

HYBRID APPROACH FOR MULTI-FINGER MOVEMENT CLASSIFICATION

NURAZRIN BINTI MOHD ESA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

HYBRID APPROACH FOR MYOELECTRIC CONTROL OF MULTI-FINGER
MOVEMENT CLASSIFICATION

NURAZRIN BINTI MOHD ESA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Computing
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azlan Mohd Zain, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Mahadi Bin Bahari for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

ABSTRACT

Identification of correct multi-finger movement class remains a difficulty in a myoelectric prosthetic hand. This is because only a small amplitude of electromyography (EMG) signal was produced by this multi-finger movement. Hence, powerful classification is needed to solve this problem. Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a classification method that has been widely used in classifying multi-finger movement. However, SVM only able to generate solution of multi-finger classification based on non-optimal default parameter. Hence, the objective of this research is to propose hybridization of Grey Wolf optimizer (GWO) with SVM namely hybrid GWO-SVM approach to enhance multi-finger movement classification. The multifinger movement dataset used in this study was from Khusaba et al. (2012) downloaded from free public database in raw forms. The data were generated from two surface EMG channels patched on the remaining limb using Delsys DE 2.x series EMG sensors. The generated EMG signal was then amplified using Delsys Bagnoli8 amplifier and sampling using A 12-bit analogue-to-digital converter (National Instruments, BNC- 2090) at 4000Hz. Both amplified and sampling processes were completed using Delsys EMGWorks Acquisition software. Next, pre-processing and feature extraction are important for the achievement in EMG analysis and control and by utilizing the feature extraction process, we can reduce the computational cost of a multifunction myoelectric control system. Furthermore, Hudgins feature set and Root mean square (RMS) feature extraction method were also employed to produce optimal features. The results showed that the proposed hybrid GWO-SVM approach has improved the classification accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity by 1.52 %, 14.22 % and 18.77 % respectively. Hence, the proposed hybrid approach can help in improving the performance of prosthesis hand for prosthetics people

ABSTRAK

Pengenalpastian kelas pergerakan pelbagai jenis jari yang betul masih menjadi kesukaran dalam penghasilan tangan palsu myoelektrik. Ini kerana hanya isyarat elektromiografi amplitud kecil (EMG) yang dihasilkan oleh pergerakan pelbagai jari ini. Oleh itu, teknik pengkelasan yang jitu diperlukan untuk mengatasi masalah ini. Support Vector Machine (SVM) adalah kaedah klasifikasi yang telah digunakan secara meluas dalam pengkelasan pelbagai pergerakan jari. Walau bagaimanapun, SVM hanya dapat menghasilkan penyelesaian klasifikasi berbilang jari berdasarkan nilai parameter tetap bukan nilai optimum. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mencadangkan hibridisasi Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) dengan SVM iaitu pendekatan GWO-SVM kacukan untuk meningkatkan klasifikasi pergerakan pelbagai jari. Set data pelbagai pergerakan jari yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah dari Khusaba et al. (2012) yang dimuat turun dari pangkalan data awam dalam bentuk belum diproses. Data dihasilkan dari dua saluran EMG permukaan yang ditambah pada anggota badan yang tersisa menggunakan sensor EMG siri Delsys DE 2.x. Isyarat EMG yang dihasilkan kemudian diperkuat menggunakan Delsys Bagnoli-8amplifier dan mengambil sampel menggunakan penukar analog-kedigital A 12-bit (National Instruments, BNC- 2090) pada 4000Hz. Seterusnya, prapemprosesan dan pengekstrakan ciri penting untuk pencapaian dalam analisis dan kawalan EMG dengan menggunakan proses pengekstrakan fitur, dapat mengurangkan kos pengiraan sistem kawalan myoelektrik pelbagai fungsi. Selain itu, kaedah pengekstrakan ciri Hudgins dan kaedah pengekstrakan ciri segi empat (RMS) juga digunakan untuk menghasilkan ciri-ciri yang optimum. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pendekatan GWO-SVM hibrid yang dicadangkan telah meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi, sensitiviti dan spesifikasi masing-masing sebanyak 1.52%, 14.22% dan 18.77%. Oleh itu, pendekatan hibrid yang dicadangkan dapat membantu dalam meningkatkan keberkesanan sistem pengecaman pelbagai pergerakan jari dalam tangan palsu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Question	3
	1.4 Aim	4
	1.5 Objectives	4
	1.6 Scope of Research	5
	1.7 Significant of Research	5
	1.8 Thesis Organization	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Prostheses Hand	7
	2.2 Myoelectric Control System (MCS)	10
	2.2.1 Data Acquisition Phase	13
	2.2.1.1 Electromyography (EMG) signal	15
	2.2.2 Pre-processing Phase	17
	2.2.3 Feature Extraction Phase	19

