

FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY IN
MALAYSIAN ENGINEERING CONSULTING FIRMS

AZLAN BIN OTHMAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY IN
MALAYSIAN ENGINEERING CONSULTING FIRMS

AZLAN BIN OTHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2021

DEDICATION

To my beloved wife, Noblah Ahmad, thank you for your sacrifice, patience and support and for praying for my success. Thank you also to my children, Dr Athirah, Adilah, Mohammad Nazif and Nur Awatif for their understanding and support as well. Without them around, life itself would be bereft of joy and happiness. Thanks to my brothers and sisters, for their prayers and support and to my friends and colleagues, for their help and their sharing of thoughts and laughter. You will always be in my mind and may Allah reward you for your generosity and kindness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah, the Most Beneficial and Merciful, for giving me the strength, courage and blessing until the end of challenging journey for my study. I could never have completed this thesis without the help of so many supportive people—starting with my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Syuhaida Ismail. It was a real pleasure working with her good-heartedness, great and unfailing support, encouragement, patience and for the continuous belief that this work would reach completion. Many thanks also go to my co-supervisor Dr. Khairulzan Yahya for his contribution towards this research. Last but not least thank you to all my research friends for sharing knowledge and supporting me along the journey.

ABSTRACT

The Malaysian construction industry is one of the backbones to accelerate economic growth. However, in today's competitive environment, the persistence of engineering and construction organisations depends on knowledge and innovation, which are mainly contributed by knowledge management (KM) practices. Although KM practices improve a company's performance and working efficiencies, the engineering consulting firms still lack systematic methods or reports on its implementation to create, capture, store, share, and reuse a professional's domain knowledge of products, people, and processes. Hence, the aim of the study is to develop a framework of KM practice in engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry, fulfilled through five research objectives, which are: (1) identifying the main processes of the current KM implementation; (2) determining the challenges of KM efficiency; (3) assessing the critical success factors (CSF) of KM efficiency; (4) examining the relationships of independent variables (namely processes, understanding, challenges, and CSF) and the dependent variable (namely KM efficiency) with the moderation of experience of engineers to understand KM implementation; and (5) developing a framework of KM for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry. A literature review was performed to construct a 90-item questionnaire, which has passed the reliability of 0.700 through a pilot study involving 30 participants from the construction industry, hence 202 successful responses were collected from professional engineering consulting firms in the northern region of Peninsular Malaysia. The analysis using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (IBM SPSS version 23) and Smart Partial Least Squares (Smart PLS version 3.2.9) software shows that: (1) the main processes of current KM are identification, capturing, dissemination, utilisation, sharing, storage, and planning; (2) the challenges of KM efficiency are technological, organisational and individual; (3) CSFs of KM efficiency are organisational, technology, and people; (4) there is a positive relationship between KM processes, understanding, challenges and CSF, and KM efficiency among professional staff working in engineering consulting firms; and (5) the framework of KM for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry consists of independent variables of 4 constructs of KM processes, understanding, challenges, and CSF, towards the dependent variable of KM efficiency, which is moderated by experienced consulting engineers. The primary contribution of this study is the development of a framework to improve KM in engineering consulting firms, demonstrating the positive impacts of the four variables namely processes, understanding, challenges, and CSF on KM efficiencies. Moreover, it presented an evidence-based strategy to enhance the growth of consulting business, which will boost the country's economy. Likewise, key policymakers, including the Construction Industry Development Board could employ the proposed framework to equip the construction industry to realise Organisational Strategic Plan 2021-2025 by the Ministry of Works. This framework is closely aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals to meet partnership goals worldwide through a knowledge-sharing culture of expertise, technology, and financial resources, especially for developing countries like Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Industri pembinaan Malaysia adalah salah satu tulang belakang dalam mempercepatkan pertumbuhan ekonomi. Namun, dalam persekitaran persaingan hari ini, kegigihan organisasi kejuruteraan dan pembinaan sangat bergantung kepada pengetahuan dan inovasi yang disumbangkan oleh amalan pengurusan pengetahuan (KM). Walaupun amalan KM meningkatkan prestasi syarikat dan kecekapan kerja, firma perunding kejuruteraan masih lagi kekurangan kaedah atau pelaporan sistematik mengenai pelaksanaannya untuk tujuan penciptaan, pengambilan, penyimpanan, perkongsian, dan penggunaan semula domain profesional dalam ilmu pengetahuan berkenaan produk, manusia dan proses. Justeru, kajian ini bermatlamat untuk membangunkan kerangka praktik KM dalam firma perunding kejuruteraan dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia yang dipenuhi melalui lima objektif penyelidikan, iaitu: (1) mengenal pasti proses utama pelaksanaan KM semasa; (2) menentukan cabaran kecekapan KM; (3) menilai faktor kejayaan kritikal (CSF) kecekapan KM; (4) memeriksa hubungan pemboleh ubah tak bersandar (iaitu proses, pemahaman, cabaran, dan CSF) dan pemboleh ubah bersandar (iaitu kecekapan KM) dengan moderasi pengalaman jurutera untuk memahami pelaksanaan KM; dan (5) membangunkan kerangka kerja KM untuk firma perunding kejuruteraan dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia. Tinjauan literatur dilakukan untuk membina 90 item soal selidik yang telah mencapai kebolehpercayaan 0.700 melalui kajian rintis yang melibatkan 30 responden dari industri pembinaan. Sebanyak 202 respon telah berjaya dikumpulkan dari firma perunding kejuruteraan profesional di wilayah utara Semenanjung Malaysia. Analisis menggunakan perisian *Statistical Package of Social Sciences* (IBM SPSS versi 23) dan *Smart Partial Least Squares* (Smart PLS versi 3.2.9) menunjukkan bahawa: (1) proses utama KM semasa adalah pengenalan, penangkapan, penyebaran, penggunaan, perkongsian, penyimpanan, dan perancangan; (2) cabaran kecekapan KM adalah teknologi, organisasi dan individu; (3) CSF kecekapan KM adalah organisasi, teknologi dan manusia; (4) adanya hubungan positif antara proses KM, pemahaman, cabaran, dan CSF, dan kecekapan KM di antara staf profesional yang bekerja di firma perunding kejuruteraan; dan (5) kerangka KM untuk firma perunding kejuruteraan dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia terdiri daripada pemboleh ubah tak bersandar dari 4 konstruk proses KM, pemahaman, cabaran, dan CSF, terhadap pemboleh ubah bersandar kecekapan KM, yang dimoderasi oleh jurutera perunding berpengalaman. Sumbangan utama kajian ini adalah pembangunan kerangka kerja untuk mempertingkatkan KM dalam firma perunding kejuruteraan yang menunjukkan impak positif ke atas empat pemboleh ubah iaitu proses, pemahaman, cabaran, dan CSF terhadap kecekapan KM. Di samping itu, ia juga membentangkan strategi berasaskan bukti untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan perundangan perniagaan yang akan meningkatkan ekonomi negara. Pembuat dasar utama, termasuk Lembaga Pembangunan Industri Pembinaan juga boleh menggunakan kerangka kerja yang dicadangkan untuk digunakan oleh industri pembinaan ke arah pelaksanaan Pelan Strategik Organisasi 2021-2025 oleh Kementerian Kerja Raya. Kerangka ini juga sejajar dengan Matlamat Pembangunan Mampan Pertubuhan Bangsa-Bangsa Bersatu untuk memenuhi matlamat budaya perkongsian pengetahuan di seluruh dunia mengenai kepakaran, teknologi, dan sumber kewangan, terutama untuk negara-negara membangun seperti Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Research	1
1.2	Problem Statement	5
1.3	Research Questions	7
1.4	Aim and Objectives	7
1.5	Scope of Research	8
1.6	Contribution of Research	9
1.7	Structure of Thesis	11
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Construction Industry in Malaysia	13
2.2.1	Economic Plan in Malaysian Construction Industry	14
2.2.2	Engineering Consulting Firm in Malaysia	16
2.3	Introduction to Knowledge	18

2.3.1	Definition of Knowledge	19
2.3.2	Types of Knowledge	20
2.4	Knowledge Management (KM)	21
2.4.1	Evolution of Knowledge Management (KM)	23
2.4.2	Definition of Knowledge Management (KM)	27
2.4.3	Dimension of Knowledge Management (KM)	30
2.4.3.1	Categorical Dimension of Knowledge Management (KM)	31
2.4.3.2	Pillars in Measuring Knowledge Management (KM)	32
2.4.4	Knowledge Management (KM) in Construction Industry	35
2.5	Knowledge Management (KM) Process	37
2.6	Understanding on Knowledge Management (KM) Implementation in Construction Industry	43
2.7	Challenges in Implementation of Knowledge Management (KM)	46
2.8	Critical Success Factors (CSF) of Knowledge Management (KM)	50
2.9	Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency	57
2.10	Organisational Context and Knowledge Management (KM)	62
2.10.1	Organisational Culture	62
2.10.2	Organisational Structure	64
2.10.3	Organisational Size	65
2.11	The Need for an Effective Knowledge Management (KM) Framework	66
2.11.1	Characteristics of Consulting Firm	67
2.11.2	Effectiveness of Enterprise Content Knowledge Management (ECKM)	68
2.12	Underlining Theories towards Knowledge Management (KM) Framework	72
2.13	Theoretical Framework of Knowledge Management (KM)	76
2.14	Conceptual Framework of Knowledge Management (KM)	79

2.15	Summary	88
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	89
3.1	Introduction	89
3.2	Research Design	89
3.3	Method of Study	90
3.4	Target Population	92
3.5	Sample Size	92
3.6	Sampling Technique	93
3.7	Data Collection Method	94
3.8	Development of Research Instrument	96
3.8.1	Instrument for Measuring the Knowledge Management (KM) Process	96
3.8.2	Instrument for Measuring Knowledge Management (KM) Understanding	98
3.8.3	Instrument for Measuring Knowledge Management (KM) Challenges	100
3.8.4	Instrument for Measuring Knowledge Management (KM) Critical Success Factors (CSF)	101
3.8.5	Instrument for Measuring Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency	103
3.9	Instrument Measurement	104
3.9.1	Questionnaire Scaling	105
3.10	Reliability and Validity of Instrument	106
3.10.1	Reliability of Instrument	107
3.10.2	Validity of Instrument	108
3.11	Pilot Test and Questionnaire Finalization	110
3.12	Data Analysis	113
3.12.1	Rational for Selecting for Selecting Partial Least Square (PLS) Compared to Component-Based Structural Equation Modelling (CB-SEM) Approach	113
3.12.2	Reflective versus Formative Measurement Model	115
3.12.3	Direct Effects Path Analysis	116

	3.12.4 Moderating Analysis	117
	3.13 Chapter Summary	118
CHAPTER 4	ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	119
4.1	Introduction	119
4.2	Data Preparation	119
4.3	Response Rate and Non-Response Bias	124
4.4	Data Screening	124
	4.4.1 Dealing with Missing Values	125
	4.4.2 Suspicious Response Detection	126
	4.4.3 Outliers	127
	4.4.4 Assessment of Data Distribution	128
4.5	Demographic Profile of Respondents	130
4.6	Descriptive Statistics	132
4.7	Assessment of Measurement Model	133
	4.7.1 Internal Consistency Reliability and Convergent Validity	136
	4.7.2 Discriminant Validity	140
4.8	Assessment of Structural Model	142
	4.8.1 Collinearity Assessment	142
	4.8.2 Model's Explanatory Power and Effect Size	143
	4.8.3 Model's Predictive Accuracy	145
	4.8.4 Model's Predictive Power	146
	4.8.5 Significance of Structural Model Relationship	147
4.9	Development of Framework of Knowledge Management (KM)	153
4.10	Summary	154
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	155
5.1	Introduction	155
5.2	Discussion of Findings	155
	5.2.1 Objective 1: To Identify the Main Processes of Current Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency by the Engineering Consulting Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry	156

5.2.2	Objective 2: To Determine the Challenges of Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency by the Engineering Consulting Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry as a Potential Variable	157
5.2.3	Objective 3: To Assess the Critical Success Factors (CSF) of Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency by the Engineering Consulting Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry	157
5.2.4	Objective 4: To Examine the Relationships of Independent Variables (Namely Processes, Understanding, Challenges and Critical Success Factors) and the Dependent Variable (Namely Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency) with the Moderation of Experience of Engineers, in Order to Understand KM Implementation by Engineering Consulting Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry	158
5.2.5	Objective 5: To Develop a Framework of Knowledge Management (KM) Efficiency Based on the Assessment of Engineers' Experience towards KM Process, KM Understanding, KM Challenges and KM CSF for Engineering Consulting Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry	159
5.3	Contribution of Research	160
5.3.1	Contribution to Body of Knowledge	160
5.3.2	Contribution to Management of Engineering Consulting Firms	161
5.4	Research Limitation	161
5.5	Recommendation for Further Research	162
	REFERENCES	163
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	249

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Number of construction projects and value of construction projects involving engineering consulting firms in Malaysia (2012-2017) (CIDB, 2017)	17
Table 2.2	Evolution of knowledge management (KM) based on historical economic developments (Treacy and Wiersema, 1993)	25
Table 2.3	Summary of knowledge management (KM) definitions	28
Table 2.4	Processes of knowledge management (KM) practice	39
Table 2.5	Detailed process and sub-processes of knowledge management (KM) practice	40
Table 2.6	Understanding knowledge management (KM) implementation	45
Table 2.7	Challenges in knowledge management (KM) implementation	48
Table 2.8	Summarised critical success factors (CSF) of knowledge management (KM)	55
Table 2.9	Knowledge management (KM) efficiency (people, profit and planet)	61
Table 3.1	Consulting firms for Malaysian construction industry (BEM, 2016)	92
Table 3.2	Knowledge management (KM) process constructs and items	96
Table 3.3	Knowledge management (KM) understanding constructs and items	99
Table 3.4	Knowledge management (KM) challenges constructs and items	100
Table 3.5	Knowledge management (KM) critical success factors (CSF) constructs and items	102
Table 3.6	Knowledge management (KM) efficiency constructs and items	104
Table 3.7	Five-point Likert scale	106
Table 3.8	Reliability measurement scale (Sekaran, 2003)	108

Table 3.9	Reliability results of pilot study	112
Table 4.1	List of items and constructs coding	120
Table 4.2	Survey information	124
Table 4.3	List of missing values	125
Table 4.4	Summary of outliers' detections	128
Table 4.5	Assessment of data normality	129
Table 4.6	Demographic analysis results of the respondents	131
Table 4.7	Descriptive statistics of each latent variable	132
Table 4.8	Internal consistency reliability and convergent validity results	136
Table 4.9	Results of Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) ratio	141
Table 4.10	Results of collinearity assessment	143
Table 4.11	Results of model's explanatory power (R^2) and effect sizes (f^2)	144
Table 4.12	Result of partial least squares (PLS) predict	146
Table 4.13	Results of significance testing (direct relationship)	148
Table 4.14	Results of significance testing (moderating relationship)	149

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Stage of knowledge management (KM)	22
Figure 2.2	Managerial tool through the decades (Tiwana, 2002)	24
Figure 2.3	Holistic knowledge assets framework (Young, 2010)	30
Figure 2.4	Cultural characteristics (Egbu et al., 2001)	64
Figure 2.5	Manage project knowledge: inputs, tools and techniques and outputs (PMI, 2017)	71
Figure 2.6	Conceptual framework for organisational performance of learning (Khalid and Ahmad, 2015)	77
Figure 2.7	Conceptual framework of knowledge sharing (Muqaddas et al., 2016)	77
Figure 2.8	Theoretical framework for active organisational context (Argote and Miron-Spektor, 2011)	78
Figure 2.9	Conceptual framework for KM Efficiency	79
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of detailed research process	91
Figure 3.2	Practical conceptual framework of knowledge management (KM)	117
Figure 4.1	Measurement model stage one	134
Figure 4.2	Measurement model stage two	135
Figure 4.3	Interaction effect model	144
Figure 4.4	Structural model	147
Figure 4.5	Interaction plot between critical success factors (CSF) and working experience (XP)	150
Figure 4.6	Interaction plot between knowledge management challenges (CLG) and working experience (XP)	151
Figure 4.7	Interaction plot between process elements (PRO) and working experience (XP)	152
Figure 4.8	Interaction plot between knowledge management understanding (UDS) and working experience (XP)	153

Figure 4.9 Framework of knowledge management (KM) implementation in engineering consulting firms in Malaysian construction industry

154

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3P	-	People, profit and planet
CIDB	-	Construction Industry Development Board
CITP	-	Construction Industry Transformation Programme
CoP	-	Community of Practices
ECKM	-	Enterprise Content and KM
EKM	-	Environmental Knowledge Management
EFF	-	KM Efficiency
HTMT	-	Heterotrait-Monotrait
ISO	-	International Organisation for Standardisation
IKMN	-	International Knowledge Management Network
KT	-	Knowledge Transfer
KM	-	Knowledge Management
KPI	-	Key Performance Indicators
KIF	-	Knowledge-Intensive Firms
PMBOK	-	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PWD	-	Malaysian Public Work Department
MBO	-	Management by Objective
MoW	-	Ministry of Works
MyNDS	-	National Development Strategy of Malaysia
NEP	-	New Economic Policy
SDG	-	Sustainable Development Goals
OLS	-	Ordinary Least Squares
TBL	-	Triple Bottom Line theory
VIF	-	Variance inflation factor

LIST OF SYMBOLS

D^2	-	Mahalanobis distances
β	-	Outside brackets
χ^2	-	Critical chi-square value
d	-	Degree of freedom
f^2	-	Predictors' effect sizes
ρ_c	-	Composite reliability
R^2	-	Model's exploratory power
Q^2	-	Structural model predictive accuracy
XP	-	Working Experience

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Appropriate Sample Size for Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) Analysis	213
Appendix B	Questionnaire Survey	214
Appendix C	Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output: Missing Values	228
Appendix D	Chi-Square Table	233
Appendix E	Data Normality Test from WebPower	234
Appendix F	Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output: Demographic of Respondents	235
Appendix G	Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output: Descriptive Statistics	237
Appendix H	Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: PLS Algorithm	238
Appendix I	Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: Blindfolding and PLSpredict	242
Appendix J	Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: Bootstrapping	243
Appendix K	List of Awards	244
Appendix L	List of Copyrights	245

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Research

Civil engineering consulting firms responsible for designing, executing, and monitoring construction projects are experience-driven and knowledge-intensive operations (Ding et al., 2011). Simply put, firms primarily rely on the experience and knowledge gained from past projects, which is acknowledged as a strategic and core asset for sustaining competitiveness. Additionally, Yusof et al. (2019) assert that the ability of engineering firms to exploit knowledge for innovation is a crucial problem that this industry deals with a large number of stakeholders with different levels and areas of knowledge. Moreover, Du Plessis (2007) conclusively proves that innovation is highly dependent on knowledge availability; hence, to mitigate the complexity created by straightforward reach and access of knowledge, knowledge must be appropriately recognised and managed to ensure practical innovation. Thus, innovation must incorporate the firm's unique knowledge, initiatives, and capabilities (Baro, 2008). As a result of the civil engineering firm's competitive environment and low-profit margins, knowledge management (KM) is a remarkably attractive approach for firms to continuously improve their performance (Masa'deh et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2012; Lin and Lee, 2012).

Based on the data that has been produced by the Malaysian Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) from 2010-2017, the involvement of foreign contractor in construction has been increased in term of total value of projects which is RM223.78 billion (CIDB Malaysia, 2017). This is due to the complexity of the projects constructed and as well the need of experts in the construction industry. Furthermore, it can enhance and improve the level of efficiency and performance of KM through the implementation of KM framework.

This scenario also shows how important civil engineering firms (contractors and consultants) play the role in realising the Malaysian aspiration towards accomplishing the National Construction Policy 2030 in the construction industry. This has been proven through the statistic that the total number of projects constructed by local contractor (54,276 projects) and by foreign contractor (1143 projects) since 2010–2017.

According to Maqsoodet (2006), construction industry is a workplace that is dominated by heuristic construction companies and their staffs are more likely to carry out their project management task based on their past experiences, rather than following a textbook approach, or established logical approaches. Kim and Park (2006) asserted that management of people at construction site successfully has been a major challenge since industrialisation because construction site is a place where intensive knowledge tasks are performed with highly intellectual people. Similarly, Fong and Chu (2006) have noted that management of knowledge within the construction project setting is very essential as information and knowledge are scattered over different processes, trades, and people in different construction projects and in different organisations. This view has been convincingly presented by Fong (2021) that KM has a role in improving more collaborative behaviour among organisations and individuals that are involved in the construction processes.

