## PARALLELIZATION OF MODIFIED GEODESIC ACTIVE CONTOUR MODEL ON HIGH RESOLUTION SATELLITE IMAGE FOR SEGMENTATION PROCESS

MAIZATUL NADIRAH BT MUSTAFFA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> Faculty of Science Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2020

### **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to my husband, son, mak, abah and family. Thanks for the love and supports

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises and thanks to Allah the Almighty for giving me the strength to complete and submit this thesis. Peace and blessings of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him).

I would like to express my appreciation and thanks to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norma Alias for her continuous coaching, guidance, motivation, and support. Without her understanding, time and patience, I may not have made it this far. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Faridah Mustapha for her guidance, advice, and motivation.

I am especially indebted to MyBrain15 Scholarship, for funding my Ph.D. study. My special thanks go to Ibnu Sina Institute, UTM and the staff members for helping to provide the necessary facilities I need. I also would like to thank all my friends, and to one and all who have been involved directly or indirectly in completing this thesis.

Finally, a special thanks to my beloved parents and parents in law, Mustaffa bin Awang, Norhamimah Binti Muhammad, Maskob bin Sibun and Leha Bte Kamsan for their endless support and prayers. I am especially grateful to my son, Muhammad Saqeef Iman for always giving me hope and confidence. My sincere appreciation to my dear husband, Muhammad Saufi for being such a very supportive husband and has always kept me motivated. Last but not least, I am also very grateful to my family members for their support and encouragement.

#### ABSTRACT

A modified Sign Pressure Force (SPF) function able to enhance the existing Edge Stopping Function (ESF) in terms of simulation, visualization, and segmentation of highresolution satellite images of Nusajaya using the Geodesic Active Contour (GAC) model. The modified SPF function is formulated by integrating both the local SPF function and the global SPF function. Next, the modified GAC model is extended to a higher-order modified GAC model. The second-order and fourth-order modified GAC models are implemented using the Finite Difference Method (FDM) and developed into a tri-diagonal and Pentadiagonal Linear System of Equations (LSE). Some numerical methods such as Second-Order Alternating Group Explicit (AGE2), Second-Order Red-Black Gauss-Seidel (RBGS2), and Second-Order Jacobi (JB2) methods are used to solve the LSE of second-order modified GAC model. Meanwhile, Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit (AGE4), Fourth-Order Red-Black Gauss-Seidel (RBGS4), and Fourth-Order Jacobi (JB4) methods are used to solve the LSE of the fourth-order modified GAC model. The sequential algorithm is developed using Matlab R2015a software. The indicator of numerical results is analyzed based on execution time, number of iterations, maximum error, root mean square error, and computational complexity. The actual high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya generate a large amount of data, resulting in an enormous amount of execution time and high computational complexity. Thus, the implementation of a parallel algorithm is a reliable alternative for improving the sequential computation and reduced the execution time up to 82.23%. The parallel computation obtains an extensive large scale simulation capability for high-resolution satellite image data. The domain decomposition strategy is implemented by using the Matlab parallel computing toolbox based on the shared memory architecture. Parallel performance evaluations of numerical methods are measured based on speedup, efficiency, effectiveness, temporal performance, and granularity. As a conclusion, this investigation has proven the second-order modified GAC model could be extended to a fourth-order modified GAC model to simulate and visualize edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images. Consequently, the Parallel Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit (PAGE4) method is an alternative solution for large sparse segmentation process of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya as it improves the performance up to 82.26%. Based on the numerical results and parallel performance measurements, the parallel algorithm is proved to reduce the execution time and computational complexity up to 82.23% compared to the sequential algorithm.

#### ABSTRAK

Fungsi Sign Pressure Force (SPF) yang terubah suai dapat menambah baik Edge Stopping Function (ESF) dari segi simulasi, visualisasi dan segmentasi imej satelit Nusajaya beresolusi tinggi menggunakan model Kontur Aktif Geodesik (GAC). Fungsi SPF yang terubah suai dirumuskan dengan mengintegrasikan kedua-dua fungsi SPF tempatan dan fungsi SPF global. Seterusnya, model GAC terubah suai diperluaskan kepada model GAC terubah suai peringkat lebih tinggi. Model GAC terubah suai peringkat kedua dan peringkat keempat berlaksana menggunakan Kaedah Perbezaan Terhingga (FDM) dan dibangunkan menjadi Persamaan Sistem Linear (LSE) Tiga Pepenjuru dan Lima Pepenjuru. Beberapa kaedah berangka seperti Kumpulan Tak Tersirat Berselang-seli Peringkat Kedua (AGE2), Gauss Seidel Merah Hitam Peringkat Kedua (RBGS2), dan Jacobi Peringkat Kedua (JB2) telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan model GAC peringkat kedua tersebut. Manakala Kumpulan Tak Tersirat Berselang-seli Peringkat Keempat (AGE4), Gauss Seidel Merah Hitam Peringkat Keempat (RBGS4), dan kaedah Jacobi Peringkat Keempat (JB4) pula digunakan untuk menyelesaikan model GAC peringkat keempat. Algoritma berurutan dihasilkan menggunakan perisian Matlab R2015a. Penunjuk kepada keputusan berangka dianalisis berdasarkan masa pelaksanaan, jumlah lelaran, ralat maksimum, purata ralat punca kuasa dua, dan kerumitan pengiraan. Imej sebenar satelit Nusajaya beresolusi tinggi menghasilkan jumlah data yang cukup besar, masa pelaksanaan yang cukup lama dan kerumitan pengiraan yang tinggi. Justeru itu, pelaksanaan algoritma selari adalah alternatif yang boleh dipercayai untuk meningkatkan pengiraan berurutan dan mengurangkan masa perlaksanaan hingga 82.23%. Pengiraan selari mempunyai keupayaan simulasi berskala besar yang luas untuk data imej satelit beresolusi tinggi. Strategi penguraian domain berlaksana menggunakan kotak alat pengkomputeran selari Matlab berdasarkan seni bina ruang ingatan berkongsi. Ukuran prestasi selari untuk kaedah berangka adalah berdasarkan kepada kepantasan, kecekapan, keberkesanan, prestasi sementara, dan pembutiran grid. Kesimpulannya, penyelidikan ini telah membuktikan bahawa model GAC terubah suai peringkat kedua boleh diperluaskan kepada model GAC terubah suai hingga ke peringkat keempat untuk menyelesaikan dan menggambarkan segmentasi kawasan pinggir imej satelit beresolusi tinggi. Oleh itu, kaedah Selari Kumpulan Tak Tersirat Berselang-seli Peringkat Keempat (PAGE4) adalah penyelesaian alternatif untuk proses segmentasi berskala besar bagi imej satelit Nusajaya beresolusi tinggi kerana berupaya meningkatkan prestasi hingga 82.26%. Berdasarkan keputusan berangka dan ukuran prestasi selari, algoritma selari terbukti dapat mengurangkan masa pelaksanaan dan kerumitan pengiraan hingga 82.23% berbanding algoritma berurutan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		TITLE	PAGE
	DECI	LARATION	iii
	DEDI	CATION	iv
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABST	<b>TRACT</b>	vi
	ABST	'RAK	vii
	TABL	LE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST	OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	XXV
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xxviii
CHAPTER	1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Active Contour Model (ACM)	4
	1.3	Problem Statement	7
	1.4	Research Objective	8
	1.5	Research Scope	8
	1.6	Research Significance	10
	1.7	Thesis Organization	10
CHAPTER	2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1	Introduction	13
	2.2	Active Contour Model (ACM)	14

