

INTEGRATED GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
USING MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES

MOHD NUR ASMAWISHAM BIN ALEL

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

INTEGRATED GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
USING MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES

MOHD NUR ASMAWISHAM BIN ALEL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to sincerely thank almighty Allah for all His grants that he bestowed on me. I am thoroughly indebted to everybody who has assisted me in my struggle to achieve my dream of becoming a PhD.

Leading among these, I would like to thank my main supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Rini Asnida Binti Abdullah has given much time, effort, and knowledge to aid in completing this thesis. She has cultivated gratitude for teaching and mentoring students by her example. Thank you for your patience and friendship. I also desire to express my sincere thanks to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Haryati Binti Awang who had encouraged me to obtain my PhD and guided me by her excellent knowledge related to this study.

Special thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kamarudin Bin Ahmad for his unceasing assistance and guidance in the field of academia and the embodiment of this study. Also, my sincere gratitude to Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR), Johor Bahru for giving me the opportunity to conduct this research by providing precious data and study sites.

I am utmost grateful to my parents, my father, Hj. Alel Bin Hj. Aripin and especially to my late mother, Hjh. Ramlah Binti Hj. Siman that had passed away last year, for their unfailing emotional support, their significant role in my life and their numerous sacrifices for me.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my wife, Nor Fikriah Binti Md. Noordin, for her patience and tolerance over the past years. I could not be able to finish this work without your support. Thank you for being with me and has been my best friend and great companion, loved, supported, entertained, encouraged, and helped me get through this agonising period in the most positive way. Thank you to my children, Nur Alisya, Nur Aliyah, Nur Alifah and Muhammad Adrish, for being good kids with your mother when I was studying. Additionally, I would like to thank my mother and father-in-law for their role in encouraging me to finish this piece of work. Finally, I would like to thank all participants of this research for their valuable participation.

ABSTRACT

Due to the complexity of subsurface conditions, several boreholes are required to obtain subsurface information for any proposed project site. Manual interpretation of large datasets from the boreholes occurs cumbersome and time-consuming. Similarly, applying automated geophysical methods such as seismic refraction and/or resistivity surveys to obtain and analyse soil parameters is difficult because no samples can be collected to ascertain the information. Therefore, an effective and efficient approach to gather and interpret a large volume of subsurface data is desirable. The effective and efficient approach can be accomplished by combining the applications of the borehole, geophysical surveys, and Machine Learning (ML). Geophysical surveys are employed to reduce the number of boreholes required so that the overall cost of site investigation can be reduced. Hence, this research aims to develop an intelligent model using Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs) to predict the profiles of soil properties and characteristics, based on boreholes and geophysical investigations data. Five (5) locations in Johor Bahru, Johor, with similar site characteristics and subsurface lithology, were selected for this study. A total of twenty (20) boreholes and laboratory test results were referred to in obtaining the required information such as soil types, Standard Penetration Test number (SPT-N), moist and dry densities, Atterberg's limits, and specific gravity to be analyses in developing the algorithm. Python, a high-level general-purpose programming language, was employed to code the MLAs such as k-Nearest Neighbour (kNN), Random Forest (RF), Neural Network (NN), Linear Regression (LR) and AdaBoost. A total of 5,532,000 datasets were compiled for the prediction analysis and cross-validation to assess the efficiency of the MLAs. Statistical models and incorporated empirical values for soil were proposed utilising the multiple linear regression (MLR) method in order to develop new equations for estimating the SPT-N value. Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Coefficient of Determination (R^2) were employed as the performance metrics to evaluate the differences of MLAs results. For model selection, the lowest values of MSE (3.909), RMSE (1.950) and MAE (0.578) and the highest R^2 (0.987) were considered. The results show that the AdaBoost model was remarkably capable of better predicting the soil parameter values than the other ML models. Thus, it has been shown that the development of such an algorithm will reduce the cumbersome and time-consuming processes in interpreting subsurface data and at the same time, be cost-effective. Predicting the parameters also give better engineering information and descriptions of the sites and their materials.

ABSTRAK

Dengan keadaan subpermukaan yang kompleks, sejumlah lubang jara diperlukan untuk mendapatkan maklumat subpermukaan bagi sesuatu projek yang dicadangkan. Pentafsiran secara manual ke atas lubang jara yang mempunyai set data yang besar adalah rumit dan memakan masa yang lama. Begitu juga dengan penggunaan kaedah geofizik seperti keberintangan elektrik dan/atau pembiasan seismik, untuk mendapatkan dan menganalisis parameter tanah adalah sukar kerana tiada sampel yang nyata boleh dikumpulkan untuk mengesahkan maklumat tersebut. Oleh itu, satu pendekatan yang berkesan dan cekap bagi mengumpul dan mentafsir data subpermukaan yang besar adalah sangat wajar. Satu pendekatan yang berkesan dan cekap boleh dicapai dengan menggabungkan aplikasi lubang jara, survei geofizik permukaan dan Pembelajaran Mesin (ML). Survei geofizik permukaan boleh digunakan untuk mengurangkan bilangan lubang jara yang diperlukan agar kos keseluruhan penyiasatan tapak dapat dikurangkan. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan model pintar menggunakan Algoritma Pembelajaran Mesin (MLAs) untuk meramalkan profil sifat dan ciri tanah, berdasarkan data penyiasatan lubang jara dan geofizik. Pemilihan sebanyak lima (5) lokasi di sekitar Johor Bahru, Johor, dengan ciri tapak dan litologi subpermukaan yang sama, telah dipilih untuk kajian ini. Sejumlah dua puluh (20) lubang jara dan ujian makmal telah dirujuk bagi mendapatkan maklumat yang diperlukan seperti jenis tanah, Ujian Penembusan Piawai (SPT-N), ketumpatan lembap dan kering, had Atterberg, dan graviti tertentu untuk dianalisis dalam membangunkan algoritma tersebut. Python yang merupakan bahasa pengaturcaraan umum peringkat tinggi, telah digunakan untuk mengekodkan MLAs seperti Jiran k-Terdekat (kNN), Hutan Rawak (RF), Rangkaian Neuron (NN), Regresi Linear (LR) dan peningkatan adaptif. Di sini, sebanyak 5,532,000 set data telah dikumpulkan untuk analisis ramalan dan pengesahan silang bagi menilai kecekapan MLAs. Kaedah regresi linear berganda (MLR) telah dicadangkan untuk digabungkan bersama model statistik dan nilai emperikal, bagi mewujudkan persamaan baharu yang boleh menganggarkan nilai SPT-N. Ralat Punca Kuasa Dua (MSE), Min Ralat Punca Kuasa Dua (RMSE), Ralat Mutlak (MAE) dan Pekali Penentuan (R^2) telah digunakan sebagai metrik prestasi untuk menilai perbezaan keputusan MLAs. Bagi pemilihan model, nilai terendah MSE (3.909), RMSE (1.950) dan MAE (0.578) dan R^2 tertinggi (0.987) telah dipertimbangkan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa model AdaBoost mampu meramalkan nilai parameter tanah dengan lebih baik berbanding model ML yang lain. Oleh itu, telah dibuktikan bahawa pembangunan algoritma sedemikian mampu mengurangkan proses yang rumit dan memakan masa dalam mentafsir data subpermukaan dan menjadi lebih kos efektif. Di samping itu, dengan meramal nilai parameter juga dapat memberikan maklumat kejuruteraan dan penerangan yang lebih baik, berkaitan dengan tapak dan bahannya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objective of the Study	5
	1.4 Scope of the Study	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	6
	1.6 Thesis Outline	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Site Investigation	12
	2.3 Geophysical Methods in Subsurface Investigations	14
	2.4 Cost Implications in Site Investigation	17
	2.5 Machine Learning Non-Geoscience Applications	19
	2.6 Machine Learning Geoscience Applications	21
	2.7 Machine Learning	21

2.8	Supervised Versus Unsupervised Learning	23
2.9	Concluding Remarks	25
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	29
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Overview of Research	29
3.3	Location of Study	31
3.4	Site Investigation	34
3.4.1	Data Acquisition	36
3.4.2	Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)	41
3.4.2.1	Investigation Design	42
3.4.2.2	Data Processing and Analysis	45
3.4.2.3	Data Verification and Calibration	47
3.4.3	Seismic Refraction Tomography (SRT)	48
3.4.3.1	Investigation Design	50
3.4.3.2	Data Processing and Analysis	52
3.4.3.3	Data Verification and Calibration	54
3.4.4	Borehole Logs	54
3.5	Computational Model – Python	59
3.5.1	Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs)	60
3.5.2	Data Collection	61
3.5.3	Data Mining (Train-Test)	64
3.5.4	Data Prediction	64
3.5.5	Prediction Performance	65
3.6	Empirical Model	66
3.6.1	Multivariate Analysis	67
3.6.2	Regression Analysis	68
3.6.3	Prediction Expression	69
3.7	Application of the Research	72
3.8	Concluding Remarks	74

CHAPTER 4	GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SITE INVESTIGATION	75
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Geotechnical Field Investigation	76
4.2.1	Surveying of Investigation Points	77
4.2.2	Soils Classification	78
4.2.2.1	Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	78
4.2.2.2	Specific Gravity	79
4.2.2.3	Moisture Content	80
4.2.2.4	Soil Plasticity	82
4.2.2.5	Density	84
4.2.2.6	Particles Size Distribution	85
4.3	Geophysical Field Investigation	88
4.3.1	Geophysical Surveys	88
4.3.1.1	Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)	89
4.3.1.2	Seismic Refraction Tomography (SRT)	96
4.4	Concluding Remarks	102
CHAPTER 5	DEVELOPMENT OF INTELLIGENT MODELS FOR PREDICTION USING MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS	103
5.1	Introduction	103
5.2	Implementation of Machine Learning Algorithms	104
5.3	Computer Programming using Python	105
5.3.1	k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN)	106
5.3.2	Random Forest	106
5.3.3	Neural Network	107
5.3.4	Linear Regression	108
5.3.5	AdaBoost	109
5.4	Overview of the Datasets Preparation	109
5.5	Data Pre-processing for Outlier Detection	110
5.6	Evaluation Metrics	111

5.6.1	Mean Squared Error (MSE)	112
5.6.2	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	113
5.6.3	Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	113
5.6.4	Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	114
5.7	k-Fold Cross-Validation	114
5.7.1	AdaBoost Model (k10)	116
5.7.1.1	Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking	116
5.7.1.2	Performance Indices Measurement	119
5.7.2	kNN Model (k10)	120
5.7.2.1	Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking	120
5.7.2.2	Performance Indices Measurement	123
5.7.3	Linear Regression Model (k10)	124
5.7.3.1	Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking	124
5.7.3.2	Performance Indices Measurement	127
5.7.4	Neural Network Model (k10)	128
5.7.4.1	Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking	128
5.7.4.2	Performance Indices Measurement	131
5.7.5	Random Forest Model (k10)	132
5.7.5.1	Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking	132
5.7.5.2	Performance Indices Measurement	135
5.8	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (SPT-N Datasets)	136
5.8.1	Cross-validation Iteration in SPT-N Prediction	140
5.8.2	Summary of SPT-N Prediction	141
5.9	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (Resistivity Datasets)	143
5.9.1	Cross-validation Iteration in Resistivity Prediction	147
5.9.2	Summary of Resistivity Prediction	148