KM framework thus provides the organisations with the central areas for consideration in KM efforts (Earl, 2001). The frameworks can help such organisations to approach KM methodically and consciously (Okunoye and Bertaux, 2008). Furthermore, they can help to identify a specific approach to KM, to define goals and strategies, to understand the various KM initiatives, and then to choose the best ones in the particular circumstances (Maier and Remus, 2001; Earl, 2001). Hence, in order for the organisation, especially for engineering consulting firm to achieve the KM efficiency, this study proposes factors of KM processes, KM understanding, KM challenges, and the critical success factors (CSF) of KM and its impact towards KM efficiency with the added moderator of the experience of engineers. Thus, by the implementation of KM framework, it can increase efficiency, efficiency, and adaptability of KM efforts so as to add more value to the overall performance of the

organisation (Toften and Olsen, 2003). The efficiency of KM can ensure the sustainability and success of KM efforts over time (O'Dell and Grayson, 1998). In the context of civil engineering firms, the leading role of these firms, especially engineering consulting firms, is to create and apply knowledge, and their competencies are highly dependent on their capability to make specialist organisations available in order to create knowledge that matches the clients' demands (Powell and Ambrosini, 2012).

Therefore, KM is an efficient and open approach for managing and organising knowledge as a tool for the benefit of society or an individual. This knowledge could be created, stored, and transferred in order to assist individuals in making knowledgeable decisions. This KM data can be generated individually or collaboratively by a group of experts. In practice, when organisations gather their expertise, they may develop KM, from which other organisations can learn (Serrat, 2017). KM spans different disciplines because it optimises the outputs across multiple sectors by leveraging the knowledge generated among the industry or firm.

KM methods include developing, implementing, and assessing different activities that contribute to knowledge acquisition inside an organisation. KM supports innovation by promoting the adoption of attempted inventive methods; it is human-centred and uses available information to decrease complexity and ambiguity via knowledge connections and networks. Moreover, the implementation and usage of KM are to transform tacit knowledge to explicit knowledge and increase the efficiency and competencies of their resources (Saini et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2012; Lin and Lee, 2012). Tacit knowledge is concealed, difficult to explain, and is frequently assumed to be gained from experience. This category of knowledge includes contributions, responses, and more solutions from the forum. Meanwhile, explicit knowledge is clearly defined, formally and easily accessible through books, manuals, memos, or other sources. The term explicit knowledge also refers to documentation of final solutions reached in a forum as well as materials written by retired expertise (Yin et al., 2008).

Besides that, KM frameworks are critical tools in implementing KM, as they are intended to be used and reused to solve issues and problems (Lin and Lee, 2012). These KM frameworks have been used to design and create KM systems in organisations or firms in order to achieve business efficiencies. KM framework is sometimes referred to as KM strategy. Additionally, KM frameworks play a role to clinical development firms in comprehending the benefits and fundamental components of KM. The framework's components are based on established KM theories and practises (Salzano et al., 2016). However, the KM framework is not designed to be a one-size-fits-all solution. Rather than that, it is a universal, adaptable strategy that can be customised to a firm's size, business objectives, and unique knowledge requirements. Furthermore, KM efficiency is a measure that indicates how well an input is managed in relation to the criteria obtained, for example, the performance of the interaction between input and output variables of knowledge in engineering (Low, 2000). Likewise, KM efficiency is the capability to retrieve the required knowledge from the original sources. In particular, KM efficiency assesses the feasibility of achieving a higher level of performance using current knowledge and the potential to enhance the level of performance through the use of the KM framework (Serna et al., 2017).

However, a successful KM is only possible if the individuals participating in the process are effectively mentored, committed, and motivated throughout the process (Natalicchio et al., 2017; Bavik et al., 2018). The majority of research concluded that KM is a critical approach that substantially affects organisational benefits, including innovation, organisational performance, and competitive advantages (Deepak, Mahesh and Medi, 2019). Dzenopoljac et al. (2018) state that excellent KM encourages an organisation's human resources to talk about their experiences, which assists in the conversion of tacit knowledge into explicit knowledge needed for KM success. Nazim and Mukherjee (2016) demonstrate how tools such as information management systems, document management systems, search and indexing systems, communication and collaboration systems, expert systems, and educational asset management systems optimise the KM processes, which are knowledge creation, transferring, and implementation. In the context of civil engineering firms, the leading role of these firms especially engineering consulting firms is to create and apply knowledge, and their competencies are highly dependent on their capability to make

specialist organisations available in order to create knowledge that matches the clients' demands (Powell and Ambrosini, 2012). Thus, consulting firms are considered a suitable context for investigating the impacts of KM on innovation, as knowledge and technical innovation have developed into critical success elements (Alenezi et al., 2015).

1.2 Problem Statement

In today's competitive environment, engineering consulting firms are highly dependent on knowledge and innovation to survive (Grill and Nielsen, 2019). According to Crane and Bontis (2014), one of the primary core competencies of engineering consulting firms is to offer their clients the most current and up-to-date advice and utilise information obtained from theoretical and practical sources. In another sense, engineering consulting firms need a variety of strategies in order to sustain organisational capabilities for potential project appointments. Despite that, the majority of knowledge assets are preserved mentally in the minds of experienced engineers or in massive amounts of documentation (Tseng, 2014). Typically, information has been gathered through the reading of papers or oral interactions between professionals. However, knowledge loss is caused by senior engineers' resignations might result in a loss of competitive edge (Lin and Lee, 2012; Ding et al., 2011). Furthermore, segmentation and decentralisation of project organisations, as well as the insufficient supporting environment, may hinder knowledge sharing even more (Ni et al., 2018). Hence, it becomes necessary to enhance engineer productivity and minimise the impact of employee attrition.

Therefore, knowledge management (KM) techniques may be regarded as enhancing the experience and competence of human resources, which are critical for productivity growth in engineering consultation firms (Abdul-Rahman et al., 2006). Although it has been practised for over a half-century, existing consulting firms still lack any systematic methods or reports on its implementation for the creation, acquisition, sharing, and reusing of a consultant's knowledge of products, people, and processes (Snyman and Smallwood, 2017; Abu Bakar et al., 2016). Currently, most

research efforts worldwide focus on KM techniques (Carrillo et al., 2013; Abdul-Rahman et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2007), but little is known about the state of KM techniques, particularly in Malaysia.

Based on the data created by CIDB (2020), the purpose of KM can deliver high values to the local organisations. A comprehensive study of the Malaysian KM practices is necessary to assure the strategic strength of knowledge and its exploitation (Yu, 2003). In addition, Malaysia is still far behind in terms of KM usage. According to (Goh, 2002), the primary challenge faced by organisations in Malaysia is changing the employees' practice on KM since organisation in Malaysia tend to be highly bureaucratic and have a centralised decision-making structure with lower levels of KM applications (Baharuddin et al., 2016). While some firms claim to start applying knowledge sharing, their practices are mostly centred on conventional information communication methods, including face-to-face meetings, notice boards, and bulletin boards (Salleh and Ahmad, 2006). Public Works Department (PWD), the country's central authority for works, has prompted the adoption of KM in the organisation known as the Enterprise Content Knowledge Management (ECKM) programme promote the culture among employees to share their knowledge based on their experience handling projects (JKR, 2018). However, their effort is still facing particular implementation challenges, and some adaptations in engineering consulting firms are still needed (Ozturk and Yitmen, 2019). Numerous factors impact the adoption of KM approaches in Malaysia. The majority of challenges are recognised as internal human-centred, such as people issues, semantic barriers, and cultural differences (Yap and Lock, 2017). Additionally, Goh (2002) said that the primary issue confronting Malaysian firms is transforming staff behaviour and practices. Malaysian organisations are notoriously bureaucratic, with a centralised decision-making process and inadequate levels of KM tools and systems in place (Baharuddin et al., 2016). Comparatively, understanding KM in the Malaysian context is challenging due to the lack of published research on the topic. Therefore, it is a need to assess KM efficiency in order to develop effective techniques for leveraging the benefits of KM in optimising both project and firm performance. Coleman (1999) mentions that KM provides a number of independent variables, including knowledge creation, evaluation, transportation, distribution, and sharing. Nevertheless, far too little attention has been paid to the relationship between the main processes, the

understanding, the major challenges and the critical success factors (CSF) of current KM efficiency. Therefore, this study intends to investigate the relationship between KM variables and develop a framework development in order to improve KM efficiency in the Malaysian engineering consulting firms.

1.3 Research Questions

Based on the problem statements highlighted, the main research questions of this study are:

- i. What are the main processes of current knowledge management (KM) implementation by engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry?
- ii. What are the main challenges of the KM implementation by the engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry?
- iii. What are the critical success factors (CSF) of KM implementation by the engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry?
- iv. How much is the understanding of KM implementation by engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry?
- v. How to achieve the KM efficiency for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry?

1.4 Aim and Objectives

This study aims to develop a framework of knowledge management (KM) efficiency for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry. In achieving the aim, five research objectives of the current study in line with the aforementioned research questions are described as follows:

- i. To identify the main processes of current KM efficiency by the engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry.
- ii. To determine the challenges of KM efficiency by engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry as a potential variable.
- iii. To assess the critical success factors (CSF) of KM efficiency by the engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry.
- iv. To examine the relationships of independent variables (namely processes, understanding, challenges and critical success factors) and the dependent variable (namely KM efficiency) with the moderation of experience of engineers, in order to understand KM implementation by engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry.
- v. To develop a framework of KM efficiency based on the assessment of engineers' experience towards KM process, KM understanding, KM challenges and KM CSF for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry.

1.5 Scope of Research

Fundamentally, this study covers the scope of knowledge management (KM) from the perspective of civil engineering firms, specifically centred on project management in the construction field, as limited reports on its implementation in the civil engineering firms are observed. Furthermore, the scope examines the relationship between KM processes, KM understanding, KM challenges, and KM critical success factors (CSF) to enhance the KM efficiency.

This study variables include all the civil and structural (C&S) engineering consulting firms located in the northern region of Peninsula Malaysia. The study settings were chosen primarily due to the lack of expertise, inadequate resources, strategic planning and vision, standard and quality control, and poor productivity in their employees as reported by SME Corporation Malaysia (2013). The study in this area is still limited as compared to the central region. This study also looks at the organisation, namely C&S engineering consulting firms, where the workers, including

engineers, designers, project managers, and other relevant technical staff with at least three years of experience but not necessarily to be professional engineers. These engineering consulting firms could be limited, public limited, partnership, or sole proprietary in nature.

1.6 Contribution of Research

In presenting the contribution of this study, the emphasis focuses on the development and analyses relating to the knowledge management (KM) efficiency in the engineering consulting firms, specifically in the Malaysian construction industry. Furthermore, the contribution is categorised into two substantial aspects: the contribution to the body of knowledge and the practical contribution to the management of engineering consulting firms.

In order to reach the theoretical contribution, this study is able to identify a set of challenges faced by the consulting firm in Malaysian construction industry. These challenges have been used as one of the variables that affect the KM efficiency. From the literature review, it is expected to produce such variables having a relationship towards the KM implementation in the Malaysian construction industry. The cause and effect of these variables will lead to achieving the effective performance and efficiency in the implementation of KM. By examination of the effects through relationships between all the variables, it is expected to give a positive direct and significant relationship towards KM efficiency and hence, the development of framework will be produced in the engineering consulting firm in Malaysian construction industry.

From the perspective of the body of knowledge, a theoretical framework has been developed related to the performance measures of KM efficiency in the engineering consultancies to increase the understanding of the construction-related literature. The framework includes four independent variables or factors: KM processes, KM understanding, KM challenges, and KM critical success factors (CSF), with KM efficiency serving as the dependent variable. The framework development

has also contributed to the positive effects of each factor towards KM efficiency during its implementation.

From a managerial or practical viewpoint, the developed framework and its positive impacts or interactions could be employed to assist in effective decision-making critical for developing more efficient engineering consulting firms. Besides, the study findings have offered an evidence-based solution to promote the development of the civil and structural (C&S) engineering consulting industry, especially in the northern region of Malaysia, thereby supporting the national economy by serving as a reference guide for the construction consultant industry, as outlined in the Ministry of Works (MoW) Organisational Strategic Plan 2021-2025. The MoW's Organisational Strategic Plan 2021-2025 aims to strengthen the construction industry to level with other Malaysian economic sectors, with this study focused on matching the development of firms in the northern region on par with the firms in the central region of Malaysia. It is built on four pillars that serve as the foundation for the construction industry's efforts to revive the Malaysian economy in pursuit of the Shared Prosperity Vision 2030 (SPV203) (Ministry of Works, 2021). The Organisational Strategic Plan 2021-2025 will implement a wide range of programs, initiatives, and activities as part of a national collaborative effort to ensure the continued growth and success of the Malaysian construction industry so that the construction sector would expand substantially in response to increasing demands for construction and competitive marketplace.

As the study focused on human-related factors, the optimistic outcomes could be helpful for policymakers and stakeholders like the Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) to provide relevant guidelines and best practices for improving knowledge, technologies, and design in both public and private sectors of the construction industry. The developed framework complements the overall goals of creating a strategic knowledge-based asset through systematic knowledge sharing and organizational leadership. This study would also contribute to the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) for 2030 (Abugri, 2020) aimed at supporting partnerships by sharing experience and strategy resources among partnerships in both public and private sectors (Javed, 2017). In this sense, the partnership is referred to the

shared knowledge, expertise, technology and financial resources among multi-stakeholders (Haywood et al., 2019). All these partnership efforts are expected to support the achievement of the SDGs in all countries.

1.7 Structure of Thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter 1 presents the research background with objectives and research questions. The research background provides an overview of the knowledge management (KM) in engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry. Additionally, this chapter also presents the scope and contribution of the study.

Chapter 2 reviews the theoretical basis of KM. Initially, this chapter discussed the outlines of the nature of KM. It also highlights the implementation of KM by engineering consulting firms in the construction industry. Coherently, this chapter discussed the background information that relates to the subject matter based on the perspective of engineering consulting firms operating within the Malaysian construction industry. It then continued to address the KM implementation, which is the central part of this study. This chapter also investigated the critical success factors (CSF), which encouraged the successful KM implementation in the construction industry. Lastly, this chapter suggests the conceptual framework of KM for engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry that can be used as a fundamental to develop the practical framework as aimed in this study.

Chapter 3 described details of the research framework developed based on the existing literature reviews, notably the elements used in the KM. It presents the methodology processes and activities along with some relevant justifications towards achieving the proposed KM framework with respect to the management of engineering consulting firms in the Malaysian construction industry. The emphasis includes data collection, questionnaire measurement design, measurement scales, questionnaire administration, semi-structured interview questions for pilot and actual studies and data analysis techniques. The methods of quantitative analysis are also discussed.

Chapter 4 starts with the analysis of the data collected. Various statistical analyses were carried out on data obtained from the pilot study and surveys. This chapter has further elaborated on the discussions, which relates to several assessment models that need to be clarified before specific analysis can be done, fulfilling the requirements for the development of the proposed hypotheses. The interaction effects of independent variables to dependent variables were discussed comprehensively. Furthermore, the moderating effect analysis and the hypothesis testing results were also discussed, which finally established the KM factors and KM efficiency relationship. Lastly, the practical framework of KM efficiency has been developed and validated for the consulting engineering firms based on the results and findings obtained from the analysis.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusion of the study reported in this thesis. A summary of the findings and successful accomplishment of the research objectives are highlighted. Specific contributions of the research are also emphasised. Some limitations to the work and recommendations for future studies are presented in the final part of this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Rahman, H., Berawi, M. A., Berawi, A. R., Mohamed, O., Othman, M., and Yahya, I. A. (2006). Delay mitigation in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 132(2), 125-133.
- Abdul-Rahman, H., Yahya, I. A., Berawi, M. A., and Wah, L. W. (2008). Conceptual delay mitigation model using a project learning approach in practice. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(1), 15-27.
- Abd Rahman, A., Imm Ng, S., Sambasivan, M., & Wong, F. (2013). Training and organizational effectiveness: moderating role of knowledge management process. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 37(5), 472-488.
- Abecker, A., Decker, S. and Maurer, F. (2000). 'Organisational Memory and Knowledge Management', *Guest editorial, Information Systems Frontiers*, 2(3-4), 251- 252.
- Ab Karim, S. N. B. (2016). *Improving quality of rail service in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).
- Ab. Samad, R. S., Rahmad Sukor, M. I., Syah, D., and Muslihah, E. (2014). Understanding the implementation of knowledge management in high-performance schools in Malaysia. *SAGE Open*, 4(4), 2158244014561206.
- Abualoush, S., Masa'deh, R., Bataineh, K., & Alrowwad, A. (2018). The role of knowledge management process and intellectual capital as intermediary variables between knowledge management infrastructure and organization performance. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, 13, 279-309.
- Abubakar, A. M., Elrehail, H., Alatailat, M. A., and Elçi, A. (2019). Knowledge management, decision-making style and organizational performance. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 4(2), 104-114.
- Abu Bakar, A. H., Yusof, M. N., Tufail, M. A., and Virgiyanti, W. (2016). Effect of knowledge management on growth performance in construction industry. *Management Decision*, 54(3), 735-749.
- Abu Farah, Yousef (2004). Relation between usage and performance of entrance of

- knowledge management. The fourth scientific conference “knowledge management” *Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences- Al Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Oman.*
- Abugri, B. (2020) Advancing Knowledge Management Strategies for Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals in Africa.
- Acaps, M. (2012). Qualitative and Quantitative. Research Techniques for Humanitarian Needs Assessment. An Introductory Brief.
- Addis, M. (2016). Tacit and explicit knowledge in construction management. *Construction management and economics*, 34(7-8), 439-445.
- Adeeko, O. C., Ogunsemi, D. R., and Oke, A. E. (2013). Assessment of knowledge management among construction professionals in Nigeria. *Journal of Construction Project Management and Innovation*, 3(2), 660-679.
- Aggarwal, V. S., and Kapoor, M. (2020). Knowledge Transfer: A Mediation Analysis of Knowledge, Technology and Sustainable Innovation. *Review of Professional Management*, 18(1), 1-16.
- Aibinu, A. A., and Al-Lawati, A. M. (2010). Using PLS-SEM technique to model construction organisations' willingness to participate in e-bidding. *Automation in construction*, 19(6), 714-724.
- Akter, S., D'Ambra, J., and Ray, P. (2011). An evaluation of PLS based complex models: the roles of power analysis, predictive relevance and GoF index.
- Al-Hawamdeh, S. (2002). Knowledge management: re-thinking information management and facing the challenge of managing tacit knowledge. *Information research*, 8(1), 8-1.
- Alain Yee-Loong Chong (2014). "Can e-business adoption be influenced by knowledge management? An empirical analysis of Malaysian SMEs." *Journal of Knowledge Management* 18.1 (2014): 121-136.
- Alaloul, W.S., Alzubi, K.M., Malkawi, A.B., Al Salaheen, M., and Musarat, M.A. (2021). Productivity monitoring in building construction projects: a systematic review. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Alaloul, Wesam, Liew, M. S., and Wan Abdullah Zawawi, Noor Amila (2015). Coordination process in Malaysian Construction Industry – Critical Review.
- Alavi, M., and Leidner, D. E. (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS quarterly*, 107-136.

- Alavi, M., and Leidner, D. (1999). Knowledge management systems: issues, challenges and benefits. *Communications of the Association for Information systems, 1(1)*, 7.
- Alegre, J., Sengupta, K., and Lapiedra, R. (2013). Knowledge management and innovation performance in a high-tech SMEs industry. *International Small Business Journal, 31(4)*, 454-470.
- Alenezi, H., Tarhini, A. and Masa'deh, R. (2015). Investigating the strategic relationship between information quality and e-government benefits: a literature review. *International Review of Social Sciences and Humanities, 9(1)*, 33-50.
- Alhaji, K. M., Amiruddin, R., and Abdullah, F. (2013). Project knowledge management in civil engineering construction firms in Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 7(2)*, 54-62.
- Alias, N. K., Mansor, A. N., Rahman, A. A., Ahmad, A. R., & Samsudin, A. Z. H. (2018). The impact of knowledge management towards employee's job satisfaction. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 8(9)*, 245-265.
- Almeida, M. V., and Soares, A. L. (2014). Knowledge sharing in project-based organisations: Overcoming the informational limbo. *International Journal of Information Management, 34(6)*, 770-779.
- Almeida, P. R. and Bühler, M. (2016). Shaping the Future of Construction A Breakthrough in Mindset and Technology. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Shaping_the_Future_of_Construction_full_report__.pdf
- Alvesson, M. (1993). Organizations as rhetoric: Knowledge-intensive firms and the struggle with ambiguity. *Journal of Management studies, 30(6)*, 997-1015.
- Alwathynany, Gwahr (2007). Knowledge management of entrance to achieve the productivity university model. Master research. Available on: (19-3-2014) <http://libback.uqu.edu.sa/hipres/ABS/ind8757.pdf>
- Alpar, P., & Kalmring, D. (2001). Interorganizational Knowledge Management with Internet Applications.
- Amir, R. B. (2014). *Harnessing Knowledge Management to Improve Performance within Saudi Organisations* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Manchester (United Kingdom)).

