

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ANALYSIS FOR CONTRACTORS IN
MALAYSIAN HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

LEE MAO RUI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ANALYSIS FOR CONTRACTORS IN
MALAYSIAN HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

LEE MAO RUI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2020

DEDICATION

For my beloved mother and father, family and fellow friends for all their love, support and understanding and the sacrifices they made to make it possible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my main supervisor and co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Syuhaida binti Ismail and Ts. Dr. Mohammad Hussaini bin Wahab, who have allocated some of their time to guide me through this study. Not only that, all the sharings of knowledge and teachings from both supervisors have also definitely made a difference in this study. With their guidance, I was able to continue my study. I would also like to express my deep gratitude to my main supervisor and co-supervisor for their encouragement.

Last but not least, I would like to take this great opportunity to thank my parents and family who have given me their endless morale support. I was able to continue my research with the support they have given to me all this while. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Housing construction project is one of the main segments in Malaysia's construction industry with contractors as the major stakeholders during its construction phase. However, contractors' performance as monitored by the clients is found declining due to lack of reliable standardised systems that detail the project monitoring concepts to guide its development. To improve the performance of the contractors in Malaysia, there is a need for the performance indicators analysis for the housing construction project. Thus, this study developed a framework of performance indicators for contractors in Malaysian housing construction projects by identifying the current contractor's performance, investigating the critical success factors (CSFs) for housing construction projects, assessing the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of contractors, and examining the relationship between project time, quality and cost in housing construction project. This study began with a literature review, followed by questionnaire development which was tested via a pilot test involving 10 experts. Subsequently, data collection via a survey on 250 construction stakeholders was undertaken. The data was analysed using Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) version 2015. The results showed that the current contractor's performance in the housing construction project is poor, where contractor does not try to reduce wastage of materials used, contractor does not well maintain the client's expectations, and contractor does not work effectively with the client to ensure costs are maintained at the acceptable level. Meanwhile, the most important CSFs are contractor has clear communication lines, followed by the contractor maintains a professional relationship with client, and construction costs must remain within the stipulated budget. Furthermore, the most important KPIs are contractor's compliance on site security regulation, followed by contractor's formulation on a clear working programme for construction of statutory compliance according to the legal regulations, and the client satisfaction with the progress of the project and deliverables by the contractor. The results also showed that the project time, quality, and cost of housing construction projects determine the income and profit of the project as the project time defines the quality and investment ratio of the housing construction projects. The proposed framework was validated via criterion related validity test. The framework of performance indicators analysis for contractors of the housing construction project in Malaysia consists of three variables: current contractor's performance in housing construction project with six indicators, CSFs for housing construction project with ten indicators, and KPIs of contractor in the housing construction project with eight indicators. This framework is useful to help the client to appraise and improve the contractor's performance towards the betterment of future housing construction projects in Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Projek pembinaan perumahan merupakan salah satu segmen utama dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia dengan kontraktor selaku pemegang taruh utama semasa fasa pembinaan. Walau bagaimanapun, prestasi kontraktor yang dipantau oleh pelanggan didapati menurun kerana kekurangan sistem piawai yang boleh dipercayai yang memperincikan konsep pemantauan projek untuk membimbing perkembangannya. Untuk meningkatkan prestasi kontraktor di Malaysia, terdapat keperluan analisa petunjuk prestasi untuk projek pembinaan perumahan. Oleh itu, kajian ini membangunkan rangka kerja petunjuk prestasi bagi kontraktor dalam projek pembinaan perumahan di Malaysia dengan mengenal pasti prestasi kontraktor semasa, mengkaji faktor kejayaan kritikal (CSFs) untuk projek pembinaan perumahan, menilai petunjuk prestasi utama (KPI) kontraktor, dan mengkaji hubungkait antara masa, kualiti dan kos projek dalam projek pembinaan perumahan. Kajian ini dimulakan dengan kajian literatur diikuti dengan pembangunan soal selidik yang diuji melalui ujian rintis yang melibatkan 10 pakar. Selanjutnya, pengumpulan data melalui tinjauan terhadap 250 responden dalam kalangan pemegang taruh pembinaan dilaksanakan. Data dianalisis menggunakan Pakej Statistik Sains Sosial (SPSS) versi 2015. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa prestasi kontraktor semasa dalam projek pembinaan perumahan kurang baik, di mana kontraktor tidak berusaha mengurangkan pembaziran bahan yang digunakan, kontraktor tidak memenuhi jangkaan pelanggan dengan baik, dan kontraktor tidak bekerja secara berkesan dengan pelanggan untuk memastikan kosnya dikekalkan pada tahap yang boleh diterima. Selain itu, CSFs terpenting adalah kontraktor mempunyai komunikasi yang jelas, diikuti oleh kontraktor mengekalkan hubungan profesional dengan pelanggan dan kos pembinaan harus tetap sesuai dengan anggaran yang ditetapkan. Tambahan pula, KPIs terpenting ialah pematuhan kontraktor terhadap peraturan keselamatan tapak, diikuti oleh formulasi kontraktor mengenai program kerja yang jelas untuk pembinaan pematuhan undang-undang mengikut peraturan perundangan dan kepuasan pelanggan dengan kemajuan projek dan penyampaian oleh kontraktor. Hasil kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa tempoh, kualiti dan kos projek pembinaan perumahan menentukan pendapatan dan keuntungan projek kerana tempoh projek menentukan nisbah kualiti dan pelaburan projek pembinaan perumahan. Kerangka kerja yang dicadangkan itu disahkan menerusi ujian pengesahan berkaitan kriteria. Kerangka kerja analisa petunjuk prestasi untuk kontraktor dalam projek pembinaan perumahan di Malaysia terdiri daripada tiga pemboleh ubah: prestasi kontraktor semasa dalam projek pembinaan perumahan dengan enam petunjuk, CSFs untuk projek pembinaan perumahan dengan sepuluh petunjuk dan KPIs kontraktor dalam projek pembinaan perumahan dengan lapan petunjuk. Kerangka kerja ini berguna untuk membantu pelanggan menilai dan meningkatkan prestasi kontraktor ke arah peningkatan projek pembinaan perumahan pada masa akan datang di Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1	AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	5
1.3	Research Question	9
1.4	Aim and Objectives	10
1.5	Research Methodology	10
1.6	Scope of Research	11
1.7	Significance of Study	12
1.8	Limitation of Study	14
1.9	Structure of Thesis	15
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	19
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Housing Construction Project Stakeholders	19
2.2.1	Client	20
2.2.2	Contractor	21
2.2.3	Consultant	23

2.3	Performance of Contractors of Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	24
2.4	Development of Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	28
2.4.1	Challenges of Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	28
2.4.2	Housing Construction Project Improvement Strategy	30
2.4.3	Benchmarking of Housing Construction Projects	31
2.5	Performance of Housing Construction Projects	33
2.5.1	Time Performance of Housing Construction Project	37
2.5.2	Cost Performance of Housing Construction Projects	40
2.5.3	Quality Performance of Housing Construction Project	43
2.6	Critical Success Factors (CSFs) of Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	45
2.6.1	Project Success Indicators	48
2.6.1.1	Traditional Success Indicators	48
2.6.1.2	Other Success Indicators versus Traditional Indicators	48
2.6.2	Success Indicators in Housing Construction Projects	50
2.6.3	Overview of Project Success Indicators	56
2.6.3.1	Quality	57
2.6.3.2	Client and User Satisfaction	57
2.6.3.3	Value and Profitability	58
2.6.3.4	Project Functionality	59
2.6.3.5	Time	59
2.6.3.6	Free from Defects	60
2.6.3.7	Cost	60
2.6.3.8	Absence of Any Legal Claims and Proceedings	61
2.6.3.9	Positive Reputation	61

2.6.3.10	Environmental Performance	62
2.7	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of Contractors in Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	63
2.7.1	Defining Performance of Construction Projects	63
2.7.2	Performance Measurement in the Construction Industry	64
2.7.3	Construction Performance Measurement Approaches	66
2.7.4	Performance Measures and Indicators	69
2.7.5	Selecting Performance Measures	69
2.8	Time, Quality, and Cost of Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	71
2.8.1	Project Management Triangle (PMT)	71
2.8.2	Project Time	73
2.8.3	Project Quality	74
2.8.4	Project Cost	74
2.8.5	Trade-offs between Project Time, Project Quality, and Project Cost	76
2.8.6	Project Time-Cost Connection	77
2.8.7	Activity Time-Cost Relationship	79
2.8.8	Non-Linear Time-Cost Trade-Off	80
2.8.9	Shortening Project Time	81
2.8.10	Relationship between Quality, Time, Cost, and Scope	82
2.8.11	Equitable Relationship	83
2.9	Project Success in Housing Construction Project	85
2.9.1	Three Streams of Literature Associated with Project Success	85
2.9.2	Project Success (PS)	85
2.9.3	Project Management Success and Product Success	88
2.9.4	Project Success Criteria (PSC)	90
2.9.5	Success Factors (SFs) and Critical Success Factors (CSFs)	91

2.10	Proposed Pre-Conceptual Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	92
2.11	Summary	96
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	99
3.1	Introduction	99
3.2	Methodology and Methods	99
3.2.1	Quantitative and Qualitative Research Approach	101
3.2.2	Research Organisation	104
3.3	Research Design	104
3.3.1	Population and Sampling Method	105
3.3.2	Unit of Analysis	105
3.4	Instrumentation	106
3.5	Data Collection	108
3.6	Data Analysis Technique	111
3.6.1	Reliability and Factor Analysis	111
3.6.2	Descriptive Analysis	112
3.6.3	Correlation Analysis	112
3.6.4	Multiple Regression Analysis	113
3.7	Development of Conceptual Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	113
3.8	Validation of Conceptual Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	114
3.9	Summary	116
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	117
4.1	Introduction	117
4.2	Demographic Data of Respondent	117
4.2.1	Age	118
4.2.2	Gender	118
4.2.3	Work Experience	119

4.2.4	Highest Education Level	120
4.2.5	Type of Organisation	120
4.2.6	Job Position	121
4.3	Reliability of Sample	122
4.4	Validity of Questionnaire Indicators	123
4.5	Current Contractor's Performance (CCP) in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia	132
4.5.1	Contractor Meets or Exceeds Housing Construction Project Delivery Standards Specified in Contract	132
4.5.2	Contractor Meets or Exceeds Housing Construction Project Delivery Times where Defined	133
4.5.3	Health, Safety, and Environment (HSE) Standards are Frequently Monitored, Reviewed and Maintained in Line with All Necessary Legal and Regulatory Obligations	134
4.5.4	Equipment Used by Contractor is up to Standard	134
4.5.5	Contractor Does Not Cause Defects	135
4.5.6	Contractor Tries to Reduce Wastage of Materials Used	136
4.5.7	Contractor Works Effectively with Client to Ensure Costs are Maintained at Acceptable Level	137
4.5.8	There are Minimal Client's Complaints about Contractor	138
4.5.9	Any Client Complaints are Well Handled and Managed	138
4.5.10	Contractor Well Maintains Client's Expectations	139
4.5.11	Overall Results	140
4.6	Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for Housing Construction Project in Malaysia	142
4.6.1	Contractor Strictly Adheres to Clear Retention Policy and Motivation Guidelines	142
4.6.2	Contractor Complies with Programmes for Statutory	143
4.6.3	Contractor has Clear Communication Lines	144

4.6.4	Contractor Maintains Professional Relationship with Client	145
4.6.5	Contractor Responds to Trouble-Shooting and Feedback	146
4.6.6	Contractor Consistently Delivers Housing Construction Project to High Standards	147
4.6.7	Contractor Delivers Housing Construction Project as per Set Delivery Schedule	148
4.6.8	Contractor Provides Safe and Inspiring Environment for Project Stakeholders	149
4.6.9	Client's Acceptance of Housing Construction Project	150
4.6.10	Construction Costs Must Remain within Stipulated Budget	151
4.6.11	Overall Results	152
4.7	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of Contractors in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia	154
4.7.1	All Pertinent Standards are Regularly Examined/ Monitored, Reviewed and Preserved in Keeping all Legal and Regulatory Obligations	154
4.7.2	Client Satisfaction was Met	155
4.7.3	Housing Construction Project Delivery Times, where Defined, are being Met or Exceeded	156
4.7.4	Housing Construction Project Delivery Standards in the Contract Arrangement are being Met or Exceeded	157
4.7.5	Site Security Regulations are not Being Breached	158
4.7.6	Contractor is Having Good Working Relationship with Client	159
4.7.7	Contractor has Formulated Clear Working Programme for Construction of Statutory Compliance According to Legal Regulations in Malaysia	160
4.7.8	Client is Satisfied with Progress of Housing Construction Project and Deliverables by Contractors	161
4.7.9	Overall Results	162

