# Evaluation of Mathematical Competencies among Electrical Engineering Students

\*Nur Izrah Mohd Puzi<sup>1</sup>, Naziha Ahmad Azli<sup>2</sup>, Sharifah Osman<sup>3</sup> and Yudariah Mohammad Yusof<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Engineering Education, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi

Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia

\*nurizrah.mohdpuzi@gmail.com

Article history Received 13 November 2022 Received in revised form 18 December 2022 Accepted 18 December 2022 Published online 20 December 2022

#### Abstract

In universities, engineering students are often seen as a group of students with high level of intelligence. The engineering programme seeks applications from students with a strong command in Mathematics and Science. Therefore, it is believed that Mathematical Competencies (MC) are the element that plays a vital role in engineering education since there are plenty of applications of mathematical knowledge in engineering courses. This paper discusses mathematical competencies further, with emphasis on those demonstrated by the engineering students. MC consist of eight components: Thinking mathematically, posing and solving a mathematical problem, modelling mathematically, reasoning mathematically, representing mathematically, handling mathematical symbols and formalisms, communicating in, with, and about mathematics and using aids and tools. Unfortunately, many engineering students did not meet the required level as they did not perform well in the engineering course, whereby in this study, the focus was on the Electromagnetic Field Theory (EMT) course. EMT was chosen because the course contains high mathematical component. A qualitative method of ethnographic research design was selected as a mean to collect data, which was then analysed using thematic analysis. A set of EMT questions was developed for 17 participants from an electrical engineering programme. From the written work, it can be said that the participants demonstrated their MC based on their answers. It can be concluded that most of the participants with higher marks were able to demonstrate almost all of the MC components.

Keywords: Electromagnetic Field Theory, Mathematical Competencies, Evaluation.

#### Introduction

In higher education, the selected students enrolled in the engineering programme are among the ingenious ones. This is because the engineering programme is among the most challenging programmes in higher education as it requires bright students with good knowledge in Science and Mathematics (Pepin et al., 2021). However, when the students start to learn engineering courses, the problem encountered is bridging the mathematics knowledge so that it can be applied in the engineering field (Queiruga-Dios et al., 2018). A lot of engineering students face this difficulty in higher education. Typically, mathematics is taught by lecturers from the Mathematics Department, and the same goes for engineering courses taught by lecturers from the Engineering Department. Unfortunately, engineering students find it difficult to apply mathematical knowledge in engineering despite the good grades obtained in mathematics courses. Many lecturers complain that they have to reteach Mathematics

knowledge, which consumes a lot of time (Willcox & Bounova, 2004a).

Moreover, the heavy syllabus of Electromagnetic Field Theory (EMT) makes it worst for engineering lecturers who struggle to finish the syllabus on time. Hence, the performance of the engineering students in EMT is not as expected by faculty. One of the main factors identified as the reason behind this is the Mathematical Competencies (MC) possessed by the engineering students (Niss & Høigaard, 2019). We know that Mathematics plays a big role in engineering, thus the foundation of mathematics in every one of engineering students should be adequate to support engineering programme's teaching and learning (Uysal, 2012). In this research, the focus was given on the mathematics competency endowed. MC constitute of eight interrelated elements and divided into two categories:- Posing and answering questions in and by means of Mathematics and Handling the language, constructs and tools of Mathematics (Niss, 2003). These elements are the ongoing process and require deep understanding of Mathematics (Willcox & Bounova, 2004b).

### **Mathematical Competencies**

It has been clarified by the founder of MC, Morgan Niss, a researcher in MC who started this term back in 2002, in his numerous publishing included in SEFI, the European Society for Engineering Education, that to assess all of the MC's at one go are almost impossible since there is no specific tool that can be used. Researcher normally takes one or two of the MC and include them in their research. Therefore, in this study, the focus is on the evaluation of demonstrated MC with the purpose of studying or identifying which MC is the most acquired by the engineering students.

