

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Fadzli FE, Ismail AW, Abd Karim Ishigaki S (2023) A systematic literature review: Real-time 3D reconstruction method for telepresence system. PLoS ONE 18(11): e0287155. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155</u>

Editor: Ayesha Maqbool, National University of Sciences and Technology NUST, PAKISTAN

Received: July 30, 2021

Accepted: June 1, 2023

Published: November 15, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Fadzli et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting information files.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A systematic literature review: Real-time 3D reconstruction method for telepresence system

Fazliaty Edora Fadzli^{1,2}*, Ajune Wanis Ismail^{1,2}, Shafina Abd Karim Ishigaki^{1,2}

1 Department of Emergent Computing, Faculty of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru, Johore, Malaysia, 2 Mixed and Virtual Environment Research Lab (mivielab), ViCubeLab, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru, Johore, Malaysia

* fedora3@graduate.utm.my

Abstract

Real-time three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of real-world environments has many significant applications in various fields, including telepresence technology. When depth sensors, such as those from Microsoft's Kinect series, are introduced simultaneously and become widely available, a new generation of telepresence systems can be developed by combining a real-time 3D reconstruction method with these new technologies. This combination enables users to engage with a remote person while remaining in their local area, as well as control remote devices while viewing their 3D virtual representation. There are numerous applications in which having a telepresence experience could be beneficial. including remote collaboration and entertainment, as well as education, advertising, and rehabilitation. The purpose of this systematic literature review is to analyze the recent advances in 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence systems and the significant related work in this field. Next, we determine the input data and the technological device employed to acquire the input data, which will be utilized in the 3D reconstruction process. The methods of 3D reconstruction implemented in the telepresence system as well as the evaluation of the system, have been extracted and assessed from the included studies. Through the analysis and summarization of many dimensions, we discussed the input data used for the 3D reconstruction method, the real-time 3D reconstruction methods implemented in the telepresence system, and how to evaluate the system. We conclude that real-time 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence systems have progressively improved over the years in conjunction with the advancement of machines and devices such as Red Green Blue-Depth (RGB-D) cameras and Graphics Processing Unit (GPU).

Introduction

Due to the high expense of three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction technology during the last two decades, virtual environments have been mostly restricted to research institutes, the medical, and other fields. Technological advancements in consumer-grade depth sensors have pushed this technology closer to the consumer market in recent years and expanded the research in this field exponentially. Research in 3D reconstruction is a subset of the computer vision area, and it is also significantly and positively connected to computer graphics research in several ways [1]. The goal of 3D reconstruction is to create a digital replica of a target object or the environment that exists in the actual world. This can be seen as numerous applications of 3D reconstruction have been discovered in a variety of disciplines, including health care [2], archaeology [3, 4] and telepresence [5–8]. 3D reconstruction is one of the fundamental requirements for the most immersive telepresence [9]. Telepresence has the opportunity that could benefit applications such as remote collaboration, entertainment, advertising, teaching, hazard site research, and rehabilitation [7, 10, 11].

Communication over long distances is essential to our everyday lives and jobs nowadays. Family and friends are relocating away from home to live and work in another location. International business excursions are something that many companies send their staff on. Video conferencing is a common communication mode that lets us instantly see and hear our friends and colleagues from anywhere. Remote expert advice through video is well-established in academia, health care, and industry. Despite its appeal, video is a relatively restricted means of communication compared to face-to-face encounters since the interlocutors are perceived as flat and remote. Besides that, video conferencing limits the sharing of a restricted view area and the fixed perspective of the local user [12], leading to weak interactivity and relatively poor user experience [13]. Hence, an immersive application such as telepresence represents a new generation of interactive services that provide end users with a rich and immersive experience. Telepresence technology enables a local user to connect with a remote user, and it is necessary to consider how the local user may capture and transmit his surroundings to the remote user. Currently, video calls face several drawbacks, including sharing a restricted view area and the fixed perspective of the local user [12]. The ability for the remote user to see an overview of the local user through advanced display technology could make it more efficient to overcome these limitations, allowing the user to experience a more expansive viewing experience compared to a conventional phone or monitor [14–17].

With the potential of integrating telepresence and 3D reconstruction technology, there is an opportunity to eliminate various constraints of traditional video-based communication mediums, and this advancement opens doors to new possibilities for remote collaboration [18, 19]. By utilizing realistic 3D user representations, modern telepresence systems enable individuals far apart to convene in virtual environments and interact with each other. However, it was challenging for researchers, programmers, or innovators to find a report presenting a survey on previous works, as few systematic reviews of 3D reconstruction for telepresence have been published in recent years. We ensure that it is essential to produce a comprehensive review to describe the most current methods and research findings in 3D reconstruction for telepresence systems. Therefore, this report examines, analyzes, and answers the research question. There are three primary advantages to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement, which are as follows: First, identifies the research issue that leads to systematic research as shown in the PRISMA flow in Fig 1. Secondly, it helps to specify inclusion and exclusion criteria for systematic reviews. Thirdly, it attempts to analyze, within a specified term, a broad scientific literature database [20]. The PRISMA Statement can assist the authors in thoroughly searching for terms relevant to 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence systems. Through the analysis and summarization of many dimensions, we hope this report can provide researchers with a systematic and more indepth understanding of real-time 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence systems and some references for this field of study. With metaverse's ability to extend the physical world utilizing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies to allow users to seamlessly engage between real and simulated surroundings using reconstructed representation





and holograms, we hope the technical breakthrough that has been covered throughout this report can be used as a guide to see the trend, strength, and weakness of implemented 3D reconstruction method.

Background

Real-time 3D reconstruction can be defined as a process where the scene or the shape of an object in a physical world is captured, and the virtual representation of the scene or object is created in real-time. In computer vision, the term 3D reconstruction pertains to the process of

restoring a 3D scene or target object within the scene from either a single view or multiple views of it.

A 3D representation of the entire scene, as classified in Fig 2, can be created using either a single photograph or multiple images captured from various perspectives as input. The past few years have witnessed multi-image 3D reconstruction with several traditional algorithms being presented, including stereo vision, SFM (structure from motion), and bundle adjustment. 3D reconstruction from a single image has been a long-standing and challenging task due to a large amount of information loss from two-dimensional (2D) images to 3D. With the advancement of neural networks and deep learning, it became clear that neural networks



Fig 2. Classification of 3D reconstruction into image-based and RGB-Depth sensor-based.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.g002

could be trained to learn the 3D structure of objects inside a single image [20]. Red Green Blue-Depth (RGB-D) sensors produce a detailed real-time measurement of 3D surfaces as a 4-channel signal. Colour channels in the RGB colour characterize the appearance of the surface, while a fourth depth channel offers local surface geometry metrics.

Since its initial introduction to the market ten years ago, RGB-D sensor hardware as can be seen in Fig 3, has played a crucial role in developing advanced mapping and 3D reconstruction systems. Its significance remains unchanged as it continues to contribute to these technologies. RGB-D cameras, such as the Microsoft Kinect, Intel RealSense, and Stereolabs Zed, are sensing systems that include an RGB camera, an infrared projector, and an infrared sensor. They may collect RGB data as well as the depth map at the same time [21]. 3D reconstruction with a single sensor can be accomplished in a variety of ways, including moving the sensor around a static target object or environment, capturing the target object or environment with a static or unmoving sensor, moving the target object in front of the static sensor, or moving the sensor around a moving object. While 3D reconstruction using multiple sensors required a suitable setup to set the position of the capturing depth sensors, considering the number of RGB-D sensors used and the field of view of the devices.

The fundamental technology that enables today's structured light or time-of-flight-based depth cameras has existed for several decades. The release of these consumer-grade sensors that pack this technology into mass-produced devices with compact form has made RGB-D cameras a commodity available to a broader consumer. Several other devices, which include RGB-D cameras such as the Intel RealSense, PrimeSense Carmine, Google Tango, and Occipital's Structure Sensor, have followed in the aftermath of the Kinect, which was introduced by Microsoft in 2010. These cameras are affordable, and their lightweight sensors can capture per-pixel colour and depth images at sufficient resolution and rapid frame rates. These characteristics put them ahead of even more expensive 3D scanning systems, which is especially



Fig 3. Type of sensor.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.g003

important when creating solutions for consumer-grade applications. The potential of these new sensors in the field of visual computing has been swiftly recognized.

Methods

Within this section, we explore the process involved in generating articles that are relevant to 3D reconstruction, specifically for telepresence systems. In this report, we employ the PRISMA technique, which comprises resources for systematic literature reviews (ACM Digital Library, IEEE Xplore, Springer Link, Scopus, and ProQuest journals). The inclusion and exclusion criteria, along with the review process steps (e.g., identification, screening, qualifying) and abstraction and analysis of information, are also carried out in compliance with the PRISMA approach.

Defining the research questions

The primary objective of the systematic literature review (SLR) is to comprehend and recognize the 3D reconstruction method implemented in telepresence based on the research questions (RQs) and summarize it. The study topics and domains to match the performance of existing methods are also further employed. A total of three RQs were discussed as follows in order to achieve this objective:

- RQ1: What are the input data for the 3D reconstruction method?
- RQ2: What are the real-time 3D reconstruction methods implemented in telepresence systems?
- RQ3: How can the real-time 3D reconstruction method be evaluated for the telepresence system or application?

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A considerable measure of inclusion and exclusion criteria have been decided, as in Table 1. Regarding the literature type, we have selected article journals and conference proceedings that specifically concentrate on the study or design of 3D reconstruction methods or techniques employed in telepresence systems. Only available full-text literature was included. Review articles, book series, and chapters in a book have been excluded from consideration. Non-English publications were also withdrawn to avoid misunderstanding and confusion over the translated works. Finally, in terms of chronology (between 2010 and 2022), a period of thirteen years is chosen as an acceptable length of time long enough to grasp the evolution of

Criteria	Inclusion	Exclusion
Literature availability	Full-text available	Full-text not available
Type of paper	Journal article and conference proceeding	Review articles, book series, and book chapter
Language	English	Non-English
Timeline	Between 2010 and 2022	Grey papers: These publications do not have bibliographical information such as date/type of publication, volume, and issue numbers or <2010
Research question	Papers answering at least two RQs	Papers that do not address the RQs

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.t001

research and related publications. Because the evaluation process concentrated on real-time 3D reconstruction for the telepresence system, articles published on 3D reconstruction that did not specifically target the telepresence system were removed from consideration.

Source and search study

The search was carried out using online scientific databases in the form of an online electronic search, relying on several journal databases. These online resources were selected because they were deemed to be the best relevant databases for delivering comprehensive information in the field of 3D reconstruction at the time of selection. Regarding peer-reviewed literature databases in electrical engineering, computer science, and electronics, IEEE Xplore gives web access to more than five million full-text documents from some of the world's most highly cited journals. In academic literature, Scopus is one of the world's largest and most well-regarded abstract and citation databases. The collection contains more than 40,000 titles from more than 10,000 foreign publishers, with almost 35,000 of these publications subjected to rigorous peer review. Scopus offers various forms, including books, journals, conference papers, and other materials. Springer also has many relevant records on 3D reconstruction for telepresence systems, which is an additional plus.

Study selection

All studies were recorded in a Reference Manager System, and duplicates were eliminated when the search was completed. The remaining studies were then assessed using inclusion and exclusion criteria for the titles and abstracts. Where no judgment can be made on inclusion, the entire document has been read to give a final opinion.

Data extractions

Data have been retrieved utilizing a data extraction form from the included studies. For this study, the form was specially constructed and included six data items, as seen in Table 2.