5.10	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (Seismic Refraction Datasets)	149
5.10.1	Cross-validation Iteration in Seismic Refraction Prediction	153
5.10.2	Summary of Seismic Refraction Prediction	154
5.11	Concluding Remarks	155
CHAPTER 6	VALIDATION AND CORRELATION BETWEEN PREDICTION PARAMETERS	157
6.1	Introduction	157
6.2	Correlation Analysis	158
6.3	Analysis of Electrical Resistivity – Geotechnical Parameters Correlation	162
6.3.1	Electrical Resistivity - Soil Moisture Content Correlation	163
6.3.2	Electrical Resistivity – SPT-N Correlation	165
6.4	Analysis of Seismic Refraction – Geotechnical Parameters Correlation	168
6.4.1	Seismic Refraction – Dry Density Correlation	169
6.4.2	Seismic Refraction – SPT-N Correlation	170
6.5	Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	173
6.5.1	Predicted and Leverage Plots	174
6.5.2	Proposing the Prediction Expression	176
6.5.3	Parameters for Predictor Profiler	179
6.6	Concluding Remarks	179
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	181
7.1	Introduction	181
7.2	Conclusions	182
7.3	Recommendations for Further Studies	186
	REFERENCES	189
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	235

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Range of Velocities for Compressional Waves in Soil and Rock (ASTM, 2011).	15
Table 2.2	Range of Resistivity values in Soil and Rock (Telford et al., 2004).	16
Table 2.3	Estimated Costs for Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey (Vogelsang, 1995; MSIA, 2007; Public Works Department, 2012).	18
Table 3.1	Location of Study Area with Location, Area and Survey Amount.	32
Table 3.2	Software Application Related in this Study.	72
Table 4.1	Descriptive Statistics of Geotechnical and Geophysical Parameters.	76
Table 5.1	Datasets Preparation for Machine Learning.	110
Table 5.2	10-Fold Cross-validation Models.	115
Table 5.3	AdaBoost (k10) Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking.	116
Table 5.4	kNN (k10) Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking.	120
Table 5.5	Linear Regression (k10) Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking.	125
Table 5.6	Neural Network (k10) Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking.	129
Table 5.7	Random Forest (k10) Evaluation Metrics and Performance Ranking.	133
Table 5.8	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (SPT-N Datasets).	139
Table 5.9	Summary of SPT-N Prediction (k10).	142
Table 5.10	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (Resistivity Datasets).	146
Table 5.11	Summary of Resistivity Prediction (k10).	148
Table 5.12	Performance of Cross-validation in Assessing Predictive Accuracy (Seismic Refraction Datasets).	152

Table 5.13	Summary of Seismic Prediction (k10).	154
Table 6.1	Statistical Summary of Fit.	176
Table 6.2	Parameter Estimates Output.	177
Table 6.3	Correlation of Estimates Output.	178
Table 7.1	Relationships between SPT-N, JKR or Mackintosh Probes, Unconfined Compressive Strength (q_u), and this study (Resistivity and Seismic Refraction) - Cohesive Soil (Clay) (Terzaghi & Peck (1967) in Public Works Department (2016)).	185

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Systematic Literature Review Timeline.	26
Figure 3.1	Workflow of Activities for the Methodology.	31
Figure 3.2	Location of Study Area in Johor, Malaysia (Google, 2021b).	33
Figure 3.3	Location Details of Study Area in Johor, Malaysia.	33
Figure 3.4	Geological Map of Johor Bahru, Johor (JMG, 2012).	35
Figure 3.5	Geophysical Survey Line Design using a Drone.	37
Figure 3.6	Location of Survey Lines for 2D Resistivity, Seismic Refraction and Boreholes (Site 1: Taman Pelangi Indah).	38
Figure 3.7	Location of Survey Lines for 2D Resistivity, Seismic Refraction and Boreholes (Site 2: KK Taman Bukit Indah).	39
Figure 3.8	Location of Survey Lines for 2D Resistivity, Seismic Refraction and Boreholes (Site 3: KK Ulu Tiram).	40
Figure 3.9	Location of Survey Lines for 2D Resistivity, Seismic Refraction, and Boreholes (Site 4: Swimming Pool UTM).	41
Figure 3.10	ABEM Terrameter LS2 (ABEM, 2021b).	43
Figure 3.11	Surface Strata of the Terrain During the Data Acquisition.	44
Figure 3.12	Electrodes Placed and Connected with Take-out on the Ground Surface.	44
Figure 3.13	Data Reading Process from the ABEM Terrameter LS2.	45
Figure 3.14	ERT User Interface in ZondRes2D (Kaminsky, 2021a).	46
Figure 3.15	Postfiltering ERT Data in ZondRes2D (Kaminsky, 2021a).	47
Figure 3.16	ABEM Terraloc Pro (ABEM, 2021a).	49
Figure 3.17	28Hz Geophone with Cable Connector.	49
Figure 3.18	Seismic Cable and Geophones were Spread on the Survey Area.	50
Figure 3.19	A Sledgehammer is Used to Generate Seismic Waves.	52
Figure 3.20	SRT User Interface in ZondST2D (Kaminsky, 2021b).	53

Figure 3.21	SRT Trace Editor in ZondST2D (Kaminsky, 2021b).	54
Figure 3.22	Site Visit with JKR at Ulu Tiram Site.	55
Figure 3.23	Borehole Log at Site 3, KK Ulu Tiram.	57
Figure 3.24	Summary of Laboratory Results at Site 2, KK Bukit Indah.	58
Figure 3.25	Graphic User Interface for the Google Colab (Google, 2021a).	59
Figure 3.26	Flowchart of MLAs using Linear Regression Model.	60
Figure 3.27	Code Snippet to Import the Python Libraries.	61
Figure 3.28	Code Snippet to Mount the Datasets.	61
Figure 3.29	Datasets View for Checking.	62
Figure 3.30	Code Snippet to Remove the Unnecessary Columns.	62
Figure 3.31	Code Snippet to Visualise the Remaining Features.	63
Figure 3.32	Linear Correlations between Some Features.	63
Figure 3.33	Code Snippet to Divide the Dataset into a Training and Test Set.	64
Figure 3.34	Code Snippet for Linear Regression Model.	65
Figure 3.35	Code Snippet for Prediction Performance.	65
Figure 3.36	Examples of JMP Reports (SAS, 2021d).	66
Figure 3.37	Multivariate Platform in JMP (SAS, 2021b).	68
Figure 3.38	Output Formation After Run the Fit Model (SAS, 2021a).	69
Figure 3.39	Prediction Expression from Regression Analysis (SAS, 2021a).	71
Figure 4.1	Distribution of SPT-N with Depth.	79
Figure 4.2	Distribution of Specific Gravity with Depth.	80
Figure 4.3	Variation of Moisture Content with Depth.	81
Figure 4.4	Relationship between SPT-N and Moisture Content.	82
Figure 4.5	Distribution of Atterberg Limit with Depth.	83
Figure 4.6	Variation of Density with Depth.	85
Figure 4.7	(a - d) Histogram of Standard Residuals with Normal Line, and (e - h) Normal Probability of Residuals (p-p plot) Values for the Four (4) Groups of Soil.	87

Figure 4.8	Resistivity Tomography for Site 1: Taman Pelangi Indah – Line 1.	90
Figure 4.9	Resistivity Tomography for Site 1: Taman Pelangi Indah – Line 2.	91
Figure 4.10	Resistivity Tomography for Site 2: Taman Bukit Indah – Line 1.	92
Figure 4.11	Resistivity Tomography for Site 3: Klinik Kesehatan Ulu Tiram – Line 1.	93
Figure 4.12	Resistivity Tomography for Site 4: UTM Swimming Pool – Line 1.	94
Figure 4.13	Resistivity Tomography for Site 5: Gunung Pulai Water Treatment – Line 1.	94
Figure 4.14	Resistivity Tomography for Site 5: Gunung Pulai Water Treatment – Line 2.	95
Figure 4.15	Seismic Traces for Site 1: Taman Pelangi Indah – Line 1.	96
Figure 4.16	Seismic Traces for Site 1: Taman Pelangi Indah – Line 2.	97
Figure 4.17	Seismic Traces for Site 2: Taman Bukit Indah – Line 1.	98
Figure 4.18	Seismic Traces for Site 3: Klinik Kesehatan Ulu Tiram – Line 1.	99
Figure 4.19	Seismic Traces for Site 4: UTM Swimming Pool – Line 1.	100
Figure 4.20	Seismic Traces for Site 5: Gunung Pulai Water Treatment – Line 1.	100
Figure 4.21	Seismic Traces for Site 5: Gunung Pulai Water Treatment – Line 2.	101
Figure 5.1	Table of Data Showing an Instance, Feature, and Train-Test Datasets.	105
Figure 5.2	kNN Code Snippet Example.	106
Figure 5.3	Random Forest Code Snippet Example.	107
Figure 5.4	Neural Network Code Snippet Example.	108
Figure 5.5	Linear Regression Code Snippet Example.	108
Figure 5.6	AdaBoost Code Snippet Example.	109
Figure 5.7	Scatter Plot of Anomaly Detection for all Data Points.	111
Figure 5.8	Concept of the Cross Validation k-fold.	115
Figure 5.9	AdaBoost Mean and Standard Deviation for k-fold.	118

Figure 5.10	Performance Indices of the k10 Model in Predicting SPT-N using AdaBoost.	119
Figure 5.11	kNN Mean and Standard Deviation for k-fold.	122
Figure 5.12	Performance Indices of the k10 Model in Predicting SPT-N using kNN.	123
Figure 5.13	Linear Regression Mean and Standard Deviation for k-fold.	126
Figure 5.14	Performance Indices of the k10 Model in Predicting SPT-N using Linear Regression.	127
Figure 5.15	Neural Network Mean and Standard Deviation for k-fold.	130
Figure 5.16	Performance Indices of the k10 Model in Predicting SPT-N using Neural Network.	131
Figure 5.17	Random Forest Mean and Standard Deviation for k-fold.	134
Figure 5.18	Performance Indices of the k10 Model in Predicting SPT-N using Random Forest.	135
Figure 5.19	Cross Validation Iteration (k-fold) versus SPT-N Prediction.	140
Figure 5.20	k10 SPT-N Prediction.	143
Figure 5.21	Cross Validation Iteration (k-fold) versus Resistivity, ρ (ohm.m) Prediction.	147
Figure 5.22	k10 Prediction Resistivity.	149
Figure 5.23	Cross Validation Iteration (k-fold) versus Seismic Refraction (km/s) Prediction.	153
Figure 5.24	k10 Seismic Velocity Prediction.	155
Figure 6.1	Correlation Matrix of Geotechnical and Geophysical Parameters.	159
Figure 6.2	Correlation Coefficients Matrix between Soil Parameters (Spearman, R_s)	160
Figure 6.3	Correlation Coefficients Matrix between Soil Parameters (Kendall, R_τ).	161
Figure 6.4	Correlation Coefficients Matrix between Soil Parameters (Pearson, R_ρ)	162
Figure 6.5	Correlation of Electrical Resistivity and Moisture Content of Soil.	164
Figure 6.6	Comparison of the Proposed Correlation (Resistivity – Moisture Content) with Previous Studies.	165

Figure 6.7	Correlation of Electrical Resistivity and SPT-N of Soil.	166
Figure 6.8	Depth with SPT-N, Resistivity and Moisture Content.	167
Figure 6.9	Soil Classification with Depth, SPT-N and Resistivity.	168
Figure 6.10	Correlation of Seismic Velocity and Dry Density.	170
Figure 6.11	Correlation of Seismic Velocity and SPT-N of soil.	171
Figure 6.12	Correlation of Shear Wave Velocity and SPT-N of Soil.	172
Figure 6.13	Comparison of the Proposed Correlation (V_s -SPT-N) with Previous Studies.	173
Figure 6.14	Predicted and Leverage Plots.	175
Figure 6.15	SPT-N Studentized Residual.	176
Figure 6.16	SPT-N Predictor Profiler.	179