4.8	Relationship between Project Time, Project Cost and Project Quality in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia	164
4.9	Proposed Conceptual Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	168
4.10	Validation of Conceptual Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	169
4.11	Summary	178
CHAPTER 5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	179
5.1	Summary of Finding	179
5.1.1	Objective 1: To Identify Current Contractor's Performance in Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	179
5.1.2	Objective 2: To Investigate Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	180
5.1.3	Objective 3: To Assess the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of Contractors in Housing Construction Projects in Malaysia	180
5.1.4	Objective 4: To Examine the Relationship between Project Time, Project Quality and Project Cost in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia	181
5.2	Development of Practical Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	182
5.3	Conclusion	185
5.4	Contribution to Knowledge	187
5.5	Limitations	188
5.6	Recommendation for Future Study	190
REFERENCES		193
APPENDIX		217

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 3.1	Summary of quantitative and qualitative research	102
Table 3.2	Reliability results based on Cronbach's alpha value (George and Mallery, 2003)	112
Table 4.1	Age of respondents	118
Table 4.2	Gender of respondents	119
Table 4.3	Work experience of respondents	119
Table 4.4	Highest education level of respondents	120
Table 4.5	Type of organisation of respondents	121
Table 4.6	Job position of respondents	122
Table 4.7	Results of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's Test	123
Table 4.8	Reliability statistics	123
Table 4.9	Validity of each indicator	124
Table 4.10	Current contractor's performance for meeting or exceeding housing construction project delivery standards	132
Table 4.11	Current contractor's performance for meeting or exceeding housing construction project delivery schedule	133
Table 4.12	Current contractor's performance for monitoring and reviewing of Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) standards	134
Table 4.13	Current contractor's performance for equipment used by contractor is up to standard	135
Table 4.14	Current contractor's performance does not cause defects	136
Table 4.15	Current contractor's performance for reduction in wastage of resources	136
Table 4.16	Current contractor's performance for working effectively with client	137
Table 4.17	Current contractor's performance for client complaints	138
Table 4.18	Current contractor's performance for handling of client complaints	139

Table 4.19	Current contractor’s performance for maintenance of client expectations	139
Table 4.20	Overall results of current contractor’s performance in housing construction project in Malaysia	141
Table 4.21	Critical success factors (CSFs) for retention policies and motivation guidelines and adherence	143
Table 4.22	Critical success factors (CSFs) for construction of statutory compliance by contractor	144
Table 4.23	Critical success factors (CSFs) for clear communication lines	145
Table 4.24	Critical success factors (CSFs) for maintenance of client consultation	146
Table 4.25	Critical success factors (CSFs) for contractor responds to trouble-shooting and feedback	147
Table 4.26	Critical success factor (CSFs) for the contractor must consistently deliver housing construction project to high standards	148
Table 4.27	Critical success factors (CSFs) for the contractor must deliver housing construction project as per set delivery schedule	149
Table 4.28	Critical success factors (CSFs) for safe and inspiring environment for project stakeholders	150
Table 4.29	Critical success factors (CSFs) for client’s acceptance of housing construction project	151
Table 4.30	Critical success factors (CSFs) for construction costs must remain within stipulated budget	152
Table 4.31	Overall results for critical success factors (CSFs) of housing construction project in Malaysia	153
Table 4.32	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of regular examination/ monitoring, review and preservation for all pertinent standards	155
Table 4.33	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for client satisfaction level	156
Table 4.34	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for housing construction project delivery time	157

Table 4.35	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for housing construction project delivery standard in contract arrangement	158
Table 4.36	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for site security regulations	159
Table 4.37	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for maintenance of relationship	159
Table 4.38	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for alignment of working programme with legal regulations	160
Table 4.39	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for client's satisfaction with progress of housing construction project	161
Table 4.40	Overall results for Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of contractors in housing construction project in Malaysia	162
Table 4.41	Validity test for correlations of Current Contractor Performance (CCP) in housing construction project in Malaysia	169
Table 4.42	Validity test for correlations of critical success factors (CSFs) for housing construction projects in Malaysia	173
Table 4.43	Validity test for correlations of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of contractor in housing construction project in Malaysia	176

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for a successful project (KPI, 2000)	52
Figure 2.2	Four groups of success factors (Shenhar and Holzmann, 2017)	53
Figure 2.3	Project success (PS) (Lim and Mohamed, 1999)	55
Figure 2.4	Project Management Triangle (PMT)	73
Figure 2.5	Project Management Triangle (PMT) (scope change with increased cost and time)	76
Figure 2.6	Illustration of linear time/cost trade of an activity	80
Figure 2.7	Triple constraint	83
Figure 2.8	Equitable relationship of stakeholders in construction project	84
Figure 2.9	Traditional project objectives (time, cost, and quality)	87
Figure 2.10	Logical framework method and project success (PS)	89
Figure 2.11	Building blocks of project life cycle	89
Figure 2.12	Pre-conceptual framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projetscs	95
Figure 3.1	Research activity flowchart	100
Figure 4.1	Proposed conceptual framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	168
Figure 5.1	Practical framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	183

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASWP	-	Actual Cost of Work Performed
BB	-	Building Block
BCWP	-	Budgeted Cost of Work
BSC	-	Balanced Scorecard
CIDB	-	Construction Industry Development Board
CIMP	-	Construction Industry Master Plan
CPI	-	Cost Performance Index
CPM	-	Construction Project Management
CPM	-	Critical Path Method
CSFs	-	Critical Success Factors
CSH	-	Code for Sustainable Homes
DLP	-	Defect Liability Period
EPU	-	Economy Planning Unit
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
HSE	-	Health, Safety and Environment
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
KMO	-	Kaiser Meyer Olkin
KPIs	-	Key Performance Indicators
LFM	-	Logical Framework Method
M & O	-	Management and Operating
NPV	-	Net Present Value
PMs	-	Project Managements
PMPF	-	Performance Measurement Process Framework
PMS	-	Project Management System
PMS	-	Project Management Success
PMS	-	Performance Measurement System
PMT	-	Project Management Triangle
PP	-	Project Performance
PS	-	Project Success
PSC	-	Project Success Criteria

QMS	-	Quality Management System
SFs	-	Success Factors
SPSS	-	Statistical Package of Social Science
TQM	-	Total Quality Management
11MP	-	11 th Malaysia Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Questionnaire Survey	217
Appendix B	Overall Results of Validating Framework on Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects	225
Appendix C	List of Publications	230
Appendix D	List of Award	231

CHAPTER 1

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY

1.1 Background

The housing sector is one of the major sectors that contribute to Malaysia's economic growth (Mirawati et al., 2015). In the last 20 years, this sector has contributed between 3 percent and 5 percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in Malaysia. According to Chan and Adabre (2019), the dynamic construction sector is currently a significant contributor to Malaysia's social and economic infrastructure, which, in turn, drives industrial production (Hamzeh et al., 2019). The growth of the construction sector such as the construction of airports, roads, hospitals, houses, schools, ports, etc., is a sign of the commitment of the Malaysian government to improve the quality of life and living standards of its citizens (Mirawati et al., 2015).

According to the Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) (2018), infrastructure forms the bulk (76.7 percent) of construction work overall. The Malaysian housing sector contributed a massive RM 9.53 billion to the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in the year 2015, equal to 4.2 percent of Malaysia's GDP. This figure consequently increased to 12.9 percent in 2017. The sector further increased its GDP contribution in 2018, specifically driven by an increase in the residential subsector (29.7 percent), and reinforced by the growth in the civil engineering subsector as a result of sustained implementation of bulky transport-related structures as well as oil and gas projects. The housing sector further sustained its strong economic contribution with the help of housing-related service subsectors, especially the real estate sector, which has seen massive investment inflow. The housing sector output in Malaysia is projected to record a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) (Hamzeh et al., 2019). The country's growing economy and increased government spending on infrastructure have sustained the growth of the housing

sector, which recorded a CAGR of 11.48 percent from 2015 to 2017. Besides, several major economic indicators related to CAGR have also signalled a major boom in the housing sector (Chong et al., 2014).

The housing sector is a major employer and is therefore crucial to Malaysia's economic growth. This sector only is predicted to grow for 11.2 percent, at the moment, it occupied around 3.3 percent of the country GDP (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2017). In 2015, 9.2 percent of the overall labour force of the country comprised of worker from this sector (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2017). On top of that, since the sector also involved extensively with other industries in the supply chain, it will also boost the growth of other sector including manufacturing, transportation, as well as financial services. Although the huge volume and complexity of projects in the Malaysian construction sector pose a great challenge for the government, it also provides many profitable opportunities for various companies in the housing sector (Lee et al., 2014).

In Act 520 enforced by Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) Malaysia (CIDB, 2018), the construction industry focuses in developing housing construction projects that include buildings, installation, repair, care, restoration, removal, renovation, and the demolition of buildings (Ng et al., 2018). The Malaysian construction industry includes housing construction plans for buildings, erections, structures, walls, fences or chimneys, whether they are constructed wholly or partly or above ground level. Also included are drainage, irrigation, or river control work, electrical and mechanical works, water, gas, petrochemical, telecommunication, bridges, viaduct, dam, reservoir, earthwork, pipeline, sewer, culvert, driveshaft, tunnel, as well as reclamation (Becker et al., 2016).

The construction scene in Malaysia post-independence is gearing towards developing improved housing construction projects (CIDB, 2018). Similar to the United States of America (USA), the United Kingdom (UK), and China; in Malaysia, the development of housing construction projects is regarded as an important productive undertaking as attested by this sector's output, which has risen from RM 25.33 billion to RM 26.66 million in 2016 and 2017 (Central Bank of Malaysia,

2018). The construction sector is ranked as one of the top-three key economic areas that have contributed to Malaysia's GDP and considered as one of the critical sectors for the country to realise Vision 2030. In 2019, the housing construction project contributed 9.8 percent of the country's GDP, where this figure increased from 8 percent GDP in 2017 followed by a significant rise in 2018 (CIDB, 2018).

Despite its significant contribution to the economy, the housing sector also faces various challenges such as low productivity, poor quality, and delays due to bureaucratic systems, besides suffering from a poor image, cost overruns, and economic volatility (Mirawati et al., 2015). The sector has been criticised for its inability to deliver quality products that meet acceptable levels and has even failed to operate on schedule or meet the required budget. Research reveals that 90 percent of housing projects cannot be delivered within the required deadlines (Chong et al., 2014). It was also observed that both the Public and Private Sectors face project overruns (Diana and Mejia, 2014).

This study identifies the housing sector as being prone to fraudulent activities because it provides an environment rife with unethical dilemmas due to its low-price mentality, fierce competition, and paper-thin margins. This sector is considered to be very susceptible to unethical practices because it involves substantial capital investments, besides providing large-scale opportunities for rent extraction, as well as investments that cannot be redeployed after implementation (Lee et al., 2014). Unethical practices can take place at every phase of a housing construction project during the planning and design, pre-qualification and tendering project execution and operation, and maintenance. The listed mal-practices are known to yield delayed projects that are regarded as unnecessary, unsuitable, overly complex, and overpriced (Hamzeh et al., 2019).

Unethical practices have long-term impacts on the construction industry. Among practices are tender value wastages, inaccurate tendering amount, crime, blacklisted companies, and bad reputation. In fact, discussions on professional ethics, initiated by the general public, is an ongoing endeavour as it generates concerns not only to the public but the professional world as well. The issue of professional ethics

has sparked enthusiastic concern and pragmatic discussion among the general public (Lee et al., 2014). There is now a growing demand in the current literature for good ethical practices and professional behaviour in the construction sector. Since the housing sector is so massive and universal, it is often cited as being plagued with political grafting and malpractices. Common issues highlighted are dodgy tendering practices, substandard quality of construction work, a bad safety culture, payment woes, corruption, and most importantly, no public accountability for funds spent on public buildings and infrastructure (Ahola et al., 2019).