2.2.2.1	Time Domain Feature Extraction Methods	20
2.2.2.2	Frequency Domain Feature Extraction Methods	21
2.2.2.3	Time-Frequency domain feature extraction Methods	23
2.2.2.4	Critical Analysis on Feature Extraction Methods	24
2.2.3	Classification Phase	27
2.3	Support Vector Machine	31
2.3.1	Previous Literature on SVM technique in EMG signal classification	34
2.4	Parameter Optimization Algorithm	37
2.4	Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO)	41
2.5	Summary	44
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	45
3.1	Research Flow	45
3.2	Phase 1: Problem and Data Definition	47
3.3	Phase 2: Experimental Setup	48
3.4	Phase 3: Development of conventional SVM as Benchmark data	49
3.5	Phase 4: Development of Myoelectric control system using proposed GWO-SVM classification.	54
3.6	Phase 5: Result Validation	58
3.7	Summary	59
CHAPTER 4	HYBRID GWO-SVM FOR MULTI-FINGER MOVEMENT CLASSIFICATION	61
4.1	Data Acquisition /EMG Dataset	63
4.2	Data Segmentation	64
4.3	Feature extraction Experimental Results	66
4.4	Classification Accuracy	68
4.5	GWO-SVM Classification Algorithm	69
4.6	GWO-SVM for Hudgins Feature Extraction	69
4.7	GWO-SVM for RMS Feature Extraction	71

4.8	GWO-SVM for Combination of Hudgins and RMS Feature Extraction	75
4.9	GWO-SVM Result Analysis	77
4.10	Performances Evaluation	79
4.10.1	Accuracy	79
4.10.2	Sensitivity	81
4.10.3	Specificity	82
4.10.4	Time processing	83
4.11	Summary	85
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	87
5.1	Research Findings	87
5.2	Research Contribution	88
5.3	Recommendation for Future Works	89
5.4	Summary	90
REFERENCES		91
LIST OF PUBLICATION		100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	The types of Protheses hand and their details	8
Table 2.2	The Cut-off frequency of the filter	18
Table 2.3	The Segment Length Implement in Previous Research	19
Table 2.4	Review on Feature Extraction (FE) method.	26
Table 2.5	Review on Classification methods	30
Table 2.6	Review on parameter optimization methods	40
Table 3.1	Hudgins feature Set and RMS feature set of mathematical formula	53
Table 4.1	Percentage of Classification accuracy of the Segmentation approach	65
Table 4.2	Average of the Segmentation Processing Time	66
Table 4.3	Comparison Result of Classification accuracy based on feature extraction approach.	67
Table 4.4	Clustering result for Hudgins Feature Extraction	70
Table 4.5:	Classification Accuracy of three feature extraction method of GWO-SVM	72
Table 4.6	Clustering Result for RMS feature extraction	73
Table 4.7	Clustering Result for Proposed combination Hudgins and RMS feature extraction	76
Table 4.8	Clustering Result for Three Feature Extraction Methods	78
Table 4.9	Accuracy between Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	79
Table 4.10	Sensitivity between Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	81
Table 4.11	Specificity between Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	82
Table 4.12	Time processing between Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	84

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The overall process in Myoelectric Prosthetic Hand (Oskoei and Hu, 2007).	11
Figure 2.2	Acquisition process. (Phinomyk, 2011)	13
Figure 2.3	Linear SVM model. Two classes (red versus blue) were Classified (Huang et al. 2018)	32
Figure 2.4	Kernel function. Data that cannot be separated by linear SVM can be transformed and separated by a kernel function (Huang et al. 2018).	33
Figure 2.5	Conventional SVM classification Flowchart.	34
Figure 2.6	The hierarchy of the grey wolf from top to bottom (Mirjalili <i>et al.</i> ,2014)	42
Figure 2.7	The hunting behaviour by the grey wolves (A) chase, approach and tracking the prey (B-D) pursue, harass and encircle the prey (E) attack when the prey stopping (Muro <i>et al.</i> 2011)	43
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	46
Figure 3.2	Ten finger movement Dataset (Khusaba et al., 2012)	48
Figure 3.3	Myoelectric control systems phase	49
Figure 3.4	Myoelectric control systems using standard SVM classification	51
Figure 4.1	Flow of Finger Movement Classification model	62
Figure 4.2	Overview of Overall Dataset	63
Figure 4.3	The Display of EMG signal data into Graph Representation	63
Figure 4.4	The experiment for identifying optimized segmentation approach.	64
Figure 4.5	The Display of EMG signal data into Graph Representation	66
Figure 4.6	Effect of feature extraction approach toward classification accuracy	68