2.3 Level Set Method 18

		2.3.1 Edge-Based ACM	19
		2.3.2 Region-Based ACM	22
	2.4	Finite Difference Method (FDM) Approximation	25
		2.4.1 Finite Difference Grid	25
		2.4.2 Taylor Series Expansion	27
	2.5	Numerical Methods	28
	2.6	Numerical Analysis	31
	2.7	Parallel Computing System	34
		2.7.1 Designing Parallel Programming	35
		2.7.2 Parallel Computing Architecture	35
		2.7.3 Parallel Computing Toolbox on Matlab: An	37
		Overview	
		2.7.4 Parallel Performance	39
	2.7	Chapter Summary	43
CHAPTER	3	METHODOLOGY	45
CHAPTER	<b>3</b> 3.1	METHODOLOGY Introduction	<b>45</b> 45
CHAPTER	<b>3</b> 3.1 3.2	METHODOLOGY Introduction GAC Model	<b>45</b> 45 45
CHAPTER	<b>3</b> 3.1 3.2	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF Function	<b>45</b> 45 45 45
CHAPTER	<b>3</b> 3.1 3.2 3.3	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)	<b>45</b> 45 45 47 49
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of Modified	<b>45</b> 45 45 47 49 50
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Model	<b>45</b> 45 45 47 49 50
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1 GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Model3.4.1 JB2 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1 GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Model3.4.1 JB2 Method3.4.2 RBGS2 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Hodel3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Hodel3.4.1JB2 Method3.4.2RBGS2 Method3.4.3AGE2 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51 51 51
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Wodel3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numeral Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Wodel3.4.1JB2 Method3.4.2RBGS2 Method3.4.3AGE2 Method3.4.4JB4 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51 51 51 52 53
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Hodel3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF Function3.2.1GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretzation Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Hodel3.4.1JB2 Method3.4.2RBGS2 Method3.4.3AGE2 Method3.4.4JB4 Method3.4.5RBGS4 Method	<ul> <li>45</li> <li>45</li> <li>45</li> <li>47</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>53</li> </ul>
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1 GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numercal Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Model3.4.1 JB2 Method3.4.2 RBGS2 Method3.4.3 AGE2 Method3.4.4 JB4 Method3.4.5 RBGS4 Method3.4.6 AGE4 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51 51 51 52 53 53 53
CHAPTER	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> </ul>	METHODOLOGYIntroductionGAC Model3.2.1 GAC Model Based on Modified SPF FunctionDiscretization Using Finite Difference Method (FDM)Numerical Methods in Solving the FDM of ModifiedGAC Model3.4.1 JB2 Method3.4.2 RBGS2 Method3.4.3 AGE2 Method3.4.4 JB4 Method3.4.5 RBGS4 Method3.4.6 AGE4 MethodS.4.6 AGE4 Method	<b>45</b> 45 47 49 50 51 51 51 52 53 53 53 54 54

		3.6.1	Visualization Using the Classical GAC Model	56
		3.6.2	Visualization Using the Local Region-Based Model	57
		3.6.3	Visualization Using the Global Region-Based Model	58
		3.6.4	Visualization Using the GAC Model Based on Modified SPF Function	59
	3.7	Chapt	er Summary	61
CHAPTER	4	SEQU FOR	JENTIAL AND PARALLEL ALGORITHMS SECOND-ORDER MODIFIED GAC MODEL	63
	4.1	Introd	uction	63
	4.2	Gover	ming Model	63
	4.3	Discre	etization of the Model	65
	4.4	Nume	rical Methods	72
		4.4.1	JB2 Method	72
		4.4.2	RBGS2 Method	73
		4.4.3	AGE2 Method	75
	4.5	Seque	ntial Algorithm	82
		4.5.1	Computational Molecule and Algorithms	83
		4.5.2	Flowchart	90
		4.5.3	Computational Complexity	92
	4.6	Parall	el Algorithm	92
		4.6.1	Computational Molecule and Algorithms	93
		4.6.2	Flowchart	102
		4.6.3	Computational Complexity	104
		4.6.4	Communication Cost	104
	4.7	Nume	rical Results and Discussions	105
		4.7.1	Numerical Results for Sequential Algorithm	106
		4.7.2	Numerical Results for Parallel Algorithm	108

	4.8	Paralle	el Performance Evaluations for Parallel Algorithm	110
	4.9	Visual	ization	121
		4.9.1	Comparison with Local Region-Based Model and	121
			Global Region-Based Model	
		4.9.2	Comparison with a different value of $\sigma$	127
		4.9.3	Comparison with Difference Numerical Method	129
	4.10	Chapte	er Summary	136
CHAPTER	5	SEQU	JENTIAL AND PARALLEL ALGORITHMS	137
		FOR	FOURTH-ORDER MODIFIED GAC MODEL	
	5.1	Introd	uction	137
	5.2	Gover	ning Model	137
	5.3	Discre	tization of the Model	140
	5.4	Nume	rical Methods	150
		5.4.1	JB4 Method	151
		5.4.2	RBGS4 Method	151
		5.4.3	AGE4 Method	152
	5.5	Seque	ntial Algorithm	163
		5.5.1	Computational Molecule and Algorithms	163
		5.5.2	Flowchart	170
		5.5.3	Computational Complexity	172
	5.6	Paralle	el Algorithm	173
		5.6.1	Computational Molecule and Algorithms	173
		5.6.2	Flowchart	181
		5.6.3	Computational Complexity	183
		5.6.4	Communication Cost	183
	5.7	Nume	rical Results and Discussions	184
		5.7.1	Numerical Results for Sequential Algorithm	184
			5.7.1.1 Fourth-Order Modified GAC Model	185
			5.7.1.2 Fourth-Order vs Second-Order Modified	187

			GAC Model	
		5.7.2	Numerical Results for Parallel Algorithm	189
			5.7.2.1 Fourth-Order Modified GAC Model	189
			5.7.2.2 Fourth-Order vs Second-Order Modified	191
			GAC Model	
	5.8	Parall	el Performance Evaluations for Parallel Algorithm	193
		5.8.1	Fourth-Order Modified GAC Model	193
		5.8.2	Fourth-Order vs Second-Order Modified GAC	203
			Model	
	5.8	Visua	lization	209
		5.8.1	Comparison with Local Region-Based Model and	209
			Global Region-Based Model	
		5.8.2	Comparison with a different value of $\sigma$	215
		5.8.3	Comparison with Difference Numerical Method	216
		5.8.4	Comparison with Second-Order Modified GAC	224
			Model	
	5.9	Chapt	er Summary	227
CHAPTER	6	CON	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	229
	6.1	Introd	uction	229
	6.2	Concl	usions	230
	6.3	Recor	nmendation for Future Research	232
REFERENC	CES			235
LIST OF PU	JBLIC	ATION	S	247

# xii

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Summary of image segmentation techniques	3
Table 3.1	FDM discretization	49
Table 3.2	Value of parameters for segmenting image with intensity inhomogeneity	56
Table 4.1	Computational complexity of SAGE2, SRBGS2 and SJB2 per iteration	92
Table 4.2	Arithmetic operations per iteration in the parallel algorithm for the second-order modified GAC model	104
Table 4.3	Communication cost in the parallel algorithm for the second-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	105
Table 4.4	Value of parameters for the second-order modified GAC model	106
Table 4.5	The numerical results for the second-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	107
Table 4.6	The numerical results for the second-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	107
Table 4.7	The numerical results for the second-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	107
Table 4.8	The numerical results for the second-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	108
Table 4.9	Execution time for the second-order modified GAC model and its percentage with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	108
Table 4.10	PPE of the PAGE2, PRBGS2 and PJB2 methods based on execution time, speedup, efficiency, effectiveness and temporal performance with $m = 100 \times 100$	111
Table 4.11	PPE of the PAGE2, PRBGS2 and PJB2 methods based on execution time, speedup, efficiency, effectiveness and temporal performance with $m = 300 \times 300$	112
Table 4.12	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the	117

PAGE2 method

Table 4.13	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the PRBGS2 method	118
Table 4.14	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the PJB2 method	119
Table 4.15	Quantitative analysis based on Figure 4.21- Figure 4.24 for $m = 300 \times 300$	126
Table 5.1	Computational Complexity of SAGE4, SRBGS4 and SJB4 per iteration	172
Table 5.2	Arithmetic operations per iteration in the parallel algorithm for the fourth-order modified GAC model	183
Table 5.3	Communication cost in the parallel algorithm for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	184
Table 5.4	Value of parameters for the fourth-order modified GAC model	185
Table 5.5	The numerical results for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	185
Table 5.6	The numerical results for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	185
Table 5.7	The numerical results for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	186
Table 5.8	The numerical results for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	186
Table 5.9	The numerical results for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	187
Table 5.10	The numerical results for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$	187
Table 5.11	The numerical results for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	188
Table 5.12	The numerical results for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with $m = 300 \times 300$ and $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-8}$	188
Table 5.13	Execution time for the fourth-order modified GAC model and its percentage with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	189

Table 5.14	Execution time for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model and its percentage with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	191
Table 5.15	PPE of the PAGE4, PRBGS4 and PJB4 methods based on execution time, speedup, efficiency, effectiveness and temporal performance with $m = 100 \times 100$	194
Table 5.16	PPE of the PAGE4, PRBGS4 and PJB4 methods based on execution time, speedup, efficiency, effectiveness and temporal performance with $m = 300 \times 300$	195
Table 5.17	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the PAGE4 method	200
Table 5.18	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the PRBGS4 method	200
Table 5.19	Granularity for $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$ using the PJB4 method	201
Table 5.20	Quantitative analysis based on Figure 5.26 to Figure 5.29 for $m = 300 \times 300$	214
Table 5.21	Quantitative analysis based on the computed segmentation results from Figure 5.38 to Figure 5.41	226