- Angus, J., Patel, J., & Harty, J.E. (1998). Knowledge management: Great concept ... but What is it? *Information Week, March*.
- Anthony, R. N., Dearden, J., and Vancil, R. (1972). *Management Control Systems*. Homewood: Irwin.
- Anthony, S. D., Gilbert, C. G., & Johnson, M. W. (2017). *Dual Transformation: How to Reposition Today's Business While Creating the Future*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Anumba, C. J., Egbu, C.O., and Carrillo, P. M. (2005). *Knowledge Management in Construction*: Balckwell Publishing.
- APQC. (1999). Knowledge Management: Executive Summary. Retrieved 11 February, 2016, from www.apqc.org
- Ar, I. M., and Baki, B. (2011). Antecedents and performance impacts of product versus process innovation: empirical evidence from SMEs located In Turkish science and technology parks. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 14, 172–206
- Argote, L., & Miron-Spektor, E. (2011). Organizational learning: From experience to knowledge. *Organization science*, 22(5), 1123-1137.
- Aurum, A., Daneshgar, F., and Ward, J. (2008). Investigating Knowledge Management practices in software development organisations—An Australian experience. *Information and Software Technology*, 50(6), 511-533.
- Bacon, F. (1620). *Novum organum Scientiarum*. Londini.
- Bagozzi, R. P., and Baumgartner, H. (1994). The evaluation of structural equation models and hypothesis testing. *Principles of marketing research*, 1(10), 386-422.
- Bagozzi, R. P., and Fornell, C. (1982). Theoretical Concepts, Measurements and Meaning. *A Second Generation Of Multivariate Analysis*, 2(2), 5-23.
- Bagorogoza, J., and Waal, A. D. (2010). The role of knowledge management in creating and sustaining high performance organisations: The case of financial institutions in Uganda. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 6, 307–324.
- Baharuddin, M. F., Izhar, T. A. T., Mohamad, A. N., and Hasnol, W. M. H. W. (2016). A Framework based Knowledge Management System (KMS) for Dynamic Decision-Making (DDM). *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 6(4), 2222-6990.

- Bakri, A. S., Ingirige, M. J. B., and Amaratunga, R. D. G. (2009). Critical success factors for knowledge management implementation in organisations: Proposing an agenda for performance improvement in the construction industry. *In Postgraduate Research Conference 2009* (p. 251).
- Bajpai, S., and Bajpai, R. (2014). Goodness of measurement: reliability and validity. *International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health*, 3(2), 112-115.
- Balaban-Ökten, B., and Gundes, S. (2018). Knowledge Management in Small and Medium Architecture, Engineering and Construction Firms in Turkey. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(2), 155-169.
- Bhanumathi, P., & Rathb, J. (2014). Knowledge management challenges and opportunities in Indian small and medium enterprises (SMEs). *TSM Business Review*, 2(1), 57.
- Baro, E. T. (2008). The role of knowledge-intensive business services in innovation processes. *Paradigmes*, 88-97.
- Baron and Kenny (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychology research: Conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), 1173.
- Barth, Steve. (2002). Defining Knowledge Management. *Destination KM Journal*. Retrieved 7 February, 2016, from <http://www.destinationkm.com/articles/default.asp?ArtcileID=949>
- Baskerville, R., and Dulipovici, A. (2006). The theoretical foundations of knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 4(2), 83-105.
- Bate, S. P., & Robert, G. (2002). Knowledge management and communities of practice in the private sector: lessons for modernizing the National Health Service in England and Wales. *Public administration*, 80(4), 643-663.
- Bavik, Y. L., Tang, P. M., Shao, R., and Lam, L. W. (2018). Ethical leadership and employee knowledge sharing: Exploring dual-mediation paths. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(2), 322-332.
- Beaker, S. Bryman, A., and Ferguson, H. (2012). Understanding research for social policy and social work: themes, methods and approaches. *Policy Press*.
- Becker, J. M., Klein, K., and Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using reflective-formative type models. *Long range planning*, 45(5-6), 359-394.
- Bello, A. A. (2018). Knowledge management in academic libraries: Trends, issues and

- challenges. *World Journal of Research and Review (WJRR)*, 6(2), 20-25.
- Bennet, D., & Bennet, A. (2004). The rise of the knowledge organization. In *Handbook on Knowledge Management 1* (pp. 5-20). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Birken, S. A., Bunger, A. C., Powell, B. J., Turner, K., Clary, A. S., Klaman, S. L., and Chatham, J. R. S. (2017). Organisational theory for dissemination and implementation research. *Implementation Science*, 12(1), 62.
- Bishop, P. L. (2000). Pollution prevention: fundamentals and practice (No. Ec1286). *Waveland Press*.
- Biswas, P. (2019). ISO 9001:2015 Clause 7.1.6 Organisational Knowledge. Retrieved from <https://isoconsultantkuwait.com/2019/05/10/iso-90012015-clause-7-1-6-organisational-knowledge/#:~:text=6%20Organisational%20Knowledge,-preteshbiswas%20Uncategorised%20Mayandtext=Determine%20the%20knowledge%20necessary%20for,available%20to%20the%20extent%20necessary>
- Bixler, C. H. (2002). Applying the four pillars of knowledge management. *Km World*, 11(1), 10-20.
- Bjørnson, F. O., & Dingsøyr, T. (2008). Knowledge management in software engineering: A systematic review of studied concepts, findings and research methods used. *Information and Software Technology*, 50(11), 1055-1068.
- Blumberg, B., Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2008). *Business research methods* (Vol. 2). London: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Blaxter, L. Hughes, C. and Tight, M. (2006). How to research. *Berkshire: Open University Press*
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). A new incremental fit index for general structural equation models. *Sociological methods & research*, 17(3), 303-316.
- Bouthillier, F., & Shearer, K. (2002). Understanding knowledge management and information management: the need for an empirical perspective. *Information research*, 8(1), 8-1.
- Bowerman, B. L., and O'connell, R. T. (1990). *Linear statistical models: An applied approach*. California, USA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Brewer, P. D., and Brewer, K. L. (2010). Knowledge management, human resource management and higher education: A theoretical model. *Journal of Education for Business*, 85(6), 330-335.
- Brink, P. J., & Wood, M. J. (1998). *Advanced design in nursing research*. SAGE Publications, Inc.,

- Brink, Van der Walt, C., and Van Rensburg, G. (2006). Fundamental of research methodology for health care professionals. *Juta and Company Ltd.*
- Brown, S. L., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (1995). Product development: Past research, present findings, and future directions. *Academy of management review*, 20(2), 343-378.
- Burton Jones, A. (1999). *Knowledge Capitalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leidecker, J. K., & Bruno, A. V. (1984). Identifying and using critical success factors. *Long range planning*, 17(1), 23-32.
- Bryman, A., and Bell, E. (2007). Business research strategies. *Business research methods*, 226-238.
- Bryman and Bell (2011). Research methods in the study of leadership. *The SAGE handbook of leadership*, 15-28.
- Bryman and Bell, E. (2015). Business research methods. *Oxford University Press, USA*.
- Brynard P. and Hanekom, D. (1997). Introduction to research in public Administration and related academic disciplines. *JL van Schaik Academic*.
- Bullen, C. V. and Rockart, J. F. (1981). A Primer on Critical Success Factors. MIT
- Bulmer M. and Solomos, J. (2004). Researching race and racism. *Psychology Press*.
- Burn and Grove (2003). Understanding nursing research (3rd edition). *Saunders: Philadelphia*.
- Burns and Grove (2005). Using statistics to examine relationships. Burns N, Grove SK, Eds. *The practice of nursing research: Conduct, Critique and Utilisation. 5th edition*. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier, 486-487.
- Byrne, B. M. (2001). Structural equation modeling with AMOS, EQS and LISREL: Comparative approaches to testing for the factorial validity of a measuring instrument. *International journal of testing*, 1(1), 55-86.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). Structural equation modeling with AMOS: basic concepts, applications and programming (multivariate applications series). *New York: Taylor and Francis Group*, 396, 7384.
- Byukusenge, E., and Munene, J. C. (2017). Knowledge management and business performance: Does innovation matter?. *Cogent Business and Management*, 4(1), 1368434.
- Calitz, M. (2009). Chapter 5 pilot study 1 introduction. Retrieved 8 May 2017, from <http://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/1648/06chapter5.pdf>

- Canals, J. (2001). How to Think about Corporate Growth? This paper is based on the author's book, *Managing Corporate Growth*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. *European management journal*, 19(6), 587-598.
- Carrillo, P. M., Anumba, C. J., and Kamara, J. M. (2000). Knowledge management strategy for construction: key IT and contextual issues. *Proceedings of CIT, 2000*, 28-30.
- Carrillo, P. M., and Anumba, C.J. (2002). Knowledge management in the AEC sector: an exploration of the mergers and acquisition context. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 9 (3), 149-161.
- Carrillo, P. M., and Chinowsky, P. (2006). Exploiting knowledge management: the engineering and construction perspective. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 22 (1), pp. 2-10.
- Carrillo, P. M., Robinson HS, Al-Ghassani AM, Anumba CJ (2004) Knowledge Management in construction drivers, resources and barriers. *Project Management Journal*, 35 (1); 46-56
- Carrillo, P., Ruikar, K., and Fuller, P. (2013). When will we learn? Improving lessons learned practice in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(4), 567-578.
- Carrillo, P., and Chinowsky, P. (2006). Exploiting knowledge management: The engineering and construction perspective. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 22(1), 2-10.
- Caruso, S. (2016). A Foundation For Understanding Knowledge Sharing: Organizational Culture, Informal Workplace Learning, Performance Support, And Knowledge Management. *Contemporary Issues In Education Research (CIER)*, 10(1), 45-52.
- Cavana, Delahaye, and Sekaran. (2001). Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods. *New York: John Wiley and Sons*
- Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Esposito, E. (2018). Aligning enterprise knowledge and knowledge management systems to improve efficiency and effectiveness performance: A three-dimensional Fuzzy-based decision support system. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 91, 107-126.
- Cepeda-Carrion, I., Martelo-Landroguez, S., Leal-Rodríguez, A. L., and Leal-Millán, A. (2017). Critical processes of knowledge management: An approach toward the creation of customer value. *European Research on Management and*

- Business Economics*, 23(1), 1-7.
- Chan, I., and Chao, C. K. (2018). Knowledge management in small and medium-sized enterprises. *Communications of the ACM*, 51(4), 83-88.
- Chaudhary, H. C. (2005). *Knowledge Management For 1 Competitive Advantage*. Excel Books India.
- Chen, L., and Mohamed, S. (2008). Contribution of knowledge management activities to organisational business performance. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*.
- Chen, Z. G., Chiang, W. J., Fang, R. J., Chen, W. C., and Guo, Y. C. (2009, May). The superiorities and difficulties of application knowledge management for elementary school teachers. In *WSEAS International Conference. Proceedings. Mathematics and Computers in Science and Engineering* (No. 9). World Scientific and Engineering Academy and Society.
- Chileshe, N., Hosseini, M. R., and Jepson, J. (2017). Critical barriers to implementing risk assessment and management practices (RAMP) in the Iranian construction sector. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 21(2), 81.
- Chin-Loy, C., and Mujtaba, B. G. (2007). The influence of organizational culture on the success of knowledge management practices with North American companies. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)*, 6(3).
- Chin (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses: Handbook of partial least squares (pp.655-690): Springer.
- Chin W. W. (1998). "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modelling," in *Modern Methods for Business Research*, G. A. Marcoulides, Ed., pp. 295–336, Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, USA, 1998.
- Chin, P., and Brown. (2008). Structural equation modelling in marketing: Some practical reminders. *Journal of marketing theory and practice*, 16(4), 287-298.
- Chin, W. W., and Newsted, P. R. (1999). Structural equation modeling analysis with small samples using partial least squares. *Statistical strategies for small sample research*, 1(1), 307-341.
- Chin, W. W., Esposito Vinzi, V., Henseler, J., and Wang, H. (2010). Handbook of partial least squares: Concepts, methods and applications. *Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London, New York: Springer, Berlin*, 655-690.
- Chin, L., Chai, C., Chong, H., Yusof, A. M., and Azmi, N. (2018). The Potential Cost

- Implications and Benefits from Building Information Modeling (BIM) in Malaysian Construction Industry. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate* (pp. 1439-1454). Springer, Singapore.
- Choi, D. H., Kim, C. M., Kim, S., and Kim, S. H. (2006). Customer loyalty and disloyalty in Internet retail stores: Its antecedents and its effect on customer price sensitivity. *International Journal of Management*, 23, 925-943
- Chong, C. W., and Besharati, J. (2014). Challenges of knowledge sharing in the petrochemical industry. *Knowledge Management and E-Learning: An International Journal*, 6(2), 171-187.
- Chong, C. W., and Chong, S. C. (2009). Knowledge management process effectiveness: measurement of preliminary knowledge management implementation. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 7(2), 142-151.
- Chong, C. W., Holden, T., Wilhelmij, P. and Schmidt, R. A. (2000). "Where Does Knowledge Management Add Value?," *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 1, 366-380.
- Choo, C. W. (1995). Information management for the intelligent organization: roles and implications for the information professions. In *Digital Libraries Conference* (Vol. 21). Singapore: National Computer Board of Singapore.
- Chourides, P., Longbottom, D., & Murphy, W. (2003). Excellence in knowledge management: an empirical study to identify critical factors and performance measures. *Measuring business excellence*.
- Chowdhury, S., Shepherd, J. D., Okuno, H., Lyford, G., Petralia, R. S., Plath, N., ... and Worley, P. F. (2016). Arc/Arg3.1 interacts with the endocytic machinery to regulate AMPA receptor trafficking. *Neuron*, 52(3), 445-459.
- Choy, C. S. (2006). Critical success factors to knowledge management implementation: A holistic approach. In *International Conference on Governance Enhancement via Knowledge Management (EG2KM)* (pp. 132-140).
- Chua, D. K. H., Kog, Y. C., and Loh, P. K. (1999). Critical success factors for different project objectives. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 125(3), 142-150
- Chua, A. (2002). Taxonomy of Organizational Knowledge. *Singapore Management Review*, 24(2), 70-75.

- Churchill, G.A. (1979). "A Paradigm for Developing Better Measures of Marketing Constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16, 64-73.
- Churchill, G.A.J. (1995). *Marketing Research – Methodological Foundations, 7th ed., the Dryden Press, Fort Worth, TX.*
- CIDB (2015). Construction Industry Transformation Programme 2016- 2020.
- CIDB (2017). Number of contractors, number of projects, value of projects by type of contractor (2012-2017).
- CIDB (2020). Construction Industry Transformation Programme 2016- 2020.
- Construction Industry Development Board (2020). Retrieved from <http://www.citp.my/>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). New Jersey, USA: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., and Morrison, K. (2002). *Research methods in education. Routledge.*
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). New Jersey, USA: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological bulletin*, 112(1), 155.
- Coleman, D. (1999). Groupware: Collaboration and knowledge sharing. *Knowledge Management Handbook*, 12(1), 12-15.
- Collins, D. (2000). *Management fads and buzzwords*. London, Routledge.
- Collis, J., and Hussey, R. (2009). *Business Research. Palgrave Macmillan.*
- Collison, C., and G, Parcell. (2001). *Learning to fly: Practical lessons from one of the world's leading knowledge companies. Oxford: Capston Publishing limited.*
- Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative science quarterly*, 128-152.
- Conway, S., and Sligar, C. (2002). *Unlocking knowledge assets. Redmond, Wash.: Microsoft Press.*
- Cooper, D. R., and Schindler, P. S. (2003). *Business Research Methods* (8th edition). USA: McGraw-Hill.
- Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., and Sun, J. (2006). *Business research methods* (Vol. 9, pp. 1-744). New York: Mcgraw-hill.
- Claire Seltiz. (1962). *Research Methods in Social Relations. Second Edition, Holt, Reinhart and Winston, Auflage.*
- Contruction Products Association (2018). *Contruction Industry Forecasts 2018-2020.*

- Spring 2018 Edition. Retrieved from <https://www.euf-federation.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/CPA-Construction-Forecasts-Spring-2018.pdf>
- Colgan, F., Creegan, C., McKearney, A., and Wright, T. (2007). Equality and diversity policies and practices at work: Lesbian, gay and bisexual workers. *Equal Opportunities International*, 26, 590–609.
- Crane, L., and Bontis, N. (2014). Trouble with tacit: developing a new perspective and approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 18(6), 1127-1140.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches. *Sage publications*.
- Creswell, J., and Plano Clark, V. (2007). Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research. *Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications*.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297-334.
- Crosthwaite, D. (2014). The global construction market: a cross-sectional analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(5), 619-627.
- Cudeck, R., Jöreskog, K. G., Sörbom, D., and Du Toit, S. (2001). Structural equation modeling: Present and future: A *Festschrift in honor of Karl Jöreskog*. *Scientific Software International*.
- Daniel, D.R. (1961). Management Information Crisis. *Harvard Business Review*, 39(5), 111-116.
- Dalkir, K. (2005). The knowledge management cycle. *Knowledge management in theory and practice*. Oxford: Elsevier, 25-46.
- Dalkir, K. (2013). Knowledge management in theory and practice. *Routledge*.
- Darr, E. D., Argote, L., & Epple, D. (1995). *The acquisition, transfer, and depreciation of knowledge in service organizations: Productivity in franchises*. *Management science*, 41(11), 1750-1762.
- Darusalam, G., and Hussin, S. (2016). *Metodologi penyelidikan dalam pendidikan: Amalan dan analisis kajian*. Penerbit Universiti Malaya.
- Datta, A. (2000). Knowledge management in a consulting engineering company in the process industry. *Journal of scientific and industrial research*, 59(8-9), 741-748.
- Davenport, T. H., and Prusak, L. (1998). Working knowledge: How organisations manage what they know. *Harvard Business Press*.

- Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (2000). *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know* (1970).
- Davenport, T. H., and Völpel, S. C. (2001). The rise of knowledge towards attention management. *Journal of knowledge management*, 5(3), 212-222.
- Davison A. C. and Hinkley D. V. (1997). *Bootstrap Methods and Their Application*, Cambridge University Press.
- Davison, A. C., and Hinkley, D. V. (1997). *Bootstrap methods and their application* (Vol. 1). Cambridge university press.
- Deepak, M. D., Mahesh, G., and Medi, N. K. (2019). Knowledge Management Influence on Safety Management Practices: Evidence from Construction Industry. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (IJKM)*, 15(4), 16-37.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia (2020). *Press Release Malaysia Economic Performance Fourth Quarter 2019*. Putrajaya: DoSM.
- Denzin, N. K., and Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*: Sage Publications.
- Denzin, N.K. (2009). *Qualitative inquiry under fire: Toward a new paradigm dialogue*. Left Coast Press.
- DeTienne, K. B., & Jackson, L. A. (2001). Knowledge management: understanding theory and developing strategy. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*.
- Diamantopoulos, A., and Siguaw, J. A. (2006). Formative versus reflective indicators in organisational measure development: A comparison and empirical illustration. *British Journal of Management*, 17(4), 263-282
- Dibbern, J., Goles, T., Hirschheim, R., and Jayatilaka, B. (2004). Information systems outsourcing: a survey and analysis of the literature. *ACM SIGMIS Database: the DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems*, 35(4), 6-102.
- Diefenbach, T. (2006). Intangible resources: a categorial system of knowledge and other intangible assets. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*.
- Dilman. (2011). *Mail and internet surveys: The tailored design method-2007*. Update with new internet, visual and mixed-mode guide. John Wiley and Sons.
- Ding, Y., Zhou, H. W., Deng, S. Y., and Comm, E. B. M. O. (2011). Professional service firm's business development: a case study on Chinese engineering

- consulting firms, In *The 2nd International Conference on Engineering and Business Management*, 80982.
- do Rosário Cabrita, M., Machado, V. C., & Grilo, A. (2011). Intellectual capital: How knowledge creates value. In *Knowledge Management for Process, Organizational and Marketing Innovation: Tools and Methods* (pp. 237-252). IGI Global.
- Drucker, P.F. (1994). *Post-capitalist society*. Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Drucker, P. F. (1995). *People and performance: The best of Peter Drucker on management*. Routledge.
- Drucker, P. (2014). *Innovation and entrepreneurship*. Routledge.
- Du Plessis, M. (2007). The role of knowledge management in innovation. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- Du Plessis, M. (2018). The strategic drivers and objectives of communities of practice as vehicles for knowledge management in small and medium enterprises. *International Journal of Information Management*, 28(1), 61-67.
- Duhon, Bryant (1998), It's All in our Heads. Inform, September, 12 (8), pp. 8-13.
- Duru Ahanotu, N. (1998). Empowerment and production workers: a knowledge-based perspective. *Empowerment in Organisations*, 6(7), 177-186.
- Dzenopoljac, V., Alasadi, R., Zaim, H., and Bontis, N. (2018). Impact of knowledge management processes on business performance: Evidence from Kuwait. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 25(2), 77-87.
- Dzulkarnaen Ismail, I. D., Zin, R. M., & Latif, H. M. (2006). Services provided by project management consultant in Malaysian Construction Industry. *ORGANISING COMMITTEE*, 267.
- Eardley, Alan, & Uden, Lorna. (2001). *Innovation knowledge management : Concepts for organizational Creativity and Collaborative design*. Staffordshire University, UK.
- Earl, M. (2001). Knowledge management strategies: Toward a taxonomy. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 18 (2001). Pp. 215-233.
- Edvardsson, I. R. (2008). HRM and knowledge management. *Employee Relations*, 30(5), 553.
- Edwards, J. S., Ababneh, B., Hall, M., & Shaw, D. (2009). Knowledge management: a review of the field and of OR's contribution. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 60(1), S114-S125.