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	-	One-Dimensional
2D	-	Two-Dimensional
3D	-	Three-Dimensional
4D	-	Four-Dimensional
AI	-	Artificial Intelligent
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BN	-	Bayesian Networks
BSI	-	British Standards Institution
BT	-	Behaviour Tree
DT	-	Decision Trees
ERT	-	Electrical Resistivity Tomography
GPS	-	Global Positioning Systems
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya
kNN	-	k-Nearest Neighbours
LQA	-	Linear Quadratic Analysis
MASW	-	Multichannel Analysis of Surface Wave
ML	-	Machine Learning
MLAs	-	Machine Learning Algorithms
MLC	-	Maximum Likelihood Classification
MLP	-	Multi-Layer Perceptron
MLR	-	Multiple Linear Regression
NB	-	Naïve Bayes
QDA	-	Quadratic Discriminant Analysis
SOM	-	Self-Organising Maps
SPT	-	Standard Penetration Test
MSE	-	Mean Squared Error
RMSE	-	Root Mean Squared Error
MAE	-	Mean Absolute Error
R ²	-	Coefficient of Determination

LIST OF SYMBOLS

F	-	Formation factor
V_p	-	P-wave velocity
V_s	-	Surface wave velocity
d	-	Density
ν	-	Poisson's ratio
E	-	Young's modulus
V	-	Voltage
I	-	Electric current
R	-	Resistance
ρ	-	Resistivity
A	-	Cross sectional area
ρ_a	-	Apparent resistivity
K	-	Geometric factor
w	-	Water absorption

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Statistical Details of Geotechnical Parameters	213
Appendix B	Relationship between SPT-N and Moisture Content Results	217
Appendix C	Histogram Details for Machine Learning Predictions	221
Appendix D	Example of Simple Source Code for kNN Model	222
Appendix E	Example of Simple Source Code for Linear Regression Model	225
Appendix F	Source Code for Correlation Model Comparison	227
Appendix G	Correlation Explained Visually using Python	231

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Determination of geophysical properties is a primary task in the study of subsurface environments. These environments, specifically aquifers and reservoirs from the perspectives of environmental and petroleum engineers, are concerns for natural resources, namely water and hydrocarbons (such as oil, gas-condensate, and natural gas). It can perform cost-effective and automatic measurements of accurate values/terms of geophysical properties such as permeability and lithofacies. However, direct determination of subsurface geophysical properties from formation samples, namely core analysis, is costly, labour-intensive, and invariably subject to the availability of drilled rocks (which are generally not complete) and domain experts: e.g., geologists and geophysicists (Telford et al., 2004; Abidin et al., 2011; Groves et al., 2011). These factors have directed researchers and industry to employ well logs that are cost-effective, readily available, automatic, and producing comparatively accurate results compared with those from core analysis, even though scale differences exist between these two approaches (Arulrajah & Bo, 2008; John, 2012; Hatta & Osman, 2015; Abdullah et al., 2020).

Conventionally, geologists use graphical and mathematical (including numerical and statistical) methods to interpret (correlate) the relationships between well log signatures and values/terms of geophysical properties (Baecher, 1987; Ching & Phoon, 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Arjun & Haloi, 2017). However, these relationships are commonly complex because of the heterogeneous nature of subsurface environments and their uncertainties. Thus, these conventional methods may not appropriately characterize geophysical properties from well logs (Soong, 2004; Hiltunen, 2005; Loehr et al., 2017; Lafifi et al., 2016, 2019). Therefore, it can be safely stated that techniques, such as machine learning, capable of effectively and

automatically correlating the complex, uncertain subsurface relationships and potentially providing higher prediction accuracy than traditional methods are in great demand.

The science of learning from data is a key focus of machine learning. Machine learning combines statistics and computer science for pattern recognition and data mining applications (Ripley & Druseikis, 1978; Han & Kamber, 2006; Halilaj et al., 2018). For science-based research, pattern recognition is the process of discovering, via automated or semi-automated statistical methods, functional patterns within data (Kotsiantis et al., 2010). Discovered patterns are then used to generate predictions based on similar data (Han & Kamber, 2006). The essence of machine-assisted pattern recognition is to provide computers with the ability to adapt their decision structures based on the characteristics of observed data and generate valid and objective predictions (Knaflic, 2015; Roiger, 2017; Hengl & Macmillan, 2019). Machine learning is an extension of the pattern recognition process. It attempts to provide users with an understanding of the patterns within data (Daoud et al., 2016; Witten et al., 2017). Hence, machine learning outputs should be comprehensible in a way that allows interpretations to be formulated in response to the decision structures used to recognize and exploit patterns within data and generate predictions (North, 2012; Cui et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Scikit-Learn, 2021b).

Geophysical methods employ physics principles to image intrinsic Earth's subsurface features that are diagnostic of some targeted points. Subsurface characterization for underground resources, pollution-free environments, and understanding the consequences of subsurface geological conditions have headed to the advancements in geophysical imaging methods used for such investigations (Sheriff & Geldart, 1995; Loke, 2000; Pellerin, 2002; Philip et al., 2002; Reynolds, 2011; Saad et al., 2011; Tomio, 2011; Said et al., 2012; Bery et al., 2012; Hazreek et al., 2015).

The categorization of subsurface geology using only invasive geotechnical investigation methods, such as soil borings, rock coring, and geophysical investigation like vertical electrical sounding (VES) and borehole logging, is tremendously limited

because these methods provide information regarding the subsurface only at the specific location surveyed and may not be reliable for interpretation the surrounding conditions with lateral variations (Hung et al., 2007; Asif et al., 2016). Thus, electrical resistivity and seismic refraction are the most extensively used geophysical methods to determine reliable subsurface information about an area investigated laterally and vertically.

1.2 Problem Statement

Geotechnical engineering is one of the divisions of Civil Engineering, mainly dealing with soil, rock, and underground water. Since soil and rock are complex engineering materials that have been formed by a combination of various geologic, environmental, and physical-chemical processes, their properties in-situ vary vertically and horizontally. As highly nonlinear materials, their engineering properties are more complex and difficult to characterize than manufactured materials such as concrete and steel. The characteristics associated with soil and rock are enumerated in the following:

- (a) Most parameters associated with the geotechnical problems must be obtained from in-situ or laboratory testing. However, due to the limited number of exploratory borings drills and the number of laboratory tests that can be performed, only a very small portion of the parameters can be obtained. This introduces many potential sources of error, and it is also the primary source of uncertainty involved with the geotechnical problems.
- (b) Geotechnical parameters are based on one-dimensional (1D) data with a depth limitation. Additional boreholes must be produced in the field to obtain more data, including laboratory and field data analysis. This is due to the potentially significant errors or imprecision in the field data. Therefore, it heavily depends on engineering judgment, a

combination of experience, subjectivity, reliance on precedent, and other factors.

- (c) The process of data gathering is costly and time-consuming. Soil is different from most civil engineering materials in that it can simultaneously contain solid, liquid, and gas phases, and it is heterogeneous, anisotropic, and nonlinear. To construct mathematical models, it needs typically to introduce certain simplified assumptions. The nonlinearity of soil behaviour produces lots of difficulties in modelling.
- (d) Most geotechnical and geophysical problems need to consider many variables that affect the response of the studying systems. Besides that, not many studies focus on data prediction using Machine Learning techniques. Therefore, the need for Machine Learning techniques by using prediction methods can produce enormous amounts of data that the geotechnical and geophysical methods cannot reach.

As a consequence of these characteristics of geotechnical problems, once the geophysical data has been taken, it can generate a geological structure model, which gives an absolute correlation with the data. The best overall model is achieved using all the presented geological data from boreholes and field mapping. Without this input of detailed information, which incorporates knowledge of the essential physical properties of the geological resources at the site, the model cannot be compelled or estimated in practical terms. Therefore, in this study, there needs to be a close collaboration amongst the data from geotechnical, geophysical and Machine Learning, which enable information to be obtained for a large volume of ground data that cannot be investigated.

1.3 Objective of the Study

This research provides an excellent opportunity to evaluate the feasibility of automating the composition and optimisation of workflows to make accurate predictions on unseen geotechnical and geophysical data. The general terms of references are to develop this research from ideas formulated through previous researchers and to be relevant to the present working methods, machines, conditions, and requirements for the industry.

This study aims to develop an intelligent model using Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs) to predict the soil properties profiles and characteristics from geotechnical and geophysical site investigations. There are three (3) specific objectives that are covered by this study:

- (a) To determine the underground profiles and characteristics of the soil properties from the geotechnical and geophysical site investigations.
- (b) To develop intelligent models for predicting the classification of discrete categories representing geotechnical and geophysical features using Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs).
- (c) To validate and correlate measured parameters from geophysical methods with geotechnical parameters using statistical models and incorporate empirical value for soil.

1.4 Scope of the Study

Geotechnical, geophysical and machine learning methods enable information to be obtained for a large volume of ground that cannot be investigated by direct geological methods because of the cost and time involved. This study was conducted in collaboration with the Public Works Department of Malaysia, where they provided the study location and data from borehole logs (borelogs) for research purposes. Most

of the boreholes are for government building design development. According to JKR Geotechnical Handbook (Public Works Department, 2016), the typical termination criteria for borehole and rock is five (5) times SPT-N value equal to 50 or at 45 meters depth. Overall, all boreholes produced do not reach the bedrock layer, and obtaining data from the rock types is limited.

Taking into account the objectives stated above, the services and facilities available on sites and equipment types examined, this research workable to carried out within the following scope and limitations:

- (a) Field measurements on seismic and electrical resistivity survey methods, conducted on selected sites before construction was to take place. The assessments of the survey area are mainly at the existing borehole location, which later can be correlated with boreholes data.
- (b) This study was undertaken in Johor with the same geological background formation. It must be noticed that this study does not deal with rock, but it can be extended to treat rock layers without involving significant effort.
- (c) With these boreholes data, interpretation between resistivity values, seismic values and N-values or lithology can be conducted using MLAs. The geological and geotechnical properties of the groundmass in which the engineering construction takes place can be determined.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The research develops an intelligent model using Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs) to predict the soil properties profiles and characteristics. By accurate prediction, the earthwork projects could be minimised by engineers due to the effectiveness in maximising the geological data gathered and making the correct MLAs selection.

Besides that, this research contributes to new knowledge in the non-destructive method of site investigation, particularly for geotechnical assessment in laboratory and field measurement. Furthermore, correlating the seismic, electrical resistivity value, and geotechnical parameters give better engineering information and descriptions of the sites and their material.

The followings are the contribution gained from this research, which are:

- (a) Using the Machine Learning method is an alternative to a non-destructive method in obtaining geotechnical and geophysical data.
- (b) This method contributes to the various correlation between electrical resistivity, seismic velocity, and geotechnical data.
- (c) The presentations of results contribute to civil engineering application which produced relationships between SPT-N, JKR or Mackintosh Probes and Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) of cohesive soil.

The novelty of this study is the development of intelligent models using MLAs for predicting geotechnical and geophysical data using a Python framework. This framework can build the pipeline to pre-process the dataset, select the features, train, and validate the machine learning models, and use them to identify the soil properties profiles and characteristics based on geotechnical and geophysical investigations.

1.6 Thesis Outline

Each section and chapter is briefly described in this section. The introduction has presented related topics of research background, problem statement, objective of the study, scope of the study significance of the study, and thesis outline. This particular topic is a source of a set of case studies for this thesis. This study is valuable for many other geotechnical, geophysical, and machine learning applications.

A review of applications in geotechnical, geophysical and machine learning is briefly presented in Chapter 2. This involved a review of geophysical methods in the subsurface investigation, application of machine learning in geoscience study and supervised versus unsupervised learning. An extensive review of the literature concerning the application of MLAs to geoscience classification problems has revealed several avenues for further research.

Chapter 3 is concerned with the current state of practice in site investigation. Initially, the structure, aims and procedures of site investigation are briefly described. Then, the soil components and the means to identify them is presented, followed by a description of the soil characteristics. It also discussed how the data gathered from the boreholes, electrical resistivity, and seismic refraction survey. Computer programming using Python for machine learning and multiple linear regression to generate prediction expressions is also discussed.