All construction activities may have some risks and uncertainties, especially in developing countries, which based on this study observation, has contributed to risks in housing construction project environment. Contractors must continually improve construction project management, project quality, and operations. Performance measurement is key to continuous improvement (Lee et al., 2014). This exercise aims to offer managers and all levels of staff the ability to develop the direction, traction, and speed for their organisation. As a rule, benchmarking is the next step to improve contractor efficiency and enhance the effectiveness of contractor products and processes (Nasir et al., 2015), particularly contractors of housing construction projects.

Housing construction projects are often criticised for their poor performance and for ineffectively delivering on the desired goals. In most cases, this issue is due to the complexity and uniqueness of housing construction project. At the same time, projects often involved changes which is dynamic continuous throughout project phases. In this environment, it is paramount to control project performance (Lee et al., 2014). This is because, the projects in this sector had limited focus on process of the delivery and mostly on goals which is product-based approach. However, in a housing construction project, project control aims to ensure that projects are completed on time and within budget and all other project objectives are achieved. Project control is a complex task undertaken by project managers in practice, which constantly involves measuring progress, evaluating plans, and taking corrective actions as required (Horta et al., 2015).

In recent years, clients and contractors alike have given more and more emphasis on process-oriented goals as they begin to realise the importance of such goals. In other words, it is crucial to constantly control the project delivery process in order to control the quality of the product throughout the project cycle (Lee et al., 2014). However, in general, the researcher has found that the process performance during the housing construction phase and how it impacts the reaching the objectives of project is mostly not understood. Hence, it is essential to investigate the factors affecting housing construction project performance to improve the construction industry as a whole (Omran and Hooi, 2018).

1.2 Problem Statement

Studies have found that contractor's performance is declining, such that they are no longer able to deliver quality construction products (Lee et al., 2014). Although the Malaysian government has attempted to reform its contracting procedures, these efforts have not yielded lasting positive results. The government continues to view housing construction projects as a high-risk investment vulnerable to fraud, abuse, and mismanagement. Lee et al. (2014) also revealed that housing contractors with Management and Operating (M&O) contract usually fail to control costs because project expenses are not subsidised by the government.

Aouad et al., (2017) observed that in general, only 30 percent of construction projects, including housing construction projects, would be completed within the scheduled completion dates, where the average time overrun was between 10 percent and 30 percent. In a study done earlier by Alvesson and Kärreman (2015), the results revealed that 70 percent of projects suffered time overruns, where the usual time delay would span from 10 percent to 30 percent of the initial time of the project. Alvesson and Kärreman (2015) also detected six main causes of delay: change orders, delays in progress payment, ineffectual planning and scheduling of the project by the contractor, insignificant site management and control by the contractor, scarcity of labourers, and inadequate backing of the project by the contractor. All are vital factors that contribute to time overruns. Although

performance indicators for houses constructed by contractors in Malaysia include completion within the required time, quality houses, and client satisfaction, the indicators currently used are not satisfactory (Kiew et al., 2016).

On the other hand, Azlan et al. (2019) argued that the quality of the house built, the budget used, and the time of the housing construction projects are all linked. Interruption could occur whereby some parts of the housing construction project has been delayed or not followed through because of unexpected circumstances. When mandatory, the contractor may need to apportion a supplementary budget for counteractive actions to make sure the housing construction project runs or the contractor may face liquidated indemnity charges for failing to meet the house owner's specifications. Therefore, a good framework could lead to the construction of quality houses, whilst poor frameworks could lead to project failure (Azlan et al., 2019). A good project framework is focused on appraising housing construction companies based on their quality practice. Quality companies are valuable from the house buyer's perspective, as they offer information about what to anticipate from the housing contractor and the company's opinion in the form of self-assessment prototypes to identify improvement prospects (Azlan et al., 2019).

Housing construction projects are crucially interconnected with other sectors (Mirawati et al., 2015). According to Kiew et al. (2016), it is challenging to monitor a housing construction project and ensure that it succeeds. Housing contractors are being pressured to improve their performance and productivity because of the high competition, very slow economic growth, and the restructuring of the housing sector (Samee and Pongpeng, 2015).

It is also important to note that the Malaysian building sector, including housing, is also a significant contributor to the nation's economic development (Bae and Kim, 2008). The sector develops buildings and infrastructure works needed for socio-economic development that in turn, drives general economic growth. The sector also supports works for many individuals, ranging from professionals, such as architects, engineers, and surveyors to main contractors, subcontractors, suppliers,

and manual labourers who work for the contractors. As such, the housing construction project is a key industry in Malaysia (Lee et al., 2014).

In this modern age, project performance or project success is examined based on specific criteria (Teck-Hong, 2016). Various project management styles will produce different outcomes, so the project team members are the ones responsible for selecting the most ideal criteria for their construction business to survive and generate profit (Kerzner, 2017). Consequently, it is important to understand the criteria set by the clients, the consultant, and the contractors in real-world practice to measure the performance of construction projects. This step is crucial to enable all parties to improve the project performance and deliver a successful construction project (Teck-Hong, 2016).

Many performance measurements studies have been done in the past (Teck-Hong, 2016; Langston, 2016). However, there is now increasing demand for the evaluation and management of such performance measures. As such, there are various Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) that may be implemented in the housing sector to measure company and contractor performance (Langston, 2016).

KPIs have relative importance (Das et al., 2016); many contractors use different sets of KPIs, namely client satisfaction, internal processes, and organisational innovation and improvement activities for their housing construction projects, particularly in Malaysia. These KPIs will not be adequate if the contractors do not consider other operational measures that are also important drivers of future financial performance. Companies that rely entirely on a set KPI with little regard of other important aspects such as competition, employee capability, the type of construction project or supplier, will not perform very well; thereby creating a gap, which this study aims to address. In other words, there is a need to understand the KPIs used, their effectiveness and whether or not they meet international standards so that housing construction projects in the country will not experience high profile problems later on (Das et al., 2016).

The current research problem involves the issue of identifying performance indicators in the housing construction projects. Most of the construction projects, including housing are not built to last long (Mirawati et al., 2015). Hence, this study seeks to address the gaps that exist in the construction sector, specifically in housing construction projects and to address common problems that the sector faces. Early prediction and a thorough diagnosis of the problems can help prevent housing construction project delays and ensure that the projects are completed within the allotted time and budget, as well as with expected quality (Mirawati et al., 2015).

Generally, the Malaysian housing construction projects are viewed as underperforming overall, as the country's construction industry is often characterised as opportunistic behaviours, poor communication, and adversarial attitudes due to inefficient and ineffective construction practices (Abdul-Aziz et al., 2015). Studies have found that delay of payment, project delays, budget burst, and conflicts are common challenges plaguing housing construction projects. Therefore, although the overall number of industrial accidents in Malaysia has decreased by 35 percent over eight years starting since 2005, the construction sector has observed a hike in accidents of 5.6 percent in the same period. Fatalities also increased by a staggering 60 percent. Teck-Hong (2016) identified this hike is due to several factors which includes inadequate inspection programme, insufficient safety policies being practiced, and a shortfall of safety educations, risky working methods. Many contractors are not legally compliant, so very few would care about going above compliance standards (Lee et al., 2014).

Seeing the current situation, the Malaysian government has sought to improve the performance of local housing construction contractors through the establishment of the Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) in 2007 (CIDB, 2018). However, despite the support given by the Malaysian government, this study found that the pace of development in the local construction sector has been sluggish so far due to the recent Asian Financial Crisis, which drastically reduced the volume of housing construction work in the country; as well as the conservative nature of the sector, which has hampered the adoption of innovations in housing construction processes and methods, including project financing techniques, corporate structuring,

and administrative methods. Although these innovations are generally slow and incremental, there is still a need to adopt technology as part of the engineering designs, which could add value to housing construction projects (Kale et al., 2019).

Despite the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) contributions of the housing construction projects, housing construction projects still faced various challenges, such as low productivity, poor quality, and delays due to bureaucratic systems, poor image, cost overruns, and economic volatility. Housing construction projects have been criticised for their inability to deliver quality products that meet acceptable standards, besides failing to operate on schedule or meet the required budget, where both the public and private sectors face the same problems of project overruns. Hence, it is important to improve the performance of contractor undertaking the housing construction projects, which is translated via the quality and level of projects handed over to the clients.

1.3 Research Question

This study aims to answer the following research questions:

1. What is the current performance of contractors of housing construction projects in Malaysia?
2. How can a housing construction project successfully perform in Malaysia?
3. What are the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of contractors in housing construction projects in Malaysia?
4. How do project time, project schedule, and project cost affect the housing construction projects in Malaysia?

1.4 Aim and Objectives

The main aim of the study is to develop a framework for performance indicators analysis for contractors in Malaysian housing construction projects. This study is driven by the following objectives:

1. To identify the current performance of contractors of housing construction projects in Malaysia.
2. To investigate the critical success factors (CSFs) of housing construction projects in Malaysia.
3. To assess the KPIs of contractors of housing construction projects in Malaysia.
4. To examine the relationship between project time, project quality, and project cost in housing construction projects in Malaysia.

1.5 Research Methodology

The intention of having a research methodology is to explain and justify the choice of research design, data collection, and data analysis, as well as to detail the steps for conducting the study and to ensure that the study is conducted ethically. The overall research design is a mixed-mode (quantitative and qualitative). A questionnaire was designed as the research instrument to collect data. For the data analysis, statistical techniques were used. The findings were then interpreted, and a report was written.

There are various parameters, which are fundamental for the setting of objectives, designing the variables (dependent and independent), and collecting the data in line with the objectives of the study. The first step, defining the research problem, provides the study with the grounds to select the proper research methodology and to narrow the scope of the study, which, in turn, offers a clear course for the review of the literature. In the second step, previous research is reviewed, mainly by examining articles and journals related to contractor

performance. In the third step of reviewing the literature, hundreds of articles on Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are searched. The obtained articles and journals are reviewed to identify the gaps in previous research. Based on the research gap, the study variables and the research problem are formulated, making up the fourth step. The fifth step involves the research design, where all the steps are incorporated, especially considering the research problem while formulating the research design. Step five helps to create avenues for data collection in the sixth step. In the seventh step, the data collected via the questionnaire is analysed. This step is followed by data interpretation and the last step, thesis writing.

A pilot study is a preliminary investigation that is done to provide necessary information to help the research design. It is used to assess the time required to collect information, to estimate the variations in key variables, and to determine the quality of the questionnaire that is proposed for the actual survey. It can also be used to refine field procedures and research design. During this investigation, challenges are identified and improvements or corrections are made to the research approach to ensure the research is conducted successfully. The pilot study in this work focused on the required data, the collection of data, the recording of data, and the analysis of data.

1.6 Scope of Research

Essentially, this study consists of two parts. Firstly, the study focuses on all the indicators that affect contractor performance. Secondly, this study reviews the performance indicators of housing construction projects. The performance of housing construction projects is then measured and compared using these performance indicators analysis.

A structured survey was carried out in Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia, particularly for housing construction projects valued between RM 400,000 and RM 800,000. As for the contractors, this survey involved three large contractors' grade (G7) in housing construction projects selected from a list of contractors provided by

the Malaysian Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB). The target respondents are those involved in the housing construction project, specifically the construction management personnel who have had experience in constructing houses. The respondents are housing construction stakeholders, namely private clients, consultants, and contractors involved in housing construction projects, where a total of 250 questionnaires were sent via email to them.

1.7 Significance of Study

A few issues and barriers have prevented contractors from performing as translated in the performance indicators appraisal and other construction standards in Malaysian housing construction projects. For example, a lack of clear plans is a pressing issue that impedes the realisation of performance indicators analysis. Planning is needed to set out the project objectives and to identify the mechanisms for realising these objectives. Once drafted, the plan is implemented and the progress of the housing construction project is monitored accordingly.

The intention of the study is to look into the capacities and skills that are paramount to the delivery of optimal performance indicators analysis in Malaysia. This study also intends to explore the requirement of new skills and a possible increase in the existing skillsets of the construction workers in operationalising the plans.

Also highlighted by the study is the exploration and comparison of the existing literature and analysis on how the Malaysian construction sector uses different performance indicators. The study critically examines how Malaysia deals with housing construction projects that fail. An outcome is a tool that can be used by contractors of housing construction projects to better understand how to meet project performance indicators analysis and ensure client satisfaction. The framework for the performance indicators analysis developed in this study will increase the contractor's awareness of the importance of executing successful housing construction projects. The framework also provides a practical approach to conduct performance

assessments that can readily be adopted by housing construction project contractors to help highlight opportunities to increase efficiency. The resultant framework includes product and service quality standards for the construction process, people and their culture, and quality improvement methods.