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Scope of the research	9
Figure 2.1	An example of active contour model on U shape detection (Kumar et al., 2017)	17
Figure 2.2	An example of GVF-based active contour model on U shape detection (Kumar et al., 2017)	17
Figure 2.3	An example of level set method with contour changes positions (Huang, 2009)	19
Figure 2.4	A uniform grid spacing system	26
Figure 2.5	The grid for red and black grid	30
Figure 2.6	Classifications of computer architecture	35
Figure 2.7	Phases of designing the parallel algorithm	36
Figure 2.8	Parallel Command Windows	39
Figure 2.9	Speedup versus number of processors	40
Figure 3.1	Ground truth and segmented image	55
Figure 3.2	Segmentation on image with intensity inhomogeneity using the GAC model	57
Figure 3.3	Segmentation on image with intensity inhomogeneity using the local region-based model	58
Figure 3.4	Segmentation on image with intensity inhomogeneity using the global region-based model	59
Figure 3.5	Segmentation on image with intensity inhomogeneity using the GAC model based on the modified SPF function	60
Figure 4.1	The computational molecule for the SJB2 method in (a) <i>x</i> -direction and (b) <i>y</i> -direction	83
Figure 4.2	The computational molecule for the SRBGS2 method in <i>x</i> -direction	85
Figure 4.3	The computational molecule for the SRBGS2 method in y-	86

direction

Figure 4.4	The computational molecule for the SAGE2 method at level $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n+1$ in x-direction	88
Figure 4.5	The computational molecule for the SAGE2 method at level $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n+1$ in y-direction	89
Figure 4.6	Sequential algorithms for second-order modified GAC	91
Figure 4.7	Domain decomposition technique and communication between tasks	93
Figure 4.8	Domain decomposition for the PJB2 method in $x$ – direction	94
Figure 4.9	Domain decomposition for the PJB2 method in $y$ – direction	94
Figure 4.10	Domain decomposition for the PRBGS2 method in $x$ – direction	97
Figure 4.11	Domain decomposition for the PRBGS2 method in $y$ – direction	97
Figure 4.12	Domain decomposition for the PAGE2 method in $x$ – direction	100
Figure 4.13	Domain decomposition for the PAGE2 method in $y$ – direction	100
Figure 4.14	Parallel algorithm for the second-order modified GAC	103
Figure 4.15	Execution time for the second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	109
Figure 4.16	Speedup for the second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	113
Figure 4.17	Efficiency for the second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	114
Figure 4.18	Effectiveness for the second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	116
Figure 4.19	Temporal performance for the second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	117
Figure 4.20	Granularity for the second-order GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	120
Figure 4.21	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2006 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) second-order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and	122

	(c) local region-based model	
Figure 4.22	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2009 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) second- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	123
Figure 4.23	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2012 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) second- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	124
Figure 4.24	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2015 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) second- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	125
Figure 4.25	Finding optimum accuracy with the difference value of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	128
Figure 4.26	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using AGE2 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	129
Figure 4.27	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using RBGS2 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	130
Figure 4.28	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using JB2 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	131
Figure 4.29	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using AGE2 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	132
Figure 4.30	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using RBGS2 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	133
Figure 4.31	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using JB2 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	134
Figure 4.32	Land use and land cover in Nusajaya in four different years using the second-order modified GAC model	135
Figure 5.1	The computational molecule for the SJB4 method in (a) $x$ -direction and (b) $y$ -direction	164
Figure 5.2	The computational molecule for the SRBGS4 method in $x$ -direction	166
Figure 5.3	The computational molecule for the SRBGS4 method in y- direction	166
Figure 5.4	The computational molecule for the SAGE4 method at in <i>x</i> -direction	168

Figure 5.5	The computational molecule for the SAGE4 method at in <i>y</i> -direction	169
Figure 5.6	Sequential algorithm for the fourth-order modified GAC model	171
Figure 5.7	Domain decomposition for the PJB4 method in <i>x</i> -direction	174
Figure 5.8	Domain decomposition for the PJB4 method in y-direction	174
Figure 5.9	Domain decomposition for the PRBGS4 method in <i>x</i> -direction	176
Figure 5.10	Domain decomposition for the PRBGS4 method in y-direction	176
Figure 5.11	Domain decomposition for the PAGE4 method in <i>x</i> -direction	179
Figure 5.12	Domain decomposition for the PAGE4 method in y-direction	179
Figure 5.13	Parallel algorithm for the fourth-order modified GAC model	182
Figure 5.14	Execution time for the fourth-order GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	190
Figure 5.15	Execution time for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	192
Figure 5.16	Speedup for the fourth-order GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	196
Figure 5.17	Efficiency for the fourth-order GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	197
Figure 5.18	Effectiveness for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	198
Figure 5.19	Temporal performance for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	199
Figure 5.20	Granularity for the fourth-order modified GAC model with $m = 100 \times 100$ and $m = 300 \times 300$	202
Figure 5.21	Speedup for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	203
Figure 5.22	Efficiency for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	205
Figure 5.23	Effectiveness for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	206
Figure 5.24	Temporal performance for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m =$	207

300 × 300

Figure 5.25	Granularity for the fourth-order vs second-order modified GAC model with a) $m = 100 \times 100$ and b) $m = 300 \times 300$	208
Figure 5.26	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2006 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) fourth- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	210
Figure 5.27	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2009 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) fourth- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	211
Figure 5.28	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2012 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) fourth- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	212
Figure 5.29	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya in year 2012 with $m = 300 \times 300$ . (a) fourth- order modified GAC model, (b) global region-based model, and (c) local region-based model	213
Figure 5.30	Finding optimum accuracy with the difference value of $\sigma$	216
Figure 5.31	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using AGE4 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	217
Figure 5.32	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using RBGS4 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	218
Figure 5.33	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using JB4 method with $m = 100 \times 100$	219
Figure 5.34	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using AGE4 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	220
Figure 5.35	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using RBGS4 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	221
Figure 5.36	Successful edge-region segmentation of high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using JB4 method with $m = 300 \times 300$	222
Figure 5.37	Land Use and Land Cover in Nusajaya in four different years using the fourth-order modified GAC model	223
Figure 5.38	Successful edge-region segmentation from high-resolution	224

satellite images of Nusajaya for year 2006.

Figure 5.39	Successful satellite ima	edge-region ges of Nusajay	segmentation va for year 2009.	from	high-resolution	225
Figure 5.40	Successful satellite ima	edge-region ges of Nusajay	segmentation va for year 2012.	from	high-resolution	225
Figure 5.41	Successful satellite ima	edge-region ges of Nusajay	segmentation va for year 2015.	from	high-resolution	225

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	-	One-Dimensional
2D	-	Two-Dimensional
ADI	-	Alternating Direction Implicit
AGE	-	Alternating Group Explicit
AGE2	-	Second-Order Alternating Group Explicit
AGE4	-	Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit
AGE4-PENTA	-	Penta-Diagonal Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit
AOS	-	Additive Operator Splitting
API	-	Application Programming Interface
CPU	-	Central Processing Unit
CV	-	Chan Vese
ESF	-	Edge Stopping Function
FDM	-	Finite Difference Method
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
FVM	-	Finite Volume Method
GAC	-	Geodesic Active Contour
GE	-	Group Explicit
GGAC	-	Generalized Geodesic Active Contour
GSPF	-	Global Signed Pressure Force
IADE	-	Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit
JB	-	Jacobi
JB2	-	Second-Order Jacobi

JB4	-	Fourth-Order Jacobi
LAC	-	Localized Active Contour
LSE	-	Linear System of Equation
LU	-	Lower and Upper
ME	-	Maximum Error
MIMD	-	Multiple Instruction Multiple Data
MISD	-	Multiple Instruction Single Data
MPI	-	Message Passing Interface
MS	-	Mumford-Shah
ODE	-	Ordinary Differential Equation
PAGE2	-	Parallel Second-Order Alternating Group Explicit
PAGE4	-	Parallel Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit
РСТ	-	Parallel Computing Toolbox
PCW	-	Parallel Command Window
PDE	-	Partial Differential Equation
PJB2	-	Parallel Second-Order Jacobi
PJB4	-	Parallel Fourth-Order Jacobi
PPE	-	Parallel Performance Evaluations
PRBGS2	-	Parallel Second-Order Red Black Gauss-Seidel
PRBGS4	-	Parallel Fourth-Order Red Black Gauss-Seidel
PVM	-	Parallel Virtual Machine
RBGS	-	Red Black Gauss-Seidel
RBGS2	-	Second-Order Red Black Gauss-Seidel
RBGS4	-	Fourth-Order Red Black Gauss-Seidel
RE	-	Relative Error