Figure 4.7	The Graph Comparison of performance assessment based on Hudgins feature extraction	71
Figure 4.8	The result of Confusion Matrix from Matlab for Thumb little	73
Figure 4.9	The Graph Comparison of performance assessment based on RMS feature extraction	75
Figure 4.10	The Graph Comparison of performance assessment based on the combination of Hudgins and RMS feature extraction	77
Figure 4.11	Comparison result of performance based on three Feature Extraction Methods	78
Figure 4.12	Percentage of accuracy between Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	80
Figure 4.13	Percentage of sensitivity between standard SVM and GWO-SVM	82
Figure 4.14	Percentage of Specificity Standard SVM and GWO-SVM	83
Figure 4.15	Time processing of standard SVM and GWO-SVM	84

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
EMG	-	Electromyography
FN	-	False Negative
FP	-	False Positive
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GWO	-	Grey Wolf Optimizer
GWO-SVM	-	Hybrid Grey wolf optimizer with Support Vector Machine
HC	-	Hand Close
I	-	Index
L	-	Little
LDA	-	Linear Discrimination Analysis
M	-	Middle
MCS	-	Myoelectric control system
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
R	-	Ring
RBF	-	Radial Basic Function
RMS	-	Root Mean Square
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
T	-	Thumb
T-I	-	Thumb-Index
T-L	-	Thumb-Little
T-M	-	Thumb-Middle
TN	-	True Negative
TP	-	True Positive
T-R	-	Thumb-Ring

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX.	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Result Analysis Proposed GWO-SVM	101
Appendix B	Coding	120
Appendix C	Raw Dataset of EMG Signal (Example)	144

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter discusses the introduction of this research. The contents include information about problem background, research questions, research objectives and significance of the research.

1.1 Problem Background

The prosthetic hand is a device that assists amputated person for living independently in daily life (Chadwell et al. 2016). Even there are different types of prosthetic hand, myoelectric prosthetic hand devices are the most similar to original function of human hand. However, this myoelectric prosthetic hand still not achieves fully satisfaction especially in identification of multi-finger movement because of their low accuracy and slow in processing (Christian et al. 2007; Biddiss and Cau, 2007; Peerdeman et al. 2011; Head, 2014; Engdahl et al. 2015). One of the reasons that caused this result is their inefficiency of myoelectric control system (MCS) itself.

Myoelectric control refers to as the process of controlling an external device (prosthetic hand) by utilizing electromyography (EMG) signals from the human muscles. In general, MCS consists of several main processes which are pre-processing, feature extraction and classification. If any of this process is not perform well, the performance of MCS will degrade. Hence, researchers have proposed many methods to ensure this system is functioning well. As for pre-processing, there are many works had been done to ensure the data is noise-free and segmented in suitable frame size. Englehart and Hudgins (2003) and Oskoei and Hu (2008) proposed 256 milliseconds (ms) and 200ms segment frame size for disjoint segmentation. There are also several researchers (Tang et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2015; chan et al. 2007) that employ the overlapping segmentation with different window size and window increment.

However, there is still no proper benchmark for this process. Hence, to identify the optimal segment frame, it needs in comparison to this segmentation methods.

As for feature extraction methods, over the years, many features were suggested for classification of hand movement in terms of a single feature of time-domain (TD), frequency domain, time-frequency domain, autoregressive or any combination of the listed types. Zardoshti et al. (1995) have evaluated eight EMG feature for the control of myoelectric upper arm prostheses. Du et al. (2004) proposed feature extraction technique for both temporal and spectral approaches. Munteanu et al. (2011) also had analyzed the time and frequency domain of EMG followed by Phinyomark (2011) and Phinyomark (2014) that used the scatter plot to evaluate time domain and frequency domain. In 2016, Negi and fellow researcher also had evaluated fourteen-time domain features and extracted the best possible feature and their combination to get high classification accuracy. However, these features are too many, applied all this will cause increasing the computational cost. Then, only the simplest and proven performance are compared and employ in this study to ensure the accuracy and processing time.

