bonaccorso, et al. [4] that the compatibility of material to act as passive SA is hugely depending on their intrinsic properties which include ultrafast carrier relaxation, high nonlinearities and broadband operating wavelength.

In graphene-based SA fabrication, the use of binding material or host material is extremely important. Certainly, large area thin film with great optical homogeneity can be produced with suitable host material. In addition, good binding material will assist in fine controllability of saturable absorption. In view of binding material used in SA fabrication, few polymeric materials have been applied which include polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) [5-9] and polyethylene oxide (PEO) [10-12]. Note that, graphene derivatives with PVA-bound SA have been demonstrated in our previous work, particularly at 1.5 μm [13-14] and 2.0 μm [15]. Based on our thorough review, we believe that although the use of PVA and PEO have been well-established in graphene-based SA application, comparison

between the two substances in terms of the fabricated SA performance is yet to be reported.

In this paper, we will report on the optimized solution casting method for SA fabrication and characterization using graphene in which two host materials, PVA and PEO will be applied. Based on our recent characterization [14], a single concentration (12.04 wt.%) of graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO will be prepared and tested for fabricated SA performance. We believe that the outcome of these findings will assist future related works in SA application.


2. MATERIALS PREPARATION

Both PVA and PEO which were initially in a powder form need to be dissolved prior to its mixture with graphene flakes. For this purpose, a hot plate stirrer was applied to dissolve a pre-calculated weight of PEO or PVA in 120 ml of DI water. This process will take roughly three hours for complete dissolution. The PEO/PVA suspension will be used as a host in the mixing process. Graphene flakes will be prepared using electro-chemical exfoliation process, which has been demonstrated in our previous work [15]. Due to its low-cost and practicality, the same method of preparation has also been adopted in a work by Ljubek, et al. [16], Raj, et al. [17], Bhullar and Liu [18] and Parvez, et al. [19]. Based on our experiment, a potential difference


of 20 V was applied between 1 cm separation of graphite rods. The electrolyte used in this process was 1% sodium dedocyl sulphate (SDS) in deionized water. Due to this applied potential, anions and cations will be attracted to their respective positive and negative electrodes. Apparently, the anions of dedocyl sulphate will be accumulated at the positive terminal. This process of electro-chemical exfoliation will take almost two hours to finally produce a stable suspension.

In the centrifugation process, the optimized speed of 3000 rpm was applied for 30 minutes. The clear liquid portion of the suspension will be filtered out. The PVA/PEO solution which was prepared earlier will be mixed with the graphene solution at pre-determined ratio to produce single concentration (12.04 wt.%) of graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO. To produce stable composition of mixed solution, an ultra-sonic bath was applied for one hour. Finally, the solution was dried at room temperature to obtain free standing films.

For structural study, a Field-Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) (Zeiss Crossbeam 340) was used. The sample shown in Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b) depict homogeneous incorporation of graphene in the PEO and PVA polymer, respectively. Alternatively, we have applied a Raman spectroscopy technique to confirm the structural properties of composited graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA. A laser excitation at 532 nm was used to get the Raman spectra. Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) illustrate the Raman spectrum for graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO, respectively. The intensity peaks at Raman shifts of roughly 1355 cm^{-1} (D peak), 1590 cm^{-1} (G peak), and 2705 cm^{-1} (2D peak), are noted from the figures. As observed by Chen, et al. [20], G to 2D peak ratio of greater than 0.5 indicates the presence of multilayer graphene. Hence, our Raman's result for graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA with G to 2D intensity ratio of bigger than 1, confirms the multilayer structure of the fabricated film.



(a)



(b)

Figure 1. FESEM image of composited (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA




Figure 2. Raman spectrum of (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For saturable absorber characterization, a laser cavity measurement has been setup in which the fabricated graphene film was integrated in the cavity. The schematic of this setup is shown in Figure 3 with 2.8 meters of Erbium Doped Fiber (EDF) as the gain medium.

For monitoring, 10% of output light was extracted using 90/10 optical coupler. The InGaAs photodetector coupled with oscilloscope (OSC) used to measure the pulse repetition rate (RR) and pulse width while radio frequency spectrum analyser (RFSA) measurement used to measure the signal to noise ratio. Further calculation of pulse energy can be carried out from these data. The fabricated graphene-PVA /graphene-PEO are placed after the 90% output coupler path and connected back to wavelength division multiplexer (WDM) to complete the ring cavity laser configuration. We have prepared a 4 mm^2 square graphene-PVA/graphene-PEO film and sandwiched it in between two FC/PC fiber connectors.




