

IRON OXIDE MODIFIED POLYETHERSULFONE HOLLOW FIBER
MEMBRANES WITH IMPROVED HYDROPHILICITY FOR WATER
TREATMENT

NADIENE SALLEHA BINTI MOHD NAWI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2022

To my family and friends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my utmost appreciation to my main supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lau Woei Jye, for his unlimited guidance and invaluable advices in the completion of this thesis. I am also thankful to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norhaniza Yusof, for her encouragement and support.

I would also wish to extend my gratitude to my family and friends for their continuous support. My special thanks go to all my colleagues of Advanced Membrane Technology Research Centre (AMTEC) who have provided assistance at various occasions. Last but not least, I'm much appreciated the financial support provided by the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) through UTMSHINE Signature Research Grant (Project no. Q.J130000.2451.07G79).

ABSTRACT

Rapid industrialization has led to deterioration of water quality due to the increase in improper discharge of wastewater into receiving water body. Over the years, membrane technology has emerged as a potential alternative to treat various types of water and wastewater. However, fouling and flux declination are still the major hindrances for the membrane application. To address this issue, the aim of this work was to develop a hollow fiber membrane with improved surface properties via incorporation of hydrophilic nanoparticles for water application. The objective of this work is to investigate the impacts of particle size of iron oxide (Fe_3O_4) and the effects of different surface-functionalized Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles on the properties of polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber membranes for ultrafiltration process. All of the membranes were synthesized via a dry-jet wet spinning process followed by a series of instrumental characterization before proceeding to filtration performance assessment. The results showed that the addition of smaller Fe_3O_4 particles (50 nm) into PES dope solution could produce a membrane with better hydrophilicity (contact angle: 75.77°) and consequently better pure water flux (PWF) ($102.74 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$) compared to the pristine PES membrane ($82.55 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$) and membrane incorporated with larger Fe_3O_4 particles of $5 \mu\text{m}$ ($91.55 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$). This is due to better particle dispersion in the PES matrix that subsequently enhances the membrane permeability. In addition, the membrane modified by 50-nm Fe_3O_4 also displayed good filtration performance by rejecting 80.43% bovine serum albumin (BSA) from aqueous solution. Surface coating of 50-nm Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles using polydopamine (PDA) could further improve its dispersion and stability in dope solution, leading to improved membrane performance. Compared to the membrane incorporated with neat Fe_3O_4 , the addition of PDA-coated Fe_3O_4 into PES membrane showed 17.58% improvement in water flux and 6.08% higher BSA rejection, reaching $121.19 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$ and 85.32%, respectively. This can be attributed to the improvement in terms of membrane hydrophilicity and porosity. The incorporation of dual functionalized Fe_3O_4 into PES membrane using amine were found to greatly promote membrane permeability compared with using sulfonic acid due to enhanced synergistic interaction between Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amine and PES polymer. The PES/ Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amine membrane recorded the highest PWF of $137.15 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$ and BSA rejection of 92.16%. This membrane also achieved excellent chemical oxygen demand (95.17%) and color reduction (89.86%) when tested using river water sample. In conclusion, the developed PES/ Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amine membrane showed an excellent separation performance and antifouling property for water treatment, overcoming the drawbacks of PES membrane.

ABSTRAK

Perkembangan perindustrian yang pesat menyebabkan kemerosotan kualiti air disebabkan oleh peningkatan pengendalian pembuangan air sisa yang tidak betul ke sumber air. Dalam tempoh beberapa tahun, teknologi membran telah muncul sebagai alternatif yang berpotensi untuk merawat pelbagai jenis air dan air sisa. Walau bagaimanapun, pengotoran dan pengurangan fluks masih menjadi penghalang utama bagi aplikasi membran dalam bidang ini. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini, tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk membangunkan membran gentian berongga yang mempunyai sifat permukaan yang lebih baik melalui penggabungan nanozarah yang hidrofilik untuk aplikasi air. Objektif kerja ini adalah untuk mengkaji impak saiz zarah ferum oksida (Fe_3O_4) dan kesan nanozarah Fe_3O_4 yang berfungsi dengan permukaan yang berbeza pada sifat membran serat berongga polietersulfon (PES) untuk proses ultraturasan. Semua membran disintesis melalui proses putaran jet basah-kering diikuti dengan siri analisa instrumental sebelum meneruskan penilaian prestasi penapisan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa penambahan zarah Fe_3O_4 yang lebih kecil (50 nm) ke dalam larutan polimer PES dapat menghasilkan membran yang lebih hidrofilik (sudut sentuh: 75.77°) dan menyebabkan fluks air tulen meningkat (PWF) ($102.74 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$) berbanding dengan membran PES yang tulen ($82.55 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$) dan membran yang digabungkan dengan zarah Fe_3O_4 yang lebih besar, $5 \mu\text{m}$ ($91.55 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$). Ini disebabkan oleh penyebaran zarah yang lebih baik dalam matriks PES yang seterusnya meningkatkan kebolehtelapan membran. Selain itu, membran yang diubah suai oleh Fe_3O_4 50-nm juga menunjukkan prestasi penurasan yang baik dengan penolakan 80.43% albumin dari serum lembu (BSA) dari larutan berair. Lapisan permukaan nanozarah Fe_3O_4 50-nm yang diubah menggunakan salutan polidopamina (PDA) dapat meningkatkan penyebaran dan kestabilannya dalam larutan polimer, yang membawa kepada peningkatan prestasi membran. Berbanding dengan membran yang digabungkan dengan Fe_3O_4 tulen, penambahan Fe_3O_4 bersalut PDA ke dalam membran PES menunjukkan peningkatan fluks air sebanyak 17.58% dan penolakan BSA 6.08% lebih tinggi, dimana masing-masing mencapai $121.19 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$ dan 85.32%. Ini boleh dikaitkan dengan peningkatan dari segi kehidrofilikan membran dan keliangan. Penggabungan Fe_3O_4 fungsi duaan ke dalam membran PES menggunakan amina didapati dapat meningkatkan kebolehtelapan membran berbanding dengan penggunaan asid sulfonik kerana interaksi kesekerjaan yang baik antara Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amina dan polimer PES. Membran PES/ Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amina mencatatkan PWF tertinggi iaitu $137.15 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$ dan penolakan BSA sebanyak 92.16%. Membran ini juga mencapai keperluan oksigen kimia (95.17%) dan pengurangan warna (89.86%) ketika diuji dengan sampel air sungai. Kesimpulannya, membran PES/ Fe_3O_4 /PDA-amina yang dibangunkan menunjukkan prestasi pemisahan dan sifat anti-kotoran untuk rawatan air yang sangat baik, sekaligus dapat mengatasi kekurangan membran PES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Objectives	5
1.4	Scope of Study	6
1.5	Significance of Study	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Overview on Membrane Technology for Wastewater Treatment	9
2.1.1	Conventional Water and Wastewater Treatment Technologies	9
2.1.2	Membrane Technology	11
2.2	Introduction to Ultrafiltration Membranes	13
2.2.1	Membrane Configurations	13
2.2.2	Membrane Filtration Modes	15
2.2.3	Ultrafiltration Membrane Application for Water and Wastewater Treatment	17

2.3	Overview on Hollow Fiber Ultrafiltration Membrane Fabrication Methods	18
2.3.1	Fabrication Methods of Ultrafiltration Membranes	18
2.3.1.1	Non-Solvent Induced Phase Separation	19
2.3.1.2	Thermal Induced Phase Separation	20
2.3.1.3	Comparison between NIPS and TIPS	20
2.3.2	Factors Affecting the Properties of Hollow Fiber Ultrafiltration Membrane Fabricated via NIPS Technique	23
2.3.2.1	Polymer, Solvent, and Non-solvent	23
2.3.2.2	Addition of Additives to Dope Solution	24
2.3.2.3	Hollow Fiber Membrane Spinning Conditions	24
2.4	Membrane Fouling	25
2.4.1	Fouling Phenomenon	25
2.4.2	Membrane Fouling Mechanism	27
2.4.3	Fouling Mitigation	28
2.5	Advanced Nanoparticles for Ultrafiltration Membrane Fabrication	30
2.5.1	Roles of Nanoparticles on Ultrafiltration Membrane Properties	30
2.5.2	Methods on Introducing Nanoparticles into Ultrafiltration Membrane Matrix	32
2.5.3	Review on the Nanoparticles for Ultrafiltration Membrane Properties	34
2.5.3.1	Carbon-based Nanoparticles	34
2.5.3.2	Metal Oxide Nanoparticles	35
2.5.4	Iron Oxide Nanoparticles as Nanofillers	36
2.5.4.1	Role of Particle Sizes in Membrane Modification	44
2.5.4.2	Surface Functionalization of Fe ₃ O ₄ Nanoparticles	45

2.6	Summary of Literature Review	47
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Materials	51
3.2.1	Polymeric Membrane	51
3.2.2	Solvent	51
3.2.3	Polymer Additives	52
3.2.4	Nanofiller and Surface Modifying Chemicals	52
3.3	Surface functionalization	53
3.3.1	Synthesis of Polydopamine-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ Nanoparticles (Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA)	54
3.3.2	Synthesis of Amine Modified Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA Nanocomposites (Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Amine)	55
3.3.3	Synthesis of Sulfonic Acid-Modified Polydopamine-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ Nanoparticles (Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Sulf)	55
3.4	Characterizations of Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA, Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Amine, and Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Sulf Nanocomposite	55
3.4.1	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	55
3.4.2	X-ray Diffractometry (XRD)	56
3.4.3	Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR)	56
3.4.4	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Surface Analysis (BET)	56
3.4.5	Dispersion Study	57
3.5	Preparation of Dope Solution	57
3.5.1	Hollow Fiber Membranes Fabrication	58
3.6	Membrane Characterizations	59
3.6.1	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis	59
3.6.2	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)	59
3.6.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	60
3.6.4	Contact Angle Analysis	60

