



Review

Toxicity of Cadmium and nickel in the context of applied activated carbon biochar for improvement in soil fertility



Ashfaq Ahmad Rahi ^a, Uzma Younis ^{b,*}, Niaz Ahmed ^c, Muhammad Arif Ali ^c, Shah Fahad ^{d,e}, Haider Sultan ^d, Tayebbeh Zarei ^f, Subhan Danish ^{c,d,*}, Süleyman Taban ^g, Hesham Ali El Enshasy ^{h,i,j}, Pramila Tamunaidu ^k, Jamal M. Alotaibi ^l, Sulaiman Ali Alharbi ^m, Rahul Datta ^{n,*}

^a Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Multan, 60000 Punjab, Pakistan

^b Department of Botany, University of Central Punjab, Punjab, Pakistan

^c Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Punjab Pakistan

^d Hainan Key Laboratory for Sustainable Utilization of Tropical Bioresource, College of Tropical Crops, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

^e Department of Agronomy, The University of Haripur, Haripur 22620, Pakistan

^f Laboratory of Tropical and Mediterranean Symbioses, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

^g Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ankara University, 06110 Ankara, Turkey

^h Institute of Bioproduct Development (IBD), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Skudai, Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

ⁱ School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Skudai, Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

^j City of Scientific Research and Technology Applications (SRTA), New Burg Al-Arab, Alexandria, Egypt

^k Malaysia-Japan Advanced Research Centre (MJARC), Malaysia-Japan International Institute of Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 84600 Pagoh, Johor, Malaysia

^l Department of agricultural Extension and Rural society, College of food sciences and agriculture, King Saud University Riyadh, PO Box 2460, 11451, Saudi Arabia

^m Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, PO Box -2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

ⁿ Department of Geology and Pedology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Mendel University in Brno, Zemedelska 3, 61300 Brno, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 August 2021

Revised 11 September 2021

Accepted 13 September 2021

Available online 17 September 2021

Keyword:

Biochar

Crops yield

Heavy metals

Toxicity

Soil fertility

ABSTRACT

Toxicity induced by heavy metals deteriorates soil fertility status. It also adversely affects the growth and yield of crops. These heavy metals become part of the food chain when crops are cultivated in areas where heavy metals are beyond threshold limits. Cadmium (Cd) and nickel (Ni) are considered the most notorious ones among different heavy metals. The high water solubility of Cd made it a potential toxin for plants and their consumers. Accumulation of Ni in plants, leaves, and fruits also deteriorates their quality and causes cancer in humans when such a Ni-contaminated diet is used regularly. Both Cd and Ni also compete with essential nutrients of plants, making the fertility status of soil poor. To overcome this problem, the use of activated carbon biochar can play a milestone role. In the recent past application of activated carbon biochar is gaining more and more attention. Biochar sorb the Cd and Ni and releases essential micronutrients that are part of its structure. Many micropores and high cation exchange capacity make it the most acceptable organic amendment to improve soil fertility and immobilize Cd and Ni. In addition to improving water and nutrients, soil better microbial proliferation enhances the soil rhizosphere ecosystem and nutrient cycling. This review has covered Cd and Ni harmful effects on crop yield and their immobilization by activated carbon biochar. The focus was made to elaborate on the positive effects of biochar on crop yield and soil health.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: uzmabotany@hotmail.com (U. Younis), sd96850@gmail.com (S. Danish), rahulmedcure@gmail.com (R. Datta).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.09.035>

1319-562X/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Contents

1. Introduction	744
2. Cadmium and nickel as a pollutant	744
3. Cd, Ni, agricultural soil and living organism health	744
4. Cadmium as an essential nutrient vs pollutant	744
4.1. Antagonistic effects of cadmium	745
5. Antagonistic effects of Ni on plant	745
6. Biochar as remediation	746
7. Conclusion and future perspective	747
Declaration of Competing Interest	747
Acknowledgments	747
References	748

1. Introduction

Heavy metals disturb the natural ecosystem due to their toxic effects (Abid et al., 2017; Danish et al., 2019a; Fiaz et al., 2014; Younis et al., 2015; Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2020a, 2020c). These heavy metals are continuously becoming a part of the ecosystem through anthropogenic activities such as industrialization mining, overuse of pesticides in agriculture, and sewage water irrigation. It is a well-documented fact that any compound's accumulation beyond the soil's threshold limit becomes a soil pollutant (Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2020b, 2020). The soil pollutants caused toxic effects on the plants and animals and soil microorganisms (Adriano, 2001). This also can affect the diversity, viability, and physiology of microbes in rhizosphere area which play very important role for healthy plant growth (Basu et al., 2021).

Such problematic soil conditions adversely affect the growth of plants and cause deterioration of crop productivity and quality. Among different environmental pollution, heavy metals are notorious that induce abiotic stresses in the plants. These heavy metals disturb the plant metabolism and restrict growth due to their high accumulation in different plant parts. In addition to plants, heavy metals are also dangerous for human and animal health that consumes metal contaminated food (Shah and Nongkynrih, 2007).

2. Cadmium and nickel as a pollutant

Cd (Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2020a) and Ni (Gill and Tuteja, 2011) are the most notorious among different heavy metals. Both heavy metals have accumulated in Pakistan's soils with time in significant quantities (Bhutto et al., 2009). Indifferent biogeochemical and environmental cycles Cd enter through anthropogenic sources such as electroplating, industrial waste, pigments, plastic accessories, paints and metal alloys (Nriagu, 1996). In addition to the above sources, Cd also becomes a part of our environment through wastewater irrigation, mining of zinc, overuse of phosphorus fertilizer, uses automobile smoke, burning of fossil fuels, higher application of pesticides and cement industries (Dixit et al., 2011; Rao et al., 2011).

On the other hand, Ni is also a heavy metal, which also induced toxic effects in the plants beyond the required amount. It shares 3% composition in the earth and the 24th essential nutrient in the earth's crust. Emission of smoke from vehicles, mining of metals, burning fossil fuels, organic manure, industrial and municipal waste is also a major contributor of Ni in our environment. The role of anthropogenic activities is also crucial in that regard (Alloway, 1995).