The results from geotechnical and geophysical site investigations for interpreting ground conditions are described in Chapter 4. Initially, the components of borelogs related to soil mechanics description are presented. This section shows the data obtained from two survey methods, and the results were interpreted using computer software known as ZondRes2D for the 2D resistivity method and ZondST2D for the 2D seismic refraction method. The inversion resistivity model and seismic section have been produced, and further interpretation has been made in this section.

In Chapter 5, a methodology of Machine Learning Algorithms (MLAs) is presented for predicting underground information. The most influential parameters were investigated and used in the predictive models. Subsequently, machine learning predictive models such as kNN, Random Forest, Neural Network, Linear Regression and AdaBoost was developed using Python to predict parameters such as SPT-N, Resistivity (ohm.m), and Seismic Refraction (km/s). Cross-validation is mainly used in applied machine learning to estimate the skill of a machine learning model on unseen data. The model is expected to perform in general when used to make predictions on data that are not used during the training of the model. Finally, some of the improvements to be made are identified in the discussion.

In Chapter 6, the advantages of the proposed methodology and possible future improvements are identified. In this chapter, to demonstrate the ability of empirical models, multiple linear regression is used to model the relationship between SPT-N, Resistivity and Seismic Velocity variables. Later, it can be used to predict the value of a response based on the value of one continuous predictor variable. Considering these techniques and using the most influential parameters (Resistivity and Seismic Velocity), new equations are proposed to predict the SPT-N value with the same geological conditions. Finally, the conclusions reached from the development of the methodology are discussed.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N. H., Mohamed Jais, I. B., & Awang, H. (2020). Geophysical Method Exploration for Slope Failure Investigation. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 917(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/917/1/012008>
- Abed, A. M. (2013). *Comparison between 2D Imaging Survey and Traditional Electrode Arrays in Delineating Subsurface cavities in Haditha-Hit Area (W Iraq)*. Ph.D. Thesis. College of Science, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- ABEM. (2021a). *ABEM Instruction Manual Terraloc Pro*. Guideline Geo AB, SE-171 54 Solna, Stockholm. <https://www.guidelinegeo.com/>
- ABEM. (2021b). *ABEM Instruction Manual Terrameter LS*. Guideline Geo AB, SE-171 54 Solna, Stockholm. <https://www.guidelinegeo.com/>
- Abidin, M. H. Z., Saad, R., Ahmad, F., Wijeyesekera, D. C., & Baharuddin, M. F. T. (2011). Application of Geophysical Methods in Civil Engineering. *Malaysian Technical Universities International Conference on Engineering & Technology*.
- Adewoyin, O. O., Joshua, E. O., Akinwumi, I. I., Omeje, M., & Joel, E. S. (2017). Evaluation of geotechnical parameters using geophysical data. *Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences*, 49(1), 96–114. <https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2017.49.1.6>
- Akintorinwa, O. J., & Oluwole, S. T. (2018). Empirical relationship between electrical resistivity and geotechnical parameters: A case study of Federal University of Technology campus, Akure SW, Nigeria. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics*, 7(1), 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nrjag.2018.02.004>
- Al-Heety, A. J. R., Hassouneh, M., & Abdullah, F. M. (2021). Application of MASW and ERT methods for geotechnical site characterization: A case study for roads construction and infrastructure assessment in Abu Dhabi, UAE. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 193, 104408. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2021.104408>
- Alel, M. N. A., Saad, R., Abdullah, R. A., & Wei, L. I. (2015). Applicability of electrical resistivity tomography in subsurface utilities engineering. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(2). <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v76.5442>

- Ali, N., Saad, R., & M. M. Nordiana. (2013). Integration of Seismic Refraction and 2D Electrical Resistivity in Locating Geological Contact. *Journal of Geology*.
- Alkinani, H. H., Al-Hameedi, A. T. T., & Dunn-Norman, S. (2020). Data-driven decision-making for lost circulation treatments: A machine learning approach. *Energy and AI*, 2, 100031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYAI.2020.100031>
- Alsharari, B., Olenko, A., & Abuel-Naga, H. (2020). Modeling of electrical resistivity of soil based on geotechnical properties. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2019.112966>
- Anbazhagan, P., Bajaj, K., Reddy, G. R., Phanikanth, V. S., & Yadav, D. N. (2016). Quantitative assessment of shear wave velocity correlations in the shallow bedrock sites. *Indian Geotechnical Journal*, 46(4), 381–397.
- Anbazhagan, P., Kumar, A., & Sitharam, T. G. (2013). Seismic Site Classification and Correlation between Standard Penetration Test N Value and Shear Wave Velocity for Lucknow City in Indo-Gangetic Basin. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 170(3), 299–318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-012-0525-1>
- Anbazhagan, P., Uday, A., Moustafa, S. S. R., & Al-Arifi, N. S. N. (2016). Correlation of densities with shear wave velocities and SPT N values. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 13(3), 320–341. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-2132/13/3/320>
- Antariksa, G., Muammar, R., & Lee, J. (2021). Performance evaluation of machine learning-based classification with rock-physics analysis of geological lithofacies in Tarakan Basin, Indonesia. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 208, 109250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PETROL.2021.109250>
- Antonakoudis, A., Strain, B., Barbosa, R., Jimenez del Val, I., & Kontoravdi, C. (2021). Synergising stoichiometric modelling with artificial neural networks to predict antibody glycosylation patterns in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 107471. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2021.107471>
- Archie, G. E. (1942). The Electrical Resistivity Log as an Aid in Determining Some Reservoir Characteristics. *Transactions of the AIME*, 146(01), 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.2118/942054-G>
- Arulrajah, A., & Bo, M. W. (2008). Characteristics of Singapore Marine Clay at Changi. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 26(4), 431–441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-008-9179-2>
- Asif, A. R., Ali, S. S., Noreen, N., Ahmed, W., Khan, S., Khan, M. Y., & Waseem, M.

- (2016). Correlation of Electrical Resistivity of Soil with Geotechnical Engineering Parameters at Wattar Area District Nowshera, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Journal of Himalayan Earth Sciences*, 49(1), 124–130.
- ASTM, I. (2011). *Standard Guide for Using the Seismic Refraction Method for Subsurface Investigation*. STM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959. United States.
- Aurélien, G. (2017). *Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
- Aurélien, G. (2019). *Hands-on Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
- Aziman, M., Hazreek, Z. A. M., Azhar, A. T. S., & Haimi, D. S. (2016). *Compressive and Shear Wave Velocity Profiles using Seismic Refraction Technique*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/710/1/012011>
- Backer, E. (1988). Clusan: A Knowledge Base for Approximate Reasoning in Exploratory Data Analysis. *Machine Intelligence and Pattern Recognition*, 7(C), 395–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-87137-4.50033-3>
- Baecher, G. (1987). *Statistical Analysis of Geotechnical Data*. Final Report. US Army Corps of Engineers.
- Bahammou, Y. A., Benamara, A., Ammar, A., & Ibrahim Dakir. (2019). Fracture zones detection for groundwater exploration integrating Resistivity Profiling and Very Low Frequency electromagnetic methods (Errachidia basin, Morocco). *Contributions to Geophysics and Geodesy*.
- Behnoud Kermani. (2013). *Application of P-Wave Reflection Imaging to Unknown Bridge Foundations and Comparison with Other Non-Destructive Test Methods*. Degree Master of Science in Civil Engineering, Temple University Graduate Board.
- Bery, A. A., Mohamad, E. T., & Jinmin, M. (2012). Electrical Resistivity and Induced Polarization Data Correlation with Conductivity for Iron Ore Exploration. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*.
- Bery, A. A., & Saad, R. (2012). Correlation of Seismic P-Wave Velocities with Engineering Parameters (N Value and Rock Quality) for Tropical Environmental Study. *International Journal of Geosciences*, 03(04), 749–757. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ijg.2012.34075>
- Bery, A. A., & Saad, R. (2013). Seismic refraction velocities and engineering

- parameters analysis for environmental study. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18 Q, 3719–3730.
- Boadu, F. K., Owusu-Nimo, F., Achampong, F., & Ampadu, S. I. K. (2013). Artificial neural network and statistical models for predicting the basic geotechnical properties of soils from electrical measurements. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 11(6), 599–612. <https://doi.org/10.3997/1873-0604.2013011>
- Bognar, M. (2014). *Correlation & Simple Regression*. Statistics for Business, University of Iowa.
- Bougher, B. B. (2016). *Machine Learning Applications to Geophysical Data Analysis*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of British Columbia.
- Bruce, R. (2017). *Statistical and Machine-Learning Data Mining*. Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.
- BSI. (1990a). *Methods of test for: Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). The British Standards Institution Limited.
- BSI. (1990b). *Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes-Part 2: Classification Tests* (Issue 1). The British Standards Institution Limited.
- BSI. (2015). *Code of Practice for Ground Investigations*. The British Standards Institution Limited.
- Burry, L. S., Marconetto, B., Somoza, M., Palacio, P., Trivi, M., & D'Antoni, H. (2018). Ecosystem modeling using artificial neural networks: An archaeological tool. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 18, 739–746. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.07.013>
- Chahar, S., & Sastry, R. G. (2016). Multi-variate regression analysis of geo-electric imaging and geotechnical site investigation test results - A case study. *Proceedings of the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems, SAGEEP, 2016-Janua*(March), 29–33. <https://doi.org/10.4133/sageep.29-033>
- Chambers, J. A., Murphy, J. E., Wilkinson, P. B., West, L. J., Gunn, D. A., Meldrum, P. I., Kirkham, M., & Dixon, N. (2014). 3D ground model development for an active landslide in Lias mudrocks using geophysical, remote sensing and geotechnical methods. *Landslides*, 11(4), 537–550.
- Chen, H., Liu, S., Magomedov, R. M., & Davidyants, A. A. (2021). Optimization of inflow performance relationship curves for an oil reservoir by genetic algorithm coupled with artificial neural-intelligence networks. *Energy Reports*, 7, 3116–

3124. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2021.05.028>
- Chenyun, & Zichun, Y. (2012). The BP Artificial Neural Network Model on Expressway Construction Phase Risk. *Systems Engineering Procedia*, 4, 409–415. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sepro.2012.01.004>
- Ching, J., Li, D. Q., & Phoon, K. K. (2016). Statistical characterization of multivariate geotechnical data. *Reliability of Geotechnical Structures in ISO2394*, 89–126. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315364179>
- Ching, J., Phoon, K.-K., & Huang, W.-C. (2011). Constructing Joint Distributions of Multivariate Geotechnical Data. *GeoRisk 2011 © ASCE 2011*, 1141–1148. [https://doi.org/10.1061/41183\(418\)125](https://doi.org/10.1061/41183(418)125)
- Ching, J., & Phoon, K. K. (2014). Correlations among some clay parameters - The multivariate distribution. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 51(6), 686–704. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2013-0353>
- Ching, J., Phoon, K. K., Chen, K. F., Orr, T. L. L., & Schneider, H. R. (2020). Statistical determination of multivariate characteristic values for Eurocode 7. *Structural Safety*, 82(September 2017), 101893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strusafe.2019.101893>
- Chouksey, V. (2018). *Automatic Selection of Outlier Detection Techniques*. Master Thesis. Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Clayton, C. R. I. (1995). *The Standard Penetration Test (SPT): Methods and Use*. CIRIA, 6 Storey's Gate, Westminster, London.
- Collins, M., Schapire, R. E., Singer, Y., & service), S. (Online. (2002). *Logistic Regression, AdaBoost and Bregman Distances*. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013912006537>
- Corradini, E., Dreibrodt, S., Erkul, E., Groß, D., Lübke, H., Panning, D., Pickartz, N., Thorwart, M., Vött, A., Willershäuser, T., Wilken, D., Wunderlich, T., Zanon, M., & Rabbel, W. (2020). Understanding Wetlands Stratigraphy: Geophysics and Soil Parameters for Investigating Ancient Basin Development at Lake Duvensee. *Geosciences (Switzerland)*, 10(8), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences10080314>
- Cosenza, P., Marmet, E., Rejiba, F., Cui, Y. J., Tabbagh, A., & Charlery, Y. (2006). Correlations between geotechnical and electrical data: a case study at Garchy in France. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 60(3), 165.
- Cosenza, Philippe, Marmet, E., Rejiba, F., Jun Cui, Y., Tabbagh, A., & Charlery, Y.

