

OPTIMIZED LOCATION PREDICTION HANDOVER ALGORITHM FOR LONG
TERM EVOLUTION ADVANCED (LTE-A) NETWORK

ARFAH BINTI AHMAD HASBOLLAH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ABSTRAK

Permintaan untuk kelancaran sambungan internet memerlukan penyediaan komunikasi jalur lebar tanpa wayar walaupun dalam kenderaan yang bergerak pantas. Salah satu penyelesaian untuk mengatasi pertumbuhan peranti mudah alih tanpa wayar adalah penggunaan sel kecil. Dalam usaha untuk menyediakan kualiti perkhidmatan (QoS) untuk pengguna berkelajuan tinggi, penyerahan adalah salah satu daripada unsur penting dalam rangkaian tanpa wayar. Walau bagaimanapun, pembinaan yang tidak terkawal terhadap pusat akses (AP) dalam rangkaian sel kecil yang meningkat terutama di kawasan bandar mencabar pengurusan penyerahan antara kenderaan. Di samping itu, kenderaan hanya mempunyai masa yang terhad apabila ia melalui kawasan pertindihan di dalam sel kecil. Ia boleh menyebabkan penyerahan kerap berlaku dan penambahan bilangan penyerahan yang tidak perlu kerana perubahan pergerakan kenderaan. Objektif utama tesis ini adalah untuk membangunkan algoritma penyerahan cekap yang dapat memperuntukkan sejumlah penyerahan yang sesuai dalam masa yang singkat. Kerja yang dicadangkan ialah algoritma penyerahan ramalan yang memastikan dapat memberi QoS yang tinggi dan menyediakan sumber penyerahan terlebih dahulu. Ramalan lokasi kenderaan (VLP) menggunakan rantaian Markov dibangunkan untuk meramalkan pergerakan pengguna berdasarkan jejak data pengguna yang sebenar. Algoritma penyerahan ramalan lokasi kenderaan (VLP-HA) dibangunkan berdasarkan hasil ramalan dari VLP. Manakala peningkatan algoritma penyerahan ramalan lokasi kenderaan (OVLP-HA) adalah peningkatan VLP-HA dengan strategi keputusan berdasarkan pemberat penghantaran optimum. Prestasi ramalan dinilai dari segi kadar ketepatan ramalan bagi VLP. Manakala prestasi penyerahan untuk VLP-HA dan OVLP-HA dinilai berdasarkan jumlah kesan ping-pong dan kadar pemprosesan data. Keputusan ketepatan ramalan menunjukkan bahawa VLP telah meningkatkan kadar ketepatan masing-masing sebanyak 32% dan 5% berbanding teknik ramalan berasaskan tingkah laku manusia (HBP) dan ramalan lokasi menggunakan penapis Kalman (LPKF). Kemudian, ramalan dari VLP digunakan dalam VLP-HA. Simulasi dilakukan dalam tiga tahap kepadatan trafik untuk mencerminkan senario sebenar samada di kawasan bandar dan luar bandar. Keputusan menunjukkan banyak peningkatan dalam VLP-HA iaitu tidak terdapat kesan ping-pong apabila VLP-HA digunakan berbanding dengan algoritma penyerahan secara A2A4 (A2A4-HA) dan algoritma penyerahan ramalan berasaskan tingkah laku manusia (HBP-HA). Untuk mendapatkan titik penyerahan yang optimum supaya VLP-HA dapat memberikan QoS yang lebih tinggi pada masa yang sama dengan kurang kesan ping-pong, VLP-HA dioptimumkan dengan menggunakan OF yang dibangunkan berdasarkan algoritma koloni semut (ACO). Dua parameter dipertimbangkan iaitu nisbah penghantaran paket (PDR) dan bilangan penyerahan yang tidak perlu. Nilai parameter terbaik digunakan dalam OVLP-HA. Didapati bahawa prestasi penyerahan OVLP-HA telah meningkatkan sebanyak 7% data hasil dan 33% kurang kesan ping-pong berbanding A2A4-HA dan HBP-HA. Algoritma penyerahan yang dicadangkan didapati telah meningkatkan prestasi penyerahan dengan ketara melalui jumlah kesan ping-pong, keluaran data dan peruntukan sumber yang optimum. Cadangan algoritma penyerahan dapat disesuaikan dengan variasi tahap kepadatan AP dan boleh digunakan dalam mana-mana kawasan rangkaian seperti kawasan bandar dan luar bandar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	5
	1.4 Research Scope	5
	1.5 Research Contribution	7
	1.6 Significance of the Research	8
	1.7 Thesis Outline	9
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 LTE-Advanced features	12
	2.2.1 LTE-A Network Architecture	13
	2.2.2 Mobility Management in LTE-A	16
	2.3 Small Cell technology	17
	2.3.1 Small Cell Network Architecture	20
	2.4 Handover Management	21

2.4.1	Handover procedure in LTE-A network	22
2.4.2	Type of handover	30
2.4.3	Handover Scheme	31
2.4.4	Handover algorithm	33
2.4.4.1	Decision Making	34
2.4.4.2	Resource Allocation	36
2.4.5	Handover performance metrics	39
2.5	Related works on Mobility Prediction	41
2.5.1	Cell signal strength	42
2.5.2	User's Location	43
2.5.3	User's Mobility History	44
2.5.4	Markov Model	47
2.5.5	Markov Chain Predictor	51
2.6	Optimization in Handover Algorithm	53
2.7	Summary	59
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	61
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Proposed Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm for Small Cell Network	62
3.2.1	Vehicular Location Prediction (VLP)	66
3.2.2	Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm (VLP-HA)	72
3.2.3	Optimized Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm (OVLP-HA)	82
3.3	Network Model	85
3.3.1	Simulation Parameters and Assumptions	87
3.4	Performance Metrics	89
3.5	Simulation Tool	90
3.6	Summary	91
CHAPTER 4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	93

4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Development of Vehicular Location Prediction	93
4.2.1	User's Mobility Pattern	94
4.2.2	Development of Transaction Database	96
4.2.3	Algorithm Design	101
4.2.4	Performance Analysis	103
4.3	Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm	113
4.3.1	Algorithm Design	113
4.3.2	Performance Analysis	115
4.3.2.1	VLP-HA scenario 1	115
4.3.2.2	VLP-HA Scenario 2	119
4.4	Optimized Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm (OVLP-HA)	134
4.4.1	Formulation of OVLP-HA	134
4.4.2	Algorithm Design	140
4.4.3	Performance Analysis of OVLP-HA	144
4.5	Summary	153
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	159
5.1	Introduction	159
5.2	Significant Achievements	159
5.3	Future Works	161
	REFERENCES	163
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	218

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Comparison among radio base station in LTE-A [1]	19
Table 2.2	Event-based measurement report trigger [2]	24
Table 2.3	RSRQ measurement report mapping [2]	27
Table 2.4	QoS range of RSRP and RSRQ level	39
Table 2.5	Mobility prediction related works	50
Table 2.6	Optimization related works	58
Table 3.1	RSRQ measurement report mapping [2]	77
Table 3.2	Summary of simulation location	82
Table 3.3	Simulation Parameters for scenario 2	88
Table 4.1	Summary of database	105
Table 4.2	Simulation parameter for scenario 1	115
Table 4.3	Summary of simulation location	120
Table 4.4	Simulation Parameters for scenario 2	121
Table 4.5	Simulation Parameters	135
Table 4.6	Probability of all trails	137
Table 4.7	Summary of handover best performance	138