3. Cd, Ni, agricultural soil and living organism health

In agricultural systems, Cd accumulation due to human activities has become one of the major issues globally, protecting crop

productivity and making food poor quality (Chen et al., 2007). Most diseases caused by the higher accumulation of Cd in humans and mammals are not detectable because they show no symptoms. Search characteristics of Cd make it a potential toxin (López-Millán et al., 2009). Untreated sewage water is a major source of Cd contamination in both plants, especially vegetable crops, and soils (Hossny et al., 2001; Satarug et al., 2003). It has been observed that 80% of Cd becomes part of the human body by consuming Cd-contaminated cereal crops and vegetables (Satarug et al., 2010). Cancer, renal tubular dysfunction, low bone density, heart failure, nephritis, and nephrosis are essential diseases caused by Cd toxicity (Nishijo et al., 2006; Nordberg et al., 2002). As Cd can persist in our environment for more than 20 years, it makes it a potential life-toxic element for humans' survival (Ruiz et al., 2009).

Similarly, Ni becomes part of biota by involving precipitation, adsorption, and complexions with clay. It has been observed that a decrease in the soil pH significantly increases the bioavailability of Ni, especially in rural areas where crops are cultivated (Bencko, 1983). The distribution of Ni in the soil is mostly uniform. However, most Ni toxic effects are observed in the soil's upper layer with 3–100 ppm Ni concentrations (Bencko, 1983). The existence of Ni in the soil can be in several forms such as crystalline minerals (inorganic), on cations exchange surfaces which are inorganic, cations surfaces that are organic, as a free ion, water-soluble and chelated compounds (Scott-Fordsmand, 1997) which cause harmful impacts on plants (Chen et al., 2009). It is necessary to dispose of Cd contaminated waste materials with proper treatment to avoid its contamination in the environment. In 2010 Cd generated pollution was 21,000 tons; however, in 2011, it was up to 21,500 tons. Such conditions create alarming situations for crops cultivation in soils where Cd toxicity presents in dangerous concentrations (Pinto et al., 2004).

4. Cadmium as an essential nutrient vs pollutant

Cadmium is also required in small quantities to develop plants properly; however, its higher uptake in plants causes injuries (Reeves and Baker, 2000). So far, all the mechanism of poisoning caused by Cd is not well understood. Scientists are exploring the major mechanisms that Cd adopted two induced adverse effects in the plants (Fig. 1; Table 1). It also restricted physiological and metabolic activities, which decreased the growth attributes of crops. The higher Cd level in plants reduces transpiration (Inouhe, 2005) and photosynthesis rate (Bazzaz et al., 1974).

Less uptake of carbon dioxide due to Cd higher composition played an imperative role in disturbing the rate of photosynthesis in the plants (Larbi et al., 2002). It also decreases the germination of seeds when present in threshold limits in the soil. Low plant population due to poor germination causes a significant decrease in the yield (Larbi et al., 2002; Lozano-Rodríguez et al., 1997). Cd toxicity tolerance is different from different crops according to




Fig. 1. Cadmium stress and plant responses to mitigate the adverse effects of Cd.

Table 1
Decrease in yield of different crops due to the toxicity of cadmium and nickel.

Crop	Decrease in yield (%)	Heavy metal toxicity	References
Wheat	72.0	Ni	(Ouzounidou et al., 2006)
Barley	27.2	Ni	(Kumar et al., 2018)
Maize	30.0	Cd	(Dresler et al., 2015)
Bean	36.5	Ni	(Al-Qurainy, 2009)
Chickpea	28.9	Cd	(Hasan et al., 2008)
Sunflower	50.0	Ni	(Ahmad et al., 2011)
Radish	52.0	Ni	(Yadav et al., 2009)
Mustard	43.8	Cd	(Irfan et al., 2013)
Tomato	80.0	Ni	(Palacios et al., 1998)
Alfalfa	33.2	Cd	(Dražić et al., 2006)

their stages; however, sitting stages are more susceptible to Cd toxicity (Sharma et al., 2010).

4.1. Antagonistic effects of cadmium

Cadmium also showed antagonistic relationships with the different essential nutrient elements that are required for the optimum growth of plants (Fig. 2). Higher intake and mobility of cadmium in the plants significantly decreased the iron uptake resulting in chlorosis (Genchi et al., 2020; Larbi et al., 2002). It also disturbs the optimum uptake of magnesium, potassium, and calcium; thus, plants suffer from nutritional deficiency stress (Dong et al., 2006; Greger et al., 1991; Larbi et al., 2002). In plants, Cd uptake beyond the threshold limit induces oxidative stress and restricts the electron transport chain activity, directly affecting the plant's nucleic acid-associated mechanisms (Cuyper et al., 2010). Low uptake of zinc, iron, and manganese also disturb the plant cell's proper functioning (Lasat, 2002), which played an essential role in decreasing the yield (Dong et al., 2006).




Fig. 2. Heavy metals uptake and accumulation in the plants leaves vacuole.

5. Antagonistic effects of Ni on plant

In plants, the edible part of vegetables is a significant Ni accumulator that humans consume and other living organisms (Gupta et al., 2010; Olowoyo et al., 2012). Plants that suffer from Ni toxicity mostly show chlorosis and necrosis symptoms (Ahmad and Rasool, 2014). Likewise, Cd also decreases the uptake of iron, which adversely affects crop productivity (Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Ni also shows the antagonistic relationship between magnesium and calcium. Less uptake of magnesium deteriorates the structure of chlorophyll in the leaves. Low chlorophyll content in leaves ultimately resulted in the poor rate of photosynthesis in the plants (Piccini and Malavolta, 1992).




Fig. 3. Adverse effects of nickel on plant (Chen et al., 2009).

Seregin and Ivanov (2001) noted that mainly Ni is accumulated in the plant’s laminar region and adversely affected photosystem II, which played a significant role in low photosynthesis (Maksymiec, 1998; Veeranjanyulu and Das, 1982). It causes plastoquinone QA and Fe to plastoquinone QB and change the structure of the electron carrier (Krupa and Baszynski, 1995; Mohanty et al., 1989). Sheoran et al. (1990) observed restriction of Calvin cycle in the lives of *Cajanus cajan*. They argued that in 1 mM NiCl₂, the Ni inhibits the activities of Rubisco, 3-phosphoglycerate kinase, fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase, aldolase, and NAD. Search conditions decrease the rate of photosynthesis and result in the development of toxicity of Ni. Molas (1998) observed a significant reduction in the photosynthesis of *Brassica oleracea* in the presence of 10–20 g/m³ NiSO₄·7H₂O. They suggested that the cell’s moisture contents were decreased when plants were cultivated in Ni toxicity and induced a condition of stress, which results in low photosynthetic activity of leaves.