RMSE	-	Root Mean Square Error
RSF	-	Region-Scalable Fitting
SAGE2	-	Sequential Second-Order Alternating Group Explicit
SAGE4	-	Sequential Fourth-Order Alternating Group Explicit
SBGFRLS	-	Selective Binary and Gaussian Filtering Regularized Level Set
SIMD	-	Single Instruction Multiple Data
SISD	-	Single Instruction Single Data
SJB2	-	Second-Order Sequential Jacobi
SJB4	-	Sequential Fourth-Order Jacobi
SLE	-	System of Linear Equations
SOR	-	Successive Over Relaxation
SPF	-	Signed Pressure Force
SRBGS2	-	Second-Order Sequential Red Black Gauss-Seidel
SRBGS4	-	Sequential Fourth-Order Red Black Gauss-Seidel

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$E_{\rm int}$	-	Internal Energy
E <sub>ext</sub>	-	External Energy
V	-	Positive Real Constant or Balloon Force
$\vec{N}$	-	Normal Vector of the Curve
$\Gamma_t$	-	Euclidean Heat Flow
$v \vec{N}$	-	Constant Velocity
g	-	Stopping Function
Î	-	Smoothed Version
I(x)	-	Image
Ω	-	Bounded Open Subset of R <sup>2</sup>
$G_{\sigma}$	-	Gaussian Kernel
$\sigma$	-	Standard Deviation
*	-	Convolution Operator
$H(\phi)$	-	Heaviside Function
$f_1$ , $f_2$	-	Weighted Averages of Image Intensities
$c_{1}, c_{2}$	-	Intensity Averages of Regions Inside or Outside
$ \nabla u $	-	Gradient of The Image

$spf_{GL}$	-	Global SPF Function
$spf_{LC}$	-	Local SPF Function
$spf_{LCGL}$	-	Modified SPF Function
и	-	Desired Image
τ	-	Time Step
$\Delta x, \Delta y$	-	Step Size in x and y Axis
M  imes N	-	Size of Image
$\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{k}ig(\Omegaig)$	-	Hilbert Space
р	-	Number of Processors
$\nabla^2$	-	Laplace Operator
$\nabla$	-	Gradient Operator
S(p)	-	Speedup
$T_0$	-	Total Time Spent
$T_1$	-	Execution Time on One Processor
$T_p$	-	Execution Time on Several Processor
E(p)	-	Efficiency
F(p)	-	Effectiveness
L(p)	-	Temporal Performance
G	-	Granularity

$T_{comp}$	-	Computation Time
T <sub>comm</sub>	-	Communication Time
$T_{startup}$	-	Start-up Time
$T_{startup}$	-	Data Time
$T_{idle}$	-	Idle Time
ε	-	Epsilon
$\omega_1, \omega_2$		Adaptive Filter

## LIST OF APPENDICES

## APPENDIX

## TITLE

PAGE

Appendix AGround truth of high resolution satellite images245

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Research Background

High-resolution satellite images provide enormous amounts of important and useful data, especially for people who are involved in urban planning, security, mapping, and environmental monitoring. However, the human eye is not sufficiently sensitive to detect small changes in satellite images, making manual inspection unsuitable for exploring hidden information in satellite images (Ganesan et al., 2015). This manual process is also a time-consuming and challenging way of retrieving information from huge quantities of data due to the complexity and abundant textures of high-resolution satellite images.

Image segmentation process is the most important and difficult task in image analysis (Gamba and Aldrighi, 2012; Ganesan and Rajini, 2014). Image segmentation is highly useful for detecting changes in land usage as well as road and building extraction. One part of the segmentation process is the partitioning process, in which a digital image is divided into several segments (pixels). Image segmentation can reduce image complexity to ease analysis process. More precisely, the aim of image segmentation process is to detect objects and image boundaries. The image segmentation process extracts an image into a set of contours.

There are several image segmentation techniques such as threshold-based segmentation, edge-based segmentation, region-based segmentation, and clustering-based segmentation. Threshold-based segmentation is one of the earliest and easiest methods in image segmentation and works as a tool to differentiate objects from the background. Some examples of threshold-based applications are to extract the region of mass in mammography (Makandar and Halalli, 2016), to detect glaucoma in

fundus images and to segment the optic disc and cup from a fundus image (Issac et al., 2015a, b).

Threshold-based segmentation works well in segmenting images with homogeneous intensity. Homogeneous intensity is defined by the difference in intensity between the object and the background. On the contrary, inhomogeneous intensity means that both the object and the background have a common intensity in the image (Al-amri et al., 2010). However, threshold-based segmentation produces mediocre results in segmenting images with high level of noise (Makandar and Halalli, 2016).

Later, edge-based segmentation technique is introduced for segmenting specific objects in an image and to overcome the limitation of the threshold-based segmentation. Edge-based segmentation works well with images that have clear edge information. However, this method produces poor outcomes in segmenting images with low gradient and weak edge information (Akram et al., 2015). This is because edge-based segmentation depends on the clear edge information or influenced by the visibility of edges in an image. Therefore, threshold-based and edge-based segmentations have similar limitations. Both segmentation techniques aim to detect objects that have clear and meaningful edge information, thus, when the image has unclear edge information or lots of noise, the segmentation will not be successful. To overcome this limitation, region-based segmentation method is introduced.

Region-based segmentation approach is better than edge-based segmentation because it occupies more pixels in an image (Saini et al., 2013). Compared to edgebased segmentation, region-based segmentation uses both pixel intensity and image gradient. On the other hand, edge-based segmentation uses gradient of the image only. However, region-based segmentation produces unsatisfactory results for images with intensity inhomogeneity. In other words, the region-based method does not produce a successful outcome when both the object and background have common intensities. Further research in image segmentation field results in the development of another type of image segmentation technique that is clustering-based segmentation. Clustering is a process by which objects or patterns are classified so that the samples are more similar in the same group than those in different groups (Choy et al., 2017; Ji et al., 2012). Clustering-based segmentation directly incorporates local spatial information into the segmentation process. The basic process of this technique is to replace the pixels with image patches. As a consequence, it provides an efficient way to reduce noise effects and sustain information when segmenting image. However, this technique is very sensitive to initialization condition of cluster number and center. Table 1.1 shows the advantages and disadvantages of each image segmentation technique.

Techniques	Characteristics	Advantages	Disadvantages	Methods/Models
Threshold- based segmentation	Differentiates objects from the background.	Prior information of the image is not needed and computationally inexpensive.	Highly noise sensitive and selection of threshold is crucial.	Otsu Threshold Method (Makandar and Halalli, 2016)
Edge-based segmentation	Only uses the gradient of the image.	Works for images with intensity inhomogeneity.	Gives very poor results for images with noise and weak edges.	Geodesic Active Contour (GAC) Model (Zubaidin, 2013)
Region- based segmentation	Uses both the intensity of the pixel and also the gradient of the image.	Performs better on images with weak or blurred edges.	Produces unsatisfactory results for images with intensity inhomogeneity.	Chan-Vese (CV) Active Contour Model (Korfiatis et al., 2015)

Table 1.1Summary of image segmentation techniques.

Techniques	Characteristic	Advantages	Disadvantages	Methods/Models
Clustering- based segmentation	Basically used in exploratory data analysis.	Eliminates noisy spots obtain more homogenous regions.	Sensitive to initialization condition of cluster number and center.	Deep Clustering (Hershey et al., 2015), K-Means Clustering (Deepika and Vishnu, 2016)

#### **1.2** Active Contour Model (ACM)

Another approach in image segmentation is Partial Differential Equation (PDE)-based segmentation technique. In image segmentation, PDE-based segmentation has been developed into an important tool in computer vision and has been applied to a wide variety of problems such as edge detection and region segmentation (Zubaidin, 2013). A well-known PDE-based segmentation is the active contour model (Zhang et al., 2017). Existing active contour models can be classified into edge-based (Liu et al., 2017; Pratondo et al., 2017) and region-based models (Soudani and Zagrouba, 2018; Liu et al., 2017).