3.6.5	Membrane Porosity and Measurement of Pore Size	60
3.6.6	Tensile test	61
3.7	Performance Evaluation	61
3.7.1	Water Permeation Test	62
3.7.2	Membrane Filtration Performance and Fouling Analysis	63
3.7.3	Membrane Filtration Performance for River Water Treatment	64
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
4.1	Characterizations of Fe ₃ O ₄ Particles	65
4.1.1	Morphological Structure Characterization	65
4.1.2	Surface Area Characterization	66
4.1.3	Phase Composition Analysis	68
4.1.4	Functional Group Analysis	69
4.1.5	Dispersion Behavior Analysis	70
4.2	Characterizations of Hollow Fiber Membranes Incorporated with Fe ₃ O ₄ Particles	71
4.2.1	Membrane Morphological Structure	71
4.2.2	Membrane Hydrophilicity, Porosity, and Average Pore Size	73
4.2.3	Membrane Structural, Thermal, and Mechanical Properties	74
4.2.4	Membrane Filtration and Antifouling Performance	76
4.2.5	Conclusion on the Effects of Particle Size	79
4.3	Characterizations of Surface-Functionalized Fe ₃ O ₄ Nanoparticles	79
4.3.1	Morphological Structure	79
4.3.2	Specific Surface Area	81
4.3.3	Phase Composition Analysis	82
4.3.4	Functional Group Analysis	83
4.3.5	Dispersion Behavior Analysis	84

4.4	Characterizations of Hollow Fiber Membranes Incorporated with Surface Functionalized Fe ₃ O ₄ Nanoparticles	85
4.4.1	Membrane Morphological Structure	85
4.4.2	Hydrophilicity, Porosity, and Average Pore Size	86
4.4.3	Membrane Structural, Thermal, and Mechanical Properties	89
4.4.4	Membrane Filtration Performance and Fouling Resistance	91
4.4.5	Membrane Filtration Performance for River Water Treatment	94
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	97
5.1	Conclusions	97
5.2	Recommendations	98
	REFERENCES	101
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	119

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Industrial applications of UF membranes.	17
Table 2.2	Comparison between NIPS and TIPS	22
Table 2.3	Parameters affecting hollow fiber spinning process	25
Table 2.4	Summary of Fe ₃ O ₄ incorporation into pressure-driven membranes	39
Table 3.1	Properties of Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles	52
Table 3.2	Properties of dopamine	53
Table 3.3	Properties of APTES	53
Table 3.4	Properties of sulfonic acid	53
Table 3.5	Composition of dope solution	58
Table 4.1	Comparison of Fe ₃ O ₄ particle sizes	65
Table 4.2	Membrane dimensions and viscosity of dope solutions	73
Table 4.3	PES membrane dimensions, dope solution viscosity, porosity, and average pore size	88
Table 4.4	Water quality of feed and permeate	96

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Overview of treatment stages of a typical wastewater treatment plant	11
Figure 2.2	Basic principle of membrane technology	12
Figure 2.3	Pressure-driven membranes processes	13
Figure 2.4	Schematic diagram of (a) flat sheet, (b) hollow fiber, (c) spiral-wound, and (d) tubular configuration	14
Figure 2.5	Schematic illustration of (a) dead-end and (b) crossflow orientations and their respective flux and cake thickness profile as a function of filtration time	16
Figure 2.6	Scheme of phase separation processes	18
Figure 2.7	Hollow fiber spinning process schematic illustration	19
Figure 2.8	SEM images of four fouling types on membrane surfaces, (a) biofouling, (b) organic fouling, (c) scaling, and (d) colloidal fouling	27
Figure 2.9	Schematic illustration of membrane fouling mechanism, (a) Pore narrowing/ constriction, (b) Pore plugging/blockage, (c) Gel/ cake layer formation, and (d) Selective plugging of larger pores.	28
Figure 2.10	Fouling occurrences mechanism of (a) control membrane, (b) membrane modified by blending technique, and (c) membrane modified by surface coating	32
Figure 2.11	Crystal structure of magnetite (Fe_3O_4) (Black ball: Fe^{2+} , green ball: Fe^{3+} , red ball: O^{2-})	37
Figure 2.13	SEM micrographs of (a) neat PSf, (b) PSf membrane with 70-nm Ag, and (c) PSf membrane with 30-nm Ag	44
Figure 2.14	Schematic illustration of the surface coating of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles with PDA	46
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram of research procedure	50
Figure 3.2	Schematic illustration of surface functionalization of Fe_3O_4 particles	54
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of UF crossflow module	63

Figure 4.1	TEM micrographs of (a,b) 5- μm Fe_3O_4 and (c,d) 50-nm Fe_3O_4 at scale bar of 20 nm and 50 nm	66
Figure 4.2	Nitrogen sorption isotherms of (a) 5- μm Fe_3O_4 and (b) 50-nm Fe_3O_4 . Inset shows the pore size distribution of the corresponding sample from the desorption branch	67
Figure 4.3	XRD spectra of 5- μm Fe_3O_4 and 50-nm Fe_3O_4 particles	68
Figure 4.4	FTIR spectra of 5- μm Fe_3O_4 and 100-nm Fe_3O_4 particles	69
Figure 4.5	Dispersion stability performance of 5- μm Fe_3O_4 and 50-nm Fe_3O_4 particles in NMP solvent in terms of (a) turbidity profile and (b) visual comparison (i) before and (ii) after 30 days	70
Figure 4.6	FESEM micrographs showing (i) membrane surface morphology (Inset: water contact angle), (ii) cross-section structure, and (iii) EDX-Fe mapping for (a) PES, (b) PES/5- μm Fe_3O_4 and (c) PES/50-nm Fe_3O_4 membranes	72
Figure 4.7	Properties of PES membranes in terms of (a) FTIR spectra, (b) TGA curves, (c) stress-strain curves, and (d) Young's modulus and elongation at break	76
Figure 4.8	Membrane performance in terms of (a) PWF and BSA rejection and (b) Flux versus time during three steps filtration, (c) flux recovery rate (FRR), and (d) reversible, irreversible and total fouling rate	78
Figure 4.9	TEM images of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$, (c) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Amine, and (d) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Sulf nanoparticles at a scale bar of 50 nm	80
Figure 4.10	Nitrogen sorption isotherms of (a) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$, (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Amine and (c) $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Sulf. Inset shows the pore size distribution of the corresponding sample from the desorption branch	81
Figure 4.11	XRD spectra of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles with different types of surface functionalization	82
Figure 4.12	FTIR spectra of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles with different types of surface functionalization	83
Figure 4.13	Dispersion stability performance of different types of Fe_3O_4 particles in NMP solvent in terms of (a) turbidity profile and (b) visual comparison (i) before and (ii) after 30 days	84
Figure 4.14	FESEM micrographs showing (i) membrane surface morphology (Inset: water contact angle), (ii) cross-section structure and (iii) EDX-Fe mapping for (a) PES/ Fe_3O_4 , (b) PES/ $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$, (c) PES/ $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Amine and (d) PES/ $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PDA}$ -Sulf	87

Figure 4.15	Properties of PES membranes in terms of (a) FTIR spectra, (b) TGA curves, (c) stress-strain curves, and (d) Young's modulus and elongation at break	90
Figure 4.16	Membrane performance in terms of (a) PWF and BSA rejection and (b) Flux versus time during three steps filtration, (c) flux recovery rate (FRR), and (d) reversible, irreversible and total fouling rate	94
Figure 4.17	Performances of PES membrane with and without nanoparticles incorporation for river water treatment, (a) water flux as a function of filtration time, (b) rejection rate against colour, TDS and COD and (c) visual comparison of water sample before and after membrane treatment	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al ₂ O ₃	-	Aluminium oxide
APTES	-	(3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane
AT-POME	-	Aerobically treated palm oil mill effluent
BET	-	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area analysis
BSA	-	Bovine serum albumin
CA	-	Cellulose acetate
CH ₂ Cl ₂	-	Dichloromethane
ClSO ₃ H	-	Chlorosulfonic acid
CNTs	-	Carbon nanotubes
DA	-	Dopamine hydrochloride
Da	-	Dalton
DMAc	-	<i>N,N</i> -dimethylacetamide
DMF	-	<i>N,N</i> -dimethylformamide
EDX	-	Energy dispersive x-ray
Fe	-	Iron
Fe ²⁺	-	Ferrous ion
Fe ³⁺	-	Ferric ion
Fe ₃ O ₄	-	Iron oxide particles
Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA	-	Polydopamine-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ particles
Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Amine	-	Amine-modified polydopamine-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ particles
Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDA-Sulf	-	Sulfonic acid-modified polydopamine-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ particles
FESEM	-	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
FTIR	-	Fourier-transform infrared
FRR	-	Flux recovery rate
GO	-	Graphene oxide
KBr	-	Potassium bromide
MF	-	Microfiltration
MgSO ₄	-	Magnesium sulfate