Barsukova and Gamzikova (1999) noted that the reduction in the intake of Mg, Fe and Zn due to a higher intake of Ni (Calzado et al., 2005) resulted in the chlorosis (Khalid and Tinsley, 1980; Piccini and Malavolta, 1992). Pandolfini et al. (1992) noted a significant decrease in wheat’s calcium and magnesium concentration when cultivated under 0.1–1 mM Ni concentration. Also, Ni shows an iron antagonistic relationship with potassium in the soil (Pulford and Watson, 2003).

Furthermore, pigeon pea’s mitotic activity is significantly decreased due to Ni higher concentration (Madhava Rao and Sresty, 2000). The toxicity of Ni also reduces the germination of plants (Madhava Rao and Sresty, 2000). In the case of cereals, mostly the wheat plants (Fig. 3), it retards the growth of shoot (Gajewska et al., 2006) and also decreased productivity due to low pods in seed formation (Tripathy et al., 1981). Therefore, the necessity of time is to introduce such an organic amendment that can detoxify these toxins from the soil on a long-term basis.

Table 2

Increase in yield of different crops by variable application rate of biochar.

Crop	Biochar (t/ha)	Yield increase (%)	References
Wheat	25	21.5	(Ali et al., 2019)
Barley	10	39.5	(Agegnehu et al., 2016)
Maize	25	20.0	(Arif et al., 2016)
Rice	10.5	10.0	(Liu et al., 2016)
Sorghum	22	22.0	(Laghari et al., 2015)
Winter rye	20	14.5	(Kraska et al., 2016)
Cotton	20	21.9	(Tian et al., 2018)
Soybean	10	45.4	(Van Zwieten et al., 2010)
Bean	30	30.0	(Rondon et al., 2004)
Radish	10	33.5	(Van Zwieten et al., 2010)
Carrot	30	100	(Rondon et al., 2004)
peanut	8.5	45.6	(Tando et al., 2017)
Tomato	10	70.0	(Hossain et al., 2010)

6. Biochar as remediation

Activated black carbon fiber is one of such organic amendments that immobilizes heavy metals in the soil and decreases their bioavailability to the plants (Danish and Zafar-ul-Hye, 2020; Major, 2011; Radziemska et al., 2021; Sultan et al., 2020; Verheijen et al., 2010; Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2020c). It is a fine black powder, a highly porous carbon structure that can be used as a fertilizer and soil conditioner. It can modify the physical, chemical, and biological attributes of the soil. Most physical properties of soil such as texture, structure, pore size distribution, and density with implications for soil aeration, water holding capacity (Danish et al., 2020, 2015a, 2015b; Danish and Zafar-ul-Hye, 2020, 2019; Fiaz et al., 2014; Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2020c) and soil workability are positively and directly affected biochar’s application in the soil as an amendment (Danish et al., 2019b, 2015b; Downie et al., 2012; Hashmi et al., 2019; Zafar-ul-Hye et al., 2019).




Fig. 4. Preparation of Biochar.

The history of biochar starts from the “Terra Preta de Indio” oxisols in Brazil which are suggested as Amazonian Dark Earth (ADE) developed about 7000 years ago. Most anthropogenic activities were involved in the establishment of these soils (Glaser, 2007). It has been observed that the fertility status of the soils was excellent due to the presence of significant amounts of char and organic debris, which act as natural soil fertilizers in the soil (Woods and Denevan, 2009). A significant increase in the yield of crops (Table 2) was observed in these soils compared to other soils where char was not applied as an amendment (Renner, 2007).

Current assessments elaborated that biotech application in soil significantly increased the carbon pool of soil through pyrolysis and unlimited oxygen availability. Biochar is produced using the organic waste materials collected from agricultural fields (Danish and Zafar-ul-Hye, 2020; Lehmann et al., 2006; Woolf et al., 2010). When carbon-containing biomass is heated in the absence of oxygen at 450–6500C, a significant amount of volatile matter is admitted in gases. These gases can be collected in condensed to get bio-oils, which help decrease environmental pollution and provide an alternative energy source (Sohi et al., 2010). The biochar is mainly prepared by pyrolysis, which is divided into three major stages. In the first one, biomass having carbon is converted into unreacted water and residue (Fig. 4). In the second step, most of the volatile gases are emitted and left the biochar behind. In the

Table 3
Different functional group in different waste material produced biochar which can immobilize Ni and Cd.

Waste material for biochar	Functional groups	Heavy metal which is absorbed	References
Rice straw	Carboxyl	Ni	(Ali et al., 2020)
Wood and bark chars	Hydroxyl	Cd	(Mohan et al., 2007)
Cotton seed hull char	Carbonyl, Carboxyl	Ni, Cd	(Uchimiya et al., 2011)
Green waste	Aromatic	Cd	(Park et al., 2011)
Wheat straw	Carbonyl	Cd	(Cui et al., 2012)
Rice straw	Carboxyl, Hydroxyl	Cd	(Jiang et al., 2012)
Rice straw	Carboxyl, Hydroxyl	Ni, Cd	(Deng et al., 2019)

last step, the structural and chemical modifications occur in this biochar (Demirbas, 2004).

Scientists nowadays are developing different biochar using different organic waste Biomass through pyrolysis (Park et al., 2011; Sohi et al., 2010). The application of biochar saved our environment from polluted gases and played an essential role in decreasing fertilizers’ volatilization losses (Woolf et al., 2010), also use of bio-stimulant and foliar application of fertilizer reduces the direct application of fertilizer to the soil (Abbas et al., 2020; Izhar Shafi et al., 2020; Rafullah et al., 2020; Ullah et al., 2020). Micropores of biochar significantly increased the soil’s water holding capacity and decreased the soil infiltration rate. It also plays a crucial role in increasing the soil’s surface area (Downie et al., 2012). It has been observed that chemical properties of soil such as pH, electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity, nutrients holding capacity, and water holding capacity of soil become improved when biochar is applied as an amendment. A significant improvement in the microbial growth and soil population through biochar application validated its effectiveness as a soil amendment (Amonette and Joseph, 2009; Verheijen et al., 2010; Warnock, 2009). Furthermore, micro aggregates’ stability is also enhanced due to activated carbon biochar’s high binding ability (Lu et al., 2014).