- (2006). Correlations Between Geotechnical and Electrical Data: A Case Study at Garchy in France. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 60(3–4), 165–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2006.02.003>
- Cui, J., Wang, L., Zhao, X., & Zhang, H. (2020). Towards predictive analysis of android vulnerability using statistical codes and machine learning for IoT applications. *Computer Communications*, 155, 125–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMCOM.2020.02.078>
- Czaplicki, J. M. (2014). *Statistics for mining engineering*. CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group.
- Danial, J. A. (2015). *Tunnel Boring Machine Performance Prediction in Tropically Weathered Granite Through Empirical and Computational Methods*. Degree Thesis of Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Danielsen, B. E. (2007). *The Applicability of Geoelectrical Imaging as a Tool for Construction in Rock*. Ph.D. Thesis. Engineering Geology, Lund University.
- Daoud, W. A., Kasama, K., Saleh, N. M., & Negm, A. M. (2016). Statistical evaluation of geotechnical correlations. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 10(3), 1929–1935. <https://doi.org/10.21660/2016.21.5398>
- Das, B. M. (2007). *Fundamentals of Geotechnical Engineering, Third Edition*. Thomson Learning, International Ed edition.
- Das, B. M. (2017). *Principles of Geotechnical Engineering, Seventh Edition*. Cengage Learning, 200 First Stamford Place, Suite 400 Stamford, CT 06902, USA.
- Dean, S. W., Shah, P. H., & Singh, D. (2005). Generalized Archie's Law for Estimation of Soil Electrical Conductivity. *Journal of Astm International*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1520/JAI13087>
- Demsar, J., Curk, T., Erjavec, A., Gorup, C., Hocevar, T., Milutinovic, M., Mozina, M., Polajnar, M., Toplak, M., Staric, A., Stajdohar, M., Umek, L., Zagar, L., Zbontar, J., Zitnik, M., & Zupan, B. (2013). Orange: Data Mining Toolbox in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 14(35), 2349–2353. <http://jmlr.org/papers/v14/demsar13a.html>
- Demsar, J., & Zupan, B. (2021). *From Experimental Machine Learning to Interactive Data Mining*. Orange: Data Mining Fruitful & Fun.
- Di Giuseppe, M. G., Troiano, A., Troise, C., & De Natale, G. (2014). K-Means clustering as tool for multivariate geophysical data analysis. An application to

- shallow fault zone imaging. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 101, 108–115.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2013.12.004>
- Dikmen, Ü. (2009). Statistical correlations of shear wave velocity and penetration resistance for soils. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 6(1), 61–72.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-2132/6/1/007>
- Domingos, D. S., de Oliveira, J. F. L., & de Mattos Neto, P. S. G. (2019). An intelligent hybridization of ARIMA with machine learning models for time series forecasting. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 175, 72–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.KNOSYS.2019.03.011>
- Dramsch, J. S. (2020). 70 Years of Machine Learning in Geoscience in Review. *Advances in Geophysics*.
- Esfahanizadeh, M., Davoodi, M., Haghshenas, E., & Jafari, M. K. (2015). Comparison on Efficiency of Triangular and Orthogonal Arrays in Determination of Shear Wave Velocity Profile of the Layers Close to the Earth Surface using F-K Method. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(28).
- Eurocode. (2007). *Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2: Ground investigation and testing Eurocode* (Vol. 1). The European Union Per Regulation 305/2011, Directive 98/34/EC, Directive 2004/18/EC.
- Faber, M. H., Köhler, J., & Nishijima, K. (2011). Applications of Statistics and Probability in Civil Engineering. In *Applications of Statistics and Probability in Civil Engineering -Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Applications of Statistics and Probability in Civil Engineering*. Taylor & Francis Group, LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b11332-40>
- Fadugba, G. (2020). Application of Multivariate Data Analysis in the Interpretation of Geotechnical Index Parameters – a Case Study of the Federal University of Technology Akure , Ondo State. *Bulletin of Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara Http://Acta.Fih.Upt.Ro/*, 139–142.
- Fallah-Safari, M., Hafizi, M. K., & Ghalandarzadeh, A. (2013). The relationship between clay geotechnical data and clay electrical resistivity. *Bollettino Di Geofisica Teorica Ed Applicata*, 54(1), 23-.
- Fan, H., Kong, L.-W., Lai, Y.-C., & Wang, X. (2021). Anticipating synchronization with machine learning. *Physical Review Research*, 3.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.023237>
- Fangohr, H. (2015). *Introduction to Python for Computational Science and*

Engineering (A beginner's guide).

- Fatehnia, M., Hayden, M., & Landschoot, M. (2015). Correlation between Shear Wave Velocity and SPT-N Values for North Florida Soils. *EJGE*, 20, 12421–12430.
- Fauzi, A., Irsyam, M., & Fauzi, U. J. (2014). Empirical correlation of shear wave velocity and N-SPT value for Jakarta. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 7(1), 980–984. <https://doi.org/10.21660/2014.13.3263>
- Figuroa, R. L., Zeng-Treitler, Q., Kandula, S., & Ngo, L. H. (2012). Predicting Sample Size Required for Classification Performance. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*.
- Fu, Y., Horton, R., Ren, T., & Heitman, J. L. (2021). A general form of Archie's model for estimating bulk soil electrical conductivity. *Journal of Hydrology*, 597(March), 126160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126160>
- Fujita, H., Matsukawa, T., & Suzuki, E. (2020). Detecting outliers with one-class selective transfer machine. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 62(5), 1781–1818. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-019-01407-5>
- Fukue, M., Minato, T., Horibe, H., & Taya, N. (1999). The micro-structures of clay given by resistivity measurements. *ENGINEERING Geology*, 54(1), 43–53.
- Gautam, D. (2017). Empirical correlation between uncorrected standard penetration resistance (N) and shear wave velocity (VS) for Kathmandu valley, Nepal. *Geomatics Natural Hazards and Risk*, 8(2), 496–508.
- GeoStru. (2021a). *EasyMASW User Manual*. EngSoft S.R.L based in Cluj-Napoca, Romania, European Union. <https://www.geostru.eu/en/shop/software-en/geophysics-software/multi-channel-analysis-surface-waves-easy-masw/>
- GeoStru. (2021b). *EasyRefract User Manual*. EngSoft S.R.L based in Cluj-Napoca, Romania, European Union. <https://www.geostru.eu/en/shop/software-en/geophysics-software/easy-refract-interpretation-seismic-refraction-tests/>
- Ghoreishi, B., Khaleghi Esfahani, M., Alizadeh Lushabi, N., Amini, O., Aghamolaie, I., Hashim, N. A. A. N., & Alizadeh, S. M. S. (2021). Assessment of Geotechnical Properties and Determination of Shear Strength Parameters. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 39(1), 461–478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-020-01504-1>
- Giao, P. H., Chung, S. G., Kim, D. Y., & Tanaka, H. (2003). Electric imaging and laboratory resistivity testing for geotechnical investigation of Pusan clay deposits.

- Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 52(4), 157.
- Glen, S. (2021). *RMSE: Root Mean Square Error - Statistics How To*. StatisticsHowTo.Com. <https://www.statisticshowto.com/probability-and-statistics/regression-analysis/rmse-root-mean-square-error/>
- Golden Software. (2021). *Surfer v21 User Manual*. Golden Software, LLC, 809 14th Street, Golden, Colorado 80401 USA.
- Golden Software, L. (2015). *Voxler®4: 3D Well & Volumetric Data Visualization*. Golden Software, LLC.
- Golden Software, L. (2017). *Surfer®: Powerful contouring, gridding & surface mapping system*. Golden Software, LLC.
- Google. (2021a). *Google Collaboratory Notebook (Google Colab)*. Google Inc. HQ, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA. https://colab.research.google.com/notebooks/intro.ipynb?utm_source=scs-index
- Google. (2021b). *Map of Peninsular Malaysia using Google Earth*. Google Inc. HQ, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA. <https://goo.gl/maps/cdwqmSVzkmsq74g19>
- Grandhi, B. S. (2019). *Predictive Modelling using Machine Learning Techniques for Railway Incident Based Parameters- Denmark Railways*. Master's Thesis, Technical University of Munich Chair of Transportation Systems Engineering.
- Grellier, S., Reddy, K. R., Gangathulasi, J., Adib, R., & Peters, C. C. (2007). *Correlation Between Electrical Resistivity and Moisture Content of Municipal Solid Waste in Bioreactor Landfill*. 2005, 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.1061/40907\(226\)11](https://doi.org/10.1061/40907(226)11)
- Griffiths, D. H., & King, R. F. (1981). *Applied Geophysics for Geologists and Engineers*. British Library Cataloguing.
- Groves, P., Cascante, G., Dundas, D., & Chatterji, P. K. (2011). Use of geophysical methods for soil profile evaluation. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 48(9), 1364–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1139/t11-044>
- Gu, H., & Wei, Y. (2021). Environmental monitoring and landscape design of green city based on remote sensing image and improved neural network. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 23, 101718. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2021.101718>
- Gupta, R. (2017). *Getting started with Neural Network for regression and Tensorflow*. Medium. <https://medium.com/@rajatgupta310198/getting-started-with-neural->

- Gutti, M. B., & Musa, A. A. (2019). *Some Tests on the Geotechnical Properties of Soil*. February. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/jvx6y>
- Haeni, F. P. (1995). *Application of Surface: Geophysical Methods to Investigations of Sand and Gravel Aquifers in the Glafer in the Glaciated Northeastern United State*.
- Halilaj, E., Rajagopal, A., Fiterau, M., Hicks, J. L., Hastie, T. J., & Delp, S. L. (2018). Machine learning in human movement biomechanics: Best practices, common pitfalls, and new opportunities. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *81*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2018.09.009>
- Han, J., & Kamber, M. (2006). *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques (Solution Manual)*. San Francisco, CA, Itd: Morgan Kaufmann, 1–312. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-19721-5>
- Han, M., Youssef, S., Rosenberg, E., Fleury, M., & Levitz, P. (2009). Deviation from Archie's law in partially saturated porous media: Wetting film versus disconnectedness of the conducting phase. *Phys. Rev. E*, *79*(3), 31127. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.031127>
- Hatta, K. A., & Syed Osman, S. B. A. (2015). Correlation of Electrical Resistivity and SPT-N Value from Standard Penetration Test (SPT) of Sandy Soil. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, *785*, 702–706. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.785.702>
- Hayman, S. (1999). *The McCulloch-Pitts model*. *6*, 4438–4439 vol.6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.1999.830886>
- Hazreek, Z. A. M., Kamarudin, A. F., Rosli, S., Fauziah, A., Akmal, M. A. K., Aziman, M., Azhar, A. T. S., Ashraf, M. I. M., Shaylinda, M. Z. N., Rais, Y., Ishak, M. F., & Alel, M. N. A. (2018). Integral Analysis of Seismic Refraction and Ambient Vibration Survey for Subsurface Profile Evaluation. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *995*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/995/1/012073>
- Hazreek, Z. A. M., Rosli, S., Chitral, W. D., Fauziah, A., Azhar, A. T. S., Aziman, M., & Ismail, B. (2012). Integral Analysis of Geoelectrical (Resistivity) and Geotechnical (SPT) Data in Slope Stability Assessment. *Academic Journal of Science*, *1*(2), 305–316. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234054357_Integral_Analysis_of_Geoelectrical_Resistivity_and_Geotechnical_SPT_Data_in_Slope_Stability_Asses