Engineering students are obliged to take several mathematics courses before taking few engineering courses. Therefore, some of the mathematics courses are made prerequisite for engineering courses. However, the engineering students do not frequently see the correlation between mathematics and other courses, and in this study, the EMT course (Queiruga-Dios et al., 2018). Engineering students need to be competent in mathematics as it plays a vital role in the engineering field as the engineering field is dynamically revolving (Gollish, 2019). The problem of linking mathematical knowledge into another context such as in engineering is called the "high road transfer". It means the problem to apply mathematics that the engineering students have learned before into different field or context (Salomon & Perkins, 1989). They often see that mathematics stands on its own and not related to any field. In contrast, mathematics actually plays a huge role in engineering practice (Flegg et al., 2012).

MC have various definitions. Mathematical competency is the ability of a person to react with actions towards mathematics (Niss, 2002). The person will be identified as a competent person in mathematics, therefore, there will not be a problem if the person possesses all the eight mathematical competencies (Niss, 2002). Engineering students are taught in a routine manner in mathematics courses that they have to take. This has hindered the possibility for the engineering students to develop fundamental skills in mathematics (Wedelin et al., 2015). Mathematics department should introduce a more non-routine or something that is closely related to engineering field when the engineering students are still undergoing the courses.

The mathematical competency is divided into two groups: Ability to ask and answer questions in and with mathematics and the ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools. The first group contains: 1. Thinking mathematically, 2. Posing and solving mathematical problem, 3. Modelling mathematically and 4. Reasoning mathematically.

Thinking mathematically is when an individual is able to understand and handle Mathematics whenever

a concept was given, knows how to distinguish the variety of mathematical statements such as theorems, definitions, conjectures, etc. Posing and solving mathematical problem is anything about specifying, posing and identifying various kinds of mathematical problems. In modelling mathematically, it lies in analysing and developing models. It is the process of analysing the properties and foundations of present models, look up for range and validity, able to validate the model, monitor and control the whole process of modelling, etc. In reasoning mathematically, students are expected to follow and assess chains of arguments, able to prove a statement, know how it differs from each mathematical reasoning, etc.

Meanwhile in second group, it represents mathematical entities such as utilising and understanding the link between various kinds of representations of the same entity. It also requires the ability to choose and switch between representations. In handling mathematical symbols and formalisms, one should be able to decode and interpret symbols and other mathematical language, and able to convert it into natural language and vice versa. One should also be able to handle and manipulate expressions and statements consisting of symbols and formulae. Next MC is communicating in, with and about mathematics where one should be able to comprehend the written, oral or visual text in a various kind of linguistic form that contains mathematical content and express personal view about theoretical and technical precision at various levels such as in visual, written or oral form. The last MC is possessed by almost all of us which is making use of aids and tools including the information technology tools. One should be able to know the usage and properties of each aid and tool for mathematics activity and use it according to situations. All of these definitions are taken from the work of Niss (2003).

## Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative approach which used an ethnographic design. Ethnographic design is to learn more about individual perspectives with the same interest, shared-values and beliefs (Creswell, 2012). The participants for this study were the engineering students from School of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering at a Higher Education Institution in south of Peninsular Malaysia. Purposeful sampling was used where the respondents were intentionally selected based on their Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) and grades for their Engineering Mathematics II course. To be exact, the respondents were chosen from high achievers, medium achievers and low achievers (Creswell, 2012). The rational of choosing Engineering Mathematics II is because it is the last prerequisite course before taking EMT. The respondents comprised of engineering students enrolled in EMT course while the study was

being conducted. They were also the engineering students who had taken three compulsory mathematics courses before they were allowed to register for EMT course. One set of EMT questions was developed especially for the participants and later being transcribed using thematic analysis. The participants were also interviewed once their marks were obtained to further understand their rational for each of the questions answered.

### Data Analysis

In order to analyse the data collected via qualitative method, this study uses thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is widely used in psychology whenever there involves qualitative research. Some might find this method rarely acceptable since it requires a lot of work to be done to convince others to understand the gist of it (Tuckett, 2015). Thematic analysis is a process that happens within data for identifying, analysing and subsequently the data is usually being reported in patterns or so called themes (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Although it is widely used, the agreement on how researcher is going to do it, is still vague. It depends on the researcher on how to make every theme generated explainable and convincible to others to comprehend what they are doing.

