

IMPACT OF DAM AND LAND USE CHANGE ON THE FLOW
CHARACTERISTICS OF SELANGOR RIVER BASIN

MOHAMAD AMIRUL FITRY MOHD BAHAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2022

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, Mohd Bahar bin Mohd Ariffin, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have been that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, Nor Meza binti Mat Lasim, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor who is also the project leader, Professor Dr. Zulkifli Yusop, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr Eliza Alias for her guidance, advice and motivation. Besides, I am grateful to Mr Kamarul Azlan Modh Nasir for his precious assistance and guidance. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

Thanks are due to the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), Malaysia and the Selangor Water Management Board (LUAS) for providing the data and information for Selangor River Basin (SRB), without which my research project would not have been possible to start.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions especially to Mr Muhammad Wafiy Adli Ramli. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

Last but not least, I would like to convey my appreciations to my family and friends who always give me moral supports and encouragement. I wish you all the best. This research was funding from the Malaysia Research University Network (MRUN), vot number R.J130000.7851.4L897.

ABSTRACT

Rainfall-runoff response is the inter-play between rainfall, and the properties of a catchment. The aim of this study is to evaluate the influence of dam and land use change on the hydrological properties specifically on runoff, stormflow volume, time to peak and flow duration curve. This study chose Selangor River Basin (SRB) in view of its importance in providing about 40% of the water supply to Selangor and Klang Valley. There are two dams in the SRB, namely Sungai Selangor Dam and Sungai Tinggi Dam. In this study, SRB is divided into two catchments, namely CA (1529 km²) and CB (220 km²) of which CB is a sub-basin of the CA. Fifty two percent of the land area in CA is covered by forest, 11.7% is urban area and the rests are oil palm and agricultural. 94% of CB is covered by forest and forms the entire basin of the Sungai Selangor Dam. Prior to the dam construction in the year of 2007, the monthly runoff coefficient for CA ranges from 0.19 to 0.48 while for CB ranges from 0.59 to 0.79. This data was observed and collected in ten years period of time. The monthly runoff in CB shows a larger variation after the completion of dam with runoff coefficient between 0.28 and 0.89. The baseflow and stormflow before the dam construction made up about 51.5% and 48.5% of the total flow, respectively. The effect of dam operation was evident from the shift in the flow duration curve with reduction in the proportion of extreme high flow especially for CB and increased in the proportion of extreme low flow for CA. However, both low flow and stormflow in CA recorded a decrease of 70% and 58%, respectively. This must be due to heavy abstractions of river water for the treatment plants. The HEC-HMS model was calibrated and validated for three rainfall categories: light, medium and heavy. The findings showed that the future changes in land use are expected to increase the peak flow, and stormflow volume simultaneously shorten the time to peak. It is also found that the model parameters for years 2006 and 2016 were different and attributed to changes in the land use. The simulated peak flow in 2016 for 100-year ARI storm is three-fold higher than the base line condition in year 2006. In conclusion, the higher the imperviousness area the higher the peak flow and stormflow volume but lower the time to peak.

ABSTRAK

Tindak balas hujan dan air larian ialah interaksi di antara hujan dan ciri-ciri tadahan. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai pengaruh empangan dan perubahan guna tanah terhadap ciri-ciri hidrologi khususnya terhadap air larian, isipadu aliran ribut, masa ke puncak dan lengkung tempoh aliran. Kajian ini memilih Lembangan Sungai Selangor (SRB) kerana kepentingannya dalam menyalurkan kira-kira 40% bekalan air ke Selangor dan Lembah Klang. Terdapat dua empangan di SRB iaitu empangan Sungai Selangor dan empangan Sungai Tinggi. Dalam kajian ini, SRB dibahagikan kepada dua tadahan iaitu CA (1529 km²) dan CB (220 km²) yang mana CB merupakan sub-lembangan kepada CA. Lima puluh dua peratus daripada kawasan tanah di CA adalah hutan, 11.7% adalah bandar dan selebihnya merupakan kelapa sawit dan pertanian. 94% dari kawasan di CB masih dilitupi hutan dan membentuk keseluruhan lembangan bagi empangan Sungai Selangor. Pada tahun 2007 sebelum pembinaan empangan, pekali air larian bulanan untuk CA adalah di antara 0.19 hingga 0.48 manakala untuk CB dari 0.59 hingga 0.79. Data ini dicerap dan dikumpul dalam tempoh sepuluh tahun pemerhatian. Air larian bulanan di CB menunjukkan variasi yang lebih besar selepas pembinaan empangan selesai dibina dengan pekali air larian di antara 0.28 dan 0.89. Bagi aliran dasar dan aliran ribut, masing-masing membentuk kira-kira 51.5% dan 48.5% daripada jumlah aliran sebelum pembinaan empangan. Kesan operasi empangan jelas menunjukkan anjakan dalam lengkung tempoh aliran dengan pengurangan peratusan aliran tinggi melampau terutamanya untuk CB dan peningkatan aliran rendah melampau untuk CA. Walau bagaimanapun, kedua-dua aliran rendah dan aliran ribut di CA mencatatkan penurunan sebanyak 70% dan 58%. Kemungkinan besar, ini disebabkan peningkatan pengambilan air sungai oleh loji rawatan air. Model HEC-HMS telah ditentukan dan disahkan untuk tiga kategori hujan: hujan ringan, sederhana dan lebat. Pada masa hadapan, perubahan guna tanah dijangka akan meningkatkan aliran puncak, dan isipadu aliran ribut tetapi memendekkan masa untuk capai ke puncak. Juga didapati bahawa parameter model bagi tahun 2006 dan 2016 adalah berbeza dan ini berkait dengan perubahan guna tanah. Aliran puncak simulasi pada tahun 2016 untuk ribut ARI 100 tahun adalah tiga kali ganda lebih tinggi daripada keadaan garis dasar pada tahun 2006. Kesimpulannya, semakin tinggi kawasan kelapa air semakin tinggi aliran puncak, dan isipadu aliran ribut tetapi memendekkan masa ke puncak.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.2.1	Research Objectives	4
1.3	Scope of Study	4
1.4	Important of Study	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Hydrological Cycle	8
2.3	Rainfall-Runoff Response	9
2.3.1	Runoff Coefficient	10
2.4	Hydrological Impact of Land Use	11
2.4.1	Land Use Change Impact on Flood Potential	14
2.4.2	Land Use Change Impact on Low Flow or Drought	16

