

CONSTRUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RECOMBINANT
ESCHERICHIA COLI FOR PRODUCTION OF XYLITOL FROM MIXED
SUGARS

NORADILIN BINTI ABDULLAH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

CONSTRUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RECOMBINANT
ESCHERICHIA COLI FOR PRODUCTION OF XYLITOL FROM MIXED
SUGARS

NORADILIN BINTI ABDULLAH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Bioprocess Engineering)

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah. Alhamdulillah. Alhamdulillah.

It has been a long journey of many ups and even more downs. It was not easy to reach this stage of completion. Through here, I am grateful for the immense love of God and the love of people around me.

Firstly, I am sincerely thankful to my supervisor, Prof Dr Rosli Md Illias for his relentless guidance and assistance in completing my PhD journey. Thank you for not giving up on me and for being patient with my abundant shortcomings. I also thank you for the frequent financial assistance to us extend-students which helped us scrape through our student lives.

I am also thankful to the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) and UTM for sponsoring part of my studies, to Dept of Biosciences (formerly FBME) for their facilities, and especially to lab technicians Fiziey, Hairol and En Yaakop for much assistance during my analysis.

My gratitude also goes to my former colleagues in 'Lab Genetik', Atul, Faiz, Joyce, Hasma, Dayah, Abbas, Joanne, Ummu, Kak Iza, Intan, Ling, Yeng, Aishah, Yan, Bai, Nami, Miza, Kak Nard, Amal, Samson, Kimi, Kak Eda, and Shalyda. Working in the lab was especially lively thanks to all of you. Special thanks to Atul for keeping me company during my many overnight lab works; and also to Atul, Faiz, Dayah, Hasma, Aishah and Joyce for keeping tabs on me when I was lost. Thank you for the endless encouraging words and support.

My deep appreciation also goes to my emotional backbone, my Ma whose words and prayers comfort me in many ways. To my brothers, sisters, nieces and nephews whose company I seek during my bleak days. To my spiritual mentors Kak Su, Mck Anisah, Mck Nailah and Kak Syifa', whose advice helped shaping me from going astray. To my good friends in UTM, Limah, Mun, Kak Suraya, Kak Amy, Yam, Aida, Kak Ida, Ziran, Siah, Sarah, Zida, and especially Kak Lib for supporting me in completing this journey.

Finally, I also extend my gratitude to everyone who has directly and indirectly involved in finishing this thesis. Without all of you, I doubt I could reach this final step today. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Development of a microbial system for production of value-added chemicals has garnered interest for its benefits of low operational cost and greater substrate specificity. Microbial production of xylitol is highly desired for its ability to produce xylitol from unpure carbon sources mainly from lignocellulosic waste. While recent studies on xylitol production focused on utilizing mixed sugars for xylitol production, this required the expression of multiple genes to enable simultaneous xylitol conversion from glucose, xylose and arabinose which leads to cell's metabolic burden. Moreover, manipulation on the cell is also needed to remove catabolite repression present in the cell. This is the first study that describes xylitol production from multiple sugars (glucose, xylose and arabinose) in *Escherichia coli* BL21 expressing only a single gene, xylitol 5-phosphate dehydrogenase (XPDH). XPDH converts *D*-xylulose-5-phosphate, an intermediate in *E. coli* pentose phosphate pathway to *D*-xylitol-5-phosphate which is then hydrolyzed to *D*-xylitol by phosphatase. XPDH from *Clostridium difficile* was cloned into *E. coli* and screened for xylitol production using high pressure liquid chromatography analysis. Then, xylitol production was improved through metabolic engineering by deleting competing pathways and process optimized using one factor-at-a-time (OFAT) method. Initial screening of xylitol production revealed that *E. coli* BL21 expressing XPDH (NA116) was able to produce xylitol from each sugar, glucose, xylose and arabinose (supplied at 10 g/L) with final xylitol of 0.283 g/L, 0.518 g/L and 2.09 g/L respectively. Metabolic manipulation of the *E. coli* was made by deleting competing pathways in glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway, namely phosphoglucose isomerase (*pgi*), ribose isomerase A (*rpiA*), and ribose isomerase B (*rpiB*) genes. Screening of the mutants revealed highest xylitol production from arabinose by NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$) mutant, with final xylitol produced of 3.91 g/L. Further manipulation of NA207 strain was made by introducing *ptsG* deletion to allow simultaneous carbon uptake in the presence of glucose for mixed sugars fermentation, yielding NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) strain. The result revealed that NA223 showed 4 times more arabinose uptake in the mixed sugar culture compared to NA116, with final xylitol production of 1.18 g/L and 0.815 g/L respectively. Optimization of NA223 mutant was done by using OFAT method manipulating several parameters; inducer concentrations, temperature, media type and initial pH. The final parameters manipulation showed to have improved xylitol production to 5 times compared to initial conditions with 1.674 g/L in 0.05mM IPTG, 3.687 g/L in 25 °C, 3.95 g/L in buffered YT broth (BYT) medium, and 5.216 g/L in initial pH 8.5 of BYT. This study shows that xylitol conversion from mixed sugars is possible by expressing only a single heterologous gene, XPDH in *E. coli* while *ptsG* deletion alleviates carbon catabolite repression by allowing simultaneous arabinose uptake in the presence of glucose.

ABSTRAK

Pembangunan sistem mikrob untuk menghasilkan bahan kimia nilai tambah menjadi satu tarikan kerana kos operasinya yang rendah dan spesifikasi substrat yang lebih luas. Penghasilan xilitol menggunakan mikrob sangat dikehendaki kerana ia boleh menghasilkan xilitol daripada sumber karbon tidak bersih daripada sisa lignoselulosa. Kebanyakan kajian terkini mengenai pengeluaran xilitol memberi fokus kepada penggunaan gula campuran untuk penghasilan xilitol, ia memerlukan ekspresi beberapa gen untuk membolehkan penukaran xilitol secara serentak daripada glukosa, xilosa dan arabinosa yang boleh mengakibatkan terjadinya beban metabolik kepada sel. Selain itu, manipulasi pada sel juga perlu dilakukan bagi membolehkan penindasan katabolit dalam sel dihapuskan. Kajian ini adalah kajian pertama yang melaporkan penghasilan xilitol daripada beberapa gula secara serentak (glukosa, xilosa dan arabinosa) dalam *Escherichia coli* BL21 dengan ekspresi satu gen sahaja, iaitu xilitol 5-fosfat dehidrogenase (XPDH). XPDH menukarkan D-xilulosa-5-fosfat iaitu satu metabolit perantara dalam laluan pentosa fosfat *E. coli* kepada D-xilitol-5-fosfat, yang kemudiannya dihidrolisis kepada xilitol oleh fosfatase. XPDH daripada *Clostridium difficile* telah diklonkan ke dalam *E. coli* dan disaring untuk penghasilan xilitol menggunakan analisis kromatografi cecair tekanan tinggi. Kemudian, pengeluaran xilitol juga dipertingkatkan melalui kejuruteraan metabolik dengan membuang laluan saingan dan melakukan proses fermentasi yang dioptimumkan melalui kaedah satu faktor pada satu masa (OFAT). Saringan awal pengeluaran xilitol menunjukkan bahawa *E. coli*-XPDH (NA116) mampu menghasilkan xilitol daripada setiap gula yang diuji iaitu glukosa, xilosa dan arabinosa (dibekalkan pada 10 g/L) dengan xilitol sebanyak 0.283 g/L, 0.518 g/L dan 2.09 g/L mengikut turutan. Manipulasi laluan metabolik *E. coli* dibuat dengan memadamkan laluan bersaing dalam laluan glikolisis dan pentosa fosfat, iaitu fosfoglukosa isomerase (*pgi*), ribosa isomerase A (*rpiA*), dan ribosa isomerase B (*rpiB*). Saringan terhadap setiap mutan mendapati mutan NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$) menghasilkan xilitol tertinggi sebanyak 3.91 g/L menggunakan arabinosa. Justeru, manipulasi pada mutan NA207 dilakukan dengan memadamkan gen *ptsG* untuk membolehkan pengambilan karbon serentak bersama glukosa bagi fermentasi menggunakan campuran gula, yang menghasilkan mutan NA223 ($\Delta rpiA \Delta ptsG$). Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa NA223 dapat menggunakan campuran gula lebih banyak semasa glukosa masih ada dalam media fermentasi berbanding NA116, dan menghasilkan xilitol yang lebih tinggi sebanyak 1.18 g/L berbanding 0.815 g/L pada asalnya. Pengoptimuman mutan NA223 seterusnya dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah OFAT, dengan manipulasi beberapa parameter iaitu kepekatan induksi, suhu, jenis media dan pH awal. Manipulasi parameter terakhir menunjukkan pengeluaran xilitol bertambah sebanyak 5 kali ganda berbanding dengan kondisi awalnya, dengan peningkatan pada setiap parameter iaitu 1.674 g/L dalam 0.05mM IPTG, 3.687 g/L dalam 25 °C, 3.95 g/L dalam medium buffer YT (BYT), dan 5.216 g/L dalam pH awal 8.5 BYT. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa xilitol berjaya dihasilkan daripada campuran gula dengan ekspresi hanya satu gen heterolog, XPDH dalam *E. coli* manakala pemadaman *ptsG* berjaya mengurangkan tindakan penindasan katabolit dengan membenarkan pengambilan gula arabinosa dan xilosa secara serentak dalam kehadiran glukosa dalam sel.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	5
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
	1.4 Scopes of the Study	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Fine Chemical Production	8
	2.1.1 Chemical Production from Biomass	8
	2.2 Xylitol	9
	2.3 Chemical Synthesis of Xylitol	11
	2.4 Enzymatic synthesis	12
	2.5 Biotechnological Microbial Synthesis	13
	2.5.1 Metabolic Pathway of Xylose Conversion to Xylitol	15
	2.5.1.1 Xylitol Conversion in Yeast	16
	2.5.1.2 Xylitol Conversion in Bacteria	17