- Efron B. and R. J. Tibshirani (1993). An Introduction to the Bootstrap, vol. 57 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, *Chapman and Hall, New York, NY, USA*.
- Egbu, C. O. (2000). *The Role of IT in Strategic Knowledge Management and its Potential in the Construction Industry*. Paper presented at the UK National Conference on Objects and Integration for Architecture, Engineering, and Construction, Watford, UK.
- Egbu, C., Gaskell, C., and Howes, J. (2002). The Impact Of Culture, Motivational Factors And Organisational Structure On The Utilisation And Exploitation Of IT For Teamworking. *10th International Symposium - Construction Innovation And Global Competitiveness*, 2, 853-869.
- Egbu, C. O., Hari, S., & Renukappa, S. H. (2005). Knowledge management for sustainable competitiveness in small and medium surveying practices. *Structural survey*.
- Egbu, C. O., Hari, S., and Renukappa, S. H. (2015). Knowledge management for sustainable competitiveness in small and medium surveying practices. *Structural survey*, 23(1), 7-21.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: what are they?. *Strategic management journal*, 21(10-11), 1105-1121.
- Ekwueme, C. M., Egbunike, C. F., and Onyali, C. I. (2013). Benefits of triple bottom line disclosures on corporate performance: An exploratory study of corporate stakeholders. *J. Mgmt. and Sustainability*, 3, 79.
- Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. *Environmental quality management*, 8(1), 37-51.
- Endut, Z. I. R., Akintoye, A., and Holt, G. D. (2014). Cost overrun in the Malaysian construction industry projects: A deeper insight. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1471-1480.
- Enegbuma, W. I., Ologbo, A. C., Aliagha, G. U., and Ali, K. N. (2015). Partial least square analysis of building information modelling impact in Malaysia. *International Journal of Product Lifecycle Management*, 8(4), 311-329.
- Enshassi, A., Falouji, I., AlKilani, S., and Sundermeieri, M. (2016). Knowledge management critical success factors in construction projects. *Knowledge Management Critical Success Factors in Construction Projects*, 7(1).

- Epple, D., Argote, L., & Murphy, K. (1996). An empirical investigation of the microstructure of knowledge acquisition and transfer through learning by doing. *Operations Research*, 44(1), 77-86.
- Esmi, R., and Ennals, R. (2009). Knowledge management in construction companies in the UK. *AI and society*, 24(2), 197-203.
- Esteves, J. (2004). *Definition and Analysis of Critical Success Factors for ERP Implementation Projects*. (phd), Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Barcelona.
- Evans, C. (2003). *Managing for knowledge: HR's strategic role*. Routledge.
- Evans, C. (2012). *Managing for knowledge-HR's strategic role*. Routledge
- Fadhlin, A. (2004). Construction Industry and Economic Development: The Malaysia Scene. *Penerbit UTM, Johor*.
- Fadilah, M. N. (2012). *The Impact of Effectiveness Knowledge Sharing Initiatives on QS Firms in the Malaysian Construction Industry*. (Doctor of Philosophy), The University of Salford, UK.
- Feldman, D. (2017). *The Sustainable Entrepreneur: Balancing People, Planet and Profit*.
- Felton, S. M., & Finnie, W. C. (2003). Knowledge is today's capital: Strategy & Leadership interviews Thomas A. Stewart. *Strategy & Leadership*.
- Ferdinand, C., Theiling, H., and Wilhelm, R. (2000). Fast and precise WCET prediction by separated cache and path analyses. *Real-Time Systems*, 18(2-3), 157-179.
- Ferrada, X., Sepúlveda, M., Serpell, A., Núñez, D., and Neyem, A. (2014). A lessons-learned mobile system for construction companies: motivation and design. *Procedia Engineering*, 85, 157-165.
- Field, A. (2006). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS. Second edition*, SAGE, London.
- Field, M., Munafò, M. R., and Franken, I. H. (2009). A meta-analytic investigation of the relationship between attentional bias and subjective craving in substance abuse. *Psychological bulletin*, 135(4), 589.
- Fielding, A. (2007). *Cluster and classification techniques for the biosciences*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge.
- Finch, H. (2012). Distribution of variables by method of outlier detection. *Frontiers in psychology*, 3, 211.
- Firestone, J. M., & McElroy, M. W. (2002). *Generations of knowledge*

- management. *Knowledge and Innovation: Journal of the KMCI*, 2(2), 111-122.
- Fisher, M., & Marsh, T. (2014). Recognizing intellectual capital as an asset. *Journal of Business & Economics Research (Online)*, 12(2), 177.
- Fong, P. S. W. and Chu, L. (2006). Exploratory study of knowledge sharing in Contracting Companies: a social- technical perspective. *Journal of Construction engineering and Management (ASCE)*, 928-939.
- Fong, P. S. W., and Choi, S. K. Y. (2009). The processes of knowledge management in professional services firms in the construction industry: a critical assessment of both theory and practice. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(2), 110-126.
- Fong, P. (2021). Demystifying the research of knowledge management and organizational performance. Unpublished.
- Forcada, N., Fuertes, A., Gangoellés, M., Casals, M., & Macarulla, M. (2013). Knowledge management perceptions in construction and design companies. *Automation in construction*, 29, 83-91.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Fornell, C., and Cha, J. (1994). Partial least squares: Advanced methods of marketing research. *Advanced methods of marketing research*, 52-78.
- Fowler Jr, F. J. (2013). *Survey research methods*. Sage publications.
- Fox and Bayat (2008). A guide to managing research. *Juta and Company Ltd.*
- Fox, Bayat, and Ferreira (2007). A guide to managing public policy: *Juta and Company Ltd.*
- Freund, Wilson, and Sa. (2006). Regression analysis: *Academic Press*.
- Frank, M. (2006). Knowledge, abilities, cognitive characteristics and behavioral competences of engineers with high capacity for engineering systems thinking (CEST). *Systems Engineering*, 9(2), 91-103.
- Freeze, R. D., & Kulkarni, U. (2007). Knowledge management capability: defining knowledge assets. *Journal of Knowledge management*.
- Freund, R. J., Wilson, W. J., and Sa, P. (2006). Regression analysis. *Elsevier*.
- Frew, E. J., Whynes, D. K., and Wolstenholme, J. L. (2003). Eliciting Willingness to Pay: Comparing Closed-Ended with Open-Ended and Payment Scale Formats. *Medical Decision Making*, 23(2), 150-159.

- Frick, J., Kaiser, F. G., & Wilson, M. (2004). Environmental knowledge and conservation behavior: Exploring prevalence and structure in a representative sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(8), 1597-1613.
- Frost, A. (2014). A synthesis of knowledge management failure factors. *Recuperado el*, 22, 1-22.
- Galeazzo, A., Furlan, A., & Vinelli, A. (2014). Understanding environmental-operations integration: the case of pollution prevention projects. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 153, 149-160.
- Gaskin, J. (2017). Data screening. *Gaskination's StatWiki*. Retrieved from http://statwiki.kolobkreations.com/index.php?title=Data_screening
- Gaur, A. S., and Gaur, S. S. (2006). Statistical methods for practice and research: A guide to data analysis using SPSS. *Sage*.
- Gefen, Straub, and Boudreau. (2000). Structural equation modelling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. *Communications of the association for information systems*, 4(1), 7.
- Geisser, S. (1975). The predictive sample reuse method with applications. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 70(350), 320-328.
- Gelard, P. A. R. V. A. N. E. H., Emamisaleh, K., Hassanabadi, M., & Shakouri Rad, M. (2013). Looking into knowledge management from organizational structure perspective. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 2(2), 518-529.
- Ghuri and Gronhoug. (2005). Research methods in business studies: A practical guide. *Pearson Education*.
- Girard, J., & Girard, J. (2015). Defining knowledge management: Toward an applied compendium. *Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management*, 3(1), 1-20.
- Goldkuhl, G. (2012). Pragmatism vs interpretivism in qualitative information systems research. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 21(2), 135-146.
- Goh, S. C. (2002). Managing effective knowledge transfer: an integrative framework and some practice implications. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6(1), 23-30.
- Goh, Y. M., and Chua, S. (2016). Knowledge, attitude and practices for design for safety: A study on civil and structural engineers. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 93, 260-266.

- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., and Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: An organisational capabilities perspective. *Journal of management information systems*, 18(1), 185-214.
- Gonzalez, R. V. D., & Martins, M. F. (2014). Mapping the organizational factors that support knowledge management in the Brazilian automotive industry. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Gotz O., K. Liehr-Gobbers and M. Krafft (2010). "Evaluation of structural equation models using the Partial Least Squares (PLS) approach," in Handbook of Partial Least Squares, V.E. Vinzi, W. W. Chin, J. Henseler and H. Wang, Eds., *Springer Handbooks of Computational Statistics*, pp. 47–82, 2010.
- Govender, L. N., Perumal, R., and Perumal, S. (2018). Knowledge management as a strategic tool for human resource management at higher education institutions. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 20(1), 1-10.
- Grant, R.M. (1997), "The knowledge-based view of the firm: implications for management practice", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 450-4
- Grant, K. (2015). Knowledge management: An enduring but confusing fashion. *Leading issues in knowledge management*, 2, 1-26.
- Greene, A. M., and Kirton, G. (2002). Advancing gender equality: The role of women-only trade union education. *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 9, 39–59
- Gressgård, L. J. (2011). Virtual team collaboration and innovation in organisations. *Team Performance Management*, 17(1/2), 102–119
- Grill, M., and Nielsen, K. (2019). Promoting and impeding safety—A qualitative study into direct and indirect safety leadership practices of constructions site managers. *Safety science*, 114, 148-159.
- Grundspenkis, J. (2007). Agent based approach for organization and personal knowledge modelling: knowledge management perspective. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 18(4), 451-457.
- Gupta, B., Iyer, L. S., & Aronson, J. E. (2000). Knowledge management: practices and challenges. *Industrial management & data systems*.
- Gupta, Jatinder N. D., & Sharma, Sushil K. (2004). *Creating Knowledge Based Organizations*.
- Hacklin, F., Björkdahl, J., and Wallin, M. W. (2018). Strategies for business model innovation: How firms reel in migrating value. *Long range planning*, 51(1), 82-110.

- Hahn, J. & Subramani, M. (2000). A Framework Of Knowledge Management Systems: Issues And Challenges For Theory And Practice.
- Hair (2010). Multivariate data analysis: Pearson College Division. *Seventh Edition. John Wiley and Sons.*
- Hair J. F., Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 40(3)*, 414–433.
- Hair J.F., William, C. B., Barry, J. B. and Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multivariate Data Analysis. *Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA.*
- Hair Joseph F. Jr., Black William C., Babin Barry J. anderson Rolph E. and Tatham Ronald L. (2006) Multivariate Data Analysis, *6th edition, Pearson Prentice Hall, Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458.*
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., and Sarstedt, M. (2016). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). *Sage Publications.*
- Cohen J., Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 1988.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Thiele, K. O. (2017). Mirror, mirror on the wall: a comparative evaluation of composite-based structural equation modeling methods. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 45(5)*, 616-632.
- Hair, Black, Babin anderson and Tatham. (2006). Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 6): *Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.*
- Hair, J. F. Jr., Babin, B., Money, A. H., and Samouel, P. (2003). Essential of business research methods. *John Wiley and Sons: United States of America.*
- Hair, J. F., Babin, B., Money, A. H. and Samouel, P. (2007). Essentials of Business Research Methods. *USA: John Wiley and Sons.*
- Hair, J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., and Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multivariate data analysis (7th ed.). *Upper saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education*
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice, 19(2)*, 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long range planning, 46(1-2)*, 1-12.

- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., and Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 40(3), 414-433.
- Hair, Ringle and Sarstedt (2013). Editorial-partial least squares structural equation modelling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long Range Planning*, 46(1-2), 1-12.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (1st ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications Inc.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., and Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2-24.
- Halawi, L. A., McCarthy, R. V., & Aronson, J. E. (2006). Knowledge management and the competitive strategy of the firm. *The learning organization*.
- Hammer. A. C. (2015). A Study of Business Projects in Innovation Brokering in VRI. Master in Management and Technology. Trondheim Business School, Sor-Trondelag University College, Trondheim.
- Hampson, K., and Brandon, P. (2004). Construction 2020: A Vision for Australia's Property and Construction Industry, Cooperative Research Centre for Construction Innovation for Icon. *Net Pty Ltd., Brisbane*.
- Hansen, M. T. (2002). Knowledge networks: Explaining effective knowledge sharing in multiunit companies. *Organization science*, 13(3), 232-248.
- Haris@Harib, A. R. B., and Yunus, A. M. (2018). Knowledge Management Implementation: An Experience of Perdana Leadership Foundation. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 7(3), 258-267.
- Harrington. (2009). Confirmatory factor analysis. *Oxford University Press*.
- Hart, S. L., and Ahuja, G. (1996). Does it pay to be green? An empirical examination of the relationship between emission reduction and firm performance. *Business strategy and the Environment*, 5(1), 30-37.
- Hart, S. L., and Dowell, G. (2011). Invited editorial: A natural-resource-based view of the firm: Fifteen years after. *Journal of management*, 37(5), 1464-1479.
- Hartley, D. (2010). Paradigms: How Far Does Research in Distributed Leadership 'Stretch'? *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 38(3), 271-285.

- Hartmann, A., and Dorée, A. (2015). Learning between projects: More than sending messages in bottles. *International journal of project management*, 33(2), 341-351.
- Hasanali, F. (2002). Critical success factors of knowledge management.
- Haywood, L. K., Funke, N., Audouin, M., Musvoto, C., and Nahman, A. (2019). The Sustainable Development Goals in South Africa: Investigating the need for multi-stakeholder partnerships. *Development Southern Africa*, 36(5), 555-569.
- He, Q., Gallear, D., Ghobadian, A., and Ramanathan, R. (2019). Managing knowledge in supply chains: a catalyst to triple bottom line sustainability. *Production Planning and Control*, 30(5-6), 448-463.
- Hegazy, F. M., and Ghorab, K. E. (2014). The influence of knowledge management on organizational business processes' and employees' benefits. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(1).
- Hegazy, F. M., and Ghorab, K. E. (2015). The effect of knowledge management processes on organizational business processes' and employees' benefits in an academic institution's portal environment. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 2015, b1-32.
- Henseler, J., Christian, M., Ringle and Rudolf, R., Sinkovics (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *New Challenges to International Marketing Advances in International Marketing*, 20, 277-319.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43(1), 115-135.
- Henseler, Ringle, and Sinkovics. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modelling in international marketing. *Advances in international marketing*, 20(1), 277-319.
- Henttonen, K., Kianto, A., & Ritala, P. (2016). Knowledge sharing and individual work performance: an empirical study of a public sector organisation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Hidayati, I., Yamu, C., & Tan, W. (2021). You have to drive: Impacts of planning policies on urban form and mobility behavior in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Journal of Urban Management*, 10(1), 69-83.

- Hijazi, H. A., and Salamah, H. (2014). Impact of social capital on knowledge sharing at the public sector in Jordan. *Information and Knowledge Management*, 4(1), 20-30.
- Ho, C. T. (2009). The relationship between knowledge management enablers and performance. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Holmes-Smith, P., Coote, L., and Cunningham, E. (2006). Structural equation modeling: From the fundamentals to advanced topics. *Melbourne: Sreams*.
- Holsapple, C. W., & Joshi, K. D. (2000). An investigation of factors that influence the management of knowledge in organizations. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 9(2-3), 235-261.
- Hoon, T. H., Ramayah, T. and Jantan, M. (2003). Knowledge Management: An Exploratory Study on Malaysian Organisations. *The International Journal of Knowledge, Culture and Change Management*, 3, 995-1014.
- Horta, I. M., and Camanho, A. S. (2014). Competitive positioning and performance assessment in the construction industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(4), 974-983.
- Hou, J. J., and Chien, Y. T. (2010). The effect of market knowledge management competence on business performance: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Business Management*, 8(2).
- Hoyle (1995). Structural equation modelling: Concepts, issues and applications: *Sage Publications*.
- Huang, Y. (2008). Overview of knowledge management in organisations.
- Huang, P. S., and Shih, L. H. (2009). Effective environmental management through environmental knowledge management. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 6(1), 35-50
- Hulland J. (1999). Use of Partial Least Squares (PLS) in strategic management research: a review offour recent studies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(2), 195–204.
- Husted, B. W., and de Jesus Salazar, J. (2006). Taking Friedman seriously: Maximising profits and social performance. *Journal of Management studies*, 43(1), 75-91.
- Ibrahim, A. R. B., Roy, M. H., Ahmed, Z., and Imtiaz, G. (2010). An investigation of the status of the Malaysian construction industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*.

- Ibrahim, F. S., & Esa, M. (2018). The implementation of risk management plan: towards safer hillside development project. *Malaysian Construction Research Journal (MCRJ)*, 1.
- Idris, K. M., Nita, A. K., and Godwin, A. U. (2015). Impact of organisational culture on knowledge management process in construction. *Asian Social Science*, 11(9), 281.
- Inkinen, H. (2016). Intellectual capital, knowledge management practices and firm performance.
- Ipe, M. (2003). Knowledge sharing in organisations: A conceptual framework. *Human resource development review*, 2(4), 337-359.
- Isaac and Michael (1995). Handbook in Research and Evaluation. *San Diego: EdITS Publishers: Wiley Online Library*.
- ISO (2018). ISO 30401:2018(en) Knowledge management systems — Requirements. Retrieved from <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:30401:ed-1:v1:en>
- Iwasaki, K., Kuriyama, Y., Kondoh, S., and Shirayori, A. (2018). Structuring engineers' implicit knowledge of forming process design by using a graph model. *Procedia Cirp*, 67, 563-568.
- Jackson. (2019). Engineering knowledge management | lifecycle insights. Retrieved from <https://www.lifecycleinsights.com/tech-guide/engineering-knowledge-management/>
- Jain, K.K., Sandhu, M.M., and Sidhu, G. (2007). Knowledge sharing among academic staff: a case study among business schools in Klang Valley Malaysia. *Journal for the Advancement of Arts and Science*, 23.
- Jarboe, K. P., and Alliance, A. (2001). Knowledge management as an economic development strategy. *Reviews of Economic Development Literature and Practice*, 7, 1-36.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S.B., and Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30, 199–218.
- Javed, S. (2017). *An Empirical Investigation into Knowledge Management in Pakistani Think Tanks* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Huddersfield).
- Jayasingam, S., Ansari, M. A., Ramayah, T., and Jantan, M. (2013). Knowledge management practices and performance: are they truly linked?. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 11(3), 255-264.