The findings of the study will benefit housing construction project contractors and assist them to evaluate their companies' performance, within or in between companies. The findings will also help private clients to appraise suitability of contractor based on their performance, before contract award. The results showed that different set of performance indicators analysis have already being utilised by stakeholders in the construction industry to assess contractor performance. However, it will be demanding for project stakeholders to discuss project performance using multiple sets of different performance indicators analysis. Therefore, it is critical to develop a standardized and common list of performance indicators analysis for housing construction projects to encourage a better evaluation.

In Malaysia, there is a need to house 50,000,000 populations by 2030, with the projected housing supply currently expected to meet the demand for this year (EPU, 2018). The challenge is to provide good quality housing projects within the allocated budget and time. Also, it is important to develop performance indicators analysis for housing construction projects to achieve Malaysia's Vision 2030. As part of the effort to house the 50,000,000 population, 1,000,000 houses have been built and restored for rural communities (EPU, 2018). It will be a great challenge for the government to ensure that this project is successful and for the houses to be completed as scheduled within the budget and with minimal defects. Therefore, this study posits that the development of performance indicators analysis could help better monitor the performance of housing construction project contractors.

The standardisation of performance indicators analysis can contribute to increased performance for the construction sector by improving project productivity, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness and, in turn, provide a comparative advantage to the economy. It is for these reasons that the government has developed a Construction Industry Master Plan (CIMP). Moreover, according to the 11th Malaysia

Plan (11MP), the government will provide adequate, quality, and affordable housing to the poor, low- and middle-income households in Malaysia (EPU, 2018). Therefore, a set of performance indicators analysis is needed for the housing construction project to rectify any weaknesses and to improve its performance and the quality of life of Malaysians.

Finally, to measure the performance of housing contractors, it is the objective of this research to test and develop a set of clear and definitive performance indicators analysis. As a result, the performance indicators analysis will be used to set benchmarks for housing construction projects in Malaysia. The study has contributed to the existing knowledge in this area by formulation and experimentation of performance indicators analysis framework for the construction sector which is the first step towards benchmarking. This study concludes with remarks and guidelines for the implementation of performance indicators analysis in real practice.

1.8 Limitation of Study

Limitations are inevitable. Although extensive efforts were taken in this study, the focus was only on housing construction projects, while other civil engineering projects were not included. Future studies may extend the scope of this research by including more Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and sub-indicators. Furthermore, it is conditional upon the stakeholder to choose housing construction project contractors that best suit their needs. The findings of this study cannot be generalised across Malaysia as a whole because the study was conducted within one Malaysian State only, which is Seremban, Negeri Sembilan. The study also had to deal with time, cost, and quality constraints. Due to small sample size used in the research, the quantitative observation is selected as the indicative observation. Thus, future studies should expand upon the understanding of performance indicators analysis to various geographic locations, which are defined by different market, culture and practice like East Malaysia. Besides, the confidence of reliability and validity of this study can be further improved by increasing the sample size as well as

the variation of the sample. This finding is useful to be utilised as the beginning for future empirical research, which focuses on the causal effect of behaviours in the housing construction projects.

Moreover, this study has several limitations on the sample size. The data collection was limited to the number of project managers, who are considered as major stakeholders. The final potential limitation of this study is that the data was based on self-report, which means that the responses may have been affected by social desirability. Also, one of the limitations of this study is the insufficient response obtained from the survey conducted. Finally, another path for future studies would be to explore how contractors in housing construction projects are compared to other business sectors, such as manufacturing, retail, or mining. This would allow future works to define enhanced strategies for performance improvement, and to disseminate the best practices observed in other sectors into the construction sector.

1.9 Structure of Thesis

Chapter 1 discusses the importance of measuring the performance of contractors in housing construction projects in Malaysia. This chapter focuses on the background of the study, the problem statement, the research questions, the research objectives, the research methodology, the scope of the study, the significance of the study, the limitation of the study, and provides a brief review of the relevant literature to demonstrate the justification to conduct this study.

Chapter 2 presents the development and application of the housing performance evaluation framework for housing construction projects. This framework aims to encourage initiatives to achieve better housing performance and to support client decision-making when comparing and selecting contractors. It also explores the significance of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) from the perspective of various construction stakeholders (clients, contractors, and consultants).

Furthermore, Chapter 2 also intends to identify the causes of overruns in cost and time. Limited research has focused on studying indicators that inhibit the ability of practitioners to effectively control their projects. The developed framework sheds some light on a hitherto unknown aspect of project management and provides an increased awareness of the importance of the requirements of major Malaysian construction contractors to execute successful projects.

Even though numerous researches have been done to determine the critical success factors (CSFs) of projects in construction industry, very few are relevant to Malaysia. The human-related indicators in the housing construction industry is one of the indicators that is not explored extensively, both locally or internationally. Therefore, one of the objectives of this study is to recover a new understanding of CSFs from the viewpoint of various stakeholders of local housing construction projects as an emerging trend. This objective was accomplished via comparative study of the various construction stakeholders in Malaysia. The related stakeholders were categorised into three groups, namely the private client, the consultant, and the contractor.

Following the extensive literature review, an initial framework was designed to highlight the important variables specifically for construction packages, activities, and subcontractors. A series of contractor current practices are reviewed to determine its compliance with the framework requirements. Project performance is measured based on completion within budget and within the scheduled time, compliance with quality standards, and satisfaction of the client.

In Chapter 3, the data for the study and the data collection method was identified. A survey was conducted among three construction professionals, namely private clients, consultants, and contractors. To meet the objectives of this study, primary data on the significance of indicators affecting project overheads were collected from the contractors. Of the various data collection methods, the questionnaire survey was observed to be the most cost-effective for collecting information about attitudes, opinions, and behaviours and has also been widely used by researchers in the construction management discipline. Other methods for data

collection include observations and documentation studies. The structure of implementation is similar for all projects and includes three sequential phases (preparation, development, and implementation), where each phase is considered to be complete when specific objectives are reached.

This study identified the current performance of housing construction project contractors and examined the CSFs of housing construction projects in Malaysia. Chapter 4 details this analysis, which is related to the literature reviewed in Chapter 2. Tests and validation are performed based on a comprehensive gap analysis of each CSF and then the result is compared using theoretical information and respondent opinions and experience obtained from the interviews. The study of KPI data for the performance indicators establishment is explained in Chapter 4 via statistical analysis tools. The investigation aims to identify the connection of the theoretical proposition based on the findings in chapter 3. Subsequently, the performance indicators were then validated and prioritised and, in the process, a graphical chart is drawn to represent it. The validation of performance indicators by the selected respondents is elaborated in Chapter 4.

Finally, Chapter 5 delivers a summary of the findings and the conclusion to this study. The contemporary benchmarking framework for housing construction project contractors was perceived as relevant and appropriate for improving sector performance. A new evaluation methodology for housing construction project contractors that matches client satisfaction and meets the needs of project schemes were then developed for bidding purposes. Besides, using the information from limitation of study, the proposal for future development is made.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Aziz, A. R., Tah, J. H. M., Lim, J. X. and Loh, C. L. (2015) 'Government initiatives to attract retired migrants: An analysis of Malaysia's my second home (MM2H) programme', *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 16, 58-66.
- Aftab, H. M., Soomro, M. A., Lakho, N. A., Memon, A. N. and Bhutto, M. A. (2018) 'A survey on the criteria for measuring the profitability of a construction organization', *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, 8(3), 2879-2881.
- Ahadzie, D., Dinye, I., Dinye, R. D. and Proverbs, D. G. (2016) 'Flood risk perception, coping and management in two vulnerable communities in Kumasi, Ghana', *Flood Risk Management and Response*, 84.
- Ahola, T., Aaltonen, K., Arto, K. and Lehtinen, J. (2019) 'Making room to manoeuvre: How firms increase their influence with others in business networks', *Industrial Marketing Management*.
- Al-Hallaq, K., Tayeh, B. A., Yusuf, M. O. and Sabha, F. A. (2017) 'Effects on construction phase errors on maintenance of school buildings in Gaza strip', *International Journal of Management, Information Technology and Engineering*, 5(1).
- Al-Jibouri, S. and Bayram, S. (2016) 'Efficacy of estimation methods in forecasting building projects' costs', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(11).
- Al-Tmeemy, S. M. H. (2017) 'The impact of incompetent contractor on the project schedule', *Journal of Engineering and Sustainable Development*, 21(3), 87-101.
- Ali, A. S., Chua, S. J. L. and Ali, D. G. A. (2016) 'Issues and challenges faced by government office buildings in performing maintenance work', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(11)
- Alvesson, M. and Kärreman, K. S. (2015) 'Professional service firms and identity', *The Oxford Handbook of Professional Service Firms*, 403-424.
- Angelica, G. R. (2010) 'Crisis communication: Perspectives of stakeholders in the housing crisis', *Theses and Dissertations*.

- Ankrah, N., Mante, J. and Ndekugri, I. (2015) 'The challenges of infrastructure procurement in emerging economies and implications for economic development', Real estate, Construction and Economic Development Book.
- Anthony, A. S. and Kadiri, D. S. (2015) 'Causes of time overrun in building projects in Nigeria: Contracting and consulting perspectives', *International Journal of Civil Engineering, Construction and Estate Management*, 3(4), 50-56.
- Aouad, G., Kawooya, A. A. O. and Price, A. D. F. (2017) 'Construction planning models: A review of history, capabilities and limitations', *Journal of Construction Procurement*, 2(2), 19-37.
- Arditi, D., Dogan, S. Z., Çalgici, P. K., and Gunaydin, H. M. (2015) 'Critical success factors of partnering in the building design process', *METU Journal of the Faculty of Architecture*, 32(2), 61-78.
- Arof, K. Z. M., Ismail, S. L., and Saleh, A. (2018). 'Critical success factors of contractors performance appraisal system in Malaysian construction industry'. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 9 (11), 1197-1206.
- Arslan, G., Kivrak, S., Birgonul, M. T. and Dikmen, I. (2008) 'Improving sub-contractor selection process in construction projects: Web-based sub-contractor evaluation system (WEBSES)', *Automation in Construction*, 17(4), 480–488.
- Aśniewski, P. (2017) 'A performance measurement system for small enterprises: A case study', *Zeszyty Teoretyczne Rachunkowości*, 93(149), 211-233.
- Assaf, S., Hassanain, M. A., Abdallah, A. and Sayed, A. M. Z. (2019) 'Significant causes of claims and disputes in construction projects in Saudi Arabia', *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*.
- Atkinson, R. and Shepherd, M (2011) 'Project management bodies of knowledge, conjectures and refutations', *Electric Journal of Business Research Method*, 9(2), 152-158.
- Awad, S. H. (2016) 'Benchmark performance metrics for integrated project delivery', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(9), 04016040.
- Ayers, G. F., Culvenor, J. F., Sillitoe, J. and Else, D. (2013) 'Meaningful and effective consultation and the construction industry of Victoria, Australia', *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(6), 542–567.

- Azlan, S. A., Chua, S. and Lim, M. (2019) 'Physical environment comfort towards Malaysian universities office employers's performance and productivity', *Facilities*, 37(11/12), 686-703.
- Baccarini, D. (2015) 'Managing risk in residential land development in Australia', *Contemporary Issues in Australian Urban and Regional Planning*, 314-329.
- Bae, J. W. and Kim, Y. W. (2008) 'Sustainable value on construction projects and lean Construction', *Journal of Green Building*, 3(1), 156-167.
- Barber, P., Sheath, D., Tomkins, C. and Graves, A. (2000) 'The cost of quality failures in major civil engineering projects', *International Journal of Quality Reliability Management*, 17(4/5), 479-492.
- Balabanis, G., Stables, R. E., & Phillips, H. C. (1997). Market orientation in the top 200 British charity organizations and its impact on their performance. *European Journal of Marketing*.
- Beach, T. H., Rezgui, Y., Li, H. and Kasim, T. (2015) 'A rule-based semantic approach for automated regulatory compliance in the construction sector', *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(12), 5219-5231.
- Becker, A. H., Chase, N. T. L., Fischer, M. A., Schwegler, B. and Mosher, K. (2016) 'A method to estimate climate-critical construction materials applied to seaport protection', *Global Environment Change*, 40, 125-136.
- Beliz, O. and Emrah, C. (2015) 'Critical success factors of enterprise resource planning implementation in construction: Case of Turkey', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 31(6), 04015014.
- Biswasa, S. K., Karmakera, C. L. and Biswasa, T. K. (2016) 'Time-cost trade-off analysis in a construction project problem: Case study', *International Journal of Computational Engineering Research*, 6(10), 32-38.
- Bitichi, U., Cocca, P. and Ates, A. (2016) 'Impact of visual performance management systems on the performance management practices of organisations', *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(6).
- Bolarinwa, O. (2015) 'Principles and methods of validity and reliability testing of questionnaires used in social and health science researches', *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 22(4), 195.
- Bröchner, J. and Silfwerbrand, J. (2019) 'Performance of performance specifications in design-build highway projects', *Construction Economics and Building*, 19(2), 111-125.

