

WIDEBAND MOSAIC FREQUENCY SELECTIVE SURFACE FOR  
DICHROIC SURFACE, ABSORBER AND DIRECTIVE ANTENNA  
APPLICATIONS

NUR BIHA BINTI MOHAMED NAFIS

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2022

## DEDICATION

To myself, thank you for being highly courageous, hardworking, and never giving up.

To my parents, En Mohamed Nafis Baba and Pn Azizah Jakiriyah, thank you for your unconditional love, support, and encouragement. There are no words that can describe how truly grateful I am to have you guys in my life, especially during the unprecedented times throughout my PhD journey.

To my family, thank you for cheering me up in any way that you guys can, whenever and wherever.

Indeed, we cannot choose the family to be born in to, but with you guys, this family is truly a gift and blessing from Allah for me. Alhamdulillah, thank you!

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my family for their love, support, and encouragement throughout my PhD journey.

I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Ts. Dr. Mohamad Kamal A. Rahim, for his encouragement, guidance, and critics. And also, to my internal co-supervisor, Dr. Osman Ayop, for his critics and word of encouragement.

I am also very grateful to my external co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Himdi from the University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France, for his continuous support, guidance, advice, motivation, and friendship. It has been a rewarding and beautiful experience working with such a talented and enthusiast professor. Without his continuous support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi (KPT) for sponsoring my PhD. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues (Ezwan, Raimi, Naeem) that willing to assist on different occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

I also would like to thank my friend, Norsaidah Muhamad Nadzir, for always providing me with moral support throughout the journey. And not to forget, my friend, Nisaa Yasmin Nor Izuddin, for a good friendship even for a short time.

## ABSTRACT

Acquiring a highly optical transparent feature on the wideband frequency selective surface (FSS) is challenging. Generally, the wideband response can be achieved using the multilayer FSS configurations, leading to high fabrication cost and thick end product. The innovation of the FSS structural element allowed the wideband frequency response to be achieved by using the single-layer configuration, but unsuitable for optical transparency application due to the wide trace width of the structural element. The main objective of the thesis is to assess the performance of mosaic frequency selective surface (MFSS), which comprises a combination of the Koch fractal on the inner and outer loops of the basic double hexagonal loops structural element. The narrow trace width of the MFSS allowed the structural element to be further utilised for optical transparency application. The performance comparison between the opaque MFSS (with FR4 substrate) and the transparent MFSS (with polycarbonate substrate) was studied and investigated thoroughly. The opaque MFSS and transparent MFSS (~ 70.3 % of transparency level) yielded wideband bandstop and bandpass frequency responses with a low cross-polarisation,  $T_{yx}$  (-37 dB), yet the angular stability was limited to only 25°. The MFSS performance under the influence of the array and substrate configurations (MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3) were also investigated in detail. With increased array and substrate configurations, broader bandwidth (BW) was achieved for the first and second bandstop frequency responses, while vice versa for the bandpass frequency response. The optical transparency of the MFSSs remains constant with a low  $T_{yx}$  (< -35 dB). Within the lower frequency region, the MFSS2 and MFSS3 had a high angular- and polarisation stability of up to 30° and 40°, respectively. However, the scan blindness phenomenon became more obvious within higher frequency regions. The MFSS structural element was further proposed for dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna applications. The complementary MFSS (CMFSS) structural element was applied for the dichroic surface application. The design requirement was to permit the reflection and the transmission of the EM wave at 183 GHz and 325 GHz, respectively, and the  $T_{yx}$  levels were < -68 dB. The CMFSS was also highly insensitive towards angular- and polarisation variations of up to 45°. For the absorber application, the metallic MFSS periodic array was replaced by the resistive MFSS (RMFSS) periodic array, which was fabricated on the thin Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) substrate backed with a metal plate to establish a very thin wideband absorber. Even though the wideband absorption with fractional bandwidth of 132 % is achieved by using the multilayer MFSS absorber, yet the relative thickness was only  $\lambda_L/15$ . The MFSS absorber was also insensitive to angular- and polarisation variation of up to 35°. Lastly, the monopole antenna was associated with the studied MFSS absorber to have a low-profile directive antenna. With the MFSS absorber, the maximal directivity with high front-to-back ratio was obtained by placing the absorber at only a short distance from the antenna ( $d = 2 \lambda_{fr}/25$ ). This absorber can be used to avoid or control the perturbation near the monopole antenna. The simulation process (Computer Simulation Technology Microwave Studio (CST MWS)), the fabrication process (laser etch and screen-printing techniques), and the measurement process (free space and radiation pattern measurements) varied depending on the applications. The simulated results were in good agreement with the measured results, which validated the utilisation of MFSS for various applications.

## ABSTRAK

Untuk memperoleh ciri lutsinar optik yang tinggi pada permukaan frekuensi terpilih (FSS) jalur lebar adalah sesuatu yang mencabar. Secara amnya, sambutan jalur lebar boleh dicapai menggunakan konfigurasi FSS berbilang lapisan, yang membawa kepada kos fabrikasi yang tinggi dan keluaran produk yang tebal. Inovasi yang dilakukan pada unsur struktur FSS membolehkan sambutan frekuensi jalur lebar dicapai dengan menggunakan konfigurasi selapis, tetapi tidak sesuai untuk penggunaan lutsinar optik kerana jejak lebar unsur struktur yang lebar. Objektif utama tesis ini adalah untuk menilai prestasi mozek permukaan frekuensi terpilih (MFSS), yang terdiri daripada gabungan fraktal Koch pada gelung dalam dan luar bagi unsur struktur asas gelung heksagon berganda. Jejak lebar MFSS yang halus membolehkan unsur struktur digunakan selanjutnya untuk penggunaan lutsinar optik. Perbandingan prestasi antara MFSS legap (dengan substrat FR4) dan MFSS lutsinar (dengan substrat Polycarbonate) kemudiannya dikaji dan disiasat dengan teliti. MFSS legap dan MFSS lutsinar (~ 70.3 % aras lutsinar) menghasilkan sambutan frekuensi jalur lebar untuk batas jalur dan lurus jalur dengan pengutuban silang,  $T_{yx}$  (-37 dB) yang rendah, namun kestabilan sudut terhad kepada  $25^\circ$  sahaja. Prestasi MFSS di bawah pengaruh konfigurasi tatasusunan dan substrat (MFSS, MFSS2 dan MFSS3), turut disiasat secara terperinci. Dengan penambahan konfigurasi tatasusunan dan substrat, lebar jalur (BW) yang lebih luas telah dicapai untuk sambutan frekuensi batas jalur pertama dan kedua, manakala sebaliknya untuk sambutan frekuensi lurus jalur. Lutsinar optik semua MFSS kekal pemalar dengan ciri  $T_{yx}$  yang sangat rendah (tahap  $T_{yx} < -35$  dB). Untuk kawasan frekuensi yang rendah, MFSS2 dan MFSS3 mempunyai kestabilan yang tinggi terhadap sudut dan pengutuban masing-masing sehingga  $30^\circ$  dan  $40^\circ$ . Walau bagaimanapun, dalam kawasan frekuensi yang lebih tinggi, kejadian fenomena imbas buta menjadi lebih jelas. Unsur struktur MFSS selanjutnya dicadangkan untuk aplikasi permukaan dikroik, penyerap, dan antena arahan. Unsur struktur MFSS pelengkap (CMFSS) telah digunakan untuk aplikasi permukaan dikroik. Keperluan rekabentuk adalah untuk membenarkan pantulan dan penghantaran gelombang EM masing-masing pada 183 GHz dan 325 GHz, dan tahap  $T_{yx}$  adalah  $< -68$  dB. CMFSS juga sangat tidak sensitif terhadap variasi sudut dan pengutuban sehingga  $45^\circ$ . Untuk aplikasi penyerap, tatasusunan berkala MFSS logam digantikan dengan tatasusunan berkala MFSS berintangan (RMFSS), yang difabrikasi pada substrat nipis *Polyethylene Terephthalate* (PET) yang disandarkan dengan plat logam untuk membentuk penyerap jalur lebar yang sangat nipis. Walaupun penyerapan jalur lebar dengan lebar-jalur pecahan sebanyak 132 % dicapai dengan menggunakan penyerap MFSS berbilang lapisan, namun ketebalan nisbi hanya  $\lambda_L/15$ . Penyerap MFSS juga tidak sensitif terhadap variasi sudut dan pengutuban sehingga  $35^\circ$ . Akhir sekali, ekakutub antena disekutukan dengan penyerap MFSS yang dikaji untuk digunakan sebagai antena arahan profil rendah. Dengan penyerap MFSS, arahan maksimum dengan nisbah depan ke belakang yang tinggi diperoleh dengan meletakkan penyerap hanya pada jarak yang dekat dari antena ( $d = 2\lambda_{fr}/25$ ). Penyerap tersebut dapat digunakan untuk mengelak atau mengawal gangguan berdekatan dengan ekakutub antena. Proses penyelakuan (*Computer Simulation Technology Microwave Studio* (CST MWS)), proses fabrikasi (teknik punar laser dan cetak layar), dan proses pengukuran (pengukuran ruang bebas dan corak sinaran), adalah berbeza-beza bergantung pada aplikasi. Keputusan penyelakuan adalah hampir sama dengan keputusan pengukuran, yang mengesahkan penggunaan MFSS untuk pelbagai aplikasi.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxx</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	6
	1.4 Scope of Work	6
	1.5 Research Contributions	8
	1.6 Thesis Outline	9
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>13</b>
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Basic Principle of the Incident EM Wave on FSS	16
	2.3 FSS Filter Type	17
	2.4 Factors that Govern the FSS Response	19
	2.4.1 Type of the Basic FSS Structural Elements	19
	2.4.2 Grating Lobe Phenomenon	22
	2.4.3 Wood's Anomalies Phenomenon	23

2.4.4	Dielectric Substrate	26
2.4.5	Angle of Incident of the EM Wave and its Polarisation	27
2.5	Fractal FSS	31
2.6	Dual-Band and Multiband FSS	37
2.7	Wideband FSS	45
2.8	Optically Transparent FSS	62
2.9	Review Foregoing Research	64
2.9.1	Dichroic Surface Application	64
2.9.2	Absorber Application	72
2.9.3	Directive Antenna Application	80
2.10	Summary	82
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>85</b>
3.1	Introduction	85
3.2	Research Framework and Flowchart	85
3.3	CST MWS Simulation Setup	88
3.3.1	Co- and Cross Polarisation Reflection- and Transmission Coefficient	88
3.3.2	MFSS Absorber (Resistive MFSS with Metal-backed)	91
3.3.3	Directive Antenna (MFSS Absorber Associated with Monopole Antenna)	92
3.4	Fabrication Process of MFSS	95
3.4.1	LPKF Protolaser U4 Laser Machine (Opaque MFSS)	95
3.4.2	Screen-Printing Fabrication Technique (Transparent MFSS and MFSS Absorber)	98
3.4.3	Monopole Antenna	101
3.5	Measurement Setup	102
3.5.1	Free Space Measurement	102
3.5.2	Reflection Coefficient Measurement Process for Directive Antenna Application	105
3.5.3	Radiation Pattern Measurement Process	106
3.6	Summary	107

<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>SINGLE-LAYER WIDEBAND OPTICALLY TRANSPARENT MFSS</b>	<b>109</b>
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Designing Process of the MFSS	109
4.2.1	The First Step: Design and Analysis of the DHLFSS	110
4.2.2	The second step: Design and Analysis of the Modified IDHLFSS	112
4.2.3	The third step: Design and Analysis of the MFSS	113
4.2.4	Summary of the Comparison Between DHLFSS, IDHLFSS, and MFSS	116
4.3	Effect of Koch Fractal Iteration Level on the Performance of MFSS	117
4.3.1	Design and Analysis of the Modified First Iteration Level of Koch Fractal of the MFSS (MFSS-KF1)	117
4.3.2	Design and Analysis of the Modified Second Iteration Level of Koch Fractal of the MFSS (MFSS-KF2)	119
4.3.3	Summary of the Performance Comparison Between MFSS-KF1, MFSS-KF2 and MFSS	121
4.4	Parametric Analysis of MFSS	122
4.4.1	Effect of Change in $W_1$ on the Performance of the MFSS	122
4.4.2	Effect of Change in $W_2$ on the Performance of the MFSS	123
4.4.3	Effect of Change in Both $W_1$ and $W_2$ on the Performance of the MFSS	124
4.4.4	Effect of Change in $R_2$ on the Performance of the MFSS	125
4.4.5	Effect of Change in $RO$ on the Performance of the MFSS	126
4.4.6	Effect of Change in Periodicity ( $a$ ) on the Performance of the MFSS	128
4.4.7	Summary of the Parametric Analysis of the MFSS	129
4.5	Optically Transparent MFSS	130
4.5.1	Evolution of MFSS Unit Cell (Opaque MFSS)	130



4.5.1.1	The Performance Analysis of the Evolution of MFSS Unit Cell (Opaque MFSS)	131
4.5.1.2	Surface Current Distribution of the Evolution of MFSS Unit Cell (Opaque MFSS)	135
4.5.1.3	Influence of the Oblique Angles on the Performance of the MFSS	139
4.5.2	MFSS for Optical Transparency Application	141
4.5.2.1	The Performance Analysis of the Transparent MFSS	142
4.5.2.2	Influence of the Oblique Angles on the Performance of the Transparent MFSS	143
4.5.3	Validation between Simulated and Measured Results of FSS 1, FSS 2, FSS 3, Opaque MFSS and Transparent MFSS	144
4.5.4	Comparative Study	147
4.6	Summary	152
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>MULTILAYER OPTICALLY TRANSPARENT MFSS</b>	<b>155</b>
5.1	Introduction	155
5.2	Effect of the Array and Substrate Configurations on the Performance of MFSS	156
5.3	Effect of Substrate Thickness on the Performance of MFSS	159
5.4	Effect of unit cell's misalignment on the performance of MFSS (fabrication complexity)	164
5.5	Effect of unit cell's size dimension differential between metal conducting layers on on the performance of MFSS (fabrication complexity)	166
5.6	Effect of substrate permittivity on the performance of MFSS	168
5.7	Effect of oblique angles on the performance of MFSS	170
5.8	Validation of the simulated and measured performance of the MFSSs	172
5.9	Comparative study	174
5.10	Summary	178