- Jelenic, D. (2011, June). The importance of knowledge management in Organisations—with emphasis on the balanced scorecard learning and growth Perspective. *In Management, Knowledge and Learning, International Conference.*
- Jennifer Rowley (1999). What is knowledge management. *Library management, 200(8)*, 416-419
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (2018). KM Projects. Retrieved from <https://www.knowledgemanagement.com.my/km-projects-jabatan-kerja-raya>.
- Johanson, G. A., & Brooks, G. P. (2010). Initial scale development: sample size for pilot studies. *Educational and psychological measurement, 70(3)*, 394-400.
- Johnson, B. and Cristensen, L. (2008). Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative and mixed approaches: *Sage*.
- Johnson, C. (2005). Employee Motivation: A comparison of tipped and non-tipped hourly restaurant employees. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modelling, 53(9)*, 1689-1699.
- K. Kakabadse, N., Kouzmin, A., & Kakabadse, A. (2001). From tacit knowledge to knowledge management: Leveraging invisible assets. *Knowledge and process management, 8(3)*, 137-154.
- Kaklauskas, A., Zavadskas, E. K., Banaitis, A., Banaitiene, N., and Kanapeckiene, L. (2014). Knowledge management in construction project management. *Journal of International Real Estate and Construction Studies, 4(2)*, 143-235.
- Kamara, J. M., Augenbroe, G., Anumba, C. J., & Carrillo, P. M. (2002). Knowledge management in the architecture, engineering and construction industry. *Construction innovation.*
- Karr-Wisniewski, P., & Lu, Y. (2010). When more is too much: Operationalizing technology overload and exploring its impact on knowledge worker productivity. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26(5)*, 1061-1072.
- Kazi, A. S. (2005). *Knowledge Management in the Construction Industry: A Socio-Technical Perspective. Series Knowledge Management in the Construction Industry: A Socio-Technical Perspective*: Idea Group Publishing.
- Kazi, A., & Koivuniemi, A. (2006). Sharing through social interaction: The case study of YIT Construction Ltd. Real-Life KM, Lessons from the field.
- Keishing, V., and Renukadevi, S. (2016). A review of knowledge management based career exploration system in engineering education. *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science, 8(1)*, 8.

- Kendra, C. (2018). Formatting a Good Hypothesis for a scientific research.
- Kenton, W. (2019). How There Can Be Three Bottom Lines. Retrieved from <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/triple-bottom-line.asp>
- Khalid, A., & Ahmed, M. (2015). Impact of knowledge sharing on organizational learning: Moderating effect of organizational leadership. *Asian Journal of Management Research*, 5(3), 358-371.
- Khuzaimah, K. H. M. (2016). Kertas Kerja ECKM, JKR Malaysia Diiktiraf Terbaik di 20th PAQS, Christchurch, New Zealand. Retrieved from <https://jcop.vms.my/kertas-kerja-eckm-jkr-malaysia-diiktiraf-terbaik-di-20th-pacific-association-of-quantity-surveyors-congress-paqs-christchurch-new-zealand/>
- Kim, D., and Park, H. S. (2006). Innovative construction management method: Assessment of lean construction implementation. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 10(6), 381-388.
- Kim, Y. (2010). The Pilot Study in Qualitative Inquiry. *Qualitative Social Work: Research and Practice*, 10(2), 190-206.
- Kimberlin, C., & Winterstein, A. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. *American Journal Of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(23), 2276-2284. doi: 10.2146/ajhp070364
- Kivrak, S., Arslan, G., Dikmen, I., and Birgonul, M. T. (2008). Capturing knowledge in construction projects: Knowledge platform for contractors. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 24(2), 87-95.
- Klarner, P., and Raisch, S. (2013). Move to the beat Rhythms of change and firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(1), 160-184.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (3. Baskı). *New York, NY: Guilford*.
- Koenig, M. E. D. (2002). The Three Stages of Knowledge Management. <https://www.kmworld.com/Articles/Editorial/Features/The-third-stage-of-KM-emerges-9327.aspx>
- Kothari, C. R. (2005). Research methodology: Research methodology: Methods and Techniques, *Second edition. New age international publishers*.
- Kordab, M., and Raudeliūnienė, J. (2018). Knowledge Management Cycle: A *Scientific*.
- Krejcie, R. V., and Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research

- activities. *Educational and psychological measurement*, 30(3), 607-610.
- Krishnaswamy, K.N., Sivakumar, A.I. and Mathirajan, M. (2009). Management Research Methodology. *Pearson Education: India*.
- Kucza, T. (2001). *Knowledge management process model*. VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland.
- Ladhari, R. (2008). Alternative measures of service quality: a review. *Managing Service Quality. An International Journal*, 18(1), 65-86.
- Lai, J., Yahya, R. Z. K., and Saar, C. C. (2016). Engineering Consultancy Practice (ECP) Business Model in Malaysia: A Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Approach. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 11(3), 52.
- Leavitt, H. J. (1965). Applied organisational change in industry, structural, technological and humanistic approaches. *Handbook of organisations*, 264.
- Lee, M. C. (2016). Knowledge management and innovation management: best practices in knowledge sharing and knowledge value chain. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 19(2), 206-226.
- Leedy, and Ormrod. (2001). Practical research and design. *Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Merry11 Prentice Hall*.
- Lei, Z., Tang, W., Duffield, C., Zhang, L., and Hui, F. K. P. (2017). The impact of technical standards on international project performance: Chinese contractors' experience. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(8), 1597-1607.
- Leonard, D., & Sensiper, S. (1998). The role of tacit knowledge in group innovation. *California management review*, 40(3), 112-132.
- Leung, L. (2015). Validity, reliability and generalizability in qualitative research. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 4(3), 324.
- Leung, S. (2011). A Comparison of Psychometric Properties and Normality in 4-, 5-, 6- and 11-Point Likert Scales. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 37(4), 412-421.
- Liao, S.H., and Wu, C.C. (2009). Knowledge Management and Innovation: The Mediating Effects of Organizational Learning,. *Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE IEEM*, 1850-1854.
- Liebowitz, J. (1999). Key ingredients to the success of an organization's knowledge management strategy. *Knowledge and process management*, 6(1), 37-40.

- Liebowitz, J., N., Ayyavoo, H., Nguyen, D., Carran, and J., Simien. (2007). Cross-generational knowledge flows in edge organizations. *Industrial Management and Data System*, 107(8), 1123-1153.
- Liebowitz, J. (2019). Building organizational intelligence: A knowledge management primer. CRC press.
- Liff, S. (1999). Diversity and equal opportunities: Room for a constructive compromise? *Human Resource Management Journal*, 9, 65–75.
- Liff, S., and Cameron, I. (1997). Changing equality cultures to move beyond ‘women’s problems’. *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 4, 35–46.
- Lin, W. B. (2008). The effect of knowledge sharing model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34(2), 1508-1521.
- Lin, Y. C., and Lee, H. Y. (2012). Developing project communities of practice-based knowledge management system in construction. *Automation in Construction*, 22, 422-432.
- Lin, Y. C., and Lin, L. K. (2006). Critical success factors for knowledge management studies in construction. *Proceedings of ISARC*, 768-772.
- Lincoln, Y. S., and Guba, E. G. (2000). The only generalization is: There is no generalization. *A case study method*, 27-44.
- Ling, C.W., Sandhu, M.S., and Jain, K.K. (2009). Knowledge sharing in an American multinational company based in Malaysia. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 21(2), 42-125.
- Little. (2010). Knowledge management. Retrieved from <https://www.nacada.ksu.edu/Resources/Clearinghouse/View-Articles/Knowledge-management.aspx>
- Lissitz and Green (1975). Effect of the number of scale points on reliability: A Monte Carlo Approach. *Journal of applied psychology*, 60(1), 10
- Lleras, C. (2005). Path analysis. *Encyclopedia of social measurement*, 3(1), 25-30.
- Lopes Ferreira, C., & Pilatti, L. A. (2013). Analysis of the seven dimensions of knowledge management in organizations. *Journal of technology management & innovation*, 8, 5-5.
- Lotti Oliva, F. (2014). Knowledge management barriers, practices and maturity model. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 18(6), 1053-1074.

- Love, P. E. D., Edum-Fotwe, F., and Irani, Z. (2002). Management of knowledge in project environments. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21, 155-156.
- Low, J. (2000). The value creation index. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 1(3), 252–262.
- Lumsdaine, E., Shelnutt, J. W., and Lumsdaine, M. (1999). Integrating creative problem solving and engineering design. *age*, 4, 1.
- Luo, S. H., & Lee, G. G. (2015). Applying failure mode and effects analysis for successful knowledge management. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 26(1-2), 62-75.
- Lyu, H., Zhou, Z., and Zhang, Z. (2016). Measuring knowledge management performance in organisations: an integrative framework of balanced scorecard and fuzzy evaluation. *Information*, 7(2), 29.
- MacCallum and Austin (2000). Applications of structural equation modelling in psychological research. *Annual review of psychology*, 51(1), 201-226.
- Mackenzie, and Knipe, S. (2006). Research dilemmas: Paradigms, methods and methodology. *Issues in educational research*, 16(2), 193-205.
- Mangal and Shubhra. (2013). Research methodology in behavioural sciences. *PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.*
- Mahmood, S., and Khattak, M. A. (2017). The role of transformational leader in developing knowledge culture in public sector hospitals. *International Journal of Organisational Leadership*, 6, 511-524.
- Maier., S. (2018). 5 steps to developing a continuous-learning culture. Retrieved from <https://www.bizjournals.com/bizjournals/how-to/human-resources/2018/12/5-steps-to-developing-a-continuous-learning-culture.html>
- Maier, S. and Remus, U. (2003). Implementing process-oriented knowledge management strategies. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 7(4):62-74. DOI:10.1108/13673270310492958.
- Malhotra, Y. (2002). Why knowledge management systems fail? Enablers and constraints of knowledge management in human enterprises. In C. W. Holsapple (Ed.)
- Mankin, L. (2017). The Competitive Advantage of the Right Knowledge Management Solution. <https://bloomfire.com/blog/competitive-advantage-right-knowledge-management-solution/>

- Maqsoodet, T. (2006). The Role of Knowledge Management in Supporting Innovation and Learning in Construction. RMIT PhD Thesis.
- Marques, D. P., Narangajavana, Y., and Simon, F. J. (2005). Competitive strategies and performance in Spanish hospitality firms. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 17, 22–38.
- Masa'deh, R. E., Gharaibeh, E., Tarhini, A., Obeidat, D., and Yousef, B. (2015). Knowledge sharing capability: A literature review. In *Conference Proceedings (COES&RJ-CP2-5)*, 978-969.
- Masa'deh, R. E., Shannak, R., Maqableh, M., & Tarhini, A. (2017). The impact of knowledge management on job performance in higher education: The case of the University of Jordan. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 30(2), 244-262.
- Maskuriy, R., Selamat, A., Ali, K. N., Maresova, P., and Krejcar, O. (2019). Industry 4.0 for the construction industry—how ready is the industry?. *Applied Sciences*, 9(14), 2819.
- Mathi, K. (2004). Key success factors for knowledge management. *Unpublished master's thesis, University of Applied Sciences/FH Kempten*.
- McAdam, R., & Reid, R. (2000). A comparison of public and private sector perceptions and use of knowledge management. *Journal of European Industrial Training*.
- McCusker, K., and Gunaydin, S. (2014). Research using qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods and choice based on the research. *Perfusion*, 30(7), 537-542.
- McDermott, R., & O'dell, C. (2001). Overcoming cultural barriers to sharing knowledge. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- McElroy, M. W. (2002). Social innovation capital. *Journal of intellectual capital*.
- McEvily, S. K., & Chakravarthy, B. (2002). The persistence of knowledge-based advantage: an empirical test for product performance and technological knowledge. *Strategic management journal*, 23(4), 285-305.
- McGuirk, P. M. and O'Neill, P. (2005). Using questionnaires in qualitative human geography. In *qualitative research methods in human geography, Hey edition, Oxford University press, Australia*, pp. 147-162.
- McIver, D., Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Lengnick-Hall, M. L., and Ramachandran, I. (2013). Understanding work and knowledge management from a knowledge-in-practice perspective. *Academy of management review*, 38(4), 597-620.

- Medina. (2019). Knowledge management: A driver of efficiency and innovation. Retrieved from <https://theeconreview.com/2019/01/08/knowledge-management-a-driver-of-efficiency-and-innovation>.
- Mehzer, T., Asem, M. A. M., Ibrahim, G., and Maher, A. (2005). Knowledge Management in Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Consulting: A Case Study. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 21(3).
- Mercado, L. C. C. (2010, June). Influence of Critical Success Factors of Knowledge Management on the Innovation Performance of Colombian Organisations. In *Eighth LACCEI Latin American and Caribbean Conference for Engineering and Technology (LACCEI)*.
- Merriam-Webster. (2019). Definition of experience. Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/experience>
- Meso, P., & Smith, R. (2000). A resource-based view of organizational knowledge management systems. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- Mezher, T., Abdul-Malak, M. A., Ghosn, I., and Ajam, M. (2005). Knowledge management in mechanical and industrial engineering consulting: a case study. *Journal of management in engineering*, 21(3), 138-147.
- Micceri, T. (1989). The unicorn, the normal curve and other improbable creatures. The unicorn, the normal curve and other improbable creatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 105(1), 156.
- Mills, A. M., & Smith, T. A. (2011). Knowledge management and organizational performance: a decomposed view. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- Miner, J. B. (2005). *Organisational Behavior: Essential theories of motivation and leadership. one* (Vol. 1). ME Sharpe.
- Ministry of Works (2021). KKR Organisational Strategic Plan 2021-2025. Putrajaya: MoW.
- Mohajan, H. (2017). The Roles of Knowledge Management for the Development of Organisations. *Journal of Scientific Achievements*, 2(2), 1-27.
- Mohamed, M., Stankosky, M., & Murray, A. (2004). Applying knowledge management principles to enhance cross-functional team performance. *Journal Of Knowledge Management*, 8(3), 127-142. doi: 10.1108/13673270410541097
- Mohammed, M. Y. B. (2007). 'Knowledge Content in Key Economic Sectors in Malaysia,' A Speech by the Minister in the Prime Minister's Department at the

- Launch of the 2004 Report on Knowledge Content in Key Economic Sectors in Malaysia.
- Moore, S. (1999). Understanding and managing diversity among groups at work: Key issues for organisational training and development. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 23, 208–218
- Morrissey, S., and Schoemaker, P. J. (2005). The design and implementation of effective knowledge management systems. *unpublished thesis*
- Mudd, J. (2009). How to Successfully Implement a Knowledge Management System for the Mechanical Engineering Department at Gating Incorporated. (*Doctoral dissertation, The University of Kansas*).
- Muqadas, F., Ilyas, M., & Aslam, U. (2016). Antecedents Of Knowledge Sharing And Its Impact On Employees' creativity And Work Performance. *Pakistan business review*, 18(3), 655-674.
- Mustapha, R., and Abdullah, A. (2004). Malaysia Transitions toward a Knowledge-Based Economy. *Journal of Technology Studies*, 30(3), 51-61.
- Nagy, J., Oláh, J., Erdei, E., Máté, D., & Popp, J. (2018). The role and impact of Industry 4.0 and the internet of things on the business strategy of the value chain—the case of Hungary. *Sustainability*, 10(10), 3491.
- Natalicchio, A., Ardito, L., Savino, T., and Albino, V. (2017). Managing knowledge assets for open innovation: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(6), 1362-1383. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2016-0516>
- Nazim, M., and Mukherjee, B. (2016). Knowledge management in libraries: Concepts, tools and approaches. *Chandos publishing*.
- Nawi, M. N. M., Azimi, M. A., Pozin, M. A. A., Osman, W. N., and Anuar, H. S. (2016, August). Project Management Consultancy (PMC) procurement approach: Supplier's evaluation and selection dilemma. In *AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 1761, No. 1, p. 020072)*. AIP Publishing.
- Nemati, H. R. (2002). Global knowledge management: exploring a framework for research.
- Netemeyer, Bearden and Sharma. (2003). scaling procedures: Issues and applications. *Sage Publications*.
- Neuman, W. N. (1997). Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches. *Boston: Allyn and Bacon Publications*.

- Newell, S., Bresnen, M., Edelman, L., Scarbrough, H., and Swan, J. (2006). Sharing knowledge across projects: limit to ICT-led project review practices, *Management Learning*, 37, 167-185.
- Newman, M. G., Zuelling, A. R. Kachin, K. E., Constantino, M.J., Przeworski, A., Erickson, T., and Cashman-McGrath, L. (2002). Preliminary reliability and validity of the generalised Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire-IV: A revised self-report diagnostic measure of generalised anxiety disorder. *Behavior Therapy*, 33(2), 215-233.
- Ni, G., Cui, Q., Sang, L., Wang, W., and Xia, D. (2018). Knowledge-sharing culture, project-team interaction, and knowledge-sharing performance among project members. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 34(2), 04017065.
- Niazi, M., Mahmood, S., Alshayeb, M., & Hroub, A. (2015). Empirical investigation of the challenges of the existing tools used in global software development projects. *IET Software*, 9(5), 135-143.
- Niu, K. H. (2008). *Understanding Knowledge Management and Organizational Adaptation and the Influencing Effects of Trust and Industrial Cluster*. University Of North Texas, US.
- Nor, N. M., Khairi, S. M. M., Rosnan, H., Maskun, R., & Johar, E. R. (2020). Establishing a knowledge-based organisation: Lesson learnt and KM challenges in Malaysian organisation. *Innovation & Management Review*.
- Nunnally, J. C., and Bernstein, I. H. (1994). Psychological theory. *New York, NY: MacGraw-Hill*, 131-147.
- Nitithamyong, P., & Tan, Z. (2007). Determinants for effective performance of external project management consultants in Malaysia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory, 2nd edn, McGraw-Hill, New York*.
- Nonaka, I., and Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge Creating*. *New York*, 304.
- Nonaka, L., Takeuchi, H., & Umemoto, K. (1996). A theory of organizational knowledge creation. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 11(7-8), 833-845.
- Norheim, D. and R. Fjellheim. 2007. *AKSIO – Active knowledge management in the petroleum industry*. Research report.
- O'Brien, J. A., & Goldleaf, S. (1996). *Management information systems: Managing information technology in the networked enterprise*. Chicago: Irwin.

- Obaide, A. (2004). *A model for a successful implementation of knowledge management in engineering organisations* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Salford, UK).
- Obeidat, B. Y., Al-Suradi, M. M., Masa'deh, R. E., and Tarhini, A. (2016). The impact of knowledge management on innovation: An empirical study on Jordanian consultancy firms. *Management Research Review*, 39(10), 1214-1238
- O'dell, C. and Grayson, C.J. Jr. (1998). *If only we knew what we know: The Transfer of Internal Knowledge and Best Practice*. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Okunoye, A. and Bertaux, N. (2008). *Addressing contextual issues in knowledge management: A guiding framework*. IGI Global.
- Oltra, V. (2005). Knowledge management effectiveness factors: the role of HRM. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- O'leary, Z. (2004). *The essential guide to doing research*. Sage Publications.
- Omerzel, D. G. (2010). The impact of knowledge management on SME growth and profitability: A structural equation modelling study. *African journal of business management*, 4(16), 3417-3432.
- Orange, G., Burke, A., and Boam, J. (2000). The facilitation of cross organisational learning and knowledge management to foster partnering within the UK construction industry. *ECIS 2000*.
- Othman, A., Ismail, S., and Yahya, K. (2017, December). An overview of knowledge management (KM) issues for implementation in consultant firms in Malaysian construction industry. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 277, No. 1, p. 012032). IOP Publishing.
- Othman, A., Ismail, S., Yahya, K., and Ahmad, M. (2018). Critical success factors in implementing knowledge management in consultant firms for Malaysian construction industry. *Management Science Letters*, 8(5), 305-316.
- Othman, A. R., Yin, T. S., Sulaiman, S., Ibrahim, M. I. M., and Rashid, M. R. (2011). Application of mean and standard deviation in questionnaire surveys. *Discovering Mathematics (Menemui Matematik)*, 33(1), 11-22
- Ozturk, G. B., and Yitmen, I. (2019). Conceptual Model of Building Information Modelling Usage for Knowledge Management in Construction Projects. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 471, p. 022043).
- Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS Survival Manual: step-by-step guide to data analysis*, 3rd edn,

- Allen and Unwin, Australia.
- Pallant, J., and Manual, S. S. (2007). A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for windows version 15. SPSS Survival manual, 3.
- Pallant. (2007). SPSS survival manual, 3rd. Edition. McGrath Hill.
- Pallant, J. (2016). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS* (6th ed.). Maidenhead, United Kingdom: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Pathirage, C. P., Amaratunga, R. D. G., and Haigh, R. P. (2004). Knowledge management and organisational performance: A literature review.
- Pavlou, P. A., and Chai, L. (2002). What drives electronic commerce across cultures? Across-cultural empirical investigation of the theory of planned behaviour. *J. Electron. Commerce Res.*, 3(4), 240-253.
- Pereira, T. H. M., & Martins, H. C. (2021). People, Planet, and Profit: A Bibliometric Analysis of Triple Bottom Line Theory. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 11(1), 1-64.
- Peter, J. P. (1981). Construct validity: A review of basic issues and marketing practices. *Journal of marketing research*, 18(2), 133-145.
- Petter, S., Straub, D., and Rai, A. (2007). Specifying formative constructs in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 31 (4), 623-656.
- Peszynki, K., Cooper, V., & Molla, A. (2008). Developing a Knowledge Management Strategy: Reflections from an Action Research Project. *ECIS 2008 Proceedings*.
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (1999). Knowing “what” to do is not enough: Turning knowledge into action. *California management review*, 42(1), 83-108.
- Pheng, L. S., and Hou, L. S. (2019). The economy and the construction industry. In *Construction Quality and the Economy* (pp. 21-54). Springer, Singapore.
- Pinchot, G., and Pinchot, E. (1993). *The End of Bureaucracy and the Rise of the Intelligent Organisation* Berrett.
- Pinto, J. K., & Slevin, D. P. (1987). Critical factors in successful project implementation. *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, (1), 22-27.
- Polit, D. Beck, C. T., and Hungler, B. (2001). *Essentials of nursing research methods*.
- Polit, D. F., and Beck, C. T. (2004). *Nursing research: Appraising evidence for nursing practice* (7th Edition). Philadelphia: Wolters Klower/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Polit, D.F. and Hungler, B.P. (1999). *Nursing Research: Principles and Methods*. 6th