2.5	Effects of Dam Operation on Flow Regimes	17
2.6	Hydrograph Separation	18
2.7	Hydrological Model	20
2.7.1	Black Box Model	21
2.7.2	Type of Hydrological Model	23
2.7.3	Model Application in Malaysia	25
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Study Area	35
3.2.1	Catchment A	36
3.2.2	Catchment B	40
3.3	Sources of Data	41
3.4	Rainfall Characteristics and Data Quality Analysis	48
3.4.1	Imputation Techniques	48
3.4.2	Mean Areal Rainfall	50
3.4.3	Rainfall Characteristic	56
3.5	Rainfall-Runoff Response	56
3.5.1	Dam Operational	56
3.5.2	Runoff Coefficient, <i>C</i>	57
3.6	Baseflow Separation Method	59
3.7	Hydrological Model	60
3.8	100 Year ARI	63
3.9	Summary	63
CHAPTER 4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Rainfall Characteristics	65
4.2.1	Spatial Pattern of the Monthly Rainfall	69
4.3	Rainfall Runoff Response	72
4.4	Land Use Change Impact on Hydrograph Properties	79
4.5	River Flow Modelling	83
4.5.1	Land Use Change Analysis	83

4.5.2	Model Calibration and Validation	87
4.5.3	Land Use Scenario for Year 2016	98
4.5.4	100 Year ARI	102
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	105
5.1	Introduction	105
5.2	Assessment of Objectives	105
5.2.1	Objective 1: Rainfall Characteristics	106
5.2.2	Objective 2: Effect of Dam on River Flow Characteristics.	106
5.2.3	Objective 3: Runoff Hydrograph Characteristics	107
5.3	Research Recommendations	107
REFERENCES		109
APPENDICES		121
LIST OF PUBLICATION		133

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Land use change impact on streamflow properties by hydrological model	15
Table 2.2	Properties of the baseflow separation methods	19
Table 2.3	Features of lump, semi-distributed and fully distributed rainfall-runoff models	23
Table 2.4	Hydrological Model application in Malaysia	30
Table 3.1	Water Intake and Water treatment plants capacity in CA and CB	40
Table 3.2	Type and sources of data used in the analysis.	41
Table 3.3	Morphometric Parameters for CA and CB	43
Table 3.4	List of rainfall stations (cont)	52
Table 3.5	List of runoff stations	53
Table 3.6	Parameters used in HEC-HMS	60
Table 3.9	Set of hydrological data used for model calibration and validation	62
Table 4.1	The dam characteristics in SRB	72
Table 4.2	Corelation between runoff against rainfall before and after dam construction.	74
Table 4.3	Descriptive statistics of Runoff Coefficient before and after dam construction.	75
Table 4.4	Average value of baseflow, stormflow and lag time of several storm events for different storm categories (mm per event)	82
Table 4.5	Percentage of impervious area in each sub-basin for different years	82
Table 4.6	Muskingum Cunge Parameters used in the modelling	84
Table 4.7	Curve Number (CN) for selected land use	84
Table 4.8	The Land Use Compositions in SRB for Years 2006 and 2016	85
Table 4.9	CN values used for different sub-basins.	86

Table 4.10	Average Peak Discharge and Stormflow volume for Model Calibration and Validation	90
Table 4.11	Model Performance for Simulating Hydrograph of Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfall (2006)	90
Table 4.12	Lag Time Before and After Model Optimization for Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfalls	91
Table 4.13	Selected Manning Values for Simulating Hydrograph of Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfalls	91
Table 4.14	Calibrated and Validated Peak Discharge and Stormflow volume for Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfalls	95
Table 4.15	Model Performance for Simulating Hydrograph for Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfalls	95
Table 4.16	Lag Time Before and After Model Optimization of Streamflow of Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfalls	96
Table 4.17	Manning Value for Simulating Streamflow Resulted from Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfall in 2016	96
Table 4.18	The Values of Muskingum Cunge's Manning Used for Modelling Hydrograph	97
Table 4.19	Parameter Values used in HEC-HMS for Modelling Hydrograph	97
Table 4.20	Land Use Scenario in SRB	99
Table 4.21	Effect of land use change on peak discharge in SRB	99
Table 4.22	Peak discharge, stormflow volume and time to peak for 100-year ARI	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The hydrological cycle showing the water transfer process and water storages. Source Balasubramanian & Nagaraju, (1994)	9
Figure 2.2	Flow chart of hydrograph simulation using HEC-HMS	28
Figure 3.1	Major river basins in Selangor and (b) Selangor River Basin (SRB)	37
Figure 3.2	(a) Sub-catchments within the Catchment A and the location of dam and water level station and (b) Catchment B (CB) in the upstream of SRB	38
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the dam locations, water intakes and Water Treatment Plant (WTP) in the Sg Selangor River Basin	39
Figure 3.4	a) Stream network and stream density in CA and b) Stream network and stream density in CB	42
Figure 3.5	a) Elevation of CA and b) Elevation of CB	44
Figure 3.6	a) Land Use of CA in 2006 and b) Land Use of CA in 2016	45
Figure 3.5	a) Land Use of CB in 2006 and b) Land Use of CB in 2016	46
Figure 3.8	Flowchart of the research methodology	47
Figure 3.9	Multiple Imputation by Chained Equation (MICE) method.	49
Figure 3.10	Steps for computing areal rainfall using the Thiessen Polygon method	51
Figure 3.11	Screen short for creating Thiessen Polygon in ArcGIS.	54
Figure 3.12	a) Location of rainfall stations within and surrounding Catchment A and b) Location of rainfall stations within and surrounding Catchment B	55
Figure 3.13	Procedure for determining rainfall runoff response.	57
Figure 3.14	Procedure for calculating runoff coefficient, <i>C</i> .	58
Figure 3.15	HEC-GeoHMS procedure for modelling runoff	61