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Protocol Stack [3]	15
Figure 2.2	LTE-A architecture [4]	15
Figure 2.3	Small cell deployment in LTE-A network	19
Figure 2.4	Handover process in LTE-A	23
Figure 2.5	Message sequence diagram for X2-based handover	28
Figure 2.6	Flowchart A2A4 handover algorithm	29
Figure 2.7	Type of handover algorithms	33
Figure 2.8	Complete handover decision making design	36
Figure 2.9	Overview of research framework	39
Figure 2.10	Vehicular communication network in one direction scenario	52
Figure 3.1	Handover algorithm concept	63
Figure 3.2	The proposed prediction handover algorithm framework	65
Figure 3.3	Relation between handover prediction and optimization	67
Figure 3.4	Flow of VLP algorithm	69
Figure 3.5	Prediction algorithm a) Human behavior-based prediction by Amirudin [5] b)Proposed vehicular location prediction (VLP)	71
Figure 3.6	Network Controlled Handover	74
Figure 3.7	Mobile Controlled Handover	75
Figure 3.8	Mobile Assisted Handover	76
Figure 3.9	Handover design	77
Figure 3.10	Handover process using VLP-HA in LTE-A	79
Figure 3.11	Network Simulation Scenario	80
Figure 3.12	Flow of OVLP-HA algorithm	86
Figure 3.13	LTE-A simulation model [4]	87
Figure 4.1	Log file	96
Figure 4.2	Sample logging report survey	98
Figure 4.3	APs map location	99
Figure 4.4	Development of Source-Destination Table	99

Figure 4.5	Development of Transactional Database	100
Figure 4.6	Step in generating the TPM	101
Figure 4.7	Flow of VLP algorithm	103
Figure 4.8	Simulation result for VLP	108
Figure 4.9	Prediction accuracy measured for a group of undergraduate students	109
Figure 4.10	Location prediction with different group of user	111
Figure 4.11	Performance comparison between proposed method and heuristic method	112
Figure 4.12	Handover process using VLP-HA in LTE-A	114
Figure 4.13	Network Simulation Scenario	116
Figure 4.14	Handover delay with and without VLP	118
Figure 4.15	Handover throughput with and without VLP	118
Figure 4.16	Packet delivery ratio with and without VLP	119
Figure 4.17	Cengal - DSI	123
Figure 4.18	Meranti - DSI	125
Figure 4.19	P16 - P19a	127
Figure 4.20	Throughput Cengal-DSI	129
Figure 4.21	Total Throughput Cengal-DSI	130
Figure 4.22	Throughput Meranti-DSI	131
Figure 4.23	Total throughput Meranti-DSI	132
Figure 4.24	Throughput P16-P19a	133
Figure 4.25	Total throughput P16 - P19a	133
Figure 4.26	Simulation scenario	136
Figure 4.27	Handover performance of optimal forwarding probability	139
Figure 4.28	Behavior of ant colony	141
Figure 4.29	Flowchart of OVLP-HA using ACO	143
Figure 4.30	P19a - KP	146
Figure 4.31	Meranti - DSI	147
Figure 4.32	P19a - Arked Meranti	149
Figure 4.33	Throughput P19a-KP	150
Figure 4.34	Total throughput P19a-KP	151
Figure 4.35	Throughput Meranti-DSI	152
Figure 4.36	Total throughput Meranti-DSI	152

Figure 4.37	Throughput P19a-Meranti	153
Figure 4.38	Total throughput P19a-Meranti	153
Figure B.1	Cengal - K27	181
Figure B.2	CICT - DSI	182
Figure B.3	Meranti - Lab Tanaman Fertigasi UTM	183
Figure B.4	P16 - KP	184
Figure B.5	P16 - Scholar's Inn	186
Figure B.6	P19a - KP	187
Figure B.7	P19a - Scholar's Inn	189
Figure B.8	P16 - Cengal	191
Figure B.9	P16 - Meranti	192
Figure B.10	P16 - S01	194
Figure B.11	P19a - S01	195
Figure B.12	S01 - Sport Complex	196
Figure B.13	S01 - UA4	198
Figure B.14	P19a - Meranti	201
Figure B.15	Lab Tanaman Fertigasi - KDOJ	202
Figure B.16	P19a - Cengal	203

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3G	-	Third Generation
4G	-	Fourth Generation
5G	-	Fifth Generation
3GPP	-	Third Generation Partnership Project
A2A4-HA	-	A2A4 Handover Algorithm
ACO	-	Ant Colony Optimization
AP	-	Access Point
ARQ	-	Automatic Repeat reQuest
BS	-	Base Station
CDF	-	Cumulative Distribution Function
D2D	-	Device-to-Device
DL	-	Downlink
DTN	-	Delay Tolerant Network
eNB	-	enhanced Node B
EPC	-	Evolved Packet Core
EPS	-	Evolved Packet System
E-RAB	-	E-UTRAN Radio Access Bearer
E-UTRA	-	Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access
E-UTRAN	-	Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
HBP	-	Human Behavior Prediction
HBP-HA	-	Human Behavior-based Prediction Handover Algorithm
HeNB	-	Home enhanced Node B
HetNets	-	Heterogeneous Network
HSPA	-	High Speed Packet Access
ID	-	Identification
IP	-	Internet Protocol

ITS	-	Intelligent Transportation System
LPKF	-	Location Prediction using Kalman Filter
LTE-A	-	Long Term Evolution Advanced
M2M	-	Machine-to-Machine
MAC	-	Medium Access Control
MeNB	-	Macro Evolved Node B
MIMO	-	Multiple-In Multiple-Out
MME	-	Mobility Management Entity
MR	-	Measurement Report
MTC	-	Machine Type Communication
NCL	-	Neighboring Cell List
NHO	-	Neighbor Handover Offset
NS-3	-	Network Simulator 3
OBU	-	On Board Unit
OF	-	Optimal Forwarding
OFDM	-	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
OPEX	-	Operating Expenditure
OVLPH-HA	-	Optimized Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm
PCI	-	Physical Cell Identity
PDCCP	-	Packet Data Convergence Protocol
PDN	-	Packet Data Network
PDN-GW	-	Packet Data Network Gateway
PDR	-	Packet Delivery Ratio
PDU	-	Packet Data Unit
PHY	-	Physical Layer
PSO	-	Particle Swam Optimization
QAP	-	Quadratic Assignment Problem
QoS	-	Quality of Service
RAN	-	Radio Access Network
RAT	-	Radio Access Technology

RB	-	Resource Block
RF	-	Radio Frequency
RLC	-	Radio Link Control
RRC	-	Radio Resource Control
RSS	-	Received Signal Strength
RSRQ	-	Reference Symbols Received Quality
RSRP	-	Reference Signal Received Power
RSSI	-	Received Signal Strength Indicator
RSU	-	Roadside Unit
RTLD	-	Real Time with Load Distribution
S-GW	-	Serving Gateway
SAE	-	System Architecture Evolution
SeNB	-	Serving enhanced Node B
SINR	-	Signal-to-Interference-Noise Ratio
SIR	-	Signal-to-Interference Ratio
SN	-	Sequence Number
SON	-	Self-Organizing Networks
TeNB	-	Target enhanced Node B
TPM	-	Transition Probability Matrix
TSP	-	Travelling Salesman Problem
TTT	-	Time-to-Trigger
UE	-	User Equipment
UL	-	Uplink
UMTS	-	Universal Mobile Telecommunications System
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
WiMAX	-	Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access
WLAN	-	Wireless Local Area Network
V2B	-	Vehicle-to-Broadband
V2I	-	Vehicle-to-Roadside Infrastructure
V2V	-	Vehicle-to-Vehicle

VANET	-	Vehicular Ad-hoc Network
VLP	-	Vehicular Location Prediction
VLP-HA	-	Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm
VoIP	-	Voice over Inter Protocol

LIST OF SYMBOLS

T_n	-	Handover Time-to-Trigger
h	-	Hysterisis
R_x	-	Number of packets that received by the user
T_x	-	Number of transmitted packet
δ	-	Handover delay
d	-	Point where the user trigger the handover
v	-	User's velocity
S	-	Set of states
s_n	-	State of system at time n
M	-	Number of cells
P	-	Current transistion probability matrix
p_t	-	Initial distribution matrix
x	-	Probability of initial state for source AP
y	-	Probability of initial state for destination AP
n	-	Number of state transistion
C	-	Set of access point
T	-	Total time taken
p_k	-	User point trajectory at position k
t_g	-	Maximum interval time that user connect to a point p
t_i	-	Interval time between two sequence points
p_{i+1}	-	Probability of user's trajectory at a point
N_{cp}	-	Number of correct prediction
N_p	-	Number of prediction
t_h	-	Handover threshold
S_C	-	Serving cell
T_C	-	Target cell
O_C	-	Cell offset

λ - Optimal forwarding probability

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	VLP-HA Simulation Result	177
Appendix B	NS-3 codes for the handover in LTE-A network	202
Appendix C	NS-3 codes for the proposed vehicular location prediction handover algorithm	209

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Nowadays private and the public vehicles are used daily by many people. Citizens spend most of their time in vehicles aside being at home and office due to the longer trip between their destination place and because of the traffic congestion. Every year the total number of traffic on the road always increase [6]. According to [7], 30% of Malaysians spent hours driving to work, 23% of them spend more than an hour on the train, and 13% of Malaysian spent over an hour on the bus. Only 18% go to work by walking. Indeed, more time spends on the vehicle make the communication during commuting in much higher demand for the users. Most of them are wiling to pay more for seamless connectivity service while on the road [8].