<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>APPLICATIONS OF THE WIDEBAND MFSS</b>	<b>179</b>
6.1	Introduction	179
6.2	Dichroic Surface Application (CMFSS)	179
6.2.1	Frequency Response of the CMFSS	181
6.2.2	Performance of the CMFSS under the Influence of Oblique Angles	183
6.2.3	Performance of the Different Types of CMFSS Configuration under the Influence of Substrate Thickness and Conductor Thickness	185
6.2.4	Comparative Study	188
6.3	Absorber Application (Resistive MFSS with Metal-backed)	193
6.3.1	Frequency Response of MFSS Absorber under the Influence of Variation of Sheet Resistivity and Thickness of Air Spacer	194
6.3.2	Surface Current Distribution of MFSS Absorber under the Influence of Sheet Resistance and Absorber Configurations	199
6.3.3	E-Field and Power Loss Density of MFSS Absorber under the Influence of Sheet Resistance and Absorber Configurations	201
6.3.4	Frequency Response of MFSS Absorber under the Influence of Oblique Angle Variation	206
6.3.5	Validation between Simulated and Measured Results of MFSS Absorber	208
6.3.6	Comparative Study	210
6.4	Directive Antenna Application (MFSS absorber Associated with Monopole Antenna)	214
6.4.1	MA Associated with PEC	216
6.4.2	MA Associated with MFSS Absorber	217
6.4.3	MA Associated with MFSS Absorber (MFSS Absorber Size Change)	219
6.4.4	Comparison Between MFSSAMA with MA and PECMA, and Validation Between Simulated and Measured Results for MA, PECMA, and MFSSAMA	221
6.4.5	Comparative Study	227
6.5	Summary	229

<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS</b>	<b>231</b>
7.1	Conclusion	231
7.2	Recommendation for Future Work	234
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>237</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>271</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Properties of the FSS	15
Table 2.2	The performance comparison between common basic FSS structural elements (61)	22
Table 2.3	Types of lattice arrangement and criteria to mitigate grating lobe phenomenon (79)	23
Table 2.4	A comparison of the size dimensions of the incurved slot fractal square loop aperture FSS, as shown in Figure 2.11(a), and the conventional square loop aperture FSS (87)	34
Table 2.5	A comparison of the dimensions of the first iteration level of the modified fractal double square loop FSS, as shown in Figure 2.11(b), and the conventional double square loop FSS (88)	34
Table 2.6	The integration of a patch element with various fractal structures	38
Table 2.7	The multiband behaviour of the fractal FSS with increasing iteration level	40
Table 2.8	The performance analysis of the fractal FSS with dissimilar fractal structures (99)	42
Table 2.9	The frequency tunability performance analysis of the Peano fractal structure by switching the patch branches position (100)	43
Table 4.1	The parameter value of the MFSS	115
Table 4.2	The optical transparency of the evolution of the MFSS unit cell	142
Table 4.3	The comparison of the opaque and transparent MFSS with previous works	149
Table 5.1	Performance analysis of the first bandstop frequency response for the MFSSs	158
Table 5.2	Performance analysis of the bandpass frequency response for the MFSSs	158
Table 5.3	Performance analysis of the second bandstop frequency response for the MFSSs	159

Table 5.4	Performance analysis of the MFSS under the influence of the substrate thickness	160
Table 5.5	Performance analysis of the MFSS2 under the influence of the substrate thickness	162
Table 5.6	Performance analysis of the MFSS3 under the influence of the substrate thickness	164
Table 5.7	The performance analysis comparison between simulated and measurement comparison of $T_{xx}$ for the first stopband, bandpass and second bandstop frequency responses of the MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3	173
Table 5.8	The comparison of the MFSS2 and MFSS3 with previous works	176
Table 6.1	CMFSS specification	179
Table 6.2	The size dimension of the CMFSS	180
Table 6.3	The comparison of CMFSS with previous works	190
Table 6.4	The comparison of the MFSS absorber with previous work	211
Table 6.5	The simulated performance analysis of the PECMA	217
Table 6.6	The simulated performance analysis of the MFSSAMA	219
Table 6.7	The simulated performance analysis of the MFSSAMA for various size dimension of the MFSS absorber ( $d = 4$ mm)	221
Table 6.8	The comparison between simulated and measured performance analysis of the MA, PECMA and MFSSAMA	226
Table 6.9	The comparison of MFSSAMA with previous works	227

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The operational behavior of FSS (67)	16
Figure 2.2	The frequency response and equivalent circuit of FSS based on Babinet's principle: (a) High pass, (b) Low pass, (c) Bandpass, (d) Bandstop	18
Figure 2.3	Traditional structural elements of FSS (67)	19
Figure 2.4	Surface wave circle diagram (81)	24
Figure 2.5	Comparison of the normalized surface wave propagation constant for dielectric substrate with different permittivity (81)	25
Figure 2.6	The FSS array and substrate configurations - FSS array on one-sided (left) of the dielectric substrate and embedded within the dielectric substrates (right) (82)	26
Figure 2.7	The geometry of the plane wave incident from the free space ( $z < 0$ ) onto the half space ( $z > 0$ ) of an arbitrary material (dielectric substrate) (83)	27
Figure 2.8	The plane wave from various oblique angles incident at the interface between free space region (region 1) and dielectric substrate (region 2) (83)	29
Figure 2.9	The reflection coefficient when the plane wave of the perpendicular and parallel polarisations incident from free space onto the dielectric region with $\epsilon_r = 2.55$ (83)	31
Figure 2.10	Variations of common fractal FSS structural elements (67)	33
Figure 2.11	The unit cell of the modified square loop fractal FSS. (a) the incurved slot of the fractal square loop aperture FSS (87) and (b) the first iteration level of the modified fractal double square loop FSS (88)	34
Figure 2.12	(a) Interdigital fractal FSS; Frequency response of (b) different structural elements, and frequency response of the interdigital fractal FSS for variation of oblique angle under (c) TE mode and (d) TM mode incidences (92)	39
Figure 2.13	Dissimilar fractal structures: (a) $k=1$ and $k=2$ , (b) $k=1$ and $k=3$ , and (c) $k=2$ and $k=3$ fractal level (99)	42

Figure 2.14	Peano FSS configurations (100): (a) Peano (all switches on), (b) Switch S8 off, (c) Switches S3 and S5 off, and (d) Switches S1, S5, and S8 off	43
Figure 2.15	(a) Geometry of fractal FSS with Minkowski fractal structure, and (b) the fractal FSS performance under the influence of oblique angle variations (104)	44
Figure 2.16	The finite and nonuniform fractal FSS (105). (a) fractal FSS 1, (b) fractal FSS 2, (c)-(d) and (e)-(f) are the performance of the fractal FSS 1 and fractal FSS 2, respectively, under the influence of oblique angle variations	45
Figure 2.17	(a) The topology of the cascaded fractal FSS, (b) BW as a function of air gap spacing (10)	46
Figure 2.18	The $S_{21}$ analysis for cascaded fractal FSS of different fractal structures for variations of air gap height (8)	47
Figure 2.19	(a) The topology of the multilayer fractal FSS, (b) and (c) are $S_{21}$ analysis of the multilayer fractal FSS under the influence of the oblique angle variations (11)	48
Figure 2.20	(a) The topology of the AFA structure, (b) $S_{21}$ analysis for different oblique angles (106)	49
Figure 2.21	(a) The complex pinwheel tiling fractal FSS, (b) $S_{21}$ analysis of the complex fractal FSS (107)	50
Figure 2.22	(a) The geometry of the complex fractal FSS in (108), and the $S_{21}$ analysis for variation of obliques angles under (b) TE mode and (c) TM mode incidences	50
Figure 2.23	(a) Complementary Cantor dust fractal structure (109), and $S_{21}$ analysis of the fractal FSS for variation of oblique angles under (b) TE mode and (c) TM mode incidences	51
Figure 2.24	Multifractal FSS (85)	52
Figure 2.25	The multifractal FSS (integration between Koch curve with the Ericampos multifractal structures) and its performance (110)	53
Figure 2.26	Wideband FSS utilising the sandwich configuration and its performance analysis (111)	54
Figure 2.27	The cascaded FSS and its performance analysis	55
Figure 2.28	The multilayer FSS and its performance analysis	56
Figure 2.29	The DSSLFSS of the basic and modified square loop unit cell and its performance analysis	57

Figure 2.30	(a)The double-sided single-layer FSS of different trace widths (FSS 4 and FSS 5) and (b) its performance analysis (121)	58
Figure 2.31	DSSLFSS with different basic structural elements	59
Figure 2.32	DSSLFSS with different modified structural elements	60
Figure 2.33	(a) The geometry of the basic hexagonal structural element and (b) its performance analysis (12)	61
Figure 2.34	The simple modified square loop structural element utilising single-layer configuration and its performance analysis	62
Figure 2.35	The mesh technique	63
Figure 2.36	The process of frequencies demultiplexed in the quasi-optical feed train of the radiometric remote sensing instrument (144)	65
Figure 2.37	The drilled plate waveguide filters (5)	65
Figure 2.38	Comparison between substrate less FSS with substrate-backed FSS at TM 45° incidence ( <i>open circles and solid line are the simulated and measured results of the substrate-backed FSS, respectively. The dotted line is the simulated result for substrateless FSS</i> ) (147)	67
Figure 2.39	The example of the structural elements for the free-standing mesh FSS (24,154–158)	67
Figure 2.40	The substrate-backed FSS with unit cell comprising the integration of different structural elements (159)	69
Figure 2.41	(a) The periodic array of the combination between the circular ring and circular slot structural elements, and (b) the frequency response of the proposed FSS (160)	70
Figure 2.42	The periodic array and the unit cell of the proposed low-cost 3D FSS (161)	71
Figure 2.43	The influence of the sheet resistance of the resistive FSS absorber at constant resistive FSS absorber's total thickness (164)	74
Figure 2.44	The array and substrate configuration of the conventional (a) Salisbury screen (25) and (b) Jaumann absorber (26)	75
Figure 2.45	The performance analysis comparison of the absorber under the influence of the variation sheet resistance. (a) 50 $\Omega/sq$ resistive cross-dipole absorber/transmit FSS absorber and (b) replacing the 50 $\Omega/sq$ resistive cross-dipole FSS with 377 $\Omega/sq$ resistive sheet	76



Figure 2.46	Examples of the lumped element resistive FSS based absorber (166–170)	77
Figure 2.47	Examples of the wideband resistive FSS based absorber (34–36,38,39,174,175)	78
Figure 2.48	(a) combination of plasma with resistive FSS (29), (b) optically transparent wideband FSS absorber by using ITO (30), and (c) optically Transparent wideband FSS absorber with flexible feature by using graphene (37)	80
Figure 2.49	Examples of the directive antenna application (7,41,42,45,46,177)	81
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the overall step-by-step process of the research	87
Figure 3.2	The boundary condition of the proposed MFSS unit cell	89
Figure 3.3	The $R_{xx}$ , $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ simulated results for the MFSS performance analysis	90
Figure 3.4	The parameter sweep set up to observe the MFSS’s performance under the influence of the oblique angles	90
Figure 3.5	The boundary condition of the MFSS unit cell for the absorber application	92
Figure 3.6	The design specification of the monopole antenna works at 6.5 GHz	93
Figure 3.7	The setup of the input excitation port. (a) the “pick face” of the excitation area, (b) the assigned input port of the monopole antenna, and (c) the assigned “waveguide port”	94
Figure 3.8	The setup of the monopole antenna associated with the MFSS absorber for directive antenna application. (a)The perspective view, (b) the front view, and (c) the side view for the directive antenna application	95
Figure 3.9	The interactions of the UV laser light with the targeted material through transmission, reflection, and absorption (184)	96
Figure 3.10	The LPKF Protolaser U4 Laser Machine. (a) the Gerber file, (b) the dxf files, (c) the LPKF Protolaser U4 laser machine, (d) the LPKF CircuitPro CAM software, (e) the laser etch process and (f) the fabricated opaque MFSS	97
Figure 3.11	The fabrication setup using the screen-printing technique	99
Figure 3.12	The fabricated prototype of the MFSS periodic array for (a), (b) and (c) the optical transparency, and (d) absorber	

	applications, fabricated by using the screen-printing technique	101
Figure 3.13	The fabricated monopole antenna	102
Figure 3.14	The free-space measurement setups for the frequency range of (a) 2 GHz to 18 GHz and (b) 18 GHz to 40 GHz	104
Figure 3.15	The MFSS absorber measurement setup for the frequency range from 2 GHz to 18 GHz	104
Figure 3.16	The measurement setup layout for the directive antenna application	106
Figure 3.17	The layout of the radiation pattern measurement setup for the directive antenna application	107
Figure 4.1	The geometry of the DHLFSS. (a) Unit cell of the DHLFSS, and (b) Triangular lattice arrangement. ( $H = 7.01$ mm, $P = 8.09$ mm, $R1 = 3.84$ mm, $R2 = 3.08$ mm and $W1 = W2 = 0.22$ mm)	110
Figure 4.2	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of the DHLFSS	111
Figure 4.3	The geometry of the IDHLFSS. (a) Unit cell of the IDHLFSS, and (b) Triangular lattice arrangement. ( $H = 7.01$ mm, $P = 8.09$ mm, $R1 = 3.84$ mm, $R2 = 3.08$ mm and $W1 = W2 = 0.22$ mm)	112
Figure 4.4	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of the IDHLFSS	113
Figure 4.5	The geometry of the MFSS. (a) Periodic array of the MFSS, and (b) Triangular lattice arrangement	114
Figure 4.6	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of the MFSS	115
Figure 4.7	The simulated $S_{21}$ of the comparison of DHLFSS, IDHLFSS, and MFSS	116
Figure 4.8	The geometry of the MFSS-KF1. (a) Unit cell of the MFSS-KF1, and (b) Triangular lattice arrangement. ( $H = 7.01$ mm, $P = 8.09$ mm, $R1 = 3.84$ mm, $R2 = 3.08$ mm and $W1 = W2 = 0.22$ mm)	118
Figure 4.9	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of the MFSS-KF1	119
Figure 4.10	The geometry of the MFSS-KF2. (a) Unit cell of the MFSS-KF2, and (b) Triangular lattice arrangement. ( $H = 7.01$ mm, $P = 8.09$ mm, $R1 = 3.84$ mm, $R2 = 3.08$ mm and $W1 = W2 = 0.22$ mm)	120
Figure 4.11	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of the MFSS-KF2	120

