

Article **Prediction of the Discharge Coefficient in Compound Broad-Crested-Weir Gate by Supervised Data Mining Techniques**

Meysam Nouri ¹ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3394-9100) Parveen Sihag ² [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7761-0603) Ozgur Kisi 3,4,5,* [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7847-5872) Mohammad Hemmati 1,*, Shamsuddin Shahid [5](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9621-6452) and Rana Muhammad Adnan ⁶

- ¹ Department of Water Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia 57561-51818, Iran
² Department of Civil Engineering, Shoelini University Solan 42521, 15862, Uimaghel Prodach, India
- ² Department of Civil Engineering, Shoolini University, Solan 43521-15862, Himachal Pradesh, India
³ Civil Engineering Department, Ilia State University, 0162 Thilisi, Ceergia
- ³ Civil Engineering Department, Ilia State University, 0162 Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁴ Department of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Lübeck, 23562 Lübeck, Germany
⁵ School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM)
- ⁵ School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru 81310, Malaysia
- ⁶ School of Economics and Statistics, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China
- ***** Correspondence: ozgur.kisi@th-luebeck.de (O.K.); m.hemmati@urmia.ac.ir (M.H.)

Abstract: The current investigation evaluated the discharge coefficient of a combined compound rectangular broad-crested-weir (BCW) gate (C_{dt}) using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) modeling approach and soft computing models. First, CFD was applied to the experimental data and 61 compound BCW gates were numerically simulated by resolving the Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes equations and stress turbulence models. Then, six data-driven procedures, including M5P tree, random forest (RF), support vector machine (SVM), Gaussian process (GP), multimode ANN and multilinear regression (MLR) were used for estimating the coefficient of discharge (*Cdt*) of the weir gates. The results showed the superlative accuracy of the SVM model compared to M5P, RF, GP and MLR in predicting the discharge coefficient. The sensitivity investigation revealed the h_1/H as the most effective parameter in predicting the C_{dt} , followed by the d/p , b/B_0 , B/B_0 and z/p. The multimode ANN model reduced the root mean square error (RMSE) of M5P, RF, GP, SVM and MLR by 37, 13, 6.9, 6.5 and 32%, respectively. The graphical inspection indicated the multimode ANN model as the most suitable for predicting the *Cdt* of a BCW gate with minimum RMSE and maximum correlation.

Keywords: combined weir gate; compound broad-crested weir; CFD simulation; soft computing based models; discharge coefficient

1. Introduction

Hydrometry, which is the knowledge of assessing volumetric or hefty flow under pressure in open canals, is important for managing water resources. Various methods have been employed to measure flow in open channels, including pressure differences, hydraulic structures (flumes and weirs), velocity-area and tracer dilution [\[1–](#page-15-0)[3\]](#page-15-1). Among these, gates and weirs are most widely used for flow measurement and discharge control in open canals $[4,5]$ $[4,5]$. The shape of the critical section and the upstream potential energy are the most effective parameters to determine the flow discharge in the crucial section (Dayev et al., 2021; Alkhatib and Gogus, 2014) [\[6](#page-15-4)[,7\]](#page-15-5). Broad-crested weirs (BCW) can be used as dam channels and often as a dam. The BCW is a specially shaped weir (weir with a broad crest) designed to fit complex channel cross-sections [\[8\]](#page-15-6). The control section can also be shaped according to the range of variation of the discharge and head. Furthermore, the BCW, especially when the upstream side is inclined, can easily transmit the floating elements and sediment better than the sharp-crested weir [\[7\]](#page-15-5). Various investigations conducted

Citation: Nouri, M.; Sihag, P.; Kisi, O.; Hemmati, M.; Shahid, S.; Adnan, R.M. Prediction of the Discharge Coefficient in Compound Broad-Crested-Weir Gate by Supervised Data Mining Techniques. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010433>

Academic Editor: Zeinab Hazbavi

Received: 23 November 2022 Revised: 16 December 2022 Accepted: 19 December 2022 Published: 27 December 2022

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

on broad-crested weirs reported that the combined weir gate could adjust the upstream water level, flow control, and discharge measurement to meet agricultural needs [\[9](#page-15-7)[–13\]](#page-15-8). According to Sakaruya and Kokpinar [\[14\]](#page-15-9), the topmost benefit of a combined weir gate is the minimization and prevention of upstream sediment accumulation, which enhances the structure's efficiency.

Several studies have been conducted to investigate the combined sharp-crested weir and gate [\[14](#page-15-9)[–18\]](#page-15-10). Negm et al. [\[15\]](#page-15-11) studied unrestricted flow over sharp-crested weirs and underneath gates. They investigated the effect of the geometrical and hydraulic parameters on the structures and reported that the rate of upstream water depth to gate opening (*H*/*d*) had major effects on the discharge. Ferro [\[19\]](#page-15-12) presented discharge equations for a simple broad-crested-weir gate using incomplete self-similarity theory and dimensional analysis. Alhamid [\[16\]](#page-15-13) investigated various combinations of weir-like gate proportions for both unrestricted and inundated flow and developed a discharge Equation considering the effective parameters.

Soft computing approaches such as artificial intelligence (AI)-based models and multivariate analysis are widely employed in hydrology nowadays for decision-making [\[20](#page-16-0)[–29\]](#page-16-1). The AI models have helped in the reduction in operation costs and time for decision-making rather than assessment through experimentation [\[30,](#page-16-2)[31\]](#page-16-3). These techniques efficiently use the parameters and their backgrounds for problem estimation instead of merely resolving the prime equations [\[32](#page-16-4)[,33\]](#page-16-5). Therefore, they can be used directly for resolving problems despite the many parameters and their interactions which create ambiguity and complexity in finding the solutions [\[25\]](#page-16-6). Discharge coefficient prediction using AI models has been successfully tested for triangular-labyrinth side weirs [\[34](#page-16-7)[–39\]](#page-16-8), semi-elliptical side weirs [\[40\]](#page-16-9), trapezoidal-labyrinth side weirs [\[41](#page-16-10)[–46\]](#page-16-11), rectangular side weirs [\[47–](#page-17-0)[53\]](#page-17-1), and other types of weirs and gates [\[54](#page-17-2)[–59\]](#page-17-3). However, the literature suggests that despite several existing acceptable techniques for estimating the discharge coefficient of hydraulic structures, the flow passing over a rectangular compound broad-crested-weir gate has not been considered using these techniques.

The main purpose of the present study was to develop new simple methods to predict this combined structure discharge coefficient (*Cdt*). The validated computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model was used to achieve this goal for a combined structure. This study proposed random forest (RF), M5P, support vector machine (SVM), genetic programming (GP), multilinear regression (MLR) and multimode artificial neural networks (ANN) to predict the *Cdt* in the compound BCW gate. All the effective parameters were changed to make different combinations of this structure. The BCW gate can be used as a flood control reservoir and a measuring device for minimizing the sediments and preventing their accumulation. An accurate prediction of the C_{dt} in the compound BCW gate could significantly improve its operational management and, thus, water resources management.

2. Theoretical Background

Figure [1a](#page-2-0) shows a rectangular, compound broad-crested weir. According to the upstream hydraulic head, there can be two cases. First, if the passage of the outlet discharge is only through the central weir $(h_1 < Z)$ (Figure [1b](#page-2-0)), the broad-crested weir performs like a basic weir, and there will be no flow over the compound section.