MWCNT	-	Multi-walled carbon nanotubes
Na ₂ SO ₄	-	Sodium sulfate
NF	-	Nanofiltration
NMP	-	<i>N</i> -methylpyrrolidone
NIPS	-	Non-solvent induced separation
O	-	Oxygen
O ²⁻	-	Oxygen ion
OCMCS	-	O-carboxymethyl chitosan
PAA	-	Poly (acrylic acid)
PAN	-	Polyacrylonitrile
PANI	-	Polyaniline
PDA	-	Polydopamine
PEG	-	Polyethylene glycol
PEO	-	Polyethylene oxide
PES	-	Polyethersulfone
PEES	-	Poly (ether ether) sulfone
PVA	-	Polyvinyl alcohol
PSf	-	Polysulfone
PVC	-	Polyvinylchloride
PVDF	-	Polyvinylidene fluoride
PVP	-	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
PWF	-	Pure water flux
PWP	-	Pure water permeation flux
RO	-	Reverse osmosis
SiO ₂	-	Silica dioxide
TEM	-	Transmission electron microscopy
TGA	-	Thermal gravimetric analysis
TiO ₂	-	Titanium dioxide
TIPS	-	Temperature induced phase separation
UF	-	Ultrafiltration
XRD	-	X-ray diffractometry
ZnO	-	Zinc oxide

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Effective area of membrane (m^2)
C_p	-	Concentration of permeate (mg/L)
C_f	-	Concentration of protein feed (mg/L)
$C_{f,i}$	-	Initial permeate concentration (mg/L)
$C_{p,i}$	-	Parameter's final concentration in the permeate (mg/L)
d_w	-	Density of water (g/cm^3)
J_p	-	Flux under steady state (L/m^2h)
J_{w1}	-	Initial pure water flux (L/m^2h)
l	-	Membrane thickness (m)
ΔP	-	Transmembrane pressure (Pa),
Q	-	Permeate volume water per time (m^3/s)
R	-	Removal rate (%)
R_a	-	Mean surface roughness
R_{FD}	-	Flux decline
R_{ir}	-	Irreversible fouling (%)
R_r	-	Reversible fouling (%)
R_t	-	Total flux loss
r_m	-	Mean pore radius
t	-	Permeation time (h)
W_{t_i}	-	Initial weight of sample (mg)
W_{t_f}	-	Final weight of sample (mg)
$W_{t_{loss}}$	-	Weight loss of the sample (mg)
ε	-	Overall porosity
ω_1	-	Weight of wet membrane (g)
ω_2	-	Weight of dry membrane (g)
μ	-	Viscosity of water (mpa.s)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Clean water is essential to life. Over the past decade, clean water scarcity has become a rising global issue due to rapid industrialization and urbanization. Despite its contribution to global economic growth, the improper discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater into receiving water body that deteriorates water resource quality remains a main concern to public. Industrial effluent, domestic sewage, and agriculture run-off are among the sources of the major pollutants that could pose a substantial threat to surface and underground water if not treated and managed appropriately (Khanzada et al., 2020). World Wildlife Fund (WWF) predicted that two-thirds of the world population would encounter water shortages by 2025 due to the declining available quality and quantity of clean water that has become inevitable (WWF, 2021).

To address the increasing demand for clean water, wastewater treatment and reclamation have become viable alternatives. However, conventional wastewater treatment methods are constantly limited by the requirement of large land, high cost, long retention time, and inability to meet the discharge limit (Fane et al., 2011; Khanzada et al., 2020). As such, membrane technology has garnered attention as a promising wastewater treatment process due to its high separation performance, small system footprint, ease of operation, and cost-effectiveness (Goh and Ismail, 2018; Pendergast and Hoek, 2011). In light of its potential application for various water/wastewater treatment processes, the utilization of membrane technology has undergone rapid development in the last decade.

Membrane can be described as a selective barrier and interphase between two bulk phases that permits passage of specific components while rejecting others (Baker, 2004). Among pressure-driven membrane processes, ultrafiltration (UF) is commonly used in large-scale industrial wastewater treatment processes, owing to its high efficiency, high water production rate, low operation and maintenance cost, and low energy requirement (Nazri et al., 2015; Rambabu and Velu, 2014). Since its commercialization in the 1960s, the global market of UF membranes is expected to grow to nearly \$4.6 billion in 2021 (Ramamurthy, 2016). The strict environmental legislation and global water crisis have led to the swift rise of UF membranes, particularly in wastewater treatment. However, membrane surface fouling remains a key challenge for its industrial adoption in the past and present.

In pushing the boundaries to improve membrane surface characteristics, various membrane modification strategies have been explored. This led to an increase in nanocomposite UF membranes, which comprise the dispersion of nanoparticles into the polymer matrix. Many reports have modified UF membrane with nanoparticles such as titanium dioxide (TiO_2) (Hosseini et al., 2018), graphene oxide (GO) (Zinadini et al., 2015), and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Rameetse et al., 2020). Besides these nanoparticles, recent studies have reported on the viability of utilizing iron oxide (Fe_3O_4) nanoparticles in enhancing membrane fouling resistance. Fe_3O_4 offers good characteristics such as good hydrophilicity, large surface area, low toxicity, and chemically stable (Gholami et al., 2014; Hosseini et al., 2019). Most importantly, Fe_3O_4 is very cheap and available in the commercial market.

Several studies have attempted to modify membranes with Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles for various wastewater treatment applications, such as removing salts, organic matter, dye, and heavy metal ions (Lakhotia et al., 2019; Rambabu and Velu, 2014). Thus, there are vast opportunities for in-depth research on Fe_3O_4 -modified membranes. In view of this, this study aims to provide better insight into the impacts of incorporating Fe_3O_4 on the surface properties of polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber membrane for water treatment.

1.2 Problem Statement

UF membranes have been employed in various wastewater treatment processes due to their efficiency in removing suspended and dissolved particles present in industrial wastewater (Rambabu and Velu, 2015). However, membrane surface fouling remains a critical challenge that hinders broader applications of UF membranes in wastewater treatment processes. Various materials have been used as the primary material for the development of UF membranes, such as polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) (Tan et al., 2017b), polysulfone (PSf) (Zainol Abidin et al., 2019), and PES (Vatanpour et al., 2019). PES is a common material used in fabricating commercial UF hollow fiber membranes as it has excellent chemical resistance, a wide range of pH tolerance (0 to 14), and high thermal resistance (up to 95°C) (Sterlitech, 2020). In addition, it shows the best compatibility among other membranes (Lalia et al., 2013).

Despite its advantages, PES-based membranes still suffer from fouling due to its hydrophobic nature, which causes a decline in fluid transport properties during operation and consequently lead to an increase in energy consumption. To address this issue, surface modification using hydrophilic nanoparticles is one of the important strategies to tackle the hydrophobicity of PES membrane while providing other features such as improved surface characteristics (Homayoonfal et al., 2013; Quemener et al., 2017).

Among a wide range of nanoparticles, Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles have shown to be a promising nanosized filler to improve UF membrane (Xu et al., 2012). The abundance of hydroxyl functional groups on its surface makes it highly hydrophilic, which is the key feature in fabricating membrane with excellent filtration performance and fouling resistance. The incorporation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles into polymer matrix during membrane preparation has been widely used to modify membrane functionality. Most importantly, the price of commercial Fe₃O₄ (~USD 1.63/g) (Iron (II,III) oxide powder, <5 μm, 2021) is much cheaper compared to the carbon-based particles such as GO (up to USD 500/g) (Graphene oxide sheets, 2021) and CNTs (~USD 40/g) (Carbon nanotube, 2021). This makes the commercial Fe₃O₄ much more competitive and practical.

Nevertheless, typical Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles tend to agglomerate in dope solutions due to strong Van der Waals forces (Ng et al., 2013). To reduce the high surface energies due to having a large surface area, these nanoparticles tend to aggregate. Agglomeration of nanoparticles in dope solution would lead to poor distribution and dispersion in the membrane matrix, negatively affecting membrane structural integrity and applications (Liu et al., 2011). Moreover, agglomerated nanoparticles also increase the propensity of Fe_3O_4 leaching out from the membrane. This would not only cause decline in membrane performance, but also pose hazardous health risks for the end-users. Although reports on Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles leaching from nanocomposite membranes are rare, important strategies are needed to address the agglomeration of Fe_3O_4 issue. Thus, many studies recommended introducing only a small number of nanoparticles (0.1-0.5 wt%) to modify the membrane (Ansari et al., 2016; Daraei et al., 2013).

Besides the quantity of nanoparticles used, another main factor that can result in the formation of different membrane properties is the size of nanoparticles (Ng et al., 2013). In general, particles of smaller size are more favorable as it provides larger surface area for interfacial interactions between nanoparticle and membrane matrix (Bankura et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019a). As of now, the size effects of Fe_3O_4 on membrane properties have yet been reported in the literature. As such, this study also intends to compare the influence of particles sizes of Fe_3O_4 (50-100 nm and $<5 \mu\text{m}$) on the properties of PES hollow fiber membranes for water treatment. With respect to price, 5- μm Fe_3O_4 costs only ~USD 1.08/g (Iron (II,III) oxide powder, $<5 \mu\text{m}$, 2021), i.e., ~34% cheaper than Fe_3O_4 of 50 nm (~USD 1.63/g).

However, it must be pointed out that incorporating particles with a size <100 nm into the polymer matrix is challenging due to strong surface interactions that induce agglomeration between particles. Thus, some studies suggested functionalizing the surface of Fe_3O_4 to enhance its distribution in dope solution (Ghaemi et al., 2015; Rowley and Abu-Zahra, 2019). Surface modification of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles by surface coating is the most common approach in improving its stability as nanofillers. Coating material such as polydopamine (PDA) is one of the promising candidates that can offer good compatibility, facile surface modification, and ease of preparation, hence

enhancing nanoparticle's hydrophilicity and dispersibility without compromising its intrinsic properties (Ramezanpour et al., 2019). The abundance of the functional group of PDA enables it to undergo secondary surface modification to improve further nanoparticle stability in organic solvents and effects on the membrane surface properties.