Synthesis of results

Data analysis of the investigations was carried out after data extraction. The data gathered have been evaluated according to predetermined topics in the narrative format resulting from the research questions and discussed in the following topics:

- 1. Introduction of telepresence technology
- 2. 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence
- 3. Evaluation of 3D reconstruction method for telepresence.

Table 2. Data extraction form items.

Data item	Descript
Reference	The title, author, year, type (Journal, Conference, Workshop)
Aim	The aim of the study, as the authors mentioned
Input	The input device or input data for the 3D reconstruction method
Evaluation	The description of how the 3D reconstruction for telepresence was evaluated
Output	The results of the 3D reconstruction method for telepresence
Comments	The author's remark about the study

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.t002

Comprehensive science mapping analysis

A comprehensive science mapping analysis, as referred to [22-24], was done to produce a bibliometric measurement of the included studies' annual and country-specific production. The relationship between the production of research work concerning 3D reconstruction for telepresence systems and the year of publication is illustrated in Fig 4. As can be seen, the most significant number of these studies were published in 2016 and 2021, with five publications out of thirty-eight selected papers. The country-specific production of the included studies is shown in Fig 5. shows the geographical distribution of the included studies. Most of these studies (22, 48%) were published in North America (USA = 21, Canada = 1). After North America, the most common publication areas were Europe with 16 studies and 35% (UK = 4, France = 1, Netherland = 2, Germany = 4, Greece = 1, Finland = 1, Switzerland = 1, Sweden = 1, Italy = 1) and Asia with seven studies (India = 2, Malaysia = 2, Korea = 1, Japan = 1, Russia = 1, China = 1.

Results

A total of 662 documents from the six top sites, ACM Digital Library, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, Springer Link, Scopus and ProQuest journals and candidate documents, have been collected. The number of overall publications on these platforms is not an indicator of their relevance but rather whether they capture the respective field. We analyzed each study to assess



Annual Production

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.g004





whether they suggest a 3D reconstruction method for a telepresence system to meet the mentioned limitations. Finally, for the survey, a total of 48 documents have been chosen. This systematic review has employed a standardized methodology (<u>S1 Checklist</u>).

Telepresence technology

Marvin Minsky proposed the concept of "telepresence" to refer to the capability to control the tools of a remote robot as though using an individual's real hands directly [25]. Remote manipulation paired with high-quality sensory feedback is the word used in this context to refer to remote manipulation. Later, Bill Buxton applied telepresence as a concept to the field of tele-communications [26]. In collaborative work, he distinguished between task space and person space and stated that "successful telepresence is reliant on the sharing of both in a high-quality manner." In the context of a shared environment with several users, Buxton et al. proposed that each teleconference participant be represented by a personal station equipped with audio and visual capabilities. Additional networked electronic whiteboards created a shared task environment [27]. Since then, significant progress has been made toward a shared person space with the concept of a shared and integrated environment for groups of people and tasks.

Visual communication systems of the modern era emphasize visual or spatial aspects and induce temporary disruption. This can influence the chances, the pace of a discussion and its

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.g005

meaning, how one perceives the other person and the interaction between them over time. The visual and spatial properties can be balanced by merging a 3D reconstruction and a display technology where both are free-viewpoint capable. Telepresence is a developing technology that attempts to deliver spatial immersion and virtual representation in a conventional non-physical environment. Several telepresence technologies have been recommended to provide end-to-end users with immersive and functional interactions [28]. The design of interactive environments using perspective views will enhance and integrate the co-space experience [29]. The use of audio-visual data and other stimuli to better understand co-location between users in the same virtual area is also being explored further.

The concept of telepresence combined with 3D reconstruction has motivated researchers for decades, albeit prototypes evolved slowly in the 1980s and 1990s due to technological constraints. Several cameras are deployed, and their imagery is constantly updated, including the moving user, to build a 3D reconstruction of the room-scale scene [30]. Telepresence began in 1994 as a system for collecting photometric and depth data from many fixed-stationary cameras. Virtualized reality [31] allows for the simulation of real-world events and their continuous movement to be captured in an image sequence; nevertheless, the movement of the real simulated image is not smooth and frequently disrupts.

On the other hand, Mulligan and Al [32] proposed a hybrid movement and stereo system to boost speed and power, even if the 3D environment must be obtained using remote location transmission. Towles et al. [33] stated that the sense of being there in the scene reconstruction is still feasible without relying on hardware or software technology. However, it was difficult to develop a complete Duplex device because of hardware and monitor configuration constraints. Tanikawa [34] proposed a technique in which photos of a person were collected from various cameras around the network and displayed on a revolving flat panel monitor in a range of image positions. However, due to the limitations of display technology, numerous positions overlap when a viewer is pushed around the viewing system. Kurillo et al. [35] acknowledged that an immersive VR system is designed for remote interaction and understanding of physical activity. To react to real-world or physical-world events, the configuration of a multi-camera system is required to execute 3D reconstruction.

As low-cost depth sensors such as the Microsoft Kinect became available, the number of studies and initiatives involving 3D reconstruction and its application in telepresence systems grew at a rapid pace. Beck et al. [6] introduce a novel immersive telepresence system that enables remote groups of users to interact in a shared virtual three-dimensional world created utilizing numerous Kinect devices. The purpose of telepresence is to create the illusion of being present or physically present with a remote individual. Telepresence can result from humans being projected in their natural size, 3D representations of the user or their environment, or mutual interaction between the remote site and the user. A variety of paradigms have been achieved, including a remote user who appears in a local location [36], a remote space appearing to expand beyond the local environment [4, 37], and a local user who is immersed in a remote area [38]. High-speed data transmission and real-time visualization of transmitted data are essential in a 3D telepresence system to transmit the 3D representation of the user or an environment and to ensure the interaction system is to provide immediate feedback [39]. Communication technology has developed rapidly with advancements in imaging and display technologies [40]. Various 3D communication systems are emerging, bringing more vivid and immersive experiences [4, 6, 41, 42].

Streaming or transmission of data. To accomplish the crucial success elements of an immersive telepresence experience, 3D telepresence systems have demanding standards for reconstruction, streaming speeds, and the visual quality of the obtained scene. For such a system to accomplish its intended purpose and be utilized effectively, multiple requirements must

be met simultaneously. One of the most important criteria is low and dependable system latency; network latency is also essential for practical use. Similar requirements apply to video-conferencing services on 2D displays. If not met, audio/video synchronization could be impaired, unnatural breaks in visual continuity could occur, and the overall user experience could be reduced [43].

It is essential to minimize memory use in data transmission, as the greater the amount of data stored over an extended period, the larger the generated data set [44-46]. The potential solution is data compression [47-49]. Data compression is a technique that reduces the data size compared to its original size, making storage and transmission more efficient [50]. Compression data techniques are commonly employed in the telepresence system to ensure the data transmitted to the remote site arrives at the appropriate timestamp and in real-time [16, 51-53].

However, new source selection challenges have evolved for real-time telepresence with 3D model reconstruction across a network with constrained bandwidth. The first is shared bandwidth and real-time requirements. The bandwidth required to support a massive amount of video data from cameras is anticipated to surge, and the channel quality of each camera may affect the transmission rate [52, 53]. Nevertheless, the transmission latency is also critical to allow real-time interaction in VR systems, and as a result, the bandwidth demands and the real-time requirements need to be jointly assessed [54, 55].

For data transmission for the telepresence system, [56] uses a server that provides functionality to compress the voxel blocks and sends it to the client. The client listens for incoming volumetric data and assembles it once received. It has an exact copy of the server-side model for the telepresence system. [15] set the incoming data from the reconstruction client to first concurrently be integrated into the truncated signed distanced function (TSDF) voxel block model and then used to update the appropriate blocks and their seven neighbors in the Marching Cube voxel block representation in the negative direction. Maintaining such a collection for each connected client enables advanced streaming tactics required for a lag-free viewing experience and improves performance. [8] discovered that even after compression, depth images contribute to most network traffic, but colour images are comparatively small enough with jpeg compression and suggested adding temporal delta compression to the integrated lossless depth compression techniques increased compression ratios. All compression and streaming systems must balance bandwidth and computing speed.

Visualization. Another absolute requirement for a telepresence system is sufficient resolution. In this context, the resolution includes both spatial and angular resolution. Low spatial resolution can result in certain degrees of blur, which distorts the visual experience and makes it difficult or impossible to extract essential visual information, such as the individual's facial expression [57]. Insufficient angular resolution may worsen, resulting in horizontal motion parallax disruption. In such a circumstance, visual phenomena include the crosstalk effect and sudden view jump, the mortal enemy of glasses-free 3D vision, as seen in [58]. However, highend extremes should also be avoided, as the total system latency is also determined by a specific system's processing demands and bandwidth utilization [43].

3D imaging and display technologies are significant technical elements for 3D communication. When constructing a 3D communication system, choosing appropriate 3D imaging and display technologies is essential. The 3D display methods can be categorized as binocular vision display [59], volume display [36], light field (LF) display [43, 60], and holographic display [61, 62]. Holographic display is a promising method for giving human eyes all the depth information [26–30]. Under coherent illuminations, computer-generated holography may reconstruct 3D intensity patterns from computer-generated holograms (CGHs) [63–65]. In recent studies [66–68], the holographic display for computer-generated objects has been developed. However, few studies on holographic displays process 3D data gathering into realtime display [40].

[69] mentioned 3D display technology that has been implemented in the telepresence system can be divided into two main devices, which are projectors [62, 70–73] and head-mounted devices (HMD) [45, 52, 58, 59, 74–77]. The projector device's 3D display technologies are an on-stage hologram, autostereoscopic, and holographic projection, while HMDs can be classified into MR headsets and VR headsets. Before selecting the appropriate 3D display technology for a telepresence system, it is necessary to determine the number of users who will be displayed or projected and the number of users who will be perceiving the other user. The focus and purpose of the telepresence technology usage should also play a role in determining the optimal 3D display.

Real-time 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence

Real-time 3D reconstruction is a crucial element for many immersive telepresence applications [9]; hence it is essential to identify which real-time 3D reconstruction methods are employed in telepresence systems. The general process involved in real-time 3D reconstruction can be identified as depth data acquisition, geometry extraction, surface generation, and fusion to generate a 3D model that represents point cloud or mesh data.

There are several methods of 3D reconstruction applied for telepresence systems that depend on the input data, such as for images or video data, which consist of the image frames, and there is an additional process required to obtain the depth data. However, traditional methods, such as the Shape-from-silhouette method, compute the surface of the visual hull of a scene object in the form of a polyhedron. As for 3D reconstruction using RGB-D sensors, the depth data obtained can be pre-processed or used directly as input data to compute the 3D representation of the target scene or object using a point cloud, mesh, or volumetric fusion approach. The list of included studies that have been analyzed to extract the information regarding 3D reconstruction methods for telepresence systems is as presented in Table 3.:

Visibility method: Shape-from silhouette. The shape-from-silhouette approach creates a shape model called the visual hull to obtain a three-dimensional geometric representation of objects and people in the acquisition space. This method generates shape models for use in subsequent stages of the process, such as texture mapping or interaction in real-time. The visual hull is defined geometrically as the intersection of the viewing cones, which are generalized cones whose apices are the projective centers of the cameras and whose cross-sections overlap with the silhouettes of the scene, as illustrated in Fig.6. When piecewise-linear photo contours for silhouettes are considered, the visual hull is transformed into a regular polyhedron. Although a visual hull cannot model concavities, it can be efficiently computed, resulting in a very excellent approximation of the human shape. The disadvantage of shape-from-silhouette techniques, according to [102], has mentioned not being capable of reconstructing concave regions adequately.