The edge-based model and region-based model each has their own advantages and disadvantages as shown in Table 1.1. The choice between an edge-based model and region-based model depends on the variance of the images taken into account. Edge-based model creates an edge indicator that forces the development contour to object boundaries (Akram et al., 2013; Akram et al., 2014; Akram et al., 2015). However, this model has difficulty converging to the right boundaries when it works on images with extreme noise or weak edges. Geodesic Active Contour (GAC) model is one example of an edge-based model (Zhang et al., 2017; Shafiq et al., 2015).

Region-based model is much better than edge-based model at dealing with blurred edges. The region-based model is not sensitive and can efficiently detect object boundaries. A well-known region-based model is the Chan-Vese (C-V) model, which has been extensively used for image segmentation (Korfiatis et al., 2015; Mukherjee and Acton, 2015). Although in some aspects the region-based model is better than edge-based model, there are limitations when images are intensively inhomogeneous. In other words, both the object and background have common intensities.

In real images, intensity inhomogeneity can commonly appear especially in high-resolution satellite images. One effective way to work with images with intensity inhomogeneity is by taking the local information of the segmented image into account (Yuan et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2013). Li et al. (2008) proposed a Region-Scalable Fitting (RSF) active contour model that handles intensity inhomogeneity by using local intensity means as constraints. Due to its complicated procedures, the RSF model incurs high computational costs which limits the use of such method in practice.

To enhance the performance of the region-based model, several researchers have proposed a hybrid model that combined local and global image intensities (Yuan et al., 2017; Akram et al., 2017; Soomro et al., 2016). This hybrid model is known as the Selective Binary and Gaussian Filtering Regularized Level Set (SBGFRLS) model. The signed pressure force (SPF) function is used in SBGFRLS for statistical information both inside and outside contours. However, this model does not work with inhomogeneous images (Dong et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2012). Therefore, the combination of local and global intensity information can evade contour evolutions being captured by a local minimum (Wang et al., 2012). SBGFRLS is sensitive to contour initialization and intense noise. It is clear that the global-based model is unable to be implemented with inhomogeneous images. On the other hand, the local-based model is easily affected by initialization, which may cause leaking at object boundaries.

Numerous PDE-based segmentation algorithms have been recently proposed to solve the problems of image segmentation, noise removal, image enhancement, and image restoration in high-resolution satellite images. Many researchers have proved PDE-based segmentation to be very efficient through the use of evolving nonlinear partial differential equations (Aherrahrou and Tairi, 2015; Özdemir and Dizdaroğlu, 2016; Karasev et al., 2013). GAC model is one of the most-popular PDE-based tools for computer vision and is a powerful tool for edge detection. Nonlinear PDEs are now generally used for edge segmentation, edge detection, and image denoising. However, the GAC model also has its drawbacks, as nonlinearity will cause bad implementation. To linearize GAC model, Additive Operator Splitting (AOS) scheme is incorporated into the model (Li et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2014). Thus, the AOS numerical scheme is unconditionally stable for image processing problems.

Many authors have recently proposed fourth-order PDE analogues for edge detection and image detection. There are several reasons to consider fourth-order PDEs. First, they are much faster than second-order PDE when working with parallel executions. Second, it is possible to have schemes that include curvature effects in their dynamics, making them more efficient than second-order PDE (Barbu, 2015; 2016; Tan et al., 2013). Only a few segmentation techniques researchers have solved the segmentation model using numerical methods. Finite Element (FEM), Finite Volume (FVM), and Finite Difference Methods (FDM) are some alternative methods for PDE linearization (Meister, 2016; Liu et al., 2015; Chernogorova and Valkov, 2011). PDE can be solved using finite difference approaches that approximate solutions at a finite number of points that are usually arranged in a regular grid. Due to this, the mathematical model in this research is solved using FDM. Further details on FDM are discussed in Chapter 2.

Large sparse data for a linear system of equations (LSE) is obtained from FDM for simulation and visualization. In the existing image segmentation work on high-resolution satellite images, little attention has been paid to computational costs. Huge digital images may require a large amount of calculation. However, using only one CPU will take too much execution time to compute a solution. Therefore, to speed up computation, parallelization is implemented to solve large sparse data in large digital images. The parallel algorithm is implemented on a parallel computing toolbox. The sequential and parallel algorithms are developed using Matlab R2015a software in Windows 7 Ultimate on Intel (R) Core (TM) i5-3230M @ 2.60GHz CPU

with 8 GB RAM. A detailed description on the parallel algorithm design methodology is included and discussed in Chapter 2.

#### **1.3 Problem Statement**

Existing mathematical models for image segmentation that use GAC model with classical edge stopping function gives very poor results for images with extensive noise and weak edges. The C-V model with local region-based information also produces unsatisfactory result for images with intensity inhomogeneity. The second problem is that some researchers only solved the image segmentation model statistically and analytically. The third problem is the challenge in acquiring a good balance between efficiency and accuracy for large-scale high-resolution satellite images. Despite achieving good performance in many scenarios, the second-order GAC model still faces many problems in maintaining its efficiency and accuracy in large-scale cases. The fourth problem is that large sparse digital data images are almost impossible to solve and are highly time-consuming. Execution time increases dramatically due to the high computation of intensities both inside and outside the contour.

Based on these limitations, the aim of this research is to enhance the GAC model with a modification on the Signed Pressure Force (SPF) function obtained from Zhang et al., (2011) and Reddy and Zaheeruddin, (2016). Enhancement of the GAC model will improve image quality in terms of resolution and desired detection efficiency. The proposed model is therefore capable of segmenting images with intense noise, weak edges, and inhomogeneity. Thereafter, the mathematical model is discretized using central FDM to obtain the results. This thesis developed algorithms for higher-order model, whose accuracies are improved based on higher-order FDM. The Jacobi (JB), Red Black Gauss-Seidel (RBGS), and Alternating Group Explicit (AGE) methods are used to solve LSE. Since this thesis deals with large digital data images, computational costs can be high, which renders its utilization for time-critical applications problematic despite the advantages of the GAC model. Therefore, parallelization is used to reduce computational time and improve performance.

#### **1.4 Research Objective**

The research objectives are as follows:

- a) To enhance the GAC model with a modification of the Signed Pressure Force (SPF) function obtained from Zhang et al., (2011) and Reddy and Zaheeruddin, (2016).
- b) To formulate the second-order modified GAC model in (a) for an extension to a fourth-order modified GAC model, which is discretized using FDM to approximate mathematical model solutions.
- c) To solve the LSE in (b) using AGE, RBGS, and JB methods.
- d) To develop sequential and parallel algorithms from (c) using Matlab R2015a software and MatlabMPI based on shared memory architecture.
- e) To analyze the results in (d) based on the numerical results for sequential algorithms and PPE for the parallel algorithm.

#### 1.5 Research Scope

This research focuses on detecting the land-use changes on high-resolution satellite images of Nusajaya using the modified GAC model. Based on the limitations of the existing edge stopping function, a modification of the SPF function is proposed for the GAC model that incorporates the advantages from both global region-based and local-region based models. The mathematical model is discretized using FDM based on a central difference formula. The numerical solution that supported discretization is focused on AGE, RBGS, and JB methods. The numerical solution is solved using both sequential and parallel algorithms. The sequential algorithm is implemented in Matlab software. Since high-resolution satellite images involve large sparse algorithms and large digital data, the parallel algorithm is applied on standard parallel processing techniques and Message Passing Interface (MPI) implementations in Matlab. The scope of this research is illustrated in Figure 1.1 where the highlighted component represents the area focused in this thesis.



Figure 1.1 Scope of the research

#### **1.6 Research Significance**

The first significance of this research is the modification of the SPF function for the GAC model as an alternative method for simulating and visualizing the edgeregion segmentation of high-resolution satellite images. The second significance of this thesis is the extension of the modified GAC model to a fourth-order PDE for high-resolution satellite image segmentation to improve partial differential equation accuracy. The third significance is the implementation of the AGE, RBGS and JB numerical methods to solve the modified GAC model. The fourth significance is the use of parallel implementation to solve large sparse data for the modified GAC model on a parallel computing system, reducing computational time and increasing performance. The numerical results are measured to prove that the AGE method is the best iterative method. It is also found that the fourth-order modified GAC model has better accuracy than the second-order modified GAC model. In addition, the parallel algorithm performed better than the sequential algorithm. Furthermore, this research is of great significance in ensuring sustainable land development.