To achieve secondary surface modification, agents such as (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) and sulfonic acid could be potentially used to enhance nanoparticle stability so as it can efficiently reduce nanoparticle agglomeration by demonstrating a synergistic effect, hence improving the interactions between nanofillers and membrane matrix (Veisi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). However, only a few researches have been reported on the UF membranes modification with PDA-coated Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles as a nanofiller. Nonetheless, it is necessary to fully comprehend the roles of different types of surface-functionalized Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles in modifying PES membrane for enhanced separation performance. In addition, this study also intends to provide important insight on underlying issues related to membrane fouling resistance, aiming to develop an effective membrane for water and wastewater treatment.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to develop new type of nanocomposite PES hollow fiber membrane for water treatment. The objectives of this study can be further elaborated as follows:

1. To investigate the influence of particle sizes of Fe_3O_4 (50 nm and $<5 \mu\text{m}$) on the surface properties and filtration performance of PES hollow fiber membranes for water treatment.
2. To evaluate the effects of different surface functionalization on the best particle size of Fe_3O_4 in improving hydrophilicity and antifouling properties of PES nanocomposite membranes for water treatment.

1.4 Scope of Study

To achieve the objectives above, the following scopes of this study are identified:

1. Characterization of commercial Fe_3O_4 particles (particle size: 50 nm and $<5 \mu\text{m}$) by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), and dispersion study.
2. Preparation of polymeric dope solutions composed of 20 wt% PES and 0.1 wt% of Fe_3O_4 of different particle sizes by conventional blending method.
3. Fabrication of PES hollow fiber membranes with and without inorganic particles by non-solvent induced separation (NIPS) technique at constant spinning conditions (air gap: 10 cm, dope extrusion rate: 6 mL/min, bore fluid rate: 2.0 mL/min, and spinneret i.d/o.d.: 0.8 mm/1.2 mm).
4. Characterization of fabricated PES hollow fiber membranes by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), energy dispersive x-ray (EDX), thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), contact angle, membrane porosity, and tensile strength.
5. Evaluation of fabricated PES hollow fiber membranes filtration performance with respect to pure water flux and solute rejection against 500 ppm bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 1 bar to identify the best particle size of Fe_3O_4 .
6. Surface functionalization of best particle size of Fe_3O_4 with PDA through direct addition of coating solution containing dopamine and Tris buffer (10mM, pH 8.5) to produce Fe_3O_4 /PDA particles.
7. Secondary surface modification of Fe_3O_4 /PDA particles with (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) and sulfonic acid to obtain amine-modified polydopamine-coated Fe_3O_4 particles (Fe_3O_4 /PDA-Amine) and sulfonic acid-modified polydopamine-coated Fe_3O_4 particles (Fe_3O_4 /PDA-Sulf), respectively.

8. Characterization of surface-functionalized Fe₃O₄ particles by TEM, BET surface area analysis, FTIR, XRD, and dispersion study.
9. Preparation of PES hollow fiber membranes dope solution using different types of surface-functionalized Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles at fixed loading (0.1 wt%) by conventional blending method.
10. Fabrication of PES hollow fiber membranes by NIPS technique at fixed spinning conditions (air gap: 10 cm, dope extrusion rate: 6 mL/min, bore fluid rate: 2.0 mL/min, and spinneret i.d/o.d.: 0.8 mm/1.2 mm).
11. Characterization of fabricated PES hollow fiber membranes by FESEM, EDX, TGA, FTIR, contact angle, membrane porosity, and tensile strength.
12. Evaluation of fabricated PES hollow fiber membranes filtration performance with respect to pure water flux and solute rejection against 500 ppm BSA and river water at 1 bar.
13. Assessment of fouling resistance of selected PES hollow fiber membranes with respect to flux stability and flux recovery rate.

1.5 Significance of Study

This study intends to better understand the underlying principle of the fabrication of UF membranes with enhanced antifouling properties for water treatment by considering the changes in the morphological structure of membrane and separation performance due to the addition of nanoparticles into the polymer matrix. It is known that the extent of fouling depends on the surface roughness, hydrophilicity, and morphological structure of a membrane. Therefore, hydrophilic membranes with excellent fouling resistance can be fabricated by identifying the ideal properties of UF membrane. Currently, works related to the effects of particle sizes on membrane properties are limited to other nanoparticles such as TiO₂. The findings of this study are expected to provide insight into the influences of particle sizes of Fe₃O₄ on the

properties of PES hollow fiber membranes. This study would also fill the knowledge gap on the use of surface-functionalized Fe_3O_4 in improving the hydrophilicity and antifouling properties of the resultant membranes. The outcome of this research would be helpful to comprehend further the application of nanocomposite UF membranes for wastewater treatment, which could offer great potential for the broader utilization of UF membranes.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Rahman, H. (2014). Water Shortage in Malaysia: Again? *Malaysian Consumer Law Journal*, 1, 115-128.
- Abdullah, N., Yusof, N., Abu Shah, M. H., Wan Ikhsan, S. N., Ng, Z.-C., Maji, S., Lau, W. J., Jaafar, J., Ismail, A. F., and Ariga, K. (2019). Hydrous ferric oxide nanoparticles hosted porous polyethersulfone adsorptive membrane: chromium (VI) adsorptive studies and its applicability for water/wastewater treatment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(20), 20386-20399.
- Agbaje, T. A., Al-Gharabli, S., Mavukkandy, M. O., Kujawa, J., and Arafat, H. A. (2018). PVDF/magnetite blend membranes for enhanced flux and salt rejection in membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 436, 69-80.
- Ahmad, A. L., Abdulkarim, A. A., Mohd Shafie, Z. M. H., and Ooi, B. S. (2017). Fouling evaluation of PES/ZnO mixed matrix hollow fiber membrane. *Desalination*, 403, 53-63.
- Ahmad, A. L., Otitoju, T. A., and Ooi, B. S. (2019a). Hollow fiber (HF) membrane fabrication: A review on the effects of solution spinning conditions on morphology and performance. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 70, 35-50.
- Ahmad, A. L., Pang, W. Y., Mohd Shafie, Z. M. H., and Zaulkiflee, N. D. (2019b). PES/PVP/TiO₂ mixed matrix hollow fiber membrane with antifouling properties for humic acid removal. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 31, 100827.
- Ahmad, T., Guria, C., and Mandal, A. (2020). A review of oily wastewater treatment using ultrafiltration membrane: A parametric study to enhance the membrane performance. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 36, 101289.
- Akhondi, E., Zamani, F., Tng, K. H., Leslie, G., Krantz, W. B., Fane, A. G., and Chew, J. W. (2017). The Performance and Fouling Control of Submerged Hollow Fiber (HF) Systems: A Review. *Applied Sciences*, 7(8), 765.
- Al-Husaini, I. S., Yusoff, A. R. M., Lau, W. J., Ismail, A. F., Al-Abri, M. Z., and Wirzal, M. D. H. (2019). Iron oxide nanoparticles incorporated

- polyethersulfone electrospun nanofibrous membranes for effective oil removal. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 148, 142-154.
- Amoozadeh, A., Golian, S., and Rahmani, S. (2015). TiO₂-coated magnetite nanoparticle-supported sulfonic acid as a new, efficient, magnetically separable and reusable heterogeneous solid acid catalyst for multicomponent reactions [10.1039/C5RA06515A]. *RSC Advances*, 5(57), 45974-45982.
- Ansari, S., Bagheripour, E., Moghadassi, A., and Hosseini, S. M. (2016). Fabrication of mixed matrix poly(phenylene ether-ether sulfone)-based nanofiltration membrane modified by Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles for water desalination. *Journal of Polymer Engineering*.
- Asatekin, A., Kang, S., Elimelech, M., and Mayes, A. M. (2007). Anti-fouling ultrafiltration membranes containing polyacrylonitrile-graft-poly(ethylene oxide) comb copolymer additives. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 298(1), 136-146.
- Ayyaru, S., and Ahn, Y.-H. (2017). Application of sulfonic acid group functionalized graphene oxide to improve hydrophilicity, permeability, and antifouling of PVDF nanocomposite ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 525, 210-219.
- Bagheripour, E., Moghadassi, A. R., and Hosseini, S. M. (2017). Incorporated polyacrylic acid-co-Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles mixed matrix polyethersulfone based nanofiltration membrane in desalination process. *International Journal of Engineering - Transactions C: Aspects*, 30, 821-829.
- Bagheripour, E., Moghadassi, A. R., Parvizian, F., Hosseini, S. M., and Van der Bruggen, B. (2019). Tailoring the separation performance and fouling reduction of PES based nanofiltration membrane by using a PVA/Fe₃O₄ coating layer. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 144, 418-428.
- Baker, R. W. (2004). Ultrafiltration. In *Membrane technology and applications* (2nd ed., pp. 237-274). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Bankura, K. P., Maity, D., Mollick, M. M., Mondal, D., Bhowmick, B., Bain, M. K., Chakraborty, A., Sarkar, J., Acharya, K., and Chattopadhyay, D. (2012). Synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of dextran stabilized silver nanoparticles in aqueous medium. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 89(4), 1159-1165.