	2.5.2	Metabolic Pathway of Glucose Conversion to Xylitol	18
	2.6	Molecular Strategies for Bioconversion of Xylitol in <i>E. coli</i>	20
CHAPTER 3		RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27
	3.1	Overall Workflow	28
	3.2	Bacterial Strains and Plasmid	29
	3.3	Chemicals and biological enzymes	30
	3.4	Preparation of Bacterial Inoculum	30
	3.5	Preparation of Glycerol Stock	30
	3.6	DNA Manipulations for Generating <i>E. coli</i> Mutants	31
	3.6.1	PCR Primers for Gene Deletions	31
	3.6.2	Extraction of Bacterial Genomic DNA	33
	3.6.3	PCR Amplification for Targeted DNA Sequence	34
	3.6.4	DNA Gel Electrophoresis	34
	3.6.5	DNA Purification from Agarose Gel	35
	3.6.6	Plasmid DNA Extraction	36
	3.6.7	Electrocompetent Cells Preparation	37
	3.6.8	Transformation of DNA to by Electroporation	37
	3.6.9	Chromosomal Gene Deletion	38
	3.6.10	Removal of Kanamycin Marker	39
	3.7	Expression and Xylitol Production Study	40
	3.7.1	Expression of XPDH and Batch Production of Xylitol	40
	3.7.2	Cell Fractionation and Protein Extraction	40
	3.7.3	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	41
	3.8	Sample Preparation and Analysis	42
	3.8.1	High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Quantification of Sugars	42
	3.8.2	High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Quantification of Ethanol and Acetic Acid	42
	3.9	Optimization of Culture Conditions	42
	3.9.1	Effect of Inducer Concentration	43
	3.9.2	Effect of Induction Temperature	43
	3.9.3	Effect of Medium	44

	3.9.4	Effect of Initial pH of Medium	44
CHAPTER 4		XYLITOL PRODUCTION FROM GLUCOSE, XYLOSE AND ARABINOSE IN <i>ESCHERICHIA COLI</i>	47
	4.1	Introduction	47
	4.2	Plasmid Construction and Expression of Xylitol-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (XPDH) in <i>E. coli</i>	48
	4.3	Metabolic Engineering of <i>E. coli</i> Pathway for Production of Xylitol	52
	4.3.1	Effect of Gene Deletion on Cell Growth	58
	4.4	Screening for Xylitol Production in <i>E. coli</i> Mutants	60
	4.4.1	Production of Xylitol from Glucose	60
	4.4.2	Production of Xylitol from Arabinose	71
	4.4.3	Production of Xylitol from Xylose	79
	4.5	Summary of Production of Xylitol from Single Sugar Fermentation on Glucose, Arabinose and Xylose	87
	4.6	Xylitol Production from Mixed Sugars	90
	4.6.1	Strategy to Alleviate Carbon Catabolite Repression by <i>ptsG</i> Deletion	91
	4.6.2	Effect of <i>ptsG</i> Deletion on Cell Growth	92
	4.7	Screening for Xylitol Production from Mixed Sugars	94
	4.7.1	Xylitol Production from Glucose-Xylose Mixture	94
	4.7.2	Xylitol Production from Glucose-Arabinose Mixture	97
	4.7.3	Xylitol Production from Glucose-Xylose-Arabinose Mixture	100
	4.8	Process Optimization of NA223	105
	4.8.1	Effect of IPTG Inducer Concentration on Xylitol Production	105
	4.8.2	Effect of Post-Induction Temperature on Xylitol Production	108
	4.8.3	Effect of Type of Medium on Xylitol Production	112
	4.8.4	Effect of Initial pH on Xylitol Production	116
CHAPTER 5		CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	121
	5.1	Conclusion	121
	5.2	Recommendations for Future Works	123

REFERENCES	125
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	147

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Natural producers of xylitol	14
Table 2.2	Summary of xylitol producing microorganisms screening	14
Table 2.3	Molecular strategies for production of xylitol in <i>E. coli</i>	23
Table 3.1	Primers sequences for amplification of targeted gene deletions and verification. <i>forrpiA</i> , <i>rpiB</i> , <i>pgi</i> and <i>ptsG</i> . Gene sequence flanking targeted genes were underlined.	32
Table 4.1	<i>E. coli</i> strains carrying gene deletions involving phosphoglucose isomerase (<i>pgi</i>) and ribose isomerase (<i>rpiA</i> and <i>rpiB</i>)	56
Table 4.2	Specific growth rate of generated <i>E. coli</i> strains	59
Table 4.3	Specific production of xylitol from consumed glucose at different fermentation time	62
Table 4.4	Specific production of xylitol from consumed arabinose at different fermentation time	72
Table 4.5	Specific production of xylitol from consumed xylose at different fermentation time	80
Table 4.6	Summary of xylitol production in <i>E. coli</i> strains from different sugars after 72 hours	89
Table 4.7	<i>E. coli</i> strains carrying glucose phosphotransferase, <i>ptsG</i> deletion.	92
Table 4.8	Specific growth rate of <i>E. coli</i> strains after <i>ptsG</i> gene deletion.	93
Table 4.9	Xylitol production in glucose-xylose mixture	95
Table 4.10	Xylitol production from glucose-arabinose mixture.	100
Table 4.11	Xylitol production from glucose, arabinose and xylose mixture	103
Table 4.12	Acetate and ethanol byproduct	103
Table 4.13	Summary of xylitol production in mixed sugars	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Xylitol molecular structure	9
Figure 2.2	Steps in xylitol synthesis by chemical route (Delgado Arcaño et al., 2020)	12
Figure 2.3	Metabolic pathway of xylitol in yeasts, fungi and bacteria. Figure is adapted from Xu et al. (2019).	16
Figure 2.4	Xylitol production in engineered <i>Corynebacterium glutamicum</i> strain (Sasaki et al., 2010)	18
Figure 2.5	Metabolic pathway of xylitol production from glucose in recombinant <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (Povelainen and Miasnikov, 2007)	20
Figure 2.6	Xylose metabolism in <i>E. coli</i> . Xylose is first converted to xylulose by xylose isomerase (XI; <i>xylA</i> gene) and then phosphorylated to xylulose-5-phosphate by xylulokinase (XK; <i>xylB</i> gene). The solid lines show pathways native to <i>E. coli</i> while the dashed lines show heterologous pathways for xylitol production (adapted from Akinterinwa and Cirino (2009).	21
Figure 3.1	Overall workflow	28
Figure 3.2	Plasmid map of pACYC Duet-1 expression vector (Novagen)	29
Figure 3.3	Linear representation of pKD4 template plasmid for amplification of kanamycin resistance gene flanked with FRT sites (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). DNA sequences homologous to the priming sites flanking gene deletion target were added at upstream of P1 and downstream of P2.	33
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram showing the strategy for chromosomal gene deletion in bacteria. H1 and H2 annotate the homologous sequence upstream and downstream flanking the targeted gene, while P1 and P2 refer to the priming site on pKD4 plasmid (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000).	39
Figure 4.1	pACYC-Duet-1 expression vector containing xylitol phosphate dehydrogenase (XPDH) gene (pACYC-XPDH). XPDH from <i>Clostridium difficile</i> was cloned at the multiple cloning site II (MCSII).	49