For classification, many classification algorithms have been developed. Among them, a classical algorithm such as SVM, LDA and ANN and new algorithm such as fuzzy logic have employed and reported to perform robustly in many studies (Kim et al., 2011; Ahsan et al. 2009; Lorrain et al., 2011; Oskoei and Hu, 2008). However, among this, SVM shows an outstanding and consistent performance in terms of accuracy (Oskoei and Hu, 2008; Chen and Wang, 2013). However, one of the limitations is the optimal parameter value of SVM need to be identified before employ them because the performance of SVM depends on their parameter value. Different case study required different optimal parameter value depends on kernel applied. Thus, the optimization methods are compulsory in order to optimize the performance of SVM classification. For optimizing the parameter, various optimization techniques have been proposed hybridized with SVM such as GA, PSO, DE, GSA. However, these methods usually unstable in their local and global search, a long period of time needs to process and still cannot guarantee the good result for all SVM kernels. GWO one of the recent swarm-based intelligent techniques is seen potentially to be

hybridized with SVM due to the ability of GWO in balance in exploration and exploitation, high convergence rate and produce high accuracy. Thus, this research proposed hybridization of SVM with GWO to enhance the performance of finger movement identification for myoelectric control systems.

1.2 Problem Statement

The success of controlling single and multi-finger prostheses depends on the proper feature extraction and classification technique applied based on accuracy and computing time. To establish the simple with an outstanding performance of myoelectric control system that obtains competitive performance result in four main aspects of recognition system which is accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and processing times. The main problem in this area is obtaining the high performance of a system that has high accuracy and low processing time as summarized in the here research questions below.

1.3 Research Question

To answer the problem statement, this study comes out with three research question as stated below:

1. What is the best combination of overlapping segmentation (segment length and segment increment) value based on processing time?
2. Which feature extraction methods performs better based on their performance on classification accuracy
3. How does hybrid GWO-SVM can potentially improve the performance of classification result in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity?

1.4 Aim

To establish the simple with an outstanding performance of myoelectric control system that obtains competitive performance result in four main aspects of a recognition system which is accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and processing times.

1.5 Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To identify the best data segmentation (window length and window increment) based on processing time.
- (b) To implement and compare three feature extraction methods and compare the performance using classification accuracy.
- (c) To develop a new hybrid GWO-SVM classification and evaluate the result in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity.

1.6 Scope of Research

The scopes of the study are as follows:

- a) Time Domain feature extraction methods. Using Hudgins and RMS feature extraction methods. **(Refer to page 25)**
- b) Overlapping segmentation . randomly pick three combination of segment length and segment increments that follows the guidelines.
- c) The study focusses on SVM classification technique in myoelectric control system. **(Refer to page 41)**
- d) Focus on Khusaba et al. (2012) dataset. Limit to only identified ten types of single and combined finger movement which are single consist of Thumb (T), Index (I), Middle (M), Ring (R), Little (L), and the combined Thumb-Index(T-I), Thumb-Middle (T-M), Thumb-Little (T-L), Thumb-Ring (T-R), and Hand Close (HC). **(Refer to page 57)**
- e) Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) is used as a parameter setting for SVM classification for this ten-finger movement classified. **(Refer to page 32-33)**
- f) Percentage difference of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity between standard SVM and GWO-SVM is used to evaluate the performance of classification technique. **(Refer to page 47)**

1.7 Significant of Research

This study introduce the hybrid GWO and SVM in myoelectric control system classification problem. The proposed classification method increase the effectiveness of the myoelectric control system in overall.

1.8 Thesis Organization

Chapter 1 discusses the problem background, problem statement, research objectives, and research scope of the study. The research significant and contribution are also highlighted in this chapter.

Chapter 2 reviews the details of the prosthetic hand myoelectric system. The review will focus on all stages of the myoelectric control system. The review will also include electromyography dataset followed by pre-processing phase, feature extraction and classification. The review will also discuss the grey wolf optimizer technique for optimization of prosthetic hand myoelectric system.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology. This research methodology consists of five main phases, they are problem and data definition, experimental setup, development of standard SVM classification, development of proposed GWO-SVM classification and result validation. The dataset of the case study is also discussed. The requirements for hardware and software to conduct the research are explained as well.

Chapter 4 discusses the result and validation of the research. The results are compared between the types of feature extraction and between conventional SVM and proposed hybrid GWO with SVM.

Chapter 5 summarizes and concludes the study. The findings that reflect research questions and objectives are discussed. The future works are also discussed to guide next potential project related to the myoelectric control system.