- Bryman, A and Bell, E (2016) 'Social Research Methods', 4th Canadian ed. Don Mills, ON, Canada: Oxford University Press.
- Bryman, A. (2015) *Social research methods (5th ed.)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Butcher, D. C. A. and Sheehan, M. J. (2010) 'Excellent contractor performance in the UK construction industry', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 17(1), 35–45.
- Cammack, P. (2017) 'Capitalist development in the twenty-first century,' States and global competitiveness.
- Cecilia, C. B. M, Kecheng, L., Lily, S., Paula de Almeida, N. V., Rodrigo, B. and Keiichi, N. (2016) 'Socially aware organisations and technologies. Impact and challenges', Springer: Brazil.
- Central Bank of Malaysia (2018) 'Annual Report 2017'.
- Cha, S. H., Abbas, A., Choi, M., Seo, J. and Li, H. (2019) 'Effectiveness of Immersive virtual reality-based communication for construction projects', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(12), 497204983.
- Chan, A. P. and Adabre, M. A. (2019) 'Bridging the gap between sustainable housing and affordable housing: The required critical success criteria (csc)', *Building and Environment*, 151, 112-125.
- Chen, P. and Partington, D. (2006) 'Three conceptual levels of construction project management work', *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(5), 412-421.
- Chen, W. T., Merrett, H., Lu, S. T. and Mortis, L. (2019) 'Analysis of key failure factors in construction partnering- A case study of Taiwan', *Sustainability*, 11(14), 3994.
- Cheung, S. O., Lee, C. K. and Yiu, K. T. W. (2018) 'Application of the theory of planned behavior to alternative dispute resolution selection and use in construction project', *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 10(2).
- Chini, A., Lourdes, P., Minchin, R. E. and Zhang, Y. (2017) 'Industry attitudes toward alternative contracting for highway construction in Florida', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 34(2).

- Choi, T. M., Wallace, S. W. and Wang, Y. (2016) 'Risk management and coordination in service supply chains; information, logistics and outsourcing', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 67(2), 159-164.
- Chong, S. W., Geh, J. W., Tan, Q. S., Tey, C. K. and Yap, K. T. (2014) *The Risk-Adjusted Performance of Malaysia Listed Property Companies: A Comparative Analysis*. Doctoral Dissertation, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Perak.
- Chothe, O. K., Tiware, V.S and Patil, A.D. (2018) 'Optimum project cost and duration by use of different technique: A review with case study'. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering*, 7 (1), 250-259.
- Chow, L. K. and Ng, S. T. (2010) 'Delineating the performance standards of engineering consultants at design stage', *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(1), 3-11.
- Christofer, H. and Christopher, W. (2016) 'Integrating project teams with the use of partnering', *Construction Research Congress 2016*, 508-518.
- CIDB (2018) *Terms of reference. Technical committee 9*. Kuala Lumpur: Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) Malaysia
- Clarke, L. and Herrmann, G. (2007) 'Skill shortages, recruitment and retention in the house building sector', *Personnel Review*, 36(4), 509-527.
- Cooke-Davies, T. (2015) 'Delivering strategy: what matters most, capability or maturity?', *PMI Global Conference Proceedings*, London: UK.
- Costa, D. B., Morêda, N. M. and Durão, F. (2016) 'Gamification technique for supporting transparency on construction sites: A case study', *Engineering, onstruction and Architectural Management*, 23(6), 801-822.
- Crawford, P. and Bryce, P. (2003) 'Project monitoring and evaluation: A method for enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of aid project implementation', *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(5), 363-373.
- Creswell, J. and Guetterman, T. C. (2018) 'Educational research: Planning, conducting and evaluating quantity, qualitative research', (6th ed.). London: Pearson.
- Dahlbo, H., Bacher, J., Lahtinen, K., Timo, J., Pirke, S., Tuomas, M., Susanna, S., Tuuli, M. and Kaarina, S. (2015) 'Construction and demolition waste

- management – A holistic evaluation of environmental performance’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 107.
- Das, S., Zolfagharian, S., Nourbakhsh, M. and Haymaker, J. (2016) ‘Integrated spatial-structural optimization in the conceptual design stage’, Conference Paper.
- Dave, B. (2017) ‘Business process management- A construction case study’, *Construction Innovation: Information, Process, Management*, 17(1), 50-67.
- David, G., Lou, T. J. and Kay, R. (2017) ‘An investigation into ‘Lean-BIM’ synergies in UK construction industry’, *International Journal of 3-d Information Modelling (IJ3DIM)*, 6(2).
- De Wit, A. (1988) ‘Measurement of project success’, *International Journal of Project Management*, 6(3), 164-170.
- Delgado-Hernandez, D. J. and Aspinwall, E. (2008) ‘A framework for building quality into construction projects - Part i’, *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 19(10), 1013–1028.
- Demirkesen, S. and Ozorhon, B. (2017) ‘Measuring project management performance: Case of construction industry’, *Engineering Management Journal*, 29(4), 258-277.
- Denini, F. (2010) ‘Causes, effects and methods of minimizing delays on large construction projects in Libya’, *6th International Conference and Workshop on the Built Environment in Developing Countries*.
- Denzin, N. K. and Lincoln, Y. S. (2018) *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (5th ed.)*. London: SAGE.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia (2017) *Department of Statistics Malaysia Official Portal* [online]. Available at <https://www.statistics.gov.my/> (Accessed: 10 September 2018).
- Diana, M. F. D. and Mejia, A. G. (2016) ‘Assessing the cost forecasting performance in construction projects through data envelopment analysis’, *Construction Research Congress 2016*.
- Dick, B. (2019) ‘The promise and future of action research in education’, *The Wiley Handbook of Action Research in Education*, 439.
- Dirk, L. and Deanna, G. (2015) ‘The place and role of (moral) anger in organizational behavior studies’, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(5).
- Dorée, A. (2012) ‘Making Sense of Construction Improvement’, *Construction*

- Management and Economics*, 30(9), pp. 807–809.
- Duncan, P. C. (2015) ‘Construction Project Manager’s Pocket Book’, United Kingdom (UK): Routledge.
- Dweiri, F., Khan, S. A. and Almulla, A. (2018) ‘a multi-criteria decision support system to rank sustainable desalination plant location criteria’, *Desalination*, 444, 26-34.
- Ebrahimpour, M., Moretto, S., Moro, M., Orzes, G., Rossi, M. and Sartor, M. (2017) ‘United Nations Global Compact: Literature review and research direction’, Milan:Politecnico di Milano.
- Edition, S., Enshassi, A., Mohamed, S. and El Karriri, A. (2017) ‘ Factors Affecting the Performance of Construction Project in the Gaza Strip’, *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 25(2), 657–667.
- Elbeltagi, E., Ammar, M., Sanad, H. and Kassab, M (2016) ‘Overall multiobjective optimization of construction project scheduling using particle swarm’, *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 23(3), 265-282.
- El-Sawalhi, N., Eaton, D. and Rustom, R. (2008) ‘Forecasting contractor performance using a neural network and genetic algorithm in a pre-qualification model’, *Construction Innovation*, 8(4), 280–298.
- EPU (2018) ‘Indeks kesejahteraan rakyat: Laporan indeks rakyat Malaysia (IKRM) bertujuan mengukur tahap kesejahteraan rakyat Malaysia daripada perspektif ekonomi dan sosial [online]. Available at <http://www.data.gov.my/data/organization/economic-planning-unit-epu> (Accessed 15 May 2018).
- Fahmy, A., Hassan, T. M., Bassioni, H. A. and McCaffer, R. (2019) ‘Dynamic scheduling model for the construction industry’, *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*.
- Fauzi, S. N. F. M., Awang, H. and Nah, M. N. M. (2018) ‘Factors influencing engineers’ attitude towards environmental sustainability’, *E3S Web of Conferences*, 65, 04003.
- Garengo, P (2018) ‘How bridging organisations manage technology transfer in SMEs: An empirical investigation, *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*’, 1-15.
- Garnett, N. and Pickrell, S. (2000) ‘Benchmarking for construction: Theory and practice’, *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(1), 55–63.

- George, D. and Mallery, P. (2003) 'SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference. (4th Ed.)', Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Gudiene, N., Banaitis, A. and Banaitiene, N. (2013) 'Evaluation of critical success factors for construction projects - an empirical study in Lithuania', *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, 17(1), pp. 21–31.
- Hair, J. F., Gabriel, M. L., Silva, D. and Braga, S. J. (2019) 'Development and validation of attitudes measurement scales: Fundamental and practical aspects', *RAUSP Management Journal*, 54(4), 490-507.
- Hällgren, M. (2012) 'The construction of research questions in project management', *International Journal of Project Management*. Elsevier Ltd. APM and IPMA, 30(7), 804–816.
- Hamad, A., Han, S. and Davis, S. R. (2015) 'Analysis of the complex mechanisms of defect generation in construction projects', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(2), 04015063.
- Hamzeh, F., Samad, G. and Emdanat, S. (2019) 'Advanced metrics for construction planning', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 145(11), 04019063.
- Han, S. H., Park, C. Y. and Jung, W. (2019) 'Risk attitude analysis between Construction Investor and Loan Investor in international PPP project', *Korean Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 20(5), 137-148.
- He, J. and Wu, J. (2016) 'Doing well by doing good? The case of housing construction quality in China', *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. Elsevier B.V., 57, 46–53.
- Hill, S. M., Gorgolewski, M., Brown, C., Chu, A. M., Turcato, A., Bartlett, K. H., Ebrahimi, G., Hodgson, M., Ouf, M. and Scannell, L. (2016) 'Performance of sustainable buildings in colder climates', *Journal of Green Building*, 11(4), 131-153.
- Hinton, P. R. (2004). *Statistics explained*, 2nd ed. London: Routledge
- Horner, M. (2013) 'Construction Law: An Introduction for Engineers, Architects, and Contractors', *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(5), 497–497.
- Horta, I., Kapelko, M., Lansink, A. O. and Camanho, A. (2015) 'The impact of internationalization and diversification on construction industry performance', *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, 20(2).

- Hossain, L. (2009) 'Communications and coordination in construction projects', *Construction Management and Economics*, 27(1), 25–39.
- Hughes, S. W., Tippett, D. D. and Thomas, W. K. (2015) 'Measuring project success in the construction industry', *Engineering Management Journal*, 16(3), 31-37.
- Ibrahim, A. R., Roy, M. H., Ahmed, Z. and Imtiaz, G. (2010) 'An investigation of the status of the Malaysia construction industry', *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, (17(2), 294-308.
- Irfan, C. A., Hashim, H. and Ismail, K. (2017) 'Review issues and challenges for public private partnership (PPP) performance in Malaysia', *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1891(1), 020051.
- Isa, C. M., Saman, H. M. and Preece, C. N. (2015) 'Determining significant factors influencing Malaysian construction business performance in international markets', *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 20(2), 1-23.
- Iyer, K. C. and Nanerjee, P. S. (2016) 'Measuring and benchmarking managerial efficiency of project execution schedule performance', *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(2), 219-236.
- Jabnoun, N. (2019) 'A proposed model for sustainable business excellence', *Management Decision*.
- Jha, K. N. and Iyer, K. C. (2006) 'Critical factors affecting quality performance in construction projects', *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 17(9), 1155–1170.
- Jones, K. Desai, A., Mulville, M. and Jones, A. (2015) 'Assesment management using a hybrid backcasting/forecasting approach', *Facilities*, 33(11.12), 701-715.
- Jugdev, K. Mathur, G. and Fung, T. (2019) 'Mediated effect of project management asset characteristics on firm performance', *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*.
- Jung, L. P., Yoo, S. K., Lee, J. S. and Kim, J. H. (2015) 'Comparing the efficiency and productivity of construction firms in China, Japan and Korea using DEA and DEA-based Malmquist', *Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering*, 14(1), 57-64.
- Kaare, K., Koppel, O. and Kuhl, K. (2018) 'Ensuring performance measurement integrity in logistics using blockchains', *2018 IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics and Informatics (SOLI)*, 256-261.