- Figure 4.2 Expression of recombinant enzyme, xylitol phosphate dehydrogenase (XPDH) in *E. coli*. Lane (-) corresponds to soluble cell extracts from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) wild type (-) and lane (+) to *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pACYC-xpdh (NA116) induced for 24-hours at 37°C. The recombinant protein of XPDH is indicated by arrow. Lane M is molecular marker. 50
- Figure 4.3 Production of xylitol from different carbon sources in NA116 (BL21-XPDH). The fermentation was carried out in in LB broth supplemented with 10 g/L of each sugar after induction. HPLC analysis of the sugars residues and xylitol production was carried out after 24 hours induction. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements. 51
- Figure 4.4 Schematic representation of *E. coli* metabolic pathway manipulations for xylitol conversion from glucose, xylose and arabinose. Recombinant gene, XPDH from *Clostridium difficile* converts xylulose-5-phosphate to xylitol-5-phosphate and further dephosphorylated to xylitol. Two metabolic pathways encoded by *pgi* and *rpiA/rpiB* were deleted to divert the flux towards XPDH pathway. PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; TCA cycle, tricarboxylic acid cycle; 6-P-Glc, glucose-6-phosphate; 6-P-Glc-1, 6-phosphoglucono- δ -lactone; Glc6P, 6-phosphogluconate; Ru5P, ribulose-5-phosphate; Xylulose-5P, xylulose-5-phosphate; xylitol5P, xylitol-5-phosphate; R5P, ribose-5-phosphate; L-Ru, L-ribulose; L-Ru5P, L-ribulose-5-phosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate; FBP, fructose-1,6-phosphate; G3P, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; *pgi*, phosphoglucose isomerase; *zwf*, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; *pgl*, 6-phosphogluconolactonase; *gnd*, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; *rpiA*, ribose-5-phosphate isomerase A; *rpiB*, ribose-5-phosphate isomerase B; *rpe*, ribulose-5-phosphate-3-epimerase; *tktA*, transketolase A; *tktB*, transketolase B; *xylA*, xylose isomerase; *xylB*, xylulokinase; *araA*, L-arabinose isomerase; *araB*, L-ribulokinase; *araD*, L-ribulose-5-phosphate-4-epimerase. 54
- Figure 4.5 Diagram for PCR verification for gene deletion in *E. coli* (modified from Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). Forward and reverse primers were designed according the sequence flanking each target gene. Internal verification of gene disruption was done by amplification of K2-reverse region, which generated about 1139 bp PCR amplicon. FLP-mediated excision of kanamycin marker left about 200 bp scar after PCR amplification within Forward and Reverse. 55

- Figure 4.6 Gene deletions of *pgi*, *rpiA* and *rpiB*. i) Phosphoglucose isomerase (*pgi*) gene deletion. (a) The original size of the gene was 1650 bp (WT). (B) Deletion of *pgi* in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) left a ~200 kb scar at the gene locus as indicated in lane 1, 2, and 3. (ii) Ribose isomerase A (*rpiA*) gene deletion. The original size of the gene was 660 bp (WT) and ~200 kb scar of gene deletion is indicated in lane 1-3. (iii) Ribose isomerase B (*rpiB*) gene deletion. The original size of the gene was 450 bp (WT) and the ~200 kb gene deletion scar is indicated in lane 3. 57
- Figure 4.7 Growth rate of *E. coli* strains with gene deletions. The growth profile was conducted in rich media, LB incubated at 37 °C shaking at 200 rpm. The *E. coli* strains NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were compared against the parent strain, NA116 (BL21-XPDH). 58
- Figure 4.8 Xylitol production from glucose in *E. coli* strains. The strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements. 65
- Figure 4.9 Acetate and OD₆₀₀ profile for xylitol conversion from glucose. The strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements. 69
- Figure 4.10 Glucose and acetate metabolism in *E. coli* (Phue et al., 2005) 70
- Figure 4.11 Xylitol production from arabinose in *E. coli* strains. The strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L arabinose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements. 75
- Figure 4.12 Acetate from fermentation on arabinose. The *E. coli* strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L arabinose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements. 78

Figure 4.13	Xylitol production from xylose in <i>E. coli</i> strains. The strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L xylose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	82
Figure 4.14	Acetate production on fermentation on xylose. The <i>E. coli</i> strains NA116 (BL21-XPDH), NA207 ($\Delta rpiA$), NA208 ($\Delta rpiB$), NA209 (Δpgi) and NA211 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta pgi$) were cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L xylose, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	86
Figure 4.15	Side by side comparison of xylitol production in <i>E. coli</i> strains after 24 hours.	88
Figure 4.16	<i>ptsG</i> gene deletion. The original size of the gene was 1434 bp (lane WT) and its deletion left ~200 bp scar after kanamycin curing (lane 1 and 2). M1 is tre 100 bp DNA marker and M2 is 1 kb DNA marker.	92
Figure 4.17	Growth profile after <i>ptsG</i> deletion in <i>E. coli</i> strains. The growth profile was conducted in rich media, LB incubated at 37 °C shaking at 200 rpm. The <i>E. coli</i> strains NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) and NA224 ($\Delta pgi\Delta ptsG$) were compared against the parent strain, NA116 (BL21-XPDH).	93
Figure 4.18	Xylitol production from glucose-xylose mixture in <i>E. coli</i> . The <i>E. coli</i> strains were grown in LB medium supplemented with 10 g/L of each glucose, xylose and arabinose as substrates. NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) and NA224 ($\Delta pgi\Delta ptsG$) were compared to the original strain, NA116. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	97
Figure 4.19	Xylitol production from glucose-arabinose mixture in <i>E. coli</i> . The <i>E. coli</i> strains were grown in LB medium supplemented with 10 g/L of each glucose and arabinose as substrates. NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) and NA224 ($\Delta pgi\Delta ptsG$) were compared to the original strain, NA116. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	100
Figure 4.20	Xylitol production from glucose-xylose-arabinose mixture in <i>E. coli</i> . The <i>E. coli</i> strains were grown in LB medium supplemented with 10 g/L of each sugar as substrates. NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) and NA224 ($\Delta pgi\Delta ptsG$) were compared to the original strain, NA116. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	103

Figure 4.21	Effect of IPTG inducer concentration on xylitol production. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	106
Figure 4.22	Effect of IPTG inducer concentration on acetate and ethanol byproduct formation. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	107
Figure 4.23	Effect of IPTG inducer concentration on biomass. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	108
Figure 4.24	Effect of post-induction temperature on xylitol production. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at selected temperature with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	110
Figure 4.25	Effect of post-induction temperature on acetate and ethanol formation. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at selected temperature with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	111
Figure 4.26	Effect of post-induction temperature on biomass. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in LB medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at selected temperature with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	111
Figure 4.27	Effect of type of medium on xylitol production. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in selected medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	114