Figure 4.1	Annual rainfall trends in CA and CB	67
Figure 4.2	Mean Monthly rainfall in CA and CB	67
Figure 4.3	Rainfall heat map showing the intensity of rainfall on hourly interval and by month	68
Figure 4.4	Monthly areal rainfall in 2006	70
Figure 4.5	Monthly areal rainfall for year 2016	71
Figure 4.6	Rainfall-Runoff Relationship.	75
Figure 4.7	Boxplot of Runoff Coefficient for CA and CB.	76
Figure 4.8	Flow Duration Curve of CA prior and after dam construction	77
Figure 4.9	Flow Duration Curve of CB prior and after dam construction	78
Figure 4.10	Pervious and impervious areas in SRB for years 1996, 2006 and 2016	81
Figure 4.11	Model Calibration on Optimized Hydrograph of Medium Size Rainfall in 2006	88
Figure 4.12	Model Validation on Optimized Hydrograph of Medium Size Rainfall in 2006	89
Figure 4.13	Model calibration on Optimized Hydrograph of Medium rainfall in 2016	94
Figure 4.14	Model validation on Optimized Hydrograph of Medium rainfall in 2016	94
Figure 4.15	Simulated hydrographs for heavy rainfall under four land use scenarios	101
Figure 4.16	Simulated hydrographs for medium rainfall under four land use scenarios	101
Figure 4.17	Simulated hydrographs for of a 100-year storm based on land use in 2006 and 2016	103

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	- Artificial Neural Network
API	- Graphic Antecedent Precipitation Index
ARI	- Average Recurrence Interval
<i>C</i>	- Runoff Coefficient
CA	- Catchment A
CB	- Catchment B
CN	- Curve Number
DEM	- Digital Elevation Model
DID	- Department of Irrigation and Drainage
ET	- Evapotranspiration
FDC	- Flow Duration Curve
HEC-HMS	- Hydrologic Engineering Center-Hydrologic Modelling System
IHA	- Indicators of Hydrologic Alteration
LUAS	- Lembaga Urus Air Selangor
MICE	- Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equation
MIKE-SHE	- Systeme Hydrologique European
NEM	- Northeast Monsoon
NSE	- Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency
R^2	- Coefficient of Determination
SCS-CN	- Soil Conservation Service Curve Number
SRB	- Selangor River Basin
SWAT	- Soil and Water Assessment Tool
SWM	- Southwest Monsoon
UH	- Unit Hydrograph
UH	- Unit Hydrograph
WTP	- Water Treatment Plant

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Area
C	-	Runoff Coefficient
Cc	-	Compactness constant
Dd	-	Drainage density
F_s	-	Stream frequency
L_b	-	Basin Length
L_g	-	Length of overland flow
L_{sm}	-	Mean stream length
L_u	-	Stream length
m^3/s	-	Speed
N_u	-	Total number of streams
P	-	Perimeter
R^2	-	Square of Correlation Coefficient
R_c	-	Circulation ratio
R_e	-	Elongation ratio
R_f	-	Form factor
R_t	-	Drainage texture
S_w	-	Shape index
t-lag	-	Lag time
T_p	-	Time of peak
u	-	Stream order

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Value of Manning's ' <i>n</i> ' for Overland Flow	121
Appendix B	Hydrograph for Model Calibration and Validation Resulted from Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfall (2006)	122
Appendix C	Hydrograph for Model Calibration and Validation Resulted from Heavy, Medium and Light Rainfall (2016)	123
Appendix D	Command in R program to impute missing value using the multiple imputation linear regression method.	124
Appendix E	Command for computing Annual Maximum Series in R	126

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Background

Water availability and water demand have an impact on the socioeconomic development of a country. Malaysia is one of the developing countries that is undergoing a rapid increase in population along with significant growth in the urbanization and industrialization sectors to enhance standard of living. This has led to increases in water demand and water conflicts (Mohd Firdaus Hum & Abdul Talib, 2016). The conflicts arise when the water demand exceeds the available supply, and its water allocation fails to meet the demand. Therefore, in order to avoid a future conflict between competing demand, it is necessary to develop tools and techniques for improving water management. The main challenge to many water authorities is how to fulfil the increasing demand with limited water resources (Ali, Saadon, Faiza, & Rahman, 2014).

The Malaysia's climate is also affected by global climate change. In recent years, there is a growing concern about climate change risks in developed and developing countries such as it will affect water resources, public health, agriculture, energy infrastructure and other sectors in number of ways (Shahid et al., 2017). According to Shahid et al. (2017), climate change will increase daily temperature, change precipitation patterns, accelerate sea level rise, increase soil salinity, change soil moisture levels and land stability and increase the frequency of extreme hydrologic events. Increase in air temperature could accelerate the global hydrological cycle which are precipitation and evapotranspiration (Tan, Ficklin, Ibrahim, & Yusop, 2014). Climate and land use changes can significantly influence the hydrological characteristics of a watershed which directly affecting the water resources including altering the runoff volume (Narsimlu, Gosain, & Chahar, 2013).

Malaysia has undergone large-scale land use change to propel her economic development after gaining independence. Malaysian economic development in the 1960s and 1970s was mainly focussed on agricultural sector. As the result, most of the forested area had been converted into oil palm and rubber plantations (Abdullah & Nakagoshi, 2006). In the 1980s, Malaysian economic showed major transformation to focus on manufacturing sector and since then it became the fastest growing sector. The country's gross domestic product has grown to about 22.6%. As the result, the manufacturing sector has been catalysing other development activities such as urbanization and development of major infrastructures (Abdullah & Nakagoshi, 2006).

The state of Selangor is the most populated state in Malaysia. The demand for raw water in Selangor has reached to a stage where the demand exceeds the water availability and has difficulty to cater for the increasing demands in the near future (Ali, Saadon, et al., 2014). Selangor River Basin is the most important catchment which contributes over 60% of the water demand in the Klang Valley (Santhi & Mustafa, 2013). The rapid growth of population and economy development in Hulu Selangor and Kuala Selangor lead to changes in the land use in Selangor River Basin (SRB) which may consequently lead to changes in the hydrologic cycle. These changes could affect the hydrologic processes that affect the streamflow, water yields, low or high flows, erosion, surface runoff and evapotranspiration. Precipitation that falls in developed areas is expected to have a shorter time of concentration or in the other words may flow faster into streams and thus increasing the storm-water runoff response (Blume, Zehe, & Bronstert, 2007). This study aimed at assessing the effects of land use change on the hydrological characteristic of the Selangor River Basin (SRB).