REFERENCES

- Ahsan, M. R., Ibrahimy, M. I., & Khalifa, O. O. (2009). EMG signal classification for human computer interaction: a review. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 33(3), 480-501.
- Al-Angari, H. M., Kanitz, G., Tarantino, S., & Cipriani, C. (2016). Distance and mutual information methods for EMG feature and channel subset selection for classification of hand movements. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 27, 24-31.
- Al-Timemy, A. H., Bugmann, G., Escudero, J., & Outram, N. (2013). Classification of finger movements for the dexterous hand prosthesis control with surface electromyography. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 17(3), 608-618.
- Anam, K., & Al-Jumaily, A. (2017). Evaluation of extreme learning machine for classification of individual and combined finger movements using electromyography on amputees and non-amputees. *Neural Networks*, 85, 51-68.
- Altman, D. G., & Bland, J. M. (1994). Diagnostic tests. 1: Sensitivity and specificity. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 308(6943), 1552.
- Arif, R., Wijaya, S. K., Prajitno, P., & Gani, H. S. (2019, April). Development of electroencephalography (EEG) data acquisition system based on FPGA PYNQ. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2092, No. 1, p. 020026). AIP Publishing.
- Badawy, A., & Alfred, R. (2019). Myoelectric prosthetic hand with a proprioceptive feedback system. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences*.
- Biddiss, E. A., & Chau, T. T. (2007). Upper limb prosthesis use and abandonment: a survey of the last 25 years. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 31(3), 236-257.
- Biddiss, E., & Chau, T. (2007). Upper-limb prosthetics: critical factors in device abandonment. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation*, 86(12), 977-987.

- Boostani, R., & Moradi, M. H. (2003). Evaluation of the forearm EMG signal features for the control of a prosthetic hand. *Physiological measurement*, 24(2), 309.
- Canal, M. R. (2010). Comparison of wavelet and short time Fourier transform methods in the analysis of EMG signals. *Journal of medical systems*, 34(1), 91-94.
- Chadwell, A., Kenney, L., Thies, S., Galpin, A., & Head, J. (2016). The reality of myoelectric prostheses: understanding what makes these devices difficult for some users to control. *Frontiers in neurorobotics*, 10, 7.
- Chan, A. D., & Green, G. C. (2007). Myoelectric control development toolbox. *CMBES Proceedings*, 30.
- Chan, F. H., Yang, Y. S., Lam, F. K., Zhang, Y. T., & Parker, P. A. (2000). Fuzzy EMG classification for prosthesis control. *IEEE transactions on rehabilitation engineering*, 8(3), 305-311.
- Chen, X., & Wang, Z. J. (2013). Pattern recognition of number gestures based on a wireless surface EMG system. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 8(2), 184-192.
- Cristianini, N., & Shawe-Taylor, J. (2000). *An introduction to support vector machines and other kernel-based learning methods*. Cambridge university press.
- De Reus, N. M. (1994). *Assessment of benefits and drawbacks of using fuzzy logic, especially in fire control systems* (No. FEL-93-A158). FYSISCH EN ELEKTRONISCH LAB TNO THE HAGUE (NETHERLANDS).
- Du, S., & Vuskovic, M. (2004, November). Temporal vs. spectral approach to feature extraction from prehensile EMG signals. In *Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration, 2004. IRI 2004*. (pp. 344-350). IEEE.
- Englehart, K., Hudgins, B., Parker, P. A., & Stevenson, M. (1999). Classification of the myoelectric signal using time-frequency based representations. *Medical engineering & physics*, 21(6-7), 431-438.
- Englehart, K., & Hudgins, B. (2003). A robust, real-time control scheme for multifunction myoelectric control. *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, 50(7), 848-854.
- Engdahl, S. M., Christie, B. P., Kelly, B., Davis, A., Chestek, C. A., & Gates, D. H. (2015). Surveying the interest of individuals with upper limb loss in novel prosthetic control techniques. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 12(1), 53.

- Esa, N. M., Zain, A. M., & Bahari, M. (2018). Electromyography (EMG) based Classification of Finger Movements using SVM. *International Journal of Innovative Computing*, 8(3).
- Fariman, H. J., Ahmad, S. A., Marhaban, M. H., Ghasab, M. A., & Chappell, P. H. (2016). Hand movements classification for myoelectric control system using adaptive resonance theory. *Australasian physical & engineering sciences in medicine*, 39(1), 85-102.
- Farrell, T. R. (2007). *Multifunctional prosthesis control: The effects of targeting surface vs. intramuscular electrodes on classification accuracy and the effect of controller delay on prosthesis performance*. Northwestern University.
- Firoozabadi, S. M. P., Oskoei, M. A., & Hu, H. (2008, February). A human-computer interface based on forehead multi-channel bio-signals to control a virtual wheelchair. In *Proceedings of the 14th Iranian conference on biomedical engineering (ICBME)* (pp. 272-277).
- Fraser, G. D., Chan, A. D., Green, J. R., & MacIsaac, D. T. (2014). Automated biosignal quality analysis for electromyography using a one-class support vector machine. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 63(12), 2919-2930.
- Geethanjali, P. (2016). Myoelectric control of prosthetic hands: state-of-the-art review. *Medical Devices (Auckland, NZ)*, 9, 247.
- Guo, W., Sheng, X., Zhang, D., & Zhu, X. (2015, August). Development of Hybrid surface EMG and MMG acquisition system for human hand motion analysis. In *International Conference on Intelligent Robotics and Applications* (pp. 329-337). Springer, Cham.
- Head, J. S. (2014). *The effect of socket movement and electrode contact on myoelectric prosthesis control during daily living activities* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Salford).
- Herle, S., Man, S., Lazea, G., & Raica, P. (2012). Myoelectric control strategies for a human upper limb prosthesis. *Journal of Control Engineering and Applied Informatics*, 14(1), 58-66.
- Hudgins B, Parker PA, Scott RN (1993) A new strategy for multifunction myoelectric control. *IEEE Trnas Biomed Eng* 40:82–94