- Kadir, M. R. A., Lee, W., Jaafar, M., Sapuan, S. and Ali, A. (2005) 'Factors affecting construction labour productivity for Malaysian residential projects', *Structural Survey*, 23(1), 42-54.
- Kagioglou, M., Zhang, Y. and Tzortzopoulos, P. (2018) 'Healing built-environment effects on health outcomes: Environment-occupant-health framework', *Building Research and Information*.
- Kale, S., Tolga, A. O. and Dikmen, I. (2019) 'Exploring house price dynamics: An agent-based simulation with behavioral heterogeneity', *Computational Economics*, 54(2), 783-807.
- Kang, B. G., Fazlie, M. A., Goh, B. H., Song, M. K. and Zhang, C. (2015) 'Current practice of risk management in the Malaysia construction industry- The process and tools/techniques', *International Journal of Structural and Civil Engineering Research*, 4(4), 371-377.
- Kartam, N. A., Al-Daihani, T. G. and Al-Bahar, J. F. (2000) 'Professional project management practices in Kuwait: Issues, difficulties and recommendations', *International Journal of Project Management*, 18(4), 281-296.
- Kattiya, S. Ang Jakrapong, P. (2015) 'Structural equation model for construction equipment management affecting project and corporate performance', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 20(5).
- Kerzner, H. (2017) *Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: A guide to measuring and monitoring project performance*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kiew, P. N., Ismail, S. and Yusof, A. M. (2016) 'Integration of quality management system in the Malaysian construction industry', *Journal of Organizational Management Studies*, 2016 (2016).
- Kog, Y. C. (2019) 'Construction Delays in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Vietnam', *Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction*, 24(3), 04019013.
- Krejcie, R. V & Morgan, D. W. (1970) 'Determining Sample Size for Research activities'. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607-610.
- Kumaraswamy, M., Wong, K. K. W. and Zhang, J. (2018) 'Boosting disaster resilience through advance public-private-people partnerships', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Forensic Engineering*, 171(1), 12-26.
- Kwak, Y. H. and Smith, B. M. (2009) 'Managing risks in mega defense acquisition

- projects: Performance, policy, and opportunities', *International Journal of Project Management*. Elsevier Ltd and IPMA, 27(8), pp. 812–820.
- Lam, K. C., and Oshodi, O. S. (2016) 'The trends in construction output forecasting studies over the last 25 years', *9th CIDB Postgraduate Conference*, 2-13.
- Langston, C. (2016) 'The reliability of currency and purchasing power parity conversion for international project cost benchmarking', *Benchmarking An International Journal*, 23(1), 61-77.
- Latham, M. (1994) 'Constructing the team, final report of the government/industry review of procurement and contractual arrangements in the UK construction industry', London: HMSO.
- Lau, H. H., Tang, F. E., Ng, C. K. and Singh, A. (2016) 'Integrated solutions for infrastructure development', ISEC Press.
- Le-Hoai, L., Dang, C. N., Lee, S. B. and Lee, Y. D. (2019) 'Benchmarking claim causes against contractors in emerging markets: Empirical case study', *International Journal of Construction Management*, 19(4), 307-316.
- Lee, A. and Sexton, M. G. (2007) 'nD modelling: industry uptake considerations', *Construction Innovation: Information, Process, Management*, 7(3), 288-302.
- Lee, M. R., Ismail, S. and Wahab, H. (2014) 'Contractor's performance for construction project: A review', *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 4(4), 131-137.
- Lee, M. R., Ismail, S. and Wahab, H. (2013). Key performance indicator (KPI) of contractor on project performance for housing construction in Malaysia. *1st International Conference on Human Capital and Knowledge Management (HCKM 2013)*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Lehtonen, J. M. and Anteroinen, J. (2016) 'The capability factors as explanatory variables of equipment unit cost growth: A methodological proposal', *Defence and Peace Economics*, 27(2), 280-298.
- Leong, T. K., Zakuan, N., Mat Saman, M. Z., Ariff, M., Md, S. and Tan, C. S. (2014) 'Using project performance to measure effectiveness of quality management system maintenance and practices in construction industry', *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- Li, T. H., Zhang, X., Ng, S. T. and Skitmore, M. (2018) 'Social sustainability indicators of public construction megaprojects in China', *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 144(4).

- Lim, C. S. and Mohamed, M. Z. (1999) 'Criteria of project success: An exploratory re-examination', *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(4), 243-248.
- Lim, C. S. and Mohamed, M. Z. (2000) 'An exploratory study into recurring construction problems', *International Journal of Project Management*, 18(4), 267-273.
- Lin, Y. C. Lee, C. L. and Newell, G. (2019) 'The significance of residential REITs in Japan as a national institutionalized property sector', *Journal of Property Investment and Finance*, 37(4), 363-379.
- Ling, F. Y. Y. and Khoo, W. W. (2016) 'Improving relationships in project teams in Malaysia', *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 6(3), 284-301.
- Ling, F. Y. Y. and Kwok, D. H. Y. (2007) 'Enablers for Singapore contractors to internationalize construction services', *Construction Management and Economics*, 25(3), 267-275.
- Liu, H. J., Love, P. E. D., Sing, M. C. P. and Niu, B. (2019) 'Conceptual framework of life-cycle performance measurement: Ensuring the resilience of transport infrastructure assets', *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 77, 615-626.
- Loosemore, M. and Muslmani, H. S. A. (1999) 'Construction project management in the Persian Gulf: Inter-cultural communication', *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(2), 95-100.
- Love, P. E. D., Dagbui, D. D. A. and Irani, Z. (2016) 'Cost overruns in transportation infrastructure projects: Sowing the seeds for a probabilistic theory of causation', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 92, 184-194.
- Mahdi, I. M., Heiza, K. H. and Salah, A. (2016) 'An assessment of earned value reliability to control project construction', *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Management*, 1(9), 5.
- Majed, A., Kashiwagi, J., Kahiswagi, D. and AlTassan, A. (2016) 'Using PIPS to minimize causes of delay in Saudi Arabian construction projects: University case study', *Procedia Engineering*, 145(2016), 932-939.
- Mandisa, S., Aigbavboa, C. and Thwala, W. (2015) 'Construction Projects' Key Performance Indicators: A case of the South African construction industry', *International Conference on Construction and Real Estate Management 2015*.

- Marshall-Ponting, A., Musa, S., Shahron, S. A. and Nifa, F. A. (2019) 'Building Information Modeling (BIM) benefits and challenges: Malaysian construction organization experience', *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 16(12), 4914-4924.
- Masrom, M. A. N., Hamza, G., Manap, N. and Syahrom, N. S. (2019) 'Factors affecting the performance of medium and large local contractors in the Jigawa state Nigerian Construction Industry', *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 266, 03017.
- Masrom, M. A. N., Rahim, M. H. I. A., Mohamed, S., Chen, G. K. and Yunus, R. (2015) 'Successful criteria for large infrastructure projects in Malaysia', *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 125, 143–149.
- Mbachu, J. (2008) 'Conceptual framework for the assessment of subcontractors' eligibility and performance in the construction industry', *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(5), 471–484.
- McAdam, R., Reid, R. S. and Gibson, D. A. (2017) 'Innovation and organisational size in Irish SMEs: An empirical study' [Book Chapter], *Promoting Innovation in New Ventures and Small- and Medium-Sized Enterprises*, 29-49, Singapore: World Scientific.
- McKinney, K. and Fischer, M. (1998) 'Generating, evaluating and visualizing construction schedules with CAD tools', *Automation in Construction*, 7(6), 433-447.
- Memon, A. H. and Rahman, I. A. (2014) 'SEM-PLS analysis of inhibiting factors of cost performance for large construction projects in malaysia: Perspective of clients and consultants', *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- Memon, N. A., Akhund, M. A., Ali, T. H. and Memon, A. H. (2016) 'Causative factors of time overrun in construction projects of Sindh', *7th International Civil Engineering Congress (ICCEC-2015)*.
- Mirawati, N. A., Othman, S. N. and Risyawati, M. I. (2015) 'Supplier-contractor partnering impact on construction performance: A study on Malaysian construction industry', *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 3(1), 29-33.
- Modi, P., and Mishra, D. (2010). Conceptualising market orientation in non-profit organisations: Definition, performance, and preliminary construction of a scale. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 26(5-6), 548-569.

- Mohammadreza, A. and Mehrdad, A. (2015) 'Analysis of workflow variability and its impacts on productivity and performance in construction of multistroy buildings', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 31(6), 04015006.
- Mohit, M. A. (2018) 'Quality-of-life studies in natural and built environment: Challenges and emerging issues', *Asian Journal of Behavioural Studies*, 3(10)
- Moleenar, K. R. and Gransberg, D. D. (2019) 'Critical comparison of progressive design-build and construction manager/general contractor project delivery methods', *Transportation Research Board 2019 Annual Meeting*.
- Montaleb, O. H. and Kishk, M. (2015) 'Controlling the risk of construction delay in the Middle East: State-of-the-Art review', *COBRA Proceedings*, 1584.
- Mordue, S. and Finch, R. (2014) 'BIM for construction health and safety', London, UK: RIBA Publishing.
- Morioka, S. N. and de Carvalho, M. M. (2016) 'A systematic literature review towards a conceptual framework for integrating sustainability performance into business', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 134-146.
- Morris, S. (2019) 'Financing Infrastructure in India- Issues and the way forward', *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- Murphy, M. E., Perera, S. and Heaney, G. (2015) 'Innovation management model: A tool for sustained implementation of product innovation into construction projects', *Construction Management and Economics*, 33(3).
- Nader, N., Choi, J. and Hastak, M. (2015) 'Exploratory framework for application of anaytics in the construction industry', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 32(2), 1-11.
- Nasir, H., Hass, C., Caldas, C. and Goodrum, P. (2015) 'An integrated productivity-practices implementation index for planning execution of infrastructure projects', *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 22(2), 04015022.
- Ng, S. T., Wong, J. M. W., Chiang, Y. H. and Lam, P. T. (2018) 'Improving the competitive advantages of construction firms in developed countries', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineering- Municipal Engineer*, 174(4), 234-244.
- Nihal, P. J., Ishani, S. and Nigel, P. G. (2016) 'Developing a performance scoring system for non profit organisation', *10th Conference of the Performance Measurement Association*.