In this case, the critical depth (*y*c) will be lower than the central weir height (*Z*) and weir discharge (Q_w) though the broad-crested weir can be as follows [\[60,](#page-17-4)[61\]](#page-17-5);

$$
Q_w = \frac{2}{3} C_{dw} C_v B \left(\frac{2}{3} g\right)^{1/2} h_1^{3/2}
$$
 (1)

where

$$
C_{dw} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{Q}{B(\frac{2}{3}g)^{1/2} H_1^{3/2}}
$$
 (2)

$$
C_v = \left(\frac{H_1}{h_1}\right)^{3/2} \tag{3}
$$

where *C*_{*dw*} denotes the weir discharge coefficient, *C_v* denotes the weir velocity coefficient. Furthermore, h_1 , g , B and H_1 are the water height above the central weir, acceleration gravity, central weir width and head overall energy at the weir head assessment section, respectively.

Figure 1. (a) Definition sketch of compound BCW: (b,c) two diverse flow cases through the compound BCW segment.

In another case, the water height above the central weir (h_1) surpasses the central weir height (*Z*), and the weir acts as a compound weir (Figure 1c). Furthermore, the critical flow depth (y_c) will be greater than the central weir height (*Z*). In this case, the BCW discharge (Q_w) is obtained by Equation (4) [5,61]: (Q_w) is obtained by Equation (4) [\[5,](#page-15-3)[61\]](#page-17-5):

$$
Q_w = C_{dw} C_v \left(\frac{g}{B_0}\right)^{1/2} \left[BZ + B_0 \left(\frac{2}{3}h_1 - \frac{BZ}{3B_0} - \frac{2Z}{3}\right) \right]^{3/2} \tag{4}
$$

$$
C_{dw} = \frac{Q_w}{\left(\frac{g}{B_0}\right)^{1/2} \left[BZ + B_0\left(\frac{2}{3}H_1 - \frac{BZ}{3B_0} - \frac{2Z}{3}\right)\right]^{3/2}}
$$
(5)

$$
C_v = \left[\frac{BZ + B_0(\frac{2}{3}H_1 - \frac{BZ}{3B_0} - \frac{2Z}{3})}{BZ + B_0(\frac{2}{3}h_1 - \frac{BZ}{3B_0} - \frac{2Z}{3})} \right]^{3/2}
$$
(6)

Similarly, the Equation of discharge pertaining to gates (Q_g) can be obtained by utilizing the Equation of energy, as below [\[62\]](#page-17-6):

$$
Q_g = c_{dg} db \sqrt{2gH} \tag{7}
$$

where *Cdg* is the gate discharge coefficient and *d*, *b* and *H* are the gate opening height, gate breadth and level of upstream water, respectively. The investigation of the compound BCW and gate collectively for the two mentioned cases of compound BCW are elaborated below. 2b) discharge (*Qt*) is the sum of Equation (1) and Equation (7) and can be written as Equawhere C_{dg} is the gate coscilarge coefficient and u, v and Ω are the gate open

2.1. Case 1

As mentioned above, when the passage of outlet discharge is only via the central *Q* As mentioned above, when the passage of outlet discharge is only via the central weir, the complex BCW performs as a basic weir. Thus, the combined structure (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)) discharge (Q_t) is the sum of Equation (1) and Equa discharge (*Qt*) is the sum of Equation (1) and Equation (7) and can be written as Equation (8). 1 The discharge coefficient for the combined compound BCW gate (*Cdt*) can be presented by Equation (9): ьс vv per:
e sum of l
ficient fo: m of Equation (1) $Q_t = Q_w + Q_s$

$$
Q_t = Q_w + Q_g \tag{8}
$$

$$
C_{dt} = \frac{Q_t}{\frac{2}{3}C_vB(\frac{2}{3}g)^{1/2}h_1^{3/2} + db\sqrt{2gH}}
$$
(9)

Figure 2. (a) Sketch for simultaneous flow over compound BCW and below gate: (b,c) are two diverse flow cases through the combined compound BCW gate.

2.2. Case 2

When the passage of outlet discharge is through the compound section, the complex BCW can pass more water. Therefore, the discharge Equation is different. In this case, the B_{C} can prove water. Therefore, the discharge equation is different. discharge related to the compound BCW gate (Q_t) (Figure [2c](#page-3-0)) is the sum of Equation (4) and Equation (7). and Equation (7): When the passage of outlet discharge is through the compound section, the complex and Equation (7):

$$
Q_t = Q_w + Q_g \tag{10}
$$

$$
C_{dt} = \frac{Q_t}{C_v(\frac{g}{B_0})^{1/2} \left[BZ + B_0(\frac{2}{3}h_1 - \frac{BZ}{3B_0} - \frac{2Z}{3}) \right]^{3/2} + db\sqrt{2gH}}
$$
(11)

xerning Equations and Numerical Method \overline{a} ⁺ [−] [−] ⁺ *2.3. Governing Equations and Numerical Method*

A three-dimensional CFD code was used to simulate a combined BCW–gate. The FLOW 3D code uses the finite volume scheme to resolve Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) [\[63\]](#page-17-7). The Navier–Stokes equations are known as the equations of motion in fluid velocity mechanisms (u, v, w) in the three synchronous directions with some additional terms:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left\{ u A_x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v A_y R \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\} - \zeta \frac{A_y v^2}{xV_F} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + G_x + f_x - b_x - \frac{R_{SOR}}{\rho V_F} (u - u_w - \delta u_s)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left\{ u A_x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v A_y R \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right\} - \zeta \frac{A_y u v}{xV_F} = -\frac{1}{\rho} (R \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}) + G_y + f_y - b_y - \frac{R_{SOR}}{\rho V_F} (v - v_w - \delta v_s)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left\{ u A_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v A_y R \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right\} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + G_z + f_z - b_z - \frac{R_{SOR}}{\rho V_F} (w - w_w - \delta w_s)
$$
\n(12)

where G_{x-z} , f_{x-z} and b_{x-z} denote body accelerations, viscous accelerations and flow losses in porous media, respectively. Furthermore, A_x , A_y and A_z refer to the cross-sectional extent of the flow; *ρ* denotes the density of water, indicates the fractional volume open to flow in fractional area/volume obstacle representation (FAVOR), R_{SOR} denotes the source term, *p* denotes the pressure, and the concluding terms constitute the inclusion of mass at a source signified by a geometry component. The term $U_w = (u_w, u_w, w_w)$ is the velocity of the source component, and the term $U_s = (u_s, v_s, w_s)$ is the velocity at the surface of the source relative to the source itself. *R* in Equation (12) is the coefficient that depends on the choice of coordination system.

The volume of fluid (VOF) and FAVOR are the volume-fraction techniques employed for the condition of the depicting cell in the water surface and determination of the geometry, respectively [\[64\]](#page-17-8). Using this method, the CFD code enables us to ignore the surrounding air and its effect on the flowing water and create a sharp boundary between the air and water without the existence of fine interlocks [\[65](#page-17-9)[–67\]](#page-17-10):

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{v_f} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (FA_X u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (FA_y v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (FA_z w) \right]
$$
(13)

Hirt and Sicilian [\[68\]](#page-17-11) formulated the FAVOR method which is used to ascertain the level of the solid body inside an individual cell. In addition, this method can also determine the volume of cells unoccupied by a solid body. The CFD code used presents six turbulence models, including *k*−*w*, *k*−*ε*, *RNG* (the design is devised on *R^e* normalization groups with the Equation of stress), one Equation model, large-eddy simulation (*LES*) and the Prandtl mixing-length model [\[69\]](#page-17-12).