There are six phases in thematic analysis as follows:

- 1. Phase One: Data Familiarising
- 2. Phase Two: Generate initial codes
- 3. Phase Three: Search for themes
- 4. Phase Four: Review themes
- 5. Phase Five: Define and naming themes
- 6. Phase Six: Producing report

In Phase One, researcher needs to understand very well the data that has been collected. They are the only one that knows well what they are doing and have full embrace towards the data as much needed. This can be done by reading the data repeatedly and at the same time attempt to find each meaning, pattern etc. In this phase, researcher tries to begin the process of coding by finding possible patterns or themes. The verbal data obtained such as interviews, need to be transcribed first. Since this study adopted a theory-driven data, the themes and code had both been pre-determined. The reference was taken from the work of Soheila. (Firouzian et al., 2014) where she came out with scoring rubrics that can be used in this study. The rubric is basically from the definition of mathematical competencies founded by Niss (2003). Table 1 shows the scoring rubrics that had been used in this study.

#### **Findings & Discussion**

This paper presents the findings on part of the study which focuses on the written work from the highest scored respondent. The written work of Respondent 1 was supplemented by an interview session for further understanding of the respondent. Referring to Figure 2, Respondent 1 had scored full marks for this question. A few questions were asked to Respondent 1 regarding the written work that had been completed. The main question was "How did you manage to answer the question correctly?". The gist of the respondent's response is summarised as follows:

The respondent did not know the definition of *Biot-Savart Law* as stated in the question, but the respondent memorised the equation and used it for further elaboration to come out with the definition.

la) Biot - Savort law.
$\overline{H} = \int \frac{Id\overline{k} \times d\overline{k}}{4\pi R^{2}} proportional$
The magnetic field intensity is directly to the Integral of cross product of
Current anotherly with length and the und vector of radius over radius squared with contrast 471.
Ampere's circuital law
\$H.di = Jere.
grin and the Amperian of magnetic intensity det with the Amperian loop is The closed integral of magnetic intensity det with the Amperian loop its again to the current endowed by the Amperian loop It is specific rave again to the current endowed by the Amperian loop It is
of Bist-Sound low which it only opply for sympetrial current

#### Figure 2. Written work of Respondent 1

The summary of the respondent's response had provided the reason on why the question had been answered correctly with full marks. This shows that the respondent had the thinking mathematically (TH1, TH2, TH3) competency where one can recognise the mathematical concepts, understanding and handling the scope or limitations of a given concept. The acquired MC is believed to be obtained during their previous knowledge and in this case, the learning of previous mathematics courses. The engineering students used the acquired MC to demonstrate it in written work. Hence, the respondent had managed to give the definition of Biot-Savart Law. Respondent 1 also had other sights of MC, which are to abstract or generalise the results (TH4) and to distinguish various mathematical statements (TH5) even in a different field. In this case, a law that contains highly mathematical threats can be elaborated into the scope of electrical engineering.