Figure 4.12	The performance comparison between the MFSS-KF1, MFSS-KF2, and MFSS	121
Figure 4.13	Influence of W1 variation on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	123
Figure 4.14	Influence of W2 variation on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	124
Figure 4.15	Influence of W1 and W2 variations on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	125
Figure 4.16	Influence of R2 variation on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	126
Figure 4.17	The unit cell of the proposed MFSS under the influence of RO variation	127
Figure 4.18	Influence of RO variation on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	128
Figure 4.19	Influence of $a$ variation on the $S_{21}$ performances of the MFSS	129
Figure 4.20	Unit cell evolution of the proposed FSS; (a) FSS 1, (b) FSS 2, (c) FSS 3 and (d) MFSS	131
Figure 4.21	The simulated $S_{21}$ from the evolution of MFSS unit cell	133
Figure 4.22	The structural changes of (a) FSS 2 and (b) FSS 3	134
Figure 4.23	The frequency response under the influence of structural changes of (a) FSS 2 and (b) FSS 3	134
Figure 4.24	Surface current distribution of FSS 1 at 29.95 GHz	136
Figure 4.25	Surface current distribution of FSS 2 at (a) 26.4 GHz and (b) 32.54 GHz	136
Figure 4.26	Surface current distribution of FSS 3 at (a) 10.43 GHz and (b) 37.73 GHz	137
Figure 4.27	Surface current distribution of opaque MFSS	138
Figure 4.28	The propagation of the EM wave signal on the surface of the MFSS at normal incident and for variation of oblique angles	139
Figure 4.29	Simulated responses of opaque MFSS under the influence of oblique angle variations of (a) TE mode and (b) TM mode incidence	141
Figure 4.30	The simulated $T_{xx}$ and $T_{yx}$ of FSS 3 and transparent MFSS	143

Figure 4.31	Simulated responses of transparent MFSS under the influence of oblique angle variations of (a) TE mode and (b) TM mode incidence	144
Figure 4.32	The fabricated prototypes	145
Figure 4.33	Experimental $S_{21}$ versus simulation $S_{21}$ of FSS 1, FSS 2, FSS 3, opaque MFSS, and transparent MFSS	146
Figure 5.1	Array and substrate configurations of the MFSSs. (a) MFSS, (b) MFSS2, and (c) MFSS3	155
Figure 5.2	The comparison performance between the MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3	156
Figure 5.3	Simulated responses of the MFSS for variation of substrate thickness	160
Figure 5.4	Simulated responses of the MFSS2 for variation of substrate thickness	161
Figure 5.5	Simulated responses of the MFSS3 for variation of substrate thickness	162
Figure 5.6	Simulated performance of the MFSSs under the influence of the unit cell's misalignment for MFSS2 and MFSS3	166
Figure 5.7	Simulated performance of the MFSSs under the influence of the unit cell's size dimension differential between metal conducting layers for MFSS2 and MFSS3	168
Figure 5.8	Simulated responses of the MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3 under the influence of different substrate permittivity	169
Figure 5.9	Simulated performance of the MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3 for variation of the oblique angles under the TE and TM modes polarisation incidences	171
Figure 5.10	Comparison of the simulated and measured $T_{xx}$ for MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3	172
Figure 5.11	Measured $T_{yx}$ for MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3	174
Figure 6.1	The CMFSS unit cell. (a) the size dimension of the CMFSS, (b) the perspective view, and (c) the side view	180
Figure 6.2	The influence of the quartz substrate on the frequency response of the CMFSS	182
Figure 6.3	(a)The simulated $T_{xx}$ (TE and TM modes) and $T_{yx}$ at the oblique angle of $45^\circ$ . (b) and (c) are close up of the $T_{xx}$ for both polarisations at 183 GHz and 325 GHz, respectively	183

Figure 6.4	The simulated performance of the CMFSS under the influence of the oblique angles of both TE and TM modes polarisation	184
Figure 6.5	Simulated transmission coefficient at 45° incident angle for TE and TM modes polarisation of the one-layer and two-layer CMFSS configurations	186
Figure 6.6	Simulated $T_{xx}$ at 45° incident angle for (a) TE and (b) TM modes polarisation of the CMFSS under the influence of the tcond, for two-layer CMFSS configuration with $t = 100 \mu m$ . (c) and (d) are the close up of the $T_{xx}$ for TE and TM modes polarisation at 325 GHz, respectively	187
Figure 6.7	The (a) double-sided single-layer and (b) multilayer configurations of the MFSS absorber	193
Figure 6.8	Simulated $S_{11}$ of the MFSS absorber under the influence of sheet resistance and the thickness of the air spacer	195
Figure 6.9	The influence of the air spacer thickness on the MFSS absorber's absorption spectrum for variation of higher sheet resistance of the resistive MFSS layer	197
Figure 6.10	Simulated $S_{11}$ of the MFSS absorber under variations of sheet resistance of the resistive MFSS layer, for fixed air spacer thickness of $d = 5 \text{ mm}$ .(a) double-sided single-layer MFSS absorber and (b) multilayer MFSS absorber	198
Figure 6.11	The simulated surface current distribution on the top resistive layer (black arrow) and bottom ground metal layer (blue arrow) at $f_r$ , for variation of sheet resistance and substrate- and array configurations	200
Figure 6.12	The simulated E-field and power loss density at specified frequencies for the double-sided single-layer MFSS absorber	203
Figure 6.13	The simulated E-field and power loss density at specified frequencies for the multilayer MFSS absorber	205
Figure 6.14	The simulated $S_{11}$ of the MFSS for variations of oblique angles under TE and TM modes polarisation	208
Figure 6.15	The prototype of the MFSS absorber	208
Figure 6.16	The measured $S_{11}$ of the multilayer MFSS absorber	209
Figure 6.17	The three different antenna configurations: (a) MA, (b) PECMA, and (c) MFSSAMA	215
Figure 6.18	The simulated $S_{11}$ of the MA	215
Figure 6.19	The simulated $S_{11}$ for the PECMA	216

Figure 6.20	The simulated reflection coefficient and the normalized radiation pattern of the MFSSAMA for various $d$	218
Figure 6.21	The simulated $S_{11}$ and the radiation pattern of the MFSSAMA for various size dimension of the MFSSA ( $d = 4$ mm)	220
Figure 6.22	The simulated electric field distribution	222
Figure 6.23	(a) The simulated and measured $S_{11}$ results of the MA, PECMA and MFSSAMA. (b) and (c) are the comparison of the simulated radiation pattern of the MA, PECMA and MFSSAMA. (d) and (e) are the comparison of the simulated and measured radiation pattern for MFSSAMA, for E-plane and H-plane respectively	225
Figure 6.24	The prototype of the MFSSAMA	226

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FSS	-	Frequency Selective Surface
EM	-	Electromagnetic
THz	-	Terahertz
FBW	-	Fractional Bandwidth
FBR	-	Front-To-Back Ratio
ADHD	-	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
AMC	-	Artificial Magnetic Conductor
EBG	-	Electromagnetic Bandgap
CST MWS	-	Computer Simulation Technology
MFSS	-	Mosaic Frequency Selective Surface
CMFSS	-	Complementary Mosaic Frequency Selective Surface
PET	-	Polyethylene Terephthalate
BW	-	Bandwidth
ECM	-	Equivalent Circuit Model
TM	-	Transverse Magnetic
TE	-	Transverse Electric
IFS	-	Iterated Function System
AFA	-	Antenna-Filter-Antenna
DSSLFSS	-	Double-Sided Single-Layer FSS
ITO	-	Indium Tin Oxide
AgHT	-	Silver-Coated Polyester Film
FTO	-	Fluorine-Doped Tin Oxide
EHF	-	Extremely High Frequency
Sub-MMW	-	Submillimetre Wave
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
FIT	-	Finite Integration Technique
DHLFSS	-	Basic Double Hexagonal Loops FSS
IDHLFSS	-	Interconnected Double Hexagonal Loops FSS

MFSS-KF1	-	First Iteration Level of Koch Fractal Of The MFSS
MFSS-KF2	-	Second Iteration Level of Koch Fractal Of The MFSS (MFSS-KF2)
RO	-	Rotation Orientation
MFSS2	-	Double-Sided MFSS
MFSS3	-	Multilayered MFSS
$d$	-	Air Spacer Thickness
SR	-	Sheet Resistance Of The Resistive MFSS Layer
PLD	-	Power loss density
MA	-	Monopole Antenna
PECMA	-	Perfect Electric Conductor Loaded Monopole Antenna
MFSSAMA	-	MFSS Absorber Loaded Monopole Antenna
PEC	-	Perfect Electric Conductor
$d$	-	Separation Distance
SMA	-	Sub-Miniature Version A
FG	-	Forward Gain
BG	-	Backward Gain



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$f_r$	-	Resonant Frequency
$S_{21}$	-	Transmission Coefficient
$E_i$	-	Incident Plane Wave
$E_t$	-	Transmitted Wave
$E_r$	-	Reflected Wave
$\Gamma$	-	Reflection Coefficient
$T$	-	Transmission Coefficient
$L$	-	Inductance
$C$	-	Capacitance
$\beta$	-	Propagation Constant
$\beta_{sw}$	-	Surface Wave Propagation Constant
$\lambda$	-	Free-Space Wavelength
$\epsilon_r$	-	Permittivity
$\theta_{air}$	-	Angle of Incidence in the Free Space
$\theta_s$	-	Angle of Incidence Inside the Dielectric Substrate
$\theta_i = 0^\circ$	-	Normal Incident
$\eta$	-	Intrinsic Impedance (Complex)
$\gamma$	-	Propagation Constant
$\theta_i \neq 0^\circ$	-	Oblique Angles of Incidence
$E_\perp$	-	Electric Field Is Perpendicular to the Plane of Incidence
$E_\parallel$	-	Electric Field Is Parallel to the Plane of Incidence
$k_1$	-	Propagation Constant of Region 1
$k_2$	-	Propagation Constant of Region 2
$\theta_i$	-	Angle of Incident
$\theta_r$	-	Angle of Reflection
$\theta_t$	-	Angle of Transmission
$\eta_1$	-	Intrinsic Impedance of Region 1
$\eta_2$	-	Intrinsic Impedance of Region 2
$\theta_b$	-	Brewster Angle

$\lambda$	-	Wavelength
$L_E$	-	Electrical Length
$\epsilon_{eff}$	-	Effective Permittivity
$c$	-	Speed of Light
$w$	-	Two-Dimensional Affine Transformation
$W$	-	<i>Hutchinson Operator</i>
$A_\infty$	-	The Attractor of The IFS
$D$	-	Self-Similar Dimension
$sf$	-	Scaling Fraction
$N$	-	Copies of the Original Geometry
$k$	-	Iteration Level
$Z_R$	-	Absorber Surface Impedance
$Z_{FSS}$	-	Impedance of the FSS
$Z_d$	-	Surface Impedance of the Grounded Dielectric Substrate
$Z_m^{TE}$	-	Characteristic Impedance of the Slab for TE
$Z_m^{TM}$	-	Characteristic Impedance of the Slab for TM
$Re(Z_R)$	-	Real of the Input Impedance
$Im(Z_R)$	-	Imaginary of the Input Impedance
$Z_0$	-	Free Space Impedance
$R_{opt}$	-	Optimized Equivalent Resistive Impedance
$S_{11}$	-	Reflection Coefficient
$R_{xx}$	-	Co-Polarized Reflection Coefficient
$T_{xx}$	-	Co-Polarized Transmission Coefficient
$T_{yx}$	-	Cross-Polarized Transmission Coefficient
$R_s$		Sheet Resistance
$\rho$		Electrical Resistivity
$t$		Thickness Of The Resistive Ink.
$L_{MA}$	-	Length of the Monopole Antenna
$\tan\delta$		Loss Tangent
$\sigma$		Conductivity
$f_L$	-	Lower Cut-Off Frequencies
$f_H$	-	Higher Cut-Off Frequencies

$f_c$	-	Center Frequency
R1	-	Length Of Outer Loop
R2	-	Length Of Inner Loop
W1	-	Width Of The Outer Loop
W2	-	Width Of Inner Loop
L	-	Side Length Of The Substrate
$a$	-	Periodicity Of Unit Cell
P	-	Width Of The Substrate
H	-	Height Of The Triangular Lattice Arrangement
T	-	Optical Transparency
TA	-	Total Area Of The Unit Cell
MA	-	Metal Element Area Of The Unit Cell

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of W1 variations	263
Appendix B	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of W2 variations	264
Appendix C	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of W1 and W2 variations	265
Appendix D	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of R2 variations	266
Appendix E	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of RO variations	267
Appendix F	The MFSS performance analysis under the influence of $a$ variations	268
Appendix G	The influence of the air spacer thickness on the absorption spectrum, for variation of higher sheet resistance of the resistive MFSS layer for the single-sided single-layer MFSS absorber	269

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

The frequency selective surfaces (FSS) have shown good promise as a spatial filter for telecommunication applications. Its attractive design has urged many researchers to explore and innovate the FSS geometry over the past few years. The American physicist, David Rittenhouse, pioneered the study on the FSS in 1786 after he discovered that some of the colours of the light spectrum were filtered out through a silk scarf while observing a lamp (1). According to Munk (2), FSS is a periodic array composed of conducting patch or aperture structural elements. The filtering characteristics of a FSS, such as low pass, high pass, bandpass, and band-stop frequency responses, can be established depending on the structural elements.