3. Methods

The current investigation aimed to simulate a combined compound rectangular broadcrested-weir gate using FLOW-3D software. In order to do this, validation of numerical code was carried out using experimental results. The literature review indicated that the compound BCW-gate structure has not been studied before. Therefore, the experimental results of a single compound broad-crested weir by Salmasi et al., [\[12\]](#page-15-14) were used for the calibration of CFD code. Salmasi et al. [\[12\]](#page-15-14) experimentally studied a single broad-crested weir and estimated its properties, as given in Table [1.](#page-4-0) To achieve the actual BCW, an attempt was made to deduce the relationship $0.1 < h_1/L \le 0.35$ (where h_1 and L refer to the hydraulic head on the weir's central section and the compound weir's length, respectively) to establish the physical and arithmetic design [\[70\]](#page-17-13). The flow separation was decreased by rounding with a radius of 0.065 m at the weir entrance to develop physical and numerical models of the weir [\[5\]](#page-15-3). Figure [3](#page-5-0) shows the geometry of the experimental study conducted by Salmasi et al., [\[12\]](#page-15-14).

Table 1. Variables and their range used in the study of Salmasi et al., [\[12\]](#page-15-14).

Figure 3. Single broad-crested weir studied by Salm[asi](#page-15-14) et al., [12]. **Figure 3.** Single broad-crested weir studied by Salmasi et al., [12].

To select a turbulence model with high accuracy based on the experimental results, To select a turbulence model with high accuracy based on the experimental results, measurements. Then, the most accurate model was used for the study. Instantaneous measurements. Then, the most accurate model was used for the study. Instantaneous Navier–Stokes equations employing renormalization group theory were used to extract the RNG model [71]. For dissipation of the turbulent kinetic energy, the *RNG* two eq[uati](#page-17-14)ons model uses an additional term in the transport equations [72]. The *RNG* equations appear use to the transport equations \mathcal{R} . The transport equations appear as follows: the *K–ω* and *RNG* models' outcomes were compared with those of the experimental as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho k u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\alpha_k \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k + G_b - \rho \varepsilon - Y_M + S_k \tag{14}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \varepsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho \varepsilon u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\alpha_{\varepsilon} \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} (G_k + C_{3\varepsilon} G_b) - C_{2\varepsilon} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} - R_{\varepsilon} + S_{\varepsilon}
$$
(15)

where *K* denotes kinetic energy, *ε* stands for rate of kinetic energy dissipation, $μ_{eff}$ denotes effective viscosity, G_K and G_b stand for the generation of turbulent kinetic energy arising because of average velocity gradients and buoyancy, separately, *Y^M* is the fluctuating dilation in compressible turbulence, *S^ε* and *S^K* are the source terms set by the user, *α^k* and α_{ε} are inverse effective Prandtl numbers for the turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation, and *c*1*^ε* , *c*2*^ε* and *c*3*^ε* are constants. Furthermore, the *R^ε* refers to the main difference between the numerical models used.

CFD code uses the standard *k–w* model. This empirical-based model was presented by Wilcox [\[73\]](#page-17-16). Because of its formulation, it is used for better computation of shear flow spreading the low Reynolds number's effect and compressibility. Furthermore, transport equations are used in the *k–w* model for estimating turbulent kinetic energy (*k*) and its dissipation rate (*w*).

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho k u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k - Y_k + S_k \tag{16}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho\omega) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho\omega u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\omega} \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_\omega - Y_\omega + S_\omega \tag{17}
$$

where G_ω is generation of ω , Y_ω is dissipation of ω due to turbulence, Y_k is dissipation of k due to turbulence, *σ^ω* and *σ^k* are the Prandtl number of turbulent (here they are constant;

equal to 2), S_ω is the source term set by the user and μ_t is viscosity of turbulence. Figure [4](#page-6-0) shows the results of *RNG* and $k-w$ models in estimating C_{dw} .

> **Figure 4.** Estimated C_{dw} using numerical models; (a) Broad crested weir with $B/B_0 = 0.24$, (b)Broad crested weir with $B/B_0 = 0.32$.

Figure 4 shows that both models provide acceptable results in estimating *Cdw* and can Figure [4](#page-6-0) shows that both models provide acceptable results in estimating *Cdw* and can be used to simulate a combined compound BCW gate. The root mean square (RMSE)
values vers 0.2685 for h w assinct 0.2122 for BMC viben the h w model vise used for the where were onlined for *k-w* against 0.0122 for *RNG*, when the *k-w* model was used for the simulation of the combined compound BCW-gate structure by CFD code. values were 0.2685 for *k-w* against 0.3122 for *RNG*, when the *k-w* model was used for the

The studied parameters were combined structure width, length and height (B_0 , *L*, P + *Z*), central weir height and width (*Z* and *B*) and gate opening height and width (*d* and *b*). For upstream water level (*H*), different values were considered and two types of compou[nd](#page-3-0) weir performance (simple weir and compound weir (Figure 2) were studied. Considering the mentioned parameters, the results are presented using dimensionless parameters. The structure's total section width (B_0) , the height of the structure $(Z + P)$ and combined structure length (*L*) were considered as 0.[2](#page-6-1)5, 0.25 and 0.4, respectively. Table 2
chases the structure length (*L*) were considered as 0.25, 0.25 and 0.4, respectively. Table 2 shows the studied dimensionless parameters and values.

Table 2. The studied dimensionless parameters and their values.

Using these parameters, 61 numerical models were simulated using CFD code. For the arithmetic simulation, a grid of 1,200,000 elements was employed. After altering the mesh size and selecting the optimal size, the number of elements was selected. Specified $\frac{1}{2}$ matricels. $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ multiple vert selection as soundary condition. For $\frac{1}{2}$ conditions and $\frac{1}{2}$ code. For $\frac{1}{2}$ code. For $\frac{1}{2}$ code. For $\frac{1}{2}$ symmetry was the Z_{max} boundary condition. Figure [5](#page-7-0) shows one of the used models and
its boundary conditions mesh size and selecting the optimal size, the number of elements was selected. Specified pressure with specified water level and outflow was selected as X_{min} and X_{max} boundary conditions. Y_{min} , Y_{max} and Z_{min} were selected as boundary conditions. Furthermore, the its boundary conditions.

Sustainability **2022**, *14*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 9 of 21

Figure 5. Combined compound BCW gate and boundary conditions used; X_{\min} : P (specified pressure); X_{max} : O (outflow); Y_{min} , Y_{max} and Z_{min} : W (wall); Z_{max} : S (symmetry).

A constancy criterion such as the Courant number was used for temporal stage calculation. Both convergence criteria and stability were used to control the time step the iterations. Flow kinetic energy monitoring was also used to survey the steady state during the iterations. Flow kinetic energy monitoring was also used to survey the steady state condition. After calibration of CFD code with experimental results*, k-w* model was used to simulate the combined compound BCW gate. Combined structure discharge coefficients (C_{dt}) were generated using the simulation results for the two mentioned cases. Using the generated *C*_{*dt*} values, six soft computing-based models, including RF, M5P, SVM, GP, MLP and multimode ANN, were used to predict C_{dt} . The mentioned dimensionless *3.1. Soft Computing Models and Artificial Intelligence Techniques* parameters were the inputs of the soft computing models.