Figure 3. Schematic of experimental setup

The optical spectrum analyzer (OSA) traces with and without graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO as the SA are shown in Figure 4. Note that the recorded spectrums are for respective threshold input pump power. For graphene-PEO SA, threshold pump power of 35 mW will shift the central wavelength from 1563.4 nm to 1564.2 nm as shown in Figure 4(a). Based on our measurement, the maximum permissible input pump power is 55 mW which generates maximum peak power of 0.53 mW. In Figure 4(b), the laser cavity provided a continuous wave with a central wavelength of 1561.1 nm for the graphene-PVA SA. Threshold pump power of 39.2 mW will shift the central

wavelength to 1531.1 nm. It is to be noted that the maximum permissible pump power is 236.3 mW which generates a peak power of 0.8 mW.




Figure 4. OSA traces for (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA

Oscilloscope (OSC) traces for graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA are shown in Figure 5. Note that Q-switched pulses have been recorded with maximum repetition rate (RR) of 24.56 kHz and 108.80 kHz for graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA, respectively. These findings vindicated the shortest pulse width of 1.85 μ s for graphene-PVA and 18.1 μ s for graphene-PEO. Detail observation can be made by referring to Figure 6 which exhibits the pulse width and repetition rate variation with applied pump power. Meanwhile, calculated pulse energy and peak output power for maximum pump power are summarized in Table 1.




Figure 5. OSC traces for maximum applied pump power of (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA




Figure 6. Pulse width and repetition rate variation with pump power for (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA

Radio Frequency Spectrum Analyzer (RFS) measurement for stability assessment is graphically shown in Figure 7. The recorded signal to noise ratio (SNR) of graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO is 30 dB and 38 dB, respectively. Ultimately, the stability for both characterized SAs have been confirmed with these

recorded values which agree with the previous published work on stability condition [21-23].




Figure 7. RFS measurement for (a) graphene-PEO (b) graphene-PVA

Table 1 summarizes comparisons of measured parameters between graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA for 12.04 wt.% graphene to solvent weight ratio. Based on these comparisons and presented figures, it is confirmed that the Q-switching performance of graphene-PVA SA is better as compared to graphene-PEO at 1.5 μ m region. Although the calculated pulse energy of graphene-PEO is higher, other important parameters particularly the repetition rate, pulse width and maximum peak power are dominated by graphene-PVA. Most importantly, we have confirmed the ability of graphene-PVA based SA to withstand higher pump power, which is significant and agrees with previous reported work [5, 10, 23-25].

Table 1. Performance comparison of graphene-PEO and graphene-PVA

Parameters	Saturable absorber	
	Graphene-PEO (12.04 wt.%)	Graphene-PVA (12.04 wt.%)
Range of pump power (mW)	35-55	39.2-236.3
Threshold pump power(mW)	35	39.2
Central wavelength (nm)	1564.2	1531.1
Repetition rate (kHz)	13.38-24.56	41.70-108.80
Shortest pulse width (μ s)	18.10	1.85
Pulse energy (maximum) (nJ)	10.18	0.16
Peak power (maximum) (mW)	0.53	0.80
Signal to Noise ratio (dB)	38	30

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, performances of PVA and PEO as a host polymer for graphene based SA have been compared and demonstrated. A single concentration of 12.04 wt.% of graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO multi-layered films have been fabricated using a low-cost electrochemical exfoliation method. By applying 2.8 meters of EDF gain medium, Q-switched pulse train have been generated at 1.5 μ m region for both type of SAs. Based on our temporal measurement, the graphene-PVA is better than graphene-PEO which shows that the former produced shorter pulse width of 1.85 μ s as compared to 18.10 μ s for the latter. For stability measurement, both SAs produced stable pulses with SNR >30 dB. In power related measurement, the maximum peak power is 0.80 mW and 0.53 mW for graphene-PVA and graphene-PEO, respectively. Although the calculated pulse energy of graphene-PEO is higher, the

ability of graphene-PVA to withstand higher pump power which has been observed in this work, together with superior temporal and power related parameters, make PVA a preferred binding host polymer as compared to PEO. Indeed, we have successfully compared for the first time, performance of graphene-based SA with PVA or PEO as the binding material. Additionally, the significant results reported here will surely assist future related work of SA fabrication and characterization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia for the funding (Fundamental Research Grant Scheme: FRGS/1/2019/TK04/UTM/02/31)