- Bardestani, R., Patience, G. S., and Kaliaguine, S. (2019). Experimental methods in chemical engineering: specific surface area and pore size distribution measurements—BET, BJH, and DFT. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 97(11), 2781-2791.
- Bet-Moushoul, E., Mansourpanah, Y., Farhadi, K., and Tabatabaei, M. (2016). TiO₂ nanocomposite based polymeric membranes: A review on performance improvement for various applications in chemical engineering processes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 283, 29-46.
- Bildyukevich, A., Plisko, T., and Usosky, V. (2016). The formation of polysulfone hollow fiber membranes by the free fall spinning method. *Petroleum Chemistry*, 56, 379-400.
- Blaney, L. (2007). Magnetite (Fe₃O₄): properties, synthesis, and applications. *The Lehigh Review*, 15, 33-81.
- Bui, X. T., Chiemchaisri, C., Fujioka, T., and Varjani, S. (2019). Introduction to Recent Advances in Water and Wastewater Treatment Technologies. In C. C. Bui X.T., Fujioka T., Varjani S. (Ed.), *Water and Wastewater Treatment Technologies. Energy, Environment, and Sustainability*. (1 ed., pp. 3-12). Springer.
- Carbon nanotube, single-walled carbon ≥85 %, >70% (carbon as SWNT), diam. 1.3-2.3 nm / 308068-56-6*. (2021). Sigma Aldrich. Retrieved 3 July from <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/US/en/product/aldrich/805033?context=product>
- Chai, Mahmoudi, E., Mohammad, A. W., and Choy, P. Y. (2020a). Iron oxide decorated graphene oxide embedded polysulfone mixed-matrix membrane: Comparison of different types mixed-matrix membranes on antifouling and performance. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 463, 012174.
- Chai, P. V., Mahmoudi, E., Mohammad, A. W., and Choy, P. Y. (2020b). Iron oxide decorated graphene oxide embedded polysulfone mixed-matrix membrane: Comparison of different types mixed-matrix membranes on antifouling and performance. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 463, 012174.

- Chai, P. V., Mahmoudi, E., Teow, Y. H., and Mohammad, A. W. (2017). Preparation of novel polysulfone-Fe₃O₄/GO mixed-matrix membrane for humic acid rejection. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 15, 83-88.
- Cirillo, A. I., Tomaiuolo, G., and Guido, S. (2021). Membrane Fouling Phenomena in Microfluidic Systems: From Technical Challenges to Scientific Opportunities. *Micromachines*, 12(7), 820.
- Cui, Z. F., Jiang, Y., and Field, R. W. (2010). Chapter 1 - Fundamentals of Pressure-Driven Membrane Separation Processes. In Z. F. Cui & H. S. Muralidhara (Eds.), *Membrane Technology* (pp. 1-18). Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Darabi, R. R., Peyravi, M., Jahanshahi, M., and Qhoreyshi Amiri, A. A. (2017). Decreasing ICP of forward osmosis (TFN-FO) membrane through modifying PES-Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite substrate. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 34(8), 2311-2324.
- Daraei, P., Madaeni, S. S., Ghaemi, N., Khadivi, M. A., Astinchap, B., and Moradian, R. (2013). Fouling resistant mixed matrix polyethersulfone membranes blended with magnetic nanoparticles: Study of magnetic field induced casting. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 109, 111-121.
- Das, R., Ali, M. E., Hamid, S. B. A., Ramakrishna, S., and Chowdhury, Z. Z. (2014). Carbon nanotube membranes for water purification: A bright future in water desalination. *Desalination*, 336, 97-109.
- Díez, B., and Rosal, R. (2020). A critical review of membrane modification techniques for fouling and biofouling control in pressure-driven membrane processes. *Nanotechnology for Environmental Engineering*, 5(2), 15.
- Dong, X., Lu, D., Harris, T. A. L., and Escobar, I. C. (2021). Polymers and Solvents Used in Membrane Fabrication: A Review Focusing on Sustainable Membrane Development. *Membranes*, 11(5), 309.
- Du, X., Shi, Y., Jegatheesan, V., and Haq, I. U. (2020). A Review on the Mechanism, Impacts and Control Methods of Membrane Fouling in MBR System. *Membranes*, 10(2), 24.
- Duong, H., Cong Nguyen, N., Uan, D., and Son, L. (2017). Membrane processes and their potential applications for fresh water provision in Vietnam.
- Dzinun, H., Ichikawa, Y., Mitsuhiro, H., and Zhang, Q. (2020). Efficient immobilised TiO₂ in polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane for photocatalytic

- degradation of methylene blue. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 6(2), 188-195.
- Fane, A. G., Wang, R., and Jia, Y. (2011). Membrane Technology: Past, Present and Future. In L. K. Wang, J. P. Chen, Y. Hung, & N. K. Shamas (Eds.), *Membrane and Desalination Technologies. Handbook of Environmental Engineering* (Vol. 13). Humana Press.
- Feng, C. Y., Khulbe, K. C., Matsuura, T., and Ismail, A. F. (2013). Recent progresses in polymeric hollow fiber membrane preparation, characterization and applications. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 111, 43-71.
- Gao, Y. (2017). Correlated Effect of Air Gap and PVP Concentration on the Structure and Performance of PVDF Ultrafiltration Hollow Fiber Membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 3(2), 78-83.
- Ghaemi, N., Madaeni, S. S., Daraei, P., Rajabi, H., Zinadini, S., Alizadeh, A., Heydari, R., Beygzadeh, M., and Ghouzivad, S. (2015). Polyethersulfone membrane enhanced with iron oxide nanoparticles for copper removal from water: Application of new functionalized Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 263, 101-112.
- Ghazanfari, M. R., Kashefi, M., Shams, S. F., and Jaafari, M. R. (2016). Perspective of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles role in biomedical applications. *Biochemistry Research International*, 7840161.
- Gheraout, D. (2020). Water Treatment Coagulation: Dares and Trends. *Open Access Library Journal*, Vol.07No.08, 18, Article 102180.
- Gholami, A., Moghadassi, A. R., Hosseini, S. M., Shabani, S., and Gholami, F. (2014). Preparation and characterization of polyvinyl chloride based nanocomposite nanofiltration-membrane modified by iron oxide nanoparticles for lead removal from water. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 20(4), 1517-1522.
- Goh, P. S., and Ismail, A. F. (2018). A review on inorganic membranes for desalination and wastewater treatment. *Desalination*, 434, 60-80.
- Goh, P. S., Ng, B. C., Ismail, A. F., Sanip, S. M., Aziz, M., and Kassim, M. A. (2011). Effect of Dispersed Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes on Mixed Matrix Membrane for O₂/N₂ Separation. *Separation Science and Technology*, 46(8), 1250-1261.

- Goh, P. S., Ng, B. C., Lau, W. J., and Ismail, A. F. (2015). Inorganic nanomaterials in polymeric ultrafiltration membranes for water treatment. *Separation & Purification Reviews*, 44(3), 216-249.
- Goh, P. S., Wong, T. W., Lim, J. W., Ismail, A. F., and Hilal, N. (2020). Chapter 9 - Innovative and sustainable membrane technology for wastewater treatment and desalination application. In C. M. Galanakis (Ed.), *Innovation Strategies in Environmental Science* (pp. 291-319). Elsevier.
- Gohari, B., and Abu-Zahra, N. (2018). Polyethersulfone Membranes Prepared with 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane Modified Alumina Nanoparticles for Cu(II) Removal from Water. *ACS omega*, 3(8), 10154-10162.
- Gois, G., Nepomuceno, N., França, C., Almeida, Y., Padrón Hernández, E., Oliveira, J., Oliveira, M., Medeiros, E., and Santos, A. (2018). Influence of morphology and dispersion stability of CNC modified with ethylene oxide derivatives on mechanical properties of PLA-based nanocomposites. *Polymer Composites*, 40.
- Graphene oxide sheets*. (2021). Sigma Aldrich. Retrieved 3 July from <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/US/en/product/aldrich/763713?context=product>
- Guillen, G. R., Pan, Y., Li, M., and Hoek, E. M. V. (2011). Preparation and Characterization of Membranes Formed by Nonsolvent Induced Phase Separation: A Review. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 50(7), 3798-3817.
- Homayoonfal, M., Mehrnia, M. R., Mojtahedi, Y. M., and Ismail, A. F. (2013). Effect of metal and metal oxide nanoparticle impregnation route on structure and liquid filtration performance of polymeric nanocomposite membranes: a comprehensive review. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 51(16-18), 3295-3316.
- Hosseini, S. M., Afshari, M., Fazlali, A. R., Koudzari Farahani, S., Bandehali, S., Van der Bruggen, B., and Bagheripour, E. (2019). Mixed matrix PES-based nanofiltration membrane decorated by (Fe₃O₄-polyvinylpyrrolidone) composite nanoparticles with intensified antifouling and separation characteristics. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 147, 390-398.
- Hosseini, S. S., Fakharian Torbati, S., Alaei Shahmirzadi, M. A., and Tavangar, T. (2018). Fabrication, characterization, and performance evaluation of