3.1. Soft Computing Models and Artificial Intelligence Techniques 3.1.1. M5P Model

novel tree technique was introduced by Quinlan [74] for forecasting complex phenomena M5P is a simple tree group technique for solving nonlinear and complex issues. This novel tree technique was introduced by Quinlan [\[74\]](#page-17-17) for forecasting complex phenomena encompassing a huge quantity of datasets and variables. M5 tree is a piecewise simple model and takes a halfway situation between the linear and nonlinear designs [\[75\]](#page-18-0). Pruning is additionally associated with this technique to avoid overfitting. The separating technique ϵ yord at each node to accomplish bicker information with minor deviation is used at each node to accomplish higher information with minor deviation inside the intervalsed and the favor subset down to the individual branch. This design has three significant advances: growth of tree, trimming and smoothing. Jothiprakash and Kote [\[76\]](#page-18-1) explored the impact of trimming and smoothing and reported the favorable circumstances of unpruned and unsmoothed in hydrological studies. The tree model is created by unravelling the measures responsible for $\overline{\text{h}}$ connections are created at each node. Generally, it creates an excellent tree structure with a larger extent of precision. $s_{\rm s}$ and $s_{\rm r}$ is employed. The root number of trees. The root nodes receive $r_{\rm r}$ yielding standard deviation of the range class values to the nodes. In this strategy, linear

3.1.2. Random Forest (RF) s_{max} reduces is used $\left(\text{m}\right)$

RF, pioneered by Breiman [\[77\]](#page-18-2), is widely used for resolving intricate engineering issues due to its flexibility. It employs a massive number of trees. The root nodes receive more diverse bootstrap (known as bagging) samples than the original data set. The assigned subset of the estimator parameters is unravelled randomly at the individual node. RF is simple, less training sensitive, yet meticulous in prediction [\[78\]](#page-18-3). Only two user-defined parameters: the number of trees grown (k) and the number of input parameters (m), are required. The formulation of this method employs a trial and error process. WEKA 3.9 software was used in this study for implementing RF.

3.1.3. Gaussian Process (GP)

GP is a set of random variables in which some variables have a multivariable Gaussian distribution. The chief objective of GP is to develop systems that can predict variables based on historical data. The uniqueness of GP lies in its specification through its mean and covariance function. It can be updated whenever new observational information is

available [\[79\]](#page-18-4). GP depends on probability, which makes estimating input data easier and provides accuracy for probable variances. The statistical significance of the prediction is raised broadly by the estimated variances. GP can have vast dimensionality and produce data using the random domain of subset ranges. Choosing a suitable covariance function and its parameters is important because the main role of the GP belongs to the covariance function underlying the geometric structure of the training samples. The hyperparameters (mean and covariance functions) must be determined from the data to improve accuracy [\[80–](#page-18-5)[82\]](#page-18-6).

3.1.4. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

SVM, a prevailing method in data mining, is a supervised-learning technique for solving regression and classification problems. It relies on the structural risk minimization principle [\[83](#page-18-7)[–85\]](#page-18-8). SVM has been effectively used for linear and complex problems in various engineering and medical fields over the last few decades. Inadequate adjustment of user-defined constraints in SVM may result in overfitting and underfitting. To ascertain the best fit model, numerous trials were carried out to fix the user-defined parameters.

3.1.5. Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

MLR employs the least square technique. The following is the Equation of the MLR model:

$$
R = c_0 x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2} x_3^{c_3} x_4^{c_4} \dots x_n^{c_n}
$$
 (18)

where *R* stands for dependent variable and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n stand for independent variables. MLR was developed in this study using XLSTAT software.

3.1.6. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

The main benefit of ANN is its simplicity and ability to approximate any input/output [\[12\]](#page-15-14). The significant disadvantage of ANN techniques is that it shows information regarding the weight matrix that is currently beyond the discerning ability of human beings. In this way, normally, they are considered black box models. In addition, the ANN approach is aimed at finding user-defined parameters, such as the number of latent layers and neurons in latent layers, by trial and error, which is very time-consuming. The detailed theory about ANN occurs in Haykin [\[86\]](#page-18-9). Furthermore, the network is constrained by components, for example, learning rate, momentum, neuron numbers in layers and the number of hidden layers. Many trials were performed to find the best-fit model. The ANN was developed using the WEKA software. Figure [6](#page-8-0) depicts the general structure of ANN with input, middle and output layers.

Figure 6. Structure of ANN. **Figure 6.** Structure of ANN.

4. Application of the Methods

The prediction performance of the models was evaluated using the coefficient of correlation (CC), mean absolute error (MAE), root mean square error (RMSE), Nash–Sutcliffe model efficiency coefficient (Nash) and scattering index (SI) values, as defined below.

$$
cc = \frac{n\sum c_{dt_{obs}}c_{dt_{pred}-(\sum c_{dt_{obs}})(\sum c_{dt_{pred}})}{\sqrt{n(\sum c_{dt_{obs}})^2 - (\sum c_{dt_{obs}})^2\sqrt{n(\sum c_{dt_{pred}})^2 - (\sum c_{dt_{pred}})^2}}}
$$
(19)

$$
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \left| c_{dt_{obs}} - c_{dt_{pred}} \right| \tag{20}
$$

$$
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{dt_{obs}} - c_{dt_{pred}})^{2})}
$$
(21)

$$
Nash = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{dt_{obs}} - c_{dt_{pred}})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{dt_{obs}} - \overline{c_{dt_{obs}}})^{2}}
$$
(22)

$$
SI = \frac{RMSE}{c_{dt_{obs}}} \tag{23}
$$

where $c_{dt_{obs}}$ is observed values, $c_{dt_{pred}}$ is predicted values, $\overline{c_{dt_{obs}}}$ is average observed values and *n* is the number of observations. If the values of CC and Nash Sutcliffe model efficiency are near 1, then the model is the best performing (if CC, Nash $= 1$, then the model is ideal). The lower values of MAE, RMSE and SI reflect the model's suitability for prediction (if error is zero, then the model is ideal).

A total of 61 observations were used in this study for model development and validation. The models were constructed using 41 observations, whereas the remaining 20 observations were used for the testing. These groups were used for model development and validation. Table [3](#page-9-0) summarizes the descriptive statistics of the training and testing phases, including maximum, minimum, standard deviation, mean, Kurtosis and skewness. The input data set consisted of d/p , b/B_0 , z/p , B/B_0 and h_1/H whereas the C_{dt} of the BCW gate was considered output.

Table 3. Features of the training and testing dataset.

5. Results and Discussion

The models were developed with training data and checked for accuracy on testing data. WeKA 3.9 software was used for this purpose. The optimum values of user-defined parameters of the models are shown in Table [4.](#page-10-0)

Table 4. User-defined parameters of M5P, RF, SVM and GP models.

Approaches	Kernel Function	User-Defined Parameters
M5P		$m = 4$
RF		$m = 1$ & K = 10
SVM	RBF kernel	$C = 2, \gamma = 1$
GP.	RBF kernel	Gaussian noise = 0.01, γ = 1

Figure [7](#page-11-0) also depicts their performance during the two stages. The predicted *Cdt* of the BCW gate using soft computing approaches are presented against the modeled (real) values in the figure to show their performance based on the best fit line $(y = x)$.

Table [5](#page-10-1) also shows that the SVM model outperformed the other models in predicting the *Cdt* with CC of 0.9585, NSE of 0.8562, MAE of 0.0237, RMSE of 0.0276 and SI of 0.0337 during testing. The SVM reduced the RMSE of M5P, RF, GP and MLR by 32, 7.1, 0.4 and 27%, respectively. However, the difference between GP and SVM was minor. Figure [7](#page-11-0) shows that the SVM-estimated *Cdt* during training and testing was the closest to the best-fit line relative to other models. It confirmed the better performance of SVM compared to the other models. The outcomes of single-factor ANOVA presented in Table [6](#page-10-2) show insignificant differences between theactual and predicted values for the different models.