- ed. *Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott.*
- Porta, M. (2008). *A Dictionary of Epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press.*
- Porter, M. E. (1990). *The competitive advantage of nations. International Business.*
- Powell, T. H., and Ambrosini, V. (2012). A pluralistic approach to knowledge management practices: Evidence from consultancy companies. *Long Range Planning, 45*(2-3), 209-226.
- Powell, W. W., Koput, K. W., & Smith-Doerr, L. (1996). Interorganizational collaboration and the locus of innovation: Networks of learning in biotechnology. *Administrative science quarterly, 116-145.*
- Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behaviour research methods, instruments, and computers, 36*(4), 717-731.
- Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behaviour research methods, 40*(3), 879-891.
- Project Management Institute. (2017). *A guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK guide)* (6th ed.). Project Management Institute.
- Project Management Institute (2020). Retrieved from <https://www.pmi.org/pmbok-guide-standards>.
- PT.Inti Karya Persada Teknik. (2014), (9). Retrieved 31 December 2020, from https://www.toyo-eng.com/jp/en/company/toyotimes/pdf/toyotimes_vol9es.pdf.
- Public Work Department. (2018). *Malaysian Construction Research Journal.* Construction Research Institute of Malaysia.
- Qin, H., Wang, H., and Johnson, A. L. (2017). A RFBSE model for capturing engineers' useful knowledge and experience during the design process. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 44*, 30-43.
- Rahim, M. A. A. (2015). *Incentivising Knowledge Sharing Behavior Among JKR Profesional* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia).
- Rahman, B. A. (2004). Knowledge Management Initiatives: Exploratory Study in Malaysia. *Journal of American Academy of Business, 4*, 330.
- Rai, R. K. (2011). Knowledge management and organizational culture: a theoretical integrative framework. *Journal of knowledge management.*
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., and Memon, M. A. (2018). *Partial Least*

- Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) using SmartPLS 3.0: An updated practical guide to statistical analysis* (2nd ed.). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Pearson Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.
- Ramayah, T., Yeap, J. A., Ahmad, N. H., Halim, H. A., and Rahman, S. A. (2017). Testing a confirmatory model of Facebook usage in SmartPLS using consistent PLS. *International Journal of Business and Innovation*, 3(2), 1-14.
- Rameijin. (2014). Philosophy of statistics (stanford encyclopedia of philosophy). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/statistics/>.
- Ramin, N. A. M., Taib, K. M., Hashim, D. M., Noordin, S. A., and Yasin, S. M. (2013). Knowledge management implementation in a government research institute in Selangor, Malaysia. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 2013, 1.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., and Memon, M. A. (2018). *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) using SmartPLS 3.0: An updated practical guide to statistical analysis* (2nd ed.). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Pearson Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.
- Rao, B. S. (2014). Idea Dimensions of Knowledge Management Practices-A Theoretical Review. *International Journal of Research in Business Management* 2(6), 61-76.
- Rasli, A. (2017). *Knowledge Management Framework for the Malaysian Construction Consulting Companies*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Rasula, J., Vuksic, V. B., and Stemberger, M. I. (2012). The impact of knowledge management on organisational performance. *Economic and Business Review for Central and South-Eastern Europe*, 14(2), 147.
- Razali, M. N., Mei, J. L. Y., Zainuddin, A. Z., & Yunus, N. M. (2016). Development of knowledge management strategies for property management companies in Malaysia. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 3(1).
- Reinartz, Haenlein, and Henseler. (2009). An empirical comparison of the efficacy of covariance-based and variance-based SEM. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 26(4), 332-344.
- Reynolds, M. E. (2005). *The contribution of knowledge management to learning: an exploration of its practice and potential in Australian and New Zealand schools* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- Rezaei, F., Khalilzadeh, M., & Soleimani, P. (2021). Factors affecting knowledge management and its effect on organizational performance: mediating the role

- of human capital. *Advances in Human-Computer Interaction*, 2021.
- Richard, P. J., Devinney, T. M., Yip, G. S., and Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring organisational performance: Towards methodological best practice. *Journal of Management*, 35, 718–804.
- Richardson, R. and Kramer, E.H. (2006). Abduction as the type of inference that characterises the development of a grounded theory. *Qualitative Research*, 6(4), 497-513.
- Riege, A. (2005). Three-dozen knowledge sharing barriers managers must consider. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 9 (3), 18-35.
- Rigdon, E. E. (2012). Rethinking partial least squares path modeling: In praise of simple methods. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5-6), 341-358
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., and Will, A. (2005). Smart-PLS 2.0 (M3) Beta.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Becker, J.M. (2015) SmartPLS. SmartPLS GmbH, Boenningstedt.
- Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M., and Mooi, E. A. (2010). Response-based segmentation using finite mixture partial least squares. *Paper presented at the data mining*.
- Rockart, J. F. (1979). Chief executives define their own data needs. *Harvard business review*, 57(2), 81-93.
- Rockart, J. F. (1982, September). Current uses of the critical success factors process. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Conference of the Society for Information Management* (pp. 17-21).
- Rollett, H. (2003), Knowledge Management, Processes and Technologies. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*
- Rothenberg, S. (2003). Knowledge content and worker participation in environmental management at NUMMI. *Journal of management studies*, 40(7), 1783-1802.
- Rubenstein-Montano, B., Liebowitz, J., Buchwalter, J., McCaw, D., Newman, B., Rebeck, K., & Team, T. K. M. M. (2001). A systems thinking framework for knowledge management. *Decision support systems*, 31(1), 5-16.
- Rylander, A. and Peppard, J. (2005). What Really is a Knowledge-Intensive Firm? - (Re)Framing Research in the “Knowledge Economy”. *Organisation*, 1-31.
- Sabri Ahmad, Zulkurnain, Khairushalimi, and Izzati, (2016). Assessing the Validity and Reliability of a Measurement Model in Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). *British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 15(3), 1-8.
- Saiedian, H., and Dale, R. (2000). Requirements engineering: making the connection

- between the software developer and customer. *Information and software technology*, 42(6), 419-428.
- Saini, M., Arif, M., and Kulonda, D. J. (2018). Critical factors for transferring and sharing tacit knowledge within lean and agile construction processes. *Construction Innovation*, 18(1), 64-89. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-06-2016-0036>.
- Salkind, N. (2000). Statistics for people who (think they) hate statistics. *Sage Publications*, 38(02), 38-0999-38-0999.
- Salkind, Neil J. (2010). Encyclopaedia of research design (Vol. 1): *Sage Publications Inc*
- Salkind, N. (2018). *Exploring Research, Global Edition* (9th ed.).
- Salleh, K., and Ahmad, S. N. S. (2006). KM strategy for E-Government: An exploratory study of Local Authorities in Malaysia. In *Proceedings of the Knowledge Management International Conference & Exhibition 2006*.
- Salojärvi, S., Furu, P., and Sveiby, K. E. (2005). Knowledge management and growth in Finnish SMEs. *Journal of knowledge management*, 9(2), 103-122.
- Salojärvi, H., Sainio, L. M., & Tarkiainen, A. (2010). Organizational factors enhancing customer knowledge utilization in the management of key account relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(8), 1395-1402.
- Salzano, K. A., Maurer, C. A., Wyvratt, J. M., Stewart, T., Peck, J., Rygiel, B., and Petree, T. (2016). A knowledge management framework and approach for clinical development. *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*, 50(5), 536-545.
- Sapsford, R. (2007). Survey Research (2nd): *Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Inc*.
- Sarantakos, S. (2012). Social Research. *Palgrave Macmillan*.
- Saraph, J.V., Benson, P.G., and Schroeder, R.G. (1989). An instrument for measuring the critical factors of quality management. *Decision Sciences*, 20(4), 810-829.
- Sarkar, R. J., and Bandyopadhyay, S. (2002). Developing an intranet-based knowledge management framework in a consulting firm: a conceptual model and its implementation. In *Workshop on Knowledge Management and Organisational Memories (ECAI02), Lyon, France*.
- Salojärvi, H., Sainio, L.-M., & Tarkiainen, A. (2010). Organizational factors enhancing customer knowledge utilization in the management of key account

- relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(8), 1395–1402.
- Salzano, K. A., Maurer, C. A., Wyvratt, J. M., Stewart, T., Peck, J. Rygiel, B, and Petree, T. (2016). A knowledge management framework and approach for clinical development. *Journal of Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2168479016664773>.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., and Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial least squares structural equation modeling. *Handbook of market research*, 1-40.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair Jr, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Becker, J.-M., and Ringle, C. M. (2019). How to specify, estimate and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)*.
- Sarvary, M. (1999). Knowledge Management and Competition in the Consulting Industry. *California Management Review*, 41(2), 95-107.
- Saunders (2011). Research methods for business students, 5/e: *Pearson Education India*.
- Saunders (2012). Choosing research participants. *Qualitative organisational research: Core methods and current challenges*, 35-52.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., and Thornhill, A. (2016). *Research methods for business students* (7th ed.). Harlow, United Kingdom: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Saunders, and Brooks. (2009). Initial scale development: sample size for pilot studies. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*.
- Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill (2003). Research method for business students: *Pearson education*.
- Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill (2009). Research methods for business students. *Harlow: Prentice Hall*.
- Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill. (2007). Formulating the research design. *Research methods for business students*, 130-161.
- Scarbrough, H. (1999). Knowledge as work: Conflicts in the management of knowledge workers. *Technology analysis & strategic management*, 11(1), 5-16.
- Scarbrough, H., Robertson, M., & Swan, J. (2005). Professional media and management fashion: The case of knowledge management. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 21(2), 197-208.
- Schultze, U., and Boland Jr, R. J. (2000). Knowledge management technology and the reproduction of knowledge work practices. *The Journal of Strategic*

- Information Systems*, 9(2-3), 193-212.
- Sedighi, M., & Zand, F. (2012, November). Knowledge management: Review of the Critical Success Factors and development of a conceptual classification model. In *2012 Tenth International Conference on ICT and Knowledge Engineering* (pp. 1-9). IEEE.
- Sekaran U, Bougie R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Sekaran, and Bougie. (2010). Research methods for business: A skill building approach (5th edition.). *Chichester West Sussex: John Wiley and Sons Inc*.
- Sekaran, U., and Bougie, R. (2010). Theoretical framework in theoretical framework and hypothesis development. Research methods for business: A skill building approach, 80.
- Sekaran, U., and Bougie, R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Sekaran. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach (4th Edition): *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Sekaran. (2006). Research methods for business: A skill building approach: *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Serrat, O. (2017). Knowledge solutions: Tools, methods, and approaches to drive organizational performance. ISBN: 978-981-10-0982-2 (Print) 978-981-10-0983-9 (Online)
- Senge, P.M. (1997). Sharing knowledge. *Executive Excellent*, 14(11), 17.
- Serna M. E., Bachiller S. O., and Serna A. A. (2017). Knowledge meaning and management in requirements engineering. *International Journal of Information Management*, 37(3), 155–161.
- Shani, N., and Divyapriya, P. (2013). A Study on Impact of Knowledge Management for Career Development Among IT Professionals. *Asia Pacific Journal Of Marketing and Management Review*, 2(9). Retrieved from <http://indianresearchjournals.com/pdf/APJMMR/2013/September/3.pdf>
- Sharma, A. (2016). Managing diversity and equality in the workplace. *Cogent Business and Management*, 3(1), 1212682.
- Shehu, Z., Endut, I. R., and Akintoye, A. (2014). Factors contributing to project time and hence cost overrun in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*, 19(1), 55-75.

- Shen, J., Chanda, A., D'Netto, B., and Monga, M. (2009). Managing diversity through human resource management: An international perspective and conceptual framework. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20, 235–251.
- Shmueli, G., and Koppius, O. R. (2011). Predictive Analytics in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(3), 553-572. doi:10.2307/23042796
- Shmueli, G., Ray, S., Estrada, J. V., and Chatla, S. (2016). The elephant in the room: Evaluating the predictive performance of partial least squares (PLS) path models. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2659233>
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., and Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: Guidelines for using PLSpredict. *European Journal of Marketing*
- Shokri-Ghasabeh, M., and Chileshe, N. (2014). Knowledge management: Barriers to capturing lessons learned from Australian construction contractors perspective. *Construction Innovation*, 14(1), 108-134.
- Siang, L.C. and Ali, A.S. (2012). Implementation of risk management in the Malaysia construction industry. *Journal of Surveying, Construction and Property*, 3(1), 1-15.
- Siemieniuch, C. E., & Sinclair, M. A. (1999). Organizational aspects of knowledge lifecycle management in manufacturing. *International journal of Human-Computer studies*, 51(3), 517-547.
- Singh, S. K. (2008). Role of leadership in knowledge management: a study. *Journal of knowledge management*.
- SME Corporation Malaysia (2013). SME Corp. Malaysia Annual Report 2013. *SME Corporation Malaysia*. Retrieved from <https://www.smecorp.gov.my/images/flippingbook/PDF/SME%20Corp%20Annual%20Report%202013.pdf>.
- Smith, E. A. (2001). The role of tacit and explicit knowledge in the workplace. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Smith, H., and Schurink, W. (2005). The interface between knowledge management and human resources: a qualitative study. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 3(1), 6-13.

- Snowden, D. (2000). *Cynefin: a sense of time and space, the social ecology of knowledge management (The Present and the Promise of Knowledge Management ed. C Despres & D Chauvel ed.)*. Butterworth Heinemann.
- Snyman, T., and Smallwood, J. (2017). Improving productivity in the business of construction. *Procedia Engineering*, 182, 651-657.
- Soto-Acosta, P., Popa, S., and Palacios-Marqués, D. (2016). E-business, organisational innovation and firm performance in manufacturing SMEs: an empirical study in Spain. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 22(6), 885-904.
- Standing, H., and Baume, E. (2003). *Equity, equal opportunities, gender and organisation performance*. ITGPress.
- Stankosky, M. (2005). *Creating the discipline of knowledge management*. Routledge
- Steyn, G. M. (2004). Harnessing the power of knowledge in higher education.
- Stonehouse, G. H., and Pemberton, J. D. (1999). Learning and knowledge management in the intelligent organisation. *Participation and Empowerment: An international journal*.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validators choice and assessment of statistical predictions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 36(2), 111-133.
- Stroe, G. S. (2013). Structural Analysis Of Engineering Consulting And Design Industry. *Management and Marketing*, 8(1).
- Sullivan, G. M., and Feinn, R. (2012). Using effect size—or why the P value is not enough. *Journal of graduate medical education*, 4(3), 279-282.
- Subramaniam, M., and Youndt, M. A. (2005). The influence of intellectual capital on the types of innovative capabilities. *Academy of Management journal*, 48(3), 450-463.
- Suresh, P. V. Shivakumar, S. K., & (2014, March). Maximizing Knowledge Management returns in e-commerce. In *Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)*, 2014 International Conference on (pp. 545-550). IEEE.
- Suresh, Subashini. (2006). *Knowledge Capture in Small and Medium Enterprise in UK Constuction Industry*. Clagow Caledonian University
- Sveiby, K. E. (1997). *The new organizational wealth: Managing & measuring knowledge-based assets*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

- Swan, J., & Scarbrough, H. (2001). Knowledge management: Concepts and controversies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(7), 913-921.
- Talib, O. (2013). *Asas penulisan tesis, penyelidikan and statistik*. Penerbit Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Tan, C. N. L. (2016). Enhancing knowledge sharing and research collaboration among academics: the role of knowledge management. *Higher education*, 71(4), 525-556.
- Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2001). Using multivariate statistics. Sixthth ed. Tabachnick, and Fidell. (2001). Multivariate statistics. *Needham Heights, MA: Allyn*.
- Tabachnick, B. G. and Fidell, L. S. (2007). Using multivariate statistics (Vol. 5). *Boston, MA: Pearson*.
- Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2001). Cleaning up your act: Screening data prior to analysis. *Using multivariate statistics*, 5, 61-116.
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., and Ullman, J. B. (2007). Using multivariate statistics (Vol. 5). *Boston, MA: Pearson*.
- Tabachnick, B., and Fidell, L. (2006). Using multivariate statistics. *Phildelphia*.
- Tabachnick, B.G. and Fidell, L.S. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics, *5th edn, Allyn and Bacon, Boston*.
- Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2013). Using Multivariate Statistics, Ed. 6th Pearson Education. *Inc, Boston*
- Takhtravanchi, M. (2017). Tacit knowledge integration within the traditional construction procurement system (Doctoral dissertation, University of Salford).
- Tan, H. C., Carrillo, P. M., Anumba, C. J., Bouchlaghem, N., Kamara, J. M., and Udejaja, C. E. (2007). Development of a methodology for live capture and reuse of project knowledge in construction. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 23(1), 18-26.
- Tatsuki, S.; Masahisa, N., (2006). Application of knowledge management to environmental management project: A case study for lake management. Lakes and reservoirs., *Resour. Manage.*, 11 (2), 97-102.
- Tavitiyaman, P., Zhang, H. Q., and Qu, H. (2012). The effect of competitive strategies and organisational structure on hotel performance. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 24, 140–159.
- Taylor, G. (2013). Implementing and maintaining a knowledge sharing culture via

- knowledge management teams: A shared leadership approach. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict*, 17(1), 69.
- Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V. E., Chatelin, Y. M., and Lauro, C. (2005). PLS path modeling. *Computational statistics and data analysis*, 48(1), 159-205.
- Thatcher, R. (2010). Validity and reliability of quantitative electroencephalography (QEEG). *Journal of Neurotherapy*, 14, 122-152.
- Theriou, N. G., Maditinos, D., and Theriou, G. (2011). Knowledge Management Enabler Factors and Firm Performance: An empirical research of the Greek medium and large firms.
- Thillainathan, R., and Cheong, K. C. (2016). Malaysia's new economic policy, growth and distribution: Revisiting the debate. *Malaysian Journal of Economic Studies*, 53(1), 51-68.
- Thomas. (2004). Research skills for management studies: *Psychology Press*.
- Thompson, R., Barclay, D. W., and Higgins, C. A. (1995). The partial least squares approach to causal modelling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration. *Technology studies: special issue on Research Methodology*, 2(2), 284-324.
- Tiwana, A. B. (2002). The influence of knowledge integration on project success: An empirical examination of e-business teams.
- Tobias, Z., 2000. Champions of knowledge, *Computer World*, Oct.2, 2000, 34(40), p 84
- Todorović, M. L., Petrović, D. Č., Mihić, M. M., Obradović, V. L., and Bushuyev, S. D. (2015). Project success analysis framework: A knowledge-based approach in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(4), 772-783.
- Toften, K. and Olsen, S. O. (2003). Export market information use, organizational knowledge, and firm performance. *International Marketing Review*. DOI:10.1108/02651330310462284
- Tolbert, P., and Hall, R. (2009). Organizations: Structures. *Processes, and Outcomes (10th)*.
- Torabi, F., and El-Den, J. (2017). The impact of knowledge management on organisational productivity: a case study on Koosar Bank of Iran. *Procedia Computer Science*, 124, 300-310.
- Treacy, M., & Wiersema, F. (1993). Customer intimacy and other value

- disciplines. *Harvard business review*, 71(1), 84-93.
- Trepper, C. (2000). *ECommerce Strategies*. Microsoft Press.
- Tsang, J. A. (2002). Moral rationalization and the integration of situational factors and psychological processes in immoral behaviour. *Review of General Psychology*, 6(1), 25-50.
- Tseng, S. M. (2008). Knowledge management system performance measure index. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34, 734–745
- Tseng, S. M. (2014). The impact of knowledge management capabilities and supplier relationship management on corporate performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 154, 39-47.
- Tunc Bozbura, F. (2007). Knowledge management practices in Turkish SMEs. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 20(2), 209-221.
- Tupenaite, L., Kanapeckiene, L., and Naimaviciene, J. (2008). Knowledge management model for construction projects. *Computer modelling and new technologies*, 12(3), 38-46.
- Uprichard, E. (2013). Sampling: bridging probability and non-probability designs. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 16(1), 1-11.
- Urbancová, H., and Linhartová, L. (2011). Staff turnover as a possible threat to knowledge loss. *Journal of competitiveness*, 3(3).
- V P Kochikar (2000). Principal knowledge manager, Infosys technologies limited, ‘The Knowledge management maturity model – A staged framework for leveraging knowledge’, *Paper presented and published at the KM World 2000 conference -- September 2000*
- Valmohammadi, C. (2010). Identification and prioritization of critical success factors of knowledge management in Iranian SMEs: An experts view. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(6), 915-924.
- Van Teijlingen, E., and Hundley, V. (2002). The importance of pilot studies. *Nursing standard*, 16(40), 33-36.
- Veal, A.J. (2005). *Business Research Methods: A Managerial Approach*, (2nd edn) *Pearson Education: Australia, French Forest*.
- Vehkalahti. (2000). Reliability of measurement scales. *Statistical research reports*, 17.
- Venkatraman, N., and Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategy research: A comparison of approaches. *Academy of management review*, 11(4), 801-814.