sment

- Hazreek, Z. A. M., Rosli, S., Chitral, W. D., Fauziah, A., Azhar, A. T. S., Aziman, M., & Ismail, B. (2015). Soil Identification Using Field Electrical Resistivity Method. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 622(1), 0–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/622/1/012030>
- He, J., Xu, L., Wang, P., & Wang, Q. (2017). A high precise E-nose for daily indoor air quality monitoring in living environment. *Integration*, 58, 286–294. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vlsi.2016.12.010>
- Hengl, T., & Macmillan, R. A. (2019). Predictive Soil Mapping with R. In *Predictive soil mapping with R*. www.soilmapper.org
- Hernandez, G. T. (2011). Estimating the Soil–Water Characteristic Curve Using Grain Size Analysis and Plasticity Index. *Vasa*, May, 257. http://medcontent.metapress.com/index/A65RM03P4874243N.pdf%5Cnhttp://repository.asu.edu/attachments/56747/content/TorresHernandez_asu_0010N_10702.pdf
- Hiltunen, D. R. (2005). Practical applications of engineering geophysics to help solve tough problems and lead to improved technologies. *Soil Dynamics Symposium in Honor of Professor Richard D*, 1–15.
- Hofmann, M., & Klinkenberg, R. (2013). *RapidMiner: Data Mining Use Cases and Business Analytics Applications*. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Hung, J.-H., Wu, Y.-H., Yeh, E.-C., & Wu, J.-C. (2007). Subsurface Structure, Physical Properties, and Fault Zone Characteristics in the Scientific Drill Holes of Taiwan Chelungpu-Fault Drilling Project. *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*
- Hussein Abdelwahab. (2013). Comparison of 2D and 3D Resistivity Imaging Methods in the Study of Shallow Subsurface Structures. *Greener Journal of Physical Sciences*.
- Ibrahim, R. (2015). *Using Seismic Refraction Method to Investigate The Shallow Geologic Structures at Ayer Hangat Area , Langkawi , Malaysia* [Universiti Sains Malaysia]. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4834.8000>
- Ivie, S. (2015). *Building Dynamics CRM 2015 dashboards with Power BI: build interactive and analytical sales productivity dashboards for Dynamics CRM 2015 with Power BI*. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=1056298>

- J. Erik Loehr, Lutenecker, A., Rosenblad, B., & Boeckmann, A. (2017). Geotechnical Site Characterization. *Geotechnical Engineering Circular No.5, FHWA NHI-1(132031)*.
- James, L. (2021). *What is Linear Regression?* Statistics Solutions. <https://www.statisticssolutions.com/what-is-linear-regression/>
- Jared, D. (2014). *Big Data, Data Mining, and Machine Learning*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- JMG. (2012). *Geological Map of Peninsular Malaysia*. Director General of Minerals and Geoscience Malaysia. https://jmg.gov.my/add_on/mt/smnjg/tiles/
- John, P. (2012). *Boring Log Preparation*. 252.
- Jones, B. (2014). Communicating Data with Tableau: Designing, Developing, and Delivering Data Visualizations. In *O'Reilly Media, Inc.* (Vol. 39, Issue 1).
- Jusoh, H., & Osman, S. B. S. (2017). The correlation between resistivity and soil properties as an alternative to soil investigation. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2017/v10i6/111205>
- Kaminsky, A. (2021a). *ZondRes2D User Manual*. Zond Software Inc. <http://zond-geo.com/english/zond-software/ert-and-ves/zondres2d/>
- Kaminsky, A. (2021b). *ZondST2D User Manual*. Zond Software Inc. <http://zond-geo.com/english/zond-software/seismic-tomography/zondst2d/>
- Kaviani, S., & Sohn, I. (2021). Application of complex systems topologies in artificial neural networks optimization: An overview. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 180, 115073. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115073>
- Kelly, S. F. (1938). *Cutting Exploration Costs with Geophysics*. <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=TcdfzgEACAAJ>
- Khandelwal, M., Shirani Faradonbeh, R., Monjezi, M., Armaghani, D. J., Majid, M. Z. B. A., Yagiz, S., & service), S. (Online. (2016). *Function development for appraising brittleness of intact rocks using genetic programming and non-linear multiple regression models*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-016-0452-3>
- Kibria, G., & Hossain, M. S. (2012). Investigation of geotechnical parameters affecting electrical resistivity of compacted clays. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 138(12), 1.
- Kim, J. H. (2011). *Improvement of Geotechnical Site Investigations Via Statistical Analyses and Simulation* (Issue August). Ph.D. Thesis. Georgia Institute of Technology.

- Kirar, B., Maheshwari, B. K., & Muley, P. (2016). Correlation between shear wave velocity (vs) and SPT resistance (N) for Roorkee region. *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 2(1), 9.
- Knaflic, C. N. (2015). *Storytelling with Data: a Data Visualization Guide for Business Professionals*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Koehrsen, W. (2021). *An Implementation and Explanation of the Random Forest in Python*. Towardsdatascience.Com. <https://towardsdatascience.com/an-implementation-and-explanation-of-the-random-forest-in-python-77bf308a9b76>
- Kotsiantis, S., Patriarcheas, K., & Xenos, M. (2010). A combinational incremental ensemble of classifiers as a technique for predicting students' performance in distance education. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 23(6), 529–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.KNOSYS.2010.03.010>
- Kruzlicova, D., Mocak, J., & Hrivnak, J. (2008). Classification of wine varieties using multivariate analysis of data obtained by gas chromatography with microcolumn extraction. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research*, 47(1), 37–44.
- Kumar, K., & Thakur, G. S. M. (2012). Advanced Applications of Neural Networks and Artificial Intelligence: A Review. *International Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science*, 4(6), 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.5815/ijitcs.2012.06.08>
- Kuncheva, L. I., Whitaker, C. J., & Narasimhamurthy, A. (2008). A case-study on naïve labelling for the nearest mean and the linear discriminant classifiers. *Pattern Recognition*, 41(10), 3010–3020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PATCOG.2008.03.028>
- Kyrimi, E., McLachlan, S., Dube, K., Neves, M. R., Fahmi, A., & Fenton, N. (2021). A comprehensive scoping review of Bayesian networks in healthcare: Past, present and future. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 117, 102108. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2021.102108>
- Lafifi, B., Rouaiguia, A., & Boumazza, N. (2019). Optimization of Geotechnical parameters using Taguchi's design of experiment (DOE), RSM and desirability function. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-019-0218-z>
- Lafifi, B., Rouaiguia, A., Boumazza, N., Alsharari, B., Olenko, A., Abuel-Naga, H., Daoud, W. A., Kasama, K., Saleh, N. M., Negm, A. M., Amiri, F., Firoozfar, A., Seyrafian, S., Ghoreishi, B., Khaleghi Esfahani, M., Alizadeh Lushabi, N.,

- Amini, O., Aghamolaie, I., Hashim, N. A. A. N., ... Nadim, F. (2016). Evaluation of Geotechnical Parameters Using Geophysical Data. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 4(1), 96–114. <https://doi.org/10.21660/2016.21.5398>
- Le Maitre, R. W. (1982). *Numerical Petrology: Statistical Interpretation of Geochemical Data*. Elsevier Science. <https://public.ebookcentral.proquest.com/choice/publicfullrecord.aspx?p=1838208>
- Lelievre, P. G. (2009). *Integrating Geologic and Geophysical Data Through Advanced Constrained Inversions* (Issue March). Ph.D. Thesis. University of British Columbia.
- Liang, Y., Cao, L., & Liu, J. (2015). Statistical Correlations between SPT N-Values and Soil Parameters : Part I : SPT N vs EPMT in Victoria Street Station Statistical Correlations between SPT N-Values and Soil Parameters. *Department of Civil Engineering, Ryerson University, June*.
- Lin, J., Cai, G., Liu, S., Puppala, A. J., & Zou, H. (2017). Correlations between electrical resistivity and geotechnical parameters for Jiangsu marine clay using Spearman's coefficient test. *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, 15(3), 419.
- Loke, M. H. (2000). *Electrical Imaging Surveys for Environmental and Engineering Studies*. Geotomo Software Sdn. Bhd.
- Loke, M. H. (2014). *Tutorial : 2-D and 3-D electrical imaging surveys*. Geotomo Software Sdn. Bhd.
- Loke, M. H., Chambers, J. E., & Oliver, K. (2011). *Instrumentation, Electrical Resistivity*. Geotomo Software Sdn. Bhd.
- Long, M. (Michael M. ., Donohue, S., L'Heureux, J.-S., Al., E., & Lecomte, I. (2012). Relationship Between Electrical Resistivity and Basic Geotechnical Parameters for Marine Clays. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*.
- Lopes, I., Santos, J. A., & Gomes, R. C. (2014). VS profile: measured versus empirical correlations—a Lower Tagus river valley example. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 73(4), 1127–1139.
- Lumetzberger, M. (2014). *3-D and 2-D Resistivity and IP Mapping of Geology and Chlorinated Aliphatics at Färgaren 3 , Kristianstad*. Master Thesis. Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen.
- Malaysia Standard. (2005). *MS 1056: 2005: Soil for Civil Engineering Purpose - Test*

- Method*. Department of Standards Malaysia (STANDARDS MALAYSIA).
 Malaysia Standard. (2006). *MS 2038: 2006: Site Investigation - Code of Practice*.
 Department of Standards Malaysia (STANDARDS MALAYSIA).
- Mancini, M., Mircoli, A., Potena, D., Diamantini, C., Duca, D., & Toscano, G. (2020). Prediction of pellet quality through machine learning techniques and near-infrared spectroscopy. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *147*, 106566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CIE.2020.106566>
- Manohar, S. (2017). *Mastering Machine Learning with Python in Six Steps*. Springer Science Business Media New York.
- Marsland, S. (2009). Using habituation in machine learning. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, *92*(2), 260–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NLM.2008.05.014>
- Marto, A., Soon, T. C., Kasim, F., & Suhatri, M. (2013). A correlation of shear wave velocity and standard penetration resistance. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, *18*, 463–471.
- MathWorks. (2014). *Matlab: File Input and Output Primer*. The MathWorks, Inc.
- May, J. O., & Looney, S. W. (2020). Biometrics & Biostatistics Sample Size Charts for Spearman and Kendall Coefficients. *Journal of Biometrics & Biostatistics*, *11*(6), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.37421/jbmbms.2020.11.440>
- McLachlan, S., Dube, K., Hitman, G. A., Fenton, N. E., & Kyrimi, E. (2020). Bayesian networks in healthcare: Distribution by medical condition. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, *107*, 101912. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101912>
- Microsoft. (1989). *Microsoft Excel*. Microsoft Corp.
- Mingoti, S. A., & Lima, J. O. (2006). Comparing SOM neural network with Fuzzy c-means, K-means and traditional hierarchical clustering algorithms. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *174*(3), 1742–1759. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2005.03.039>
- Mohamad, E. T., Alel, M. N. A., & Masdi, N. F. (2015). Correlation between seismic refraction and borehole data for subsurface evaluation. *Jurnal Teknologi*, *76*(2). <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v76.5427>
- Mohammed, M. A., Saad, R., Ismail, N. A., Muhammad, S. B., Taib, A., & Saidin, M. (2019). Subsurface Soil Evaluation using Seismic Refraction Tomography and Standard Penetration Test at Bukit Bunuh Impact Crater Area. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, *4*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.522158>