The EPVH algorithm has the unique ability to retrieve an exact 3D geometry that refers to the silhouettes obtained. This is a significant advantage over other algorithms. When models need to be textured, this is an important feature because it allows Textures from silhouettes to be mapped directly on a 3D model, which is very useful. The 2D polygonal outline of the object of the scene is obtained for each view. A discrete polygonal description of silhouettes of this type results in a unique polyhedron representation of the visual hull, the structure of which is retrieved using the EPVH algorithm. In order to execute this, three measures need to be taken. For starters, a specific polyhedron edge subset is generated, the viewing edges, which are the visual edges induced by viewing lines of contour vertices. A second step involves recovering all

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2010	Petit et al. [78]	To allow users to see themselves in virtual worlds by retrieving a 3D mesh of their environment in real- time, with textures attached.	Device: Multi- camera (8 webcams) Data: RGB images	Performance: • refresh rates and latency	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette Method (EPVH)	 The EPVH model is accurate visually. The level of detail is good enough to differentiate the user's fingers. The application is robust to input noise. The resulting 3D model is watertight and manifold. Robust to network load change as the data transmitted could be resampled to avoid latency. 	 The approach only estimates normal velocity, not tangential velocity, on the mesh surface. Lack of data hinder mechanical interactions as user can modulate the force applied to a virtual object but cannot hold or grip anything.
2010	Moore et al. [79]	To investigate the deformation of 3D reconstruction models for various camera synchronization settings.	Device: Multi- camera (4 cameras) Data: Video frame	Performance: • processing time for encoding of silhouette images. Visual quality: • temporal qualities of acquisition	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette method (space carving process)	• The 3D reconstruction and rendering processes benefit from separating texture and form, especially when a form is calculated in a centralized process closely aligned to the capture stages of the system.	 In reconstruction with high delays, the effect on the model depends on which camera picture is delayed. Rotational direction impacts model deformation.
2011	Duckworth et al. [80]	To provide a better insight of the effect of synchronisation on the reconstruction of human body and its typical movements	Device: Multi- camera (6, 8, 16 cameras) Data: Video frame (pre-recorded datasets)	Visual quality: • compare the quality of dataset results	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette Method (EPVH)	• Synchronization errors that do not exceed a frame do not produce visible degradation.	• Camera synchronization is key to accurately capturing human movement, so when frames are out of order, human shape reconstruction is impaired.
2011	Hauswiesner et al. [81]	Improvements in the robustness and performance of image-based visual hull rendering (IBVH) for virtual mirror and telepresence applications.	Device: Multi- camera (10 cameras) Data: RGB images	Performance: • compares average kernel times of IBVH	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette Method (IBVH)	• Frame- and view- coherence improvements resulting higher output resolution.	• The motion detection algorithm, which triggers the redrawing of geometry fragments, is only a heuristic and may therefore introduce minor artifacts.
2012	Maimone and Fuchs [41]	A 3D acquisition system that can simultaneously capture and render a room-sized volume using a variety of depth cameras.	Device: Multi-RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • measure the rendering rate. Visual quality: • comparison of temporal noise	Volumetric method: TDSF for multi-camera	• Reduced temporal noise over existing real-time systems that can capture room- sized scenes.	• Unsynchronized sensors cause temporal inconsistencies at object edges during fast motion sequences.

Table 3. Classified 3D reconstruction method for telepresence system of the selected primary studies.

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2012	Maimone et al. [4]	Introduce a new telepresence system that collects and shows 3D scenes in stereo without the user wearing any tracking or viewing devices.	Device: Multi-RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance:measure the frame rate for display.Visual quality:comparison of temporal noise	Visibility method: Data merger algorithm	 resolving issues related to interference, data merging and colour matching between units Improved calibration, data filtering, and data merger to increase image quality and implement in telepresence system. 	• some temporal noise artifacts are still present at the edges of objects where the depth camera alternates between providing a value and reporting no data at a given pixel.
2012	Zhang and Ho [71]	To report on a tele- immersive system of interaction constructed utilising 3D modelling techniques in real- time.	Device: Multi- camera (5, 24 cameras) Data: RGB images	Performance test: • measure the speed of modelling.	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette	• The octree depth influenced modelling time and follow-up performance indexes	• Reconstruction is based on the intersection of all the cameras' viewable ranges, limiting the effective acquisition space, thus the method cannot apply to long-distance physical movements.
2013	Alexiadis et al. [82]	A complete 3D realistic reconstruction system for moving people in real-time applications like 3D tele-immersion.	Device: Multi-RGBD sensors (5 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • measure the processing time and frame rate of enhancement method.	Volumetric method: Volumetric Signed Distance Function (SDF)	 the volumetric reconstruction method which implemented in CUDA can run in real- time. can produce quite accurate and realistic results, even under the real-time constraints 	• Volumetric-based 3D reconstruction methods generate fewer triangles and vertices (depending on voxels) than the original 2D domain, thus full texture mapping was suggested.
2013	Zhao et al. [83]	Propose a method for multi-scale direction- aware filtering for 3D telepresence applications using Kinect.	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Kinects) Data: depth map	Performance test: • computation time Visual quality: • visual comparison results with four different data sets	Pre-process method: multi-scale filtering method	 A multiscale direction- aware filtering approach that effectively addresses Kinect depth quantization. Reconstructed surfaces are closer to estimated ground truths and have better visual quality than common filtering method. Real-time filtering can be used in as pre- processing component in 3D telepresence applications. 	• Quantized strips have bumpiness if the filter window size is not proportional to the strip width.
2013	Beck et al. [6]	Introductory use of a depth correction volume to correctly calibrate individual depth cameras required for registering several depth sensors in a large environment.	Device: Multi-RGBD sensor (10 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • measure frame rates of application and network latency User testing: • usability testing	Triangulation method: Warped triangle mesh method	• demonstrated advances in registering multiple depth cameras based on the volumetric calibration of each involved Kinect sensor	• the visual representation of remote users remains noisy as well as perforated due to occlusions

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2013	Alexiadis et al. [57]	Real-time 3D reconstruction of moving objects for Tele-Immersion	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: Point cloud	 Performance: Reconstruction time, frame rate and number of mesh faces Visual quality: Comparative results with respect to the visual quality of the reconstructed model 	Triangulation method: Mesh- based fusion	 Noisy input and finite voxel resolution, inherent to volumetric methods, result in less artefacts and visually superior reconstruction outcomes. The proposed weighted smoothing method reduces reconstruction artefacts. 	• The triangular surface created by terrain SDCT is nonmanifold and has cracks and holes, hence the weighted smoothing method.
2014	Islam et al [84]	Present an unstructured lumigraph rendering method for high quality 3D depiction of a remote collaboration scenario (ULR).	Device: Multi-RGBD sensors (2 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance test: • Measure the real- time rendering speed	Triangulation method: mesh generation	 Develop a dynamic proxy for unstructured lumigraph rendering to get a better, more detailed 3D proxy in real-time, leading to improved 3D scene rendering 	• Multiple Kinect projectors interference, causing holes on 3D scene at two Kinect intersections.
2015	Whelan et al. [85]	RGB-D Mapping is a 3D mapping technology that combines visual and shape-based alignment.	Device: RGBD sensor Data: Depth map	Performance: • measure the sequential alignment comparison	Point-based method: RGB-D Mapping (sparse visual features and dense point clouds algorithm)	• demonstrating the system's capability to generate large-scale dense maps in real- time	• the reliance on projective data association for camera pose estimation which limits the kinds of motion that our visual odometry frontend can handle
2015	Lu et al. [86]	To present tele- immersive system that allows people to communicate in a virtual world utilising body movements as well as words.	Device: RGBD sensor Data: Depth map	Performance test: • Time performance for processing and rendering time.	Point-based method: 3D point cloud mapping	• Integrated RGB-D systems, motion sensors, head-mounted displays, and networking into a real- time immersive telepresence system.	• separate virtual view rendering component from the graphics tasks is due to its mixture of input from multiple resources.
2015	Roberts et al. [74]	An end-to-end solution that blends video conferencing with virtual worlds.	Device: Multi- camera (8 cameras) Data: video	Performance test: • Time performance for processing • frame rate.	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette Method (EPVH)	• Two distribution models were proposed, each fitting a different approach to video- based reconstruction	 Background segmentation against a moving background has only been tested using pre-recorded video. Required bandwidth and thus the latency are dependent on number of cameras, which is dependent on placement

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2015	Almeida et al. [87]	An online incremental 3D reconstruction system for telepresence and HMI applications.	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Kinects) Data: RGBD data	Visual quality: • the visual quality of the reconstructed model	Triangulation method (Crust algorithm)	 introduced free viewpoint system framework to generate view dependent synthesis based on scene 3D mesh model. New incremental version of Crust technique adds new vertices to an existing surface without recomputing previous generated meshes. Topological incremental reconstruction approach based on confidence measures prevents redundant data information computation. 	• The body to be reconstructed should be segmented from background static areas using a motion filter
2016	Escolano et al. [42]	3D reconstructions of a full room, including people, furniture, and items, in real-time utilising modern depth cameras.	Device: RGBD sensor (8 custom RGBD sensor) Data: RGB and NIR image	Performance: • computational time • latency Visual quality: • the visual quality of the reconstructed model User test: • User study	Volumetric method	 Dual-GPU implementation where frame-to-frame motion estimation does not require the feedback from the second GPU, so two GPUs can run in parallel. Combine a new capturing technology with mixed reality displays to offer 3D remote collaboration. 	 The system requires high amount of high- end hardware. colour artifacts during texturing process caused by extreme occlusions in the scene. reconstruction of smaller geometry such as fingers generated artefacts such as missing or combined surface.
2016	Fairchild et al. [75]	A telepresence technology and an application to facilitate collaborative space exploration	Device: Multi- camera (2 cameras) Data: video	Performance: • computational time • Latency Visual quality: • the visual quality of the reconstructed model	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette Method (EPVH)	 This article introduces a new background segmentation method that lets a person be captured without their surroundings. A new texturing method removes visible lines at polygon intersections without blending. 	• The viewpoint-based blending technique only effective when the viewer looks at the reconstructed mesh from near a camera view.
2016	Pejsa et al. [70]	Involves projected AR to provide life- size co-presence between two remote individuals.	Device: RGBD sensor (2 Kinects) Data: RGBD data	Performance: • computational time • latency Visual quality: • visual quality feedback User test: • User study	Triangulation method: Mesh generation	• The capture process will acquire people moving around the room as well as dynamic objects during calibration.	• Projections of virtual copies suffer from visual artifacts due to low depth sensor resolution and occlusion issues.