- Vinzi, V. E., Trinchera, L., and Amato, S. (2010). PLS path modeling: from foundations to recent developments and open issues for model assessment and improvement. In *Handbook of partial least squares* (pp. 47-82). *Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg*.
- Vista (2021). What is an engineering services company? Integrated Engineering Consulting.
- Wagner, M. (2008). Empirical influence of environmental management on innovation: Evidence from Europe. *Ecological Economics*, 66(2-3), 392-402.
- Walliman, N. (2006). *Social research methods: Sage Publication Inc.*
- Winzenried, A., Law, D., and Hughes, P. (2010). *Visionary leaders for information. Burlington: Elsevier Science.*
- Wetzels, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G., and Van Oppen, C. (2009). Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: Guidelines and empirical illustration. *MIS quarterly*, 177-195.
- Wiig, K. M. (1997). Knowledge management: Where did it come from and where will it go?. *Expert systems with applications*, 13(1), 1-14.
- Willis J. W., and Jost, M. (2007). *Foundations of qualitative research: Interpretive and critical approaches. Sage.*
- Wiggins, B. C. (2000). *Detecting and Dealing with Outliers in Univariate and Multivariate Contexts.*
- Wold, H. (1982). Soft modeling: the basic design and some extensions. *Systems under indirect observation*, 2, 343.
- Wong, K. Y. (2005). Critical success factors for implementing knowledge management in small and medium enterprises. *Industrial management & Data systems*.
- Wong, K. Y., Tan, L. P., Lee, C. S., and Wong, W. P. (2015). Knowledge management performance measurement: measures, approaches, trends and future directions. *Information Development*, 31(3), 239-257.
- World Bank (2007). *World Development Indicator*. Retrieved from <http://documents.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/IW3P/I B/2006/09/1>
- Wu, I., and Lin, H. (2009). A strategy-based process for implementing knowledge management: An integrative view and empirical study. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 60, 789–802

- Wu, J. W., Tseng, J. C., Yu, W. D., Yang, J. B., Lee, S. M., and Tsai, W. N. (2012). An integrated proactive knowledge management model for enhancing engineering services. *Automation in Construction*, 24, 81-88.
- Xu, J., Quaddus, M., Sankaran, S., and Faranda, B. (2005). *Does Size Matter in Knowledge Management: A Comparison between Large Organizations and SMEs*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Electronic Business, Hong Kong.
- Xue, R., Baron, C., and Esteban, P. (2017). Optimising product development in industry by alignment of the ISO/IEC 15288 systems engineering standard and the PMBoK guide. *International Journal of Product Development*, 22(1), 65-80.
- Xue, C. T. S. (2017). A Literature Review on Knowledge Management in Organisations. *Research in Business and Management*, 4(1), 30-41.
- Yaghoubi, N. M., and Maleki, N. (2012). Critical Success Factors of Knowledge Management. *J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res*, 2(12), 12024-12030.
- Yang, J., Han, Q., Zhou, J., and Yuan, C. (2015). The influence of environmental management practices and supply chain integration on technological innovation performance—Evidence from China's manufacturing industry. *Sustainability*, 7(11), 15342-15361.
- Yap, J. B. H., and Lock, A. (2017). Analysing the benefits, techniques, tools and challenges of knowledge management practices in the Malaysian construction SMEs. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*.
- Yauch, C. A., and Steudel, H. J. (2003). Complementary use of qualitative and quantitative cultural assessment methods. *Organisational research methods*. 6(4), 465-481.
- Yeager, D. S., Krosnick, J. A., Chang, L., Javitz, H. S., Levendusky, M. S., Simpser, A., and Wang, R. (2011). Comparing the Accuracy of RDD Telephone Surveys and Internet Surveys Conducted with Probability and Non-Probability Samples. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75(4), 709-747.
- Yee-Loong Chong, A., Ooi, K. B., Bao, H., and Lin, B. (2014). Can e-business adoption be influenced by knowledge management? An empirical analysis of Malaysian SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 18(1), 121-136.

- Yew Wong, K. (2015). Critical success factors for implementing knowledge management in small and medium enterprises. *Industrial management and Data systems*, 105(3), 261-279.
- Yin, R. K. (1992). The role of theory in doing case study research and evaluations. *European Journal of Gynaecological Oncology*, 290-297.
- Yin, S. Y., Tserng, H. P., and Tsai, M. D. (2008). A model of integrating the cycle of construction knowledge flows: lessons learned in Taiwan. *Automation in Construction*, 17(5), 536-549.
- Yong, Y. C., and Mustaffa, N. E. (2012). Analysis of factors critical to construction project success in Malaysia. *Engineering, construction and architectural management*.
- Yoo, W., Mayberry, R., Bae, S., Singh, K., He, Q. P., and Lillard Jr, J. W. (2014). A study of effects of multicollinearity in the multivariable analysis. *International Journal of Applied Science And Technology*, 4(5), 9.
- Young, R. (2008). Knowledge management - back to basic principles. Available at: <http://knol.google.com/k/knowledge-management-back-to-basic-principles>
- Young, R. (2010). Understanding the four dimensions of knowledge management. Personal–team–organizational–inter-organizational knowledge management [in:] <http://www.knowledge-management-online.com>.
- Young, M. (2010). The future of education in a knowledge society: The radical case for a subject-based curriculum. *Journal of the Pacific Circle Consortium for Education*, 22(1), 21-32.
- Yu, P. K. (2003). Traditional knowledge, intellectual property, and Indigenous culture: An introduction. *Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 11, 239.
- Yusof, M. N., Yahaya, N. M., Awang, N., Hassan, N. N. B. N., and Cheen, K. S. (2019). The challenges in implementing knowledge management in construction industry. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, 8, 86–90. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.E1012.0585C19>
- Yusof, M. N., and Bakar, A. H. A. (2012). Knowledge management and growth performance in construction companies: a framework. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 62, 128-134.
- Zack, M., McKeen, J., & Singh, S. (2009). Knowledge management and organizational performance: an exploratory analysis. *Journal of knowledge management*.

- Zaim, H., Muhammed, S., & Tarim, M. (2019). Relationship between knowledge management processes and performance: critical role of knowledge utilization in organizations. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice, 17*(1), 24-38.
- Zaky, A. H. M., and Soliman, M. (2017). The effect of knowledge management critical success factors on knowledge management effectiveness and performance: An empirical research in Egyptian banking sector. *The Business and Management Review, 9*(2).
- Zhang, Z., and Yuan, K. H. (2018). *Practical statistical power analysis using Webpower and R*. Isdsa Press.
- Zheng, W., Yang, B., & McLean, G. N. (2010). Linking organizational culture, structure, strategy, and organizational effectiveness: Mediating role of knowledge management. *Journal of Business research, 63*(7), 763-771.
- Zikmund, Babin, Carr, and Griffin (2013). Business research methods: *Cengage Learning*.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., and Griffin, M. (2003). Business research methods (Ed.). *Thomson/South-Western, Cincinnati, OH*.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., and Griffin, M. (2010). Business research methods (8th edition.). *Mason, HO: Cengage Learning*.
- Zikmund. (2003). Sample designs and sampling procedures. *Business research methods, 7*, 368-400.

Appendix A Appropriate Sample Size for Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) Analysis

Exhibit 1.7 Sample Size Recommendation a in PLS-SEM for a Statistical Power of 80%

Maximum Number of Arrows Pointing at a Construct	Significance Level											
	1%				5%				10%			
	Minimum R ²				Minimum R ²				Minimum R ²			
	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75
2	158	75	47	38	110	52	33	26	88	41	26	21
3	176	84	53	42	124	59	38	30	100	48	30	25
4	191	91	58	46	137	65	42	33	111	53	34	27
5	205	98	62	50	147	70	45	36	120	58	37	30
6	217	103	66	53	157	75	48	39	128	62	40	32
7	228	109	69	56	166	80	51	41	136	66	42	35
8	238	114	73	59	174	84	54	44	143	69	45	37
9	247	119	76	62	181	88	57	46	150	73	47	39
10	256	123	79	64	189	91	59	48	156	76	49	41

Source: Cohen, J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 155-519.

Appendix B Questionnaire Survey



QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY:

FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PRACTICE FOR MALAYSIAN ENGINEERING CONSULTANT FIRM IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am Azlan Bin Othman, a student from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur. Currently I am a second year of my postgraduate studies pursuing my PhD. As part of my PhD programme, I am conducting a study on “Framework of Knowledge Management Practice for Malaysian Engineering Consultant Firm in Construction Industry”.

The overview and purpose of this survey is attached to provide an understanding of the research. Consequently, in making this survey a fruitful exercise, I wish to seek your cooperation and support by kindly inviting your esteemed organisation to take part in this study.

I would be very grateful if you could kindly complete the attached questionnaires and return to the address by 30 November 2016. Furthermore, if a softcopy version of the survey is required by your organisation, kindly please email to irazlan@gmail.com

All information received will be treated in the strictly confidential and anonymity of firms and respondents will be ensured. The information provided by the organisation will be used strictly for this research purpose only.

Finally, I would sincerely like to thank you for your cooperation and your support as well as your kind interest in this survey participation.

Yours faithfully,

Azlan Othman

PhD Candidate

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia,

54100 Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra

Kuala Lumpur.

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Syuhaida Ismail (012-6469235)

Co-Supervisor : Dr. Khairulzan Yahya

Knowledge management (KM) is a system of capturing, managing and disseminating information, database, best practices and lesson learns in a company or organisation. This study is conducted to comprehend how knowledge management is applied in a consultant firms engaged in construction industry in Malaysia, as well as to understand what are the associated challenges and critical success factors (CSF) in knowledge management implementation. To achieve these objectives, the questionnaires below are developed to obtain the response from respondents who are employed to/running these consultant firms.

QUESTIONNAIRES

These questionnaires are divided into **6 sections** as follows:

Section A: to obtain the respondents' particulars and the company/organisation background

Section B: to identify the effect of the processes of current knowledge management (KM) practices in the Malaysian engineering consulting firms in the construction industry

Section C: to investigate the effect of the understanding on knowledge management (KM) practices Malaysian engineering consulting firm in the construction industry.

Section D: to examine the effect of challenges related to knowledge management (KM) implementation in the engineering consulting firms Malaysian construction industry.

Section E: to assess the effect of critical success factors (CSF) on knowledge management (KM) implementation in the engineering consulting firms in Malaysian construction industry.

Section F: to examine the effect of the efficiency on knowledge management (KM) implementation in engineering consulting firms of the Malaysian construction industry

**SECTION A: RESPONDENTS' PARTICULAR AND COMPANY/
ORGANISATION BACKGROUND**

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer

A1. What is your current position in your company/organisation?

- Managing Director/Director/Principal
- Senior Engineer
- Junior Engineer
- Others (Please specify: _____)

A2. How many years of working experience do you have?

- 20 years and above
- 10-19 years
- 5-9 years
- Less than 5 years

A3. What is your highest level of academic qualification?

- Phd
- Master
- Bachelor Degree
- Others (Please specify: _____)

A4. Registration as Professional Engineer?

- Yes (Please specify.....)
- No

A5. What is the ownership / shareholding of the company/organisation?

- Public Listed
- Limited Company
- Partnership
- Sole Proprietor
- Others (Please specify: _____)

A6. What is the company size by number of staff?

- Large Consultant Firm (>50 staff)
- Medium Consultant Firm (10 - 50 staff)
- Small Consultant Firm (< 10 staff)

SECTION B: PROCESSES OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) PRACTICES IN THE CONSULTANT FIRMS

Please rate the extend of KM processes implemented in your company/ organisation?

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer:

5 = Strongly Agree

4 = Agree

3 = Not Applicable/Not Sure

2 = Disagree

1 = Strongly Disagree

No	Knowledge Management Process Elements	1	2	3	4	5
1	Identification					
B.1	Knowledge assets (information, skills) is identifiable					
B.2	Identification of the different types of knowledge (tacit, explicit) available in the organisation					
B.3	Core values and competencies identified in the organisation					
B.4	Opportunities for innovation identified to create competitive advantage.					
2	Capture					
B.5	Knowledge and information is captured in minutes of meetings					
B.6	Knowledge and information is captured in seminars					
B.7	Knowledge and information is captured in presentation sessions					
3	Dissemination					
B.8	Knowledge dissemination is encouraged through workshop					
B.9	Knowledge dissemination is encouraged through meetings					
B.10	Documents are disseminated to authorised employees					
4	Utilisation					
B.11	Best practices from previous project have been utilised in the new projects					
B.12	Lesson learnt from previous project will be utilised in the future projects.					
B.13	New knowledge utilised by team members in the company/organisation.					
5	Sharing					
B.14	Knowledge sharing (best practices/lesson learnt) is encouraged through meetings					

B.15	Knowledge sharing (best practices/lesson learnt) is encouraged through presentations					
B.16	Knowledge sharing (best practices/lesson learnt) is encouraged through publications					
B.17	Knowledge is shared among peers					
6	Storage					
B.18	Documents and reports properly stored in a softcopy database system					
B.19	Documents and reports stored in hardcopy in the library					
B.20	Physical storage uses established records management or archival practices, with adequate shelving durable boxes, folders, labelling and etc.					
B.21	The repository for electronic resources has adequate capacity for long-term storage					
B.22	The repository for electronic resources is backed up routinely, based on established and enforced procedures and protocols.					
B.23	The location of stored resources, physical and electronic, is reasonably convenient and accessible.					
B.24	Documents securely stored and protected.					
7	Strategising					
B.25	KM process has been well strategised by the company/organisation					
B.26	Strategic knowledge well protected					
B.27	Training of workers required to meet the strategic objectives in the organisation					
B.28	Employees well understood and aware of KM strategies in the organisation					
B.29	Relevant knowledge assets created in the organisation					

SECTION C: UNDERSTANDING OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) AMONGST PRACTITIONERS IN THE MALAYSIAN CONSTRUCTION CONSULTANT FIRMS

How well do you understand the importance of knowledge management (KM)?

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer:

5 = Strongly Agree

4 = Agree

3 = Not Applicable/Not Sure

2 = Disagree

1 = Strongly Disagree

No	Measure of Understanding the Importance of Knowledge Management (KM)	1	2	3	4	5
1	Attitude					
C.1	Information and knowledge are confidential and therefore need to be kept safely in personal storage drive					
C.2	KM processes are very time consuming					
2	Ignorance					
C.3	Most companies perceive KM as non-beneficial					
C.4	Due to ignorance, organisation fail to appreciate the impact of KM					
C.5	KM exist in most business entities					
C.6	Business required KM-based KPI					
C.7	Shareholders and employees required KM-based KPI					
3	Motivation					
C.8	KM enhances performance of employees					
C.9	KM boosts motivation among workers					
C.10	KM facilitate proper decision making					
4	Benefit					
C.11	KM enables a competitive business environment					
C.12	KM increases revenue and overall productivity					
5	Need					
C.13	Training on KM is important					
C.14	Coaching on KM is necessary					

Please share your thought on how beneficial KM is and how it can be applied to your company/organisation:

SECTION D: CHALLENGES IN KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) IMPLEMENTATION

How do you rank the challenges in knowledge management (KM) implementation in your company/organisation.

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer:

5 = Strongly Agree

4 = Agree

3 = Not Applicable/Not Sure

2 = Disagree

1 = Strongly Disagree

No	Challenges of Knowledge Management (KM)	1	2	3	4	5
1	Organisational Challenges					
D.1	No sharing culture					
D.2	Difficult to implement					
D.3	Lack of social network					
D.4	Differences in culture					
D.5	Lack of interaction					
D.6	Fear of minimal recognition					
2	Individual Challenges					
D.7	Difficulty to capture knowledge during informal discussions					
D.8	KM is not well understood					
D.9	Lack of communication skills					
D.10	Lack of time					
D.11	Lack of trust					
D.12	Lack of motivation					
D.13	Lack of awareness of the benefit of knowledge sharing					
D.14	Fear of causing internal conflicts					
3	Technological Challenges					
D.15	Technological limitations					
D.16	Document contents are difficult to understand					
D.17	Fear of knowledge insecurity					
D.18	Keeping data accurate and relevant					
D.19	Difficult to determine where KM belongs to (HR/IT/etc)					

Please share your thought on the biggest challenge to apply KM to your company/organisation:

SECTION E: CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS (CSF) OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) IMPLEMENTATION

How important are these elements towards the success of knowledge management (KM) implementation?

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer:

5 = Strongly Agree

4 = Agree

3 = Not Applicable/Not Sure

2 = Disagree

1 = Strongly Disagree

No	Critical Success Factors (CSF) in Knowledge Management (KM) Implementation	1	2	3	4	5
1	Organisational					
E.1	Continuous organisation support					
E.2	Strategic plan					
E.3	Knowledge and sharing culture					
E.4	Human capital development					
E.5	Financial capability					
E.6	Strengthened business imperative					
E.7	Human capital management					
E.8	Marketing					
E.9	Market dynamics for instance, competition, markets, time, pressure, governmental and economic climates					
E.10	Benchmarking KM system nationwide					
2	Technology					
E.11	Technology					
E.12	Infrastructure					
E.13	Precision of KM processes					
E.14	Quality control and assurance					
E.15	KM measurement with respect to KPI					

3	People					
E.16	Detailed strategy on knowledge management process					
E.17	Leadership competence by the executive					
E.18	Continuous learning					
E.19	Training of staff should be made compulsory					
E.20	Elimination of constraints that defer knowledge management implementation					
E.21	Accurate knowledge management processes					

Please share your thought on critical factors for a successful KM implementation in your company/organisation:

SECTION F: KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) EFFICIENCY

How efficient are these elements towards the knowledge management (KM) implementation?

Please TICK [/] the most appropriate answer:

1 = Not Important

2 = Less Important

3 = Not Sure

4 = Important

5 = Very Important

No	KM Efficiency	1	2	3	4	5
1	Planet					
F.1	Pollution prevention - KM practices which aim to reduce waste and emission at a cost-efficient price					
F.2	Environment management - A systematic approach in an organisation to improve environmental awareness among employees through environmental decision making					
2	People					
F.3	Equal opportunity - Fair and tolerant KM practices in relation to following guidelines in managing diversity in an organisation and equivalent access towards learning resources and tools					
F.4	Career - Profession advancement opportunities for knowledgeable and skilled employees					
3	Profit					
F.5	Growth - Comprehensive and strategic approach in managing knowledge in an organisation towards performance projection					
F.6	Profit - Utilisation of knowledge and intellectual resources/tools in yielding innovation process in order to identify any entrepreneurial opportunities.					