	CC	MAE	RMSE	Nash	SI			
Approaches	Training Data Set							
M5P	0.9550	0.0171	0.1492	0.9121	0.1878			
RF	0.9867	0.0106	0.0135	0.9706	0.0170			
GP	1.0000	0.0007	0.0009	0.9999	0.0012			
SVM	1.0000	0.0003	0.0005	1.0000	0.0007			
MLR	0.9465	0.0183	0.0255	0.8959	0.0320			
			Testing data set					
M5P	0.9148	0.0208	0.0408	0.8354	0.0498			
RF	0.9187	0.0240	0.0297	0.8339	0.0363			
GP	0.9581	0.0239	0.0277	0.8557	0.0338			
SVM	0.9585	0.0237	0.0276	0.8562	0.0337			
MLR	0.8549	0.0284	0.0380	0.7280	0.0464			

Table 5. Performance of M5P, RF, GP, SVM and MLR models during training and testing.

Table 6. Outcomes of the single factor ANOVA test.

Figure 7. Performance of M5P, RF, GP, SVM and MLR models in predicting C_{dt} of BCW gate during model calibration and validation. model calibration and validation.

Table 5 and Figure 7 [al](#page-10-1)so show t[ha](#page-11-0)t the GP model's performance was comparable to the SVM model and surpassed the M5P, RF and MLR models with a CC of 0.9581, NSE of 0.8557 , MAE of 0.0239, RMSE of 0.0277 and SI of 0.0338 at the testing stage. The RF model's performance surpassed the M5P and MLR models with a CC of 0.9187, NSE of 0.8339, MAE of 0.0240, RMSE of 0.0297 and SI of 0.0363 at the testing stage. The least-square technique
of 0.0240, RMSE of 0.0297 and SI of 0.0363 at the testing stage. The least-square technique was used for developing the MLR equation. The final form of the MLR model is listed in Equation (24). The performance of the MLR model was the worst of all the models with the lowest CC (0.8549) and NSE (0.7280), and the highest MAE (0.0284), RMSE (0.0380) and SI (0.0464) .

$$
c_{dt} = 0.79 \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-0.28} \left(\frac{b}{B_0}\right)^{-0.05} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^{0.04} \left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right)^{0.02} \left(\frac{h_1}{H}\right)^{0.18} \tag{24}
$$

5.1. Sensitivity Investigation

A sensitivity study was carried out to ascertain the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable. This is very important in preparing AI models since they are more sensitive to inputs than empirical methods. Therefore, finding the influencing input variables in developing AI models is highly significant. Many methods have been employed for sensitivity studies. In this investigation, the best-performing model (SVM) was selected for the sensitivity study by eliminating one input parameter from the input combination each time. The performance assessed in the absence of one of the inputs of each model is shown in Table [7.](#page-12-0) The results suggest that h_1/H is the most influencing parameter in predicting the C_{dt} of BCW gate, followed by d/p , b/B_0 , B/B_0 and z/p .

Input Combination
Parameter Parameter Parameter Statistical Parameters (Testing Data Set)
 Parameter Statistical Parameters (Testing Data Set) *d***/***p b***/***B***⁰** *z***/***p B***/***B***⁰** *h***1/***H* **CC MAE RMSE** None 0.9585 0.0237 0.0276 d/p 0.6472 0.0456 0.0580 *b*/*B*⁰ 0.8553 0.0292 0.0381 z/p 0.9449 0.0212 0.0264 *B*/*B*₀ 0.9006 0.0254 0.0330 **d***d******dd***_{***d***}***d******d***_{***d***}***dd***_{***d***}***d***_{***d***}***ddddddd******dd* C_{C} MAE NONE d. 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0256 0.0 *b*/*B*⁰ 0.0472 0.0470 0.0380 $\frac{v_1 v_0}{v_2 v_1}$ 0.0222 0.0264 *B/B*⁰ 0.9006 0.0254 0.0330

Table 7. Results of sensitivity investigation using SVM. **Table 7.** Results of sensitivity investigation using SVM.

5.2. Results of Multimode ANN Model 5.2. Results of Multimode ANN Model

A new multimode ANN model was developed using RF, M5P, GP, SVM, and MLR predicted values. In this novel model, the RF, M5P, GP, SVM and MLR predicted values were the multimode ANN model was the multimode and the multimode ANN model was the multimode ANN model was the multimode ANN model was th were used as inputs and the C_{dt} values were the output. The multimode ANN model was developed using a hit-and-miss process. Figure [8](#page-12-1) indicates the structure of the novel multimode model in which five neurons in the input layer and four neurons in the latent layer were selected for predicting *Cdt* of BCW gate. A new multimode ANN model was developed using RF, M5P, GP, SVM, and MLR P_{A} hew multimode P_{A} model was developed using R_{F} , M5P, GP, SVM, and MLR

*h*1/*H* 0.3575 0.0671 0.0743

*h*1/*H* 0.3575 0.0671 0.0743

Figure 8. Structure of the novel multimode ANN model. **Figure 8.** Structure of the novel multimode ANN model.

Figure [9](#page-13-0) shows the observed and estimated *Cdt* using novel multimode ANN models Figure 9 shows the observed and estimated *Cdt* using novel multimode ANN models during the training and testing stages. The novel multimode ANN estimated C_{dt} with

CC = 0.9998 and 0.9618, RMSE of 0.0016 and 0.0258, MAE of 0.0013 and 0.0217, NSE as 0.9996 and 0.8746 and SI of 0.0020 and 0.0315 during training and testing, respectively. Overall, the performance of the novel multimode ANN was better than the RF, M5P, GP, SVM and MLR models in predicting *Cdt* of BCW gate. Comparison with Table [5](#page-10-1) revealed that the novel multimode ANN reduced the RMSE of M5P, RF, GP, SVM and MLR by 37, 13, 6.9, 6.5 and 32%, respectively.

Figure 9. Agreement plot of multimode ANN prediction with observed *Cdt* of BCW gate during **Figure 9.** Agreement plot of multimode ANN prediction with observed *Cdt* of BCW gate during training and testing.

The entire error distribution was plotted using a box plot to evaluate the outcomes (Figure [10\)](#page-13-1). The positive and negative error values indicated the under- and over-prediction tendencies of the models. T[ab](#page-14-0)le 8 lists the distribution of the overall error. The minimum errors, first quartile, median, thir[d](#page-14-0) quartile and maximum error are also provided in Table 8 and plotted [in F](#page-13-1)igure 10 for all implemented models. The lower quartile value in the multimode ANN model was −0.0118, which was lower than the other considered models. Figure 10 a[nd](#page-14-0) Table 8 indicated that the maximum and minimum errors predicted by the $\frac{1}{2}$ right 10 and 1able 0 marked that the maximum and minimum errors predicted by the multimode ANN model were -0.0400 and 0.0470, respectively, which verify the capability of this model in predicting the C_{dt} of a BCW gate.

Figure 10. Box plot of the error distribution of the applied models during testing. **Figure 10.** Box plot of the error distribution of the applied models during testing.

Statistic	M5P	RF	GP	SVM	MLR	Novel Multimode ANN
Minimum Maximum	-0.0460 0.0960	-0.0470 0.0750	-0.0420 0.0500	-0.0420 0.0510	-0.0468 0.1130	-0.0400 0.0470
First Ouartile	-0.0170	-0.0295	-0.0140	-0.0140	-0.0242	-0.0118
Median	-0.0005	-0.0045	0.0110	0.0095	0.0008	0.0090
Third Ouartile	0.0170	0.0153	0.0285	0.0278	0.0242	0.0263
Mean	0.0016	-0.0029	0.0076	0.0073	0.0037	0.0065

Table 8. The statistics of the error distribution of the implemented models during testing.

Taylor's diagram [\[87\]](#page-18-10) in Figur[e 11](#page-14-1) shows the performance of all the developed models in predicting $\mathcal{C}_{dt}.$ Three statistical parameters involving RMSE, CC and standard deviation were used to evaluate the applied models' accuracy in the Taylor diagram. Figure [11](#page-14-1) indicates that the multimode ANN model attained a higher CC with minimal RMSE. The Taylor diagram also confirmed that the performance of the multimode ANN model The Taylor diagram also confirmed that the performance of the multimode ANN model surpassed the other applied models. surpassed the other applied models.