On that note, the patch structural element of the FSS allows the transmission of the high frequency while blocking and reflecting the low frequency, where it acts as a high pass filter. Meanwhile, the slot (complementary patch) structural element can provide low pass filtering characteristics, according to the Babinet principle. The combination of different structural elements allows the customisation of the FSS frequency responses (bandpass and bandstop) to meet the design specification requirement for different applications (3). When the FSS was exposed to the incident electromagnetic (EM) wave, the scattered wave generated a specific frequency response based on the customised structural elements. The filtering characteristics of these frequency responses are strongly influenced by the structural element, the unit cell dimension, the dielectric loading effects, multilayer, cascade configuration, etc., of the FSS.

Due to its capability in redirecting and controlling the EM wave, FSS has been suggested to be applied for various applications within different EM spectrums such

as dichroic surface, absorber, high gain directive antenna, and for the FSS to be optically transparent to provide additional security, especially in the development of the smart cities.

Future interest in the rapid evolution of 5G technology and smart cities requires antennas to be integrated into existing urban infrastructure, to provide high-speed interconnectivity between the end-users and the signal provider (4). However, in pursuit of integrating new technology and high-speed connectivity, introducing the antennas into a pre-existing system could jeopardise secure communications and the security of the infrastructure, especially within buildings such as hospitals, government agencies, industrial factories, etc. Thus, the FSS is required to be also integrated to the existing infrastructures to provide separation or containment to a selected frequency. Plus, FSS could also act as transparent microwave filters to foster additional security across specified areas within the building.

On the other hand, rapid climate change is currently the main topic discussed worldwide. The spaceborne terahertz (THz) instrument technology, also known as the microwave sounder radiometer, is the current equipment that has been used to monitor and study the linked factors such as the temperature profiles, water vapour profiles that contributed to the mentioned issue, in which useful to forecast the weather and air quality (5). The radiometer typically utilised only a single mechanically scanned reflector antenna to collect the wide frequency range of radiation. This is because there are constraints such as the volume, cost, mass and energy consumption, in order to satisfy the satellite payload. The utilisation of FSS had been highly suggested to be used in the quasi-optical feed train to demultiplex the collected wide frequency range signal, allowing the specified linearly polarised signal to be obtained at the receiver (5).

Meanwhile, EM interference could cause the malfunction of a device or an instrument. As a solution, an absorber is suggested to reduce the arising issue. The metallic FSS based absorber and the resistive FSS based absorber had been suggested previously, where both absorbers offer different absorption characteristics and overall

absorber compactness, which can provide absorptivity within different absorption spectrums (6).

For the directive antenna application, the main objective is to enhance the gain and reduce the back radiation of the antenna. Commonly, a quarter wavelength separation distance between the reflector and the antenna is required to meet the objective, which would not be suitable to establish the low-profile high gain directive antenna (7). Besides FSS and metamaterial-based absorbers, the resistive FSS based absorber has been suggested to reduce the separation distance, thus establishing a low-profile directive antenna. These mentioned applications are going to be thoroughly discussed in the following chapter.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

FSS has shown good promise as a spatial filter, which incorporates specific filtering characteristics with the attractive design of the FSS structure element (unit cell), bringing many advantages for future innovation and application. Generally, multilayer FSS configurations offer wideband filtering characteristics with improved FSS performance compared to single-layer FSS (8–11). However, the implementation cost, along with the size and thickness of the end product, are the main issues that cause disadvantages to the multilayer FSS configuration. Recently, the innovation of the FSS structural elements allows the wideband frequency response to be achieved using the single-layer FSS (12–16). However, due to the wide trace width of the structural element, the previous FSSs are unable to be further utilised for optical transparency applications. Therefore, it is a challenge to have a narrow trace width FSS structural element that can provide a wideband response ( $FBW > 50\%$ ), where the structural element can be further utilised for optical transparency application.

The FSS had been proposed to be optically transparent to decrease the visual impact of the FSS, where the transparency must be 50% and above, especially for the development of smart cities (4,17). Previously, a few techniques, such as the thin-film technique using transparent conductive materials (18), the meshed technique (19,20)

and the screen-printing technique (21), have been explored to fabricate the FSS for optical transparency application. However, these reported techniques are unable to achieve the wideband frequency response objective. As mentioned previously, the wideband frequency response with improved FSS performance can be achieved by utilising the multilayer FSS configuration. However, up to now, the transparent multilayer FSS is rarely discussed and documented in previous work. For this work, the challenge is to have FSS with high optical transparency and wideband response, and to study the multilayer configuration effect on the FSS performance and transparency as multilayer transparent FSS is rarely documented.

On the other hand, for the dichroic surface application, the FSS had been proposed as an alternative to the drilled plate waveguide filter that had limitations, including high sensitivity towards oblique angles and high passband insertion loss, and lack of design flexibility (5). The FSS is positioned in the quasi-optical feed train of the radiometer, in which the FSS is used to demultiplex the collected wide-range signal, where the demultiplexed signal is then further directed to the individual channel mixer detectors. There is a necessity for the radiometer to address the THz frequencies, that critical for detecting other various gases, which allows the radiometer to gain additional meteorological information, which is highly essential for climate monitoring and weather forecasting (22,23). For dichroic surface application, the FSS must meet the important design specification – a very low passband insertion loss and very high bandstop isolation, with very low cross-polarisation level for the entire frequency range, especially within the bandpass frequency response (24). This work focuses on having a FSS that meets the design specification with a frequency separation ratio of 1:1.78 between the reflection frequency at 183 GHz and the transmission frequency of 325 GHz.

On the other hand, an absorber is normally used as a shielding instrument to prevent device malfunction commonly caused by EM interference. Traditionally, for resistive absorber applications, one of the pioneer innovations is the Salisbury screen. Conventionally, for the Salisbury screen, the resistive sheet must be placed at approximately a  $\lambda/4$  from the backed ground plane for the absorber to achieve impedance matching to free space impedance within a narrow absorption spectrum



(25). A wider absorption spectrum can be achieved through a multilayer configuration (Jaumann absorber) (26). With a wide separation distance between the resistive sheet and the backed ground plane, it is impossible to have a very thin absorber that can provide a wideband absorption spectrum.

The metallic FSS based absorber with multilayer configuration is used to obtain a wideband absorption spectrum (6). However, the multilayer configuration led to a thick end product. After years of innovation, the metallic conducting layer of the FSS structural element is replaced with the resistive layer to establish the resistive FSS, where the backed ground plane can be placed at a separation distance that is lower than  $\lambda/4$ . The resistive FSS based absorber allowed a broader absorption spectrum to be achieved in a compact configuration. With single-layer absorber configuration, the basic structural elements, the multiple loops structural elements, and the integration between different resistive FSS structural elements allow wideband absorption spectrum (fractional bandwidth, FBW < ~100%) to be achieved with thin configuration (27–36). A broader absorption spectrum (FBW > ~100%) can be attained using the resistive FSS based absorber with multilayer configuration (37–39). However, the end product is thicker than the single-layer configuration. As summarised, the challenge here is to have a wideband absorption spectrum by utilising a very thin resistive FSS based absorber.

Finally, the direct exposure of the radiation from the antenna is harmful to the human body, especially when the antenna is placed directly or closed to the human body. Thus, numerous health problems (40) such as cancer risk, neurodegenerative diseases (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) like behaviour in children), and Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) damage through the oxidative stress, keep arising these recent years that may be consequent to the long exposure to the EM radiation. To avoid or hide metallic perturbation from the antenna, and for an antenna to achieve impedance matching to the free space impedance and to be suitable for directive antenna application, an obstacle, in this case is a reflector must be placed at a wide separation distance or  $\lambda/4$  from the antenna (7). Previously, the utilisation of reflectors or FSS redirects the radiation of the antenna to obtain maximal directivity with high front-to-back ratio (FBR). Even though the separation distance is lower than

$\lambda/4$ , but the maximum FBR obtained is only higher than 10 (41–45). Recently, the utilisation of the absorbers, such as metamaterial absorbers, artificial magnetic conductor (AMC) and electromagnetic bandgap (EBG) absorbers, allowed higher FBR of more than 12 and up to 17.3 to be achieved. Unfortunately, a wide separation distance between the antenna and the absorber is required for the directive antenna to achieve impedance matching (7,46–48). The wide separation distance between the reflectors/absorbers and the antenna makes the antenna unfitting as a low-profile directive antenna. This work focuses on having a resistive FSS based absorber that is suitable to be utilised with the antenna to develop a low-profile directive antenna (higher FBR with very narrow separation distance).

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

With the problem statements as stated in Section 1.2, the research objectives are as below:

- (a) To propose and develop a new unit cell that provides wideband bandwidth (FBW > 50%) filtering mechanisms: bandpass and bandstop frequency responses, and also highly transparent.
- (b) To characterise and analyse the influence of the array and substrate configurations on the performance improvement of the new transparent unit cell.
- (c) To implement the similar unit cell for different FSS applications such as dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna.

### **1.4 Scope of Work**

The research work focused on the study and development of a new unit cell design that could cater for wideband bandpass and bandstop frequency responses with very low cross-polarisation levels, and the performance of the unit cell is expected to

be insensitive to angular- and polarisation variations. The new unit cell provides multi-freedom parameters in terms of geometry, printed support, single-layer or multilayer configurations, and unit cell's conductivity (metal conductor or resistive ink). For the first and second objectives, the proposed unit cell must meet the design specification such as narrow trace width with the ability to produce wideband frequency response. Due to the unique feature of the new unit cell, the unit cell also has the advantage of high optical transparency to reduce the visual impact of the FSS, which can be further employed for optical transparency applications. Moreover, the use of the screen-printing technique for the fabrication process gave a new perspective of having a transparent new unit cell in the most cost-effective way and easy integration of the unit cell on any existing surfaces. The wideband feature of the new unit cell performance can be further enhanced by utilising the multilayer array and substrate configurations, without affecting the new unit cell's optical transparency.

For the third objective, the same unit cell can be further used for various wideband applications – dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna applications. For the dichroic surface application, due to the industry collaboration with France company, the design specification that must be met such as low passband insertion loss, high bandstop isolation, low cross-polarisation level within the bandpass frequency response, with frequency separation ratio of 1:1.78 (183 GHz and 325 GHz).

For the absorber application, in this work, the main focus is to have a very thin absorber with a wideband absorption spectrum. Due to the very thin absorber configuration, it can be further explored for flexible applications. The performance of the unit cell can be further investigated when the flexible unit cell is applied on the conformal surface or the non-uniform surfaces, for future work. Finally, with the studied absorber, it is then applied together with the antenna. With the main objective to have maximal directivity with a higher FBR, the absorber is expected to be positioned in close proximity to the antenna, to realise the low-profile directive antenna.

The commercialised software Computer Simulation Technology Microwave Studio (CST MWS) is used as a simulation tool to study the new unit cell for various mentioned applications. The type of solver, the boundary conditions, and the required S-parameter were set accordingly to meet the simulation condition for various applications. The screen-printing technique is used to fabricate the new unit cell for optical transparency and absorber application. To validate the simulation result, the free space measurement technique is used to obtain the s-parameter result, especially for the optical transparency, dichroic surface and absorber applications. For the directive antenna, the results required to validate the simulated result are the s-parameter and the radiation pattern. The horn antennas and the vector network analyser are among the equipment employed for the measurement process.

## **1.5 Research Contributions**

The main contribution of the research work is to develop and design a new unit cell that offers wideband bandpass and bandstop responses with very low cross-polarisation levels, through the integration between double hexagonal loops with Koch fractal structural elements. The new unit cell is named as mosaic frequency selective surface (MFSS), due to the unit cell geometry nature that is nearly similar to the mosaic pattern. Besides wideband filtering characteristics, the performance of the MFSS is also insensitive to angular- and polarisation variations, especially within the lower frequency region. However, for the higher frequency region, due to scan blindness and grating lobes phenomenon, the stability of the transparent MFSS performance was maintained up to only 25°.

In this research, due to the uniqueness of the MFSS, the unit cell can be further employed for optical transparency applications while maintaining wideband filtering characteristics. Based on previous work, it is currently still a challenge to have a transparent FSS with wideband frequency responses. Moreover, the unit cell can be directly fabricated onto the substrate using the cost-effective screen-printing technique (standard metal conductor ink) instead of utilising the costly transparent ink or complex mesh technique, to achieve high optical transparency feature. The MFSS unit

cell is further applied for the dichroic surface, absorber and directive antenna applications.

For the dichroic surface application, the complementary MFSS unit cell positioned at  $45^\circ$  to the direction of propagation is used instead of the initial MFSS unit cell, to meet the design requirement that reflected the 183 GHz EM wave and allowed the 325 GHz EM wave to transmit, with very low cross-polarisation level for the entire frequency responses. The complementary MFSS (CMFSS) is also insensitive toward angular- and polarisation variation. For the absorber application, instead of using the metal conductor ink to fabricate the MFSS periodic array, the resistive ink MFSS periodic array with metal-backed is used to establish a wideband absorber with high angular- and polarisation stability. The MFSS absorber is expected to be compact with wideband absorptivity features. However, due to the limitation in maintaining the similar thickness of the resistive ink throughout the periodic array during the fabrication process, only an octave absorptivity of the MFSS absorber is achieved instead of two octave absorptivity in the MFSS absorber simulation. The same MFSS absorber is then associated with a monopole antenna, to be further utilised for the high gain directive antenna application. Within a very small separation between the MFSS absorber and the monopole antenna, high FBW was achieved, which indicated that the radiation pattern of the monopole antenna is redirected in order to have high forward gain with reduced backward radiation for directive antenna application. The realisation of the MFSS unit cell can be considered as an important step in having wideband responses that can be employed further in many other applications.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

This thesis is structured into seven chapters. Chapter 1 presents the introductory background of the FSS and the current challenges faced (problem statements) with the aim of solving them (objectives). The contributions of the research toward new knowledge are also indicated in this chapter. Finally, the scope of the study and the outline of the thesis are included at the end of this chapter.