- polyethersulfone/TiO₂ nanocomposite ultrafiltration membranes for produced water treatment. *Polymers for Advanced Technologies*, 29(10), 2619-2631.
- Hou, X., Huang, L., and Zhou, P. (2021). Synergetic interaction of magnetic field and loaded magnetite for enhanced acetate production in biocathode of microbial electrosynthesis system. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 46(10), 7183-7194.
- Huang, Zhang, K. S., Wang, K., Xie, Z. L., Ladewig, B., and Wang, H. T. (2012). Fabrication of polyethersulfone-mesoporous silica nanocomposite ultrafiltration membranes with antifouling properties. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 423-424, 362-370.
- Huang, Y., Xiao, C., Huang, Q., Liu, H., and Zhao, J. (2021). Progress on polymeric hollow fiber membrane preparation technique from the perspective of green and sustainable development. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 403, 126295.
- Huang, Y., Xiao, C. F., Huang, Q. L., Liu, H. L., Hao, J. Q., and Song, L. (2018). Magnetic field induced orderly arrangement of Fe₃O₄/GO composite particles for preparation of Fe₃O₄/GO/PVDF membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 548, 184-193.
- Huang, Z. Q., Chen, Y. S., Chen, Z. W., Nie, L. H., Zhang, Z., Xu, H. T., and Zhou, K. M. (2013). Effect of the mass ratio of Fe₃O₄/PAA on separation properties and the extractive amount of Fe₃O₄ during the formation of Fe₃O₄-PES ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 129(6), 3558-3565.
- Irfan, M., Idris, A., and Khairuddin, N. F. M. (2016). Removal of heavy metal ions from its low concentrated lake water via LiBr/PES hollow fiber membrane module system. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(43), 20388-20400.
- Iron (II,III) oxide powder, <5 μm. (2021). Sigma Aldrich. Retrieved 3 July from <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/US/en/product/aldrich/310069?context=product>
- Ishak, N. I. I., Chieh, D. C. J., and Seng, O. B. (2021). Decontaminate river water via portable gravity-driven ultrafiltration (GDU) unit: Fouling and cleaning efficiencies studies. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(5), 106213.

- Ismail, N. H., Salleh, W. N. W., Ismail, A. F., Hasbullah, H., Yusof, N., Aziz, F., and Jaafar, J. (2020). Hydrophilic polymer-based membrane for oily wastewater treatment: A review. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 233, 116007.
- Jasim, N. A. (2020). The design for wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) with GPS X modelling. *Cogent Engineering*, 7(1), 1723782.
- Jeon, S. I., Rajabzadeh, S., Okamura, R., Ishigami, T., Hasegawa, S., Kato, N., and Matsuyama, H. (2016). The Effect of Membrane Material and Surface Pore Size on the Fouling Properties of Submerged Membranes. *Water*, 8, 602.
- Jeong, U., Teng, X., Wang, Y., Yang, H., and Xia, Y. (2007). Superparamagnetic colloids: controlled synthesis and niche applications. *Advanced Materials*, 19(1), 33-60.
- Jian, P., Yahui, H., Yang, W., and Linlin, L. (2006). Preparation of polysulfone-Fe₃O₄ composite ultrafiltration membrane and its behavior in magnetic field. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 284(1), 9-16.
- Jiang, S., Li, Y., and Ladewig, B. P. (2017). A review of reverse osmosis membrane fouling and control strategies. *Science of The Total Environment*, 595, 567-583.
- Jin, P., Huang, C., Li, J., Shen, Y., and Wang, L. (2017). Surface modification of poly(vinylidene fluoride) hollow fibre membranes for biogas purification in a gas-liquid membrane contactor system. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(11), 171321.
- Judd, S., and Judd, C. (2011). *Principles and applications of membrane bioreactors for water and wastewater treatment*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Kajekar, A. J., Dodamani, B. M., Isloor, A. M., Karim, Z. A., Cheer, N. B., Ismail, A. F., and Shilton, S. J. (2015). Preparation and characterization of novel PSf/PVP/PANI-nanofiber nanocomposite hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes and their possible applications for hazardous dye rejection. *Desalination*, 365, 117-125.
- Khanzada, N. K., Farid, M. U., Kharraz, J. A., Choi, J., Tang, C. Y., Nghiem, L. D., Jang, A., and An, A. K. (2020). Removal of organic micropollutants using advanced membrane-based water and wastewater treatment: A review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 598, 117672.
- Kochkodan, V., and Hilal, N. (2015). A comprehensive review on surface modified polymer membranes for biofouling mitigation. *Desalination*, 356, 187-207.

- Koushkbaghi, S., Zakialamdari, A., Pishnamazi, M., Ramandi, H. F., Aliabadi, M., and Irani, M. (2018). Aminated-Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles filled chitosan/PVA/PES dual layers nanofibrous membrane for the removal of Cr(VI) and Pb(II) ions from aqueous solutions in adsorption and membrane processes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 337, 169-182.
- Lakhotia, S. R., Mukhopadhyay, M., and Kumari, P. (2019). Iron oxide (FeO) nanoparticles embedded thin-film nanocomposite nanofiltration (NF) membrane for water treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 211, 98-107.
- Lalia, B. S., Kochkodan, V., Hashaikh, R., and Hilal, N. (2013). A review on membrane fabrication: Structure, properties and performance relationship. *Desalination*, 326, 77-95.
- Le-Clech, P. (2015). Protein Fouling Mechanisms. In E. Drioli & L. Giorno (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Membranes* (pp. 1-2). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Lei, X., Lei, Y., Zhang, X., and Yang, X. (2021). Treating disinfection byproducts with UV or solar irradiation and in UV advanced oxidation processes: A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 408, 124435.
- Li, K. (2007). Ceramic Membranes and Membrane Processes. In *Ceramic Membranes for Separation and Reaction* (pp. 1-20).
- Li, X., Jiang, L., and Li, H. (2018). Application of Ultrafiltration Technology in Water Treatment. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 186, 012009.
- Li, Y. S., Church, J. S., and Woodhead, A. L. (2012). Infrared and Raman spectroscopic studies on iron oxide magnetic nano-particles and their surface modifications. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 324(8), 1543-1550.
- Liang, R., Hu, A., Hatat, M., and Zhou, N. (2014). Fundamentals on Adsorption, Membrane Filtration, and Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water Treatment. In (Vol. 22, pp. 1-45).
- Liao, C., Yu, P., Zhao, J., Wang, L., and Luo, Y. (2011). Preparation and characterization of NaY/PVDF hybrid ultrafiltration membranes containing silver ions as antibacterial materials. *Desalination*, 272(1), 59-65.

- Liu, J., Li, Y., Wang, X., Zhang, Q., and Yang, J. (2011). Preparation and properties of nano-composite films based on polyethersulfone and surface-modified SiO₂. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part B*, 50(12), 2356-2365.
- Liu, X., Chen, Y., Deng, Z., and Yang, Y. (2019). High-performance nanofiltration membrane for dyes removal: blending Fe₃O₄-HNTs nanocomposites into poly(vinylidene fluoride) matrix. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 1-10.
- Maphutha, S., Moothi, K., Meyyappan, M., and Iyuke, S. (2013). A carbon nanotube-infused polysulfone membrane with polyvinyl alcohol layer for treating oil-containing waste water. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 1509.
- Martín, M. M. (2016). Chapter 4 - Water. In M. M. Martín (Ed.), *Industrial Chemical Process Analysis and Design* (pp. 125-197). Elsevier.
- Mehrnia, M. R., and Homayoonfal, M. (2016). Fouling mitigation behavior of magnetic responsive nanocomposite membranes in a magnetic membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 520, 881-894.
- Mohammad, A. W., Ng, C. Y., Lim, Y. P., and Ng, G. H. (2012). Ultrafiltration in Food Processing Industry: Review on Application, Membrane Fouling, and Fouling Control. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 5(4), 1143-1156.
- Mollahosseini, A., Rahimpour, A., Jahamshahi, M., Peyravi, M., and Khavarpour, M. (2012). The effect of silver nanoparticle size on performance and antibacteriability of polysulfone ultrafiltration membrane. *Desalination*, 306, 41-50.
- Mosaffa, E., Ghafuri, H., and Esmaili Zand, H. R. (2019). Improvement on physical properties of polyethersulfone membranes modified by poly(1-vinylpyrrolidone) grafted magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry*, 33(1), 4639.
- Moslehyani, A., Ismail, A. F., Matsuura, T., Rahman, M. A., and Goh, P. S. (2019). Chapter 3 - Recent Progresses of Ultrafiltration (UF) Membranes and Processes in Water Treatment. In A. F. Ismail, M. A. Rahman, M. H. D. Othman, & T. Matsuura (Eds.), *Membrane Separation Principles and Applications* (pp. 85-110). Elsevier.
- Muhamad, M. S., Nazri, N. A. M., Lau, W. J., and Ismail, A. F. (2018). Advanced Materials for Polymeric Ultrafiltration Membranes Fabrication and