Small pore spaces in biochar provide shelter to the microorganism present in the rhizosphere. Such conditions provide a chance for microbes to better floor acceleration and growth (Quilliam et al., 2013). As compared to organic matter, the shelf life of activated carbon is high. It remains in the soil for an extended period compared to the organic matter due to its high resistance against the composition process (Downie et al., 2012; Pathan et al., 2018; Thies and Rillig, 2009; Woods and Denevan, 2009). Activated carbon biochar has many functional groups that act as binding sites for heavy metals (Table 3).

When biochar is applied in the soil, the heavy metals become bound on the biochar’s active sites, significantly decreasing their mobility in soil and bioavailability to the plants (Machida et al., 2005). The above mineral nutrition, an integral part of the biochar structure, is also released in the soil and on the exchange sites that become readily available to the plants. Such conditions improve soil fertility and decrease the chances of heavy metals uptake, potentially toxins for plants, humans, and animals (Quilliam et al., 2013).

7. Conclusion and future perspective

Biochar is an effective organic amendment that can improve soil fertility status. Besides improving soil health by ameliorating the physio-chemical and biological properties of soil, it can mitigate Cd and Ni toxicity in different crops. The different scientist has done much work for manufacturing of thermo-pyrolyzed biochar. However, the need for time is to convert the production technology to chemically pyrolyzed biochar manufacturing. It decreases the potential hazards and can be easy for the industry to produce activated carbon on a large scale.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank RMC-UTM and the financial support through industrial grant No. RJ130000.7609-4C187 and RJ130000.7609-4C240. This project was supported by Researchers

Supporting Project Number (RSP-2021/301) King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