Mathematical Computers         Sub-Competency         Sub-Competency           1. Thinking Mathematically         TH         1.1 Recognition of mathematical concepts         TH1           1. Thinking Mathematically         TH         1.1 Recognition of mathematical concepts         TH2           1. A posing questions and handling the scope / limitations of a given concept         TH2         TH2           1.3 Abstracting / Generalising results to larger classes of objects         TH3           1.4 Posing questions and knowing the kinds of answers         TH1           1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned assertions (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)         TM3           2. Reasoning Mathematically         2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof MM1         RM2           3.1 Provblem         A1         RM2         2.2 Proving a statement         PM4           3.1 Provstigating various problems in different ways, if appropriate         PH13         3.3 Prersulating various problems         PM4           4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)         A.1 Analysing validating existing models         M1           4.2 Decoding existing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting modelling process         M4           foroup 2         The abiiitreamathemat	<b>Group 1</b> The ability to ask and answer questions in and with Mathematics				
Mathematically       1.2 Understanding and handling the scope / limitations of a given concept       TH2         1.3 Abstracting / Generalising results to larger classes of objects       TH3         1.4 Posing questions and knowing the kinds of answers       TH4         1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned assertions (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)       TH5         2. Reasoning Mathematically       RM       2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof       RM1         2.2 Proving a statement       RM2       2.3 Reasoning Logically       RM3         3. Problem Handling       NH       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH1         3.3 Formulating various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH2       PH3         3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH1         4.4 Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)       M1       4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in representations (decoding, reality" modelled).       M2         4.4 Monitoring and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, reality" modelled).       Second particularity dividing the model]       M3         5.2 Understanding and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, representatio	Mathematical Competency		Sub-Competency		
1.3 Abstracting / Generalising results to larger classes of objects       TH3         1.4 Posing questions and knowing the kinds of answers       TH4         1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned assertions (if-the), quantifer-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)       TH5         2. Reasoning Mathematically       2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof       RM1         2.3 Problem Handling       2.3 Reasoning Logically       RM3         3. Problem Handling       PH       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH1         3.3 Formulating various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH2       3.3 Formulating various problems       PH14         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and copen-ended or closed)       3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH14         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing validating existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in M2       M4         foroup 2       The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools       M4         foroup 3       S.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (felcoding multipating the relations between different representations (felcoding multipating the relations between different representations (felcoding multipating statements (clossing and switching between representations)		THM	1.1 Recognition of mathematical concepts	TH1	
1.4 Posing questions and knowing the kinds of answers       TH4         1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned assertions) (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)       TH5         2. Reasoning Mathematically       RM       2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof       RM1         2. Problem Handling       PH       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH1         3. Problem Handling       PH       3.1 Investigating various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH2         3.3 Formulating various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH3         3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH4         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building model)       A.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in reality, validating the model)       M4         4.2 Decoding and controlling the whole modelling process       M4         Group 2       The at-it to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools       RE1         5. Representing Mathematically       REP       5.1 Understanding offerent sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE2         6. Communicating Mathematically       CA1 Understanding others' mathematical language       S1     <	Mathematically		1.2 Understanding and handling the scope /limitations of a given concept	TH2	
1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned assertions (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)       TH5         2. Reasoning Mathematically       RM       2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof       RM1         3. Problem Handling       PH       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH1         3. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and specifying various problems in different ways, if appropriate 3.3 Formulating various problems in different ways, if appropriate 4.2 Decoding existing models       PH2         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing model analysing various problems in different ways, if appropriate 4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in modelled)       M1         4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in modelled)       M2         7. Representing Mathematically       Freativy modelled)       M3         8. Representing Mathematically       REP       5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         5. Representing Mathematically       F.2 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       F.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematicalore       F.2 Understanding others' mathematica			1.3 Abstracting / Generalising results to larger classes of objects	TH3	
8         assertions (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions, theorems, conjectures and special cases)         RM           2.         Reasoning Mathematically         2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof         RM1           3.         Problem Handling         2.3 Reasoning Logically         RM3           3.         Problem Handling         PH         3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)         PH1           3.         Problem Handling         PH         3.1 Investigating various problems in different ways, if appropriate         PH2           3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem         PH4           4.         Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building model]         M1           4.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)         M2           4.3 Performing and controlling the whole modelling process         M4           6 roup 2         The ability to deal with and manage mathematically and and synthematically of the same entity.         RE1           5.         Representing Mathematically         6.1 Understanding others' mathematical lenguage and tools         RE2           6. Communicating Mathematically         6.1 Understanding and understanding different sort of representations			1.4 Posing questions and knowing the kinds of answers	TH4	