1.2 Problem Statement

According to DID (2014), the number of flood event occurring along the Selangor River has increased from two events in 2000 (22 Dec and 7 Dec) to eight events in year 2014 and mostly flood event occur in Kuala Selangor especially during the monsoon and inter monsoon periods. As in other parts of Peninsular Malaysia, SRB receives two monsoons namely southeast monsoon (i.e., June to September) and northeast monsoon (i.e., December to March). Being the main source of raw water for Selangor and Klang Valley, it is extremely crucial to understand the long-term effect of land use on rainfall-runoff response.

About 57% of the land use in the SRB is still covered by natural forests which includes the forest reserve in the Selangor Dam's basin. This is followed by agricultural land which include rubber, oil palm plantations and some paddy fields that made up about 23% of the basin area (Othman, Chowdhury, Wan Jaafar, Faresh, & Shirazi, 2018). The land use changes might have modified the basin's hydrological behaviours. Besides assessment of historical data, the application of hydrological models could help demonstrate changes in the hydrological behaviour over a long term. It's also possible to predict the likely impact on streamflow behaviour based on land use change scenarios (Du et al., 2012). The information is useful for the state government and local authorities to plan appropriate land use and conservation strategies to ensure the sustainability of water resources in the basin.

The aim of this research is to study the rainfall characteristic and rainfall-runoff response (i.e., stormflow volume, baseflow, total runoff, runoff coefficient, C) to dam operation in the upstream and land use change. The land use will be assessed by comparing the impervious level to be determined from land use map from year 2006 and 2016. Then, future land use scenarios will be assessed to simulate the hydrograph properties such as stormflow volume and time to peak. In addition, the hydrograph properties resulted from an extreme rainfall (100-year ARI) was examined.

1.2.1 Research Objectives

The main goal of this studies is to assess the dam's influence on the hydrological attributes and the flood control effectiveness in the Selangor River Basin (SRB). The study's aim is to be achieved by addressing the specific objectives as follows:

- (a) To examine rainfall characteristics of the basin.
- (b) To assess the influence of dam on the streamflow characteristic in two catchments of different sizes
- (c) To model the hydrograph properties of the catchment under changing land-use using the HEC-HMS hydrological model.

1.3 Scope of Study

Several software and methods such as ArcGIS, HEC-HMS, Google Earth Pro and R program were used in this study. Generally, this study involved collation of rainfall and rainfall data from Department of Irrigation and Drainage, data handling, hydrological analysis and simulation of hydrograph. The scope of this study is listed as follows

1. Filling the missing data originally obtained from the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) using R program (MICE) for rainfall data.
2. Create the Selangor River Basin (SRB) map from DEM data (15m resolution from Alos Palsar) using ArcGIS. The SRB was divided into two sub-basins according to the location of their water level station, namely Catchment A (at Rantau Panjang station) and Catchment B (at Ampang Pecah station).
3. Preparing land use map by downloading the data from the USGS Earth Explorer (<https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>) with 30 m resolution then imported it into ArcGIS to be processed as a layer.

4. Preparing Thiessen Polygon for determining the areal rainfall of the basin by using ArcGIS.
5. Characterising the long-term rainfall behaviour which include the annual rainfall, temporal rainfall (heat map), rainfall intensity, monthly and annually rainfall trends and spatial pattern using R program. This analysis used 11 years daily rainfall data (2007-2017) for Catchment A and 10 years rainfall (2009-2018) for Catchment B.
6. Developing regression models on the relationship between runoff and rainfall to see the impact of dam on the yearly and monthly rainfall-runoff pattern.
7. The land use change was derived from google earth pro for year 1996, 2006 and 2016. After the polygon of impervious areas (road, building, degradation land) for each year, the files were imported into ArcGIS to map the pervious and impervious area. Then, the rainfall runoff response for each year was analysed to calculate the stormflow volume, total baseflow, time to peak, t-lag, raising and falling limb in order to study the effect land use change on hydrograph characteristics.
8. Performing hydrological modelling using HEC-HMS to examine the hydrograph properties under three future land use conditions with different storm intensities (light, medium and heavy rainfall). Then, the models were calibrated and validated using different sets of rainfall data.
9. The design rainfall using 100-year ARI was assessed to calculate the stormflow volume and time to peak.

1.4 Important of Study

The significance of this study is to understand how changing land-use and water abstraction activities affect the hydrological behaviour of SRB over past of 20 years. The result also can be used to estimate the future stormflow and baseflow volume including t-lag of hydrograph. This can help water authority to estimate and improving water supply management and water conservation. Besides that, the research findings are useful for land use planning in order to minimize flood risk.

REFERENCES

- A.Gaffar, F. N. (2012). Development of Pakistan ' s New Area Weighted Rainfall Using Thiessen Polygon Method. *Pakistan Journal of Meteorology*, 9(17), 107–116.
- Ab Razak, N. H., Aris, A. Z., Ramli, M. F., Looi, L. J., & Juahir, H. (2018). Temporal flood incidence forecasting for Segamat River (Malaysia) using autoregressive integrated moving average modelling. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11(2), S794–S804.
- Abdulkareem, J. H., Pradhan, B., Sulaiman, W. N. A., & Jamil, N. R. (2018). Review of studies on hydrological modelling in Malaysia. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 4(4), 1577–1605. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40808-018-0509-y>
- Abdulkareem, Jabir Haruna, Sulaiman, W. N. A., Pradhan, B., & Jamil, N. R. (2018). Relationship between design floods and land use land cover (LULC) changes in a tropical complex catchment. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 11(14).
- Abdullah, S. A., & Nakagoshi, N. (2006). Changes in landscape spatial pattern in the highly developing state of Selangor, peninsular Malaysia. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 77(3), 263–275.
- Acquaotta, F., & Fratianni, S. (2014). the Importance of the Quality and Reliability of the Historical Time Series for the Study of Climate Change. *Revista Brasileira de Climatologia*, 14(1), 20–38.
- Adam, J. C., Haddeland, I., Su, F., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2007). Simulation of reservoir influences on annual and seasonal streamflow changes for the Lena, Yenisei, and Ob' rivers. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 112(24), 1–22.
- Adnan, N. A., & Atkinson, P. M. (2018). Disentangling the effects of long-term changes in precipitation and land use on hydrological response in a monsoonal catchment. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11, S1063–S1077.
- Ahmad, S., Khan, I. H., & Parida, B. P. (2001). Performance of stochastic approaches for forecasting river water quality. *Water Research*, 35(18),

4261–4266.