Figure 11. Taylor diagram for M5P, RF, GP, SVM, MLR and novel multimode ANN models. **Figure 11.** Taylor diagram for M5P, RF, GP, SVM, MLR and novel multimode ANN models.

6. Conclusions

Broad-crested weirs are widely used as flood control reservoirs and as measuring structures. Upstream sediment accumulation reduces the performance of broad-crested weirs and similar structures. The combined weir-gate devices can minimize sediment and prevent upstream accumulation [\[88\]](#page-18-11). The current study determined the discharge coefficient (*C*_{dt}) of a combined compound rectangular broad-crested weir (BCW) gate. For this purpose, the experimental results of a simple broad-crested weir were employed for the code's calibration, and the *k-w* model was selected for numerical simulation. The effective parameters of the combined structure were changed for a comprehensive investigation. The studied dimensionless parameters were: b/Bo , d/P , B/Bo , Z/P and $h₁/H$. A total of 61 compound BCW gates were numerically simulated using different values of the dimensionless parameters. Finally, the results of the calibrated CFD code were used to develop models for the prediction of a compound BCW-gate C_{dt} . Six data-driven algorithms, including M5P tree, RF, Gaussian process, SVM, MLR and multimode ANN, were used.

The results showed better performance from the SVM model than the RF, M5P, GP and MLR models, in terms of CC, NSE, MAE, RMSE and SI. The sensitivity investigation indicated h_1/H as the most effective parameter, followed by d/p , b/B_0 , B/B_0 and z/p , in predicting *Cdt* using SVM. The novel multimode ANN model outperformed all other models. It reduced the RMSE by 37, 13, 6.9, 6.5 and 32% of the M5P, RF, GP, SVM and MLR, respectively. The Taylor diagram and box plot also confirmed the novel multimode ANN model as the most suitable model in predicting the *Cdt* of a BCW gate with minimum errors and maximum correlation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: M.N. and M.H.; formal analysis: M.N. and P.S.; validation: M.N., M.H. and O.K.; supervision: M.N. and M.H.; writing—original draft: M.N., M.H., P.S., O.K. and R.M.A.; writing—review and editing: O.K. and S.S.; visualization: M.N. and P.S.; investigation: M.N. and P.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study will be available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Salmasi, F.; Nouri, M.; Sihag, P.; Abraham, J. Application of SVM, ANN, GRNN, RF, GP and RT models for predicting discharge coefficients of oblique sluice gates using experimental data. *Water Supply* **2020**, *21*, 232–248. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2020.226)
- 2. Salmasi, F.; Nouri, M.; Abraham, J. Laboratory Study of the Effect of Sills on Radial Gate Discharge Coefficient. *KSCE J. Civ. Eng.* **2019**, *23*, 2117–2125. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-019-1114-y)
- 3. Abbaspour, A.; Yasi, M. Flow over Truncated-Triangular Weirs. Master's Thesis, University of Urmia, Urmia, Iran, 2001. (In Persian)
- 4. Das, B.S.; Devi, K.; Khatua, K.K. Prediction of discharge in converging and diverging compound channel by gene expression programming. *ISH J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2019**, *27*, 385–395. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/09715010.2018.1558116)
- 5. Bos, M.G. *Discharge Measurement Structures*; International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement (ILRI): Wageningen, The Netherlands, 1986.
- 6. Dayev, Z.; Kairakbaev, A.; Yetilmezsoy, K.; Bahramian, M.; Sihag, P.; Kıyan, E. Approximation of the discharge coefficient of differential pressure flowmeters using different soft computing strategies. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2021**, *79*, 101913. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2021.101913)
- 7. Al-Khatib, I.A.; Gogus, M. Prediction models for discharge estimation in rectangular compound broad-crested weirs. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2014**, *36*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2014.01.001)
- 8. The United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR). Water Measurement Manual, Chapter 7—Weirs,13, Special Weirs; Retrieved on 10 December 2013. Available online: http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/hydraulics_lab/pubs/wmm/chap07_13.html (accessed on 10 December 2013).
- 9. Cigno, E.; Magagnoli, C.; Pierce, M.; Iglesias, P. Lubricating ability of two phosphonium-based ionic liquids as additives of a bio-oil for use in wind turbines gearboxes. *Wear* **2017**, *376–377*, 756–765. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2017.01.010)
- 10. Xu, T.; Jin, Y.-C. Numerical Study of the Flow over Broad-Crested Weirs by a Mesh-Free Method. *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.* **2017**, *143*, 04017034. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0001211)
- 11. Gö ˘gü¸s, M.; Defne, Z.; Özkandemir, V. Broad-Crested Weirs with Rectangular Compound Cross Sections. *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.* **2006**, *132*, 272–280. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(2006)132:3(272))
- 12. Salmasi, F.; Yıldırım, G.; Masoodi, A.; Parsamehr, P. Predicting discharge coefficient of compound broad-crested weir by using genetic programming (GP) and artificial neural network (ANN) techniques. *Arab. J. Geosci.* **2012**, *6*, 2709–2717. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-012-0540-7)
- 13. Haddadi, H.; Rahimpour, M. A discharge coefficient for a trapezoidal broad-crested side weir in subcritical flow. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2012**, *26*, 63–67. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2012.04.002)
- 14. Altan-Sakarya, A.B.; Kökpınar, M.A. Computation of discharge for simultaneous flow over weirs and below gates (H-weirs). *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2013**, *29*, 32–38. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2012.09.007)
- 15. Negm, A.-A.M.; Al-Brahim, A.; Alhamid, A. Combined-free flow over weirs and below gates. *J. Hydraul. Res.* **2002**, *40*, 359–365. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/00221680209499950)
- 16. Alhamid, A.A. Analysis and formulation of flow through combined V-notch-gate-device. *J. Hydraul. Res.* **1999**, *37*, 697–705. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/00221689909498524)
- 17. Samani, J.M.; Mazaheri, M. Combined Flow over Weir and under Gate. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2009**, *135*, 224–227. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2009)135:3(224))
- 18. Alhamid, A.A.; Husain, D.; Negm, A.A.M. Discharge Equation for simultaneous flow over rectangular weirs and below inverted triangular weirs. *Arab. Gulf J. Sci. Res.* **1996**, *14*, 595–607.
- 19. Ferro, V. Simultaneous flow over and under a gate. *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.* **2000**, *126*, 190–193. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(2000)126:3(190))
- 20. Kisi, O.; Shiri, J.; Karimi, S.; Adnan, R.M. Three Different Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Computing Techniques for Forecasting Long-Period Daily Streamflows. In *Big Data in Engineering Applications*; Springer: Singapore, 2018; Volume 44, pp. 303–321. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8476-8_15)
- 21. Alizamir, M.; Kisi, O.; Adnan, R.M.; Kuriqi, A. Modelling reference evapotranspiration by combining neuro-fuzzy and evolutionary strategies. *Acta Geophys.* **2020**, *68*, 1113–1126. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-020-00446-9)
- 22. Roushangar, K.; Akhgar, S.; Salmasi, F. Estimating discharge coefficient of stepped spillways under nappe and skimming flow regime using data driven approaches. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2018**, *59*, 79–87. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2017.12.006)
- 23. Adnan, R.; Jaafari, A.; Mohanavelu, A.; Kisi, O.; Elbeltagi, A. Novel Ensemble Forecasting of Streamflow Using Locally Weighted Learning Algorithm. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 5877. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/su13115877)
- 24. Akbari, M.; Salmasi, F.; Arvanaghi, H.; Karbasi, M.; Farsadizadeh, D. Application of Gaussian Process Regression Model to Predict Discharge Coefficient of Gated Piano Key Weir. *Water Resour. Manag.* **2019**, *33*, 3929–3947. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-019-02343-3)