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sulaiman, S.W. Harun, F. Ahmad and H. Ahmad, "Nonadiabatic microfiber based mode-locked erbium-doped fiber laser using graphene", *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1670-1673, 2014, doi: 10.1002/mop.28414.
- [2] S.N.F. Zuikafly, H. Ahmad, W.M.F.W. Nawawi, H. Yahaya, M.H. Ibrahim, A.A. Latif and F. Ahmad, "Graphene-chitin bio-composite polymer based mode locker at 2 micron region", *OPTIK*, vol. 245, art. no. 167710, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijleo.2021.167710.
- [3] F. Bonaccorso and Z. Sun, "Solution processing of graphene, topological insulators and other 2D crystals for ultrafast photonics", *Optical Material Express*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 63-78, 2014, doi: 10.1109/TBME.2011.2158315
- [4] F. Bonaccorso, Z. Sun, T. Hasan and A.C. Ferrari, "Graphene photonics and optoelectronics", *Nature Photonics*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 611-622, 2010, doi: 10.1038/nphoton.2010.186.
- [5] N.A. Siddiq, W.Y. Chong, Y.H. Pramono, M.S. Muntini, and H. Ahmad, "C-band tunable performance of passively Q-switched erbium-doped fiber laser using tin (IV) oxide as saturable absorber", *Optics Communications*, vol. 442, pp. 1-9, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.optcom.2019.02.068.
- [6] Z. He, Y. Zheng, H. Liu, M. Li, H. Lu, H. Zhang, Q. Feng and D. Mao, "Passively Q-switched cylindrical vector laser based on a black phosphorus saturable absorber", *Chinese Optics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 2, art. no. 020004, 2019, doi: 10.3788/COL201917.020004.
- [7] N.H. M. Apandi, F. Ahmad, S.N.F. Zuikafly, M.H. Ibrahim and S.W. Harun, "Bismuth (III) telluride (Bi_2Te_3) embedded in PVA as a passive saturable absorber in a 2 micron region", *Photonics Letters of Poland*, vol.8, no. 4, pp. 101-103, 2016, doi: 10.4302/plp.2016.4.04.
- [8] N.H.M. Apandi, S.N.F. Zuikafly, N. Kasim, M.A. Mohamed, S.W. Harun and F. Ahmad, "Observation of dark and bright pulses in Q-switched erbium doped fiber laser using graphene nano-platelets as saturable absorber", *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1358-1365, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.11591/eei.v8i4.1610.
- [9] H. Ahmad, S.I. Ooi, Z.C. Tiu, M.F. Ismail, M.Z. Zulkifli, M. Yasin and K. Thambiratnam, "Passively Q-switched thulium fluoride fiber laser operating in S-band region using N-doped graphene saturable absorber", *Indian Journal of Physics*, vol. 95, no. 9, pp. 1837-1842, Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12648-020-01815-2.
- [10] M.H.M. Ahmed, Z.S. Salleh, N.M. Ali, S.W. Harun and H. Arof, "Q-switched erbium-doped fiber laser using single-walled carbon nanotubes embedded in polyethylene oxide film saturable absorber", *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 2734-2737, 2014, doi: 10.1002/mop.28688.
- [11] N.A.M. Taib, N. Bidin, H. Haris, N. Nadia Adnan, M.F.S. Ahmad and S.W. Harun, "Multi-walled carbon nanotubes saturable absorber in Q-switching flashlamp pumped Nd:YAG laser", *Optics and Laser Technology*, vol. 79, pp. 193-197, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.optlastec.2015.11.014.
- [12] A.M. Markom, M.T. Ahmad, H. Haris, A.R. Muhammad, Z.M. Yusoff, N. Burham, M.C. Paul, A. Dhar, S. Das, M. Pal, S.K. Bhadra and S.W. Harun, "Q-switching Zirconia-Erbium-doped pulsed fiber laser with MWCNTs-PEO as saturable absorber", *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1372, no. 1, art. no. 012003, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1372/1/012003.
- [13] N. Hussin, M.H. Ibrahim, F. Ahmad, H. Yahaya and S.W. Harun, "Graphene nanoplatelets (GNP-PVA) based passive saturable absorber", *Telkomnika*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 814-819, June 2017, doi: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.V15I1.6126.
- [14] N. Hussin, M.R. Salim, A.I. Azmi, M.Y. Mohd Noor, A.S. Abdullah, F. Ahmad and M.H. Ibrahim, "Performance of graphene nanopowder-polyvinyl alcohol in optical pulse generation at 1.5 micron region", *Photonics Letters of Poland*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 55-57, 2021, doi: 10.4302/plp.v13i3.1113.
- [15] N. Hussin, A.I. Azmi, M.R. Salim, M.Y. Mohd Noor, A.S. Abdullah, M. David, F. Ahmad and M. H. Ibrahim, "Graphene- polyvinyl alcohol polymer based saturable absorption at 2000 nm region", *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 701-708, 2022, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v27.i2.pp701-708.
- [16] G. Ljubek, D. Capeta, I. Srut Rakic, M. Kraljic Rokovic, "Energetically efficient and electrochemically tunable exfoliation of graphite: process monitoring and product characterization", *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 56, no. 18, pp. 10859-10875, June 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10853-021-05989-w.
- [17] C.J. Raj, R. Manikandan, P. Thondaiman, P. Sivakumar, A.D. Savariraj, W.J. Cho, B.C. Kim and H. Jung, "Sonochemical exfoliation of graphene in various electrolytic environments and their structural and chemical properties", *Carbon*, vol. 184, pp. 266-276, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2021.08.027.
- [18] S.S. Bhullar and W.W. Liu, "The effect of different electrolytes on the synthesis of graphene sheets by electrochemical exfoliation" in *AIP Conference Proceedings*,