- Hwang, H. J., Hahne, J. M., & Müller, K. R. (2014). Channel selection for simultaneous and proportional myoelectric prosthesis control of multiple degrees-of-freedom. *Journal of neural engineering*, *11*(5), 056008.
- Karlsson, S., Yu, J., & Akay, M. (2000). Time-frequency analysis of myoelectric signals during dynamic contractions: a comparative study. *IEEE transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, *47*(2), 228-238.
- Kay, H. W., & Newman, J. D. (1975). Relative incidences of new amputations. *Orthot Prosthet*, *29*(2), 3-16.
- Keerthi, S. S., & Lin, C. J. (2003). Asymptotic behaviors of support vector machines with Gaussian kernel. *Neural computation*, *15*(7), 1667-1689.
- Khushaba, R. N., Al-Jumaily, A., & Al-Ani, A. (2009). Evolutionary fuzzy discriminant analysis feature projection technique in myoelectric control. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, *30*(7), 699-707.
- Khushaba, R. N., Kodagoda, S., Takruri, M., & Dissanayake, G. (2012). Toward improved control of prosthetic fingers using surface electromyogram (EMG) signals. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *39*(12), 10731-10738.
- Khushaba, R. N., Takruri, M., Miro, J. V., & Kodagoda, S. (2014). Towards limb position invariant myoelectric pattern recognition using time-dependent spectral features. *Neural Networks*, *55*, 42-58.
- Khushaba, R. N., Al-Timemy, A., Kodagoda, S., & Nazarpour, K. (2016). Combined influence of forearm orientation and muscular contraction on EMG pattern recognition. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *61*, 154-161.
- Kim, K. S., Choi, H. H., Moon, C. S., & Mun, C. W. (2011). Comparison of k-nearest neighbor, quadratic discriminant and linear discriminant analysis in classification of electromyogram signals based on the wrist-motion directions. *Current applied physics*, *11*(3), 740-745.
- K. Englehart, B. Hudgins, M. Stevenson, and P. A. Parker, "A dynamic feedforward neural network for subset classification of myoelectric signal patterns," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 1995., IEEE 17th Annual Conference, 2002, vol. 1, pp. 819– 820.
- Leon, M., Gutierrez, J. M., Leija, L., & Munoz, R. (2011, March). EMG pattern recognition using Support Vector Machines classifier for myoelectric control purposes. In *2011 Pan American Health Care Exchanges* (pp. 175-178). IEEE.

- León, M., Nápoles, G., Rodríguez, C., García, M. M., Bello, R., & Vanhoof, K. (2011, May). A fuzzy cognitive maps modeling, learning and simulation framework for studying complex system. In *International Work-Conference on the Interplay Between Natural and Artificial Computation* (pp. 243-256). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Liao, Y., Fang, S. C., & Nuttle, H. L. (2004). A neural network model with bounded-weights for pattern classification. *Computers & Operations Research*, *31*(9), 1411-1426.
- Lin, H. T., & Lin, C. J. (2003). A study on sigmoid kernels for SVM and the training of non-PSD kernels by SMO-type methods. *submitted to Neural Computation*, *3*, 1-32.
- Lorrain, T., Jiang, N., & Farina, D. (2011). Influence of the training set on the accuracy of surface EMG classification in dynamic contractions for the control of multifunction prostheses. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, *8*(1), 25.
- Maat, B., Smit, G., Plettenburg, D., & Breedveld, P. (2018). Passive prosthetic hands and tools: A literature review. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, *42*(1), 66-74.
- Mane, S. M., Kambli, R. A., Kazi, F. S., & Singh, N. M. (2015). Hand motion recognition from single channel surface EMG using wavelet & artificial neural network. *Procedia Computer Science*, *49*, 58-65.
- McGimpsey, G., & Bradford, T. C. (2008). Limb prosthetics services and devices. *Bioengineering Institute Center for Neuroprosthetics Worcester Polytechnic Institution*.
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey wolf optimizer. *Advances in engineering software*, *69*, 46-61.
- Muller, K. R., Mika, S., Ratsch, G., Tsuda, K., & Scholkopf, B. (2001). An introduction to kernel-based learning algorithms. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, *12*(2), 181-201.
- Nasien, D., Yuhaniz, S. S., & Haron, H. (2010, May). Statistical learning theory and support vector machines. In *2010 Second International Conference on Computer Research and Development* (pp. 760-764). IEEE.
- Negi, S., Kumar, Y., & Mishra, V. M. (2016, September). Feature extraction and classification for EMG signals using linear discriminant analysis. In *2016 2nd*