- NIST (2015) *Baldrige Award Criteria*. National Institute for Standards and Technology [online]. Available at: www.quality.nist.gov (Accessed: 2 December 2015).
- Nyoman, Y. A. I., Rusdi, H. A. and Wibowo, M. A. (2015) 'Conceptual framework of bidding strategy in order to improve construction project performance', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 776, 108-113.
- Odeh, A. M., Rami, H. and Al-Dauod, N. (2014) 'Implementation of safety measures in stone quarries', Conference paper.
- Ofori-Kuragu, J. K., Baiden, B. K. and Badu, E. (2016) 'Key performance indicators for project success in Ghanaian contractors', *International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 5(1), 1-10.
- Ojiako, U., Chipulu, M., Marshall, A. and Williams, T. (2017) 'An examination of the 'rule of law' and 'justice' implications in online resolutions in construction projects', *International Journal of Project Management*'.
- Olander, S. and Landin, A. (2005) 'Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects', *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), 321–328.
- Olawale, Y. A. and Sun, M. (2010) 'Cost and time control of construction projects: Inhibiting factors and mitigating measures in practice', *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(5), 509–526.
- Olav, T., Belay, A. M., Thodesen, C. and Klakegg, O. J. (2016) 'Cost development over-time at construction planning phase: Empirical evidence from Norwegian construction projects', *Procedia Engineering*, 145, 1177-1184.
- Omran, A. and Hooi, L. B. (2018) 'Determining the critical factors in ensuring the accuracy of cost estimate in obtaining a tender', *Acta Technica Corviniensis-Bulletin of Engineering*, 11(3), 23-26.
- Ousseni, B. and Jinbo, S. (2016) 'Empirical study of factors influencing schedule delays of public construction projects in Burkina Faso', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 32(5).
- Ozaki, R. and Shaw, I. (2015) 'Performing accountability: Making environmental credentials visible in housing design', *Energy Policy*, 87(December 2015), 136-139.
- Pan, W., Gibb, A. F. and Dainty, A. R. J. (2007) 'Perspective of UK housebuilders on the use of offsite modern methods of construction', *Construction*

- Management and Economics*, 25(2), 183–194.
- Pan, W. and Sidwell, R. (2011) ‘Demystifying the cost barriers to offsite construction in the UK’, *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1081–1099.
- Papke-Shields, K. E., Beise, C. and Quan, J. (2010) ‘Do project managers practice what they preach, and does it matter to project success?’, *International Journal of Project Management*. Elsevier Ltd and IPMA, 28(7), pp. 650–662.
- Phua, F. T. T. (2006) ‘Predicting construction firm performance: An empirical assessment of the differential impact between industry- and firm-specific factors’, *Construction Management and Economics*, 24(3), pp. 309–320.
- Parfitt, M. K. and Sanvido, V. E. (1993) ‘Checklist of critical success factors for building projects’, *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 9(3), 243-249.
- Pillai, A. S. and Rao, K. S. (2000) ‘High technology product development: Technical and management review system’, *International Journal of Technology Management*, 19(7).
- Pinto, J. K., Patanakul, P. and Pinto, M. B. (2016) ‘Project personnel, job demands, and workplace burnout: The differential effects of job title and project type’, *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 63(1), 91-100.
- Polesie, P. (2013) ‘The view of freedom and standardisation among managers in Swedish construction contractor projects’, *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(2), 299-306.
- Poshdar, M., Gonzalez, V., O’Sullivan, M. and Shahbazpour, M. (2016) ‘The role of conceptual modeling in lean construction simulation’, *Proceeding of 24th Annual Conference of the International*, 1(5), 63-72.
- Qureshi, T. M., Jie, Y. W., Ho, C. S., Lin, P. H. and Chang, C. C. (2019) ‘The international journal of organizational innovation’, *International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 12(2), 1.
- Rad, P. F. and Levim, G. (2007) ‘Project portfolio management: Tools & techniques’, Ill Publishing Co.
- Ren, Z., Atout, M. and Jones, J. (2008) ‘Root causes of construction projects delays in Dubai’, *Dainty Proceedings 24th Annual ARCOM Conference*.
- Resulali, E. O., Batouli, M., Zhu, J. and Mostafavi, A. (2019) ‘Critical factors for improving reliability of project control metrics throughout project life cycle’, *Journal of Management Engineering*, 36(1).

- Robert, J. and Ralf, M. (2016) 'The relationship between project governance and project success', *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(30), 613-626.
- Robson, I. (2015) 'Accounting for professional identity: Relating identify stories and accounts of professional practice in integrated early years services', Doctoral Thesis.
- Rockart, J. F. (1982) 'The changing role of information system executive: A critical success factors perspective', *Sloan Management Review*, 24(1), 3-13.
- Romel, S. and Gilberto, A. C. (2016) 'Project time management and schedule performance in mexican projects' [Conference Paper], Construction Research Congress 2016.
- Rosenfeld, Y., Levi, Y. and Bekhor, S. (2019) 'A multi-objective optimization model for urban planning: The case of a very large floating structure', *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 98, 85-100.
- Ruikar, K., Chen, Y. and Carrillo, P. (2017) 'e-business infrastructure and strategic frameworks' [Book chapter], *Advances in Construction ICT and e-Business*, 296-315, Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Sadeh, A., Zwikael, O. and Dvir, D. (2019) 'Organizational support as an efficient mechanism for enhancing high risk projects success', *2019 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology*.
- Salter, A. J., Ballot, G. O., Fakhfakh, F. and Galia, F. (2015) 'The fateful triangle: Complementarities in performance between product, process and organizational innovation in France and the UK', *Research Policy*, 44(1), 217-232.
- Sambasivan, M., Deepak, T. J., Ali, S. and Ponniah, V. (2017) 'Analysis of deays in Tanzanian Construction Industry: Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) approach', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 24(2), 308-325.
- Samee, K. and Pongpeng, J. (2015) 'Structural equation model for construction equipment: Management affecting project and corporate performance', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 1-15.
- Samson, M. and Lema, N. M. (2005) 'Development of construction contractors performance measurement framework', Department of Construction Technology and Management, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania.

- Sandberg, J., Loacker, B. and Alvesson, M. (2015) 'Conceptions of process in organization and management', 318-348, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Sang, X., Pan, W. and Kumaraswamy, M. M. (2016) 'Informing energy-efficient building envelope design decisions for Hong Kong', *Energy Procedia*, 62(2016), 123-131.
- Sarker, B. R., Wu, B. and Paudel, K. P. (2018) 'Optimal number and location of storage hubs and biogas production reactors in farmlands with allocation of multiple feedstocks', *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 55, 447-465.
- Serdar, D., Eng, H. T. and Cheng, K. Y. (2018) 'Quantification of critical success factors in Cambodia: Ahp approach', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 144(4), 04018007.
- Sergey, S. (2015) 'Technologies for fast economical construction of residential buildings', *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 10(17), 7502-7506.
- Sezer, A. A. and Bröchner, J. (2014) 'The construction productivity debate and the measurement of service qualities', *Construction Management and Economics*, 32(6), 565–574.
- Shan, M., Chan, A., Le, Y. and Hu, Y. (2015) 'A research framework for evaluating the maturity of the relationship management in Chinese mega-construction and infrastructure megaprojects : A relational contracting perspective', *2015 International Conference on Construction and Real Estate Management*.
- Shen, Q., Li, H., Chung, J. and Hui, P. Y. (2004) 'A framework for identification and representation of client requirements in the briefing process', *Construction Management and Economics*, 22(2), 213–221.
- Shenhar, A. J. and Holzmann, V. (2017) 'The three secrets of megaproject success: Clear strategic vision, total alignment, and adapting to plexity', *Project Management Journal*, 48(6), 29-46.
- Sinclair, D. and Zairi, M. (2001) 'An empirical study of key elements of total quality-based performance measurement systems: A case study approach in the service industry sector', *Total Quality Management*, 12(4), 535-550.
- Smithers, G. L. and Walker, D. H. T. (2000) 'The effect of the workplace on motivation and demotivation of construction professionals', *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(7), 833–841.

- Smyth, H. (2010) 'Construction industry performance improvement programmes: The UK case of demonstration projects in the "Continuous Improvement" programme', *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(3), 255–270.
- Sommerville, J. and Robertson, H. W. (2000) 'A scorecard approach to benchmarking for total quality construction', *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 17(4), 453–466.
- Sousa, S., Nunes, E. and Lopes, I. (2015) 'Uncertainty characterization of performance measure: A fuzzy logic approach' [Book Chapter], *Transactions on Engineering Technologies*, 485-499, Springer.
- Styhre, A. (2011) 'In the circuit of credibility: Construction workers and the norms of "a good job"', *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(2), 199–209.
- Succar, B. and Kassem, M. (2015) 'Macro-BIM adoption: Conceptual structures', *Automation in Construction*, 57, 64-79.
- Swan, W., Fitton, R., Smith, L., Abbott, C. and Smith, L. (2017) 'Adoption of sustainable retrofit in UK social housing 2010-2015', *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 35(5), 465-469.
- Sweis, R. J., Shanak, R. O., Samen, A. A. El and Suifan, T. (2014) 'Factors affecting quality in the Jordanian housing sector', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 7(2), 175–188.
- Tabassi, A. A. and Bakar, A. H. A. (2009) 'Training, motivation, and performance: The case of human resource management in construction projects in Mashhad, Iran', *International Journal of Project Management*. Elsevier Ltd and IPMA, 27(5), pp. 471–480.
- Tabish, S. Z. S. and Jha, K. N. (2011) 'Identification and evaluation of success factors for public construction projects', *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(8), pp. 809–823.
- Takim, R., Abu Talib, I. F. and Nawawi, A. (2018) 'Quality of life: Psychosocial environment factors in the event of disasters to private construction firms', *Asian Journal of Quality of Life*, 3(11).
- Talib, F., Faisal, M. N. and Bhutta, M. K. S. (2019) 'Enablers of sustainable municipal solid waste management system', *International Journal of Environment and Waste Management*, 23(3), 213-237.
- Tao, L. and Kumaraswamy, M. (2012) 'Unveiling relationships between contractor

- inputs and performance outputs', *Construction Innovation*, 12(1), 86–98.
- Teck-Hong, T. (2016) 'Neighborhood Satisfaction: Responses from Residents of Certified Green Townships in Malaysia', *International Journal of Housing and Markets Analysis*, 9(1), 137-155.
- Terziovski, M. and Power, D. (2007) 'Quality audit roles and skills: Perceptions of non-financial auditors and their clients', *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(1), 126-147.
- Terziovski, M., Sardana, D. and Gupta, N. (2016) 'The impact of strategic alignment and responsiveness to market on manufacturing firms's performance', *International Journal of Production Economics*, 177.
- Tiong, R. L. K., Lee, I. Y. and Chen, H. (2015) 'An investigation into tenant organisations' willingness-to-pay for the intangible value-added benefits of sustainable buildings: Case study in Singapore', *Journal of Green Building*, 10 (3:Summer 2015)
- Titarenko, B., Titov, S. and Titarenko, R. (2015) 'Performance measurement system for multi-project engineering company', *PM World Journal*, 4(5), 1.
- Toh, T. C., Goh, K. C., Goh, H. H., Yong, F. Y. and Lim, C. S. (2019) 'Measuring the likeliness among young adults to green their homes', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 542 (1), 012016.
- Toklu, M. C. and Taşkin, H. (2017) 'Performance evaluation of small-medium enterprises based on management and organization', *Acta Physica Polonica*, 132(3).
- Toor, S. ur R. and Ogunlana, S. O. (2009) 'Construction professionals' perception of critical success factors for large-scale construction projects', *Construction Innovation*, 9(2), 149–167.
- Turner, J. R. (2014) 'The handbook of project-based management: Leading strategic change in organizations (3rd Ed.)', New York: McGraw Hill.
- Tuuli, M. M., Rowlinson, S. and Koh, Y. T. (2010) 'Control modes and mechanisms in construction project teams: Drivers and consequences', *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(5), 451–465.
- Ugwu, O. and Attah, I. C. (2016) 'An appraisal of construction management practice in Nigeria', *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 35(4).

- Uros, K. (2015) 'Mixed-integer nonlinear programming model for nonlinear discrete optimization of project schedules under restricted costs', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(3), 04015088.
- Valenkou, T. and Klansek, U. (2017) 'An integration of spreadsheet and project management software for cost optimal time scheduling in construction', *Organization, Technology and Management in Construction 2017*, 9, 1627-1637.
- Vitaliy, P. and Rafael, S. (2015) 'Effects of the last planner system on social networks among construction trade crews', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 141(6), 04015006.
- Walker, D. H. T. and Walker, B. L. (2018) 'The future of the management of project in 2030s', *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*.
- Wang, J., Andrić, J. M., Mahamadu, A. M. and Zhong, R. (2019) 'Understanding environmental incidents on construction sites in Australia: The casual factors, environmental impact and their relations', *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*.
- Wateridge, J. (1995) 'People and project management for IT', *International Journal of Project Management*, 13(6), 407.
- Wei, K. S. (2010) 'Causes, effects and methods of minimizing delays in construction projects', PhD Thesis.
- Winkelkemper, T., Schuldt, S. and Schembecker, G. (2011) 'Systematic downstream process development for purification of baccatin III with key performance indicators', *Separation and Purification Technology*, 77(3), 355-366.
- Wilkinson, A., Johnstone, S. and Townsend, K. (2012) 'Changing patterns of human resource management in construction', *Construction Management and Economics*, 30(7), 507–512.
- Wong, K. and Vimonsatit Vanissorn (2012) 'A study of the factors affecting construction time in Western Australia', *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(40), 3390-3398.
- Xavier, G. O., Cecilia, R., José, M. and Valero, F. (2015) 'Overall key performance indicator to optimizing operation of high-pressure homogenizers for reliable quantification of intracellular components in *Pichia pastoris*', *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*.