Image: seasoning mathematically is a seasoning mathematical season ing mathematical season ing consistence and special cases)         Image: season ing consistence and special cases)         RM           2. Reasoning mathematically is a season ing consistence and special cases in a season ing consistence and special cases)         RM1           3. Problem Handling         PH         3. Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)         RM3           3. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing / various problems in different ways, if appropriate and specifying model or closed)         PH2           4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing / validating existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in reality, validating existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in reality, validating the whole model)         M4           6. Momunicating Mathematically Mathematically Mathematically Mathematically (sign and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting disting sing between)         RE1           5. Representing Mathematically         FA         6.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, disting insing between)         RE2           6. Communicating Mathematically         FA         6.1 Utilising sing settence in the matical contents         RC1           7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism Applications in the matical contents         FA         C1         C1           6. Sommunicating Mathematically         FA         FA (C			1.5 Distinguishing various mathematical statements (including conditioned	TH5	
2.       Reasoning Mathematically       RM       2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof       RM1         2.2       Proving a statement       RM2         2.3       Reasoning Logically       RM3         3.       Problem Handling       PH       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH2         3.2       Solving various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH2         3.3       Formulating various problems on ot a question is considered as a problem       PH1         3.4       Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH2         3.4       Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH4         4.       Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)       MM       4.1 Analysing/validating existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in reality" modelled).       M3         4.3       Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)       M3         5.       Representing Mathematically       S.1       Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       S.2         5.       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1			assertions (if-the), quantifier-laden statements, assumptions, definitions,		
Mathematically         2.2 Proving a statement         RM2           2.3 Reasoning Logically         RM3           3. Problem Handling         PH         3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)         PH1           3. Z Solving various problems in different ways, if appropriate         PH2           3.3 Formulating various problems         PH3           3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem         PH4           4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)         MM         4.1 Analysing/validating existing model validating work of the state of the s			theorems, conjectures and special cases)		
Image is a server in the point of		RM	2.1 Understanding and assessing an existing argumentation particularly a proof	RM1	
3.       Problem Handling       PH Andling       3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or applied, open-ended or closed)       PH1         3.       Problem Handling       3.2 Solving various problems in different ways, if appropriate       PH2         3.3       Formulating various problems       PH3         3.4       Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH4         4.       Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing models)       MM       4.1 Analysing/ validating existing models       M1         4.2       Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).       M2       M2         4.3       Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)       M3         5.       Representing Mathematically       REP       5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         5.       Communicating Mathematically       C1       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6.       Communicating Mathematically       C4       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical contents       C2         7.       Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal la			2.2 Proving a statement	RM2	
Handlingapplied, open-ended or closed)applied, open-ended or closed)3.2 Solving various problems in different ways, if appropriatePH23.3 Formulating various problemsPH33.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problemPH44. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)MM4.1 Analysing/validating existing modelsM14.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).M24.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)M36. ComputeREP (5.3 Transforming representations (choosing and switching between)RE15. Representing MathematicallyCM (6.1 Understanding others' mathematical textsC16. Communicating MathematicallyCM (7.1 Understanding others' mathematical contentsC27. Handling Symbolism and FormalismSAF (7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal languageS17. Handling Symbolism and 			2.3 Reasoning Logically	RM3	
American Section         Provide of the state of th		PH	3.1 Investigating various problems (identifying, posing and specifying pure or	PH1	
3.3 Formulating various problems       PH3         3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH4         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)       MM 4.1 Analysing/ validating existing models       M1         4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).       M3         4.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)       M3         5. Representing Mathematically       REP 5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         5. Representing Mathematically       S.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE2         6. Communicating Mathematically       Gnu       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       SAF       7.1 Understanding others' mathematical contents       C2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolis and formulae)       S1         7.2 Handling/manipulating from natural language       S3       S3       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       S4	Handling		applied, open-ended or closed)		
3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem       PH4         4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)       MM 4.1 Analysing/validating existing models       M1         4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).       M2         4.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model]       M3         5. Representing Mathematically       The abiity to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools       M4         5. Representing Mathematically       S.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE2         5. Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations of the same entity       S.2 Understanding others' mathematical contents       RE2         6. Communicating Mathematically       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical contents       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       SAF 7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF 7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and gauge       S3         8       Using Aids and       UAT			3.2 Solving various problems in different ways, if appropriate	PH2	
4. Modelling Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)       MM       4.1 Analysing/validating existing models       M1         4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).       M2         4.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)       M3         6 Group 2       The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools       M4         5. Representing Mathematically       REP       5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       S1			3.3 Formulating various problems	PH3	
Mathematically (i.e. analysing and building models)4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in "reality" modelled).M24.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)M3Group 2The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and toolsM4Group 2The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and toolsKE15. Representing MathematicallyS.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)RE16. Communicating MathematicallyC.16.1 Understanding others' mathematical textsC16. Communicating MathematicallyC.16.2 Expressing oneself about mathematical contentsC27. Handling Symbolism and FormalismSAF 7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal languageS18 Using Aids andUAT8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their propertiesS4			3.4 Personal capabilities whether or not a question is considered as a problem	PH4	