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2016	Zioulis et al. [51]	3D reconstruction of users in a pre- authored 3D environment.	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • computational time • latency	Volumetric method: Fourier Transform-based	• The methods were implemented using CUDA, to exploit the parallel processing capabilities of the GPU and perform near real- time.	• the signalled acquisition method, synchronization issues were observed only during very fast user's motions
2016	Dou et al. [88]	A novel multi-view performance capture and reconstruction pipeline	Device: multiple RGBD sensor (24 trinocular) Data: RGB and Depthmap	Performance: • computational time • frame rate Visual quality • qualitative comparisons among different state of the art methods	Volumetric method	 captured a variety of diverse and challenging nonrigid moving scenes. reconstruction algorithm can deal with extremely fast motion 	 The frame-to-frame correspondences would be incorrectly approximated or the nonrigid alignment would fail to converge if the frame rate is too low or frame-to-frame motion is too large. Missing depth data can cause large segmentation errors that affect visual hull estimate. any small nonrigid alignment errors can cause slight over smoothing of the model at times
2017	Joachimczak et al. [52]	MR device that wirelessly feeds 3D reconstructions of people and objects to Microsoft's HoloLens head mounted display at steady frame rates.	Device: RGBD sensor (2 Kinects) Data: RGBD data	Performance: • computational time	Point -based method: Point Cloud Library (fast mesh algorithm)	 achieve high speeds and low polygon counts by using Point Cloud Library organized fast mesh algorithm. transmit high- resolution texture data and low-polygon 3D models to HoloLens to achieve high reconstruction frame rates. 	• lower quality 3D models due to low polygon counts and subsequent 3D reconstruction data lost or stalled because of unreliable wi-fi connection
2017	Tan et al. [19]	Use a pair of consumer-grade RGBD cameras to develop telepresence systems using robust and real-time head reconstruction technology.	Device: 2 RGBD sensor Data: RGBD images	Performance: • latency Visual quality: • the visual quality of the reconstructed model	Point-based method: Iterative refinement method	 have an automatic registration process, that requires minimal input from the user. maintain a temporal boundary to minimize face silhouette visual artefacts caused by missing data and imprecise depth acquisition. 	 the usage of commodity sensors limits the display resolution and RGB quality captured. The two RGBD sensors may not register data if the user's face cannot be identified in poor lighting. the boundary tracking method cannot handle scenes with excessively complex background

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2017	Komiyama et al. [15]	Intuitive telepresence system using a head- mounted first-person camera and multiple ambient depth sensors.	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD data	User test: • Pilot study	Point-based method	• depth data from four Kinect sensors is combined into a point- cloud space with three- dimensional positions.	• Since each point has a 3D position, transmitting in point cloud format increases data size.
2017	Su et al. [53]	A novel system that enhances distant user interaction with dynamic virtual 3D environments	Device: RGBD sensor (5 Kinects) Data: Images	 Performance: measure alignment error Visual quality: evaluate quality of the rendering result 	Point-based method (sphere fitting algorithm)	Calibration methods can accurately and efficiently combine multi-views into a unified coordinate system	• The backside of the remote user in the reconstructed scene is missing due to only using one single camera at the remote places
2018	Parikh and Khara [76]	An environment in which the user can engage with the other party via a wearable mixed reality headset	Device: RGBD sensor (8 trinocular cameras) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • latency	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette method	• Temporal consistency can separate and construct a more consistent object model.	• Many users can be accommodated per workspace by either increasing the bandwidth, processing power and improving the compression algorithm
2018	Ruchay et al. [89]	Analyze depth data from many Kinect sensors to estimate 3D object reconstruction accuracy.	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • root mean square error (RMSE) of measurements	Point-based method: Point cloud fusion	 Median filtering recovers incomplete regions. calibration with derived distortion parameters is more accurate than Kinect's built-in mapping. 	• The accuracy of device used is not very good and degrades with distance
2018	Bell et al. [90]	A novel pipeline for 3D video recording, encoding, compression, decompression, display, and interactivity.	Device: RGBD sensor (structured light scanner – projector & camera) Data: RGBD data	Performance: • frame rate	Triangulation method	• Digital fringe projection reconstructs 3D shapes using phase- shifted fringe patterns instead of intensity information which has more resolution than standard structured light methods	• The 3D reconstructions from lossy encoded image incur some reduction in measurement accuracy
2018	Du et al. [16]	Combining several video textures onto dynamic meshes in real-time with virtually imperceptible view transitions	Device: Multiple RGBD sensor Data: multi-view video stream	Performance: • frame rate • number of vertices • computational time Visual quality • qualitative comparisons among different state of the art methods	Triangulation method	• Fusing several video textures onto dynamic meshes with nearly undetectable view transitions in real-time	 The system suffers artifacts resulting from the extruded triangles reconstructed during very fast motion. insufficient reliable colours cause missing texture field

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2019	Stotko et al. [91]	With virtually undetectable view transitions, interactively blend video textures onto dynamic objects.	Device: RGBD sensor (Kinect or smartphone) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • computational time • bandwidth • latency Visual quality • visual quality of the reconstructed 3D models User test: • usability testing	Volumetric method: TSDF	• the first thread-safe GPU hash map data structure that assures concurrent insertion, retrieval, and removal while maintaining key uniqueness required by existing voxel block hashing techniques.	• move and turn rapidly might cause high angular and linear velocities and motion blur which then cause reconstruction more susceptible to misalignments.
2019	Bell and Zhang [<u>17</u>]	Novel platform for real-time wireless 3D video communications between mobile devices	Device: RGBD sensor (smartphone) Data: mobile 3D video	Performance: • frame rate • bandwidth • latency	Triangulation method: 3D range geometry encoding method	• When reconstruction quality can be reduced, stronger H.264 compression can reduce video bit rate.	• data resolution and frame rate, connection speeds of 1-2 Gbps are commonly needed to send 3D geometry video in real-time. These speeds are exceedingly demanding and can sometimes only be achieved over a wired network connection.
2019	Córdova- Esparza et al. [92]	Low-cost visual telepresence systems with depth sensors	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • latency • True Positives (TP) rate Visual quality • visual quality of the reconstructed 3D models	Point-based method: point cloud extraction and fusion	• The many RGBD cameras used allow for an omnidirectional view, contrary to other telepresence methods.	• the system is currently limited to 3D point cloud visual-only information
2019	Teo et al. [58]	A new system with two local and one remote user using AR HMDs. And tools to design a room with a remote user.	Device: Camera (360 camera) Data: 360° video	Performance: • refresh rate User test: • user study (collaborative task completion time) • user experience	Point-based method: Photogrammetric 3D spatial data	• system allows switching between 360 and 3D modes to introduce variation to solve collaborative tasks	 Hardware limitations and a task that largely relied on colour and shape could have increased noise. the visual cue offset and 3D scene quality that was directly influencing the user experience
2020	Cho et al. [93]	Comparison of actor volumetric capture with 2D footage and 3D avatar created by pre-scanning the actor	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • computational time • latency User test: • user study (presence)	Triangulation method: polygonal mesh	 the highest sense of social presence was achieved with volume- capture when performing dynamic tasks 	 Volume-capture issues like blurry textures and unstable boundaries are partly due to Kinect SDK's limitations and the low-resolution voxel grid. audio-video discrepancy caused by volume-capture latency

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2020	Wang et al. [94]	A new telepresence compression strategy based on 3D point clouds is proposed.	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	 Performance: Time performance for processing Visual quality: the visual quality of the reconstructed model 	Point-based method	• The robust colour- based Bayesian framework reduces spatial redundancy and motion vector estimation reduces temporal redundancy between frames.	• the number of 3D points depends on the size of the actual frames
2020	Young et al. [<u>55]</u>	Present Mobileportation, a mobile telepresence prototype that allows seamless transitions between freely shared 3D environments.	Device: Hybrid sensor Data: RGBD data	Performance: • frame rate • Time performance for processing User test: • user evaluation	Point-based method	• Real-time frame rates were obtained for reasonably sized environments to give users the smooth, seamless experience they're used to from conventional videoconferencing solutions.	• Due to the real-time requirement, complicated storage solutions like an octree were not possible, hence numerous duplicate points were captured, stored, and rendered.
2020	Laskos al. [77]	A real-time 3D reconstruction solution for the human upper torso in mixed reality applications employing only one depth camera on the capture side.	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Zed Mini) Data: RGBD images	Performance: • frame rate • Execution time	Triangulation method: mesh generation	• Marching Cubes algorithm was used after quantizing the point cloud	• The data size depends on the point cloud vertices after user extraction, the mesh generation algorithm step, the texture image resolution and format, and the frames per second rate.
2020	Du et al. [95]	Introduce DepthLab, a software library for depth-based UI/UX paradigms.	Device: RGBD sensor (smartphone) Data: Depth map	Performance: • completion time	Triangulation method: mesh generation	• introduce interaction modules and real-time algorithms building upon three data structure representations of depth	• DepthLab enables geometry-aware AR experiences on phones with and without time-of- flight sensors, thus dynamic depth design yet to be explored.
2020	Fadzli et al. [59]	To explore real-time 3D reconstruction for MR telepresence.	Device: RGBD sensor (1 Kinects) Data: RGBD image	User test: • usability testing	Point-based method	• robust 3D reconstruction method integrated into collaborative MR interface that enable local and remote user to work on collaborative task	 MR wearable headset was felt heavy on the user when performing interaction. Users had to cross their eyes to see MR view properly due to hardware distortion.

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2021	Yu et al. [96]	A 3D Telepresence system allows users to interact with each other and compares both point cloud reconstruction or virtual character to identify the differences between user representations and their fit in the reconstructed environments	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Azure Kinects) Data: Depth images	Performance: • latency	Point-based method: point cloud extraction	 All image processing and network components are distributed, multi- threaded C++ and CUDA data-flow systems to meet performance and latency requirements. native Unity3D data- flow engine was integrated for low- latency, high- throughput streaming 	• did not use sophisticated pose fusion algorithms to combine avatar poses from multiple cameras
2021	Cha et al. [97]	presented a real-time egocentric 3D capture system as a step toward a fully mobile telepresence system which makes use of visual and inertial sensors that are either easy to embed into or are already present in commonly worn personal accessories such as eyeglasses, watches, and shoes.	Device: eyeglasses- mounted cameras and a few visual- inertial sensors	Performance: • accuracy Visual quality • qualitative comparisons of the reconstructed 3D models	Template-based reconstruction	• use the SMPL parametric body model to represent the body shape and pose	 The system only tracks the user's limbs and cannot detect topological or textural changes in the body model or model interactions with the environment. If a 3D joint is detected erroneously, the temporal orientation network propagates the error.
2021	Yu et al. [56]	introduce Magnoramas that allow the flexible extraction, transformation, and annotation of a region of interest (right) inside the real- time captured point cloud as well as can be interactively positioned, rotated, and scaled by the user.	Device: RGBD sensor (3 Azure Kinects) Data: Depth and infrared images	User test: • user study	Point-based method: point cloud extraction	• a novel interaction method for selecting and extracting a region of interest of reconstructed point cloud that the user can subsequently scale and transform inside the virtual space	 the quality of the real-time captured point cloud introduced artifacts. the user's HMD is not visible in the point cloud due to the high reflection coefficient of the transparent display
2021	Rasmuson et al. [98]	demonstrate a semiautomatic real- time pipeline for capturing and rendering free- viewpoint video utilizing passive stereo matching on a desktop computer with inexpensive web cameras.	Device: Webcams (6 Logitech C922 webcam) Kinects) Data: video streams	Performance: • Reconstruction time • frame rate Visual quality: • the visual quality of the reconstructed model	Visibility method: Shape-from- silhouette	• achieve usable free- viewpoint video in under 15ms with a fraction of the resources and simple setup allows nearly anyone with a few webcams to make material appropriate for AR and VR head- mounted displays and holographic screens in real-time.	 The resolution of the reconstructed geometry has diminishing returns on the perceived quality of the final view. Without global surface reconstruction, triangles with oblique angles that protrude in many directions and poor reconstruction must relied on filter.