Global mobile data traffic is growing tremendously over the past few years. This is because of the rise in the use of the smart electronics devices with having the connection to the internet capability such as smartphones, smartwatch, wireless printer, WiFi enabled camera and others around the world. Moreover, the emergence of new application such as online multimedia gaming, and social media network, required the internet connectivity to be present at any place, anytime, using any devices. The demand for seamless internet connectivity drives attempts to provide broadband mobile wireless communication even in a fast moving vehicle. With the support of wireless communication, Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) will play a major role in the vehicular communication system. ITS can manage the operation of vehicles, manage vehicle traffic, assist drivers with safety and other information and also provide convenience applications for passengers [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

The fifth-generation (5G) communication system have emerge to satisfy the need for large wireless communication society, and provide unlimited access to information

and data sharing for anywhere, anytime and for any devices. 5G network is the solution to overcome 4G issues such as spectrum crisis and energy consumption. 5G is reported able to achieve 1000 times system capacity, 20 times spectral efficiency, energy efficiency and data rate, and 25 times data throughput [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. 5G expected to have 1000 times of throughput improvement over 4G, cell data rate up to 10 Gb/s, and signaling loads that less than 1 - 100%. 5G also likely reduced latency down to 2 - 5 ms end-to-end latencies [19]. Deployment of 5G technology provide seamless connection to the entire world and ubiquitous communication between people as well as devices anytime, anywhere. Hence, 5G is not aim to replace new technologies, but to enhance current technologies with new Radio Access Technologies (RAT) depending on the case and scenario [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. One of the solution to overcome the growth of wireless mobile devices is the deployment of small cells in dense heterogeneity network [22, 26, 27, 28].

However, it may not always be feasible to provide low-latency reliable communication between end users due to the nature of mobility. To achieve an ensuring system reliability and real-time performance, underlying technologies that are determined by handover performance must be in the first place. To provide Quality of Service (QoS) for mobile users, the handover is the main element in the wireless network [29]. In dense traffic areas, the massive load are generated by repetition message transmissions from several vehicles strongly challenges the 5G capacities. The installation of WiFi access points (AP) or Femto AP in residential and business environment have massively increased in 5G small cell network particularly in dense populated urban areas challenging handover management among vehicles [19, 30, 31]. AP dense deployment provides possible access for mobile devices in vehicles to connect to the internet. Some researchers have already explored various possible applications and performance for such access [32]. However, this approach may challenge several technical aspects. Each practice might need different hardware, software or even processing units. Some of them might need cooperative communications among the vehicles to assist data exchange. However, the vehicle may or may not receive the packets due to the time limit within access range and size of data transfer. A solution needs to be introduced to provide seamless and reliable communication among the vehicles, requiring shorter set-up times and delay, and reduced signaling overhead.

Most of the research proposed modify handover decision based on signal strength [33, 34, 35]. These parameter is useful to delay handover trigger until the user is close to AP's location. However, location prediction technique can assist handover decision by predict the next AP's location before user arrive at actual handover location. The handover location prediction can reduce handover process time compared to normal handover algorithm. Moreover, the handover decision making can change simultaneously depends on the user's mobility [36]. The aim of this technique is to reduce number of unnecessary handover by predicting best AP in advance. Prediction method also can reduce resource allocation time and reduce ping-pong effect. At the same time it will enhance handover performance in terms of network throughput.

1.2 Problem Statement

The deployment of small cell widely believed to be fundamental for improving capacity and quality of service (QoS) in future communication networks especially for outdoor and indoor environment [37]. The main challenge in small network is to provide handover management for mobile user especially travel in vehicle in order to provide good Quality of Service (QoS) and maintain the network connection throughout the journey .

The problem with conventional handover is that the procedure take many wireless and backbone signaling data exchange. It may required some time in order to complete a handover transaction between source AP to the next AP. Ineffective handover algorithm resulting call drop and leads to user's dissatisfaction. Thus, handover decision making criteria is introduced to decide next location to handover [29]. Handover decision always relies on signal strength of target cell to handover to next location. However, in densely small cell network, this may lead to the frequent and unnecessary handover. A ping-pong effect may happen when a call is handover to a next cell and handed back to the source cell which will result in waste of resources. Therefore, efficient handover algorithm is needed to ensure the user connection is maintain.

In dense populated network, signal strength received from each cell will always changing due to user's movement. Furthermore, the size of coverage area for small network is limited [38]. So, the vehicle has limited time to spend when it passes through overlapping region in small cell network. When the minimum handover process time is larger than the interval for the vehicle passing through the overlapping region, handover process fails to complete and resulting call drop. One of the ways to handle the problem is to predict next cell location in advance. However, the prediction handover will lead to too early handover if the user arrive too late at the handover decision optimal location at target cell. It will cause the connection link between the user and target cell drop. Besides, it also lead to less network throughput. Since the main goal of handover algorithm is to lower the probability of call dropping, there must be an optimal solution to ensure the user handover to next cell at right time and get higher network throughput while taking advantage of location prediction.

There are several techniques for location prediction throughout the literature [39, 40, 41, 42]. One of the techniques relies on user's mobility history to predict the next location in advance [5, 43]. Thus, the network required a lot of history information with more complex computational technique and more sophisticated parameter configuration [44, 45]. Since there are many small cells in one area, prediction technique that has complex computational technique involve many matrix multiplications is not practical to be implemented. Therefore, less complex prediction technique that able to provide high accuracy is needed.

As mentioned earlier, allowing prediction handover may lead to handover failure due too early handover. Therefore, in order to use prediction handover effectively, there is need for efficient solution which leverages the trade-off between achieving better network throughput and reducing signal overhead caused by unnecessary handover.

1.3 Research Objectives

The main goal of the research is to develop the handover algorithm that assigns requested resources depending on how fast vehicles pass by at one place at a time. The specific objective of the research are:

1. To develop prediction user movement based on user's location.
2. To develop a handover algorithm in small cell that can improve the handover performance in terms of ping-pong effect reduction and throughput increment.
3. To optimize the proposed handover algorithm to improve throughput while having low ping-pong effect.

In this thesis, the database of vehicle's trajectory is developed in order to predict next location of vehicle's movement. On the other hand, the handover prediction algorithm is developed to mitigate unnecessary handover. Optimization of the handover prediction algorithm aims to enhance the achievable network throughput with guaranteed QoS.

1.4 Research Scope

The scope of this research is mainly focused on the handover management in small cell network where user equipment (UE) is moving with connected mode mobility (there is traffic connection between the UE and the source AP). It is noted that the technical details and system procedures of handover in heterogeneous networks for 5G attribute system have a few fundamental differences with other systems. However, not much specification has been designed or released. As the main contributions of this research based on the frame structures, protocol, and measurement procedure, the system information and handover procedure are designed and analyzed on the basis of the LTE-Advanced (LTE-A) network which is the nearest technology to the 5G network. Thus, this procedure allowed the predictability and generality of this network architecture to be maintained. Since the research is focused on the handover management, any interference is neglected.

This research is applicable to users traveling in vehicles. The simulation considered scenario is in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) Johor Bahru campus area where more than hundred residential APs are installed along the road. All APs apply an open access mode. Thus, UE can connect to all APs without any restriction. For open access mode, AP can serve all users either close subscriber group (CSG) or non-CSG user. This can be used in public places such as hotspot, malls, campus, airport and others [46]. All APs are attached to the residential building in UTM campus, which is why when UE move around the residential building, it will be connected to the APs.