- Alcala, C. M., & Dessler, A. E. (2002). Observations of deep convection in the tropics using the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) precipitation radar. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *107*(24).
- Alexakis, D. D., Grillakis, M. G., Koutroulis, A. G., Agapiou, A., Themistocleous, K., Tsanis, I. K., ... Hadjimitsis, D. G. (2014). GIS and remote sensing techniques for the assessment of land use change impact on flood hydrology: The case study of Yialias basin in Cyprus. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *14*(2), 413–426.
- Ali, M. F., Rahman, N. F. A., & Khalid, K. (2014). Discharge Assessment by Using Integrated Hydrologic Model for Environmental Technology Development. *Advanced Materials Research*, *911*, 378–382.
- Ali, M. F., Saadon, A., Faiza, N., & Rahman, A. (2014). InCIEC 2013. *InCIEC 2013*, (January).
- Ali, S., Ghosh, N. C., & Singh, R. (2010). Simulation pluie-débit utilisant un indice normalisé de précipitations antérieures. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, *55*(2), 266–274.
- Altaf, F., Meraj, G., & Romshoo, S. A. (2013). Morphometric Analysis to Infer Hydrological Behaviour of Lidder Watershed, Western Himalaya, India. *Geography Journal*, *2013*, 1–14.
- Amini, A., Ali, T. M., Ghazali, A. H. B., Aziz, A. A., & Akib, S. M. (2011). Impacts of Land-Use Change on Streamflows in the Damansara Watershed, Malaysia. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *36*(5), 713–720.
- Andersen, J., Refsgaard, J. C., & Jensen, K. H. (2001). Distributed hydrological modelling of the Senegal River Basin D model construction and validation, *247*, 200–214.
- Anees, M. T., Abdullah, K., Nordin, M. N. M., Rahman, N. N. N. A., Syakir, M. I., & Kadir, M. O. A. (2017). One- and Two-Dimensional Hydrological Modelling and Their Uncertainties. *Flood Risk Management*.
- Atlas, D., & Ulbrich, C. W. (2000). An observationally based conceptual model of warm oceanic convective rain in the tropics. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, *39*(12 PART 1), 2165–2181.
- Balasubramanian, A., & Nagaraju, D. (1994). The Hydrologic Cycle. *International Geophysics*, *56*(C), 115–135.

- Basarudin, Z., Adnan, N. A., Latif, A. R. A., Tahir, W., & Syafiqah, N. (2014). Event-based rainfall-runoff modelling of the Kelantan River Basin. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 18(1).
- Beheshti, Z., Firouzi, M., Shamsuddin, S. M., Zibarzani, M., & Yusop, Z. (2016). A new rainfall forecasting model using the CAPSO algorithm and an artificial neural network. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(8), 2551–2565.
- Blume, T., Zehe, E., & Bronstert, A. (2007). Rainfall-runoff response, event-based runoff coefficients and hydrograph separation. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 52(5), 843–862.
- Bosch, D. D., Arnold, J. G., Allen, P. G., Lim, K. J., & Park, Y. S. (2017). Temporal variations in baseflow for the Little River experimental watershed in South Georgia, USA. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 10(March 2018), 110–121. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.02.002>
- Bougadis, J., Adamowski, K., & Diduch, R. (2005). Short-term municipal water demand forecasting. *Hydrological Processes*, 19(1), 137–148.
- Burke, M., Jorde, K., & Buffington, J. M. (2009). Application of a hierarchical framework for assessing environmental impacts of dam operation: Changes in streamflow, bed mobility and recruitment of riparian trees in a western North American river. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(SUPPL. 3), S224–S236. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.07.022>
- Chawla, I., & Mujumdar, P. P. (2015). Isolating the impacts of land use and climate change on streamflow. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(8), 3633–3651.
- Chhabra, G., Vashisht, V., & Ranjan, J. (2017). A Comparison of Multiple Imputation Methods for Data with Missing Values. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(19), 1–7.
- Cook, C., & Bakker, K. (2012). Water security: Debating an emerging paradigm. *Global Environmental Change*, 22(1), 94–102. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.10.011>
- Cooper, M. (2010). Advanced Bash-Scripting Guide An in-depth exploration of the art of shell scripting Table of Contents. *Okt 2005 Abrufbar Uber Httpwww Tldp OrgLDPabsabsguide Pdf Zugriff 1112 2005*, 2274(November 2008), 2267–2274. Retrieved from <http://jamsb.austms.org.au/courses/CSC2408/semester3/resources/ldp/abs->