References

- Abbas, M., Anwar, J., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Khan, R.I., Saleem, M., Rahi, A.A., Danish, S., Datta, R., 2020. Effect of seaweed extract on productivity and quality attributes of four onion cultivars. *Horticulturae* 6, 28.
- Abid, M., Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Shaaban, M., Iqbal, M.M., Rehman, A., Qayyum, M.F., Naqqash, M.N., 2017. Biochar increased photosynthetic and accessory pigments in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants by reducing cadmium concentration under various irrigation waters. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 22111–22118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9866-8>.
- Adriano, 2001. Trace elements in terrestrial environments. In: Biogeochemistry, bioavailability and risks of metals. Springer-Verlag, New York, p. 374. 10.2134/jeq2002.3740.
- Agegehu, G., Nelson, P.N., Bird, M.I., 2016. Crop yield, plant nutrient uptake and soil physicochemical properties under organic soil amendments and nitrogen fertilization on Nitisols. *Soil Tillage Res.* 160, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.02.003>.
- Ahmad, M.S.A., Ashraf, M., Hussain, M., 2011. Phytotoxic effects of nickel on yield and concentration of macro- and micro-nutrients in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) achenes. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 185, 1295–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.045>.
- Ahmad, P., Rasool, S., 2014. Emerging technologies and management of crop stress tolerance, Emerging Technologies and Management of Crop Stress Tolerance: Biological Techniques. 10.1016/C2013-0-19047-2.
- Al-Quraimy, F., 2009. Toxicity of heavy metals and their molecular detection on *Phaseolus vulgaris* (L.). *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 3, 3025–3035.
- Ali, K., Wang, X., Riaz, M., Islam, B., Khan, Z.H., Shah, F., Munsif, F., Ijaz UI Haq, S., 2019. Biochar: an eco-friendly approach to improve wheat yield and associated soil properties on sustainable basis. *Pakistan J. Bot.* 51, 1255–1261. [https://doi.org/10.30848/PJB2019-4\(7\)](https://doi.org/10.30848/PJB2019-4(7)).
- Ali, U., Shaaban, M., Bashir, S., Gao, R., Fu, Q., Zhu, J., Hu, H., 2020. Rice straw, biochar and calcite incorporation enhance nickel (Ni) immobilization in contaminated soil and Ni removal capacity. *Chemosphere* 244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125418> 125418.
- Alloway, B.J., 1995. Heavy metals in soils. *Heavy Metals in Soils.*, 411–428 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1344-1>.
- Amonette, J., Joseph, S., 2009. Characteristics of biochar - micro-chemical properties. In: Amonette, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology*. Earthscan, London, UK, pp. 33–52. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849770552>.
- Arif, M., Ali, K., Jan, M.T., Shah, Z., Jones, D.L., Quilliam, R.S., 2016. Integration of biochar with animal manure and nitrogen for improving maize yields and soil properties in calcareous semi-arid agroecosystems. *F. Crop. Res.* 195, 28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.011>.
- Barsukova, V.S., Gamzikova, O.I., 1999. Effects of nickel surplus on the element content in wheat varieties contrasting in Ni resistance. *Agrokimiya* 1, 80–85.
- Basu, A., Prasad, P., Das, S.N., Kalam, S., Sayyed, R.Z., Reddy, M.S., El Enshasy, H., 2021. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) as green bioinoculants: recent development, constraints, and prospects. *Sustainability* 13, 1140. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031140>.
- Bazzaz, F.A., Carlson, R.W., Rolfe, G.L., 1974. The effect of heavy metals on plants: Part I. Inhibition of gas exchange in sunflower by Pb, Cd, Ni and Tl. *Environ. Pollut.* 7, 241–246. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9327\(74\)90032-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9327(74)90032-9).
- Bencko, V., 1983. Nickel: a review of its occupational and environmental toxicology. *J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol.* 27, 237.
- Bhutto, M.A., Zahida, P., Sajid, I., Mubarak, A., Sahar, N., 2009. Monitoring of heavy and essential trace metals contents in wheat procured from various countries by the Government of Pakistan in the year 2008–09. *Int. J. Biol. Biotechnol.* 6, 247–250.
- Calzado, L.E., Gomez, C.O., Finch, J.A., 2005. Nickel recovered from solution by oxidation using ozone: some physical properties. *Miner. Eng.* 18, 537–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2004.09.003>.
- Chen, C., Huang, D., Liu, J., 2009. Functions and toxicity of nickel in plants: recent advances and future prospects. *Clean - Soil, Air, Water.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.200800199>.
- Chen, F., Wu, F., Dong, J., Vincze, E., Zhang, G., Wang, F., Huang, Y., Wei, K., 2007. Cadmium translocation and accumulation in developing barley grains. *Planta* 227, 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-007-0610-3>.
- Cui, L., Pan, G., Li, L., Yan, J., Zhang, A., Bian, R., Chang, A., 2012. The reduction of wheat Cd uptake in contaminated soil via biochar amendment: a two-year field experiment. *Bio Resour.* 7, 5666–5676.
- Cuyppers, A., Plusquin, M., Remans, T., Jozefczak, M., Keunen, E., Gielen, H., Opendakker, K., Nair, A.R., Munters, E., Artois, T.J., Nawrot, T., Vangronsveld, J., Smeets, K., 2010. Cadmium stress: an oxidative challenge. *BioMetals* 23 (5), 927–940. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-010-9329-x>.
- Danish, S., Kiran, S., Fahad, S., Ahmad, N., Ali, M.A., Tahir, F.A., Rasheed, M.K., Shahzad, K., Li, X., Wang, D., Mubeen, M., Abbas, S., Munir, T.M., Hashmi, M.Z., Adnan, M., Saeed, B., Saud, S., Khan, M.N., Ullah, A., Nasim, W., 2019a. Alleviation of chromium toxicity in maize by Fe fortification and chromium tolerant ACC deaminase producing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109706> 109706.
- Danish, S., Tahir, F.A., Rasheed, M.K., Ahmad, N., Ali, M.A., Kiran, S., Younis, U., Irshad, I., Butt, B., 2019b. Effect of foliar application of Fe and banana peel waste biochar on growth, chlorophyll content and accessory pigments synthesis in spinach under chromium (IV) toxicity. *Open Agric.* 4, 381–390. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2019-0034>.
- Danish, S., Younis, U., Akhtar, N., Ameer, A., Ijaz, M., Nasreen, S., Huma, F., Sharif, S., Ehsanullah, M., 2015a. Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and rice straw biochar consequence on maize pigments synthesis. *Int. J. Biosci.* 5, 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.12692/ijb/5.12.31-39>.
- Danish, S., Younis, U., Nasreen, S., Akhtar, N., Iqbal, M.T., 2015b. Biochar consequences on cations and anions of sandy soil. *J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci.* 6, 121–131.
- Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., 2020. Combined role of ACC deaminase producing bacteria and biochar on cereals productivity under drought. *Phyton-Int. J. Exp. Bot.* 89, 217–227. <https://doi.org/10.32604/phyton.2020.08523>.
- Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., 2019. Co-application of ACC-deaminase producing PGPR and timber-waste biochar improves pigments formation, growth and yield of wheat under drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42374-9>.
- Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Mohsin, F., Hussain, M., 2020. ACC-deaminase producing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biochar mitigate adverse effects of drought stress on maize growth. *PLoS One* 15, e0230615.
- Demirbas, A., 2004. Effects of temperature and particle size on bio-char yield from pyrolysis of agricultural residues. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 72, 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2004.07.003>.
- Deng, Y., Huang, S., Laird, D.A., Wang, X., Meng, Z., 2019. Adsorption behaviour and mechanisms of cadmium and nickel on rice straw biochars in single- and binary-metal systems. *Chemosphere* 218, 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.11.081>.
- Dixit, P., Mukherjee, P.K., Ramachandran, V., Eapen, S., 2011. Glutathione transferase from *Trichoderma virens* enhances cadmium tolerance without enhancing its accumulation in transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum*. *PLoS One* 6, 16360. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016360>.
- Dong, J., Wu, F., Zhang, G., 2006. Influence of cadmium on antioxidant capacity and four microelement concentrations in tomato seedlings (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Chemosphere* 64, 1659–1666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.01.030>.
- Downie, A., Crosky, A., Munroe, P., Crosky, A., Munroe, P., 2012. Physical properties of biochar. In: Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology*. Routledge, Earthscan, London, pp. 13–32. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849770552-9>.
- Dražić, G., Mihailović, N., Lojić, M., 2006. Cadmium accumulation in *Medicago sativa* seedlings treated with salicylic acid. *Biol. Plant.* 50, 239–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-006-0013-5>.
- Dresler, S., Wójcik, M., Bednarek, W., Hanaka, A., Tukiendorf, A., 2015. The effect of silicon on maize growth under cadmium stress. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 62, 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1021443715010057>.
- Fiaz, K., Danish, S., Younis, U., Malik, S.A., Raza Shah, M.H., Niaz, S., 2014. Drought impact on Pb/Cd toxicity remediated by biochar in *Brassica campestris*. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 14, 845–854. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162014005000067>.
- Gajewska, E., Skłodowska, M., Ślaba, M., Mazur, J., 2006. Effect of nickel on antioxidative enzyme activities, proline and chlorophyll contents in wheat shoots. *Biol. Plant.* 50, 653–659. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-006-0102-5>.
- Genchi, G., Sinicropi, M.S., Lauria, G., Carocci, A., Catalano, A., 2020. The effects of cadmium toxicity. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113782>.
- Gill, S.S., Tuteja, N., 2011. Cadmium stress tolerance in crop plants: probing the role of sulfur. *Plant Signal. Behav.* <https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.6.2.14880>.
- Glaser, B., 2007. Prehistorically modified soils of central Amazonia: a model for sustainable agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1978>.
- Greger, M., Brammer, E., Lindberg, S., Larsson, G., Idestam-almquist, J., 1991. Uptake and physiological effects of cadmium in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*) related to mineral provision. *J. Exp. Bot.* 42, 729–737. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/42.6.729>.
- Gupta, N., Khan, D.K., Santra, S.C., 2010. Determination of public health hazard potential of wastewater reuse in crop production. *World Rev. Sci. Technol. Sustain. Dev.* 7, 328–340. <https://doi.org/10.1504/WVRSTD.2010.032741>.
- Hasan, S.A., Hayat, S., Ali, B., Ahmad, A., 2008. 28-Homobrassicin protects chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) from cadmium toxicity by stimulating antioxidants. *Environ. Pollut.* 151, 60–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.03.006>.
- Hashmi, S., Younis, U., Danish, S., Munir, T.M., 2019. Pongamia pinnata L. leaves biochar increased growth and pigments syntheses in *Pisum sativum* L. exposed to nutritional stress. *Agric.* 9, 153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9070153>.
- Hossain, M.K., Strezov, V., Chan, K.Y., Nelson, P.F., 2010. Agronomic properties of wastewater sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of cherry tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Chemosphere* 78, 1167–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.01.009>.
- Hossny, E., Mokhtar, G., El-Awady, M., Ali, I., Morsy, M., Dawood, A., 2001. Environmental exposure of the pediatric age groups in Cairo City and its suburbs to cadmium pollution. *Sci. Total Environ.* 273, 135–146. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(00\)00848-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00848-2).
- Inouhe, M., 2005. Phytochelatin. *Brazilian J. Plant Physiol.* 17, 65–78.