- 25. Seyedzadeh, A.; Maroufpoor, S.; Maroufpoor, E.; Shiri, J.; Bozorg-Haddad, O.; Gavazi, F. Artificial intelligence approach to estimate discharge of drip tape irrigation based on temperature and pressure. *Agric. Water Manag.* **2019**, *228*, 105905. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105905)
- 26. Bahrami, B.; Mohsenpour, S.; Noghabi, H.R.S.; Hemmati, N.; Tabzar, A. Estimation of flow rates of individual phases in an oil-gas-water multiphase flow system using neural network approach and pressure signal analysis. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2019**, *66*, 28–36. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2019.01.018)
- 27. Jahanpanah, E.; Khosravinia, P.; Sanikhani, H.; Kisi, O. Estimation of discharge with free overfall in rectangular channel using artificial intelligence models. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2019**, *67*, 118–130. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2019.04.005)
- 28. Aghaee, A.; Aghaee, M.; Fathi, M.R.; Shoa'Bin, S.; Sobhani, S.M. A novel fuzzy hybrid multi-criteria decision-making approach for evaluating maintenance strategies in petrochemical industry. *J. Qual. Maint. Eng.* **2020**, *27*, 351–365. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1108/JQME-04-2019-0036)
- 29. Safari, H.; Etezadi, S.; Moghadam, M.M.; Fathi, M.R. Maturity evaluation of supply chain procedures by combining SCOR and PST models. *Int. J. Process Manag. Benchmarking* **2021**, *11*, 707. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1504/IJPMB.2021.117283)
- 30. Maroufpoor, S.; Maroufpoor, E.; Bozorg-Haddad, O.; Shiri, J.; Yaseen, Z.M. Soil moisture simulation using hybrid artificial intelligent model: Hybridization of adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system with grey wolf optimizer algorithm. *J. Hydrol.* **2019**, *575*, 544–556. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.045)
- 31. Brandhorst, N.; Erdal, D.; Neuweiler, I. Soil moisture prediction with the ensemble Kalman filter: Handling uncertainty of soil hydraulic parameters. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2017**, *110*, 360–370. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.10.022)
- 32. Salmasi, F.; Sattari, M.T. Predicting Discharge Coefficient of Rectangular Broad-Crested Gabion Weir Using M5 Tree Model. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Civ. Eng.* **2017**, *41*, 205–212. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40996-017-0052-5)
- 33. Salmasi, F.; Abraham, J. Discharge coefficients for ogee weirs including the effects of a sloping upstream face. *Water Supply* **2020**, *20*, 1493–1508. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2020.064)
- 34. Wang, F.; Zheng, S.; Ren, Y.; Liu, W.; Wu, C. Application of hybrid neural network in discharge coefficient prediction of triangular labyrinth weir. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2021**, *83*, 102108. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2021.102108)
- 35. Haghiabi, A.H.; Parsaie, A.; Ememgholizadeh, S. Prediction of discharge coefficient of triangular labyrinth weirs using Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System. *Alex. Eng. J.* **2018**, *57*, 1773–1782. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2017.05.005)
- 36. Karami, H.; Karimi, S.; Rahmanimanesh, M.; Farzin, S. Predicting discharge coefficient of triangular labyrinth weir using Support Vector Regression, Support Vector Regression-firefly, Response Surface Methodology and Principal Component Analysis. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2017**, *55*, 75–81. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2016.11.010)
- 37. Zaji, A.H.; Bonakdari, H.; Shamshirband, S. Support vector regression for modified oblique side weirs discharge coefficient prediction. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2016**, *51*, 1–7. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2016.08.006)
- 38. Kisi, O.; Emiroglu, M.E.; Bilhan, O.; Guven, A. Prediction of lateral outflow over triangular labyrinth side weirs under subcritical conditions using soft computing approaches. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **2012**, *39*, 3454–3460. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.09.035)
- 39. Emiroglu, M.E.; Bilhan, O.; Kisi, O. Neural networks for estimation of discharge capacity of triangular labyrinth side-weir located on a straight channel. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **2011**, *38*, 867–874. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.07.058)
- 40. Dursun, O.F.; Kaya, N.; Firat, M. Estimating discharge coefficient of semi-elliptical side weir using ANFIS. *J. Hydrol.* **2012**, *426–427*, 55–62. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.01.010)
- 41. Kumar, M.; Sihag, P.; Tiwari, N.K.; Ranjan, S. Experimental study and modelling discharge coefficient of trapezoidal and rectangular piano key weirs. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 43. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1104-8)
- 42. Azimi, H.; Bonakdari, H.; Ebtehaj, I. Design of radial basis function-based support vector regression in predicting the discharge coefficient of a side weir in a trapezoidal channel. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 78. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-0961-5)
- 43. Norouzi, R.; Daneshfaraz, R.; Ghaderi, A. Investigation of discharge coefficient of trapezoidal labyrinth weirs using artificial neural networks and support vector machines. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 1–10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1026-5)
- 44. Bilhan, O.; Emiroglu, M.E.; Miller, C.J.; Ulas, M. The evaluation of the effect of nappe breakers on the discharge capacity of trapezoidal labyrinth weirs by ELM and SVR approaches. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2018**, *64*, 71–82. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2018.10.009)
- 45. Roushangar, K.; Khoshkanar, R.; Shiri, J. Predicting trapezoidal and rectangular side weirs discharge coefficient using machine learning methods. *ISH J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2016**, *22*, 254–261. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/09715010.2016.1177740)
- 46. Emiroglu, M.E.; Kisi, O. Prediction of Discharge Coefficient for Trapezoidal Labyrinth Side Weir Using a Neuro-Fuzzy Approach. *Water Resour. Manag.* **2013**, *27*, 1473–1488. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-012-0249-0)
- 47. Haghbin, M.; Sharafati, A. A review of studies on estimating the discharge coefficient of flow control structures based on the soft computing models. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2022**, *83*, 102119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2021.102119)
- 48. Zarei, S.; Yosefvand, F.; Shabanlou, S. Discharge coefficient of side weirs on converging channels using extreme learning machine modeling method. *Measurement* **2019**, *152*, 107321. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.107321)
- 49. Bonakdari, H.; Zaji, A.H. New type side weir discharge coefficient simulation using three novel hybrid adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference systems. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-018-0669-y)
- 50. Ebtehaj, I.; Bonakdari, H.; Gharabaghi, B. Development of more accurate discharge coefficient prediction equations for rectangular side weirs using adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system and generalized group method of data handling. *Measurement* **2018**, *116*, 473–482. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.11.023)
- 51. Khoshbin, F.; Bonakdari, H.; Ashraf Talesh, S.H.; Ebtehaj, I.; Zaji, A.H.; Azimi, H. Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system multi-objective optimization using the genetic algorithm/singular value decomposition method for modelling the dis-charge coefficient in rectangular sharp-crested side weirs. *Eng. Optim.* **2016**, *48*, 933–948. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/0305215X.2015.1071807)
- 52. Ebtehaj, I.; Bonakdari, H.; Zaji, A.H.; Azimi, H.; Sharifi, A. Gene expression programming to predict the discharge coefficient in rectangular side weirs. *Appl. Soft Comput.* **2015**, *35*, 618–628. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.07.003)
- 53. Aydin, M.C.; Emiroglu, M.E. Determination of capacity of labyrinth side weir by CFD. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2013**, *29*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2012.09.008)
- 54. Ahmad, F.; Hussain, A.; Ansari, M.A. Development of ANN model for the prediction of discharge coefficient of an arced labyrinth side weir. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.* **2022**, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-022-01593-2)