Figure 4.28	Effect of type of medium on acetate and ethanol formation. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in selected medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	115
Figure 4.29	Effect of type of medium on biomass. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in selected medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	116
Figure 4.30	Effect of initial pH on xylitol production. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in BYT medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	118
Figure 4.31	Effect of initial pH on acetate and ethanol formation. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in BYT medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	118
Figure 4.32	Effect of initial pH on biomass. <i>E. coli</i> strain NA223 ($\Delta rpiA\Delta ptsG$) was cultured in BYT medium supplied with 10 g/L glucose, arabinose and xylose each, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 hours. Each value represents the mean of three independent measurements.	119
Figure 4.33	Comparison of fermentation profile before and after optimization. (a) NA223 strain profile before optimization, fermentation at original condition of mixed sugar screening; cultured in LB medium at pH 7, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. (b) NA223 strain after optimization, cultured in BYT medium at pH 8.5, induced with 0.05 mM IPTG and incubated at 25 °C for 24 hours	120
Figure 5.1	Summary for xylitol production in <i>E. coli</i> from mixed sugars in this study	122

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>rpiA</i>	-	Ribose isomerise A
<i>rpiB</i>	-	Ribose isomerise B
<i>pgi</i>	-	Phosphoglucose isomerise
<i>ptsG</i>	-	Phosphotransferase G
PTS	-	Phosphotransferase system
CCR	-	Carbon catabolite represssion
PEP	-	phosphoenolpyruvate
XPDH	-	Xylitol-5-phosphate dehydrogenase

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Δ	-	Delta, deletion
$^{\circ}\text{C}$		Degree Celcius

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Medium and buffers preparation	121

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

From our food additives to our medicines, the use of fine chemicals encompasses a broad range of applications in our lives. Fine chemicals are defined as chemicals with specific molecular characteristics which are produced at low quantity but expensive (Mullin, 2012). Being essential building blocks for various manufacturing products, the demands for fine chemicals has driven the chemical industry forward for its production and development. Generally, chemical industry heavily relies on fossil feedstocks as raw material for chemical production. This pose as a major problem due to its environmental issue and being a non-renewable carbon source (Straathof et al., 2019). Meanwhile, lignocellulosic biomass is sustainable, abundant and readily available source for chemical feedstock with the advantage of releasing zero net carbon emission to the atmosphere (Nanda et al., 2016). Therefore, the shift to using renewable lignocellulosic biomass as a feed source has become a huge topic explored for fine chemicals production.

Lignocellulosic biomass is an organic material derived from municipal or industrial wastes, agricultural residues, wood residues and dedicated crops such as switch grass (Fuente-Hernandez et al., 2013; Mohamad et al., 2015). The biomass is composed of three main components which are cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. The two most abundant components, cellulose and hemicellulose are the main source for sugar monomers for bioconversion. Cellulose is made up from glucose monomers linked by β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-glycosidic bonds while hemicellulose is made up from a variety of pentose (i.e D-xylose and L-arabinose) and hexose sugars (i.e D-glucose, D-mannose, and D-galactose) (Hayes, 2015; Kim et al., 2010). Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass release the sugar monomers and later used for bioconversion

to produce value-added chemicals and biofuels, either by biological or chemical means. Bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass generated a wide variety of chemicals such as succinic acid, acetic acid, lactic acid, acetone, furfural, butyric acid and xylitol (Peng et al., 2011).

Xylitol is a five-carbon sugar alcohol that is obtained from hemicellulosic sugar monomer, xylose. Its structure is composed of five hydroxyl groups which makes it highly soluble in aqueous solution (Grembecka, 2015). Xylitol is included among ten most promising fine chemicals in the production of fuels and pharmaceutical/chemical products (Bozell and Petersen, 2010), due to the presence of multiple functional groups which makes it an excellent building block for transformation into high-value bio based chemicals or materials (Peng et al., 2011). The industrial application of xylitol is diverse, ranging from food additives to pharmaceutical products given to its properties of having higher sweetness level than sucrose, anticariogenic, insulin dependent metabolism and pharmacological properties (O'Donnell and Kearsley, 2012). Demand for xylitol has steadily increased over the years with more than forty times increment in its production over four decades, from 6000 tons in 1978 to 190.9 thousand tons in 2016. It was forecasted to further increase to 266.5 thousand tons by 2022 (Delgado Arcaño et al., 2020; Hyvönen et al., 1982).

Current industrial production of xylitol is done through chemical hydrogenation of xylose using nickel catalyst, which operated at high temperature and high pressure (Albuquerque et al., 2014). The process requires the use of pure xylose which is obtained from xylan-rich hemicellulosic hydrolysate, typically prepared by acid hydrolysis. However, due to the presence of other sugar monomers (mannose, arabinose, galactose, and glucose) and other impurities (proteins, metal ions and color) in the hydrolysate, extensive purification steps to separate xylose are necessary. The xylose-rich hydrolysate is then hydrogenated to produce xylitol at high temperature of 8-140 °C and pressure up to 50 atm (Pal et al., 2016; Parajó et al., 1998). Other than being energy intensive, the process also requires the use of highly sensitive catalyst and multiple steps for xylitol separation and purification (Delgado Arcaño et al., 2020; Rafiqul and Sakinah, 2013). These factors led to high

production cost of xylitol, with more than 10 times of sucrose conversion to sorbitol (Peng et al., 2011). Therefore, a milder alternative for xylitol production using microbial bioconversion is highly desirable as it operates at milder conditions and also environmentally sustainable.

Biotechnological methods have been used to study a variety of microorganisms for the conversion of xylitol, which ranged from fungi, yeast and bacteria. Compared to chemical process, this system is more desirable because biotechnological xylitol synthesis is less expensive, and allows the use of unpure xylose, which reduce much cost on the initial multiple purification steps (Venkateswar Rao et al., 2016). Naturally, certain yeast, bacteria and fungi can synthesize xylitol from xylose such as *Candida sp* (Barbosa et al., 1988; Granström et al., 2007a), *Debaromyces hansenii* (Sampaio et al., 2008), *Pichia stipites* (Hahn-Hägerdal et al., 2007), *Corynebacterium sp* (Yoshitake et al., 1971), *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (Izumori and Tuzaki, 1988), and *Enterobacter liquifaciens* (Yoshitake et al., 1973), *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillum sp* (Sampaio et al., 2003). Among these, highest xylitol production from natural producers were recorded by yeasts, with 77.2 g/L xylitol yield in *Candida guilliermondii* FTI-20037118 (Barbosa et al., 1988). The main enzyme for xylitol conversion in microbes is xylose reductase, XR which hydrolyzes xylose into xylitol by the aid of NADPH cofactor. Xylitol is then secreted out of the cell or further metabolized by xylitol dehydrogenase, XDH into xylulose for metabolism (Chen et al., 2010). In recent decades, many studies have explored to modify XR and XDH through mutation or genetic engineering to improve xylitol production in microbes.

While xylitol conversion from xylose has developed widely, several studies have also reported xylitol conversion from D -glucose and L -arabinose which are another two major sugar components in hemicellulose. The earliest report of xylitol conversion from glucose was done through sequential fermentations of three microbes, *Debaryomyces harseniii*, *Acetobacter suboxydans*, and *Candida guilleiermondii* var. *soya* which converted glucose to D -arabitol, then D -arabitol to D -xylulose and D -xylulose to xylitol respectively. The xylitol yield was 18 g/L from 155 g/L supplied glucose, with 11% xylitol conversion (Onishi and Suzuki, 1969).