guide.pdf

- Damant, C., Austin, G. L., Bellon, A., & Broughton, R. S. (1983). Errors in the Thiessen technique for estimating areal rain amounts using weather radar data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 62(1–4), 81–94.
- Devia, G. K., Ganasri, B. P., & Dwarakish, G. S. (2015). A Review on Hydrological Models. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4(Icwrcoe), 1001–1007.
- Dlamini, N. S., Rowshon, M. K., Fikhri, A., Lai, S. H., & Mohd, M. S. F. (2017). Modelling the streamflow of a river basin using enhanced hydro-meteorological data in Malaysia, 291–298.
- Du, J., Qian, L., Rui, H., Zuo, T., Zheng, D., Xu, Y., & Xu, C. Y. (2012). Assessing the effects of urbanization on annual runoff and flood events using an integrated hydrological modeling system for Qinhuai River basin, China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 464–465, 127–139.
- F.C.Ros, L.M.Sidek, N.N.N.Ibrahim, & Razaf, A. A. (2008). Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) for the Kenyir Catchment , Malaysia. *International Conference on Construction and Building Technology*, (31), 325–334.
- Ferguson, B. K., & Suckling, P. W. (1990). Changing Rainfall-Runoff Relationships In The Urbanizing Peachtree Creek Watershed, Atlanta, Georgia. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 26(2), 313–322.
- Fulazzaky, M. A., Seong, T. W., & Masirin, M. I. M. (2010). Assessment of water quality status for the selangor river in Malaysia. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 205(1–4), 63–77.
- Goh, Y. C., Zainol, Z., & Mat Amin, M. Z. (2016). Assessment of future water availability under the changing climate: case study of Klang River Basin, Malaysia. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 14(1), 65–73.
- Guzha, A. C., Rufino, M. C., Okoth, S., Jacobs, S., & Nóbrega, R. L. B. (2018). Impacts of land use and land cover change on surface runoff, discharge and low flows: Evidence from East Africa. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 15(December 2017), 49–67.
- Han, D., & Bray, M. (2006). Automated Thiessen polygon generation. *Water Resources Research*, 42(11), 2–6.
- Houari, R., Bounceur, A., Tari, A. K., & Kecha, M. T. (2014). Handling missing data problems with sampling methods. *Proceedings - 2014 International Conference on Advanced Networking Distributed Systems and Applications*,

- INDS 2014*, (June), 99–104.
- Johnson, M. S., Coon, W. F., Mehta, V. K., Steenhuis, T. S., Brooks, E. S., & Boll, J. (2003). Application of two hydrologic models with different runoff mechanisms to a hillslope dominated watershed in the northeastern US : a comparison of HSPF and SMR, *284*, 57–76.
- Jun, C. L., Mohamed, Z. S., Peik, A. L. S., Razali, S. F. M., & Sharil, S. (2016). Flood forecasting model using empirical method for a small catchment area. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, *11*(5), 666–672.
- Kalantari, Z., Lyon, S. W., Folkesson, L., French, H. K., Stolte, J., Jansson, P. E., & Sassner, M. (2014). Quantifying the hydrological impact of simulated changes in land use on peak discharge in a small catchment. *Science of the Total Environment*, *466–467*, 741–754. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.047>
- Karamage, F., Zhang, C., Fang, X., Liu, T., Ndayisaba, F., Nahayo, L., ... Nsengiyumva, J. B. (2017). Modeling rainfall-runoffresponse to land use and land cover change in Rwanda (1990-2016). *Water (Switzerland)*, *9*(2).
- Kashaigili, J. J. (2008). Impacts of land-use and land-cover changes on flow regimes of the Usangu wetland and the Great Ruaha River, Tanzania. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, *33*(8–13), 640–647.
- Khalid, K., Ali, M. F., Abd Rahman, N. F., Mispan, M. R., Haron, S. H., Abd Rasid, M. Z., ... Kamaruddin, H. (2016). Application of SWAT hydrologic model in Malaysia: Recent research. *The Challenges of Agro-Environmental Research in Monsoon Asia*, 237–244.
- Kia, M. B., Pirasteh, S., Pradhan, B., Mahmud, A. R., Sulaiman, W. N. A., & Moradi, A. (2012). An artificial neural network model for flood simulation using GIS: Johor River Basin, Malaysia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *67*(1), 251–264.
- Kokkonen, T., Koivusalo, H., & Karvonen, T. (2001). A semi-distributed approach to rainfall-runoff modelling — a case study in a snow affected catchment, *16*, 481–493.
- Kumar, P., & Chandrapal, P. (2017). Study of Measurement of Precipitation. *International Journal for Scientific Research and Development*, *5*(3), 596–596.
- Kunert, N., Aparecido, L. M. T., Wolff, S., Higuchi, N., Santos, J. dos, Araujo, A. C.

- de, & Trumbore, S. (2017). A revised hydrological model for the Central Amazon: The importance of emergent canopy trees in the forest water budget. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 239, 47–57. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.03.002>
- Li, P., Li, H., Yang, G., Zhang, Q., & Diao, Y. (2018). Assessing the hydrologic impacts of land use change in the Taihu Lake Basin of China from 1985 to 2010. *Water (Switzerland)*, 10(11).
- Li, S., Yang, H., Lacayo, M., Liu, J., & Lei, G. (2018). Impacts of land-use and land-cover changes on water yield: A case study in Jing-Jin-Ji, China. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(4), 1–16.
- Li, Z., Deng, X., Wu, F., & Hasan, S. S. (2015). Scenario analysis for water resources in response to land use change in the middle and upper reaches of the heihe river Basin. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(3), 3086–3108.
- Lim, S. P., & Cheok, H. S. (2009). Two-dimensional flood modelling of the Damansara river. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Water Management*, 162(1), 13–24.
- Liu, J., Zhang, C., Kou, L., & Zhou, Q. (2017). Effects of Climate and Land Use Changes on Water Resources in the Taoer River. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2017.
- Liu, M., Tian, H., Chen, G., Ren, W., Zhang, C., & Liu, J. (2008). Effects of land-use and land-cover change on evapotranspiration and water yield in China during 1900-2000. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 44(5), 1193–1207.
- Liu, W., Wei, X., Fan, H., Guo, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, M., & Li, Q. (2015). Response of flow regimes to deforestation and reforestation in a rain-dominated large watershed of subtropical China. *Hydrological Processes*, 29(24), 5003–5015.
- Malek, M. A., Heyrani, M., & Juneng, L. (2015). Stream flow projection for Muar river in Malaysia using precis-HEC-HMS model. *ASM Science Journal*, 9(1), 8–19.
- Mei, Y., & Anagnostou, E. N. (2015). A hydrograph separation method based on information from rainfall and runoff records. *Journal of Hydrology*, 523, 636–649. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.083>
- Mishra, A. K., & Desai, V. R. (2005). Drought forecasting using stochastic models. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 19(5), 326–339.