(i.e. analysing and building models)		MM	4.1 Analysing/ validating existing models	M1	
and building models)       4.3 Performing modeling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)       M3         Group 2       The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools       M4         5. Representing Mathematically       REP 1.0 Understanding and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         5. Representing Mathematically       S.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE2         6. Communicating Mathematically       G.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       S.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF 7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7.2 Handling/manipulating from natural language       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       S4	5		4.2 Decoding existing model (translating, interpreting model elements in	M2	
models)         4.3 Performing modeling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)         M3           Group 2         The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools         M4           5. Representing Mathematically         REP         5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)         RE1           5. Representing Mathematically         S.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)         RE2           5. Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)         RE3           6. Communicating         CM         6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts         C1           6. Communicating         SAF         7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language         S1           7. Handling         SAF         7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language         S2           7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formulae)         S2         S3         S3           8 Using Aids and         UAT         8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties         S4			"reality" modelled).		
Final State         Problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)         Mail (Mathematical)           Group 2         The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools         M4           5. Representing Mathematically         REP 1. Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)         RE1           5.2 Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations of the same entity         RE2           6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts         C1           7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism         SAF 7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language         C1           7. Handling Formalism         SAF 7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)         S2           8 Using Aids and         UAT         8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties         S4			4.3 Performing modelling (structuring, mathematising, solving a raised	M3	
Group 2         The ability to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools           5. Representing Mathematically         REP         5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)         RE1           5. Representing Mathematically         5.2 Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations of the same entity         RE2           6. Communicating Mathematically         CM         6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts         C1           6. Communicating Mathematically         5.3 Fransforming representations (choosing and switching between representations)         C1           7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism         SAF         7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language         S1           7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)         S2         S2           7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language         S3           7.4 Translating from natural language         S3           8 Using Aids and         UAT         8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties         U1			problem, interpreting results, in reality, validating the model)		
5.       Representing Mathematically       REP Mathematically       5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding, interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE1         5.2 Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations of the same entity       RE2         5.3 Transforming representations (choosing and switching between representations)       RE3         6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         6. Communicating Mathematically       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2       S2         7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1			4.4 Monitoring and controlling the whole modelling process	M4	
Mathematically       interpreting, distinguishing between)       RE2         5.2 Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations of the same entity       RE2         5.3 Transforming representations (choosing and switching between representations)       RE3         6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S2         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       S4	Group 2 The ab		ility to deal with and manage mathematical language and tools		
6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts representations)       RE3         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language relations to natural language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language relations to natural language       S2         8< Using Aids and		REP	5.1 Utilising and understanding different sort of representations (decoding,	RE1	
of the same entityof the same entityRE35.3 Transforming representations (choosing and switching between representations)RE36. Communicating MathematicallyCM 6.1 Understanding others' mathematical textsC16. Communicating MathematicallyCM 6.2 Expressing oneself about mathematical contentsC27. Handling Symbolism and FormalismSAF 7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal languageS17. Handling Symbolism and Formalism7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal languageS27. Handling Symbolism and Formalism7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)S27. Handling Symbolism and Formalism7.4 Translating from natural languageS48 Using Aids andUAT8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their propertiesU1	Mathematically		interpreting, distinguishing between)		
6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1			5.2 Understanding and utilizing the relations between different representations	RE2	
Image: constraint of the second state of the secon			of the same entity		
6. Communicating Mathematically       CM       6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts       C1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1			5.3 Transforming representations (choosing and switching between	RE3	
Mathematically       6.2 Expressing oneself about mathematical contents       C2         7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1					
7. Handling Symbolism and Formalism       SAF       7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language       S1         7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1	-	СМ	6.1 Understanding others' mathematical texts	C1	
Symbolism and Formalism       7.2 Handling/manipulating statements (using symbols and formulae)       S2         7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         7.4 Translating from natural language to formal/symbolic language       S4         8 Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1	Mathematically			C2	
Formalism       7.3 decoding and interpreting symbolic and formal mathematical language and its relations to natural language       S3         8       Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1	Symbolism and	SAF	7.1 Understanding symbolic/formal language	S1	
8       Using Aids and       UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1					
7.4 Translating from natural language to formal/symbolic language       S4         8 Using Aids and UAT       8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties       U1				S3	
8 Using Aids and         UAT         8.1 Knowing different tools and aids and their properties         U1					
				S4	
Tools8.2 using reflectively such tools and aidsU2	-	UAT		U1	
	Tools		8.2 using reflectively such tools and aids	U2	