F.7	Cost saving - A systematic approach of KM in managing in and out cash flow through its efficiency in reducing employee turnover and production of innovative product/service/ideas					
-----	--	--	--	--	--	--

Please share your thought to improve KM efficiency implementation in your company/organisation:

- END OF QUESTION -

**THANK YOU FOR TAKING YOUR PRECIOUS TIME TO FILL THE
QUESTIONNAIRE AND YOUR CO-OPERATION IS REALLY
APPRECIATED.**

Appendix C Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output: Missing Values

PI1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	6	3.0	3.0	3.0
	3	69	34.2	34.3	37.3
	4	99	49.0	49.3	86.6
	5	27	13.4	13.4	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

PT2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	1	.5	.5	.5
	2	9	4.5	4.5	5.0
	3	50	24.8	24.9	29.9
	4	103	51.0	51.2	81.1
	5	38	18.8	18.9	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

PT5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	1	.5	.5	.5
	2	4	2.0	2.0	2.6
	3	48	23.8	24.5	27.0
	4	108	53.5	55.1	82.1
	5	35	17.3	17.9	100.0
	Total	196	97.0	100.0	
Missing	System	6	3.0		
Total		202	100.0		

PR5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	1	.5	.5	.5
	2	8	4.0	4.0	4.5
	3	39	19.3	19.4	23.9
	4	101	50.0	50.2	74.1
	5	52	25.7	25.9	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

UA1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	7	3.5	3.5	3.5
	3	41	20.3	20.4	23.9
	4	92	45.5	45.8	69.7
	5	61	30.2	30.3	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

UI3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	4	2.0	2.0	2.0
	2	16	7.9	8.0	10.0
	3	70	34.7	34.8	44.8
	4	61	30.2	30.3	75.1
	5	50	24.8	24.9	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

UM1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	10	5.0	5.0	5.0
	3	48	23.8	23.9	28.9
	4	102	50.5	50.7	79.6
	5	41	20.3	20.4	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

UM3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	10	5.0	5.0	5.0
	3	42	20.8	20.9	25.9
	4	91	45.0	45.3	71.1
	5	58	28.7	28.9	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

UB2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	9	4.5	4.5	4.5
	2	35	17.3	17.4	21.9
	3	64	31.7	31.8	53.7
	4	55	27.2	27.4	81.1
	5	38	18.8	18.9	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

EE1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	1	.5	.5	.5
	2	9	4.5	4.5	5.0
	3	56	27.7	27.9	32.8
	4	116	57.4	57.7	90.5
	5	19	9.4	9.5	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

EP1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	21	10.4	10.4	10.4
	2	20	9.9	10.0	20.4
	3	58	28.7	28.9	49.3
	4	79	39.1	39.3	88.6
	5	23	11.4	11.4	100.0
	Total	201	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.5		
Total		202	100.0		

Result Variables

	Result Variable	N of Replaced Missing Values	Case Number of Non-Missing Values		N of Valid Cases	Creating Function
			First	Last		
1	PI1	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(PI1)
2	PT2	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(PT2)
3	PT5	6	1	202	202	SMEAN(PT5)
4	PR5	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(PR5)
5	UA1	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(UA1)
6	UI3	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(UI3)
7	UM1	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(UM1)
8	UM3	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(UM3)
9	UB2	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(UB2)
10	EE1	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(EE1)
11	EP1	1	1	202	202	SMEAN(EP1)

Appendix D Chi-Square Table

Critical values of the Chi-square distribution with d degrees of freedom							
d	Probability of exceeding the critical value			d	Probability of exceeding the critical value		
	0.05	0.01	0.001		0.05	0.01	0.001
1	3.841	6.635	10.828	11	19.675	24.725	31.264
2	5.991	9.210	13.816	12	21.026	26.217	32.910
3	7.815	11.345	16.266	13	22.362	27.688	34.528
4	9.488	13.277	18.467	14	23.685	29.141	36.123
5	11.070	15.086	20.515	15	24.996	30.578	37.697
6	12.592	16.812	22.458	16	26.296	32.000	39.252
7	14.067	18.475	24.322	17	27.587	33.409	40.790
8	15.507	20.090	26.125	18	28.869	34.805	42.312
9	16.919	21.666	27.877	19	30.144	36.191	43.820
10	18.307	23.209	29.588	20	31.410	37.566	45.315

INTRODUCTION TO POPULATION GENETICS, Table D.1
© 2013 Sinauer Associates, Inc.

Appendix E Data Normality Test from WebPower

[New Analysis](#) [Login](#) [Register](#)

ENHANCED BY Google

WebPower

Statistical power analysis online

Output of skewness and kurtosis calculation

```
Sample size: 198
Number of variables: 27

Univariate skewness and kurtosis
  Skewness  SE_skew  Kurtosis  SE_kurt
EFF -0.246291897  0.1727781  -0.55832257  0.3438849
PRO  0.156490182  0.1727781  0.31194580  0.3438849
UDS  0.413316988  0.1727781  -0.63430383  0.3438849
CSF -0.661937247  0.1727781  0.83833649  0.3438849
CLG -0.615271688  0.1727781  -0.07991400  0.3438849
XP  0.021466399  0.1727781  -1.41507963  0.3438849
CI  -0.447403735  0.1727781  0.12613990  0.3438849
CO  -0.302837424  0.1727781  -0.51593918  0.3438849
CT  -0.539999647  0.1727781  0.26474544  0.3438849
EE  -0.486413357  0.1727781  0.61420763  0.3438849
EP  -0.329111604  0.1727781  -0.54929402  0.3438849
ES  -0.549489919  0.1727781  -0.35933781  0.3438849
FO  -0.468107694  0.1727781  0.51365407  0.3438849
FP  -0.394565190  0.1727781  0.28525785  0.3438849
FT  -0.113765995  0.1727781  -0.37142270  0.3438849
PC  -0.222843974  0.1727781  -0.45780317  0.3438849
PD  0.295791192  0.1727781  -0.28956630  0.3438849
PH  0.008277109  0.1727781  -0.51037763  0.3438849
PI  0.138776672  0.1727781  -0.04522762  0.3438849
PR  -0.405053638  0.1727781  0.16933876  0.3438849
PT  0.011941306  0.1727781  0.57908623  0.3438849
PU  -0.273560385  0.1727781  0.36077480  0.3438849
UA  -0.309873285  0.1727781  -0.57884256  0.3438849
UB  -0.121319607  0.1727781  -0.55014463  0.3438849
UI  0.180680590  0.1727781  -1.11363648  0.3438849
UM  -0.301530279  0.1727781  0.01515664  0.3438849
UN  -0.111064326  0.1727781  -0.71499080  0.3438849

Mardia's multivariate skewness and kurtosis
      b          z p-value
Skewness 206.3363 6809.09768    0
Kurtosis 887.2324 18.53145    0
```

Last modified: April 18 2019 13:22:04.

**Appendix F Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output:
Demographic of Respondents**

Position

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Managing Director/Director/Principal	89	44.9	44.9	44.9
	SEnior Engineer	57	28.8	28.8	73.7
	Junior Engineer	46	23.2	23.2	97.0
	Others	6	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	198	100.0	100.0	

Experience

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	20 years and above	54	27.3	27.3	27.3
	10 to19 years	46	23.2	23.2	50.5
	5 to 9 years	48	24.2	24.2	74.7
	Less than 5 years	50	25.3	25.3	100.0
	Total	198	100.0	100.0	

Qualification

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	PhD	17	8.6	8.6	8.6
	Master	29	14.6	14.6	23.2
	Bachelor Degree	152	76.8	76.8	100.0
	Total	198	100.0	100.0	

Ownership

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Limited Company	68	34.3	34.3	34.3
	Partnership	82	41.4	41.4	75.8
	Sole Proprietor	38	19.2	19.2	94.9
	Others	10	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	198	100.0	100.0	

Company's Size

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large (> 50 staffs)	67	33.8	33.8	33.8
	Medium (10 - 50 staffs)	80	40.4	40.4	74.2
	Small (< 10 staffs)	51	25.8	25.8	100.0
	Total	198	100.0	100.0	

Appendix G Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Output: Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
CSFactor	198	3.8893	.57457
Process	198	3.8403	.55780
Understanding	198	3.7586	.70845
Challenges	198	3.7169	.68366
Effectiveness	198	3.5291	.67780
Valid N (listwise)	198		

Appendix H Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: PLS Algorithm

Construct reliability and validity (Stage 1)

	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
CI	0.926	0.940	0.663
CLG	0.955	0.960	0.566
CO	0.907	0.929	0.687
CSF	0.955	0.959	0.531
CT	0.895	0.923	0.706
EE	0.615	0.833	0.715
EFF	0.852	0.890	0.545
EP	0.829	0.898	0.747
ES	0.791	0.905	0.827
FO	0.944	0.952	0.666
FP	0.907	0.928	0.683
FT	0.878	0.913	0.682
PC	0.809	0.888	0.726
PD	0.882	0.927	0.809
PH	0.866	0.909	0.715
PI	0.856	0.903	0.701
PR	0.925	0.944	0.770
PR O	0.966	0.969	0.518
PT	0.893	0.917	0.612
PU	0.816	0.891	0.732
UA	0.929	0.966	0.934
UB	0.964	0.982	0.965
UDS	0.950	0.956	0.611
UI	0.937	0.952	0.799
UM	0.910	0.944	0.848
UN	0.960	0.980	0.961

Construct reliability and validity (Stage 2)

	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
CLG	0.892	0.933	0.822
CSF	0.878	0.925	0.806
EFF	0.785	0.871	0.693
PRO	0.937	0.950	0.731
UDS	0.879	0.912	0.675

HTMT Ratio (Stage 2)

	CLG	CSF	EFF	PRO	UDS
CLG					
CSF	0.362				
EFF	0.431	0.569			
PRO	0.311	0.175	0.402		
UDS	0.145	0.154	0.373	0.342	

HTMT Ratio (Stage 1)

	CI	CLG	CO	CSF	CT	EE	EFF	EP	ES	FO	FP	FT	PC	PD	PH	PI	PR	PRO	PT	PU	UA	UB	UDS	UI	UM	UN
CI																										
CLG	0.994																									
CO	0.896	0.998																								
CSF	0.307	0.326	0.228																							
CT	0.699	0.894	0.755	0.380																						
EE	0.463	0.507	0.437	0.590	0.518																					
EFF	0.433	0.405	0.303	0.498	0.367	0.977																				
EP	0.333	0.258	0.129	0.412	0.220	0.564	1.062																			
ES	0.394	0.384	0.334	0.361	0.320	0.681	1.061	0.866																		
FO	0.223	0.235	0.181	0.935	0.252	0.407	0.353	0.272	0.296																	
FP	0.347	0.374	0.264	0.966	0.443	0.653	0.556	0.482	0.376	0.668																
FT	0.305	0.318	0.184	0.943	0.410	0.645	0.517	0.443	0.328	0.619	0.898															
PC	0.259	0.369	0.393	0.171	0.408	0.306	0.285	0.195	0.293	0.086	0.249	0.179														
PD	0.095	0.136	0.143	0.155	0.153	0.554	0.339	0.169	0.283	0.075	0.213	0.183	0.760													
PH	0.281	0.347	0.376	0.115	0.321	0.370	0.227	0.120	0.181	0.073	0.167	0.090	0.841	0.789												
PI	0.148	0.157	0.132	0.153	0.156	0.530	0.424	0.275	0.397	0.074	0.186	0.210	0.784	0.874	0.729											
PR	0.233	0.254	0.274	0.119	0.191	0.256	0.239	0.189	0.209	0.073	0.159	0.113	0.636	0.537	0.539	0.537										
PRO	0.268	0.316	0.322	0.168	0.295	0.463	0.373	0.239	0.355	0.092	0.228	0.181	0.957	0.928	0.900	0.956	0.765									
PT	0.345	0.373	0.363	0.162	0.325	0.500	0.433	0.290	0.426	0.090	0.221	0.171	0.874	0.872	0.871	0.872	0.642	1.023								
PU	0.231	0.297	0.300	0.206	0.313	0.383	0.359	0.207	0.428	0.115	0.272	0.229	0.881	0.817	0.782	0.879	0.688	0.995	0.895							
UA	0.181	0.187	0.174	0.132	0.162	0.390	0.279	0.187	0.219	0.132	0.089	0.129	0.311	0.284	0.374	0.314	0.224	0.341	0.331	0.320						
UB	0.138	0.100	0.084	0.186	0.033	0.325	0.291	0.238	0.232	0.148	0.172	0.205	0.062	0.168	0.133	0.231	0.076	0.164	0.161	0.210	0.427					
UDS	0.154	0.169	0.160	0.156	0.155	0.448	0.323	0.245	0.213	0.122	0.141	0.178	0.235	0.318	0.296	0.310	0.200	0.318	0.331	0.304	0.742	0.807				
UI	0.134	0.174	0.170	0.140	0.190	0.365	0.228	0.146	0.149	0.108	0.129	0.163	0.192	0.216	0.225	0.183	0.151	0.231	0.249	0.227	0.528	0.670	0.953			
UM	0.104	0.122	0.136	0.106	0.102	0.469	0.277	0.180	0.156	0.068	0.120	0.121	0.236	0.424	0.320	0.339	0.204	0.347	0.349	0.327	0.651	0.634	0.937	0.731		
UN	0.094	0.093	0.064	0.087	0.099	0.308	0.332	0.360	0.186	0.064	0.066	0.123	0.179	0.248	0.195	0.303	0.194	0.269	0.313	0.202	0.551	0.632	0.867	0.683	0.765	

Inner VIF

	EFF
CLG	1.189
CSF	1.140
EFF	
PRO	1.186
UDS	1.118
XP	1.013

R square

	R Square	R Square Adjusted
EFF	0.721	0.693

f square

	EFF
CLG	0.040
CLG x XP	0.045
CSF	0.218
CSF x XP	0.211
EFF	
PRO	0.051
PRO x XP	0.054
UDS	0.061
UDS x XP	0.060
XP	0.198

Appendix I Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: Blindfolding and PLSpredict

Q square

	SSO	SSE	Q ² (=1-SSE/SSO)
CLG	594.000	594.000	
CLG x XP	594.000	594.000	
CSF	594.000	594.000	
CSF x XP	594.000	594.000	
EFF	990.000	468.177	0.527
PRO	1386.000	1386.000	
PRO x XP	1386.000	1386.000	
UDS	990.000	990.000	
UDS x XP	990.000	990.000	
XP	198.000	198.000	

PLSpredict: Latent Variable Q square predict

	RMSE	MAE	Q ² _predict
EFF	0.834	0.640	0.329

PLSpredict: Manifest Variable Q square predict (PLS Model)

	RMSE	MAE	MAPE	Q ² _predict
EE	0.807	0.622	94.582	0.357
EP	0.939	0.708	139.027	0.130
ES	0.936	0.677	99.441	0.137

PLSpredict: Manifest Variable Q square predict (LM Model)

	RMSE	MAE	MAPE	Q ² _predict
EE	0.832	0.617	103.208	0.316
EP	0.962	0.716	179.666	0.087
ES	1.041	0.777	136.313	-0.069

Appendix J Smart Partial Least Squares (SmartPLS) Output: Bootstrapping

Path coefficients with t statistics

	Original Sample (O)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics (O/STDEV)	P Values
CLG -> EFF	0.167	0.067	2.502	0.006
CLG x XP -> EFF	0.112	0.050	2.240	0.008
CSF -> EFF	0.380	0.067	5.654	0.000
CSF x XP -> EFF	0.281	0.058	4.880	0.000
PRO -> EFF	0.189	0.058	3.290	0.001
PRO x XP -> EFF	0.139	0.054	2.575	0.005
UDS -> EFF	0.198	0.062	3.199	0.001
UDS x XP -> EFF	0.108	0.055	1.973	0.015
XP -> EFF	0.311	0.059	5.195	0.000

Path coefficients with confidence interval

	Original Sample (O)	5.0%	95.0%
CLG -> EFF	0.167	0.064	0.280
CLG x XP -> EFF	0.112	0.061	0.242
CSF -> EFF	0.380	0.277	0.492
CSF x XP -> EFF	0.281	0.116	0.192
PRO -> EFF	0.189	0.096	0.287
PRO x XP -> EFF	0.139	0.122	0.132
UDS -> EFF	0.198	0.094	0.296
UDS x XP -> EFF	0.108	0.110	0.223
XP -> EFF	0.311	0.083	0.099

Appendix K List of Awards

1. Gold medal Awarded to Ir. Azlan Othman, Assoc. Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Syuhaida Ismail, Nurfatin Syazwani Abu Bakar for invention entitled “Framework of Knowledge Management Practice in Construction Industry” during 2018 International Innovation and Invention Competition in Taiwan. Organised by Taiwan International Invention Award Winners Association.

Appendix L List of Copyrights

Copyright 1

Title: Framework of Knowledge Management Practice Amongst Malaysian Consulting Firms in Construction Industry

Date of copyright granted: 10 December 2018

Granted By: UTM

Reference No: LY2018006359



Innovation and Commercialisation Centre
Industry Centre, Technovation Park
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
81310 Johor Bahru
Johor, Malaysia

Tel: +(6)07-5591500, 5591520 Fax: +(6)07-5565899 <http://www.icc.utm.my> Email: info@icc.utm.my

OUR REF.:

Ruj. Kami : UTM.J.14.01/27.13/1JLD139 (21)

YOUR REF.:

Tarikh : 21 Disember 2018

PROF. MADYA DR. SYUHaida BINTI ISMAIL

Kejuruteraan Teknologi,
Fakulti Teknologi & Informatik Razak

UTM, KUALA LUMPUR.

Assalamualaikum,

Saudara,

PERLINDUNGAN HAKCIPTA (COPYRIGHT) UTM

Dengan segala hormatnya, perkara di atas adalah dirujuk.

2. Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa Pusat Inovasi dan Pengkomersilan (ICC) telah meluluskan penggunaan hakcipta (copyright) Universiti Teknologi Malaysia bagi produk : " **FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PRACTICE AMONGST MALAYSIAN CONSULTING FIRMS IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY** " dengan nombor pemfailan LY 2018006359. Sehubungan dengan itu, Saudara adalah dikehendaki mencatat label hakcipta pada semua produk yang berkaitan dengan :

© < tahun > Universiti Teknologi Malaysia - All Rights Reserved.

3. Permohonan siji pemfailan hakcipta Perbadanan Harta Intelek Malaysia adalah pilihan dan boleh dibuat dengan mengisi sendiri borang CR5 di Perbadanan Harta Intelek Malaysia.

4. Saudara juga diingatkan bahawa sebarang aktiviti perlesenan yang melibatkan pihak ketiga (Third Party Agreement) hendaklah diuruskan oleh pihak Pusat Inovasi dan Pengkomersilan(ICC).

Sekian, terima kasih.

"BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA KERANA ALLAH"

Saya yang menjalankan amanah,

PROF. DR. MOHD. SHAHIR SHAMSIR BIN OMAR

Pengarah,
Pusat Inovasi & Pengkomersilan (ICC)
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
☎ : 07-559 1501

s.k. : **TIMBALAN DEKAN**
(Penyelidikan, Inovasi, Pembangunan dan Alumni)

: **En. Azlan bin Othman**
Jabatan Kejuruteraan Awam,
Fakulti Teknologi & Informatik Razak

UTM KUALA LUMPUR.

3am/2018/01/27/13/1JLD139/18



Copyright 2

Title: Framework of Knowledge Management Practice Amongst Malaysian Consulting Firms in Construction Industry

Date of copyright granted: 10 December 2018

Granted By: MyIPO

Reference No: CRLY00016318



AKTA HAK CIPTA 1987
PERATURAN-PERATURAN HAK CIPTA (PEMBERITAHUAN SUKARELA) 2012

SIJIL PEMBERITAHUAN HAK CIPTA
[Subperaturan 8(2)]

No. Pemberitahuan : CRLY00016318
Tajuk Karya : **FRAMEWORK OF KNOWLEDGE
MANAGEMENT PRACTICE
AMONGST MALAYSIAN CONSULTING FIRMS
IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**
Jenis Karya : **SASTERA**
Tarikh Pemberitahuan : **10 DISEMBER 2018**

Saya dengan ini mengesahkan di bawah Akta Hak Cipta 1987 [Akta 332] dan Peraturan-Peraturan Hak Cipta (Pemberitahuan Sukarela) 2012 bahawa karya hak cipta dengan No. Pemberitahuan seperti di atas bagi pemohon **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA** sebagai **PEMUNYA** dan **SYUHaida BINTI ISMAIL (810624095082)**, **AZLAN BIN OTHMAN** sebagai **PENCIPTA** telah didaftarkan ke dalam Daftar Hak Cipta menurut seksyen 26B Akta Hak Cipta 1987 [Akta 332].

.....
DATO' MOHD ROSLAN MAHAYUDIN
PENGAWAL HAK CIPTA
MALAYSIA



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals

1. **Azlan Othman**, Syuhaida Ismail, Khairulzan Yahya, Mohd. Hafis Ahmad (2018). Critical success factors in implementing knowledge management in consultant firms for Malaysian construction industry. *Management Science Letters*, 8, 305–316 (**SCOPUS**).
2. **Azlan Othman**, Syuhaida Ismail, Khairulzan Yahya (2018). Knowledge Management: an Analysis of Knowledge Storage Amongst Consultant Firms in Malaysian Construction Industry. *Journal of Management, Economics, and Industrial Organization*, Vol.2 No.1, 2018, pp. 60-67.

Conference Proceedings

1. **Azlan Othman**, Syuhaida Ismail, Khairulzan Yahya (2017). An Overview of Knowledge Management (KM) Issues for Implementation in Consultant Firms in Malaysian Construction Industry. *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 277, (2017) 012032 (**SCOPUS**).