#### 1.7 Thesis Organization

This thesis presents two segmentation models for high-resolution satellite images using GAC model to address pertinent issues in satellite images such as weak edges and intensity inhomogeneity. Overall, this thesis contains six chapters. Chapter 1 describes the research problem of using image segmentation techniques on highresolution satellite images. This chapter also discusses the research objectives, scope, and significance of the research.

Chapter 2 reviews past and current literature related to the GAC image segmentation tool. The review reveals the strengths and weaknesses found in each of the segmentation models. The chapter also provides intensive literature coverage on FDM and a basic scheme for solving PDE. JB, RBGS, and AGE numerical methods are also discussed in this chapter. The chapter then explains the numerical analysis based on convergence, consistency, stability, numerical error, and computational complexity. The parallel performance evaluation is based on speedup, efficiency, effectiveness, temporal performance, and granularity. This chapter also contains an overview of the parallel computing toolbox on Matlab. This chapter ends with summary on the GAC model in producing satisfactory segmentation result for satellite images.

Chapter 3 gives an overview of the methodology of the two proposed models that are able to improve the performance of the classical GAC model in segmenting more challenging satellite images. The chapter provides the formulation of the modified SPF function. Simulation of the segmentation models is analyzed and shown through graphical representations using Matlab R2015a software in Windows 7 Ultimate on Intel (R) Core (TM) i5-3230M @ 2.60GHz CPU with 8 GB RAM. Chapter 3 ends with a summary of each proposed method.

Chapter 4 introduces the first proposed second-order modified GAC model that improves the classical GAC model using modified SPF function. This chapter contains the governing process of the mathematical model, numerical results, and parallel performance evaluations of the sequential and parallel algorithms for the second-order modified GAC model. The LSE obtained from FDM is solved using the SAGE2, SRBGS2, and SJB2 numerical methods. Sequential performance is based on execution time, number of iterations, maximum error, and root mean square error (RMSE). These numerical methods are parallelized to improve the performance of the sequential algorithm. The parallel performance evaluations of the PAGE2, PRBGS2, and PJB2 methods are measured based on speedup, efficiency, effectiveness, temporal performance, and granularity.

Chapter 5 describes the governing process of the proposed fourth-order modified GAC model to enhance the capability and accuracy of the second-order modified GAC model for segmenting satellite images in the presence of high level of noise and high intensity inhomogeneity. The fourth-order modified GAC model is discretized using FDM with a fourth-order central difference formula to create a set of Penta-diagonal LSE. The LSE is solved using the SAGE4, SRBGS4, and SJB4 methods. The numerical results are compared based on execution time, number of iterations, maximum error, and RMSE. The parallel performance evaluations of the PAGE4, PRBGS4, and PJB4 methods are reported accordingly. To support the segmentation results, quantitative evaluation is conducted which is based on accuracy metric to measure the percentage of accuracy of the segmentation models.

Chapter 6 concludes the research and provides suggestions for future works. It mainly highlights the outcomes of the research in terms of its aim and objectives. The chapter also gives some recommendations for future research.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbas, A. A., Guo, X., Tan, W. H., & Jalab, H. A. (2014). Combined Spline and Bspline for an Improved Automatic Skin Lesion Segmentation in Dermoscopic Images Using Optimal Color Channel. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 38(8), 80.
- Abd Hanan, S. (2017). Parallel Algorithm of Navier-Stokes Model for Magnetic Nanoparticles Drug Delivery System on Distributed Parallel Computing System. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Aherrahrou, N., & Tairi, H. (2015). PDE based scheme for multi-modal medical image watermarking. *BioMedical Engineering Online*, *14*(1), 1–19.
- Airouche, M., Bentabet, L. and Zelmat, M. (2009). Image segmentation using active contour model and level set method applied to detect oil spills. *In Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering*, 1–3.
- Akram, F., Garcia, M. A., & Puig, D. (2017). Active contours driven by local and global fitted image models for image segmentation robust to intensity inhomogeneity. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 12).
- Akram, F., Kim, J. H., & Choi, K. N. (2013). Active contour method with locally computed signed pressure force function: An application to brain MR image segmentation. *Proceedings - 2013 7th International Conference on Image and Graphics, ICIG 2013*, 154–159.
- Akram, F., Kim, J. H., Lee, C. G., & Choi, K. N. (2015). Segmentation of regions of interest using active contours with SPF function. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2015.
- Akram, F., Kim, J. H., Lim, H. U., & Choi, K. N. (2014). Segmentation of intensity inhomogeneous brain MR images using active contours. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2014.
- Al-amri, S. S., Kalyankar, N. V., & D., K. S. (2010). Image Segmentation by Using Threshold Techniques.
- Al Sharif, S. M. S., Deriche, M., Maalej, N., & El Ferik, S. (2014). A Fast Geodesic Active Contour Model for Medical Image Segmentation Using Prior Analysis and Wavelets. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(2), 1017–1037.
- Alias N, Mustaffa MN, Saipol HF, Ghani A, C. A. (2014). The Chronology of

Geographic Information System Image Processing: A Case Study for Land Changes at Nusajaya, Iskandar Malaysia. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(2), 435– 438.

- Alias, N., Anwar, R., Teh, C. R. C., Satam, N., Hamzah, N., Ghaffar, Z. S. A., Islam, M. R. (2011). Performance evaluation of multidimensional parabolic type problems on distributed computing systems. *Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications*, 103–110.
- Alias, N., & Islam, R. (2013). Parallel AGE solver of multidimensional PDE modeling for Thermal Control of Laser Beam on Cylindrical Glass.
- Alias, N., Mustaffa, M. N., Saipo, H. F. S., & Ghani, A. C. A. (2014). High performance large sparse PDEs with parabolic and elliptic types using AGE method on DPCS. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(10–12), 1956–1960.
- Alias, N., Saipol, H. F. S., Ghani, A. C. A., & Mustaffa, M. N. (2014). Parallel performance comparison of alternating group explicit method between parallel virtual machine and matlab distributed computing for solving large sparse partial differential equations. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(2), 477–482.
- Alias, N., Salleh Sahimi Mohamed, D., Rahman Abdullah Jabatan Matematik, P., Sains, F., Jabatan Matematik dan Sains, J., Tenaga Nasional, U., ... Sel, B. (2002). Parallel Algorithms On Some Numerical Techniques Using PVM Platform On A Cluster Of Workstations. In *Citeseer*.
- Aminikhah, H., & Hemmatnezhad, M. (2010). An efficient method for quadratic Riccati differential equation. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 15(4), 835–839.
- Barbu, T. (2015). PDE-based restoration model using nonlinear second and fourth order diffUSIONS. Proceedings of the Romanian Academy Series A -Mathematics Physics Technical Sciences Information Science, 16(2), 138–146.
- Barbu, T. (2016). A nonlinear fourth-order PDE-based image denoising technique. International Conference on Systems, Signals, and Image Processing, 2016-June, 1–4.
- Byreddy, D. R., & Raghunadh, M. (2014). An application of geometric active contour in bio-medical engineering. 2014 International Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communication and Information Technology Applications (CSCITA), 322–326.
- Cao, G., & Yang, X. (2007). Man-made object detection in aerial images using

multi-stage level set evolution. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28(8), 1747–1757.

- Caselles, Viccnt, Catt, F., Coil, T., & Dibos, F. (1993). A geometric model for active contours in image processing. *October*, *31*, 1–31.
- Caselles, Vicent, Kimmel, R., & Sapiro, G. (1997). Geodesic Active Contours. International Journal of Computer Vision, 22(1), 61–79.
- Chan, T. F., & Vese, L. A. (2001). Active contours without edges. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 10(2), 266–277.
- Chenyang Xu, & Prince, J. L. (1998). Snakes, shapes, and gradient vector flow. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 7(3), 359–369.
- Chernogorova, T., & Valkov, R. (2011). Finite volume difference scheme for a degenerate parabolic equation in the zero-coupon bond pricing. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, *54*(11–12), 2659–2671.
- Choy, S. K., Lam, S. Y., Yu, K. W., Lee, W. Y., & Leung, K. T. (2017). Fuzzy model-based clustering and its application in image segmentation. *Pattern Recognition*, 68, 141–157.
- Ciecholewski, M. (2016). An edge-based active contour model using an inflation/deflation force with a damping coefficient. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 44, 22–36.
- Deepika, N. P., & Vishnu, K. (2016). Different techniques for satellite image segmentation. IC-GET 2015 - Proceedings of 2015 Online International Conference on Green Engineering and Technologies, 1–6.
- Derraz, F., Beladgham, M., & Khelif, M. (2004). Application of active contour models in medical image segmentation. *International Conference on Information Technology: Coding and Computing*, 2004. Proceedings. ITCC 2004., 2, 675-681 Vol.2.
- Dong, F., Chen, Z., & Wang, J. (2013). A new level set method for inhomogeneous image segmentation. *Image and Vision Computing*, 31(10), 809–822.
- Elbakary, M. I., & Iftekharuddin, K. M. (2014). Shadow detection of man-made buildings in high-resolution panchromatic satellite images. *IEEE Transactions* on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52(9), 5374–5386.