- Xiao, H. and Proverbs, D. (2003) 'Factors influencing contractor performance: An international investigation', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 10(5), pp. 322–332.
- Yanwen, W. (2012) 'The Study on Complex Project Management in Developing Countries', *Physics Procedia*. Elsevier Srl, 25, 1547–1552.
- Yana, A. G. A., Rusdhi, H. A. and Wibowo, M. A. (2015) 'Analysis of factors affecting design changes in construction project with partial least square (PLS)', *Procedia Engineering*, 125, 40-45.
- Yang, I. T., Hsieh, Y. H. and Kuo, C. G. (2016) 'Integrated multiobjective framework for reliability-based design optimization with discrete design variables', *Automation in Construction*, 63, 162-172.
- Yang, J. and Zou, P. (2016) 'Building integrated photovoltaics (BIPV): Costs, benefits, risks, barriers and improvement strategy', *International Journal of Construction Management*, 16 (1), 39-53.
- Yang, L. R. and Huang, C. F. (2015) 'Information technology utilization to improve project team-owner relationship and project performance', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 20(1).
- Ye, G., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Xie, H., Fu, Y. and Zuo, J. (2019) 'Impact of migrant workers on total factor productivity in Chinese construction industry', *Sustainability*, 11(3), 1-18.
- Yeung, J. F. Y., Chan, A. P. C. and Chan, D. W. M. (2008) 'Establishing quantitative indicators for measuring the partnering performance of construction projects in Hong Kong', *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(3), 277–301.
- Yeung, J. F. Y., Chan, A. P. C., Chan, D. W. M. and Chiang, Y. H. (2013) 'Developing a benchmarking model for construction projects in Hong Kong', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 139(6), 705-716.
- Yin, R. K. (2017) *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- Yong, Y. C. and Mustaffa, N. E. (2012) 'Analysis of factors critical to construction project success in Malaysia', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(5), 543–556.
- Yong, Y. C. and Mustaffa, N. E. (2013) 'Critical success factors for Malaysian construction projects: An empirical assessment', *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(9), 959–978.

- Yong, Y. C. and Mustafa, N. E. (2017) 'Critical success factors for Malaysian construction projects: An investigative review. *International Journal of Built Environment and Sustainability*, 4(2).
- Yung, P. and Xiangyu, W. (2014) 'Corrigendum to a 6D CAD model for the automatic assessment of building sustainability', *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 11, 131.
- Zamzuri, N. H. A., Mohamad, N. and Hussein, R. (2008) 'Antecedents of customer satisfaction in repurchase intention in the electric commerce environment', In the Proceeding of International Symposium on Information Technology in 2008, 1-5.
- Zavadkas, E. K., Bausys, R. and Mazonaviciute, I. (2019) 'Safety evaluation methodology of urban public parks by multi-criteria decision making', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 189, 372-381.
- Zhao, X., Feng, Y., Yang, D. and Zhao, D. (2018) 'Advanced management in civil engineering projects', *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2018.
- Zidane, Y. J., Johansen, A., Andersen, B. and Hoseini, E. (2015) 'Time-thieves and bottlenecks in the Norwegian construction projects', *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 21, 486-493.
- Zwikael, O. (2009) 'Critical planning processes in construction projects', *Construction Innovation*, 9(4), 372-387.
- Zwikael, O. and Meredith, J. R. (2019) 'How can comprehensive goal setting enhance project investment', *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 99, 1-10.



RESEARCHER:

LEE MAO RUI

(maorui_86@yahoo.com.my)

Questionnaire Survey: Framework of Performance Indicators Analysis for Contractors in Malaysian Housing Construction Projects

Introduction

Dear respondent,

Thank you for accepting to take part in this survey, which this questionnaire is designed to collect the information on improving performance indicators analysis for contractors in Malaysian housing construction projects. By accepting to take part in this survey, you will help in providing data that will be used to fulfill the objectives of the study and I take this opportunity to assure you that the data gathered from this survey will only be used for the academic purposes and will be treated with utmost confidentiality.

The questionnaire contains four parts that are related to contractor's KPIs for housing construction project in Malaysia. Kindly take your time and respond to the questions

in all the five sections. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact the researcher by email at maorui_86@yahoo.com.my. Thank you for your participation.

- 1) Section A: General Information
- 2) Section B: Contractor's current performance in housing construction project in Malaysia
- 3) Section C: Critical success factors (CSFs) for housing construction project in Malaysia
- 4) Section D: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for contractors in housing construction project in Malaysia
- 5) Section E: Relationship between project time, project quality and project cost in housing construction project in Malaysia

Section A: General Information

Instruction: Please choose one (1) only the most appropriate answer

1. Type of organisation

- a. Client b. Consultant c. Contractor d. Others (Please

Specify:)

2. Job position

- a. Project Manager b. Site Engineer c. Site supervisor d. Others

(Please specify :.....)

3. Years of working experience

- a. ≤ 5 years
- b. 6-10 years
- c. 11-15 years
- d. 16-20 years
- e. ≥ 20 years

4. Highest academic qualification

- a. Professional Certificate
- b. Diploma
- c. Bachelor Degree
- d. Master Degree
- e. Doctor of Philosophy
- f. Others (Please specify :.....)

5. Competency and professional qualification

- a. Ar
- b. Ir
- c. Sr
- d. Others (Please specify :.....)

6. Number of housing construction projects delivered by your company in the last five years

- a. ≤ 5 years
- b. 6-10 years
- c. 11-20 years
- d. >20 years

7. Total value of delivered housing construction projects in the last five years (in RM)

- a. <RM 10 million b. RM 10-50 million c. RM 51-100 million
- d. >RM100 million

8. What are the most dominant types of housing construction projects that have been delivered since you started working for the organisation?

- a. Apartment b. Condominium c. Terrace house d. Others (Please specify :.....)

Section B: Current Contractor’s Performance in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia

The following are the current contractor’s performance in housing construction project in Malaysia.

Instruction: Please tick (/) at the most appropriate answer

Level of agreement:

(1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=not sure, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree)

No	Item	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Contractor meets or exceeds service delivery standards specified in the contract					
2	Contractor meets or exceeds service delivery times where defined					
3	Health safety and environment standards are frequently monitored, reviewed and maintained in line with all necessary legal					

	and regulatory obligations					
4	Equipment used by the contractor is up to the standard					
5	Contractor does not cause defects					
6	Contractor tries to reduce wastage of materials used					
7	Contractor works effectively with the client to ensure costs are maintained at an acceptable level					
8	There are minimal client's complaints about the contractor					
9	Any client complaints are well handled and managed					
10	Contractor well maintains client's expectations					

Section C: Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for Housing Construction Project in Malaysia

The following are the critical success factors (CSFs) for housing construction project in Malaysia.

Instruction: Please tick (/) at the most appropriate answer

Level of agreement:

(1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=not sure, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree)

No	Item	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
11	Contractor strictly adheres to clear retention policy and motivation guidelines					
12	Contractor complies with programme for construction of statutory					

13	Contractor has clear communication lines					
14	Contractor maintains professional relationship with client					
15	Contractor responds to trouble-shooting and feedback					
16	Contractor consistently delivers service to high standards					
17	Contractor delivers the project as per set delivery schedule					
18	Contractor provides safe and inspiring environment for project stakeholders					
19	Client's acceptance of the project					
20	Construction costs must remain within the stipulated budget					

Section D: Key Performance Indicator (KPIs) of Contractor in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia

The following are the Key Performance Indicators (KIPs) of contractor in housing construction project in Malaysia.

Instruction: Please tick (/) at the most appropriate answer

Level of agreement:

(1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=not sure, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree)

No	Item	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
21	All pertinent standards are regularly examined/ monitored, reviewed and preserved in keeping all legal and regulatory obligations					
22	Client satisfaction was met					
23	Service delivery times, where defined, are					

	being met or exceeded					
24	Project delivery standards in the contract arrangement are being met or exceeded					
25	Site security regulations are not being breached					
26	Contractor is having good working relationship with the employer					
27	Contractor has formulated a clear working programme for construction of statutory compliance according to the legal regulations in Malaysia					
28	Client is satisfied with progress of the housing construction project and deliverables by contractors					

Section E: Relationship between Project Time, Project Quality and Project Cost in Housing Construction Project in Malaysia

29. What are the relation of project quality on project costs in housing construction project in Malaysia?

30. What are the relation of project time on project cost in housing construction project in Malaysia?

31. What are the relation of project quality on project time in housing construction project in Malaysia?

APPENDIX B **OVERALL RESULTS OF VALIDATING**
FRAMEWORK ON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ANALYSIS FOR
CONTRACTORS IN MALAYSIAN HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Aim	Objectives	Factors	Questions	Results
Develop a framework on improving contractor's performance in Malaysian housing construction project via Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)	Identify the current performance of contractors of housing construction projects in Malaysia	CCP 1	Contractor meets or exceeds service delivery standards specified in the contract	Valid
		CCP 2	Contractor meets or exceeds service delivery times where defined	Valid
		CCP 3	Health, safety and environment standards are frequently monitored, reviewed and maintained in line with all necessary legal as well as regulatory obligations	Valid
		CCP 4	Equipment used by the contractor is up to the standard	Valid
		CCP 5	Contractor does not cause defects	Valid
		CCP 6	Contractor tries to	Valid

			reduce wastage of materials used	
		CCP 7	Contractor works effectively with the client to ensure costs are maintained at an acceptable level	Valid
		CCP 8	There are minimal client's complaints about the contractor	Valid
		CCP 9	Any client complaints are well handled and managed	Valid
		CCP 10	Contractor well maintains client's expectations	Valid
	Investigate the critical success factors (CSFs) of housing construction projects in Malaysia	CSF 1	Contractor strictly adheres to clear retention policy and motivation guidelines	Valid
		CSF 2	Contractor complies with the programme for statutory	Valid
		CSF 3	Contractor has clear	Valid

			communication lines	
		CSF 4	Contractor maintains professional relationship with client	Valid
		CSF 5	Contractor responds to trouble-shooting and feedback	Valid
		CSF 6	Contractor consistently delivers service to high standards	Valid
		CSF 7	Contractor delivers the project as per set delivery schedule	Valid
		CSF 8	Contractor provides safe and inspiring environment for project stakeholders	Valid
		CSF 9	Client's acceptance of the project	Valid
		CSF 10	Construction costs must remain within	Valid

			the stipulated budget	
	Assess the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of contractors of housing construction projects in Malaysia	KPI 1	All pertinent standards are regularly examined/ monitored, reviewed and preserved in keeping all legal and regulatory obligations	Valid
		KPI 2	Client satisfaction was met	Valid
		KPI 3	Service delivery times, where defined, are being met or exceed	Valid
		KPI 4	Housing Construction Project delivery standards in the contract arrangement are being met or exceeded	Valid
		KPI 5	Site security regulations are not being breached	Valid
		KPI 6	Contractor is	Valid

			having good working relationship with the employer	
		KPI 7	Contractor has formulated a clear working programme for construction of statutory compliance according to the legal regulations in Malaysia	Valid
		KPI 8	Client is satisfied with progress of the housing construction project and deliverables by the contractors	Valid

APPENDIX C LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals

1. Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail, Mohammad Hussaini (2014). Contractor's Performance for Construction Project: A Review. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 4(4) 131-137.
2. Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail, Muhamad Hussaini (2015). Professional Development of Project Management for Contractor in the Construction Project. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 2940-2945.

Conference Proceedings

1. Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail, Muhammad Hussaini (2014). Professional Development of Project Management for Contractor in the Construction Project. *International Conference on New Horizons in Education* Organizer by Sakarya University. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
2. Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail and Mohammad Hussaini (2014). Sustainable Construction Management for Housing Project. *International Conference on Sustainable Urban Design for Liveable City*.
3. Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail, Muhammad Hussaini (2014). Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of Contractor on Project Performance for Housing Construction in Malaysia. *1st International Conference on Human Capital and Knowledge Management (HCKM 2013)*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

APPENDIX D LIST OF AWARD

1. Gold Metal awarded to Lee Mao Rui, Syuhaida Ismail, Mohammad Hussaini for invention entitled “Framework of Improving Housing Construction Project Performance in Malaysia Via Contractor’s Key Performance Indicator” during 2019 International Innovation and Invention Competition. Organised by Chinese Innovation and Invention Society