This research assumed that all APs have enough bandwidth to serve the UE. The handover scenario used is inter-vehicle communication. Even though inter-vehicle communication includes vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-broadband cloud communication (V2B) and vehicle-to-roadside infrastructure communication (V2I) using roadside units (RSU), this research did not cover for V2V and V2B communication. Hence, UE is allowed to communicate with source and destination APs that are along the roadside.

The handover type is X2-handover where all the messages between the source and target AP are sent directly without involving the Mobility Management Entity (MME). All necessary information for handover process is exchanged via the X2 interface. Once a new connection between UE and target AP is established, the complete handover message is sent to MME. Intra-X2-based handover is usually used for handover between AP which is the interface between source and target AP is an X2 interface, and they must serve within same MME. The UE moves through space with different velocity, which is from 5 km/h and above. Besides, physical cell identity (PCI) collisions within two neighbor will not happen. Two cells with the same PCI that have same neighbor cell will not occur in this research. Therefore, the network could identify the specific AP based on the measurement sent by UE to the source AP. The handover only performed with adjacent APs even though the range area between source AP and destination AP is close. Performance evaluation for database is done in MATLAB. Then, the proposed handover algorithm is developed in Network simulator-3 (NS3). NS-3 is used to simulate the proposed handover algorithm based on LTE-A network. The simulation should reflect real access mechanism.

1.5 Research Contribution

The proposed handover management system is developed for ultra-dense small cell network. This system consists of mobility prediction technique and handover optimization algorithm. The following have been identified as the main original contributions to the knowledge in handover management in ultra dense small cell network:

1. Mobility pattern database

The user's mobility data traffic is collected using global positioning system (GPS) information of the vehicle, speed, and direction around the UTM campus area. The focus was be on the graduate students, the postgraduate students and also the staff that resides, study or working in the UTM campus area. From the mobility history data, the process of data mining is required to get any valuable information for next step. With a lot of user's mobility data traffic information which cause of complex process of mobility prediction, it is worthy if the information in the database can be minimized. Mobility pattern database is introduced to overcome this issues by introducing three parameters in the user's mobility data traffic information. These data are the user ID, source access point ID, and destination access point ID. The user's mobility data traffic information is tabulated according to the most frequent location that user visits.

2. Provide seamless connection for vehicular user.

Vehicular location prediction (VLP) is developed in order to predict the next location of the user in advance. The input of VLP is denoted from the user's mobility pattern database. VLP also manages to predict the user's movement until the user reaches their final destination. Due to reasonable amount of information of mobility pattern database, faster prediction can be performed leading to an enhanced prediction accuracy.

3. Velocities independent for vehicular user

Due to the small coverage of small cell and speed of the vehicle, handover failure may occur. It is crucial to reduce the handover latency in order to gain seamless mobility connection. The proposed vehicular location prediction

based on mobility pattern handover algorithm (VLP-HA) relies on the prediction results from the proposed VLP. VLP-HA choose the best access point for the user to reduce the handover latency and improve packet delivery ratio. VLP-HA also manages to trigger handover as fast as possible in order to minimize the unnecessary handover. Optimized vehicular location prediction handover algorithm (OVLP-HA) is capable of maintaining the handover even if the next access point is not the same as a prediction in case if user change its direction or new user enter the network. The optimized handover algorithm is modified by using optimal forwarding probability. This algorithm is capable to implement in LTE-A network where there are various density of small cell networks. Since there are wide variety of geographical topology and route condition, the velocities of the user is changing along the routes. Therefore, the velocities of mobile user is not considered in this research.

1.6 Significance of the Research

The proposed handover algorithm offers a solution to enhance the handover performance regarding the packet delivery ratio by reducing the number of handover and handover decision delay. The probability of the serving AP in choosing the best next AP is increased by predicting the next AP in advance, and finally reducing the number of unnecessary handover and ping-pong effect. Therefore, the goal of seamless and faster handover for mobile user can be achieved.

Furthermore, the proposed algorithm can be applied in the low to high populated network area. The algorithm is capable of supporting various density of small cells network with mobile users. LTE-A technology provides a very high data rate which can help the network provider to meet demand for higher bandwidth with low latency service that is exponentially more stronger than 3G. The proposed algorithm ensures user's QoS demand which includes a user with various velocities and various types application such as web browsing, online video streaming, Voice over Inter Protocol (VoIP), and others can be fulfilled.

1.7 Thesis Outline

The thesis elaborates on the development of mobility pattern database that uses prediction technique and optimized handover algorithm. Chapter 1 highlights the background of the research problem, statement of the problem and objectives of the research. It followed by research scope, contribution of the research, significance of the research and thesis outline.

Chapter 2 highlights the technology features of LTE-A system, which includes network architecture, mobility management, and the access control management. The issues in handover decision technique which include mobility prediction and optimization method are discussed, and the latest related approaches to solving the handover performance problems are presented and analyzed. Several loopholes are identified which become the driver for this research work.

Chapter 3 mainly focuses on the design framework of the proposed vehicular location prediction technique (VLP) and vehicular location prediction handover algorithm (VLP-HA). It also covers the basic design concept of optimization technique in OVLP-HA. The chapter elaborates the proposed concept as well as the overall process. Moreover, the network topology and simulation parameters that applied in this work is presented. Also, the performance metrics used to evaluate the research performance are discussed.

Chapter 4 presents the development of prediction technique and handover algorithm that is proposed in chapter 3. The chapter presents an analytical study of the accuracy of prediction technique and handover network performance for handover algorithm in a vehicular network. The simulation results for VLP-HA are analyzed and discussed as well. The performance of this algorithm is validated by comparing with another proposed handover algorithms. The handover performance metrics for this work are a number of unnecessary handovers, packet delivery ratio, and handover delay. The detail algorithm design for OVLP-HA also described in chapter 4. Formulation of optimal forwarding by incorporating ant colony optimization (ACO) is described, followed by handover algorithm description which includes the prediction

and optimization. The performance of OVLP-HA is analyzed with comparison other handover algorithm based in literature.

Finally, Chapter 5 concludes the thesis with a summary of the research work, together with recommendations for future studies.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Small cells network is one of the solutions to overcome the growth of connected wireless mobile devices. Despite the benefits, deployment of access points increases rapidly in small cell network imposes challenging handover management in order to ensure the connection of mobile user. In addition, the reliability and real time performance in communication is highly demanded especially for the user in the moving vehicle. This work will focus on urban area since AP deployment in urban area have higher density compared to rural area. Hence, the experimental location is in UTM campus since it reflects the real urban area. In addition, the vehicular speed in campus area mostly around 35 - 60 km/h. Over the decades, many works have been proposed to tackle the mobility management issues which includes handover schemes and handover algorithms. This work is envisioned to explore the open issues and provide an efficient approach to solve the mobility management in the network.