Year	Study	Aim	Input	Evaluation	Method	Strength	Weakness
2021	Bortolon et al. [99]	propose capturing nearly synchronised frame streams from multiple moving handheld mobiles for dynamic object 3D reconstruction.	Mobile phone's depth camera (6 mobile phones) Data: Depth and infrared images	 Performance: measure the throughput and latency. Average and standard deviation time difference between consecutive frames received. 	Volumetric method: Marching Cube	• The system can capture almost synchronous frames to reconstruct a moving person's 3D skeleton and mesh.	• mobile poses are sometimes inaccurate, and that data may undergo variable delays
2022	Song et al. [100]	present the concept of Dynamic 3D View Sharing, which complements the views of a 3D reconstruction system by the dynamic view of the user's HMD.	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Azure Kinects) Data: Depth and infrared images	Performance test: • accuracy	Point-based method: point cloud extraction	 present a markerless calibration method. as the dynamic 3D sensor is free from the limitations of the static cameras, the lost information can be picked up by viewing at a closer distance or alternative angle 	• although the 3D capturing system was able to reconstruct most of the scene, there are still many gaps within the fused point cloud
2022	Fadzli et al. [<u>61]</u>	introduces a real-time 3D reconstruction of a human captured using a depth sensor and has integrated it with a holographic telepresence application	Device: RGBD sensor (2 Kinects) Data: Depth and infrared images	Performance test:processing timeprocessing rateaverage number of vertices and triangles.	Volumetric method: Marching Cube	• the approach is compact and readily manages data, which can benefit telepresence, which requires instant transmission and fast and compact data structures.	The application runs in real-time until surface generation, excluding texture mapping.
2022	Montagud et al. [<u>101</u>]	Towards socialVR: evaluating a novel technology for watching videos together.	Device: RGBD sensor (4 Kinects) Data: RGBD images	User test: • User study	Triangulation method: Mesh- based fusion	 To achieve coherent volumetric capturing, each sensor's RGB-D frames are merged in synchronize. After capturing, a background removal technique isolates geometry from colour information required for the user's 3D representation. 	• limitations in terms of technological aspects, such as the latency, fluidity and resolution of the end- user's reconstruction
2022	Fischer et al. [8]		Device: RGBD sensor (Azure Kinects) Data: RGBD images	 Performance: measured the time needed for filtering, compression, and rendering the frame rate. User test: user study 		 Modular low-latency multi-camera RGB-D streaming pipeline, including filtering, denoising, and compression. Custom splat- and mesh-based point cloud rendering solutions 	 lack of a dedicated point cloud/mesh fusion process Even when registered correctly, rendering multiple point clouds or meshes of the same object individually causes seams or artefacts.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.t003



the other edges of the polyhedron mesh via a sequence of recursive geometric deductions. The positions of vertices that have not yet been computed are gradually inferred from those that have already been computed, with the viewing edges serving as a starting set of vertices. The mesh is traversed repeatedly in a consistent manner in the third step to identify each face of the polyhedron.

Volumetric method: Truncated signed distanced function (TSDF). The volumetric surface representation format based on the TSDF displays an environment in 3D using a voxel grid where every voxel records the nearest area's distance. This method has been used in current depth camera-based environment mapping, and location systems use the representation widely.

An n-dimensional world is represented by an n-dimensional grid of voxels of equal size. A voxel's location is specified by its center. There are two significant values for each voxel. To begin, $sdf_i(x)$ is the signed distance between the voxel center and the closest object surface in direction of the current measurement. Values are defined to be positive in front of an object in free space. Distances behind the surface, which is within the object, are negative. Likewise, each voxel has a weight, $w_i(x)$ that is used to quantify the uncertainty associated with the corresponding, $sdf_i(x)$. The subscript *i* indicates that this is the *i* 'th observation. $sdf_i(x)$ is defined as in Fig 7 and the following equation.

$$sdf_i(x) = depth_i(pic(x)) - cam_z(x)$$



Fig 7. Truncated signed distance function (TSDF). (a) and the TSDF that was interpolated (b). Every voxel is represented as a dot in the grid, while the black line represents the surface. Positive distances are indicated by the colour blue to green; negative distances are indicated by the colour green to red. [103].

pic(x) is the depth image projection of the voxel center *x*. Thus, $depth_i(pic(x))$ denotes the depth measured between the camera and the closest object surface point *p* on the ray crossing *x*. Consequently, $cam_z(x)$ is the distance along the optical axis between the voxel and the camera. As a result, $sdf_i(x)$ is also a distance along the optical axis.

Truncated (±*t*) SDF is advantageous since vast distances are irrelevant for surface reconstruction, and a value range constraint can be used to reduce memory usage. $tsdf_i(x)$ is the abbreviation for the truncated variant of $sdf_i(x)$.

$$tsdf_{i}(x) = \frac{W_{i-1}(x)TSDF_{i-1}(x) + w_{i}(x)tdsf_{i}(x)}{W_{i-1}(x) + w_{i}(x)}$$

$$W_i(x) = W_{i-1}(x) + w_i(x)$$

Fig 8(a) shows that the $tsdf_i(x)$ of the voxel grid are expressed using colour. The TSDF is sampled along a viewing ray in Fig 8(b). Observations from multiple views can be integrated into a single TSDF to integrate data from multiple perspectives to increase accuracy or to fill in missing spots on the surface. This is accomplished through weighted summation, which is often accomplished using TSDF iterative updates. $tsdf_i(x)$ signifies the integration of all observations, $tsdf_i(x)$ with $1 \le j \le i$. $W_i(x)$ quantifies the uncertainty of $TSDF_i(x)$). The following update phase incorporates a new observation for each voxel x in the grid. The grid is initialized with $TSDF_i(x) = 0$ and $W_0(x) = 0$.

[105] framework's server executes scene reconstruction and employs the internal data structure Voxel Block Hashing (VBH) [106] for scene representation. VBH will only save a voxel block if at least one of its voxels is within the TSDF's truncation band. A spatial hash function addresses the blocks, which converts a 3D voxel position in world space to a hash



Fig 8. Reference image of TSDF algorithm. a) The camera's field of vision, optical axis, and ray (blue), as well as the TSDF grid (unseen voxels are white). (b) A TSDF sample was taken along the ray [104].

table entry. [107] implements VBH to hold the fused colour and 3D depth information for volumetric representation with hashes.

Triangulation method: Mesh generation. To some degree, the purpose of 3D reconstruction is to make the reconstructed scene or object visible. Through 3D reconstruction methods, a group of 3D dot sets can be generated; however, this method cannot reflect surface details. As a result, these spatial coordinates should be triangulated, and a simulated surface composed of multiple triangles can be employed to approximate the actual surface.

It is possible to achieve this using a collection of 3D point sets and applying the 3D reconstruction algorithms. However, the surface details cannot be replicated through this method. As a result, it necessitates the triangulation of these spatial coordinates, and the usage of a simulated surface comprised of multiple triangles to resemble the actual surface can be accomplished. This process establishes a networked structure for the scattered 3D point sets. The object's 3D model will be created using triangular plane clips following triangulation. We can now retrieve the actual 3D model by extracting the texture from the image and projecting it onto the 3D model.

The direct meshing of point clouds is possible using Delaunay triangulation and its variants [21]. These methods are susceptible to noise and inconsistencies in the distances between points. Maimone and Fuchs [4, 41] independently construct triangle meshes for multiple cameras by connecting adjacent range image pixels. The meshes are not blended together but are rendered separately. The frames are then combined. Alexiadis et al. [57] take the concept further by merging triangle meshes before rendering. While these techniques can achieve high frame rates, the output quality could be improved.

Delaunay's Triangulation is one of the most frequently used triangulation methods since it is characterized by optimality. Delaunay presented it for the first time in 1934. There are three primary ways for Delaunay's Triangulation: the incremental method (incremental insertion), divide algorithm (segmentation-merger algorithm), and triangulation growth algorithm abandoned in the mid-1980s. The other two techniques are particularly common.

In the following sense, Delaunay triangulation D(P) of P is the Voronoi diagram's dual: it contains the same number of points as the Voronoi diagram. A simplex with vertices, $p_1 \dots p_k$ and an array of $V_1 \dots V_k$ of Voronoi cells corresponding to point, $p_1 \dots p_k$ has a nonempty intersection, n, belonging to Delaunay triangulation. It is a simplicial complex derived from the convex hull of the points in P. That is, if the common intersection of the corresponding Voronoi cells is not empty, the convex hull of four points in P defines a Delaunay cell (tetrahedron). Similarly, if the intersection of their corresponding Voronoi cells is not empty and has three or two points, the convex hull denotes as Delaunay face or edge. The Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram are shown in Fig 9.

Voronoi diagram V(P) of P is a convex polyhedron cell decomposition of \mathbb{R}_3 . Each Voronoi cell comprises exactly one sample point, and all points of R3 that are not closer to any other sample point, that is, the Voronoi cell corresponding to $p \in P$ is as follows.

$$V_{p} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{3} : \forall q \in P \parallel x - p \parallel \leq \parallel x - q \parallel\}$$

Voronoi facets are closed facets shared by two Voronoi cells, Voronoi edges are closed edges shared by three Voronoi cells, and Voronoi vertices are closed points shared by four Voronoi cells. Besides, the mesh can also be computed for TSDF-based approaches based on Marching Cubes [91].

Point-based method: Point cloud representation. A cloud point representation refers to a group of recorded depth maps. Point clouds represent the output of numerous 3D sensors like laser scanners and a technique for representing 3D scenes. When a point-based input is turned into a continuous implicit function, it is discretized and then transformed into an (explicit) form through costly polygonization [80] or ray casting [81], then the computational overheads required for switching between several data representations are unveiled. Additionally, using a regular voxel grid, which tightly depicts empty space and surfaces and so severely limits the size of the reconstruction volume, imposes memory overheads.

Moving volume systems [51, 57], which function in extremely low volumes, but which release voxels when the sensor moves, or volumetric hierarchical data structures [82], which incur further computational as well as data structure complexity for a restricted spatial gain, have been developed as a result of these memory limitations. Simpler representations have also been investigated in addition to volumetric techniques.

The input obtained from depth/range sensors are more suited for point-based representations. In the case of real-time 3D reconstruction, [33] used a point-based technique and a custom-structured light sensor. In addition to reducing computational complexity, point-based methods lower the overall memory associated with volumetric approaches (standard grid) as long as overlapping points are combined. Therefore, such strategies were employed in larger reconstructions. However, an obvious compromise in scale versus speed and quality becomes apparent.

The flow data on the process of point-based surface rendering, as in Fig 10, starts with 3D with attributes such as position, normal, radius, etc. Then, projecting the 3D points into scattered pixel data could give the depth, normal or radius value. The interpolation and shading process would result in the image of the surface with depth and colour information. [85] demonstrated real-time 3D reconstruction using a point-based approach and a customized structured light sensor. Apart from lowering computational complexity, point-based methods have a lower memory footprint than volumetric (regular grid) alternatives, if the points that overlap are combined. As a result, these techniques have been applied to larger-scale reconstructions.





Nevertheless, a clear trade-off between scale and speed, and quality becomes apparent. Pointbased methods might also be more computationally demanding in terms of storage than compact index-based volume representations based on Marching Cubes. The approach is compact and readily manages data, which can benefit telepresence, which requires instant transmission and fast and compact data structures to reconstruct and provide remote users a virtual 3D model in real-time.





Evaluation of 3D reconstruction method for telepresence system

Evaluating the 3D reconstruction method is a challenging task. This is not just owing to the increased complexity of the problem but also the absence of widely acknowledged standardized testing procedures. A performance evaluation system in this area is lacking without consideration of the design of experimental test beds and analysis methodologies, as well as the definition of the ground truth. Furthermore, to establish valid objective comparisons, the performance must be quantified and qualified in some way.

Performance analysis. For performance assessment, [6, 16, 51, 55, 57, 70, 74, 77, 90] measured frame rates of application and network latency by [6, 17, 91]. [58, 78] monitor the refresh rates of the 3D reconstruction method and the latency of the overall system [15, 42, 51, 70, 75, 76, 78, 92, 93]. [79] measure the processing time for encoding silhouette images. [81] compares average kernel times of IBVH. [41, 84, 86] measure the rendering rate. [4] measure the frame rate for the display. [40, 54, 89] evaluate the speed of modelling. [43, 55, 74, 77, 86, 94] measure the processing time and frame rate of the enhancement method. [16, 42, 51, 52, 70, 75, 83, 88, 93] measure the computational time. The number of mesh faces is calculated by [57, 85] record the sequential alignment comparison. [87] measure the root mean square error (RMSE) of measurements and [70] calculate the number of resultant vertices. The bandwidth for streaming the data to the remote place was done by [17, 91].