- 55. Hameed, M.M.; AlOmar, M.K.; Khaleel, F.; Al-Ansari, N. An Extra Tree Regression Model for Discharge Coefficient Prediction: Novel, Practical Applications in the Hydraulic Sector and Future Research Directions. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2021**, *2021*, 1–19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2021/7001710)
- 56. Jamei, M.; Ahmadianfar, I.; Chu, X.; Yaseen, Z.M. Estimation of triangular side orifice discharge coefficient under a free flow condition using data-driven models. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2020**, *77*, 101878. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2020.101878)
- 57. Gharib, R.; Heydari, M.; Kardar, S.; Shabanlou, S. Simulation of discharge coefficient of side weirs placed on con-vergent canals using modern self-adaptive extreme learning machine. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 50. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1136-0)
- 58. Mehri, Y.; Soltani, J.; Khashehchi, M. Predicting the coefficient of discharge for piano key side weirs using GMDH and DGMDH techniques. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2018**, *65*, 1–6. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2018.11.002)
- 59. Zaji, A.H.; Bonakdari, H.; Khodashenas, S.R.; Shamshirband, S. Firefly optimization algorithm effect on support vector regression prediction improvement of a modified labyrinth side weir's discharge coefficient. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2016**, *274*, 14–19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.10.070)
- 60. Gö ˘gü¸s, M.; Al-Khatib, I. Flow-Measurement Flumes of Rectangular Compound Cross Section. *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.* **1995**, *121*, 135–142. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(1995)121:2(135))
- 61. Ozkandemir, V. Hydraulic Characteristics of Broad-Crested Weirs of Rectangular Compound Cross-Section. Master's Thesis, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey, 1997.
- 62. Henry, H.R. Discussion of diffusion of submerged jets by ML Albertson, YBDai, RA Jensen, H Rouse. *Trans. ASCE* **1950**, *115*, 687–694.
- 63. Safarzadeh, A.; Mohajeri, H. Hydrodynamics of rectangular broad crested porous weirs. *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.* **2018**, *144*, 8–24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0001338)
- 64. Hirt, C.; Nichols, B. Volume of fluid (VOF) method for the dynamics of free boundaries. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1981**, *39*, 201e225. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(81)90145-5)
- 65. Rashid, M.A.; Abustan, I.; Hamzah, M.O. Numerical simulation of a 3-D flow within a storage areahexagonal modular pavement systems. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Energy and Environment 2013 (ICEE 2013), Putrajaya, Malaysia, 20–22 December 2013. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/16/1/012056)
- 66. Parsaie, A.; Haghyabi, A.; Moradinejad, A. CFD modeling of flow pattern in spillway's approach channel. *Water Resour. Manag.* **2015**, *1*, 245–251. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-015-0020-9)
- 67. Bayon, A.; Valero, D.; Bartual, R.G.; Valles Moran, F.J.; Jimenez, P.A.L. Performance assessment of Open FOAM and FLOW-3D in the numerical modeling of a low Reynolds number hydraulic jump. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **2016**, *80*, 322–335. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.018)
- 68. Hirt, C.W.; Sicilian, J.M. A porosity technique for the definition of obstacles in rectangular cell meshes. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Ship Hydro dynamics, Washington, DC, USA, 24–27 September 1985; National Academy of Sciences: Washington, DC, USA, 1985.
- 69. Behbahani, S.D.; Parsaie, A. Numerical modeling of flow pattern in dam spillway's guide wall. Case study: Balaroud dam, Iran. *Alexandria Eng. J.* **2016**, *55*, 467–473. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2016.01.006)
- 70. Abbaspoor, A.; Yasi, M. Investigation of Flow in Combined Rectangular-Triangular Weir. Ph.D. Thesis, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran, 2002.
- 71. Yakhot, V.; Orszag, S.A. Re normalization Group Analysis of Turbulence: Basic Theory. *J. Sci. Comput.* **1986**, *1*, 3–51. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01061452)
- 72. Cable, M. An Evaluation of Turbulence Models for the Numerical Study of Forced and Natural Convective Flow in Atria. Ph.D. Thesis, Queens University, Kingston, ON, Canada, 2009.
- 73. Wilcox, D.C. *Turbulence Modeling for CFD*; DCW Industries Inc.: La Canada, CA, USA, 1998.
- 74. Quinlan, J.R. Learning with continuous classes. In Proceedings of the 5th Australian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Hobart, Tasmania, 16–18 November 1992; Volume 92, pp. 343–348.
- 75. Solomatine, D.P.; Xue, Y. M5 Model Trees and Neural Networks: Application to Flood Forecasting in the Upper Reach of the Huai River in China. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* **2004**, *9*, 491–501. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2004)9:6(491))
- 76. Jothiprakash, V.; Kote, A.S. Effect of Pruning and Smoothing while Using M5 Model Tree Technique for Reservoir Inflow Prediction. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* **2011**, *16*, 563–574. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000342)
- 77. Breiman, L. Bagging predictors. *Mach. Learn.* **1996**, *24*, 123–140. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00058655)
- 78. Breiman, L. Random forests. *Mach. Learn.* **2001**, *45*, 5–32. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324)
- 79. Liu, H.; Ong, Y.-S.; Shen, X.; Cai, J. When Gaussian Process Meets Big Data: A Review of Scalable GPs. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.* **2020**, *31*, 4405–4423. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2957109)
- 80. Pasolli, L.; Melgani, F.; Blanzieri, E. Gaussian process regression for estimating chlorophyll concentration in sub-surface waters from remote sensing data. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* **2010**, *7*, 464–468. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/LGRS.2009.2039191)
- 81. Sihag, P.; Tiwari, N.K.; Ranjan, S. Modelling of infiltration of sandy soil using gaussian process regression. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.* **2017**, *3*, 1091–1100. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-017-0357-1)
- 82. Shabani, S.; Samadianfard, S.; Sattari, M.T.; Mosavi, A.; Shamshirband, S.; Kmet, T.; Várkonyi-Kóczy, A.R. Modeling Pan Evaporation Using Gaussian Process Regression K-Nearest Neighbors Random Forest and Support Vector Machines; Comparative Analysis. *Atmosphere* **2020**, *11*, 66. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11010066)
- 83. Yu, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, J. Forecasting hysteresis behaviors of magnetorheological elastomer base isolator utilizing a hybrid model based on support vector regression and improved particle swarm optimization. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2015**, *24*, 035025. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/0964-1726/24/3/035025)
- 84. Yu, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, J.; Gu, X. Self-adaptive step fruit fly algorithm optimized support vector regression model for dynamic response prediction of magnetorheological elastomer base isolator. *Neurocomputing* **2016**, *211*, 41–52. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2016.02.074)
- 85. Sihag, P.; Jain, P.; Kumar, M. Modelling of impact of water quality on recharging rate of storm water filter system using various kernel function based regression. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.* **2018**, *4*, 61–68. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-017-0410-0)
- 86. Haykin, S. *Self-Organizing Maps. Neural networks—A Comprehensive Foundation*, 2nd ed.; Prentice-Hall: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1999.
- 87. Taylor, K.E. Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single diagram. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2001**, *106*, 7183–7192. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900719)
- 88. Nouri, M.; Hemmati, M. Discharge coefficient in the combined weir-gate structure. *Flow Meas. Instrum.* **2020**, *75*, 101780. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2020.101780)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.