This chapter provides literature review for this research. In Section 2.2, LTE-A features are discussed briefly. Small cell technology and network architecture also discussed in Section 2.3. Then, the handover management is described in detail consisting the types of handover, handover scheme and algorithms in Section 2.4. In Section 2.5, the related works for mobility prediction are described and predictor tool used in this research is discussed. Then, an enhancement for handover algorithm is discussed in Section 2.6. Finally, the summary for this chapter is highlighted in Section 2.7

REFERENCES

1. Hossain, E., Le, L. B. and Niyato, D. *Radio resource management in multi-tier cellular wireless networks*. John Wiley & Sons. 2013.
2. 3GPP. *TS 36.331 v12.3.0 LTE; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol Specification (Release 12)*. Technical report. Technical Specification. 2014.
3. 3GPP TR 36.912 V10.0.0. LTE; Feasibility study for Further Advancements for E-UTRA (LTE-Advanced) (3GPP TR 36.912 version 10.0.0 Release 10), 2011.
4. Akyildiz, I. F., Gutierrez-Estevez, D. M. and Reyes, E. C. The Evolution to 4G Cellular Systems: LTE-Advanced. *Physical Communication*, 2010. 3(4): 217–244. ISSN 18744907. doi:10.1016/j.phycom.2010.08.001.
5. Amirrudin, N. A., Ariffin, S. H. S., Malik, N. N. N. A. and Ghazali, N. E. User's Mobility History-based Mobility Prediction in LTE Femtocells Network. *IEEE International RF and Microwave Conference (RFM2013)*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 9781479922147. 105–110.
6. *Malaysia Transportation Statistic 2015*. Ministry of Transport Malaysia. 2016.
7. Nielsen. *Rising Middle Class Will Drive Global Automotive Demand in the Coming Two Years*. Technical report. 2014.
8. Araniti, G., Campolo, C., Condoluci, M., Iera, A. and Molinaro, A. LTE for Vehicular Networking : A Survey. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2013. 51(5): 148–157.
9. Alsabaan, M., Alasmay, W., Albasir, A. and Naik, K. Vehicular Networks for a Greener Environment: A Survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2013. 15(3): 1372–1388. ISSN 1553877X. doi:10.1109/SURV.2012.101912.00184.
10. Teixeira, F. a., e Silva, V. F., Leoni, J. L., Macedo, D. F. and Nogueira, J. M. S. Vehicular Networks using the IEEE 802.11p Standard: An Experimental

- Analysis. *Vehicular Communications*, 2014. 1(2): 91–96. ISSN 22142096. doi:10.1016/j.vehcom.2014.04.001.
11. Morino, H. and Inafune, T. Assisting Solution of Traffic Congestion at Sags Using Inter-Vehicle Communication with Heterogeneous Wireless Systems. *2015 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*. IEEE. 2015. ISBN 9781467394116. 183–189.
 12. Karagiannis, G., Altintas, O., Ekici, E., Heijenk, G., Jarupan, B., Lin, K. and Weil, T. Vehicular Networking: A Survey and Tutorial on Requirements, Architectures, Challenges, Standards and Solutions. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2011. 13(4): 584–616. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/SURV.2011.061411.00019.
 13. Faezipour, M., Nourani, M., Saeed, A. and Addepalli, S. Progress and Challenges in Intelligent Vehicle Area Networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 2012. 55(2): 90. ISSN 00010782.
 14. Wang, C.-X., Haider, F., Gao, X., You, X.-H., Yang, Y., Yuan, D., Aggoune, H. M., Haas, H., Fletcher, S., Hepsaydir, E. and Telecom, N. E. C. Cellular Architecture and Key Technologies for 5G Wireless Communication Networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 122–130. ISSN 01636804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2014.6736752.
 15. Chin, W., Fan, Z. and Haines, R. Emerging technologies and research challenges for 5G wireless networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2014. 21(2): 106–112. ISSN 1536-1284. doi:10.1109/MWC.2014.6812298.
 16. Dahlman, E., Mildh, G., Parkvall, S., Peisa, J., Sachs, J. and Selén, Y. *5G Radio Access*. Technical report. Ericsson. 2014.
 17. Fettweis, G. and Alamouti, S. 5G : Personal Mobile Internet beyond What Cellular Did to Telephony. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 140–145. ISSN 0163-6804.
 18. Gohil, A., Modi, H. and Patel, S. K. 5G Technology of Mobile Communication: A. *2013 International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Signal Processing, ISSP 2013*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 9781479903160. 288—292. doi:10.1109/ISSP.2013.6526920.

19. Hossain, E. and Hasan, M. 5G cellular: key enabling technologies and research challenges. *IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine*, 2015. 18(3): 11–21. ISSN 1094-6969. doi:10.1109/MIM.2015.7108393.
20. Kaloxylos, A., Barmounakis, S., Spapis, P. and Alonistioti, N. An Efficient RAT Selection Mechanism for 5G Cellular Networks. *Wireless communications and mobile computing conference (IWCMC)*. Cyprus: IEEE. 2014. 942–947.
21. Clara, L. Q., Niu, H., Papathanassiou, A. T. and Wu, G. 5G Network Capacity: Key Elements and Technologies. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 2014. 9(1): 71–78.
22. Wang, Y., Li, J., Huang, L., Jing, Y., Georgakopoulos, A. and Demestichas, P. 5G Mobile. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 2014: 39–46.
23. Ericsson. *5G Radio Access - Research and Vision*. Technical Report June. Erricson. 2013.
24. Huawei. 5G : A Technology Vision Contents, 2013.
25. Metis. *Scenarios , requirements and KPIs for 5G Mobile and Wireless System*. Technical report. 2013.
26. Hossain, E., Rasti, M., Tabassum, H., Abdelnasser, A. and Jan, N. I. Evolution Towards 5G Multi-tier Cellular Wireless Networks : An Interference Management Perspective. *Wireless Communications*, 2014. 3(21): 118–127.
27. Jungnickel, V., Manolakis, K., Zirwas, W., Panzner, B., Braun, V., Lossow, M., Sternad, M., Apelfröjd, R. and Svensson, T. The Role of Small Cells, Coordinated Multi-Point and Massive MIMO in 5G, 2014.
28. Yilmaz, O. N. C., Li, Z., Valkealahti, K., Uusitalo, M. A., Moisio, M., Lundén, P. and Wijting, C. Smart Mobility Management for D2D Communications in 5G Networks. *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference Workshops (WCNCW), 2014 IEEE*. IEEE. 2014. ISBN 9781479930869. 219–223.
29. Zhou, Y. and Ai, B. Handover Schemes and Algorithms of High-Speed Mobile Environment: A Survey. *Computer Communications*, 2014. ISSN 01403664.

30. Gotsis, A. G., Stefanatos, S. and Alexiou, A. Ultra Dense Networks: The New Wireless Frontier for Enabling 5G Access. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 2016. 11(2): 71–78.
31. Al-imari, M., Xiao, P., Imran, M. A. and Tafazolli, R. Uplink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for 5G Wireless Networks. *11th International Symposium Wireless Communications Systems (ISWCS)*. IEEE. 2014. 781–785.
32. Deshpande, P., Kashyap, A., Sung, C. and Das, S. R. Predictive Methods for Improved Vehicular Wifi Access. *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on Mobile systems, applications, and services - Mobisys '09*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. 2009. ISBN 9781605585666. 263.
33. Abrar, S., Hussain, R., Raja, R. A., Malik, S. A., Khan, S. A., Shafiq, G. and Ahmed, S. A New Method for Handover Triggering Condition Estimation. *IEICE Electronics Express*, 2012. 9(5): 378–384. ISSN 13492543. doi: 10.1587/elex.9.378.
34. Bathich, A. A., Baba, M. D. and Rahman, R. A. SINR based Media Independent Handover in WiMAX and WLAN networks. *Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics (ICCAIE), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE. 2011. ISBN 9781457720598. 331–334.
35. Sadiq, A. S., Bakar, K. A., Ghafoor, K. Z. and Gonzalez, A. J. Mobility and Signal Strength-Aware Handover Decision in Mobile IPv6 based Wireless LAN. *International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists*,. Hong Kong. 2011. ISBN 9789881821034.
36. Zhang, H., Wen, X. and Wang, B. A Novel Handover Mechanism between Femtocell and Macrocell for LTE based Networks. *2010 Second International Conference on Communication Software and Networks*. IEEE. 2010. ISBN 9780769539614. 2–5. doi:10.1109/ICCSN.2010.91.
37. Duy Trong Ngo, T. L.-N. *Architectures of Small-Cell Networks and Interference Management*. Springer. 2014.
38. Holger, C., David, L. o.-P., Lester, H., Rouzbeh, R. and Stepan, K. *Small Cell Networks - Deployment, Management, and Optimization*. John Wiley & Sons. 2017.