- International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication, & Automation (ICACCA)(Fall)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Oskoei, M. A., & Hu, H. (2007). Myoelectric control systems—A survey. *Biomedical signal processing and control*, 2(4), 275-294.
- Oskoei, M. A., & Hu, H. (2008). Support vector machine-based classification scheme for myoelectric control applied to upper limb. *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, 55(8), 1956-1965.
- Pancholi, S., & Joshi, A. M. (2018). Portable EMG data acquisition module for upper limb prosthesis application. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 18(8), 3436-3443.
- Parker, P. A., & Scott, R. N. (1986). Myoelectric control of prostheses. *Critical reviews in biomedical engineering*, 13(4), 283-310.
- Pardo, M., & Sberveglieri, G. (2005). Classification of electronic nose data with support vector machines. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 107(2), 730-737.
- Parikh, R., Mathai, A., Parikh, S., Sekhar, G. C., & Thomas, R. (2008). Understanding and using sensitivity, specificity and predictive values. *Indian journal of ophthalmology*, 56(1), 45.
- Peerdeman, B., Boere, D., Witteveen, H., Hermens, H., Stramigioli, S., Rietman, H., ... & Misra, S. (2011). Myoelectric forearm prostheses: state of the art from a user-centered perspective. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, 48(6).
- Phinyomark, A., Phukpattaranont, P., & Limsakul, C. (2011). A review of control methods for electric power wheelchairs based on electromyography signals with special emphasis on pattern recognition. *IETE Technical Review*, 28(4), 316-326.
- Phinyomark, A., Phukpattaranont, P., & Limsakul, C. (2012). Fractal analysis features for weak and single-channel upper-limb EMG signals. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(12), 11156-11163.
- Phinyomark, A., Phukpattaranont, P., & Limsakul, C. (2012). Feature reduction and selection for EMG signal classification. *Expert systems with applications*, 39(8), 7420-7431.
- Phinyomark, A., Quaine, F., Charbonnier, S., Serviere, C., Tarpin-Bernard, F., & Laurillau, Y. (2013). EMG feature evaluation for improving myoelectric

- pattern recognition robustness. *Expert Systems with applications*, 40(12), 4832-4840.
- Raichle, K. A., Hanley, M. A., Molton, I., Kadel, N. J., Campbell, K., Phelps, E., ... & Smith, D. G. (2008). Prosthesis use in persons with lower-and upper-limb amputation. *Journal of rehabilitation research and development*, 45(7), 961.
- Rybarczyk, B., Edwards, R., & Behel, J. (2004). Diversity in adjustment to a leg amputation: case illustrations of common themes. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 26(14-15), 944-953.
- Salem, F. H., Mohamed, K. S., Mohamed, S. B., & El Gehani, A. A. (2013). The development of body-powered prosthetic hand controlled by EMG signals using DSP processor with virtual prosthesis implementation. In *Conference papers in engineering* (Vol. 2013). Hindawi.
- Schlogl, A. (2001). A new linear classification method for an EEG-based Brain-Computer Interface. *Technical report MDBC*.
- Sezgin, N. (2019). A new hand finger movements' classification system based on bicoherence analysis of two-channel surface EMG signals. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 31(8), 3327-3337.
- Shaheed, K., Liu, H., Yang, G., Qureshi, I., Gou, J., & Yin, Y. (2018). A systematic review of finger vein recognition techniques. *Information*, 9(9), 213.
- Sikula, J., Roell, J., & Desai, J. (2014, April). Human forearm myoelectric signals used for robotic hand control. In *2014 40th Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference (NEBEC)* (pp. 1-2). IEEE.
- Smith, L. H., Hargrove, L. J., Lock, B. A., & Kuiken, T. A. (2010). Determining the optimal window length for pattern recognition-based myoelectric control: balancing the competing effects of classification error and controller delay. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 19(2), 186-192.
- Tang, Z., Zhang, K., Sun, S., Gao, Z., Zhang, L., & Yang, Z. (2014). An upper-limb power-assist exoskeleton using proportional myoelectric control. *Sensors*, 14(4), 6677-6694.
- Tavakoli, M., Benussi, C., & Lourenco, J. L. (2017). Single channel surface EMG control of advanced prosthetic hands: A simple, low cost and efficient approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 79, 322-332.