Visual quality. The visual quality evaluation that has been conducted by [79] measures the temporal qualities of the acquisition result, while [80, 83] compare the quality of the obtained results with a dataset. [4, 41] made comparisons of temporal noise for their results. [19, 37, 42, 57, 94, 110] made a comparative result with respect to the visual quality of the reconstructed model. [53] evaluates the quality of the rendering result, and [16, 88] made a qualitative comparison with other different state-of-the-art methods. Peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) is utilized to quantify the nature of the reconstructed compressed image. The higher value of PSNR indicates a better quality of the recreated image [108].

User test. The usability testing has been conducted by [6, 55]. conducted an experiment, and at the end of the session, asked the questionnaire consisted of 10 topics that were covered by groups of two to four separate questions that had to be answered using Likert scales with varying orientations regarding the overall experience, usage experience, comprehensibility of body language and gaze communication, acceptance of the apparatus used and the illusion of physical co-presence. [55] have let the participants experience two separate prototypes and made them rate to compare both systems in terms of which one is more accessible, more preferable, or that could make the participant feel more present and feel the presence of another remote user. User studies [43, 58, 70, 93] and pilot studies [15] have been conducted and evaluated. [91] evaluate the practicality of the framework for telepresence in live-captured scenes while [58, 59] evaluate the user experience of the system.

Discussion

The publication trend indicates that there is an increasing interest in integrating 3D reconstruction with telepresence systems. However, given the importance of the topic and the relatively small number of reports that summarized this field of study have been found. Hopefully, this systematic literature review can be helpful and valuable for other researchers. Overall, this systematic review of the 48 studies helped answer our three research questions.

RQ1: What are the input data for the 3D reconstruction method?

The input data which has been used for the 3D reconstruction method are images, and video captured using a regular camera, or depth and colour streams acquired using RGB-D sensors. The input device type has been detailed as illustrated in Fig 10. Over the last decade, a new class of cameras has been revolutionized that enables detailed measurement of the threedimensional geometry of the scene being scanned, overcoming the limitations of conventional colour cameras. These sensors take a thorough per-pixel scene depth measurement, such as the distance between the scene's points, and store the information. In most cases, these estimated depth values are given to the viewer as either a profound image of the viewable areas of the scene in a two-and-a-half-dimensional shape. RGB-D is the combination of RGB with a depth sensor of this type. This enables the simultaneous capture of scenery and scene geometry at accepted time frame rates using a stream of colour and depth images. Structured light and active infrared (IR) are two different methods used for depth sensing. Structured light involves projecting known patterns onto a scene and analyzing their deformations to calculate depth information. Meanwhile, active infrared (IR) uses emitted and reflected infrared light to obtain depth information. Time of flight (TOF) and stereo depth sensing are two techniques used in computer vision to determine depth information. TOF measures the time it takes for light to travel and return, while stereo depth sensing compares images from two cameras to calculate depth.

A wide range of RGB-D products such as the Microsoft Kinect Xbox 360, Kinect V2, Azure, Intel RealSense Structure Sensor, and the Asus Xtion Pro have been created over the last ten years. Although earlier sensors were costly and only available to a few subject specialists, the range sensors are now everywhere and are even available on mobile devices of the newest generation. Current sensors are tiny, cheap, and accessible to a large audience daily. The availability of inexpensive sensor technology led to a significant leap in research, particularly with regard to more robust static and dynamic methodologies for reconstruction, from 3D scan applications to precise facial and body tracking systems to be integrated with telepresence systems. Table 4 summarize the details of various type of depth sensors.

RQ2: What are the real-time 3D reconstruction methods implemented in telepresence systems?

The 3D reconstruction method for telepresence can be classified into four methods. First is the visibility method most suitable for the traditional computer vision approach using the image or video frame as input and applying the shape-from-silhouette algorithm. The second method is the volumetric method which executes a Truncated Signed Distance Function (TSDF) to generate the surface representation of captured object or environment as a voxel grid in which every voxel records the distance to the nearest area. The third method is the triangulation method which is used for mesh generation. The Delaunay triangulation algorithm is the most used algorithm to generate the mesh of the reconstructed model. Last but not least is the

Device Specification	Kinect Xbox 360	Kinect V2	Kinect Azure	RealSense Depth Camera D400 Series	ZED Camera	Structure IO	Xtion Pro Live
Manufacturer	Microsoft Corporation	Microsoft Corporation	Microsoft Corporation	Intel	Stereolabs	Occipital	ASUS
Colour Camera	640×480 pixels @ 30 Hz	1920×1080 pixels @ 30 Hz	3482×2160 pixels @ 30 Hz	1920x1080 pixel @ 30 Hz	1920×1080 pixels @ 30 Hz	640 x 480 pixels @ 100 Hz	640x480 pixels @ 30 Hz
Depth Camera	640×480 pixels @ 30 Hz	512×424 pixels @ 30 Hz	1024 x024 pixels @ 15 Hz	1280x720 pixel @ 90 Hz	1280 x 768 pixel @ 100 Hz	1280 x 960 pixel @ 54 Hz	1280 x 1024 pixel @ 60 Hz
Sensor	Structured light	Time of Flight (TOF)	Time of Flight (TOF)	Active infrared (IR) stereo	Stereo Depth Sensing	Active infrared (IR)	Active infrared (IR)
Dimensions: Length x Width x Height	6 x 6 x 12 inches	10 x 3 x 3 inches	4 x 1.5 x 5 inches	3.5 x 1x 1 inches	6.7 x 1.2 x 1.3 inches	4.3 x 0.7 x 0.9 inches	18 x 3.5 x 5 inches
Depth Field of View (FOV) (H x V)	57°x 43°	70° x 60°	75° x 65°	65° x 40°	90° x 60°	59° x 46°	58°x 45°
OS Required	Windows 7,	Windows 7,	Windows 7,	Windows 7,	Windows 7,	Windows 7,	Windows 7,
	Windows 8	Windows 8,	Windows 8,	Windows 8,	Windows 8,	Windows 8,	Windows 8,
		Windows 10	Windows 10	Windows 10	Windows 10	Windows 10	Windows 10
Price	\$ 150.00 (discontinued)	\$199.00 (discontinued)	\$399.00	\$ 179.99	\$349.00	\$449.00	\$300.00

Table 4. Comparison of consumer-grade RGB-D sensors.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287155.t004

point-based method. This method is mainly preferred as it helps to reduce computational complexity and lower the overall memory associated with volumetric approaches.

Therefore, the telepresence system represents the target objects as sets of 3D volume pixels, or voxels, in a 3D box. The actual environment is then produced dynamically from any viewing angle at the local endpoint, inserting the point cloud object into a scene or rendering many concurrent point cloud objects. Consequently, it requires complicated preprocessing and rendering, including setups with many camera angles and RGB and depth cameras. Moreover, volumetric media is extremely dense because each voxel is transmitted only once. Therefore, a higher level of compression exchanges computation and latency for the bandwidth and latency required for networking, and vice versa. The accuracy of the reconstructed model can affect the telepresence system, as agreed by [56, 58], where the quality of reconstruction with visual cue offset has been directly influencing the user experience while performing remote communication using telepresence.

There is an apparent trade-off between scale, speed, and quality. By comparing the previous and the latest study that has been analyzed in this report, it is apparent that there are continuous improvements in each of the methods as there is also gradual advancement of devices and machines made available for researchers. It is vital to adopt the appropriate reconstruction method to ensure that the accuracy and computation capacity of the reconstructed model can be advantageous when integrated with a telepresence system, resulting in positive user interaction.

RQ3: How can the real-time 3D reconstruction method be evaluated for the telepresence system or application?

There are several ways to evaluate the overall system of the 3D reconstruction method integrated with telepresence technology. The performance of 3D reconstruction and telepresence components can be quantified using performance analysis, visual quality comparison, and data gathered from user testing. The evaluation of the 3D telepresence system mostly depends on the research's main objective. Suppose the researchers' work mainly focuses on improving the quality of the reconstructed model or improving the 3D reconstruction method. In that case, the visual quality comparison and the performance of the 3D reconstruction method implemented are measured as evaluation. When the research works more towards improving the user experience from using the system, then user testing is the proper evaluation.

Conclusion

This work conducted a comprehensive systematic literature survey to detect and examine the various 3D reconstruction methods scientists use for telepresence. We also present their advantages and disadvantages in the report. 48 literature publications were selected and analyzed through several phases in the systemic review process.

The literature under evaluation has certain restrictions, as articles published between 2010 and 2022 are the literature documents considered in the review. It, therefore, restricts study and gives future research more scope regarding comprehending the devices before 2010. It also limits research. From this systemic review literature, researchers may gain an in-depth understanding and use this material to advance their study in this field for application in real-time 3D reconstruction

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. PRISMA 2009 checklist. (DOC)

S1 Fig. PRISMA 2009 flow diagram. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

We extend our heartfelt gratitude and deepest appreciation to the Mixed and Virtual Reality Laboratory (mivielab) and ViCubeLab at the University of Technology Malaysia (UTM) for their invaluable support, unwavering dedication, and provision of exceptional facilities throughout the course of this research. Their technical assistance and resources have been instrumental in ensuring the successful execution and outcomes of our study.

Author Contributions

Writing - original draft: Fazliaty Edora Fadzli, Ajune Wanis Ismail.

Writing – review & editing: Fazliaty Edora Fadzli, Ajune Wanis Ismail, Shafina Abd Karim Ishigaki.

References

- Zollhöfer M, Stotko P, ... AG-C graphics, 2018 undefined. State of the art on 3D reconstruction with RGB-D cameras. Wiley Online Library. [cited 7 Jun 2023]. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10. 1111/cgf.13386
- Zhang J, Gong LR, Yu K, Qi X, Wen Z, Hua Q, et al. 3D Reconstruction for Super-Resolution CT Images in the Internet of Health Things Using Deep Learning. IEEE Access. 2020; 8: 121513–121525. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3007024
- Jones C, Reports EC-J of AS, 2020 undefined. Photogrammetry is for everyone: Structure-frommotion software user experiences in archaeology. Elsevier. [cited 7 Jun 2023]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352409X20300523?casa_token= fANRIN7uzdoAAAAA:4C0zIE5RrwsJrM1V71wnr9USGJ4WbF_FU0t1r3c_ Qj83SU94Hq8YefvlkuJIPQ7xjuoKo8wkjMft