39. Abdalla, S. E. and Syed Ariffin, S. H. A Hybrid Model for User History-Based Prediction with Geolocation Assisted Handover in 5G. *International Journal of Simulation–Systems, Science & Technology*, 2017. 18(4).
40. Chen, S., Li, Y., Ren, W., Jin, D. and Hui, P. Location Prediction for Large Scale Urban Vehicular Mobility. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC)*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 978-1-4673-2480-9. 1733–1737.
41. Gambs, S., Killijian, M.-O. and del Prado Cortez, M. N. Next Place Prediction using Mobility Markov Chains. *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Measurement, Privacy, and Mobility - MPM '12*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. 2012. ISBN 9781450311632. 1–6. doi: 10.1145/2181196.2181199.
42. Xue, G., Luo, Y., Yu, J. and Li, M. A Novel Vehicular Location Prediction Based On Mobility Patterns for Routing In Urban VANET. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2012. (1): 222. ISSN 1687-1499.
43. Ge, H., Wen, X., Zheng, W., Lu, Z. and Wang, B. A History-Based Handover Prediction for LTE Systems. *1st International Symposium on Computer Network and Multimedia Technology, CNMT 2009*. IEEE. 2009. ISBN 9781424452736. 1–4. doi:10.1109/CNMT.2009.5374706.
44. Feng, H., Liu, C., Shu, Y. and Yang, O. W. W. Location Prediction of Vehicles in VANETs Using A Kalman Filter. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2015. 80(2): 543–559. ISSN 0929-6212.
45. Lin, Y.-B., Huang-Fu, C.-C. and Alrajeh, N. Predicting Human Movement Based on Telecom's Handoff in Mobile Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2013. 12(6): 1236–1241. ISSN 1536-1233. doi:10.1109/TMC.2012.87.
46. Mahmoud, H. A., Guvenc, I. and Watanabe, F. Performance of open access femtocell networks with different cell-selection methods. *2010 IEEE 71st Vehicular Technology Conference*. IEEE. 2010. 1–5.

47. 3GPP TR 36.913 V10.0.0. 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Requirements for further advancements for Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) (LTE-Advanced) (Release 10), 2011.
48. 3GPP ETSI TS 136 300. LTE; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN); Overall description; Stage 2 (3GPP TS 36.300 version 12.3.0 Release 12), 2014.
49. Parkvall, S. and Astely, D. The evolution of LTE towards IMT-advanced. *Journal of Communication*, 2009. 4(3): 146–154.
50. 3GPP. *Overview of 3GPP Release 12 V0.1.3*. Technical report. 2014.
51. 3GPP. *Overview of 3GPP Release 13 V0.0.6*. Technical report. 2014.
52. Holma, H. and Toskala, A. *LTE for UMTS: Evolution to LTE-advanced*. John Wiley & Sons. 2011.
53. 3GPP TS 36.331. 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol Specification (Release 8), 2007.
54. Wang, L., Zhang, Y. and Wei, Z. Mobility management schemes at radio network layer for LTE femtocells. *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2009. VTC Spring 2009. IEEE 69th*. IEEE. 2009. 1–5.
55. Xenakis, D., Passas, N., Merakos, L. and Verikoukis, C. Mobility management for femtocells in LTE-advanced: key aspects and survey of handover decision algorithms. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2014. 16(1): 64–91.
56. Stojmenovic, I. *Handbook of wireless networks and mobile computing*. vol. 27. John Wiley & Sons. 2003.
57. Sen, J. Mobility and Handoff Management in Wireless Networks. *Trends in Telecommunications Technologies*, 2010: 28.
58. Huawei. *LTE Small Cell v.s. WiFi User Experience*. Technical report. Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. 2013.

59. Takehiro, N., Satoshi, N., Anass, B., Yoshihisa, K., Tang, H., Xiadong, S., Yang, N. and Li, N. Trends in Small Cell Enhancements in LTE Advanced. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2013.
60. 3rd Generation Partnership Project. *New Carrier Type for LTE*. 3GPP RP 122028, 2012.
61. Prayote, A., Oothongsap, P. and Kanda, S. Fast Network Selection Mechanism for Seamless Connectivity on Vehicular Networks. *5th International Conference on Computer Sciences and Convergence Information Technology*. IEEE. 2010. ISBN 978-1-4244-8567-3. 688–692.
62. Dias, J., Cardote, A., Neves, F., Sargento, S. and Oliveira, A. Seamless Horizontal and Vertical Mobility in VANET. *2012 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*. IEEE. 2012. ISBN 978-1-4673-4996-3. 226–233. doi:10.1109/VNC.2012.6407436.
63. Ulvan, A., Bestak, R. and Ulvan, M. Handover Procedure and Decision Strategy in LTE-based Femtocell Network. *Telecommunication Systems*, 2011. 52(4): 2733–2748. ISSN 1018-4864. doi:10.1007/s11235-011-9599-9.
64. Chen, W.-t. An Adaptive Scheme for Vertical Handoff in Wireless Overlay Networks. *In Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2004. ICPADS 2004. Proceedings. Tenth International Conference on*. IEEE. 2004, August 2004. ISBN 0-7695-2152-5. 541–548. doi:10.1109/ICPADS.2004.1316136.
65. Kumaran, U. Vertical Handover in Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks : A Survey. *International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJLTET) - not index*, 2014. 3(4): 132–138.
66. Zekri, M., Jouaber, B. and Zeghlache, D. A Review on Mobility Management and Vertical Handover Solutions Over Heterogeneous Wireless Networks. *Computer Communications*, 2012. 35(17): 2055–2068. ISSN 01403664.
67. Miyim, A. M., Ismail, M. and Nordin, R. Vertical Handover Solutions Over LTE-Advanced Wireless Networks: An Overview. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2014. 77(4): 3051–3079. ISSN 09296212. doi:10.1007/s11277-014-1695-1.

68. López-Pérez, D., Ladányi, A., Juttner, A. and Zhang, J. OFDMA femtocells: Intracell handover for interference and handover mitigation in two-tier networks. *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2010 IEEE*. IEEE. 2010. 1–6.
69. George, L., Alexandros, K., Nikos, P. and Lazaros, M. Handover Management Architectures in Integrated WLAN / Cellular Networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2005.
70. Karimi, O. B., Liu, J. and Wang, C. Seamless Wireless Connectivity for Multimedia Services in High Speed Trains. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2012. 30(4): 729–739. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2012.120507.
71. Pan, M. S., Lin, T. M. and Chen, W. T. An Enhanced Handover Scheme for Mobile Relays in LTE-A High-Speed Rail Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2015. 64(2): 743–756. ISSN 00189545. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2014.2322374.
72. Chowdhury, M. Z., Saha, N., Chae, S.-H. and Jang, Y.-M. Handover Call Admission Control for Mobile Femtocells with Free-Space Optical and Macrocellular Backbone Networks. *Journal of Advanced Smart Convergence(JASC)*, 2012. 1(1): 19–26. ISSN 2287-254X.
73. Wang, H., Ning, B. and Jiang, H. An experimental study of 2.4GHz frequency band leaky coaxial cable in CBTC train ground communication. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*. 2011. ISBN 9781424483310. ISSN 15502252. doi:10.1109/VETECS.2011.5956389.
74. Lee, S., Kim, N., Yun, H. and Kang, M. Optical Switching based on Position-Tracking algorithm to Realize "Moving Cells" in a RoF Network. *International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology*. IEEE. 2008, vol. 3. ISBN 9788955191363. 2170–2173.
75. Li, Z., Wang, H., Pan, Z., Liu, N. and You, X. Dynamic Load Balancing in 3GPP LTE Multi-cell Fractional Frequency Reuse Networks. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*. IEEE. 2012. ISBN 9781467318815. 0–4.