- Modification. In S. Gray, T. Tsuru, Y. Cohen, & W. J. Lau (Eds.), *Advanced Materials for Membrane Fabrication and Modification* (pp. 52). CRC Press.
- Muro, C., Riera, F., and Díaz-Nava, C. (2012). Membrane Separation Process in Wastewater Treatment of Food Industry. In.
- Nady, N., Franssen, M. C. R., Zuilhof, H., Eldin, M. S. M., Boom, R., and Schroën, K. (2011). Modification methods for poly(arylsulfone) membranes: A mini-review focusing on surface modification. *Desalination*, 275(1), 1-9.
- Naidoo, S., and Olaniran, A. O. (2013). Treated wastewater effluent as a source of microbial pollution of surface water resources. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 11(1), 249-270.
- Nazri, N. A. M., Lau, W. J., and Ismail, A. F. (2015). Improving water permeability and anti-fouling property of polyacrylonitrile-based hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes by surface modification with polyacrylonitrile-g-poly(vinyl alcohol) graft copolymer. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 32(9), 1853-1863.
- Ng, L. Y., Mohammad, A. W., Leo, C. P., and Hilal, N. (2013). Polymeric membranes incorporated with metal/metal oxide nanoparticles: A comprehensive review. *Desalination*, 308, 15-33.
- Noormohamadi, A., Homayoonfal, M., Mehrnia, M. R., and Davar, F. (2020). Employing magnetism of Fe₃O₄ and hydrophilicity of ZrO₂ to mitigate biofouling in magnetic MBR by Fe₃O₄-coated ZrO₂/PAN nanocomposite membrane. *Environmental Technology*, 41(20), 2683-2704.
- Noqta, O. A., Aziz, A. A., Usman, I. A., and Bououdina, M. (2019). Recent advances in iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs): synthesis and surface modification for biomedical applications. *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism*, 32(4), 779-795.
- Obotey Ezugbe, E., and Rathilal, S. (2020). Membrane Technologies in Wastewater Treatment: A Review. *Membranes*, 10(5), 89.
- Ochoa, N. A., Masuelli, M., and Marchese, J. (2003). Effect of hydrophilicity on fouling of an emulsified oil wastewater with PVDF/PMMA membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 226(1), 203-211.
- Ong, C. S., Goh, P. S., Lau, W. J., Misdan, N., and Ismail, A. F. (2016). Nanomaterials for biofouling and scaling mitigation of thin film composite membrane: A review. *Desalination*, 393, 2-15.

- Ong, C. S., Lau, W. J., Goh, P. S., Ng, B. C., Matsuura, T., and Ismail, A. F. (2014). Effect of PVP molecular weights on the properties of PVDF-TiO₂ composite membrane for oily wastewater treatment process [Article]. *Separation Science and Technology*, 49(15), 2303-2314.
- Pendergast, M. M., and Hoek, E. M. V. (2011). A review of water treatment membrane nanotechnologies [10.1039/C0EE00541J]. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 4(6), 1946-1971.
- Qi, Z., Zhaoliang, C., and Weixing, L. (2020). High permeability poly(vinylidene fluoride) ultrafiltration membrane doped with polydopamine modified TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 28(12), 3152-3158.
- Quemener, D., Upadhyaya, L., Semsarilar, M., and Deratani, A. (2017). Nanocomposite membranes with magnesium, titanium, iron and silver nanoparticles - a review. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 3(3), 187-198.
- Rahimi, Z., Zinatizadeh, A. A., and Zinadini, S. (2016). Milk processing wastewater treatment in a bioreactor followed by an antifouling O-carboxymethyl chitosan modified Fe₃O₄/PVDF ultrafiltration membrane. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 38, 103-112.
- Rahimi, Z., Zinatizadeh, A. A., and Zinadini, S. (2019). Membrane bioreactors troubleshooting through the preparation of a high antifouling PVDF ultrafiltration mixed-matrix membrane blended with O-carboxymethyl chitosan-Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. *Environmental Technology*, 40(26), 3523-3533.
- Rajabzadeh, S., Ogawa, D., Ohmukai, Y., Zhou, Z., Ishigami, T., and Matsuyama, H. (2015). Preparation of a PVDF hollow fiber blend membrane via thermally induced phase separation (TIPS) method using new synthesized zwitterionic copolymer. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 54(11), 2911-2919.
- Ramamurthy, S. (2016). *Ultrafiltration Membranes: Technologies and Global Markets* (MST044D).
- Rambabu, K., and Velu, S. (2014). Iron nanoparticles blended polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membranes for enhanced metal ion removal in wastewater treatment. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, 6, 4468-4470.
- Rambabu, K., and Velu, S. (2015). Polyethylene glycol and iron oxide nanoparticles blended polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membrane for enhanced performance in dye removal studies. *E-Polymers*, 15, 151-159.

- Rameetse, M. S., Aberefa, O., and Daramola, M. O. (2020). Effect of loading and functionalization of carbon nanotube on the performance of blended polysulfone/polyethersulfone membrane during treatment of wastewater containing phenol and benzene. *Membranes*, 10(3), 54.
- Ramezanpour, M., Raeisi, S. N., Shahidi, S.-A., Ramezanpour, S., and Seidi, S. (2019). Polydopamine-functionalized magnetic iron oxide for the determination of trace levels of lead in bovine milk. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 570, 5-12.
- Rowley, J., and Abu-Zahra, N. H. (2019). Synthesis and characterization of polyethersulfone membranes impregnated with (3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane) APTES-Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles for As(V) removal from water. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 7(1), 102875.
- Ruhal, R., and Choudhury, B. (2012). Membrane Separation and Design. In *Handbook of Food Process Design* (pp. 769-788).
- Ryu, J. H., Messersmith, P. B., and Lee, H. (2018). Polydopamine Surface Chemistry: A Decade of Discovery. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 10(9), 7523-7540.
- Said, N., Hasbullah, H., Abidin, M. N. Z., Ismail, A. F., Goh, P. S., Othman, M. H. D., Kadir, S. H. S. A., Kamal, F., Abdullah, M. S., and Ng, B. C. (2019). Facile modification of polysulfone hollow-fiber membranes via the incorporation of well-dispersed iron oxide nanoparticles for protein purification. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 136(21), 47502.
- Said, N., Hasbullah, H., Ismail, A. F., Othman, M. H. D., Goh, P. S., Zainol Abidin, M. N., Sheikh Abdul Kadir, S. H., Kamal, F., Abdullah, M. S., and Ng, B. C. (2017). Enhanced hydrophilic polysulfone hollow fiber membranes with addition of iron oxide nanoparticles. *Polymer International*, 66(11), 1424-1429.
- Sarno, M., Ponticorvo, E., and Cirillo, C. (2016). High surface area monodispersed Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles alone and on physical exfoliated graphite for improved supercapacitors. *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, 99, 138-147.
- Saxena, A., Tripathi, B. P., Kumar, M., and Shahi, V. K. (2009). Membrane-based techniques for the separation and purification of proteins: An overview. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 145(1), 1-22.

- Shen, L., Huang, Z., Liu, Y., Li, R., Xu, Y., Jakaj, G., and Lin, H. (2020). Polymeric Membranes Incorporated With ZnO Nanoparticles for Membrane Fouling Mitigation: A Brief Review [Review]. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 8(224).
- Shen, L., Li, B., and Qiao, Y. (2018). Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles in Targeted Drug/Gene Delivery Systems. *Materials (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11(2), 324.
- Smarzewska, S., and Morawska, K. (2021). 1 - Wastewater treatment technologies. In R. O. A. Rahman & C. M. Hussain (Eds.), *Handbook of Advanced Approaches Towards Pollution Prevention and Control* (pp. 3-32). Elsevier.
- Song, H. J., Jo, Y. J., Kim, S.-Y., Lee, J., and Kim, C. K. (2014). Characteristics of ultrafiltration membranes fabricated from polysulfone and polymer-grafted silica nanoparticles: Effects of the particle size and grafted polymer on the membrane performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 466, 173-182.
- Sotomayor, F. J., Cychosz, K. A., and Thommes, M. (2018). Characterization of Micro / Mesoporous Materials by Physisorption : Concepts and Case Studies.
- Sterlitech. (2020). *Ultrafiltration (UF) Membranes - Flat Sheet Membranes*. Retrieved January, 03 from <https://www.sterlitech.com/ultrafiltration-uf-membrane.html>
- Strathmann, H. (2001). Membrane separation processes: Current relevance and future opportunities. *AIChE Journal*, 47(5), 1077-1087.
- Su, Y., Chen, C., Li, Y., and Li, J. (2007). Preparation of PVDF Membranes via TIPS Method: The Effect of Mixed Diluents on Membrane Structure and Mechanical Property. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A*, 44(3), 305-313.
- Subramaniam, M. N., Goh, P. S., Sevgili, E., Karaman, M., Lau, W. J., and Ismail, A. F. (2020). Hydroxypropyl methacrylate thin film coating on polyvinylidene fluoride hollow fiber membranes via initiated chemical vapor deposition. *European Polymer Journal*, 122, 109360.
- Subramaniam, M. N., Goh, P. S., Tan, Y. H., Chiong, S. J., Lau, W. J., Ng, B. C., Ismail, A. F., Chuah, J. Y., and Lai, S. O. (2019). Antifouling improvement of polyethersulfone membrane incorporated with negatively charged zinc-iron oxide for AT-POME colour removal. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 44(6), 5571-5580.
- Sutherland, K. (2009). Membrane filtration: What's new in membrane filtration?
- Tan, F., Liu, M., and Ren, S. (2017a). Preparation of polydopamine-coated graphene oxide/Fe₃O₄ imprinted nanoparticles for selective removal of fluoroquinolone antibiotics in water. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 5735.