### Table 1. Scoring Rubric

As explained earlier, only part of the findings of this study is presented in this paper. It involves more than one respondent and more than one question as highlighted in this paper. It requires thorough analysis based on the respondents' written work to further strengthen the findings. In fact, the study can be expanded into a larger scope since there are eight MC altogether to be explored. Unfortunately, it is almost impossible to assess all the MC in one study since there is no exact tool or instrument to do the assessment as mentioned by Niss.

#### Conclusion

This paper has presented the acquired and demonstrated MC among electrical engineering students with focus on those who enrolled in an Electromagnetic Field Theory (EMT) course. In this case, based on the respondent who had scored full marks for one of the given EMT questions, the MC demonstrated include thinking mathematically, abstracting or generalising and distinguishing various mathematical statements. Since there is no exact tool or instrument to do the assessment, it can be done by separating the MC's into few studies or some of it can be joined together depending on the scope of the study. Further work will be conducted to strengthen the findings that are expected to reveal a framework on narrowing the knowledge transfer gap between Mathematics and engineering courses.

#### References

- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. 3, 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational Research Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research. 1–673. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107415324.004
- Firouzian, S., Ismail, Z., Rahman, R. A., Yusof, Y. M., Kashefi, H. & Firouzian, F. (2014). Mathematical competency of engineers and engineering students. Proceedings - 2014 International Conference on Teaching and Learning in Computing and Engineering, LATICE 2014, 216–219. https://doi.org/10.1109/LaTiCE.2014.49
- Flegg, J., Mallet, D. & Lupton, M. (2012). Students' perceptions of the relevance of mathematics in engineering. International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 43(6), 717–732. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2011.644333
- Gollish, S. (2019). An Investigation into Mathematics for Undergraduate Engineering Education to Improve Student Competence in Important Mathematics Skills.
- Niss, M. (2002). Mathematical competencies and the learning of mathematics: The Danish KOM project. 1–12.
- Niss, M. (2003). Mathematical Competencies and the Learning of Mathematics: the Danish Kom Project. Proceedings of the 3rd Mediterranean Conference on Mathematical Education, 115-124.

- Niss, M. & Højgaard, T. (2019). Mathematical competencies revisited. Educational Studies in Mathematics, 102(1), 9– 28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-019-09903-9
- Pepin, B., Biehler, R., & Gueudet, G. (2021). Mathematics in engineering education: A review of the recent literature with a view towards innovative practices. International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education, 7(2), 163–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-021-00139-8
- Queiruga-Dios, A., Jesus Santos Sanchez, M., Perez, J. J. B., Martin-Vaquero, J., Encinas, A. H., Gocheva-Ilieva, S., Demlova, M., Rasteiro, D. D., Caridade, C., & Gayoso-Martinez, V. (2018). Evaluating engineering competencies: A new paradigm. IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON, 2018-April, 2052–2055. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON.2018.8363490
- Salomon, G. & Perkins, D. N. (1989). Rocky roads to transfer: Rethinking mechanism of a neglected phenomenon. Educational Psychologist, 24(2), 113–142. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2402\_1
- Tuckett, A. G. (2015). Tuckett (2005) Applying thematic analysis theory to practice - A researcher's experience. 6178(October). https://doi.org/10.5172/conu.19.1-2.75
- Uysal, F. (2012). The Mathematics education for the engineering students of 21st century. The Online Journal of New Horizons in Education, 2(2), 65–72.
- Wedelin, D., Adawi, T., Jahan, T. & Andersson, S. (2015). Investigating and developing engineering students' mathematical modelling and problem-solving skills. European Journal of Engineering Education, 40(5), 557– 572. https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2014.987648
- Willcox, K. & Bounova, G. (2004a). Mathematics in engineering: Identifying, enhancing and linking the implicit mathematics curriculum. ASEE Annual Conference Proceedings, 9523–9535. https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--13246
- Willcox, K. & Bounova, G. (2004b). Mathematics in engineering: Identifying, enhancing and linking the implicit Mathematics curriculum. American Society for Engineering Education.