Evans, L. (2010). Partial Differential Equations, 2 nd.

Flynn, M. (2011). Flynn's Taxonomy In Padua, D. (Ed.) Encyclopaedia of Parallel Computing. London: Springer.

- Fornberg, B., & Lehto, E. (2011). Stabilization of RBF-generated finite difference methods for convective PDEs. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 230(6), 2270–2285.
- Foster, I. (1996). Compositional Parallel Programming Languages. ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst., 18(4), 454–476.
- Gamba, P., & Aldrighi, M. (2012). SAR data classification of urban areas by means of segmentation techniques and ancillary optical data. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *5*(4), 1140–1148.
- Ganesan, P., & Rajini, V. (2014). YIQ color space based satellite image segmentation using modified FCM clustering and histogram equalization. 2014 International Conference on Advances in Electrical Engineering, ICAEE 2014, 1–5.
- Ganesan, P., Rajini, V., Sathish, B. S., Kalist, V., & Khamar Basha, S. K. (2015). Satellite image segmentation based on YCbCr color space. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(1), 35–41.
- Goldenberg, R., Kimmel, R., Rivlin, E., & Rudzsky, M. (2001). Fast geodesic active contours. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, *10*(10), 1467–1475.
- Han, B., & Wu, Y. (2017). A novel active contour model based on modified symmetric cross entropy for remote sensing river image segmentation. *Pattern Recognition*, 67, 396–409.
- Hershey, J. R., Roux, J. Le, & Chen, Z. (n.d.). Deep clustering: Discriminative embeddings for segmentation and separation arXiv: 1508.04306v1 [cs. NE] 18 Aug 2015.1–10.
- Hybrid, C. H.-2009 N. I. C. on, & 2009, undefined. (n.d.). Shape-based level set method for image segmentation. *Ieeexplore.Ieee.Org*.
- Issac, A., Partha Sarathi, M., & Dutta, M. K. (2015). An adaptive threshold based image processing technique for improved glaucoma detection and classification. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 122(2), 229–244.
- Issac, A., Parthasarthi, M., & Dutta, M. K. (2015). An adaptive threshold based algorithm for optic disc and cup segmentation in fundus images. 2nd International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks, SPIN 2015, (c), 143–147.
- Ji, Z., Xia, Y., Chen, Q., Sun, Q., Xia, D., & Feng, D. D. (2012). Fuzzy c-means clustering with weighted image patch for image segmentation. *Applied Soft*

Computing Journal, 12(6), 1659–1667.

- Jin, Y., Jin, G., & Li, J. (2011). A class of high-precision finite difference parallel algorithms for convection equations. *Journal of Convergence Information Technology*, 6(1), 79–82.
- Karasev, P., Kolesov, I., Fritscher, K., Vela, P., Mitchell, P., & Tannenbaum, A. (2013). Interactive medical image segmentation using PDE control of active contours. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 32(11), 2127–2139.
- Kass, M., Witkin, A., & Terzopoulos, D. (1988). Snakes: Active contour models. International Journal of Computer Vision, 1(4), 321–331.
- Khare, Manish, and R. K. S. (2012). Level set method for segmentation of medical images without reinitialization. *Ournal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*, 2(2), 158–167.
- Kichenassamy, S., Kumar, A., Olver, P., Tannenbaum, A., & Yezzi, A. (1996). Conformal curvature flows: From phase transitions to active vision. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 134(3), 275–301.
- Korfiatis, V. C., Asvestas, P. A., & Matsopoulos, G. K. (2015). Automatic local parameterization of the Chan Vese active contour model's force coefficients using edge information. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 29, 71–78.
- Kühne, G., Weickert, J., Beier, M., & Effelsberg, W. (2002). Fast Implicit Active Contour Models. In L. Van Gool (Ed.), *Pattern Recognition* (pp. 133–140). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Kumar, P., Lewis, P., & McCarthy, T. (2017). The potential of active contour models in extracting road edges from mobile laser scanning data. *Infrastructures*, 2(3)(9).
- Kwiatkowski, J. (2002). Evaluation of Parallel Programs by Measurement of Its Granularity. In R. Wyrzykowski, J. Dongarra, M. Paprzycki, & J. Waśniewski (Eds.), *Parallel Processing and Applied Mathematics* (pp. 145–153). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Li, C., Kao, C. Y., Gore, J. C., & Ding, Z. (2008). Minimization of region-scalable fitting energy for image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 17(10), 1940–1949.
- Li, Chunming, Huang, R., Ding, Z., Gatenby, J. C., Metaxas, D. N., & Gore, J. C. (2011). A level set method for image segmentation in the presence of intensity

inhomogeneities with application to MRI. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 20(7), 2007–2016.

- Li, J., Li, S., Wang, X., & Zang, R. (2016). A novel selective segmentation model for images with intensity inhomogeneity. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC*, 2016-Augus, 4166–4171.
- Li, N., Steiner, J., & Tang, S. (1994). Convergence and stability analysis of an explicit finite difference method for 2-dimensional reaction-diffusion equations. *The Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series B. Applied Mathematics*, 36(2), 234–241.
- Li, Z., & Chen, J. (2015). Superpixel segmentation using Linear Spectral Clustering. Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 07-12-June, 1356–1363.
- Liasis, G., & Stavrou, S. (2016). Building extraction in satellite images using active contours and colour features. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 37(5), 1127–1153.
- Liu, C., Liu, W., & Xing, W. (2017). An improved edge-based level set method combining local regional fitting information for noisy image segmentation. *Signal Processing*, 130, 12–21.
- Liu, L., Zeng, L., Shen, K., & Luan, X. (2013). Exploiting local intensity information in Chan-Vese model for noisy image segmentation. *Signal Processing*, 93(9), 2709–2721.
- Liu, S., & Peng, Y. (2012). A local region-based ChanVese model for image segmentation. *Pattern Recognition*, 45(7), 2769–2779.
- Liu, X., Ying, Z., & Qiu, S. (2011). A fourth-order partial differential equations method of noise removal. *Proceedings - 4th International Congress on Image* and Signal Processing, CISP 2011, 2(3), 641–645.
- Liu, Y., Du, Y., Li, H., He, S., & Gao, W. (2015). Finite difference/finite element method for a nonlinear time-fractional fourth-order reaction-diffusion problem. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 70(4), 573–591.
- Luo, Y. G., Ko, J. K., Shi, L., Guan, Y., Li, L., Qin, J., ... Wang, D. (2015). Myocardial Iron Loading Assessment by Automatic Left Ventricle Segmentation with Morphological Operations and Geodesic Active Contour on T2\* images. *Scientific Reports*, 5(July), 1–10.
- Maarir, A., & Bouikhalene, B. (2016). Roads Detection from Satellite Images Based

on Active Contour Model and Distance Transform. *Proceedings - Computer Graphics, Imaging and Visualization: New Techniques and Trends, CGiV 2016,* 94–98.

- Makandar, A., & Halalli, B. (2016). Threshold Based Segmentation Technique for Mass Detection in Mammography. *Journal of Computers*, 11(6), 472–478.
- Malladi, R., Sethian, J. A., & Vemuri, B. C. (1994). Evolutionary fronts for topology-independent shape modeling and recovery.
- Meister, O. (2016). Sierpinski Curves for Parallel Adaptive Mesh Refinement in Finite Element and Finite Volume Methods.
- Mukherjee, S., & Acton, S. T. (2015). Region based segmentation in presence of intensity inhomogeneity using legendre polynomials. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 22(3), 298–302.
- Mumford, D., & Shah, J. (1989). Optimal approximations by piecewise smooth functions and associated variational problems. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 42(5), 577–685.
- Mustaffa, M. N., Alias, N., & Mustapha, F. (2017). Some numerical methods for solving geodesic active contour model on image segmentation process. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 13(4–1), 408–411.
- Noye, J. (1984). Finite Difference Techniques for Partial Differential Equations. In J. Noye (Ed.), *Computational Techniques for Differentail Equations* (pp. 95–354).
- Osher, S., & Sethian, J. A. (1988). Fronts propagating with curvature-dependent speed: Algorithms based on Hamilton-Jacobi formulations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 79(1), 12–49.
- Osher, S., Solé, A., & Vese, L. (2003). Image Decomposition and Restoration Using Total Variation Minimization and the H1. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, 1(3), 349–370.
- Özdemir, S., & Dizdaroğlu, B. (2016). PDEs-based Gaussian noise removal from color images. *ELECO 2015 9th International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering*, 246–250.
- Perona, P., & Malik, J. (1990). Scale-Space and Edge Detection Using Anisotropic Diffusion. In IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE (Vol. 12). Retrieved from
- Pradham, P., Younan, N. H., & King, R. L. (2008). 16 Concepts of image fusion in remote sensing applications. In T. Stathaki (Ed.), *Image Fusion* (pp. 393–428).