- Irfan, M., Hayat, S., Ahmad, A., Alyemeni, M.N., 2013. Soil cadmium enrichment: allocation and plant physiological manifestations. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 20 (1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2012.11.004>.
- Izhar Shafi, M., Adnan, M., Fahad, S., Wahid, F., Khan, A., Yue, Z., Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Brtnicky, M., Datta, R., 2020. Application of single superphosphate with humic acid improves the growth, yield and phosphorus uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in calcareous soil. *Agronomy* 10, 1224.
- Jiang, J., Xu, R., Jiang, T., Li, Z., 2012. Immobilization of Cu(II), Pb(II) and Cd(II) by the addition of rice straw derived biochar to a simulated polluted Ultisol. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 229, 145–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.05.086>.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., 2011. *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*. CRC Press, pp. 1–534. 10.1201/b10158-25.
- Khalid, B.Y., Tinsley, J., 1980. Some effects of nickel toxicity on rye grass. *Plant Soil* 55, 139–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02149717>.
- Kraska, P., Oleszczuk, P., Andruszczak, S., Kwiecińska-Poppe, E., Różyło, K., Pałys, E., Gierasimiuk, P., Michałojć, Z., 2016. Effect of various biochar rates on winter rye yield and the concentration of available nutrients in the soil. *Plant, Soil Environ.* 62, 483–489. <https://doi.org/10.17221/94/2016-PSE>.
- Krupa, Z., Baszynski, T., 1995. Some aspects of heavy metals toxicity towards photosynthetic apparatus—direct and indirect effects on light and dark reactions. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 17, 177–190.
- Kumar, O., Singh, S.K., Singh, A.P., Yadav, S.N., Latore, A.M., 2018. Effect of soil application of nickel on growth, micronutrient concentration and uptake in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown in Inceptisols of Varanasi. *J. Plant Nutr.* 41, 50–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2017.1381724>.
- Laghari, M., Mirjat, M.S., Hu, Z., Fazal, S., Xiao, B., Hu, M., Chen, Z., Guo, D., 2015. Effects of biochar application rate on sandy desert soil properties and sorghum growth. *Catena* 135, 313–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2015.08.013>.
- Larbi, A., Morales, F., Abadia, A., Gogorcena, Y., Lucena, J.J., Abadia, J., 2002. Effects of Cd and Pb in sugar beet plants grown in nutrient solution: induced Fe deficiency and growth inhibition. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 29, 1453–1464. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP02090>.
- Lasat, M.M., 2002. Phytoextraction of toxic metals: a review of biological mechanisms. *J. Environ. Qual.* 31, 109–120.
- Lehmann, J., Gaunt, J., Rondon, M., 2006. Bio-char sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems – a review. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.* 11, 395–419.
- Liu, Y., Lu, H., Yang, S., Wang, Y., 2016. Impacts of biochar addition on rice yield and soil properties in a cold waterlogged paddy for two crop seasons. *F. Crop. Res.* 191, 161–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.03.003>.
- López-Millán, A.F., Sagardoy, R., Solanas, M., Abadia, A., Abadia, J., 2009. Cadmium toxicity in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) plants grown in hydroponics. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 65, 376–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2008.11.010>.
- Lozano-Rodríguez, E., Hernandez, L.E., Bonay, P., Carpena-Ruiz, R.O., 1997. Distribution of cadmium in shoot and root tissues of maize and pea plants: physiological disturbances. *J. Exp. Bota* 48, 123–128.
- Lu, S.G., Sun, F.F., Zong, Y.T., 2014. Effect of rice husk biochar and coal fly ash on some physical properties of expansive clay soil (Vertisol). *Catena* 114, 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2013.10.014>.
- Machida, Y.J., Teer, J.K., Dutta, A., 2005. Acute reduction of an origin recognition complex (ORC) subunit in human cells reveals a requirement of ORC for Cdk2 activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 27624–27630. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M502615200>.
- Madhava Rao, K.V., Sresty, T.V.S., 2000. Antioxidative parameters in the seedlings of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh) in response to Zn and Ni stresses. *Plant Sci.* 157, 113–128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(00\)00273-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00273-9).
- Major, J., 2011. Biochar for soil remediation and land reclamation. *IBI Res. Summ.*, 1–6.
- Maksymiec, W., 1998. Effect of copper on cellular processes in higher plants. *Photosynthetica* 34 (3), 321–342. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006818815528>.
- Mohan, D., Pittman, C.U., Bricka, M., Smith, F., Yancey, B., Mohammad, J., Steele, P.H., Alexandre-Franco, M.F., Gómez-Serrano, V., Gong, H., 2007. Sorption of arsenic, cadmium, and lead by chars produced from fast pyrolysis of wood and bark during bio-oil production. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 310, 57–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.01.020>.
- Mohanty, N., Vass, I., Demeter, S., 1989. Copper toxicity affects photosystem II electron transport at the secondary quinone acceptor. *Q. B. Plant Physiol.* 90, 175–179. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.90.1.175>.
- Molas, J., 1998. Changes in morphological and anatomical structure of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.) outer leaves and in ultrastructure of their chloroplasts caused by an in vitro excess of nickel. *Photosynthetica* 34, 513–522. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006805327340>.
- Nishijo, M., Morikawa, Y., Nakagawa, H., Tawara, K., Miura, K., Kido, T., Ikawa, A., Kobayashi, E., Nogawa, K., 2006. Causes of death and renal tubular dysfunction in residents exposed to cadmium in the environment. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 63, 545–550. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2006.026591>.
- Nordberg, G., Jin, T., Bernard, A., Fierens, S., Buchet, J.P., Ye, T., Kong, Q., Wang, H., 2002. Low bone density and renal dysfunction following environmental cadmium exposure in China. *Ambio* 31, 478–481. <https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.6.478>.
- Nriagu, J.O., 1996. A history of global metal pollution. *Science* 272 (5259), 223. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5259.223>.