Another approach for xylitol conversion from glucose was made by using xylitol phosphate dehydrogenase enzyme, XPDH from *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* expressed in *Bacillus subtilis*. XPDH catalyzes the conversion of D-xyulose-5-phosphate to D-xylitol-5-phosphate which is further hydrolyzed by phosphatase into xylitol (Povelainen and Miasnikov, 2007). The study reported xylitol yield of 2.3 g/L from 10 g/L glucose (23% xylitol conversion). Meanwhile, xylitol conversion from arabinose was first reported in engineered *Escherichia coli* by the expression of three heterologous genes for ATX pathway, encoding for L-arabinose isomerase (*araA*), D-psicose 3-epimerase (*DPE*) and L-xylulose reductase (*LXR*) (Sakakibara et al., 2009). In this pathway, L-arabinose is converted to L-ribulose, then to L-xylulose and finally xylitol respectively. The study reported final conversion of 14.5 g/L xylitol from 15.2 g/L arabinose, with 95% conversion after 30 hours. Similar strategies was also applied in *C. glutamicum* for conversion of xylitol from arabinose, which gave a yield of 4.4g/L xylitol from 6.1 g/L arabinose consumed, with 72% conversion (Dhar et al., 2016). These studies showed that manipulation of genes and pathways made conversion of xylitol from other sugars possible with many outlooks for further improvement.

It is interesting to note that many recent microbial xylitol conversion researches have focused on developing a system that could use multiple sugars present in hemicellulose. *E. coli* is among popular hosts as cell biocatalyst for bioproduct conversion has been one of the main focus in this field given to its characteristics of robust growth, prone to genetic manipulation, high cell viability and efficient production. Development of a microbial system for xylitol production involves two approaches, molecular strategies such as metabolic engineering, and modification of process parameters for improved xylitol yield. This study explores the production of xylitol from multiple sugar, xylose, glucose, and arabinose using the expression of a single gene, XPDH in *E. coli*. The host is also subjected to metabolic engineering for improved pathway which aids in xylitol conversion and also enables simultaneous utilization of mixed sugars in *E. coli*. Finally, xylitol yield is also enhanced by manipulation of fermentation parameters to obtain optimal conditions for xylitol production.

1.2 Problem Statement

Biotechnological production of xylitol is advantageous to current chemical production by being environmentally sustainable and cost effective due to its mild operational conditions and reduced multiple purification steps for substrate preparation from hemicellulosic biomass. Since glucose, xylose and arabinose are three major sugars in hemicellulosic biomass, developing a system to utilize these sugars for xylitol production is highly desirable. While significant improvement has been developed in xylitol research, the majority of research has focused on xylitol production only from xylose and only a limited number of reports exist for xylitol production from glucose and arabinose. Moreover, the studies also reported the utilization of multiple gene expressions to allow xylitol production from the other sugars. This could lead to metabolic burden and reduced performance of the cell. Another strategy of using dual or sequential fermentation system has also been applied for the same purpose which requires more preparation steps and time. Thus a simpler system for xylitol production from multiple sugars should be explored to address this problem.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

In order to achieve a microbial system for xylitol conversion from multiple sugars, the objectives of this research are:

- (a) To construct and study a recombinant *E. coli* BL21 for xylitol synthesis from glucose, xylose and arabinose.
- (b) To modify metabolic pathway for xylitol production in *E. coli* BL21
- (c) To optimize fermentation conditions for highest xylitol production from mixed sugars in *E. coli* BL21.

1.4 Scopes of the Study

The scope of study listed below will be used to achieve the objectives stated.

- i. The first objective was defined with the following scopes; cloning, construction and evaluation of XPDH enzyme for xylitol conversion in *E. coli* BL21. Investigation of xylitol production from glucose, arabinose and xylose by XPDH in *E. coli* BL21 is done by HPLC analysis.
- ii. The scope for second objective is achieved by conducting metabolic pathway optimization through gene deletions for xylitol production from single sugar, and conducting genetic manipulation for mixed sugar utilization in *E. coli* BL21 for xylitol production from mixed sugars.
- iii. The final objective has the following scope; optimization of the fermentation conditions by studying the effects of (1) inducer concentration, (2) post induction temperature, (3) media type and (4) initial pH for maximum xylitol production using one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) method.

REFERENCES

- Abd Rahman, N. H., Md. Jahim, J., Abdul Munaim, M. S., A. Rahman, R., Fuzi, S. F. Z., and Md. Illias, R. (2020). Immobilization of recombinant *Escherichia coli* on multi-walled carbon nanotubes for xylitol production. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, Elsevier, 135(August 2019), 109495.
- Aini, A. R., Shirai, Y., Hassan, M. A., and Shimizu, K. (2006). Investigation on the Metabolic Regulation of *pgi* gene knockout *Escherichia coli* by Enzyme Activities and Intracellular Metabolite Concentrations. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 2(2), 24–31.
- Akinterinwa, O., and Cirino, P. C. (2009). Heterologous expression of d-xylulokinase from *Pichia stipitis* enables high levels of xylitol production by engineered *Escherichia coli* growing on xylose. *Metabolic Engineering*, 11(1), 48–55.
- Akinterinwa, O., and Cirino, P. C. (2011). Anaerobic obligatory xylitol production in *Escherichia coli* strains devoid of native fermentation pathways. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(2), 706–709.
- Albuquerque, T. L. De, Da Silva, I. J., De MacEdo, G. R., and Rocha, M. V. P. (2014). Biotechnological production of xylitol from lignocellulosic wastes: A review. *Process Biochemistry*, Elsevier Ltd, 49(11), 1779–1789.
- Aranda-Barradas, J. S., Delia, M. L., and Riba, J. P. (2000). Kinetic study and modelling of the xylitol production using *Candida parapsilosis* in oxygen-limited culture conditions. *Bioprocess Engineering*, 22(3), 219–225.
- Barbosa, M. F. S., Medeiros, M. B., Mancilha, I. M., Schneider, H., and Lee, H. (1988). Screening of yeasts for production of xylitol from d-xylose and some factors which affect xylitol yield in *Candida guilliermondii*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*, 3(4), 241–251.
- Beisel, C. L., and Afroz, T. (2016). Rethinking the Hierarchy of Sugar Utilization in Bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, (T. J. Silhavy, ed.), 198(3), 374–376.
- Bernal, V., Castaño-Cerezo, S., and Cánovas, M. (2016). Acetate metabolism regulation in *Escherichia coli*: carbon overflow, pathogenicity, and beyond.

- Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(21), 8985–9001.
- Bozell, J. J., and Petersen, G. R. (2010). Technology development for the production of biobased products from biorefinery carbohydrates—the US Department of Energy’s “Top 10” revisited. *Green Chem.*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 12(4), 539–554.
- Chen, X., Jiang, Z. H., Chen, S., and Qin, W. (2010). Microbial and bioconversion production of D-xylitol and its detection and application. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(7), 834–844.
- Cheng, H., Lv, J., Wang, H., Wang, B., Li, Z., and Deng, Z. (2014). Genetically engineered *Pichia pastoris* yeast for conversion of glucose to xylitol by a single-fermentation process. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 98(8), 3539–3552.
- Cheng, J., Wu, D., Chen, S., Chen, J., and Wu, J. (2011). High-Level Extracellular Production of α -Cyclodextrin Glycosyltransferase with recombinant *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(8), 3797–3802.
- Chin, J. W., and Cirino, P. C. (2011). Improved NADPH supply for xylitol production by engineered *Escherichia coli* with glycolytic mutations. *Biotechnology Progress*, 27(2), 333–341.
- Ciriminna, R., and Pagliaro, M. (2013). Green Chemistry in the Fine Chemicals and Pharmaceutical Industries. *Organic Process Research and Development*, 17(12), 1479–1484.
- Cirino, P. C., Chin, J. W., and Ingram, L. O. (2006). Engineering *Escherichia coli* for xylitol production from glucose-xylose mixtures. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 95(6), 1167–1176.
- Cybulski, A., Moulijn, J. A., Sharma, M. M., and Sheldon, R. A. (2001). 1 - Introduction BT - Fine Chemicals Manufacture. *Fine Chemicals Manufacture Technology and Engineering*, 1–13.
- Danquah, M. K., and Forde, G. M. (2007). Growth Medium Selection and Its Economic Impact on Plasmid DNA Production. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 104(6), 490–497.