Chapter 2 explains the comprehensive literature review on the theoretical principle of the FSS operation and the pros and cons of the previous studies carried out by researchers worldwide. The performance of the FSS based on key factors such as the element type, lattice geometry and grating lobe phenomenon, Wood's Anomalies phenomenon, dielectric substrate, and angle of incidence of the EM wave and its polarisation, are reviewed critically in this chapter. Next, the fractal FSS structural element is also discussed in this chapter. The variation of the established fractal FSS structure to achieve single band FSS, multiband FSS and wideband FSS is also presented in this chapter. Following of this section, the usage of the FSS for various applications such as optical transparency, dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna applications are discussed thoroughly within this chapter.

Chapter 3 describes in detail the research methodology that covers the methods or approaches for the development of the MFSS, especially at the design, simulation, fabrication, and measurement stages. The CST MWS software is used to obtain the simulated transmission and reflection characteristics of the designated MFSS. The prototype of the opaque and transparent MFSS are fabricated by using the laser printing technique and screen-printing technique, respectively. Furthermore, the free space measurement process is conducted to validate the MFSS prototype simulated characteristics. The free space measurement has two different measurement setups focusing on two different microwave spectral bands: 2 GHz to 18 GHz and 18 GHz to 40 GHz. The simulation, fabrication, and measurement processes of the MFSS for various applications – optical transparency, dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna applications, have also been discussed thoroughly in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the step-by-step designing process of the MFSS, from the initial basic double hexagonal loop to the MFSS. The enhancement of BW is achieved through this designing process, especially at the bandstop and bandpass frequency responses. The effect of the Koch fractal iteration level on the MFSS on the reflection/transmission characteristics is also evaluated in this chapter. Moreover, in this chapter, the parametric analysis of the MFSS that contributed to the changes in the MFSS filtering performance is discussed thoroughly. Next, using the similar metallic pattern of the MFSS, the periodic array of the MFSS is fabricated on the transparent

polycarbonate substrate to establish the optically transparent applications of the MFSS. The unit cell evolution of the opaque MFSS is presented to give a glimpse of the changes in the filtering performance of the opaque MFSS. It is proven that the transparent MFSS had the advantage of high optical transparency with wideband filtering features compared with the evolution of the opaque MFSS.

Chapter 5 discussed the effect of variation of array and substrate configurations on the filtering performance of the MFSS. The initial transparent MFSS has the metallic pattern periodic array on one side of the polycarbonate substrate. Next, the similar periodic array is fabricated on both sides of the polycarbonate substrate (MFSS2) and multilayer MFSS (MFSS3), and the changes in the performance filtering are observed. As the array and substrate configurations increase, the BW can be enhanced further, especially for bandstop frequency response, without affecting the optical transparency. While the BW of the bandpass frequency response is narrower and becomes selective at certain frequency range. On the other hand, the effect of parameters on the MFSS performance, such as the substrate thickness and permittivity, unit cell's misalignment, and unit cell's size dimension differential on the filtering characteristic of all of the MFSS, MFSS2 and MFSS3, are included in this chapter.

Chapter 6 outlines the applications of the MFSS for three different applications: dichroic surface, absorber, and directive antenna applications. For the dichroic surface application, due to the frequency range that had been specified, the complementary MFSS is applied to achieve the objective application as a replacement of the initial MFSS pattern. Instead of having the metallic pattern periodic array of the MFSS, with similar periodic array pattern, the resistive MFSS is fabricated on the thin Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) substrate in order to have a compact wideband absorber. Finally, with the studied absorber, it is integrated to the monopole antenna, in order to have a high forward gain with reduced backward gain for the high gain directive antenna application. With the MFSS absorber, the forward gain of the monopole antenna can be enhanced by placing the absorber at only a short distance from the antenna, with the backward gain being reduced to a very low value.

Chapter 7 summarises the research contributions and the main findings of the research and outlines the future recommendations that draw from the current research.



## REFERENCES

1. Hopkinson F, Rittenhouse D. An Optical Problem, Proposed by Mr. Hopkinson, and Solved by Mr. Rittenhouse. *Trans Am Philos Soc* [Internet]. 1786 May;2(2):201. Available from:  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1005186?origin=crossref>
2. Munk BA. *Frequency Selective Surfaces: Theory and Design*. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000. 440 p.
3. Panwar R, Lee JR. Progress in Frequency Selective Surface-based Smart Electromagnetic Structures: A Critical Review. *Aerosp Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2017 Jul;66(March):216–34. Available from:  
<https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1270963816306952>
4. Green RB, Guzman M, Izyumskaya N, Ullah B, Hia S, Pitchford J, et al. Optically Transparent Antennas and Filters: A Smart City Concept to Alleviate Infrastructure and Network Capacity Challenges. *IEEE Antennas Propag Mag* [Internet]. 2019 Jun;61(3):37–47. Available from:  
<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8700169/>
5. Dickie R, Cahill R, Fusco V, Gamble HS, Mitchell N. THz Frequency Selective Surface Filters for Earth Observation Remote Sensing Instruments. *IEEE Trans Terahertz Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2011 Nov;1(2):450–61. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5750080/>
6. Li W, Chen M, Zeng Z, Jin H, Pei Y, Zhang Z. Broadband Composite Radar Absorbing Structures with Resistive Frequency Selective Surface: Optimal Design, Manufacturing and Characterization. *Compos Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun;145:10–4. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2017.03.009>
7. Jeong H, Kim Y, Tentzeris MM, Lim S. Gain-enhanced Metamaterial Absorber-loaded Monopole Antenna for Reduced Radar Cross-Section and Back Radiation. *Materials (Basel)* [Internet]. 2020 Mar 10;13(5):1247. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/13/5/1247>
8. Manicoba RHC, Campos ALPS, de Lima Silva T, D’Assuncao AG. Experimental Investigation of FSS Cascading with Fractal Elements. In: 2011

- SBMO/IEEE MTT-S International Microwave and Optoelectronics Conference (IMOC 2011) [Internet]. IEEE; 2011. p. 689–93. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6169231/>
9. Manicoba RHC, D'Assuncao AG, Campos ALPS. Improving Stop-Band Properties of Frequency Selective Surfaces with Koch Fractal Elements. In: 2010 International Workshop on Antenna Technology (iWAT) [Internet]. Lisbon, Portugal: IEEE; 2010. p. 1–4. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5464643/>
  10. Pereira de Siqueira Campos AL, Manicoba RHC, D'Assunção AG. Investigation of Enhancement Band Using Double Screen Frequency Selective Surfaces with Koch Fractal Geometry at Millimeter Wave Range. *J Infrared, Millimeter, Terahertz Waves* [Internet]. 2010 Dec 27;31(12):1503–11. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10762-010-9735-8>
  11. Xie X, Fang W, Chen P, Poo Y, Wu R. A Broadband and Wide-angle band-stop Frequency Selective Surface (FSS) Based on Dual-Layered Fractal Square Loops. In: 2018 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Antennas and Propagation (APCAP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2018. p. 278–80. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8538156/>
  12. Yang Y, Zhou H, Wang X-H, Mi Y. Low-pass Frequency Selective Surface with Wideband High-stop Response for Shipboard Radar. *J Electromagn Waves Appl* [Internet]. 2013 Jan 26;27(1):117–22. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09205071.2013.739547>
  13. Paul GS, Mandal K. Polarization-insensitive and Angularly Stable Compact Ultrawide Stop-band Frequency Selective Surface. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2019 Sep;18(9):1917–21. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8790810/>
  14. Kanchana D, Radha S, Sreeja BS, Manikandan E. A Single Layer UWB Frequency Selective Surface for Shielding Application. *J Electron Mater* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 20;49(8):4794–800. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-020-08210-x>
  15. Kocakaya A, Çimen S, Çakır G. Novel Angular and Polarization Independent Band-Stop Frequency Selective Surface for Ultra-Wide Band Applications. *Radioengineering* [Internet]. 2019 Apr 12;27(1):147–53. Available from: [https://www.radioeng.cz/fulltexts/2019/19\\_01\\_0147\\_0153.pdf](https://www.radioeng.cz/fulltexts/2019/19_01_0147_0153.pdf)

16. Katoch K, Jaglan N, Gupta SD. Design and Analysis of Single Sided Modified Square Loop UWB Frequency Selective Surface. *IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat* [Internet]. 2021 Oct;63(5):1423–32. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9385413/>
17. Hautcoeur J, Colombel F, Himdi M, Castel X, Cruz EM. Large and Optically Transparent Multilayer for Broadband H-Shaped Slot Antenna. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2013;12:933–6. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6563105/>
18. Kim W-K, Lee S, Hee Lee D, Hee Park I, Seong Bae J, Woo Lee T, et al. Cu Mesh for Flexible Transparent Conductive Electrodes. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2015 Sep 3;5(1):10715. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep10715>
19. Hautcoeur J, Castel X, Colombel F, Benzerga R, Himdi M, Legeay G, et al. Transparency and Electrical Properties of Meshed Metal Films. *Thin Solid Films* [Internet]. 2011 Mar;519(11):3851–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2011.01.262>
20. Mantash M, Kesavan A, Denidni TA. Highly Transparent Frequency Selective Surface Based on Electrotexiles for On-Chip Applications. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2019 Nov;18(11):2351–4. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8777121/>
21. Dewani AA, O’Keefe SG, Thiel D V., Galehdar A. Optically Transparent Frequency Selective Surfaces on Flexible Thin Plastic Substrates. *AIP Adv* [Internet]. 2015 Feb;5(2):027107. Available from: <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.4907929>
22. Gasiewski AJ. Numerical Sensitivity Analysis of Passive EHF And SMMW Channels to Tropospheric Water Vapor, Clouds, and Precipitation. *IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens* [Internet]. 1992;30(5):859–70. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/175320/>
23. Birman C, Mahfouf JF, Milz M, Mendrok J, Buehler SA, Brath M. Information Content on Hydrometeors from Millimeter and Sub-Millimeter Wavelengths. *Tellus, Ser A Dyn Meteorol Oceanogr* [Internet]. 2017;69(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2016.1271562>
24. Dickie R, Cahill R, Gamble H, Fusco V, Henry M, Oldfield M, et al. Submillimeter Wave Frequency Selective Surface With Polarization Independent Spectral Responses. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2009

- Jul;57(7):1985–94. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4907124/>
25. Fante RL, McCormack MT. Reflection Properties of the Salisbury Screen. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 1988;36(10):1443–54. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8632/>
  26. Knott EF, Lunden CD. The Two-Sheet Capacitive Jaumann Absorber. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 1995;43(11):1339–43. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/475112/>
  27. Liu H-T, Cheng H-F, Chu Z-Y, Zhang D-Y. Absorbing Properties of Frequency Selective Surface Absorbers with Cross-Shaped Resistive Patches. *Mater Des* [Internet]. 2007 Jan;28(7):2166–71. Available from:  
<https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0261306906001932>
  28. Xie X, Li F, Fang W, Fan D, Wu R, Chen P. An Optically Transparent Broadband Microwave Absorber Based on Resistive Frequency Selective Surface. In: 2018 International Conference on Microwave and Millimeter Wave Technology (ICMMT) [Internet]. IEEE; 2018. p. 1–3. Available from:  
<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8563797/>
  29. Zahir Joozdani M, Khalaj Amirhosseini M. Wideband Absorber With Combination of Plasma and Resistive Frequency Selective Surface. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci* [Internet]. 2016 Dec;44(12):3254–61. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7756306/>
  30. Sheokand H, Ghosh S, Singh G, Saikia M, Srivastava KV, Ramkumar J, et al. Transparent Broadband Metamaterial Absorber based on Resistive Films. *J Appl Phys*. 2017;122(10).
  31. Jeong J-Y, Lee J-R, Park H, Jung J, Choi D-S, Jeon E, et al. Fabrication and Characterization of Resistive Double Square Loop Arrays for Ultra-Wide Bandwidth Microwave Absorption. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2021 Dec 17;11(1):12767. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91868-y>
  32. Olszewska-Placha M, Salski B, Janczak D, Bajurko PR, Gwarek W, Jakubowska M. A Broadband Absorber With a Resistive Pattern Made of Ink With Graphene Nano-Platelets. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2015 Feb;63(2):565–72. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6983561/>
  33. Shen Y, Li W, Pang Y, Pei Z, Qu S. Double-layer resistive FSS structure for

- ultra-wideband microwave absorption. In: 2015 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Workshop Series on Advanced Materials and Processes for RF and THz Applications (IMWS-AMP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2015. p. 1–3. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7324946/>
34. Zabri SN, Cahill R, Schuchinsky A. Compact FSS Absorber Design using Resistively Loaded Quadruple Hexagonal Loops for Bandwidth Enhancement. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2015 Jan;51(2):162–4. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/el.2014.3866>
  35. Mei Li, ShaoQiu Xiao, Yan-Ying Bai, Bing-Zhong Wang. An Ultrathin and Broadband Radar Absorber Using Resistive FSS. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2012;11:748–51. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6226825/>
  36. Sun L, Cheng H, Zhou Y, Wang J. Broadband Metamaterial Absorber based on Coupling Resistive Frequency Selective Surface. *Opt Express* [Internet]. 2012 Feb 13;20(4):4675. Available from: <https://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?uri=oe-20-4-4675>
  37. Lu WB, Wang JW, Zhang J, Liu ZG, Chen H, Song WJ, et al. Flexible and Optically Transparent Microwave Absorber with Wide Bandwidth based on Graphene. *Carbon N Y* [Internet]. 2019 Nov;152:70–6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2019.06.011>
  38. Tayde Y, Saikia M, Srivastava KV, Ramakrishna SA. Polarization-Insensitive Broadband Multilayered Absorber Using Screen Printed Patterns of Resistive Ink. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett*. 2018;17(12):2489–93.
  39. Liu T, Kim S. Ultrawide Bandwidth Electromagnetic Wave Absorbers Composed of Double-Layer Frequency Selective Surfaces with Different Patterns. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 17;8(1):13889. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32181-z>
  40. Bandara P, Carpenter DO. Planetary Electromagnetic Pollution: It Is Time To Assess Its Impact. *Lancet Planet Heal*. 2018;2(12):e512–4.
  41. Li X-F, Hua Y-R, Wen B-J, Peng L, Jiang X. Design of a Directional Antenna Based on a Resonance Based Reflector and its Applications on Bio-Electromagnetics. *Prog Electromagn Res M* [Internet]. 2020;93(June):165–74. Available from: <http://www.jpier.org/PIERM/pier.php?paper=20032501>
  42. Veeraselvam A, Mohammed GNA, Savarimuthu K, Marimuthu M,