- Olowoyo, J.O., Okedeyi, O.O., Mkololo, N.M., Lion, G.N., Mdakane, S.T.R., 2012. Uptake and translocation of heavy metals by medicinal plants growing around a waste dump site in Pretoria, South Africa. *South African J. Bot.* 78, 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2011.05.010>.
- Ouzounidou, G., Moustakas, M., Symeonidis, L., Karataglis, S., 2006. Response of wheat seedlings to Ni stress: effects of supplemental calcium. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 50, 346–352.
- Palacios, G., Gómez, I., Carbonell-Barrachina, A., Navarro Pedreño, J., Mataix, J., 1998. Effect of nickel concentration on tomato plant nutrition and dry matter yield. *J. Plant Nutr.* 21, 2179–2191. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169809365553>.
- Pandolfini, T., Gabbriellini, R., Comparini, C., 1992. Nickel toxicity and peroxidase activity in seedlings of *Triticum aestivum* L. *Plant. Cell Environ.* 15, 719–725. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1992.tb01014.x>.
- Park, J.H., Choppala, G.K., Bolan, N.S., Chung, J.W., Chuasavathi, T., 2011. Biochar reduces the bioavailability and phytotoxicity of heavy metals. *Plant Soil* 348, 439.
- Pathan, S.I., Větrovský, T., Giagnoni, L., Datta, R., Baldrian, P., Nannipieri, P., Renella, G., 2018. Microbial expression profiles in the rhizosphere of two maize lines differing in N use efficiency. *Plant Soil* 433 (1), 401–413.
- Piccini, D.F., Malavolta, E., 1992. Effect of nickel on two common bean cultivars. *J. Plant Nutr.* 15, 2343–2350.
- Pinto, A.P., Mota, A.M., De Varennes, A., Pinto, F.C., 2004. Influence of organic matter on the uptake of cadmium, zinc, copper and iron by sorghum plants. *Sci. Total Environ.* 326, 239–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.01.004>.
- Pulford, I.D., Watson, C., 2003. Phytoremediation of heavy metal-contaminated land by trees – A review. *Environ. Int.* 29 (4), 529–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-4120\(02\)00152-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-4120(02)00152-6).
- Quilliam, R.S., Glanville, H.C., Wade, S.C., Jones, D.L., 2013. Life in the “charosphere” – Does biochar in agricultural soil provide a significant habitat for microorganisms? *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 65, 287–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.004>.
- Radziemska, M., Gusiatiń, Z.M., Cydzik-Kwiatkowska, A., Cerdà, A., Pecina, V., Beś, A., Datta, R., Majewski, G., Mazur, Z., Dziecioń, J., Danish, S., Brtnicky, M., 2021. Insight into metal immobilization and microbial community structure in soil from a steel disposal dump that was phytostabilized with composted, pyrolyzed or gasified wastes. *Chemosphere* 272, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129576> 129576.
- Rafiullah, Tariq, M., Khan, F., Shah, A.H., Fahad, S., Wahid, F., Ali, J., Adnan, M., Ahmad, M., Irfan, M., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Battaglia, M.L., Zarei, T., Datta, R., Saleem, I.A., Hafeez-u-Rehman, Danish, S., 2020. Effect of micronutrients foliar supplementation on the production and eminence of plum. *Qual. Assur. Saf. Crop. Foods* 12, 32–40. 10.15586/qas.v12i5P1.793.
- Rao, K., Mohapatra, M., Anand, S., Venkateswarlu, P., 2011. Review on cadmium removal from aqueous solutions. *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol.* 2, 81–103. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ijest.v2i7.63747>.
- Reeves, R.D., Baker, A.J.M., 2000. *Metal-accumulating plants. Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals: Using Plants to Clean Up the Environment*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., New York.
- Renner, R., 2007. Rethinking biochar. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 5932–5933 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0726097>.
- Rondon, M., Ramirez, A., Hurtado, M., 2004. Charcoal additions to high fertility ditches enhance yields and quality of cash crops in Andean hillsides of Colombia. CIAT Annual Report. Cali, Colombia.
- Ruiz, J.M., Blasco, B., Ríos, J.J., Cervilla, L.M., Rosales, M.A., Rubio-wilhelmi, M.M., Sánchez-rodríguez, E., Castellano, R., Romero, L., 2009. Distribution and efficiency of the phytoextraction of cadmium by different organic chelates. *Terra Latinoam.* 27, 295–301.
- Satarug, S., Baker, J.R., Urbenjapol, S., Haswell-Elkins, M., Reilly, P.E.B., Williams, D.J., Moore, M.R., 2003. A global perspective on cadmium pollution and toxicity in non-occupationally exposed population. *Toxicol. Lett.*, 65–83 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274\(02\)00381-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(02)00381-8).
- Satarug, S., Garrett, S.H., Sens, M.A., Sens, D.A., 2010. Cadmium, environmental exposure, and health outcomes. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 118 (2), 182–190. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901234>.
- Scott-Fordsmand, J.J., 1997. Toxicity of nickel to soil organisms in Denmark. In: Ware, G.W., Nigg, H.N., Bevenue, A. (Eds.), *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2264-4_1.
- Seregin, I.V., Ivanov, V.B., 2001. Physiological aspects of cadmium and lead toxic effects on higher plants. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 48, 523–544. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016719901147>.
- Shah, K., Nongkynrih, J.M., 2007. Metal hyperaccumulation and bioremediation. *Biol. Plant.* 51 (4), 618–634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-007-0134-5>.
- Sharma, S., Sharma, P., Melhotra, P., 2010. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in *Pisum sativum* L. growing in fly ash amended soil. *J. Amer. Sci.* 6, 43–50.
- Sheoran, I.S., Singal, H.R., Singh, R., 1990. Effect of cadmium and nickel on photosynthesis and the enzymes of the photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Photosynth. Res.* 23, 345–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00034865>.
- Sohi, S.P., Krull, E., Bol, R., 2010. A review of biochar and its use and function in soil. *Adv. Agron.* 105, 47–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(10\)05002-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(10)05002-9).
- Sultan, H., Ahmed, N., Mubashir, M., Danish, S., 2020. Chemical production of acidified activated carbon and its influences on soil fertility comparative to thermo-pyrolyzed biochar. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 595. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57535-4>.
- Tando, E., Nugroho, A., Islami, T., 2017. Effect of sago waste, manure and straw biochar on peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) growth and yield on an Ultisol of Southeast Sulawesi. *J. Degrad. Min. Lands Manag.* 4, 749–757. 10.15243/jdmlm.2017.042.749.