- Mohd Akhir, M. F., Zakaria, N. Z., & Tangang, F. (2014). Intermonsoon Variation of Physical Characteristics and Current Circulation along the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Oceanography*, 2014, 1–9.
- Mohd Firdaus Hum, N. N., & Abdul Talib, S. (2016). Jurnal Teknologi Modeling Water Supply And Demand For Effective Water Management, 5, 15–20.
- Narsimlu, B., Gosain, A. K., & Chahar, B. R. (2013). Assessment of Future Climate Change Impacts on Water Resources of Upper Sind River Basin, India Using SWAT Model. *Water Resources Management*, 27(10), 3647–3662.
- Niehoff, D., Fritsch, U., & Bronstert, A. (2002). Land-use impacts on storm-runoff generation: Scenarios of land-use change and simulation of hydrological response in a meso-scale catchment in SW-Germany. *Journal of Hydrology*, 267(1–2), 80–93.
- Norazian Ramli, M. N., Yahaya, A. S., Ramli, N. A., Yusof, N. F. F. M., & Abdullah, M. M. A. (2013). Roles of imputation methods for filling the missing values: A review. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 7(SPEC. ISSUE 12), 3861–3869.
- NRC. (1994). This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible. <https://books.google.com>. *Oxford University*, XXX, 60.
- Nur Nadia Kamil, & Omar, S. F. (2017). The Impact of El Niño and La Niña on Malaysian Palm Oil Industry. *Oil Palm Bulletin*, 74(May 2017), 1–6. Retrieved from <http://palmoilis.mpob.gov.my/publications/OPB/opb74-nadia.pdf>
- Ogden, F. L., Crouch, T. D., Stallard, R. F., & Hall, J. S. (2013). Effect of land cover and use on dry season river runoff, runoff efficiency, and peak storm runoff in the seasonal tropics of Central Panama. *Water Resources Research*, 49(12), 8443–8462.
- Oleyiblo, J. O., & Li, Z. J. (2010). Application of HEC-HMS for flood forecasting in Misai and Wan'an catchments in China. *Water Science and Engineering*, 3(1), 14–22. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.3882/j.issn.1674-2370.2010.01.002>
- Othman, F., Chowdhury, M. S. U., Wan Jaafar, W. Z., Faresh, E. M. M., & Shirazi, S. M. (2018). Assessing risk and sources of heavy metals in a tropical river basin: A case study of the Selangor river, Malaysia. *Polish Journal of*

- Environmental Studies*, 27(4), 1659–1672.
- Pagano, T. C., & Sorooshian, S. (2014). Hydrologic cycle Thomas Pagano and Soroosh Sorooshian Dr Michael C MacCracken and Dr John S Perry Editor-in-Chief. *Encyclopedia of Global Environmental Change*, 1(May), 450–464.
- Pelletier, A., & Andréassian, V. (2020). Hydrograph separation: An impartial parametrisation for an imperfect method. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 24(3), 1171–1187.
- Rahim, B. E. E. A., Yusoff, I., Jafri, A. M., Othman, Z., & Abdul Ghani, A. (2012). Application of MIKE SHE modelling system to set up a detailed water balance computation. *Water and Environment Journal*, 26(4), 490–503.
- Razi, M. A. M., Ariffin, J., Tahir, W., & Arish, N. A. M. (2010). Flood Estimation Studies using Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) for Johor River, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(11), 930–939.
- Remondi, F., Burlando, P., & Vollmer, D. (2016). Exploring the hydrological impact of increasing urbanisation on a tropical river catchment of the metropolitan Jakarta, Indonesia. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 20, 210–221. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2015.10.001>
- Rohatyn, S., Rotenberg, E., Ramati, E., Tatarinov, F., Tas, E., & Yakir, D. (2018). Differential Impacts of Land Use and Precipitation on “Ecosystem Water Yield.” *Water Resources Research*, 54(8), 5457–5470.
- Romali, N. S., Yusop, Z., & Ismail, A. Z. (2018). Hydrological Modelling using HEC-HMS for Flood Risk Assessment of Segamat Town, Malaysia. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 318(1).
- Sandu, M.-A., & Virsta, A. (2015). Applicability of MIKE SHE to Simulate Hydrology in Argesel River Catchment. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*, 6, 517–524. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2015.08.135>
- Santhi, V. A., & Mustafa, A. M. (2013). Assessment of organochlorine pesticides and plasticisers in the Selangor River basin and possible pollution sources. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185(2), 1541–1554.
- Saudi, A. S. M., Kamarudin, M. K. A., Ridzuan, I. S. D., Ishak, R., Azid, A., & Rizman, Z. I. (2018). Flood risk index pattern assessment: case study in Langat River Basin. *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 9(2S), 12.

- Sayama, T., Matsumoto, K., Kuwano, Y., & Takara, K. (2019). Application of backpack-mounted mobile mapping system and rainfall-runoff-inundation model for flash flood analysis. *Water (Switzerland)*, *11*(5).
- Shahid, S., Pour, S. H., Wang, X., Shourav, S. A., Minhans, A., & Ismail, T. bin. (2017). Impacts and adaptation to climate change in Malaysian real estate. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, *9*(1), 87–103.
- Shao, G., Zhang, D., Guan, Y., Sadat, M. A., & Huang, F. (2020). Application of different separation methods to investigate the baseflow characteristics of a semi-arid sandy area, Northwestern China. *Water (Switzerland)*, *12*(2), 1–22.
- Shao, Q., Wong, H., Li, M., & Ip, W. C. (2009). Streamflow forecasting using functional-coefficient time series model with periodic variation. *Journal of Hydrology*, *368*(1–4), 88–95. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.029>
- Shirazi, S. M., Adham, I., Othman, F., Zardari, N. H., & Ismail, Z. (2016). Runoff trend and potentiality in Melaka Tengah catchment of Malaysia using SCS-CN and statistical technique. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management*, *24*(4), 245–257.
- Sitterson, J., Knightes, C., Parmar, R., Wolfe, K., Mucche, M., & Avant, B. (2017). An Overview of Rainfall-Runoff Model Types An Overview of Rainfall-Runoff Model Types. *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*, (September), 0–29.
- Sodhi, N. S., Koh, L. P., Brook, B. W., & Ng, P. K. L. (2004). Southeast Asian biodiversity: An impending disaster. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *19*(12), 654–660.
- Stella, J. M., & Warner, G. S. (2018). Modelling a hydrologic Black-Box. *Tecnologia y Ciencias Del Agua*, *9*(1), 101–112.
- Suhaila, J., Deni, S. M., Zawiah Zin, W. A. N., & Jemain, A. A. (2010). Trends in Peninsular Malaysia rainfall data during the southwest monsoon and northeast monsoon seasons: 1975-2004. *Sains Malaysiana*, *39*(4), 533–542.
- Sukristiyanti, S., Maria, R., & Lestiana, H. (2018). Watershed-based Morphometric Analysis: A Review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *118*(1).
- Suparta, W., Putro, W. S., Singh, M. S. J., & Asillam, M. F. (2015). The estimation