- Datsenko, K. A., and Wanner, B. L. (2000). One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(12), 6640–6645.
- Delgado Arcaño, Y., Valmaña García, O. D., Mandelli, D., Carvalho, W. A., and Magalhães Pontes, L. A. (2020). Xylitol: A review on the progress and challenges of its production by chemical route. *Catalysis Today*, Elsevier, 344(August 2018), 2–14.
- Desai, T. A., and Rao, C. V. (2010). Regulation of arabinose and xylose metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76(5), 1524–1532.
- Dhar, K. S., Wendisch, V. F., and Nampoothiri, K. M. (2016). Engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for xylitol production from lignocellulosic pentose sugars. *Journal of Biotechnology*, Elsevier B.V., 230, 63–71.
- Diaz, A. B., Blandino, A., and Caro, I. (2018). Value added products from fermentation of sugars derived from agro-food residues. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 71(October 2017), 52–64.
- Eiteman, M. A., and Altman, E. (2006). Overcoming acetate in *Escherichia coli* recombinant protein fermentations. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 24(11), 530–536.
- Farmer, W. R., and Liao, J. C. (1997). Reduction of aerobic acetate production by *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63(8), 3205–3210.
- Fehér, A., Fehér, C., Rozbach, M., Rácz, G., Fekete, M., Hegedűs, L., and Barta, Z. (2018). Treatments of Lignocellulosic Hydrolysates and Continuous-Flow Hydrogenation of Xylose to Xylitol. *Chemical Engineering and Technology*, 41(3), 496–503.
- Fuente-Hernandez, A., Corcos, P., Beauchet, R., and Lavoie, J. (2013). Biofuels and Co-Products Out of Hemicelluloses. *Liquid, Gaseous and Solid Biofuels - Conversion Techniques*, InTech, 3–46.
- Gallezot, P. (2007). Catalytic routes from renewables to fine chemicals. *Catalysis Today*, 121(1–2), 76–91.
- Gallezot, P. (2012). Conversion of biomass to selected chemical products. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41(4), 1538–1558.

- Glick, B. R. (1995). Metabolic load and heterologous gene expression. *Biotechnology Advances*, 13(2), 247–261.
- Göksungur, Y., and Güvenç, U. (1997). Batch and continuous production of lactic acid from beet molasses by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* IFO 3202. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 69(4), 399–404.
- Görke, B., and Stülke, J. (2008). Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: Many ways to make the most out of nutrients. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(8), 613–624.
- Granström, T. B., Izumori, K., and Leisola, M. (2007a). A rare sugar xylitol. Part I: The biochemistry and biosynthesis of xylitol. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 74(2), 277–281.
- Granström, T. B., Izumori, K., and Leisola, M. (2007b). A rare sugar xylitol. Part II: Biotechnological production and future applications of xylitol. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 74(2), 273–276.
- Grembecka, M. (2015). Sugar alcohols—their role in the modern world of sweeteners: a review. *European Food Research and Technology*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 241(1), 1–14.
- Hahn-Hägerdal, B., Karhumaa, K., Fonseca, C., Spencer-Martins, I., and Gorwa-Grauslund, M. F. (2007). Towards industrial pentose-fermenting yeast strains. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 74(5), 937–953.
- Handumrongkul, C., Ma, D.-P., and Silva, J. L. (1998). Cloning and expression of *Candida guilliermondii* xylose reductase gene (*xy11*) in *Pichia pastoris*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 49(4), 399–404.
- Harkki, A. M., Andrey Novomirovich, M., Juha Heikki Antero, A., and Ossi Antero, P. (2004). Manufacture of xylitol using recombinant microbial hosts. *United States Patent No. 6,723,540 (B1)*.
- Hasumi, F., Teshima, C., and Okura, I. (1996). Synthesis of Xylitol by Reduction of Xylulose with the Combination of Hydrogenase and Xylulose Reductase. *Chemistry Letters*, 25(8), 597–598.
- Hausman, S. Z., and London, J. (1987). Purification and characterization of ribitol-5-phosphate and xylitol-5-phosphate dehydrogenases from strains of *Lactobacillus casei*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 169(4), 1651–1655.
- Hayes, D. J. M. (2015). Second-Generation Biofuels: Why They are Taking so Long. *Advances in Bioenergy*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Oxford, UK, 163–191.

- Hua, Q., Yang, C., Baba, T., Mori, H., and Shimizu, K. (2003). Responses of the Central Metabolism in *Escherichia coli* to Phosphoglucose Isomerase and Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Knockouts. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185(24), 7053–7067.
- Huffer, S., Roche, C. M., Blanch, H. W., and Clark, D. S. (2012). *Escherichia coli* for biofuel production: bridging the gap from promise to practice. *Trends in biotechnology*, 30(10), 538–45.
- Hyvönen, L., Koivistoinen, P., and Voirol, F. (1982). Food Technological Evaluation of Xylitol. *Advances in Food Research*, Academic Press, Cambridge, 373–403.
- Ishii, N., Nakahigashi, K., Baba, T., Robert, M., Soga, T., Kanai, A., et al. (2007). Multiple high-throughput analyses monitor the response of *E. coli* to perturbations. *Science*, 316(5824), 593–597.
- Izumori, K., and Tuzaki, K. (1988). Production of xylitol from D-xylulose by *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *Journal of Fermentation Technology*, 66(1), 33–36.
- Jiang, M., Wan, Q., Liu, R., Liang, L., Chen, X., Wu, M., Zhang, H., Chen, K., Ma, J., Wei, P., and Ouyang, P. (2014). Succinic acid production from corn stalk hydrolysate in an *E. coli* mutant generated by atmospheric and room-temperature plasmas and metabolic evolution strategies. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 41(1), 115–123.
- Jin, Y.-S., Cruz, J., and Jeffries, T. W. (2005). Xylitol production by a *Pichia stipitis* D-xylulokinase mutant. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 68(1), 42–45.
- Khankal, R., Chin, J. W., and Cirino, P. C. (2008). Role of xylose transporters in xylitol production from engineered *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 134(3–4), 246–252.
- Kim, J. H., Block, D. E., and Mills, D. A. (2010). Simultaneous consumption of pentose and hexose sugars: An optimal microbial phenotype for efficient fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 88(5), 1077–1085.
- Kim, S. M., Choi, B. Y., Ryu, Y. S., Jung, S. H., Park, J. M., Kim, G. H., and Lee, S. K. (2015). Simultaneous utilization of glucose and xylose via novel

- mechanisms in engineered *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, Elsevier, 30, 141–148.
- Kitpreechavanich, V., Hayashi, M., Nishio, N., and Nagai, S. (1984). Conversion of D-xylose into xylitol by xylose reductase from *Candida pelliculosa* coupled with the oxidoreductase system of methanogen strain HU. *Biotechnology Letters*, 6(10), 651–656.
- Ko, B. S., Rhee, C. H., and Kim, J. H. (2006). Enhancement of xylitol productivity and yield using a xylitol dehydrogenase gene-disrupted mutant of *Candida tropicalis* under fully aerobic conditions. *Biotechnology Letters*, 28(15), 1159–1162.
- Koh, B. T., Nakashimada, U., Pfeiffer, M., and Yap, M. G. S. (1992). Comparison of acetate inhibition on growth of host and recombinant *E. coli* K12 strains. *Biotechnology Letters*, 14(12), 1115–1118.
- Kokel, A., and Török, B. (2018). Sustainable production of fine chemicals and materials using nontoxic renewable sources. *Toxicological Sciences*, 161(2), 214–224.
- Lara, A. R., Caspeta, L., Gosset, G., Bolívar, F., and Ramírez, O. T. (2008). Utility of an *Escherichia coli* strain engineered in the substrate uptake system for improved culture performance at high glucose and cell concentrations: An alternative to fed-batch cultures. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 99(4), 893–901.
- Lecina, M., Sarró, E., Casablancas, A., Gòdia, F., and Cairó, J. J. (2013). IPTG limitation avoids metabolic burden and acetic acid accumulation in induced fed-batch cultures of *Escherichia coli* M15 under glucose limiting conditions. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, Elsevier B.V., 70, 78–83.
- Lee, J.-K., Koo, B.-S., and Kim, S.-Y. (2003). Cloning and Characterization of the *xyl1* Gene, Encoding an NADH-Preferring Xylose Reductase from *Candida parapsilosis*, and Its Functional Expression in *Candida tropicalis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(10), 6179–6188.
- Lim, J. H., and Jung, G. Y. (2017). A simple method to control glycolytic flux for the design of an optimal cell factory. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, BioMed Central, 10(1), 160.