- Maimone A, Bidwell J, Peng K, Fuchs H. Enhanced personal autostereoscopic telepresence system using commodity depth cameras. Computers and Graphics (Pergamon). 2012; 36: 791–807. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.CAG.2012.04.011
- Dima E. Augmented Telepresence based on Multi-Camera Systems: Capture, Transmission, Rendering, and User Experience. 2021 [cited 7 Jun 2023]. <u>https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=</u> diva2:1544394
- Beck S, Kunert A, ... AK-I transactions on, 2013 undefined. Immersive group-to-group telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6479190/
- Dwivedi Y, Hughes L, ... AB-IJ of, 2022 undefined. Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. Elsevier. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0268401222000767
- Fischer R, Mühlenbrock A, Kulapichitr F, Uslar VN, Weyhe D, Zachmann G. Evaluation of Point Cloud Streaming and Rendering for VR-Based Telepresence in the OR. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). 2022; 13484 LNCS: 89–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16234-3_6
- Stotko P, Krumpen S, Weinmann M, Klein R. Efficient 3D Reconstruction and Streaming for Group-Scale Multi-Client Live Telepresence. Proceedings—2019 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality, ISMAR 2019. 2019; 19–25.
- Weinmann M, Wursthorn S, Weinmann M, Hübner P. Efficient 3D Mapping and Modelling of Indoor Scenes with the Microsoft HoloLens: A Survey. PFG—Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science. 2021; 89: 319–333. https://doi.org/10.1007/S41064-021-00163-Y
- Hung SW, Chang CW, Ma YC. A new reality: Exploring continuance intention to use mobile augmented reality for entertainment purposes. Technol Soc. 2021; 67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.</u> TECHSOC.2021.101757
- Yousefi M. Investigating the effect of corrective feedback on second language pragmatics: face-toface vs. technology-mediated communication. 2020 [cited 7 Jun 2023]. <u>https://dspace.library.uvic.ca/</u> handle/1828/12045
- Nadir Z, Taleb T, Flinck H, Bouachir O, Bagaa M. Immersive Services over 5G and beyond Mobile Systems. IEEE Netw. 2021; 35: 299–306. https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.121.2100172
- Aoyama T, Takeno S, Takeuchi M, Hasegawa Y. Head-mounted display-based microscopic imaging system with customizable field size and viewpoint. Sensors (Switzerland). 2020; 20. https://doi.org/10. 3390/s20071967 PMID: 32244620
- Komiyama R, Miyaki T, Augmented JR-P of the 8th, 2017 undefined. JackIn space: designing a seamless transition between first and third person view for effective telepresence collaborations. dl.acm.org. 2017 [cited 8 Jun 2023].
- Du R, Chuang M, Chang W, Varshney A, Hoppe H. Montage4d: Interactive seamless fusion of multiview video textures. Proceedings—I3D 2018: ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games. 2018;11.
- Bell T, Imaging SZ-E, 2019 undefined. Holo Reality: Real-time low-bandwidth 3D range video communications on consumer mobile devices with application to augmented reality. iro.uiowa.edu. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://iro.uiowa.edu/esploro/outputs/journalArticle/Holo-Reality-Real-time-low-bandwidth-3D-range/9984197214402771
- 18. Orlosky J, Kiyokawa K, Information HT-J of, 2017 undefined. Virtual and augmented reality on the 5G highway. jstage.jst.go.jp. 2017; 25: 133–141. https://doi.org/10.2197/ipsjijp.25.133
- Tan F, Fu C, Deng T, Cai J, ACM TC-P of the 25th, 2017 undefined. FaceCollage: A rapidly deployable system for real-time head reconstruction for on-the-go 3D telepresence. dl.acm.org. 2017; 64–72.
- 20. Sierra-Correa PC, Cantera Kintz JR. Ecosystem-based adaptation for improving coastal planning for sea-level rise: A systematic review for mangrove coasts. Mar Policy. 2015; 51: 385–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2014.09.013
- Zhao Y, Liu Z, Yang L, 2012 HC-P of T, 2012 undefined. Combing rgb and depth map features for human activity recognition. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ abstract/document/6411953/</u>
- Joudar S, Albahri A, Medicine RH-C in B and, 2022 undefined. Triage and priority-based healthcare diagnosis using artificial intelligence for autism spectrum disorder and gene contribution: a systematic review. Elsevier. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/</u> S0010482522003456
- Albahri AS, Alnoor A, Zaidan AA, Albahri OS, Hameed H, Zaidan BB, et al. Hybrid artificial neural network and structural equation modelling techniques: a survey. Complex and Intelligent Systems. 2022; 8: 1781–1801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-021-00503-w PMID: 34777975

- Albahri A, Alnoor A, Zaidan A, Chaos OA-, & S, 2021 undefined. Based on the multi-assessment model: towards a new context of combining the artificial neural network and structural equation modelling: a review. Elsevier. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/</u> S0960077921007992
- 25. Minsky M. Telepresence. 1980 [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://philpapers.org/rec/MINT
- interface WB-P of graphics, 1992 undefined. Telepresence: Integrating shared task and person spaces. billbuxton.com. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. http://www.billbuxton.com/TelepShrdSpce.pdf
- Laboratory CGOUC, http undefined, 2002 undefined. Out of the office into the school: electronic whiteboards for education. academia.edu. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://www.academia.edu/download/ 3483075/tr-16-00.pdf
- Nguyen V, Lu J, Zhao S, ... DV-IJ of, 2014 undefined. ITEM: Immersive telepresence for entertainment and meetings—A practical approach. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6971053/
- 29. Proceedings JJ-IAConference, 2009 undefined. Affective Spatial Cognition in the Co-Space of Real and Virtual Environments. search.proquest.com. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://search.proquest.com/ openview/b9d46d36c0a8eaa1b2eabfe7e9f020a5/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51908
- Fuchs H, Bishop G, Arthur K, McMillan L, Bajcsy R, Wook Lee S, et al. Virtual space teleconferencing using a sea of cameras. Citeseer. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid= rep1&type=pdf&doi=6ea2f6cd3178d60e1e57c65d1461ceb357214043
- **31.** Kanade T, Rander P, Narayanan PJ. Virtualized reality: Constructing virtual worlds from real scenes. IEEE Multimedia. 1997; 4: 34–47. https://doi.org/10.1109/93.580394
- 32. Mulligan J, ACM KD-PI and, 2000 undefined. View-independent scene acquisition for tele-presence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/880933/
- ITP2002 HT-Proc of, 2002 undefined. 3d tele-collaboration over internet2. cir.nii.ac.jp. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1574231875325662848
- Tanikawa T, Suzuki Y, Hirota K, Hirose M. Real world video avatar: Real-time and real-size transmission and presentation of human figure. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. 2005; 157: 112–118. https://doi.org/10.1145/1152399.1152421
- Kurillo G, Bajcsy R, ... KN-2008 IV, 2008 undefined. Immersive 3d environment for remote collaboration and training of physical activities. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/4480795/</u>
- Jones A, Lang M, Fyffe G, Yu X, Busch J, McDowall I, et al. Achieving eye contact in a one-to-many 3D video teleconferencing system. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG). 2009; 28. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1145/1531326.1531370</u>
- Gibbs S, Breiteneder C, Gibbs SJ, Arapis C, Breiteneder CJ. Teleport-towards immersive copresence. Springer. 1999; 7: 214–221.
- Gross M, Würmlin S, Naef M, Lamboray E, Spagno C, Kunz A, et al. Blue-c: A spatially immersive display and 3D video portal for telepresence. ACM Trans Graph. 2003; 22: 819–827. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/882262.882350</u>
- Edelmann J, Gerjets P, ... PM-... on ES, 2012 undefined. Face2Face—A system for multi-touch collaboration with telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ abstract/document/6152470/
- He L, Liu K, He Z, Cao L. Three-dimensional holographic communication system for the metaverse. Opt Commun. 2023; 526. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OPTCOM.2022.128894
- Maimone A, vision HF-2012 3DTV-conference: the true, 2012 undefined. Real-time volumetric 3D capture of room-sized scenes for telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.</u> ieee.org/abstract/document/6365430/
- Orts-Escolano S, Rhemann C, Fanello S, Chang W, Kowdle A, Degtyarev Y, et al. Holoportation: Virtual 3D Teleportation in Real-time. academia.edu. 2016; 741–754. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/2984511.</u> 2984517
- Cserkaszky A, Barsi A, Nagy Z, Puhr G, Balogh T, Kara PA. Real-time light-field 3D telepresence. Proceedings—European Workshop on Visual Information Processing, EUVIP. 2019;2018-November.
- Veerasamy B, Annadurai S. Video compression using hybrid hexagon search and teaching–learningbased optimization technique for 3D reconstruction. Multimed Syst. 2021; 27: 45–59. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S00530-020-00699-W</u>
- 45. Mossel A, Kroeter M. Streaming and Exploration of Dynamically Changing Dense 3D Reconstructions in Immersive Virtual Reality. Adjunct Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality, ISMAR-Adjunct 2016. 2017; 43–48.

- Stotko P, Krumpen S, ... MS-2019 I, 2019 undefined. A VR system for immersive teleoperation and live exploration with a mobile robot. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8968598/</u>
- Fan C-L, Lee J, Lo W-C, Huang C-Y, Chen K-T, Hsu C-H. Fixation prediction for 360 video streaming in head-mounted virtual reality. dl.acm.org. 2017; 6: 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1145/3083165.3083180
- Hosseini M, Swaminathan V. Adaptive 360 VR Video Streaming: Divide and Conquer. 2017; 107–110. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISM.2016.0028
- 49. Fontaine G. The Experience of a Sense of Presence in Intercultural and International Encounters. Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments. 1992; 1: 482–490. https://doi.org/10.1162/PRES. 1992.1.4.482
- Sari K, Riasetiawan M. The Implementation of Timestamp, Bitmap and RAKE Algorithm on Data Compression and Data Transmission from IoT to Cloud. Proceedings—2018 4th International Conference on Science and Technology, ICST 2018. 2018.
- Zioulis N, Alexiadis D, ... AD-... conference on image, 2016 undefined. 3D tele-immersion platform for interactive immersive experiences between remote users. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7532380/
- Joachimczak M, Liu J, ACM HA-P of the 19th, 2017 undefined. Real-time mixed-reality telepresence via 3D reconstruction with HoloLens and commodity depth sensors. dl.acm.org. 2017;2017-January: 514–515. https://doi.org/10.1145/3136755.3143031
- 53. Su P, Shen J, International MR-2017 IT, 2017 undefined. Rgb-d camera network calibration and streaming for 3d telepresence in large environment. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7966773/?casa_token=B2MIRuOJAlkAAAAA: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7966773/?casa_token=B2MIRuOJAlkAAAAA: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7966773/?casa_token=B2MIRuOJAlkAAAAA: <a href="https://ieeexplore.ieeexplor
- Chen YX, Wang CH, Yang DN, Liao W. Bandwidth constrained holographic telepresence with 3D model reconstruction. 2019 IEEE Global Communications Conference, GLOBECOM 2019—Proceedings. 2019.
- Young J, Langlotz T, ... SM-P of the A on, 2020 undefined. Mobileportation: Nomadic telepresence for mobile devices. dl.acm.org. 2020; 4: 65. https://doi.org/10.1145/3397331
- Yu K, Winkler A, ... FP-2021 IV, 2021 undefined. Magnoramas: Magnifying dioramas for precise annotations in asymmetric 3d teleconsultation. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.</u> ieee.org/abstract/document/9417638/
- Alexiadis DS, Zarpalas D, Alexiadis D, Daras P. Fast and smooth 3d reconstruction using multiple rgbdepth sensors. ieeexplore.ieee.org. 2015; 173–176. https://doi.org/10.1109/VCIP.2014.7051532
- Teo T, Lawrence L, Lee G, ... MB-P of the 2019, 2019 undefined. Mixed reality remote collaboration combining 360 video and 3d reconstruction. dl.acm.org. 2019; 14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605</u>. 3300431
- Fadzli FE, Ismail AW. A Robust Real-Time 3D Reconstruction Method for Mixed Reality Telepresence. International Journal of Innovative Computing. 2020; 10: 15–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.11113/IJIC.V10N2.</u> 265
- Wetzstein G, Lanman D, Hirsch M, Raskar R. Tensor displays: Compressive light field synthesis using multilayer displays with directional backlighting. ACM Trans Graph. 2012; 31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/ 2185520.2185576</u>
- Edora Fadzli F, Wanis Ismail A, Abd Karim Ishigaki S, Nur Affendy Nor M, Yahya Fekri Aladin M. Real-Time 3D Reconstruction Method for Holographic Telepresence. mdpi.com. 2022; 12. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/app12084009
- Dalvi AA, Siddavatam I, Dandekar NG, Patil A V. 3D holographic projections using prism and hand gesture recognition. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. 2015;06-07-March-2015.
- **63.** Mathur A, Garg I, Gupta P. Real-Time 3D Volumetric Representation for Telepresence. Journal of Analysis and Computation (JAC) (An International Peer Reviewed Journal), <u>www.ijaconline.com</u>. XVI. <u>www.ijaconline.com</u>,
- Blinder D, Birnbaum T, Ito T, Shimobaba T, Blinder D, Birnbaum T, et al. The state-of-the-art in computer generated holography for 3D display. Light: Advanced Manufacturing. 2022; 3: 572–600. https:// doi.org/10.37188/LAM.2022.035
- **65.** Pi D, Liu J, Wang Y. Review of computer-generated hologram algorithms for color dynamic holographic three-dimensional display. Light: Science & Applications 2022 11:1. 2022; 11: 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-022-00916-3 PMID: 35879287
- 66. Tsankova Y, Symposium AM-2022 25th I, 2022 undefined. Holographic Telepresence in Knowledge Transfer-Potential and Challenges in the Implementation. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10014919/