- Momeni, E., Jahed Armaghani, D., Hajihassani, M., & Mohd Amin, M. F. (2015). Prediction of Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock Samples Using Hybrid Particle -Warm Optimization-Based Artificial Neural Networks. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 60, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2014.09.075>
- Mota, R., & Monteiro Santos, F. A. (2010). 2D Sections of Porosity and Water Saturation from Integrated Resistivity and Seismic Surveys. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 8(6), 575–584. <https://doi.org/10.3997/1873-0604.2010042>
- MSIA. (2007). *Schedule Rates for Geotechnical Site Investigation*. The Malaysian Site Investigators Association.
- Musci, M., Parigi, G., Cantoni, V., & Piastra, M. (2020). A scalable multi-signal approach for the parallelization of self-organizing neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 123, 108–117. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2019.11.016>
- Newton, I. (2014). *Minitab Cookbook*. Packt Publishing. <http://site.ebrary.com/id/10840006>
- Neyamadpour, A. (2010). *Inversion of 2D and 3D DC Resistivity Imaging Data for High Contrast Geophysical Regions Using Artificial Neural Networks*. Degree Thesis of Doctor of Philosophy, University of Malaya.
- Nielsen, R. F., Nazemzadeh, N., Sillesen, L. W., Andersson, M. P., Gernaey, K. V., & Mansouri, S. S. (2020). Hybrid machine learning assisted modelling framework for particle processes. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 140, 106916. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPCHEMENG.2020.106916>
- Nihad, B. S. (2020). Geotechnical characteristics correlations for fine-grained soils. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 737(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/737/1/012099>
- Ning, X., Yac, L., Wang, X., Benatallah, B., Dong, M., & Zhang, S. (2020). Rating prediction via generative convolutional neural networks based regression. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 132, 12–20. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2018.07.028>
- Nogueira, P. V., Rocha, M. P., Borges, W. R., Seimetz, E. X., & Cavalcanti, M. M. (2014). Comparison Between Results of Seismic Refraction and Standard Penetration Test (SPT) to Study Shallow Geological Subsurface in an Urban Area of Brasilia, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Geofísica*, 32(4), 673–679.

<https://doi.org/10.22564/rbgf.v32i4.536>

- North, M. (2012). Data Mining for the Masses Second Edition. In *Computer*.
- Osman, S., Baharom, S., & Siddiqui, F. I. (2014). Correlation of electrical resistivity with some soil parameters for the development of possible prediction of slope stability and bearing capacity of soil using electrical parameters. *Pertanika Journal Science and Technology*, 22(1), 139.
- Ozcep, F., Tezel, O., & Asci, M. (2009). Correlation between electrical resistivity and soil-water content: Istanbul and Golcuk. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 4(6), 362–365.
- Özesmi, S. L., Tan, C. O., & Özesmi, U. (2006). Methodological issues in building, training, and testing artificial neural networks in ecological applications. *Ecological Modelling*, 195(1), 83–93. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.11.012>
- Paruelo, J., & Tomasel, F. (1997). Prediction of functional characteristics of ecosystems: a comparison of artificial neural networks and regression models. *Ecological Modelling*, 98(2), 173–186. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(96\)01913-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(96)01913-8)
- Pellerin, L. (2002). Applications of Electrical and Electromagnetic Methods for Environmental and Geotechnical Investigations. *Surveys in Geophysics*.
- Philip, K., Michael, B., & Hill, I. (2002). *An Introduction to Geophysical Exploration*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Phoon, K. K. (2017). The Story of Statistics in Geotechnical Engineering. *Georisk*, 14(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17499518.2019.1700423>
- Pollack, S. (2014). *Boost: a practical generalization of AdaBoost*. University of California, Berkeley. <http://dams.lib.berkeley.edu/downloads/h702q7744>
- Prateek, J. (2017). *Artificial Intelligence with Python*. Packt Publishing Ltd.
- Public Works Department. (2012). *Schedule of Rates for the Site Investigation Works*. Public Works Department Malaysia.
- Public Works Department. (2016). *Geotechnical Engineering Handbook*. Geotechnical Engineering Branch, Public Works Department malaysia.
- Puri, N., Prasad, H. D., & Jain, A. (2018). Prediction of Geotechnical Parameters Using Machine Learning Techniques. *Procedia Computer Science*, 125, 509–517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.12.066>
- R.F. Craig. (2004). Craig's Soil Mechanics. In *Angewandte Chemie International*

- Edition, 6(11), 951–952. Spon Press.*
- Reese, K. M. (2021). Deep learning artificial neural networks for non-destructive archaeological site dating. *Journal of Archaeological Science, 132*, 105413. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2021.105413>
- Reynolds, J. M. (2011). *An Introduction to Applied and Environmental Geophysics*. JohnWiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Rezaei, S., Shooshpasha, I., & Rezaei, H. (2018). Empirical Correlation between Geotechnical and Geophysical Parameters in a Landslide Zone (Case Study: Nargeschal Landslide). *Earth Sciences Research Journal, 22(3)*, 195. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.15446/esrj.v22n3.69491>
- Rezaei, S., Choobasti, A. J., & Kutanaei, S. S. (2015). Site effect assessment using microtremor measurement, equivalent linear method, and artificial neural network (case study: Babol, Iran. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 8(3)*, 1453–1466.
- Rezaei, Sadegh, Morelli, S., & Fanti, R. (2019). A Review of the Advantages and Limitations of Geophysical Investigations in Landslide Studies. *International Journal of Geophysics, 2019*, 2983087. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2983087>
- Rezaei, Sadegh, Shooshpasha, I., & Rezaei, H. (2018a). Empirical Correlation between Geotechnical and Geophysical Parameters in a Landslide Zone (Case Study: Nargeschal Landslide). *Earth Sciences Research Journal*.
- Rezaei, Sadegh, Shooshpasha, I., & Rezaei, H. (2018b). Empirical Correlation Between Geotechnical and Geophysical Parameters in a Landslide Zone (Case Study: Nargeschal landslide). *Earth Sciences Research Journal, 22(3)*, 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.15446/esrj.v22n3.69491>
- Ripley, G. D., & Druseikis, F. C. (1978). A statistical analysis of syntax errors. *Computer Languages, 3(4)*, 227–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-0551\(78\)90041-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-0551(78)90041-3)
- Roiger, R. J. (2017). Data Mining: A Tutorial-Based Primer. In *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (Vol. 53, Issue 9).
- Rosli, N., Ismail, N. A., Saad, R., & Rahman, N. (2020). A New Approach in Calculating Porosity of Shallow Unconsolidated Soil Based on Archie's Law. *Journal of Earth System Science, 129(1)*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-019-1282-7>
- Ruben, A. U. M., Asmawisham Alel, M. N., Ab Kadir, M. A., & Yuzir, A. (2019).

- Prediction of shear wave velocity in underground layers using Particle Swarm Optimization. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 527(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/527/1/012012>
- Saad, R. (2009). *Novel Protocol of Engineering Geophysics in Urban Environments*. Ph.D. Thesis. School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Saad, R., Nawawi, M. N. M., & Mohamad, E. T. (2011). Groundwater Detection in Alluvium Using 2-D Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT). *EJGE*.
- Said, M. J. M., Zainorabidin, A., & Madun, A. (2012). *Soil Velocity Profile on Soft Soil Using Seismic Refraction*.
- Sanjay, S. N. (2020). *Application of Machine Learning Algorithms for Tool Condition Monitoring Using Python*. Master of Science Degree Thesis, Politecnico Di Torino.
- Sarkar, G., Siddiqua, S., Banik, R., & Rokonzaman, M. (2015). Prediction of Soil Type and Standard Penetration Test (SPT) Value in Khulna City, Bangladesh Using General Regression Neural Network. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 48(3–4), 190–203. <https://doi.org/10.1144/qjegh2014-108>
- SAS. (2021a). *JMP® 16 Fitting Linear Models*. SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina 27513-2414.
- SAS. (2021b). *JMP® 16 Multivariate Methods*. SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina 27513-2414.
- SAS. (2021c). *JMP® 16 Predictive and Specialized Modeling*. SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina 27513-2414.
- SAS. (2021d). *Using JMP® 16*. SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina 27513-2414.
- Sastry, R. G., Chahar, S., & Viladkar, M. N. (2018). Statistical analysis of geo-electric imaging and geotechnical test results – a case study. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 127(5), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-018-0963-y>
- Scales, J. A., Smith, M. L., & Treitel, S. (2001). *Introductory Geophysical Inverse Theory*. The Samizdat Press.
- Schatten, M., Ševa, J., & Okreša Đurić, B. (2015). Big Data Analytics and the Social Web - A Tutorial for the Social Scientist. *European Quarterly of Political Attitudes and Mentalities EQPAM*, 4(43), 30–81. <https://sites.google.com/a/fspub.unibuc.ro/european-quarterly-of-political->

- attitudes-and-mentalities/Home/eqpam-vol-4-no-3-july2015-tutorial-schatten
- Scikit-Learn. (2021a). *Cross-validation: evaluating estimator performance*.
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/cross_validation.html
- Scikit-Learn. (2021b). *Metrics and scoring: quantifying the quality of predictions*.
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/model_evaluation.html#mean-squared-error
- Scott, S. (2015). *Data Science Fundamentals in R: AdaBoost*.
<http://www.books24x7.com/marc.asp?bookid=75443>
- Sebastian, R. (2017). *Python Machine Learning*. Packt Publishing Ltd.
- Sheriff, R. E., & Geldart, L. P. (1995). *Exploration Seismology*. The Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge.
- Shi, H., & Liu, Y. (2011). *Naïve Bayes vs. Support Vector Machine: Resilience to Missing Data*. 680–687. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23887-1_86
- Shong, N. (2010). Pearson's versus Spearman's and Kendall's correlation coefficients for continuous data. In *Graduate School of Public Health*. Master Thesis. University of Pittsburgh.
- Siddiqui, F. I., & Osman, S. B. A. (2013). Simple and multiple regression models for relationship between electrical resistivity and various soil properties for soil characterization. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 70(1), 259.
- Sil, A., & Haloi, J. (2017). Empirical correlations with standard penetration test (SPT)-N for estimating shear wave velocity applicable to any region. *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 3(3), 22.
- Sil, Arjun, & Haloi, J. (2017). Empirical Correlations with Standard Penetration Test (SPT)-N for Estimating Shear Wave Velocity Applicable to Any Region. *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 3(3), 0. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-017-0099-1>
- Smith, G. ., & Smith, I. G. N. (1998). *Elements of Soil Mechanics, Seventh Edition*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Soong, T. T. (2004). Fundamentals of Probability and Statistics for Engineers. In *The American Statistician* (Vol. 50, Issue 4). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2684940>
- Streiner, D. L. (1993). An Introduction to Multivariate Statistics. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 38(1), 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/070674379303800104>
- Syed, B. A., & Siddiqui, F. I. (2012). Use of vertical electrical sounding (VES) method

- as an alternative to standard penetration test (SPT). *Proceedings of the International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, July*, 871–875.
- Taib, S., & Hasan, A. N. (2002). A Meaningful Correlation Between Shallow Seismic Refraction Data to Borehole Data in Site Investigation Work. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia*, 45, 351–358. <https://doi.org/10.7186/bgsm45200255>
- Tamarappoo, B. K., Lin, A., Commandeur, F., McElhinney, P. A., Cadet, S., Goeller, M., Razipour, A., Chen, X., Gransar, H., Cantu, S., Miller, R. J., Achenbach, S., Friedman, J., Hayes, S., Thomson, L., Wong, N. D., Rozanski, A., Slomka, P. J., Berman, D. S., & Dey, D. (2021). Machine learning integration of circulating and imaging biomarkers for explainable patient-specific prediction of cardiac events: A prospective study. *Atherosclerosis*, 318, 76–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATHEROSCLEROSIS.2020.11.008>
- Taylor, J. M. G. (1987). Kendall's and Spearman's Correlation Coefficients in the Presence of a Blocking Variable. *Biometrics*, 43(2), 409. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2531822>
- Telford, W. M. (1990). *Applied Geophysics Second Edition*. Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge.
- Telford, W. M., Geldart, L. P., & Sheriff, R. E. (2004). *Applied Geophysics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Terzaghi, K., & Peck, R. B. (1967). *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice (2nd Edition)*. New York: Wiley.
- Tom, D. (2020). A Brief Introduction to Machine Learning with JMP. *Machine Learning*, 45(13), 40–48. <https://books.google.ca/books?id=EoYBngEACAAJ&dq=mitchell+machine+learning+1997&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwiomdqfj8TkAhWGslkKHRCbAtoQ6AEIKjAA>
- Tomio, I. (2011). Geophysical Surveying for Imaging Near-Surface Structure and for Characterizing its Geotechnical properties. *Geology and Geotechnical Research Group*.
- USDA. (1987). USDA Textural Soil Classification. In *Soil Mechanics Level I Module 3 - USDA Textural Soil Classification* (pp. 1–53).
- V.Jaitha, A. (2017). An Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Bayesian Networks. *CMC Senior Theses*, 1–34.