- London, J., and Chace, N. M. (1977). New pathway for the metabolism of pentitols. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 74(10), 4296–4300.
- Long, C. P., Gonzalez, J. E., Sandoval, N. R., and Antoniewicz, M. R. (2016). Characterization of physiological responses to 22 gene knockouts in *Escherichia coli* central carbon metabolism. *Metabolic Engineering*, 37, 102–113.
- Luo, Y., Zhang, T., and Wu, H. (2014). The transport and mediation mechanisms of the common sugars in *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnology Advances*, Elsevier Inc., 32(5), 905–919.
- Makinen, K. K. (1978). Biochemical principles of the use of xylitol in medicine and nutrition with special consideration of dental aspects. *Experientia*, 34(Suppl. 30), 3–160.
- Man, R. C., Ismail, A. F., Ghazali, N. F., Fuzi, S. F. Z. M., and Illias, R. M. (2015). Effects of the immobilization of recombinant *Escherichia coli* on cyclodextrin glucanotransferase (CGTase) excretion and cell viability. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, Elsevier B.V., 98, 91–98.
- Martínez-Gómez, K., Flores, N., Castañeda, H. M., Martínez-Batallar, G., Hernández-Chávez, G., Ramírez, O. T., Gosset, G., Encarnación, S., and Bolivar, F. (2012). New insights into *Escherichia coli* metabolism: Carbon scavenging, acetate metabolism and carbon recycling responses during growth on glycerol. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 11, 46.
- Matsuoka, Y., and Shimizu, K. (2013). Catabolite regulation analysis of *Escherichia coli* for acetate overflow mechanism and co-consumption of multiple sugars based on systems biology approach using computer simulation. *Journal of Biotechnology*, Elsevier B.V., 168(2), 155–173.
- Meng, J., Chroumpi, T., Mäkelä, M. R., and de Vries, R. P. (2022). Xylitol production from plant biomass by *Aspergillus niger* through metabolic engineering. *Bioresource Technology*, 344(August 2021), 126199.
- Mohamad, N. L., Mustapa Kamal, S. M., and Gliew, A. (2009). Effects of temperature and pH on xylitol recovery from oil palm empty fruit bunch hydrolysate by *Candida tropicalis*. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 9(17), 3192–3195.

- Mohamad, N. L., Mustapa Kamal, S. M., and Mokhtar, M. N. (2015). Xylitol Biological Production: A Review of Recent Studies. *Food Reviews International*, 31(1), 74–89.
- Mori, H., Baba, T., Yokoyama, K., Takeuchi, R., Nomura, W., Makishi, K., Otsuka, Y., Dose, H., and Wanner, B. L. (2015). Identification of Essential Genes and Synthetic Lethal Gene Combinations in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 45–65.
- Mullin, R. (2012). Fine chemicals: there are hard times at the glamour end of the chemical spectrum. *Enterprise of the Chemical Sciences*, 6–9.
- Mussatto, S. I. (2012). Application of xylitol in food formulations and benefits for health. *D-Xylitol: Fermentative Production, Application and Commercialization*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 309–323.
- Naik, S. N., Goud, V. V., Rout, P. K., and Dalai, A. K. (2010). Production of first and second generation biofuels: A comprehensive review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(2), 578–597.
- Nair, N. U., and Zhao, H. (2010). Selective reduction of xylose to xylitol from a mixture of hemicellulosic sugars. *Metabolic Engineering*, Elsevier, 12(5), 462–468.
- Nakahigashi, K., Toya, Y., Ishii, N., Soga, T., Hasegawa, M., Watanabe, H., Takai, Y., Honma, M., Mori, H., and Tomita, M. (2009). Systematic phenome analysis of *Escherichia coli* multiple-knockout mutants reveals hidden reactions in central carbon metabolism. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 5(306), 1–14.
- Nakano, K., Rischke, M., Sato, S., and Märkl, H. (1997). Influence of acetic acid on the growth of *Escherichia coli* K12 during high-cell-density cultivation in a dialysis reactor. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 48(5), 597–601.
- Nanda, S., A. Kozinski, J., and K. Dalai, A. (2016). Lignocellulosic biomass: A review of conversion technologies and fuel products. *Current Biochemical Engineering*, 3(1), 24–36.
- Narisetty, V., Castro, E., Durgapal, S., Coulon, F., Jacob, S., Kumar, D., Kumar Awasthi, M., Kishore Pant, K., Parameswaran, B., and Kumar, V. (2021). High level xylitol production by *Pichia fermentans* using non-detoxified xylose-rich sugarcane bagasse and olive pits hydrolysates. *Bioresource Technology*, 342(September), 126005.

- Neuhauser, W., Steininger, M., Haltrich, D., Kulbe, K. D., and Nidetzky, B. (1998). A pH-controlled fed-batch process can overcome inhibition by formate in NADH-dependent enzymatic reductions using formate dehydrogenase-catalyzed coenzyme regeneration. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 60(3), 277–282.
- Nidetzky, B., Neuhauser, W., Haltrich, D., and Kulbe, K. D. (1996). Continuous enzymatic production of xylitol with simultaneous coenzyme regeneration in a charged membrane reactor. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 52(3), 387–396.
- Nigam, P. (1995). Processes for Fermentative Production of Xylitol -- a Sugar Substitute. *Process Biochemistry*, 30(2), 117–124.
- O'Donnell, K., and Kearsley, M. W. (2012). *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology*. *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology: Second Edition*, (K. O'Donnell and M. W. Kearsley, eds.), Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Onishi, H., and Suzuki, T. (1969). Microbial Production of Xylitol from Glucose'. *Applied microbiology*, 18(6), 1031–1035.
- Pal, S., Mondal, A. K., and Sahoo, D. K. (2016). Molecular strategies for enhancing microbial production of xylitol. *Process Biochemistry*, Elsevier Ltd, 51(7), 809–819.
- Parajó, J. C., Domínguez, H., and Domínguez, J. M. (1998). Biotechnological production of xylitol. Part 1: Interest of xylitol and fundamentals of its biosynthesis. *Bioresource Technology*, 65(3), 191–201.
- Park, S. M., Sang, B. I., Park, D. W., and Park, D. H. (2005). Electrochemical reduction of xylose to xylitol by whole cells or crude enzyme of *Candida peltata*. *Journal of microbiology (Seoul, Korea)*, 43(5), 451–455.
- Park, Y. H., Lee, B. R., Seok, Y. J., and Peterkofsky, A. (2006). In vitro reconstitution of catabolite repression in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, © 2006 ASBMB. Currently published by Elsevier Inc; originally published by American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology., 281(10), 6448–6454.
- Peng, F., Ren, J. L., Xu, F., and Sun, R.-C. (2011). Chemicals from Hemicelluloses: A Review. *ACS Symposium Series*, 219–259.