- Tan, X., and Rodrigue, D. (2019). A Review on Porous Polymeric Membrane Preparation. Part I: Production Techniques with Polysulfone and Poly (Vinylidene Fluoride). *Polymers*, 11(7), 1160.
- Tan, Y. H., Goh, P. S., Ismail, A. F., Ng, B. C., and Lai, G. S. (2017b). Decolourization of aerobically treated palm oil mill effluent (AT-POME) using polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) ultrafiltration membrane incorporated with coupled zinc-iron oxide nanoparticles. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 308, 359-369.
- Tasselli, F. (2015). Non-solvent Induced Phase Separation Process (NIPS) for Membrane Preparation. In E. Drioli & L. Giorno (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Membranes* (pp. 1-3). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Thommes, M., Kaneko, K., Neimark, A. V., Olivier, J. P., Rodriguez-Reinoso, F., Rouquerol, J., and Sing, K. S. W. (2015). Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 87(9-10), 1051-1069.
- Toroghi, M., Raisi, A., and Aroujalian, A. (2014). Preparation and characterization of polyethersulfone/silver nanocomposite ultrafiltration membrane for antibacterial applications. *Polymers for Advanced Technologies*, 25(7), 711-722.
- Uribe Madrid, S., Pal, U., Kang, Y., Kim, J., Kwon, H., and Kim, J. (2015). Fabrication of Fe₃O₄@mSiO₂ Core-Shell Composite Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery Applications. *Nanoscale Research Letters*, 10.
- Vatanpour, V., Madaeni, S. S., Khataee, A. R., Salehi, E., Zinadini, S., and Monfared, H. A. (2012). TiO₂ embedded mixed matrix PES nanocomposite membranes: Influence of different sizes and types of nanoparticles on antifouling and performance. *Desalination*, 292, 19-29.
- Vatanpour, V., Shahsavari, S., Khorshidi, S., and Masteri-Farahani, M. (2019). A novel antifouling ultrafiltration membranes prepared from percarboxylic acid functionalized SiO₂ bound Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle (SCMNP-COOH)/polyethersulfone nanocomposite for BSA separation and dye removal [Article]. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 94(4), 1341-1353.
- Veisi, H., Taheri, S., and Hemmati, S. (2016). Preparation of polydopamine sulfamic acid-functionalized magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles with a core/shell nanostructure as heterogeneous and recyclable nanocatalysts for the

- acetylation of alcohols, phenols, amines and thiols under solvent-free conditions [10.1039/C6GC01975G]. *Green Chemistry*, 18(23), 6337-6348.
- Vorontsov, A. V., and Tsybulya, S. V. (2018). Influence of nanoparticles size on XRD patterns for small monodisperse nanoparticles of CuO and TiO₂ anatase. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57(7), 2526-2536.
- Wan, X., Zhan, Y., Long, Z., Zeng, G., Ren, Y., and He, Y. (2017). High-performance magnetic poly (arylene ether nitrile) nanocomposites: co-modification of Fe₃O₄ via mussel inspired poly(dopamine) and amino functionalized silane KH550. *Applied Surface Science*, 425, 905-914.
- Wang, Zheng, T., Xiong, R., Wang, P., and Ma, J. (2019a). Strong improvement of reverse osmosis polyamide membrane performance by addition of ZIF-8 nanoparticles: Effect of particle size and dispersion in selective layer. *Chemosphere*, 233, 524-531.
- Wang, C., Wu, H., Qu, F., Liang, H., Niu, X., and Li, G. (2016). Preparation and properties of polyvinyl chloride ultrafiltration membranes blended with functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes and MWCNTs/Fe₃O₄ hybrids. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 133(20).
- Wang, D.-M., and Lai, J.-Y. (2013). Recent advances in preparation and morphology control of polymeric membranes formed by nonsolvent induced phase separation. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 2(2), 229-237.
- Wang, H., Lu, Y., Liu, H., Yin, Y., and Liang, J. (2020). Preparation and Application of Magnetic Nano-Solid Acid Catalyst Fe₃O₄-PDA-SO₃H. *Energies*, 13, 1484.
- Wang, H., Pu, X., Zhou, Y., Chen, X., Liao, X., Huang, Z., and Ying, G. (2018). Synthesis of macroporous magnetic Fe₃O₄ microparticles via a novel organic matter assisted open-cell hollow sphere assembly method. *Materials*, 11(9).
- Wang, Z., Lin, J., Zhang, D., Xun, B., Yin, J., Qian, J., Dai, G., Zhang, N., Wen, X., Huang, Y., and Fu, J. (2019b). Porous morphology and mechanical properties of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) hollow fiber membranes governed by ternary-phase inversion. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 579, 180-189.
- Wang, Z., Yu, H., Xia, J., Zhang, F., Li, F., Xia, Y., and Li, Y. (2012). Novel GO-blended PVDF ultrafiltration membranes. *Desalination*, 299, 50-54.
- Wu, W., Wu, Z., Yu, T., Jiang, C., and Kim, W.-S. (2015). Recent progress on magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, surface functional strategies and

- biomedical applications. *Science and Technology of Advanced Materials*, 16(2), 023501.
- WWF. (2021). *Water Scarcity | Threats | WWF*. World Wildlife Fund (WWF). Retrieved 11 July from <https://www.worldwildlife.org/threats/water-scarcity>
- Xu, P., Zeng, G. M., Huang, D. L., Feng, C. L., Hu, S., Zhao, M. H., Lai, C., Wei, Z., Huang, C., Xie, G. X., and Liu, Z. F. (2012). Use of iron oxide nanomaterials in wastewater treatment: a review. *Sci Total Environ*, 424, 1-10.
- Xu, Z., Wu, T., Shi, J., Wang, W., Teng, K., Qian, X., Shan, M., Deng, H., Tian, X., Li, C., and Li, F. (2016). Manipulating migration behavior of magnetic graphene oxide via magnetic field induced casting and phase separation toward high-performance hybrid ultrafiltration membranes. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 8(28), 18418-18429.
- Yavuz, E., Tokalioğlu, Ş., and Patat, Ş. (2018). Core-shell Fe₃O₄ polydopamine nanoparticles as sorbent for magnetic dispersive solid-phase extraction of copper from food samples. *Food Chemistry*, 263, 232-239.
- Yeow, M. L., Liu, Y. T., and Li, K. (2004). Morphological study of poly(vinylidene fluoride) asymmetric membranes: Effects of the solvent, additive, and dope temperature. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 92(3), 1782-1789.
- Zahid, M., Rashid, A., Akram, S., Rehan, Z. A., and Razzaq, W. (2018). A comprehensive review on polymeric nanocomposite membranes for water treatment. *Journal of Membrane Science & Technology*, 08.
- Zainol Abidin, M. N., Goh, P. S., Ismail, A. F., Said, N., Othman, M. H. D., Hasbullah, H., Abdullah, M. S., Ng, B. C., Sheikh Abdul Kadir, S. H., and Kamal, F. (2019). Polysulfone/iron oxide nanoparticles ultrafiltration membrane for adsorptive removal of phosphate from aqueous solution. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 5(1), 20-24.
- Zare, S., and Kargari, A. (2018). 4 - Membrane properties in membrane distillation. In V. G. Gude (Ed.), *Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Desalination Handbook* (pp. 107-156). Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Zarghami, S., Mohammadi, T., and Sadrzadeh, M. (2019). Preparation, characterization and fouling analysis of in-air hydrophilic/underwater oleophobic bio-inspired polydopamine coated PES membranes for oily wastewater treatment. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 582, 402-413.

- Zhang, Zhang, Z., Li, J., and Sui, G. (2019). (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane grafted poly(dopamine)@Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and their epoxy composites for functional application. *Composites Part B-Engineering*, 169, 148-156.
- Zhang, H., Lu, X., Liu, Z., Ma, Z., Wu, S., Li, Z., Kong, X., and Wu, C. (2017). Study of the dual role mechanism of water-soluble additive in low temperature thermally-induced phase separation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 543, 1-9.
- Zhang, M., He, X., Chen, L., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Preparation of IDA-Cu functionalized core-satellite Fe₃O₄/polydopamine/Au magnetic nanocomposites and their application for depletion of abundant protein in bovine blood [10.1039/C0JM01336F]. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 20(47), 10696-10704.
- Zhu, N., Ji, H., Yu, P., Niu, J., Farooq, M. U., Akram, M. W., Udego, I. O., Li, H., and Niu, X. (2018). Surface modification of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials (Basel)*, 8(10).
- Zinadini, S., Vatanpour, V., Zinatizadeh, A. A., Rahimi, M., Rahimi, Z., and Kian, M. (2015). Preparation and characterization of antifouling graphene oxide/polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membrane: Application in MBR for dairy wastewater treatment. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 7, 280-294.
- Zinadini, S., Zinatizadeh, A. A., Rahimi, M., Vatanpour, V., Zangeneh, H., and Beygzadeh, M. (2014). Novel high flux antifouling nanofiltration membranes for dye removal containing carboxymethyl chitosan coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. *Desalination*, 349, 145-154.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal

1. **Nawi, N. S. M.**, Lau, W. J., Yusof, N., Said, N., & Ismail, A.F. (2021). Surface Modification of PES Hollow Fiber Membranes using Iron Oxide Particles for Water Treatment: Does Particle Size Really Matter?. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*. 17(5), 621-635. (SCOPUS)
2. **Nawi, N.S.M.**, Lau, W.J., Yusof, N., & Ismail, A.F. (2022). The Impacts of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles on Membrane Properties for Water and Wastewater Applications: A Review. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*. (IF: 2.334)
3. **Nawi, N.S.M.**, Lau, W.J., Yusof, N., Said, N., & Ismail, A.F. (2022). Enhancing Water Flux and Antifouling Properties of PES Hollow Fiber Membranes via Incorporation of Surface-functionalized Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*. (IF: 3.174)

Conference

1. **Nawi, N.S.M.**, Lau, W.J., & Yusof, N. (2021). Hollow Fiber Membranes Incorporated with Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment, *Regional Congress on Membrane Technology 2020 & Regional Conference Environmental Engineering 2020 (RCOM 2020 & RCEnvE 2020)*, 16-17th January 2021. Virtual Conference.