- of rainfall and precipitation variation during 2011 convective system using an artificial neural network over Tawau, Sabah. *International Conference on Space Science and Communication, IconSpace, 2015-Septe*, 479–484.
- Suryatmojo, H. (2015). Rainfall-runoff Investigation of Pine Forest Plantation in the Upstream Area of Gajah Mungkur Reservoir. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 28(Sustain 2014), 307–314. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.07.039>
- Tan, M. L., Ficklin, D. L., Ibrahim, A. L., & Yusop, Z. (2014). Impacts and uncertainties of climate change on streamflow of the johor River Basin, Malaysia using a cmip5 general circulation model ensemble. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 5(4), 676–695.
- Tan, M. L., Ibrahim, A. L., Yusop, Z., Duan, Z., & Ling, L. (2015). Impacts of land-use and climate variability on hydrological components in the Johor River basin, Malaysia. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60(5), 1–17. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2014.967246>
- Tayebiyani, A., Mohammad, T. A., Ghazali, A. H., & Mashohor, S. (2016). Artificial neural network for modelling rainfall-runoff. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 24(2), 319–330.
- Thompson, D. B. (2006). The Rational Method. *Engineering Hydrology*, (January), 21. Retrieved from <http://drdbthompson.net/writings/rational.pdf>
- van Ginkel, J. R., Linting, M., Rippe, R. C. A., & van der Voort, A. (2020). Rebutting Existing Misconceptions About Multiple Imputation as a Method for Handling Missing Data. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 102(3), 297–308. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2018.1530680>
- Wahab, N. A., Kamarudin, M. K. A., Gasim, M. B., Umar, R., Ata, F. M., & Sulaiman, N. H. (2016). Assessment of total suspended sediment and bed sediment grains in upstream areas of Lata Berangin, Terengganu. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 6(5), 757–763.
- Wang, G., & Xia, J. (2010). Improvement of SWAT2000 modelling to assess the impact of dams and sluices on streamflow in the Huai River basin of China. *Hydrological Processes*, 24(11), 1455–1471.
- Wang, H., Sun, F., & Liu, W. (2020). Characteristics of streamflow in the main stream of Changjiang River and the impact of the Three Gorges Dam.

- Catena*, 189(February), 104498. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104498>
- Warburton, M. L., Schulze, R. E., & Jewitt, G. P. W. (2012). Hydrological impacts of land use change in three diverse South African catchments. *Journal of Hydrology*, 414–415, 118–135.
- Woldesenbet, T. A., Elagib, N. A., Ribbe, L., & Heinrich, J. (2017). Hydrological responses to land use/cover changes in the source region of the Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. *Science of the Total Environment*, 575, 724–741. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.124>
- Wong, C. L., Liew, J., Yusop, Z., Ismail, T., Venneker, R., & Uhlenbrook, S. (2016). Rainfall characteristics and regionalization in peninsular malaysia based on a high resolution gridded data set. *Water (Switzerland)*, 8(11).
- Xu, C.-Y., Xiong, L., & Singh, V. P. (2017). *Black-Box Hydrological Models. Handbook of Hydrometeorological Ensemble Forecasting*.
- Yaghmaei, H., Sadeghi, S. H., Moradi, H., & Gholamalifard, M. (2018). Effect of Dam operation on monthly and annual trends of flow discharge in the Qom Rood Watershed, Iran. *Journal of Hydrology*, 557, 254–264. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.039>
- Yan, Y., Yang, Z., Liu, Q., & Sun, T. (2010). Assessing effects of dam operation on flow regimes in the lower Yellow River. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 2(5), 507–516. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2010.10.055>
- Yang, W., Li, D., Sun, T., & Ni, G. (2015). Saturation-excess and infiltration-excess runoff on green roofs. *Ecological Engineering*, 74, 327–336. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.10.023>
- Yaseen, Z. M., El-Shafie, A., Afan, H. A., Hameed, M., Mohtar, W. H. M. W., & Hussain, A. (2016). RBFNN versus FFNN for daily river flow forecasting at Johor River, Malaysia. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(6), 1533–1542.
- Zhang, Ling, Nan, Z., Xu, Y., & Li, S. (2016). Hydrological impacts of land use change and climate variability in the headwater region of the Heihe River Basin, northwest China. *PLoS ONE*, 11(6), 1–25. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158394>
- Zhang, Lu, Cheng, L., Chiew, F., & Fu, B. (2018). Understanding the impacts of

climate and landuse change on water yield. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 33, 167–174. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.04.017>

Zhou, Q., Liu, G., & Zhang, Z. (2009). Improvement and optimization of thiessen polygon method boundary treatment program. *2009 17th International Conference on Geoinformatics, Geoinformatics 2009*.

Zhu, C., & Li, Y. (2014). Long-Term Hydrological Impacts of Land Use/Land Cover Change From 1984 to 2010 in the Little River Watershed, Tennessee. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 2(2), 11–21. Retrieved from [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2095-6339\(15\)30002-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2095-6339(15)30002-2)

LIST OF PUBLICATION

Bahar, A. F., Yusop, Z., Alias, N. E., & Ramli, M. W. A. (2021). Influence of Dam to Rainfall-Runoff Response in a Tropical Climate – A Case Study of Selangor River Basin, Malaysia. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 1153(1), 012004.