76. Yaacoub, E., Atat, R., Alsharoa, A. and Alouini, M.-S. Mobile Relays For Enhanced Broadband Connectivity in High Speed Train Systems. *Physical Communication*, 2014. ISSN 18744907.
77. Batistatos, M., Tsoulos, G. and Athanasiadou, G. Mobile Telemedicine for Moving Vehicle Scenarios: Wireless Technology Options and Challenges. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2012. 35(3): 1140–1150. ISSN 10848045. doi:10.1016/j.jnca.2012.01.003.
78. Luo, W., Zhang, R. and Fang, X. A CoMP Soft Handover Scheme for LTE Systems in High Speed Railway. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2012. (1): 196. ISSN 1687-1499.
79. Zeng, Q.-A. and Agrawal, D. P. Handoff in Wireless Mobile Networks. In: Stojmenovic, I., ed. *Handbook of Wireless Networks and Mobile Computing*. John Wiley & Sons, chap. 1. 662. 2002. ISBN 0471419028.
80. Lin, C.-C., Sandrasegaran, K., Zhu, X. and Xu, Z. Limited CoMP Handover Algorithm For LTE-Advanced. *Journal of Engineering*, 2013. 2013: 1–9. ISSN 2314-4904.
81. Md Isa, I. N., Baba, M. D., Ab Rahman, R. and Yusof, A. L. Self-Organizing Network Based Handover Mechanism for LTE Networks. *I4CT 2015 - 2015 2nd International Conference on Computer, Communications, and Control Technology, Art Proceeding*. Kuching: IEEE. 2015. ISBN 9781479979523. 11–15. doi:10.1109/I4CT.2015.7219527.
82. Andreas, L., Szymon, S., Thomas, J. and Irina, B. Coordinating Handover Parameter Optimization and Load Balancing in LTE Self-Optimizing Networks. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, 2011.
83. Jansen, T., Balan, I., Turk, J. and Moerman, I. Handover Parameter Optimization in LTE Self-organizing Networks. *Vehicular Technology Conference Fall (VTC 2010-Fall), 2010 IEEE 72nd*. IEEE. 2010.
84. Haijun, Z., Xiangming, W., Bo, W., Wei, Z. and Zhaoming, L. A novel self-optimizing handover mechanism for multi-service provisioning in LTE-advanced. *International Conference on Research Challenges in Computer Science*. 2009.

85. Li, H., Habibi, S. and Ascheid, G. Handover Prediction for Long-Term Window Scheduling based on SINR Maps. *2013 IEEE 24th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 978-1-4673-6235-1. 917–921.
86. Fathy, M. E. M. Optimized Handover Mechanism between eNBs and the Dynamic Network of HeNBs in 3GPP LTE. *2013 Eighth International Conference on Broadband and Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 978-0-7695-5093-0. 1–8.
87. Koichiro, K., Toshihiko, K., Toshiaki, Y. and Satoshi, K. A handover optimization algorithm with mobility robustness for LTE systems. *IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, PIMRC*. IEEE. 2011.
88. Amirrudin, N. A. *Handover Management Algorithm based on Human Behaviour-Prediction for LTE Femtocell Network*. Ph. d. thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2015.
89. Lee, D., Kim, Y.-h. and Lee, H. Route Prediction Based Vehicular Mobility Management Scheme for VANET. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2014. 2014: 1–9.
90. Kreher, R. and Gaenger, K. *LTE Signaling, Troubleshooting, and Optimization*. 2010. ISBN 9780470689004. doi:10.1002/9780470977729.
91. Zhu, K., Niyato, D., Wang, P., Hossain, E. and Kim, D. I. Mobility and Handoff Management in Vehicular Networks : A Survey. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2011. 11(4): 459–476. doi: 10.1002/wcm.
92. Chang, Y.-T., Ding, J.-W., Ke, C.-H. and Chen, I.-Y. A Survey of Handoff Schemes for Vehicular Ad-Hoc Networks. *Proceedings of the 6th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC '10)*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. 2010. ISBN 9781450300629. 1228 – 1231. doi:10.1145/1815396.1815677.

93. Amirrudin, N. A. Mobility Prediction via Markov Model in LTE Femtocell. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 2013. 65(18): 40–44.
94. Tabany, M. R. and Guy, C. G. A Mobility Prediction Scheme of LTE / LTE-A Femtocells under Different Velocity Scenarios. *International Workshop on Computer Aided Modelling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD)*. IEEE. 2015. ISBN 9781467381864. 318–323.
95. Mouton, M., Castignani, G., Frank, R. and Engel, T. Enabling Vehicular Mobility in City-wide IEEE 802.11 Networks Through Predictive Handovers. *Vehicular Communications*, 2015. 2(2): 59–69. ISSN 2214-2096. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vehcom.2015.02.001>.
96. Daoui, M., M'zoughi, A., Lalam, M., Belkadi, M. and Aoudjit, R. Mobility Prediction Based on An Ant System. *Computer Communications*, 2008. 31(14): 3090–3097. ISSN 01403664. doi:10.1016/j.comcom.2008.04.009.
97. Issac, B., Hamid, K. A. and Tan, C. E. Hybrid Mobility Prediction of 802.11 Infrastructure Nodes By Location Tracking and Data Mining. *Journal of Information Technology in Asia*, 2010. 3: 9–24.
98. Liu, T., Bahl, P. and Chlamtac, I. Mobility Modeling, Location Tracking, and Trajectory Prediction in Wireless ATM Networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 1998. 16(6): 922–935. ISSN 07338716. doi:10.1109/49.709453.
99. Duong, T. and Tran, D. An Effective Approach for Mobility Prediction in Wireless Network based on Temporal Weighted Mobility Rule. *International Journal of Computer Science and Telecommunications*, 2012. 3(2): 29–36.
100. Ulvan, A., Ulvan, M. and Bestak, R. The Enhancement of Handover Strategy by Mobility Prediction in Broadband Wireless Access. *Proceedings of the Networking and Electronic Commerce Research Conference(NAEC 2009)*. 2009. 1–22.
101. Lee, J.-R., Han, S.-H. and Choi, Y.-H. Vehicle Mobility Pattern-Based Handover Scheme Using Discrete-Time Markov Chain. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2014. 40(1): 100–108. ISSN 00457906.

102. Grinstead, C. M. and Snell, J. L. Markov Chains. In: *Introduction to Probability*. American Mathematical Soc. 405–470. 2012. ISBN 13: 978-0-8218-9414-9.
103. Chowdhury, M. Z. and Jang, Y. M. Handover Management in High-Dense Femtocellular Networks. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2013. 2013(1): 1–21. ISSN 1687-1499. doi:10.1186/1687-1499-2013-6.
104. Pack, S. and Choi, Y. Performance analysis of fast handover In Mobile IPv6. *IFIP International Federation for Information Processing 2003*. 2003.
105. Wararkar, P. and Dorle, S. Vehicular Adhoc Networks Handovers with Metaheuristic Algorithms. *2014 International Conference on Electronic Systems, Signal Processing and Computing Technologies - not index*. IEEE. 2014. ISBN 978-1-4799-2102-7. 160–165.
106. Venkatachalaiah, S. and Harris, R. Improving Handoff in Wireless Networks using Grey and Particle Swarm Optimisation. *CCCT*, 2004. 5: 368—373. URL [http://www-ist.massey.ac.nz/rharris/PublicationFiles/2004/ImprovingHandoffWirelessGreySwarm-CCCT\(7\).pdf](http://www-ist.massey.ac.nz/rharris/PublicationFiles/2004/ImprovingHandoffWirelessGreySwarm-CCCT(7).pdf).
107. Khanderao, A. D. and Shah, B. I. Routing Optimization using Ant Colony Optimization in Vehicular Ad-hoc Network: A Survey. *Advances in Computer Science and Information Technology (ACSIT)*, 2015. 2(7): 1–6.
108. Saleem, K., Fisal, N. and Hafizah, S. Ant Based Self-Organized Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security (IJCNIS)*, 2009. 1(2): 42–46.
109. Zahar, M. M., Ariffin, S. H. S., Latiff, L. A. and Fisal, N. Implementation of Biological Routing Protocol in Tunnel Wireless Sensor Network (TWSN). *International Journal of Wireless & Mobile Networks (IJWMN)*, 2013. 5(4): 119–133.
110. Ali, A., Rashid, R. A., Arriffian, S. H. F. and Fisal, N. Optimal Forwarding Probability for Real-time Routing in Wireless Sensor Network. *2007 IEEE International Conference on Telecommunications and Malaysia International*