- Balasubramanian B. Polarization Diversity Enabled Flexible Directional UWB Monopole Antenna for WBAN Communications. *Int J RF Microw Comput Eng*. 2020;30(9):1–12.
43. Tahir FA, Arshad T, Ullah S, Flint JA. A Novel FSS for Gain Enhancement of Printed Antennas in UWB Frequency Spectrum. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2017 Oct;59(10):2698–704. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.30789>
  44. Chu J-Y, Peng L, Li X-F, Jiang X. Archimedean Spiral Antenna Loaded by Frequency Selective Surface. *Prog Electromagn Res M* [Internet]. 2020;95(August):199–209. Available from: <http://www.jpier.org/PIERM/pier.php?paper=20042002>
  45. Sugumaran B, Balasubramanian R, Palaniswamy SK. Reduced Specific Absorption Rate Compact Flexible Monopole Antenna System for Smart Wearable Wireless Communications. *Eng Sci Technol an Int J* [Internet]. 2021;24(3):682–93. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2020.12.012>
  46. Pandit VK, Harish AR. Compact Wide Band Directional Antenna using Cross-slot Artificial Magnetic Conductor (CSAMC). *Int J RF Microw Comput Eng* [Internet]. 2019 Apr;29(4):e21577. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mmce.21577>
  47. Pushpakaran SV, Purushothama JM, Mani M, Chandroth A, Pezholil M, Kesavath V. A Metamaterial Absorber Based High Gain Directional Dipole Antenna. *Int J Microw Wirel Technol* [Internet]. 2018 May 3;10(4):430–6. Available from: [https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1759078718000454/type/journal\\_article](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1759078718000454/type/journal_article)
  48. Prakash P, Abegaonkar MP, Basu A, Koul SK. Gain Enhancement of a CPW-fed Monopole Antenna Using Polarization-insensitive AMC Structure. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett*. 2013;12:1315–8.
  49. Marconi G, Franklin CS. Reflector for use in Wireless Telegraphy and Telephony [Internet]. 1301473, 1919. Available from: <https://patents.google.com/patent/US1301473A/en>
  50. Ulrich R. Far-infrared Properties of Metallic Mesh and its Complementary Structure. *Infrared Phys* [Internet]. 1967 Mar;7(1):37–55. Available from:

<https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0020089167900280>

51. Lee SW, Zarrillo G, Law CL. Simple Formulas for Transmission Through Periodic Metal Grids or Plates. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 1982;30(5):904–9.
52. Chen CC. Scattering by a Two-Dimensional Periodic Array of Conducting Plates. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 1970;AP-18(5):660–5.
53. Chen CC. Transmission through a Conducting Screen Perforated Periodically with Apertures. *IEEE Trans Microw Theory Tech.* 1970;MTT-18(9):627–32.
54. Chen CC. Diffraction of Electromagnetic Waves by a Conducting Screen Perforated Periodically with Holes. *IEEE Trans Microw Theory Tech.* 1970;MTT-18(9):627.632.
55. Mittra R, Chan CH, Cwik T. Techniques for Analyzing Frequency Selective Surfaces-A Review. *Proc IEEE [Internet].* 1988 Dec;76(12):1593–615. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/16352/>
56. Ferreira D, Caldeirinha RFS, Cuinas I, Fernandes TR. Square Loop and Slot Frequency Selective Surfaces Study for Equivalent Circuit Model Optimization. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet].* 2015 Sep;63(9):3947–55. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7122283/>
57. Mesa F, Rodríguez-Berral R, García-Vigueras M, Medina F, Mosig JR. Simplified Modal Expansion to Analyze Frequency-Selective Surfaces: An Equivalent Circuit Approach. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 2016;64(3):1106–11.
58. Zahir Joozdani M, Khalaj Amirhosseini M. Equivalent Circuit Model for the Frequency-Selective Surface Embedded in a Layer with Constant Conductivity. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 2017;65(2):705–12.
59. Liu N, Sheng X, Zhang C, Fan J, Guo D. A Design Method for Synthesizing Wideband Band-Stop FSS via Its Equivalent Circuit Model. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett [Internet].* 2017;16:2721–5. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8014441/>
60. Dubrovka R, Vazquez J, Parini C, Moore D. Equivalent Circuit Method for Analysis and Synthesis of Frequency Selective Surfaces. *IEE Proc - Microwaves, Antennas Propag [Internet].* 2006;153(3):213. Available from: [https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map\\_20050198](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map_20050198)
61. Wu TK. *Frequency Selective Surface and Grid Array.* New York: John Wiley

- & Sons, Inc.; 1995. 352 p.
62. Zhou H, Qu S-B, Wang J-F, Lin B-Q, Ma H, Xu Z, et al. Ultra-Wideband Frequency Selective Surface. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2012;48(1):11. Available from: <http://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el.2011.3271>
  63. Song Y, Zhang Y, Liu X, Wang Q, Wang J, Tong Y, et al. Design and Analysis of an Ultra-wideband Frequency Selective Surface with Adjustable Stopband. In: 2018 IEEE 18th International Conference on Communication Technology (ICCT) [Internet]. IEEE; 2018. p. 617–20. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8599998/>
  64. Al-Joumayly M, Behdad N. A New Technique for Design of Low-Profile, Second-Order, Bandpass Frequency Selective Surfaces. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2009 Feb;57(2):452–9. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4804093/>
  65. Hussein M, Zhou J, Huang Y, Al-Juboori B. A Low-profile Miniaturized Second-Order Bandpass Frequency Selective Surface. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2017;16:1–1. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8017543/>
  66. Chatterjee A, Mandal B, Biswas J, Sarkar G, Saha A. A Dual-layer Reflective Frequency Selective Surface for Wideband Applications. 2015 Int Conf Work Comput Commun [Internet]. 2015;1–3. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7344463/>
  67. Anwar R, Mao L, Ning H. Frequency Selective Surfaces: A Review. *Appl Sci* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 18;8(9):1689. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/8/9/1689>
  68. Kiebertz R, Ishimaru A. Scattering by a Periodically Apertured Conducting Screen. *IRE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 1961 Nov;9(6):506–14. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1145056/>
  69. Chao-Chun Chen. Transmission of Microwave Through Perforated Flat Plates of Finite Thickness. *IEEE Trans Microw Theory Tech* [Internet]. 1973 Jan;21(1):1–6. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1127906/>
  70. Montgomery J. Scattering by an Infinite Periodic Array of Thin Conductors on a Dielectric Sheet. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 1975 Jan;23(1):70–5. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1141006/>
  71. Pelton E, Munk B. Scattering from Periodic Arrays of Crossed Dipoles. *IEEE*



- Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1979 May;27(3):323–30. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1142088/>
72. Cahill R, Parker EA. Crosspolar Levels of Ring Arrays in Reflection at 45° Incidence: Influence of Lattice Spacing. Electron Lett [Internet]. 1982;18(24):1060. Available from:  
[https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el\\_19820724](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el_19820724)
  73. Hall R, Mittra R. Scattering from a Periodic Array of Resistive Strips. IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1985 Sep;33(9):1009–11. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1143706/>
  74. Cwik T, Mittra R. Scattering from a Periodic Array of Free-standing Arbitrarily Shaped Perfectly Conducting or Resistive Patches. IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1987 Nov;35(11):1226–34. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1143999/>
  75. Montgomery JP, Davey KR. The Solution of Planar Periodic Structures Using Iterative Methods. Electromagnetics [Internet]. 1985 Jan;5(2–3):209–35. Available from:  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02726348508908147>
  76. Booker HG. Slot Aerials and Their Relation to Complementary Wire Aerials (Babinet’s Principle). J Inst Electr Eng - Part IIIA Radiolocation [Internet]. 1946;93(4):620–6. Available from:  
<https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ji-3a-1.1946.0150>
  77. Song X, Yan Z, Zhang T, Yang C, Lian R. Triband Frequency-selective Surface as Subreflector in Ku-, K-, and Ka-Bands. IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett [Internet]. 2016;15:1869–72. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7433954/>
  78. Liang Bing-yuan, Xue Zheng-hui, Li Wei-ming, Ren Wu. Ultra-wideband Frequency Selective Surface at K and Ka Band. In: 2013 IEEE International Conference on Microwave Technology & Computational Electromagnetics [Internet]. IEEE; 2013. p. 55–7. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6812480/>
  79. Huang J, Te-Kao Wu, Shung-Wu Lee. Tri-band Frequency Selective Surface with Circular Ring Elements. IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1994;42(2):166–75. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/277210/>

80. Wu T-K. Frequency Selective Surfaces. In: Encyclopedia of RF and Microwave Engineering [Internet]. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2005. p. 471–525. Available from:  
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471654507.eme133>
81. Pozar D, Schaubert D. Scan Blindness in Infinite Phased Arrays of Printed Dipoles. IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1984 Jun;32(6):602–10. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1143375/>
82. Callaghan P, Parker EA, Langley RJ. Influence of Supporting Dielectric Layers on the Transmission Properties of Frequency Selective Surfaces. IEE Proc H Microwaves, Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1991;138(5):448. Available from: <https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-h-2.1991.0075>
83. Pozar DM. Microwave Engineering. 4th Ed. JohnWiley & Sons, Inc.; 2011. 752 p.
84. Parker EA, El sheikh ANA. Convolved Array Elements and Reduced Size Unit Cells for Frequency-selective Surfaces. IEE Proc H Microwaves, Antennas Propag [Internet]. 1991;138(1):19. Available from:  
<https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-h-2.1991.0004>
85. Dantas SR, Cadineli EB, Campos ALPS, Gomes Neto A. Proposal of a Fractal Geometry with Double Similarity Ratio for Application in Frequency Selective Surfaces with Insensitive Resonance Frequency as a Function of Cell Periodicity. Microw Opt Technol Lett [Internet]. 2018 Mar;60(3):654–9. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/mop.31029>
86. Campos ALPS, de Oliveira EEC, Silva PHF. Miniaturization of Frequency Selective Surfaces using Fractal Koch Curves. Microw Opt Technol Lett [Internet]. 2009 Aug;51(8):1983–6. Available from:  
<http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/mop.24503>
87. Xue J-Y, Gong S-X, Zhang P-F, Wang W, Zhang F-F. A New Miniaturized Fractal Frequency Selective Surface with Excellent Angular Stability. Prog Electromagn Res Lett [Internet]. 2010;13:131–8. Available from:  
<http://www.jpier.org/PIERL/pier.php?paper=10010804>
88. Manna Y, Aldhaferi RW. New Dual-Band Frequency Selective Surface for GSM Shielding in Secure-Electromagnetic Buildings using Square Loop Fractal Configurations. In: 2016 16th Mediterranean Microwave Symposium (MMS) [Internet]. IEEE; 2016. p. 1–4. Available from:

- <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7803813/>
89. Trindade JIA, da F. Silva PH, Campos ALPS, D'Assuncao AG. Analysis of Stop-band Frequency Selective Surfaces with Dürer's Pentagon Pre-Fractals Patch Elements. *IEEE Trans Magn* [Internet]. 2011 May;47(5):1518–21. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5754674/>
  90. Campos ALPS, de Oliveira EEC, Silva PHF. Design of Miniaturized Frequency Selective Surfaces using Minkowski Island Fractal. *J Microwaves , Optoelectron Electromagn Appl*. 2010;9(1):43–9.
  91. Silva PH da F, dos Santos AF, Cruz RMS, D'Assunção AG. Dual-band Bandstop Frequency Selective Surfaces with Gosper Prefractal Elements. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2012 Mar;54(3):771–5. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.26663>
  92. Zhong T, Zhang H, Wu R, Min X. Novel Dual-Band Miniaturized Frequency Selective Surface based on Fractal Structures. *Frequenz* [Internet]. 2017 Jan 1;71(1–2):57–63. Available from: <http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/freq.2017.71.issue-1-2/freq-2016-0010/freq-2016-0010.xml>
  93. Fallah M, Nazeri AH, Azadkhah MR. A Novel Fractal Multi-band Frequency Selective Surface. *J Microwaves, Optoelectron Electromagn Appl* [Internet]. 2019 Jun;18(2):276–85. Available from: [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S2179-10742019000200276&tlng=en](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2179-10742019000200276&tlng=en)
  94. Peitgen HO, Jurgens H, Saupe D. *Chaos and Fractals: New Frontiers of Science*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1992.
  95. Barnsley MF. *Fractals Everywhere*. Second Edi. New York: Academic Press Professional; 1993.
  96. Werner DH, Ganguly S. An Overview of Fractal Antenna Engineering Research. *IEEE Antennas Propag Mag* [Internet]. 2003 Feb;45(1):38–57. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1189650/>
  97. Werner DH, Werner PL. Genetically Engineered Dual-Band Fractal Antennas. In: *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium 2001 Digest Held in conjunction with: USNC/URSI National Radio Science Meeting (Cat No01CH37229)* [Internet]. IEEE; 2001. p. 628–31. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/960175/>