- Akyildiz I, Technologies. HG-IJ on F and E, 2022 undefined. Holographic-type Communication: A New Challenge for The Next Decade. itu.int. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-s/opb/jnl/S-JNL-VOL3.ISSUE2-2022-A33-PDF-E.pdf
- 68. Kim J, Kim D, Kim B, Kim H, Lee J. Holobot. 2023; 60–64. https://doi.org/10.1145/3568294.3580043
- Fadzli F, Nor'a M, Innovative AI-IJ of, 2022 undefined. 3D Display for 3D Telepresence: A Review. ijic. utm.my. 2021; 12: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.11113/ijic.v12n1.318
- Pejsa T, Kantor J, Benko H, ... EO-P of the 19th, 2016 undefined. Room2room: Enabling life-size telepresence in a projected augmented reality environment. dl.acm.org. 2016; 27: 1716–1725. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2819965</u>
- Zhang S, Multimedia WH-J of, 2012 undefined. Tele-immersive interaction with intelligent virtual agents based on real-time 3D modeling. Citeseer. 2012; 7: 57–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.4304/jmm.7.1.57-65</u>
- Eduardo Luévano Belmonte L, González Mendívil egm E, Eduardo Luévano Belmonte luevano L, Nahún Quintero Milián nahun H. Profesor AVATAR: Telepresence Model. iacee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://www.iacee.org/docs/P4-Profesor_Avatar_Telepresence_model_46.pdf
- 73. Luevano L, Lara E de, and HQ-H materials, 2019 undefined. Professor avatar holographic telepresence model. books.google.com. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://books.google.com.my/books?hl=en&lr=&id=sUP8DwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA91&dq=+Luevano,+L.,+de+Lara,+E.+L.,+%26+Quintero,+H. +(2019).+Professor++Avatar+Holographic+Telepresence+Model.+Holographic++Materials+and +Applications,+91.&ots=0IIzHQwfTp&sig=GDHocFPTpaSxdzdhQhn_tGfovPU
- Roberts D, Fairchild A, ... SC-IJ of, 2015 undefined. withyou—an experimental end-to-end telepresence system using video-based reconstruction. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https:// ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7039218/
- 75. Fairchild A, Campion S, ... AG-... on C and, 2016 undefined. A mixed reality telepresence system for collaborative space operation. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7490357/</u>
- Parikh V, Khara M. A mixed reality workspace using telepresence system. Lecture Notes in Computational Vision and Biomechanics. 2019; 30: 803–813. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00665-5_78
- Laskos D, Moustakas K. Real-time Upper Body Reconstruction and Streaming for Mixed Reality Applications. Proceedings—2020 International Conference on Cyberworlds, CW 2020. 2020; 129–132.
- 78. Petit B, Lesage J, Menier C, ... JA-I journal of, 2010 undefined. Multicamera real-time 3d modeling for telepresence and remote collaboration. hindawi.com. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijdmb/2010/247108/</u>
- **79.** Moore C, Duckworth T, Aspin R, Roberts D. Synchronization of images from multiple cameras to reconstruct a moving human. Proceedings—IEEE International Symposium on Distributed Simulation and Real-Time Applications, DS-RT. 2010; 53–60.
- 80. Duckworth T, International DR-2011 I 15th, 2011 undefined. Camera image synchronisation in multiple camera real-time 3d reconstruction of moving humans. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6051791/
- Hauswiesner S, Straka M, Reitmayr G. Coherent image-based rendering of real-world objects. Proceedings of the Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics. 2011; 183–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/1944745.1944776</u>
- Alexiadis DS, Zarpalas D, Daras P. Real-time, realistic full-body 3D reconstruction and texture mapping from multiple Kinects. 2013 IEEE 11th IVMSP Workshop: 3D Image/Video Technologies and Applications, IVMSP 2013—Proceedings. 2013.
- Zhao M, Tan F, Fu C, Tang C, ... JC-... on M and, 2013 undefined. High-quality Kinect depth filtering for real-time 3D telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6607501/</u>
- Islam A, Scheel C, Imran A, OS, 2014 V, of H as P, et al. Fast and accurate 3d reproduction of a remote collaboration environment. Springer. 2014;8525 LNCS: 351–362.
- 85. Whelan T, Kaess M, Johannsson H, Fallon M, Leonard JJ, McDonald J. Real-time large-scale dense RGB-D SLAM with volumetric fusion. International Journal of Robotics Research. 2015; 34: 598–626. https://doi.org/10.1177/0278364914551008
- Lu X, Shen J, Perugini S, Yang J. An Immersive Telepresence System Using RGB-D Sensors and Head Mounted Display. Proceedings—2015 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia, ISM 2015. 2016; 453–458.
- 87. Almeida L, Menezes P, Dias J. Incremental Reconstruction Approach for Telepresence or AR Applications.

- Dou M, Khamis S, Degtyarev Y, Davidson P, Fanello SR, Kowdle A, et al. Fusion4D. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG). 2016; 35. https://doi.org/10.1145/2897824.2925969
- Ruchay AN, Dorofeev KA, Kolpakov VI. Fusion of information from multiple kinect sensors for 3D object reconstruction. Computer Optics. 2018; 42: 898–903. <u>https://doi.org/10.18287/2412-6179-2018-42-5-898-903</u>
- Bell T, Allebach JP, Zhang S. Holostream: High-accuracy, high-speed 3d range video encoding and streaming across standard wireless networks. IS and T International Symposium on Electronic Imaging Science and Technology. 2018. https://doi.org/10.2352/ISSN.2470-1173.2018.18.3DIPM-425
- Stotko P, Krumpen S, Hullin MB, Weinmann M, Klein R. SLAMCast: Large-Scale, Real-Time 3D Reconstruction and Streaming for Immersive Multi-Client Live Telepresence. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph. 2019; 25: 2102–2112. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2019.2899231 PMID: 30794183
- Córdova-Esparza DM, Terven JR, Jiménez-Hernández H, Herrera-Navarro A, Vázquez-Cervantes A, García-Huerta JM. Low-bandwidth 3D visual telepresence system. Multimed Tools Appl. 2019; 78: 21273–21290. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11042-019-7464-0
- 93. Cho S, Kim S, Lee J, ... JA-2020 I conference on, 2020 undefined. Effects of volumetric capture avatars on social presence in immersive virtual environments. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9089612/
- Wang ZR, Yang CG, Dai SL. A Fast Compression Framework Based on 3D Point Cloud Data for Telepresence. International Journal of Automation and Computing. 2020; 17: 855–866. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/S11633-020-1240-5</u>
- 95. Du R, Turner E, Dzitsiuk M, Prasso L, Duarte I, Dourgarian J, et al. DepthLab: Real-time 3D interaction with depth maps for mobile augmented reality. dl.acm.org. 2020; 829–843. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3379337.3415881</u>
- 96. Yu K, Gorbachev G, Eck U, ... FP-... on V and, 2021 undefined. Avatars for teleconsultation: Effects of avatar embodiment techniques on user perception in 3D asymmetric telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee. org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9523831/
- Cha Y, Shaik H, Zhang Q, ... FF-2021 IV, 2021 undefined. Mobile. Egocentric human body motion reconstruction using only eyeglasses-mounted cameras and a few body-worn inertial sensors. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9417771/
- Rasmuson S, Sintorn E, Assarsson U. A low-cost, practical acquisition and rendering pipeline for realtime free-viewpoint video communication. Visual Computer. 2021; 37: 553–565. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S00371-020-01823-7
- 99. Bortolon M, Bazzanella L, Poiesi F. Multi-view data capture for dynamic object reconstruction using handheld augmented reality mobiles. J Real Time Image Process. 2021; 18: 345–355. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S11554-021-01095-X
- 100. Song T, Eck U, ... NN-C on VR and 3D, 2022 undefined. If I Share with you my Perspective, Would you Share your Data with me? ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9757388/</u>
- 101. Montagud M, Li J, Cernigliaro G, El Ali A, Fernández S, Cesar P. Towards socialVR: evaluating a novel technology for watching videos together. Virtual Real. 2022; 26: 1593–1613. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-022-00651-5 PMID: 35572185</u>
- Laurentini A. How Many 2D Silhouettes Does It Take to Reconstruct a 3D Object? Computer Vision and Image Understanding. 1997; 67: 81–87. https://doi.org/10.1006/CVIU.1996.0508
- 103. Jr JM, Processing RT-S, Sensor undefined, 2014 undefined. Real-time reconstruction of depth sequences using signed distance functions. spiedigitallibrary.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/9091/909117/Real-time-reconstruction-of-depth-sequences-using-signed-distance-functions/10.1117/12.2054158.short
- 104. Werner D, Al-Hamadi A, 22–24 PW-, 2014 undefined, Proceedings undefined, 11 PI, et al. Truncated signed distance function: experiments on voxel size. Springer. 2014;8815: 357–364.
- 105. Mossel A, on MK-2016 IIS, 2016 undefined. Streaming and exploration of dynamically changing dense 3d reconstructions in immersive virtual reality. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. <u>https:// ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7836456/</u>
- Nießner M, Zollhöfer M, ... SI-AT on, 2013 undefined. Real-time 3D reconstruction at scale using voxel hashing. dl.acm.org. 2013; 32. https://doi.org/10.1145/2508363.2508374
- 107. Prisacariu VA, Kähler O, Golodetz S, Sapienza M, Cavallari T, Torr PHS, et al. Infinitam v3: A framework for large-scale 3d reconstruction with loop closure. arxiv.org. 2017 [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https:// arxiv.org/abs/1708.00783
- 108. Miao W, Liu Y, Shi X, Feng J, Xue K. A 3D Surface Reconstruction Method Based on Delaunay Triangulation. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial

Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). 2019; 11902 LNCS: 40–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u>978-3-030-34110-7_4

- Marroquim R, Kraus M, Cavalcanti PR. Efficient image reconstruction for point-based and line-based rendering. Computers and Graphics (Pergamon). 2008; 32: 189–203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAG.</u> 2008.01.011
- 110. Stotko P, Krumpen S, ... MH-I transactions on, 2019 undefined. Slamcast: Large-scale, real-time 3d reconstruction and streaming for immersive multi-client live telepresence. ieeexplore.ieee.org. [cited 8 Jun 2023]. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8643537/