- Phue, J. N., Noronha, S. B., Hattacharyya, R., Wolfe, A. J., and Shiloach, J. (2005). Glucose metabolism at high density growth of *E. coli* B and *E. coli* K: Differences in metabolic pathways are responsible for efficient glucose utilization in *E. coli* B as determined by microarrays and Northern blot analyses. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 90(7), 805–820.
- Phue, J. N., and Shiloach, J. (2005). Impact of dissolved oxygen concentration on acetate accumulation and physiology of *E. coli* BL21, evaluating transcription levels of key genes at different dissolved oxygen conditions. *Metabolic Engineering*, 7(5–6), 353–363.
- Povelainen, M., and Miasnikov, A. N. (2007). Production of xylitol by metabolically engineered strains of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 128(1), 24–31.
- Qi, X. H., Zhu, J. F., Yun, J. H., Lin, J., Qi, Y. L., Guo, Q., and Xu, H. (2016). Enhanced xylitol production: Expression of xylitol dehydrogenase from *Gluconobacter oxydans* and mixed culture of resting cell. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, Elsevier Ltd, 122(3), 257–262.
- Qi, X., Zhang, H., Magocha, T. A., An, Y., Yun, J., Yang, M., Xue, Y., Liang, S., Sun, W., and Cao, Z. (2017). Improved xylitol production by expressing a novel D-arabitol dehydrogenase from isolated *Gluconobacter* sp. JX-05 and co-biotransformation of whole cells. *Bioresource Technology*, Elsevier Ltd, 235(March), 50–58.
- Rafiqul, I. S. M., and Sakinah, A. M. M. (2013). Processes for the production of xylitol—a review. *Food Reviews International*, 29(2), 127–156.
- Ruffing, A. M., and Chen, R. R. (2010). Metabolic engineering of *Agrobacterium* sp. strain ATCC 31749 for production of an α -Gal epitope. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 9(1), 1.
- Sakakibara, Y., Saha, B. C., and Taylor, P. (2009). Microbial production of xylitol from l-arabinose by metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, The Society for Biotechnology, Japan, 107(5), 506–511.
- Sambrook, J., and Russell, D. W. (2001). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 3th edn, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York,. Cold Spring, Harbor Laboratory, New York, 3th edn.

- Sampaio, F. C., Chaves-Alves, V. M., Converti, A., Lopes Passos, F. M., and Cavalcante Coelho, J. L. (2008). Influence of cultivation conditions on xylose-to-xylitol bioconversion by a new isolate of *Debaryomyces hansenii*. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(3), 502–508.
- Sampaio, F. C., Da Silveira, W. B., Chaves-Alves, V. M., Lopes Passos, F. M., and Cavalcante Coelho, J. L. (2003). Screening of filamentous fungi for production of xylitol from d-xylose. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 34(4), 325–328.
- Schein, C. H. (2010). Soluble Protein Expression in Bacteria. *Encyclopedia of Industrial Biotechnology*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Shiloach, J., and Fass, R. (2005). Growing *E. coli* to high cell density - A historical perspective on method development. *Biotechnology Advances*, 23(5), 345–357.
- Da Silva, S. S., and Chandel, A. K. (2012). *D-Xylitol. D-Xylitol: Fermentative Production, Application and Commercialization*, (S. S. da Silva and A. K. Chandel, eds.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Sørensen, K. I., and Hove-Jensen, B. (1996). Ribose catabolism of *Escherichia coli*: Characterization of the *rpiB* gene encoding ribose phosphate isomerase B and of the *rpiR* gene, which is involved in regulation of *rpiB* expression. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 178(4), 1003–1011.
- Steinsiek, S., and Bettenbrock, K. (2012). Glucose transport in *Escherichia coli* mutant strains with defects in sugar transport systems. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194(21), 5897–5908.
- Straathof, A. J. J., Wahl, S. A., Benjamin, K. R., Takors, R., Wierckx, N., and Noorman, H. J. (2019). Grand Research Challenges for Sustainable Industrial Biotechnology. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 37(10), 1042–1050.
- Su, B., Wu, M., Zhang, Z., Lin, J., and Yang, L. (2015). Efficient production of xylitol from hemicellulosic hydrolysate using engineered *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, Elsevier, 31, 112–122.
- Suzuki, S., Sugiyama, M., Mihara, Y., Hashiguchi, K., and Yokozeki, K. (2002). Novel enzymatic method for the production of xylitol from D-arabitol by *Gluconobacter oxydans*. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry*, 66(12), 2614–20.

- Suzuki, T., Yokoyama, S. I., Kinoshita, Y., Yamada, H., Hatsu, M., Takamizawa, K., and Kawai, K. (1999). Expression of *xyrA* gene encoding for D-Xylose reductase of *Candida tropicalis* and production of xylitol in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 87(3), 280–284.
- Tamburini, E., Costa, S., Marchetti, M. G., and Pedrini, P. (2015). Optimized production of xylitol from xylose using a hyper-acidophilic *Candida tropicalis*. *Biomolecules*, 5(3), 1979–1989.
- Varzakas, T., Labropoulos, A., and Anestis, S. (2012). *Sweeteners: Nutritional aspects, applications, and production technology*. *Sweeteners: Nutritional Aspects, Applications, and Production Technology*.
- Venkateswar Rao, L., Goli, J. K., Gentela, J., and Koti, S. (2016). Bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass to xylitol: An overview. *Bioresource Technology*, Elsevier Ltd, 213, 299–310.
- Wang, D., Li, Q., Yang, M., Zhang, Y., Su, Z., and Xing, J. (2011). Efficient production of succinic acid from corn stalk hydrolysates by a recombinant *Escherichia coli* with *ptsG* mutation. *Process Biochemistry*, Elsevier Ltd, 46(1), 365–371.
- Wang, H., Wang, F., Wang, W., Yao, X., Wei, D., Cheng, H., and Deng, Z. (2014). Improving the expression of recombinant proteins in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) under acetate stress: An alkaline pH shift approach. *PLoS ONE*, 9(11), 1–11.
- Wang, T. H., Zhong, Y. H., Huang, W., Liu, T., and You, Y. W. (2005). Antisense inhibition of xylitol dehydrogenase gene, *xdh1* from *Trichoderma reesei*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 40(6), 424–429.
- Wolfe, A. J. (2005). The Acetate Switch. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 69(1), 12–50.
- Xia, T., Eiteman, M. A., and Altman, E. (2012). Simultaneous utilization of glucose, xylose and arabinose in the presence of acetate by a consortium of *Escherichia coli* strains. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 11, 1–9.
- Xu, Y., Chi, P., Bilal, M., and Cheng, H. (2019). Biosynthetic strategies to produce xylitol: an economical venture. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 103(13), 5143–5160.
- Yoshitake, J., Ishizaki, H., Shimamura, M., and Imai, T. (1973). Xylitol Production by an Enterobacter Species. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 37(10), 2261–2267.

- Yoshitake, J., Ohiwa, H., Shimamura, M., and Imai, T. (1971). Production of Polyalcohol by a *Corynebacterium* sp. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 35(6), 905–911.
- Zacharis, C. (2012). *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology: Xylitol. Active Nutrition*.
- Zhang, H., Yun, J., Zayed, H., Yang, M., Zhang, G., Qi, Y., Guo, Q., and Qi, X. (2018). Production of xylitol by expressing xylitol dehydrogenase and alcohol dehydrogenase from *Gluconobacter thailandicus* and co-biotransformation of whole cells. *Bioresource Technology*, Elsevier, 257(February), 223–228.
- Zhao, G., and Winkler, M. E. (1994). An *Escherichia coli* K-12 tktA tktB mutant deficient in transketolase activity requires pyridoxine (vitamin B6) as well as the aromatic amino acids and vitamins for growth. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 176(19), 6134–6138.
- Zhao, J., and Shimizu, K. (2003). Metabolic flux analysis of *Escherichia coli* K12 grown on ¹³C-labeled acetate and glucose using GC-MS and powerful flux calculation method. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 101(2), 101–117.
- Zhou, C. H., Xia, X., Lin, C. X., Tong, D. S., and Beltramini, J. (2011). Catalytic conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to fine chemicals and fuels. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 40(11), 5588–5617.
- Zhou, P., Li, S., Xu, H., Feng, X., and Ouyang, P. (2012). Construction and co-expression of plasmid encoding xylitol dehydrogenase and a cofactor regeneration enzyme for the production of xylitol from d-arabitol. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, Elsevier Inc., 51(2), 119–124.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal

1. **Abdullah, N.**, Md Illias, R., Low, K.O., Jaafar, N. R., Mohamad Sukri, H.,and Abdul Rahman, R. (2022) Metabolic pathways modification forproduction of xylitol from glucose in *Escherichia coli*. *Jurnal Teknologi*,8(4). Accepted. (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)