- Pratondo, A., Chui, C. K., & Ong, S. H. (2017). Integrating machine learning with region-based active contour models in medical image segmentation. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 43(1), 1–9.
- Reddy VL, Z. S. (2016). (2016). Active Contours With New Signed Pressure Force Function For Echocardiographic Image Segmentation. (4), 3674–3678.
- Ryan, R., Baldridge, B., Schowengerdt, R. A., Choi, T., Helder, D. L., & Blonski, S. (2003). IKONOS spatial resolution and image interpretability characterization. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 88(1), 37–52.
- Saad, Y. (2001). Parallel Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems. In D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, & S. Reich (Eds.), *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and their Applications* (pp. 423–440).
- Saini, B., Engineering, G. S.-I. J. of R. in, & 2013, undefined. (n.d.). Comparative analysis of edge based and region based active contour using level sets and its application on CT images. *Academia.Edu*.
- Saipol, H. F. S. (2017). Parallelization of Multidimensional Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equation on Détente Instantanée Contrôlée Dehydration Proces. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Shafiq, M., Wang, Z., Conference, G. A.-2015 I. G., & 2015, undefined. (n.d.). Seismic interpretation of migrated data using edge-based geodesic active contours. *Ieeexplore.Ieee.Org.* Retrieved from
- Shi, J., Wu, J., Paul, A., Jiao, L., & Gong, M. (2014). A partition-based active contour model incorporating local information for image segmentation. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- Soomro, S., Akram, F., Kim, J. H., Soomro, T. A., & Choi, K. N. (2016). Active Contours Using Additive Local and Global Intensity Fitting Models for Intensity Inhomogeneous Image Segmentation. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2016.
- Soudani, A., & Zagrouba, E. (2018). Adaptive region based active contour model for image segmentation. Proceedings of IEEE/ACS International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications, AICCSA, 2017-Octob, 717–724.
- Sulaiman, H., Ibrahim, A., & Alias, N. (2011). Segmentation of tumor in digital mammograms using wavelet transform modulus maxima on a low cost parallel computing system. *IFMBE Proceedings*, 35 *IFMBE*, 720–723.
- Tan, X., Zeng, W., & Lu, X. (2013). Non-linear fourth-order telegraph-diffusion

equation for noise removal. *IET Image Processing*, 7(4), 335–342.

Teh, C. R. C. (2009). Numerical Method.

- Thomas, J. W. (2013). *Numerical partial differential equations: finite difference methods*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Uzezi, E. S., & Kparo, G. (2011). Domain Decomposition of the Fourth-Order AGE Method on Heat Equation with MPI. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 32(10), 25–38.
- Wang, H., Lu, Z., Zhao, H., & Feng, H. (2016). Application of parallel computing in robust optimization design using MATLAB. Proceedings - 5th International Conference on Instrumentation and Measurement, Computer, Communication, and Control, IMCCC 2015, 1228–1231.
- Wang, L., He, L., Mishra, A., & Li, C. (2009). Active contours driven by local Gaussian distribution fitting energy. *Signal Processing*, 89(12), 2435–2447.
- Wang, P., Sun, K., & Chen, Z. (2012). Local and global intensity information integrated geodesic model for image segmentation. *Proceedings - 2012 International Conference on Computer Science and Electronics Engineering, ICCSEE 2012*, 2(1), 129–132.
- You, Y. L., & Kaveh, M. (2000). Fourth-order partial differential equations for noise removal. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 9(10), 1723–1730.
- Yu, J., Guo, H., Li, C., Lu, J., & Jiang, C. (2016). A waterline extraction method from remote sensing image based on quad-tree and multiple active contour model. *Cehui Xuebao/Acta Geodaetica et Cartographica Sinica*, 45(9), 1104– 1114.
- Yu, Y., Li, S., Wang, X., & Man, L. (2014). GAC-based color image selective segmentation under geometrical constraints. *International Conference on Signal Processing Proceedings, ICSP, 2015-Janua*(October), 1127–1132.
- Yuan, J., Wang, J., & Liu, L. (2014). Active contours driven by local intensity and local gradient fitting energies. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 28(3).
- Yuan, S., Monkam, P., Li, S., Song, H., & Zhang, F. (2017). Active contour model via local and global intensity information for image segmentation. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC*, 5618–5623.
- Yun, J., Li, P., & Wen, Y. (2011). Contour segmentation using an improved GAC model. 2011 International Conference on Multimedia Technology, ICMT 2011,

508-511.

- Zhang, H., Liu, J., Zhu, Z., & Li, H. (2011). An automated and simple method for brain MR image extraction. *BioMedical Engineering Online*, *10*, 1–12.
- Zhang, Ling, Peng, X., Li, G., & Li, H. (2017). A novel active contour model for image segmentation using local and global region-based information. *Machine Vision and Applications*, 28(1–2), 75–89.
- Zhang, Liqiang, Lyu, C., Wang, L., Zheng, J., Luo, W., & Ma, K. (2013). Parallelized genetic identification of the thermal-electrochemical model for lithium-ion battery. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 2013.
- Zubaidin, Z. B. M. (2013). Alternating Group Explicit Method for Edge Detection on Brain and Breast Tumor Images. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

#### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

#### **Index Journal**

- Alias, N., Mustaffa, M. N., Saipol, H. F. S., Ghani, A., & Che, A. (2014). The Chronology of Geographic Information System Image Processing: A Case Study for Land Changes at Nusajaya, Iskandar Malaysia. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(2), 435-438. (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science) Q2
- Alias, N., Mustaffa, M. N., Saipol, H. F. S., & Ghani, A. C. A. (2014). High Performance Large Sparse PDEs with Parabolic and Elliptic Types Using AGE Method on DPCS. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(10-12), 1956-1960. (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science) Q2
- Alias, N., Saipol, H. F. S., Ghani, A., Che, A., & Mustaffa, M. N. (2014). Parallel Performance Comparison of Alternating Group Explicit Method Between Parallel Virtual Machine and Matlab Distributed Computing for Solving Large Sparse Partial Differential Equations. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(2), 477-482. (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science) Q2
- Alias, N., Mokhtar, H., San, Y., Saipol, H. F. S., Mustaffa, M. N., Said, N. M., & Nor, N. M. (2014). High speed computation of muscle stress problem using crack propagation modelling on DPCs platform. *Advanced Science Letters*, 20(10-11), 1961-1966. (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science) Q2
- Suana, C. L., Alias, N., Januaric, R., Mustaffa, M. N, A. A., Kamal, M. H. A., & Hayat, I. (2016). Mathematical Modeling for Contour Identification Based on Medicinal Leaves and GIS Images. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(12-2), 49-55. (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science)
- Alias, N., Mohsin, H. M., Mustaffa, M. N., Saimi, S. H. M., & Reyaz, R. (2016). Parallel Artificial Neural Network Approaches for Detecting the Behaviour of Eye Movement Using Cuda Software on Heterogeneous CPU-GPU Systems. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(12-2). (Indexed by Scopus, Web of Science)

 Alias, N., Alwesabi, Y., Mustaffa, M. N., & Al-Rahmi, W. M. (2017). 3D Medical Image Visualization and VE Model to Determine the Pathology Zone of Tumor Evidence-Based Using Some Numerical Methods and Simulation. *Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology*, 95(19). (Scopus Indexed)

#### Non Index Journal

 Mustaffa, M. N., Alias, N., & Mustapha, F. (2017). Some Numerical Methods for Solving Geodesic Active Contour Model on Image Segmentation Process. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 13(4-1), 408-411. (Indexed by Clarivate Analytics (formerly Thomson Reuters) and Google Scholar)