- vol. 2347, Art. no. 020262, July 2021, doi: 10.1063/5.0051561.
- [19] K. Parvez, R. Li, S. R. Puniredd, Y. Hernandez, F. Hinkel, S. Wang, X. Feng and K. Mullen, "Electrochemically exfoliated graphene as solution-processable, highly conductive electrodes for organic electronics", *ACS Nano*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 3598-3606, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1021/nn400576v.
- [20] S. Chen, L. Brown, M. Levendorf, W. Cai, S.Y. Ju, J. Edgeworth, X. Li, C.W. Magnusson, A. Velamakanni, R.D. Piner, J. Kang, J. Park and R. S. Ruoff, "Oxidation resistance of graphene-coated Cu and Cu/Ni alloy", *ACS Nano*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1321-1327, 2011, doi: 10.1021/nn103028d.
- [21] H. Ahmad, A. Z. Zulkifli, K. Thambiratnam and S. W. Harun, "2.0 μm Q-switched thulium-doped fiber laser with graphene oxide saturable absorber", *IEEE Photonics Journal*, vol. 5, no. 4, Art. no. 6562742, 2013, doi: 10.1109/JPHOT.2013.2273733.
- [22] N. Saidin, D. I. M. Zen, F. Ahmad, S. S. A. Damanhuri, H. Ahmad, K. Dimiyati and S. W. Harun, "Q-switched thulium-doped fibre laser operating at 1900 nm using multi-layered graphene based saturable absorber", *IET Optoelectronics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 155-160, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1049/iet-opt.2013.0054.
- [23] A.H.A. Rosol, Z. Jusoh, H.A. Rahman, M.F.M. Rusdi, S.W. Harun and A.A. Latiff, "Graphene oxide saturable absorber for generating eye-safe Q-switched fiber laser", in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 210, no. 1, Art. no. 012042, June 2017, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/210/1/012042.
- [24] M.M. Mafroos, H.H. Jameela Sapingi and A. Hamzah, "Passively Q-switched erbium doped fiber laser based on graphene and carbon nanotube saturable absorbers", *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 227-233, October 2022, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v28.i1.pp227-233.
- [25] H. Ahmad, S.N. Aidit, K. Thambiratnam and Z.C. Tiu, "Passively Q-switched O-band praseodymium doped fluoride fiber laser with PVA/graphene based SA", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 53, no. 22, pp. 1481-1483, October 2017, doi: 10.1049/EL.2017.2064.