- Tkach, D., Huang, H., & Kuiken, T. A. (2010). Study of stability of time-domain features for electromyographic pattern recognition. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 7(1), 21.
- Valle, G., Mazzoni, A., Iberite, F., D'Anna, E., Strauss, I., Granata, G., ... & Stieglitz, T. (2018). Biomimetic intraneural sensory feedback enhances sensation naturalness, tactile sensitivity, and manual dexterity in a bidirectional prosthesis. *Neuron*, 100(1), 37-45.
- Wang, F., Oskoei, M. A., & Hu, H. (2017). Multi-finger myoelectric signals for controlling a virtual robotic prosthetic hand. *International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control*, 27(3), 181-190.
- Waris, M. A., Jamil, M., Ayaz, Y., & Gilani, S. O. (2014). Classification of Functional Motions of Hand for Upper Limb Prosthesis with Surface Electromyography. *International Journal of Biology and Biomedical Engineering*, 8, 15-20.
- Xie, H. B., Zheng, Y. P., Guo, J. Y., Chen, X., & Shi, J. (2009). Estimation of wrist angle from sonomyography using support vector machine and artificial neural network models. *Medical engineering & physics*, 31(3), 384-391.
- Xie, Renqiang, and Juncheng Cao. (2016). Accelerometer-based Hand Gesture Recognition by Neural Network and Similarity Matching. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 16(11), 4537-4545
- Yang, D., Zhang, H., Gu, Y., & Liu, H. (2017). Accurate EMG onset detection in pathological, weak and noisy myoelectric signals. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 33, 306-315.
- Young, A. J., Smith, L. H., Rouse, E. J., & Hargrove, L. J. (2012). Classification of simultaneous movements using surface EMG pattern recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 60(5), 1250-1258.
- Zardoshti-Kermani, M., Wheeler, B. C., Badie, K., & Hashemi, R. M. (1995). EMG feature evaluation for movement control of upper extremity prostheses. *IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering*, 3(4), 324-333.
- Zecca, M., Micera, S., Carrozza, M. C., & Dario, P. (2002). Control of multifunctional prosthetic hands by processing the electromyographic signal. *Critical Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 30(4-6), 459-485.
- A. Phinyomark et al./Expert Systems with Applications 39 (2012) 7420-7431

- Zhang, X., & Guo, Y. (2009, August). Optimization of SVM parameters based on PSO algorithm. In *2009 Fifth International Conference on Natural Computation* (Vol. 1, pp. 536-539). IEEE.
- Zhao, M., Heinsch, F. A., Nemani, R. R., & Running, S. W. (2005). Improvements of the MODIS terrestrial gross and net primary production global data set. *Remote sensing of Environment*, *95*(2), 164-176.
- Zhu, W., Zeng, N., & Wang, N. (2010). Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, associated confidence interval and ROC analysis with practical SAS implementations. *NESUG proceedings: health care and life sciences, Baltimore, Maryland, 19*, 67.
- Ziegler-Graham, K., MacKenzie, E. J., Ephraim, P. L., Travison, T. G., & Brookmeyer, R. (2008). Estimating the prevalence of limb loss in the United States: 2005 to 2050. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *89*(3), 422-429.

LIST OF PUBLICATION

1. Esa, N. M., Zain, A. M., & Bahari, M. (2018). Electromyography (EMG) based Classification of Finger Movements using SVM. *International Journal of Innovative Computing*, 8(3).
2. Esa, N. M., Zain, A. M., Bahari, M., & Yusuf, S. M. (2018, June). Comparative Study of Segmentation and Feature Extraction Method on Finger Movement. In *International Conference of Reliable Information and Communication Technology* (pp. 117-127). Springer, Cham.
3. Esa, N. M., Zain, A. M., Bahari, Kamin, Y & Zakaria, M.A.Z.M (May, 2019). A Grey Wolf Optimizer based Support Vector Machine for Classification of Finger Movement. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*. **Accepted to be Published**