98. Vinoy KJ, Abraham JK, Varadan VK. On the Relationship Between Fractal Dimension and the Performance of Multi-Resonant Dipole Antennas using Koch Curves. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2003 Sep;51(9):2296–303. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1229898/>
99. Nóbrega CDL, da Silva MR, Silva PH da F, D'Assunção AG, Siqueira GL. Simple, Compact, and Multiband Frequency Selective Surfaces using Dissimilar Sierpinski Fractal Elements. *Int J Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2015;2015:1–5. Available from: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijap/2015/614780/>
100. da Silva MR, D'Assunção AG, da Fonseca Silva PH, Nóbrega C de L. Stable and Compact Multiband Frequency Selective Surfaces with Peano Pre-fractal Configurations. *IET Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2013 May 15;7(7):543–51. Available from: <https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/iet-map.2012.0673>
101. Sarika, Kumar R, Tripathy MR, Ronnow D. Fractal Frequency Selective Surface based Band Stop Filters for X-band and Ku-band Applications. In: 2017 3rd International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication & Automation (ICACCA) (Fall) [Internet]. Dehradun, India: IEEE; 2017. p. 1–4. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8344692/>
102. Krismer N, Silbernagl D, Malfertheiner M, Specht G. A Simple Fractal Geometry to Design Multiband Frequency Selective Surfaces. *CEUR Workshop Proc*. 2016;1594(10):74–9.
103. Neto AG, De Sousa TR, Silva JCE, Mamedes DF. A Polarization Independent Frequency Selective Surface Based on the Matryoshka Geometry. *IEEE MTT-S Int Microw Symp Dig*. 2018;2018-June:999–1002.
104. Brito DB, Araujo LM, D'Assuncao AG, Manicoba RHC. A Minkowski Fractal Frequency Selective Surface with High Angular Stability. In: 2013 SBMO/IEEE MTT-S International Microwave & Optoelectronics Conference (IMOC) [Internet]. IEEE; 2013. p. 1–4. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6646577/>
105. Silva Neto VP, D'Assuncao AG, Baudrand H. Analysis of Finite Size Nonuniform Stable and Multiband FSS Using a Generalization of the WCIP Method. *IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat* [Internet]. 2018 Dec;60(6):1802–10. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8281625/>

106. Anwar RS, Wei Y, Mao L, Ning H. Miniaturised Frequency Selective Surface based on Fractal Arrays with Square Slots for Enhanced Bandwidth. *IET Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2019 Sep 7;13(11):1811–9. Available from:  
<https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/iet-map.2018.5224>
107. Guo XR, Zhang Z, Wang J, Chen M. Design and Analysis of Frequency Selective Surfaces using Pinwheel Tiling Fractal. In: 2012 International Conference on Microwave and Millimeter Wave Technology (ICMMT) [Internet]. Shenzhen, China: IEEE; 2012. p. 1–3. Available from:  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6230440/>
108. Coomar S, Mondal S, Sanyal R. Polarization-insensitive Ultrathin Fractal Shaped Frequency Selective Surface for Ultra Wide Band Shielding. *AEU - Int J Electron Commun* [Internet]. 2022 Apr;147:154141. Available from:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeue.2022.154141>
109. da Silva Segundo FCG, Campos ALPS, Braz EC. Wide Band Frequency Selective Surface for Angular and Polarization Independent Operation. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2015 Jan;57(1):216–9. Available from:  
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.28818>
110. Braz EC, Dantas SR, Campos ALPS, Neto AG. Design of a Novel Single-layer Dual-band FSS with Angular Stability using Multifractal Geometry. In: 2017 SBMO/IEEE MTT-S International Microwave and Optoelectronics Conference (IMOC) [Internet]. Aguas de Lindoia, Brazil: IEEE; 2017. p. 1–5. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8121019/>
111. Yahya R, Nakamura A, Itami M. Compact UWB Frequency Selective Surface with High Angular Stability. *IEICE Commun Express* [Internet]. 2016 Jun;5(2):39–43. Available from:  
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.27583>
112. Ranga Y, Matekovits L, Weily AR, Esselle KP. A Low-profile Dual-layer Ultra-wideband Frequency Selective Surface Reflector. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2013 Jun;55(6):1223–7. Available from:  
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.27583>
113. Chatterjee A, Parui SK. A Dual Layer Frequency Selective Surface Reflector for Wideband Applications. *Radioengineering*. 2016;25(1):67–72.
114. Segundo FCG da S, Campos ALPS, Gomes Neto A, Alencar MDO. Double

- Layer Frequency Selective Surface for Ultra Wide Band Applications with Angular Stability and Polarization Independence. *J Microwaves, Optoelectron Electromagn Appl* [Internet]. 2019 Jul;18(3):328–42. Available from: [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S2179-10742019000300328&tlng=en](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2179-10742019000300328&tlng=en)
115. Zhao Q, Hong T, Jiang W, Gong S. Multi-layer Frequency Selective Surface with Low-frequency Ultra-wide Band-pass Response. In: 2017 Sixth Asia-Pacific Conference on Antennas and Propagation (APCAP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2017. p. 1–2. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8420920/>
  116. Hua B, Liu X, He X, Yang Y. Wide-angle Frequency Selective Surface with Ultra-wideband Response for Aircraft Stealth Designs. *Prog Electromagn Res C* [Internet]. 2017;77(August):167–73. Available from: <http://www.jpier.org/PIERC/pier.php?paper=17080401>
  117. Mohyuddin W, Woo DS, Choi HC, Kim KW. A Practical Double-sided Frequency Selective Surface for Millimeter-wave Applications. *Rev Sci Instrum* [Internet]. 2018 Feb;89(2):024703. Available from: <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.5023406>
  118. Sampath SS, Sivasamy R. A Single-Layer UWB Frequency-Selective Surface with Band-Stop Response. *IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat* [Internet]. 2020 Feb;62(1):276–9. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8586911/>
  119. Sood D, Tripathi CC. Polarization Insensitive Compact Wide Stop-band Frequency Selective Surface. *J Microwaves, Optoelectron Electromagn Appl* [Internet]. 2018 Mar;17(1):53–64. Available from: [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S2179-10742018000100053&lng=en&tlng=en](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2179-10742018000100053&lng=en&tlng=en)
  120. Kushwaha N, Kumar R, Ram Krishna RVS, Oli T. Design and Analysis of New Compact UWB Frequency Selective Surface and its Equivalent Circuit. *Prog Electromagn Res C* [Internet]. 2014;46(December 2013):31–9. Available from: <http://www.jpier.org/PIERC/pier.php?paper=13100908>
  121. Biswas AN, Ballav S, Chatterjee A, Parui SK. Evolution of Low-profile Ultra-wideband Frequency Selective Surface with a Stable Response and Sharp Roll-off at Lower Band for C, X and Ku Band Applications. *Radioengineering* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 15;29(3):494–503. Available from:

- [https://www.radioeng.cz/fulltexts/2020/20\\_03\\_0494\\_0503.pdf](https://www.radioeng.cz/fulltexts/2020/20_03_0494_0503.pdf)
122. Habib S, Kiani GI, Butt MFU. An Efficient UWB FSS for Electromagnetic Shielding. In: 2017 International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA) [Internet]. IEEE; 2017. p. 1543–6. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8065578/>
  123. Kesavan A, Karimian R, Denidni TA. A Novel Wideband Frequency Selective Surface for Millimeter-Wave Applications. IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett [Internet]. 2016;15:1711–4. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7403869/>
  124. Syed IS, Ranga Y, Matekovits L, Esselle KP, Hay SG. A Single-Layer Frequency-Selective Surface for Ultrawideband Electromagnetic Shielding. IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat [Internet]. 2014 Dec;56(6):1404–11. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6816043>
  125. Adeline Mellita R, Chandu DS, Karthikeyan SS, Damodharan P. A Miniaturized Wideband Frequency Selective Surface with Interconnected Cell Structure. AEU - Int J Electron Commun [Internet]. 2020 Jun;120:153196. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeue.2020.153196>
  126. Sampath SS, Sivasamy R. A Single-layer UWB Frequency-Selective Surface with Band-stop Response. IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat [Internet]. 2020 Feb;62(1):276–9. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8586911/>
  127. Habib S, Kiani GI, Butt MFU. A Convolted Frequency Selective Surface for Wideband Communication Applications. IEEE Access [Internet]. 2019;7:65075–82. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8713872/>
  128. Yong WY, Abdul Rahim SK, Himdi M, Seman FC, Suong DL, Ramli MR, et al. Flexible Convolted Ring Shaped FSS for X-Band Screening Application. IEEE Access. 2018;6(c):11657–65.
  129. Dewani AA, O’Keefe SG, Thiel D V, Galehdar A. Window RF Shielding Film using Printed FSS. IEEE Trans Antennas Propag [Internet]. 2018 Feb;66(2):790–6. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8169092/>
  130. Liu X, Tan W, Shen Z, Jin C. Integrated Frequency-Selective Surface and Antenna Printed on a Transparent Substrate. IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett.

- 2020;19(12):2062–6.
131. Sharma SK, Zhou D, Luttgen A, Sarris CD. A Micro Copper Mesh-Based Optically Transparent Triple-Band Frequency Selective Surface. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2019 Jan;18(1):202–6. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8572742/>
  132. Parker EA, Antonopoulos C, Simpson NE. Microwave Band FSS in Optically Transparent Conducting Layers: Performance of Ring Element Arrays. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 1997 Oct 5;16(2):61–3. Available from: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2760\(19971005\)16:2%3C61::AID-MOP1%3E3.0.CO;2-G](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2760(19971005)16:2%3C61::AID-MOP1%3E3.0.CO;2-G)
  133. Azini AS, Kamarudin MR, Rahman TA, Iddi HU, Abdulrahman AY, Jamlos MF Bin. Transparent Antenna Design for WiMAX Application. *Prog Electromagn Res* [Internet]. 2013;138(March):133–41. Available from: <http://www.jpier.org/PIER/pier.php?paper=13021809>
  134. Martin A, Lafond O, Himdi M, Castel X. Improvement of 60 GHz Transparent Patch Antenna Array Performance Through Specific Double-Sided Micrometric Mesh Metal Technology. *IEEE Access* [Internet]. 2019;7:2256–62. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8574883/>
  135. Martin A, Castel X, Himdi M, Lafond O. Mesh Parameters Influence on Transparent and Active Antennas Performance at Microwaves. *AIP Adv* [Internet]. 2017 Aug;7(8):085120. Available from: <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.4985746>
  136. Han Y, Liu YM, Jin P, Liu B, Ma J, Tan JB. Optical-Transparent Wi-Fi Bandpass Mesh-Coated Frequency Selective Surface. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2014 Feb 27;50(5):381–3. Available from: <https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el.2013.3695>
  137. Hettak K, Shaker J. Screen-printed Dual-band Flexible Frequency Selective Surface for 5G Applications. In: 2019 49th European Microwave Conference (EuMC) [Internet]. Paris, France: IEEE; 2019. p. 519–22. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8910726/>
  138. Dewani AA, O’Keefe SG, Thiel D V., Galehdar A. Miniaturised Meandered Square Frequency Selective Surface on a Thin Flexible Dielectric with Selective Transmission. *Flex Print Electron* [Internet]. 2016 Jun 1;1(2):025001. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-8585/1/2/025001>



139. Lee S-H, Kim M-S, Kim J-K, Hong I-P. Design of Security Paper with Selective Frequency Reflection Characteristics. *Sensors* [Internet]. 2018 Jul 13;18(7):2263. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/7/2263>
140. Lee S-H, Kim M-S, Kim J-K, Lim J-I, Hong I-P. Security Paper Design with Frequency-Selective Structure for X-Band Electromagnetic Detection System. *Int J Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 1;2018:1–8. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijap/2018/9836937/>
141. Liu T, Kim S-S. Ultrawide Bandwidth Electromagnetic Wave Absorbers Using a High-capacitive Folded Spiral Frequency Selective Surface in a Multilayer Structure. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2019 Dec 11;9(1):16494. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52967-z>
142. Kim M-S, Kim S-S. Design and Fabrication of 77-GHz Radar Absorbing Materials Using Frequency-Selective Surfaces for Autonomous Vehicles Application. *IEEE Microw Wirel Components Lett* [Internet]. 2019 Dec;29(12):779–82. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8897568/>
143. Bharti G, Jha KR, Singh G. Terahertz Frequency Selective Surface for Future Wireless Communication Systems. *Optik (Stuttg)* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 1;126(24):5909–17. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0030402615010943>
144. Shen Y, Chen D, Wei Q, Lin S, Shi L, Wu L, et al. 183 GHz Frequency Selective Surface Using Aligned Eight-layer Microstructure. *IEEE Electron Device Lett* [Internet]. 2018;39(10):1–1. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8452991/>
145. Prigent C, Pardo JR, Rossow WB. Comparisons of the Millimeter and Submillimeter Bands for Atmospheric Temperature and Water Vapor Soundings for Clear and Cloudy Skies. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol* [Internet]. 2006 Dec 1;45(12):1622–33. Available from: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAM2438.1>
146. Antonopoulos C, Cahill R, Parker EA, Sturland IM. Multilayer Frequency-Selective Surfaces for Millimetre and Submillimetre Wave Applications. *IEE Proc - Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 1997;144(6):415. Available from: [https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map\\_19971406](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map_19971406)