- Conference on Communications, ICT-MICC 2007*. Penang: IEEE. 2007, May. ISBN 1424410940. 419–424. doi:10.1109/ICTMICC.2007.4448673.
111. Dorigo, M., Maniezzo, V. and Colorni, A. Ant system: optimization by a colony of cooperating agents. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*, 1996. 26(1): 29–41.
 112. Stutzle, T. and Dorigo, M. A short convergence proof for a class of ant colony optimization algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation*, 2002. 6(4): 358–365.
 113. Chen, G., Guo, T.-D., Yang, W.-G. and Zhao, T. An improved ant-based routing protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing, 2006. CollaborateCom 2006. International Conference on*. IEEE. 2006. 1–7.
 114. Ahmed, A. A. and Fisal, N. A Real-time Routing Protocol with Load Distribution in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Computer Communications*, 2008. 31(14): 3190–3203. ISSN 01403664. doi:10.1016/j.comcom.2008.04.030.
 115. Chahin, W., El-Azouzi, R., De Pellegrini, F. and Azad, A. P. Blind online optimal forwarding in heterogeneous delay tolerant networks. *Wireless Days (WD), 2011 IFIP*. IEEE. 2011. 1–6.
 116. Singh, C., Altman, E., Kumar, A. and Sundaresan, R. Optimal forwarding in delay-tolerant networks with multiple destinations. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking (TON)*, 2013. 21(6): 1812–1826.
 117. Liu, C. and Wu, J. An optimal probabilistic forwarding protocol in delay tolerant networks. *Proceedings of the 10th ACM international symposium on Mobile ad hoc networking and computing*. ACM. 2009. 105–114.
 118. Ghazali, N. E., Ariffin, S. H. S., Wahab, N. H. A., Amiruddin, N. A. and Fisal, N. Handover Threshold Analysis Using Velocity for Proxy Mobile IPv6. *APWiMob*. Bali: IEEE. 2014, vol. 6. ISBN 9781479937110. 36–41.
 119. Fehske, A. J., Viering, I., Voigt, J., Sartori, C., Redana, S. and Fettweis, G. P. Small-cell self-organizing wireless networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2014. 102(3): 334–350.

120. 36.300, G. T. Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN); Overall Description; Stage 2. 2015. 12.5.0.
121. Rashid, M. A. *An LTE Implementation Based on a Road Traffic Density Model*. Ph.D. Thesis. Linkoping University. 2013.
122. Cerqueira, T. and Albano, M. RoutesMobilityModel : Easy Realistic Mobility Simulation using External Information Services, 2015.
123. Leduc, G. *et al.* Road traffic data: Collection methods and applications. *Working Papers on Energy, Transport and Climate Change*, 2008. 1(55).
124. Barth, D., Bellahsene, S. and Kloul, L. Mobility Prediction Using Mobile User Profiles. *2011 IEEE 19th Annual International Symposium on Modelling, Analysis, and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems*. IEEE. 2011. ISBN 978-1-4577-0468-0. 286–294.
125. Yusof, A. L., Salihin, S. S., Ya'acob, N., Ali, M. T. and Ya, N. Performance Analysis of Handover Strategy in Femtocell Network. *Journal of Communications*, 2013. 8(11): 8–13.
126. Altmann, J. Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 1974. 49(3-4): 227–266.
127. Fazio, P., Tropea, M. and Marano, S. A distributed hand-over management and pattern prediction algorithm for wireless networks With mobile hosts. *2013 9th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC)*. IEEE. 2013. ISBN 978-1-4673-2480-9. 294–298.
128. Shuichi, N., Edva, A., Yuriy, S. A., Chunxia, B., Christopher, G. B., Ibrahim, A. K., Zhang LI, L., Tharalika, Joseph, B. and Yuma, U. *Telecommunications / ICTs for rural and remote areas*. Technical report. ITU. 2017.
129. Khatri, H. Malaysian users in thinly-populated rural areas connect to 4G just 44% of the time. URL <https://www.opensignal.com/2019/10/31/malaysian-users-in-thinly-populated-rural-areas-connect-to-4g-just-44-of>

Appendix A VLP-HA Simulation Result

High Density Traffic

Figure B.1 demonstrate the result for a route from Cengal to K27 which is resident building in Kolej Tun Rahman (KTR). This simulation area consists 22 APs with the simulation time is 186 second. It shows from Figure B.1b that the data throughput is related with each of the handover. It seems that A2A4-HA has better data throughput transfer compared with the HBP-HA and VLP-HA. Although HBP-HA has considerable total of data throughput, it also has minimum data throughput from 80 seconds until 110 seconds. While VLP-HA has low data throughput each time the handover is triggered. Despite that, the number handover for VLP-HA far lower than the other two algorithms especially for A2A4-HA that was found has the highest number of handover.

Figure B.2 displays the result from source AP at CICT to destination AP at DSI. This simulation scenario has 27 APs with the simulation time of 218 seconds. Comparing Figure B.2b and Figure B.2c, even so, the data throughput for VLP-HA is the lowest, but the number of handover for A2A4-HA and HBP-HA algorithm are the highest that have caused high ping-pong effect. Data throughput for A2A4-HA is in line with HBP-HA. Beside that, the number of handover and ping-pong effect, A2A4-HA also has similar result with HBP-HA.

Figure B.3 gives the result of handover simulation for Meranti-Lab Tanaman Fertigasi UTM simulation area. This simulation area contains 18 APs which runs in 153 seconds. Data in Figure B.3b suggest that there is a relation between data throughput and number of handover. It appears that this simulation area has many APs with little simulation time that cause fast handover between the APs. As can be seen, the data throughput for HBP-HA from range 0 seconds has negative slope with minimum data throughput is at 20 seconds and the data throughput has positive slope until it reach maximum throughput at 40 seconds. It seem related with the location of APs that user go through which is at this point has very dense and close APs. HBP-HA probably have

delayed handover triggered during this point. On contrary, A2A4-HA has nearly linear result, while VLP-HA has minimum data throughput each time handover triggered. Despite the data throughput of VLP-HA is fewer than other two algorithms, the ping-pong effect for this handover algorithm not occur and a number of handover barely than the other two algorithms. The result for number of handover and ping-pong effect is shows in Figure B.3c.

The result of the simulation from source AP at P16 to destination AP, KP which is residential building for students as indicated in Figure B.4. This simulation area consists 16 APs with the simulation time 257second. There is slightly changed for A2A4-HA as can be observed in Figure B.4b which is the data throughput is lowest at time 150 second, and data throughput for HBP-HA is lowest at time 160 second while data throughput for VLP-HA is lowest at time 200 second. It have much different handover time for lowest data throughput for VLP-HA compared to A2A4-HA and HBP-HA. It could be inferred that these may have reacted with AP location. VLP-HA predicted AP if the current AP signal strength is less than required and the user will stay with the serving AP until the signal is lower than requirement. As for A2A4-HA, the user always handover back and forth to the next cell which make use of excessive resources. This result is consistent with the evident in Figure B.4c which is number of handover for HBP-HA and A2A4-HA are much more than VLP-HA.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal (SCOPUS)

1. Arfah A. Hasbollah, Sharifah H. S. Ariÿn, and N. Fisal, "Mobility Prediction Method for Vehicular Network using Markov Chain." Jurnal Teknologi, 78(6), 2016

Indexed conference proceedings

1. Arfah A. Hasbollah, Sharifah H. S. Ariÿn, "Mobility Prediction for High Speed Vehicle Using User's History Data Traÿc with Markov Chain Algorithm." in The 10th South East Asian Technical University Consortium (SEATUC) symposium. 2016.
2. Hasbollah A.A., Ariffin S.H.S., Ghazali N.E. (2017) Optimal Forwarding Probability for Vehicular Location Prediction Handover Algorithm. In: Mohamed Ali M., Wahid H., Mohd Subha N., Sahlan S., Md. Yunus M., Wahap A. (eds) Modeling, Design and Simulation of Systems. AsiaSim 2017. Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 752. Springer, Singapore
3. Arfah Ahmad Hasbollah, Sharifah Hafizah Syed Ariÿn, Nurzal Eÿyana Ghazali, Kamaludin Mohamad Yusof, Hiroaki Morino. "Handover Algorithm Based VLP Using Mobility Prediction Database for Vehicular Networks,". International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), 2018.(In Press)