- Thies, J., Rillig, M.C., 2009. Characteristics of biochar: Biological properties. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology*. Earthscan, London.
- Tian, X., Li, C., Zhang, M., Wan, Y., Xie, Z., Chen, B., Li, W., 2018. Biochar derived from corn straw affected availability and distribution of soil nutrients and cotton yield. *PLoS One* 13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189924> e0189924.
- Tripathy, B.C., Bhatia, B., Mohanty, P., 1981. Inactivation of chloroplast photosynthetic electron-transport activity by Ni²⁺. *BBA - Bioenerg.* 638, 217–224. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728\(81\)90230-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(81)90230-9).
- Uchimiya, M., Chang, S.C., Klasson, K.T., 2011. Screening biochars for heavy metal retention in soil: role of oxygen functional groups. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 190, 432–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.063>.
- Ullah, A., Ali, M., Shahzad, K., Ahmad, F., Iqbal, S., Habib, M., Rahman, U., Ahmad, S., Iqbal, M.M., 2020. Impact of seed dressing and soil application of potassium humate on cotton plants productivity and fiber quality. *Plants* 9, 1444. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9111444>.
- Van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Morris, S., Chan, K.Y., Downie, A., Rust, J., Joseph, S., Cowie, A., 2010. Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. *Plant Soil* 327, 235–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0050-x>.
- Veeranjaneyulu, K., Das, V.S.R., 1982. Intrachloroplast localization of ⁶⁵Zn and ⁶³Ni in a zn-tolerant plant, *Ocimum basilicum* benth. *J. Exp. Bot.* 33, 1161–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/33.6.1161>.
- Verheijen, F., Jeffery, S., Bastos, C., Van Der Velde, M., Diafas, I., 2010. Biochar application to soils. A critical scientific review of effects on soil properties, processes and functions. *Environment* 8, 149. <https://doi.org/10.2788/472>.
- Warnock, D.D., 2009. Arbuscular mycorrhizal responses to biochars in soils - potential mechanisms of interaction and observed responses in controlled environments. Division of Biological Sciences and the Microbial Ecology and Department of Crop and Soil Sciences. University of Montana and Cornell University.
- Woods, W.I., Denevan, W.M., 2009. Amazonian dark earths: The first century of reports. In: Teixeira, W.I., Lehmann, W.G., Steiner, J., WinklerPrins, C., Rebellato, L. (Eds.), *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 1–14. [10.1007/978-1-4020-9031-8_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9031-8_1)
- Woolf, D., Amonette, J.E., Street-Perrott, F.A., Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2010. Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nat. Commun.* 1, 56.
- Yadav, S.S., Shukla, R., Sharma, Y.K., 2009. Nickel toxicity on seed germination and growth in radish (*Raphanus sativus*) and its recovery using copper and boron. *J. Environ. Biol.* 30, 461–466.
- Younis, U., Qayyum, M.F., Shah, M.H.R., Danish, S., Shahzad, A.N., Malik, S.A., Mahmood, S., 2015. Growth, survival, and heavy metal (Cd and Ni) uptake of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) and fenugreek (*Trigonella corniculata*) in a biochar-amended sewage-irrigated contaminated soil. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 178, 209–217. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201400325>.
- Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Danish, S., Abbas, M., Ahmad, M., Munir, T.M., 2019. ACC deaminase producing PGPR *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and agrobacterium fabrum along with biochar improve wheat productivity under drought stress. *Agronomy* 9, 343. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9070343>.
- Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Naeem, M., Danish, S., Fahad, S., Datta, R., Abbas, M., Rahi, A.A., Brtnicky, M., Holátko, J., Tarar, Z.H., Nasir, M., 2020a. Alleviation of cadmium adverse effects by improving nutrients uptake in bitter melon through cadmium tolerant rhizobacteria. *Environments* 7, 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments7080054>.
- Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Naeem, M., Danish, S., Khan, M.J., Fahad, S., Datta, R., Brtnicky, M., Kintl, A., Hussain, G.S., El-Esawi, M.A., 2020b. Effect of cadmium-tolerant rhizobacteria on growth attributes and chlorophyll contents of bitter melon under cadmium toxicity. *Plants* 9, 1386. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9101386>.
- Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Naeem, M., Danish, S., Khan, M.J., Fahad, S., Datta, R., Brtnicky, M., Kintl, A., Hussain, M.S., El-esawi, M.A., 2020. Effect of cadmium-tolerant rhizobacteria on growth attributes and chlorophyll contents of bitter melon under cadmium toxicity. *Plants* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9101386>.
- Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Tahzeeb-ul-Hassan, M., Abid, M., Fahad, S., Brtnicky, M., Dokulilova, T., Datta, R., Danish, S., 2020c. Potential role of compost mixed biochar with rhizobacteria in mitigating lead toxicity in spinach. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–12.