147. Cahill R, Vardaxoglou JC, Jayawardene M. Two-Layer mm-Wave FSS of Linear Slot Elements with Low Insertion Loss. *IEE Proc - Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2001;148(6):410. Available from: [https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map\\_20010767](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/ip-map_20010767)
148. Dickie R, Cahill R, Gamble HS, Fusco VF, Huggard PG, Moyna BP, et al. Polarisation independent bandpass FSS. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2007;43(19):1013. Available from: [https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el\\_20072170](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el_20072170)
149. Dickie R, Cahill R, Mitchell N, Gamble H, Fusco V, Munro Y, et al. 664 GHz dual polarisation frequency selective surface. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2010 Apr 1;46(7):472. Available from: <https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el.2010.3462>
150. Euler M, Fusco V, Cahill R, Dickie R. 325 GHz Single Layer Sub-Millimeter Wave FSS Based Split Slot Ring Linear to Circular Polarization Converter. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2010 Jul;58(7):2457–9. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5454305/>
151. Dickie R, Cahill R, Fusco VF, Baine P, Campbell P, Munro Y, et al. 165/183 GHz FSS for the MetOp second generation microwave sounder instrument. In: 2015 9th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP). 2015. p. 1–3.
152. Dickie R, Cahill R, Fusco VF, Kangas V. 229 GHz FSS for the MetOp second generation Microwave Sounder instrument. In: 2016 10th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2016. p. 1–4. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7481779/>
153. Dickie R, Christie S, Cahill R, Baine P, Fusco V, Parow-Souchon K, et al. Low-pass FSS for 50-230 GHz quasi-optical demultiplexing for the MetOp Second-Generation microwave sounder Instrument. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag*. 2017;65(10):5312–21.
154. Dickie R, Cahill R, Gamble HS, Fusco VF, Huggard PG, Moyna BP, et al. Polarisation Independent Bandpass FSS. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2007;43(19):1013. Available from: [https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el\\_20072170](https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el_20072170)
155. Dickie R, Cahill R, Mitchell N, Gamble H, Fusco V, Munro Y, et al. 664 GHz Dual Polarisation Frequency Selective Surface. *Electron Lett* [Internet]. 2010

- Apr 1;46(7):472. Available from:  
<https://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/el.2010.3462>
156. Dickie R, Cahill R, Fusco VF, Baine P, Campbell P, Munro Y, et al. 165/183 GHz FSS for the MetOp Second Generation Microwave Sounder Instrument. In: 2015 9th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP). 2015. p. 1–3.
  157. Dickie R, Cahill R, Fusco VF, Kangas V. 229 GHz FSS for the MetOp Second Generation Microwave Sounder Instrument. In: 2016 10th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2016. p. 1–4. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7481779/>
  158. Dickie R, Christie S, Cahill R, Baine P, Fusco V, Parow-Souchon K, et al. Low-Pass FSS for 50-230 GHz Quasi-Optical Demultiplexing for the MetOp Second-Generation Microwave Sounder Instrument. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 2017;65(10):5312–21.
  159. Poojali J, Ray S, Pesala B, Chitti K V., Arunachalam K. A Tri-Band Frequency Selective Surface (FSS) to Diplex Widely Separated Bands for Millimeter Wave Remote Sensing. *J Infrared, Millimeter, Terahertz Waves* [Internet]. 2016 Oct 17;37(10):944–52. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10762-016-0292-7>
  160. Hussein M, Zhou J, Huang Y, Jin J, Balocco C, Habeeb RA. Low-Profile Second-Order Terahertz Bandpass Frequency Selective Surface with Sharp Transitions. In: 2017 10th UK-Europe-China Workshop on Millimetre Waves and Terahertz Technologies (UCMMT) [Internet]. IEEE; 2017. p. 1–3. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8068521/>
  161. Rashid AK, Zhang Q. Low-Cost Terahertz Three-Dimensional Frequency Selective Structure: Efficient Analysis and Characterization. *IEEE Trans Terahertz Sci Technol.* 2020 Jan;10(1):1–8.
  162. Kazemzade A. Nonmagnetic Ultrawideband Absorber With Optimal Thickness. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2011 Jan;59(1):135–40. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5617235/>
  163. Merzaki F, Sergolle M, Castel X, Himdi M, Besnier P, Desmars K, et al. A Compact Absorbing FSS Structure for Antenna Decoupling in the 5G 3.5GHz Band. In: 2020 International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility - EMC EUROPE [Internet]. IEEE; 2020. p. 1–6. Available from:

- <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9245649/>
164. Costa F, Monorchio A, Manara G. Analysis and Design of Ultra Thin Electromagnetic Absorbers Comprising Resistively Loaded High Impedance Surfaces. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2010 May;58(5):1551–8. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5422759/>
  165. Kiani GI, Weily AR, Esselle KP. A Novel Absorb/Transmit FSS for Secure Indoor Wireless Networks with Reduced Multipath Fading. *IEEE Microw Wirel Components Lett* [Internet]. 2006 Jun;16(6):378–80. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1637501/>
  166. Furuya K, Kobayashi T, Fukui N, Yoneda N. Broadband Circuit Analog Absorber Using Low-Cost Frequency Selective Surface. In: 2020 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation (ISAP) [Internet]. IEEE; 2021. p. 125–6. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9391395/>
  167. Fan S, Song Y. Ultra-Wideband Flexible Absorber in Microwave Frequency Band. *Materials (Basel)* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 30;13(21):4883. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/13/21/4883>
  168. Sambhav S, Ghosh J, Singh AK. Ultra-Wideband Polarization Insensitive Thin Absorber Based on Resistive Concentric Circular Rings. *IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat* [Internet]. 2021 Oct;63(5):1333–40. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9371228/>
  169. Zhixin Y, Shaoqiu X, Li Y, Wang B-Z. On the Design of Wideband Absorber Based on Multilayer and Multiresonant FSS Array. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2021 Mar;20(3):284–8. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9300152/>
  170. He Y, Jiang J. An Ultra-Wideband Metamaterial Absorber with Active Frequency Selective Surface. In: 2015 9th International Congress on Advanced Electromagnetic Materials in Microwaves and Optics (METAMATERIALS) [Internet]. IEEE; 2015. p. 100–2. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7342536/>
  171. Basravi M, Maddahali M, Firouzeh ZH, Ramezani A. Design of a Novel Ultra Broadband Single-Layer Absorber using Double Fractal Square Loops. In: 2016 24th Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering (ICEE) [Internet]. IEEE; 2016. p. 621–5. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7585597/>
  172. Deng T, Li Z-W, Chen ZN. Ultrathin Broadband Absorber Using Frequency-

- Selective Surface and Frequency-Dispersive Magnetic Materials. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2017 Nov;65(11):5886–94. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8024003/>
173. Kong P, Yu X, Liu Z, Zhou K, He Y, Miao L, et al. A Novel Tunable Frequency Selective Surface Absorber with Dual-DOF for Broadband Applications. *Opt Express* [Internet]. 2014 Dec 1;22(24):30217. Available from: <https://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?uri=oe-22-24-30217>
  174. Che Seman F, Cahill R, Fusco VF, Goussetis G. Design of a Salisbury Screen Absorber using Frequency Selective Surfaces to Improve Bandwidth and Angular Stability Performance. *IET Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2011;5(2):149. Available from: <http://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/iet-map.2010.0072>
  175. Fan Y-N, Cheng Y-Z, Nie Y, Wang X, Gong R-Z. An Ultrathin Wide-Band Planar Metamaterial Absorber based on a Fractal Frequency Selective Surface and Resistive Film. *Chinese Phys B* [Internet]. 2013 Jun;22(6):067801. Available from: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1674-1056/22/6/067801>
  176. Liangkui Sun, Haifeng Cheng, Yongjiang Zhou, Jun Wang. Design of a Lightweight Magnetic Radar Absorber Embedded With Resistive FSS. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2012;11:675–7. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6213063/>
  177. El Atrash M, Abdalgalil OF, Mahmoud IS, Abdalla MA, Zahran SR. Wearable High Gain Low SAR Antenna Loaded with Backed All-textile EBG for WBAN Applications. *IET Microwaves, Antennas Propag* [Internet]. 2020 Jul;14(8):791–9. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/iet-map.2019.1089>
  178. El Atrash M, Abdalla MA, Elhennawy HM. A Wearable Dual-Band Low Profile High Gain Low SAR Antenna AMC-Backed for WBAN Applications. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag*. 2019;67(10):6378–88.
  179. Paracha KN, Abdul Rahim SK, Soh PJ, Chatha HT, Misran MH, Lokman AH. A Dual Band Stub-loaded AMC Design for the Gain Enhancement of a Planar Monopole Antenna. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2018 Sep;60(9):2108–12. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.31308>
  180. Ali Sehrai D, Muhammad F, Hassan Kiani S, Haq Abbas Z, Tufail M, Kim S.

- Gain-Enhanced Metamaterial Based Antenna for 5G Communication Standards. *Comput Mater Contin* [Internet]. 2020;64(3):1587–99. Available from: <http://www.techscience.com/cmc/v64n3/39446>
181. Ghorbani MM, Taherian R. Methods of Measuring Electrical Properties of Material [Internet]. *Electrical Conductivity in Polymer-Based Composites: Experiments, Modelling, and Applications*. Elsevier Inc.; 2018. 365–394 p. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812541-0.00012-4>
  182. Bishop CA. *Process Diagnostics and Coating Characteristics*. Vacuum Deposition onto Webs, Films and Foils. 2011. 81–114 p.
  183. Sha W, Wu X, Keong KG. Electrical Resistivity of Electroless Copper Deposit. *Electroless Copp Nickel–Phosphorus Plat*. 2011;117–34.
  184. LPKF Laser & Electronics. LPKF TechGuide: In-House PCB Prototyping [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 15]. Available from: <https://www.lpkf.com/en/industries-technologies/research-in-house-pcb-prototyping/produkte/lpkf-protolaser-s4>
  185. Haghzadeh M, Akyurtlu A. All-Printed, Flexible, Reconfigurable Frequency Selective Surfaces. *J Appl Phys*. 2016;120(18).
  186. Nauroze SA, Novelino LS, Tentzeris MM, Paulino GH. Continuous-Range Tunable Multilayer Frequency-Selective Surfaces using Origami and Inkjet Printing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 26;115(52):13210–5. Available from: <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1812486115>
  187. Luo XF, Teo PT, Qing A, Lee CK. Design of Double-square-loop Frequency-selective Surfaces using Differential Evolution Strategy Coupled with Equivalent-circuit Model. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2005 Jan 20;44(2):159–62. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.20575>
  188. Kyaw C, Yahiaoui R, Burrow JA, Tran V, Keelen K, Sims W, et al. Polarization-selective Modulation of Supercavity Resonances Originating from Bound States in the Continuum. *Commun Phys* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 18;3(1):212. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s42005-020-00453-8>
  189. Crépin T, Martel C, Gabard B, Boust F, Martinaud JP, Dousset T, et al. Blind Spot Mitigation in Phased Array Antenna using Metamaterials. In: *2014 International Radar Conference, Radar 2014*. 2014.
  190. Orr R, Goussetis G, Fusco V, Cahill R, Zelenchuk D, Pal A, et al. Circular

- Polarization Frequency Selective Surface Operating in Ku and Ka Band. In: The 8th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP 2014) [Internet]. IEEE; 2014. p. 1969–71. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6902189/>
191. Zhao Z, Shi H, Guo J, Li W, Zhang A. Stopband Frequency Selective Surface With Ultra-Large Angle of Incidence. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2017;16:553–6. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7506259/>
  192. Hong T, Xing W, Zhao Q, Gu Y, Gong S. Single-Layer Frequency Selective Surface With Angular Stability Property. *IEEE Antennas Wirel Propag Lett* [Internet]. 2018 Apr;17(4):547–50. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8281107/>
  193. Rahzaani M, Dadashzadeh G, Khorshidi M. New Technique for Designing Wideband One Layer Frequency Selective Surface in X-Band with Stable Angular Response. *Microw Opt Technol Lett* [Internet]. 2018 Sep;60(9):2133–9. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mop.31304>
  194. Momeni Hasan Abadi SMA, Behdad N. Inductively-Coupled Miniaturized-Element Frequency Selective Surfaces with Narrowband, High-Order Bandpass Responses. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 2015;63(11):4766–74.
  195. Sivasamy R, Moorthy B, Kanagasabai M, George J V., Lawrance L, Rajendran DB. Polarization-independent Single-layer Ultra-wideband Frequency-Selective Surface. *Int J Microw Wirel Technol.* 2017;9(1):93–7.
  196. Moallem M. A Micromachined Millimeter-Wave Radar Technology for Indoor Navigation. University of Michigan; 2014.
  197. Hussein M, Zhou J, Huang Y, Jin J, Balocco C, Habeeb RA. Low-profile second-order terahertz bandpass frequency selective surface with sharp transitions. In: 2017 10th UK-Europe-China Workshop on Millimetre Waves and Terahertz Technologies (UCMMT) [Internet]. IEEE; 2017. p. 1–3. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8068521/>
  198. Rashid AK, Zhang Q. Low-Cost Terahertz Three-Dimensional Frequency Selective Structure: Efficient Analysis and Characterization. *IEEE Trans Terahertz Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2020 Jan;10(1):1–8. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8873572/>
  199. Naftaly M, Das S, Gallop J, Pan K, Alkhalil F, Kariyapperuma D, et al. Sheet

Resistance Measurements of Conductive Thin Films: A Comparison of Techniques. Electronics [Internet]. 2021 Apr 17;10(8):960. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/10/8/960>



## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### **Journal Paper**

1. N. B. Mohamed Nafis, M. Himdi, M. K. A. Rahim, O. Ayop, and R. Dewan, “Optically Transparent Tri-Wideband Mosaic Frequency Selective Surface with Low Cross-Polarisation,” *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 622, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15020622.
2. N. B. Mohamed Nafis, M. K. A. Rahim, O. Ayop, H. A. Majid, and S. Tuntrakool, “Characterization of the Koch Fractal Hexagonal Loop Frequency Selective Surface for X-band Application,” *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. 878, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v20.i2.pp878-886.

### **Conference Proceeding**

1. N. B. M. Nafis, M. Himdi, M. K. A. Rahim, and F. Merzaki, “Mosaic Frequency Selective Surface with Wideband Response for the Optically Transparent and Absorber Applications,” in *2022 16th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP)*, 2022, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.23919/EuCAP53622.2022.9769471.
2. N. B. Mohamed Nafis, M. K. A. Rahim, O. Ayop, F. Zubir, and H. A. Majid, “A Comparison of Various Double Loops Frequency Selective Surfaces in terms of Angular Stability,” in *2019 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation (ISAP)*, 2019, pp. 1–4.