- https://scholarship.claremont.edu/cmc_theses/1638/
- Vander, P. J. (2017). *Python Data Science Handbook: Essential Tools for Working with Data*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
- Veerkamp, R. F., van Pelt, M. L., Kamphuis, C., Athanasiadis, I., & Ducro, B. J. (2019). Comparing regression, naive Bayes, and random forest methods in the prediction of individual survival to second lactation in Holstein cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *102*(10), 9409–9421. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-16295>
- Vogelsang, D. (1995). Cost of Geophysical Surveys. In *Environmental Geophysics: A Practical Guide* (pp. 131–135). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-85141-4_4
- Wang, D. (2015). *Statistics for Engineers*. Department of Statistics, University of South Carolina.
- Wang, Y., Zhao, T., & Cao, Z. (2015). Site-Specific Probability Distribution of Geotechnical Properties. *Computers and Geotechnics*, *70*, 159–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2015.08.002>
- Wang, Z., Liu, Q., & Liu, Y. (2020). Mapping Landslide Susceptibility Using Machine Learning Algorithms and GIS: A Case Study in Shexian County, Anhui Province, China. *Symmetry*.
- Wesner, J. (2021). *MAE and RMSE — Which Metric is Better? – Human in a Machine World*. Medium. <https://medium.com/human-in-a-machine-world/mae-and-rmse-which-metric-is-better-e60ac3bde13d>
- Wikipedia. (2021). *Mean squared error - Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_squared_error#In_regression
- William, P. (1997). *Soil Mechanics: Concepts & Applications*. Taylor & Francis.
- Witten, I. H., Frank, E., Hall, M. A., & Pal, C. J. (2017). Part II. More advanced machine learning schemes. *Data Mining*, 205–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804291-5.00021-0>
- Yang, K., Kpotufe, S., & Feamster, N. (2021). An Efficient One-Class SVM for Anomaly Detection in the Internet of Things. *Networking and Internet Architecture, Cornell University*, 1–23. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11146>
- Yeung, A. T., & So, S. T. C. (2017). Geotechnical engineering properties of Hong Kong marine clays. *Soft Soil Engineering, May*, 695–700. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203739501>
- Yordkayhun, S., Sujitapan, C., & Chalermyanont, T. (2014). Joint analysis of shear

- wave velocity from SH-wave refraction and MASW techniques for SPT-N estimation. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 36, 333–344.
- Yusoh, R., Rosli, N., Rahman, N., Saad, R., & Saidin, M. (2020). Mapping Buried Alluvial Layer Using Integrated Seismic Refraction and 2-D Resistivity Inversions at Sungai Batu, Kedah, Malaysia. *Journal of Physical Science*.
- Zhang, C., Oh, S.-K., Fu, Z., & Pedrycz, W. (2020). Self-organized hybrid fuzzy neural networks driven with the aid of probability-based node selection and enhanced input strategy. *Neurocomputing*, 417, 471–489. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2020.08.072>
- Zhang, W. G., & Goh, A. T. C. (2013). Multivariate adaptive regression splines for analysis of geotechnical engineering systems. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 48, 82–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2012.09.016>
- Zhang, W. G., Zhang, R. H., Han, L., & Goh, A. T. C. (2019). Engineering Properties of the Bukit Timah Granitic Residual Soil in Singapore. *Underground Space (China)*, 4(2), 98–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.undsp.2018.07.001>
- Zhang, Y., Gomes, A. T., Beer, M., Neumann, I., Nackenhurst, U., & Kim, C. W. (2019). Modeling asymmetric dependences among multivariate soil data for the geotechnical analysis – The asymmetric copula approach. *Soils and Foundations*, 59(6), 1960–1979. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2019.09.001>
- Zheng, H., & Yuan, P. F. (2021). A generative architectural and urban design method through artificial neural networks. *Building and Environment*, 205, 108178. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108178>
- Zhou, L., Lai, K. K., & service), S. (Online. (2016). *AdaBoost Models for Corporate Bankruptcy Prediction with Missing Data*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-016-9581-4>

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Najmi, A. M., Mariyana, A. K., Shek, P. N., Nurizaty, Z., Ramli, M. Z., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Mujedu, K. A., Aluko, O. G. and Tohami, M. (2021) ‘Effect of Water-Cement Ratio on Mechanical Properties of Rubberized Fly Ash Concrete’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, p. 12017.
- Shahrin, M. I., Abdullah, R. A., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Saari, R., Ibrahim, N. A., Yusof, N. A. M. and Abd Rashid, M. F. (2021) ‘Convergence Study for Rock Unconfined Compression Test Using Discrete Element Method’, *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 13(3), pp. 119–124.
- Shahrin, M. I., Abdullah, R. A., Jeon, S., Jeon, B., Sa’ari, R. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2020) ‘Calibration of rock Brazilian test using discrete element method in LS-DYNA’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, p. 12026.
- Saleh, S., **Asmawisham Alel, M. N.**, Mohd Yunus, N. Z., Ahmad, K., Ali, N., Abang Hasbollah, D. Z. and Asnida Abdullah, R. (2019) ‘Geochemistry characterisation of marine clay’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
- Junaid, M., Abdullah, R. A., Saa’ri, R., **Alel, M.**, Ali, W. and Ullah, A. (2019) ‘Recognition of boulder in granite deposit using integrated borehole and 2d electrical resistivity imaging for effective mine planning and development’, *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia*, 2019(67).
- Upom, M. R. A., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Ab Kadir, M. A. and Yuzir, A. (2019) ‘Prediction of shear wave velocity in underground layers using Particle Swarm Optimization’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, p. 12012.
- Abdullah, R. A., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Ramli, M. Z., Kadir, M. A. A., Yunus, N. Z. M., Shan, L. S. and Abdullah, K. (2019) ‘Dynamic slope stability analysis for Sabah earthquake’, *Warta Geologi*, 45(2), pp. 48–51.
- Shahrin, M. I., Abdullah, R. A., Jeon, S., Jeon, B., Sa’ari, R. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2019) ‘Numerical Simulation on the Effect of Stemming to Burden Ratio on Rock Fragmentation by Blasting’, in *5th ISRM Young Scholars’ Symposium on Rock*

Mechanics and International Symposium on Rock Engineering for Innovative Future.

- Shahrin, M. I., Abdullah, R. A., Sa'ari, R., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Jeon, S. and Jeon, B. (2019) 'Effect of Burden to Hole Diameter Ratio on Rock Fragmentation by Blasting using LS-DYNA', in *2019 Rock Dynamics Summit*. CRC Press, pp. 697–701.
- Alel, M. N. A.**, Upom, M. R. A., Abdullah, R. A. and Abidin, M. H. Z. (2018) 'Estimating SPT-N value based on soil resistivity using hybrid ANN-PSO algorithm', in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, p. 12035.
- Alel, M. N. A.**, Upom, M. R. A., Abdullah, R. A. and Abidin, M. H. Z. (2018) 'Optimizing blasting's air overpressure prediction model using swarm intelligence', in *Journal of physics: conference series*, p. 12046.
- Hazreek, Z. A. M., Kamarudin, A. F., Rosli, S., Fauziah, A., Akmal, M. A. K., A. T. S., Ashraf, M. I. M., Shaylinda, M. Z. N., Rais, Y., Ishak, M. F. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2018) 'Integral Analysis of Seismic Refraction and Ambient Vibration Survey for Subsurface Profile Evaluation', in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*.
- Hazreek, Z. A. M., Nizam, Z. M., Md Dan, M. F., Shaylinda, M. Z. N., Faizal, T. B. M., Aishah, M. A. N., Ambak, K., Rosli, S., Rais, Y., Ashraf, M. I. M. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2018) 'Mapping on Slope Seepage Problem using Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI)', in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*.
- Abdullah, R. A., Yusufianshah, D., **Alel, M. A.**, Jusoh, S. N., Hezmi, M. A., Yunus, N. Z. M., Ali, A. N. and Azizi, M. A. (2018) 'Slope Stability Analysis Of Quarry Face at Karang Sambung District, Central Java, Indonesia', *International Journal Of Civil Engineering & Technology (IJCIET)*, 9(1), pp. 857–864.
- Abdullah, R. A., **Alel, M. N. A.**, Ramli, M. Z. and Shan, L. S. (2017) 'Dynamic Slope Stability Analysis during Sabah Earthquake', *Warta Geologi*, 43(3).
- Ramli, M. Z., Adnan, A., Kadir, M. A. A. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2017) 'Cost comparison for non-seismic (EC@) and seismic (EC8) design in different ductility class', *International Journal of Civil Engineering & Geo-Environmental*. Special Publication NCWE2017, pp. 38–42.
- Ab Kadir, M. A., Ramli, M. Z., Adnan, A. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2017) 'Seismic Vulnerability Assessment of Existing RC Buildings in Ranau, Sabah

- Malaysia', in *Global Summit of Research Institutes for Disaster Risk Reduction*, pp. 173–178.
- Mohamad, E. T., Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipannah, M., Murlidhar, B. R. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2016) 'Estimation of air-overpressure produced by blasting operation through a neuro-genetic technique', *Environmental Earth Sciences*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 75(2), p. 174. **(IF:1.77)**.
- Mohamad, E. T., Murlidhara, B. R., **Alel, M. N. A.** and Armaghani, D. J. (2016) 'Geological Study And Mining Plan Importance For Mitigating Alkali Silica Reaction In Aggregate Quarry Operation', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(7–3).
- Alel, M. N. A.**, Saad, R., Abdullah, R. A. and Wei, L. I. (2015) 'Applicability of electrical resistivity tomography in subsurface utilities engineering', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(2).
- Mohamad, E. T., **Alel, M. N. A.** and Masdi, N. F. (2015) 'Correlation between seismic refraction and borehole data for subsurface evaluation', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(2).
- Huan, T. Z., Abdullah, R. A., Amin, M. F. M., Hezmi, M. A., Rashid, A. S. A. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2015) 'Performance prediction of prefabricated vertical drain in soft soil using finite element method', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(2). Ghafar, M., Ramly, N., **Alel, M.**, Adnan, A., Mohamad, E. T. and Yunus, M. Z. M. (2015) 'A simplified method for preliminary seismic vulnerability assessment of existing building in Kundasang, Sabah, Malaysia', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 72(3).
- Liang, M., Mohamad, E. T., Khun, M. C. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2015) 'Estimating uniaxial compressive strength of tropically weathered sedimentary rock using indirect tests', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 72(3).
- Dan, M. F. M., Muhamad, E. T., Komoo, I. and **Alel, M. N. A.** (2015) 'Physical characteristics of boulders formed in the tropically weathered granite', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 72(3).