

OPTIMISED TIME-FREQUENCY ANALYSIS FOR DRONE DETECTION IN A
MULTI-SIGNAL ENVIRONMENT

CHIA CHUN CHOON

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2021

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents for their endless support and encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my hearty gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Zuri bin Sha'ameri for his guidance, advice, encouragement, and enthusiasm given throughout the progress of this project. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted and grateful to my parent whose love is boundless and constantly encouraged me throughout my studies.

Finally, my sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues, administrative staffs at Faculty of Electrical Engineering, all members of the Digital Signal Processing Laboratory, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and others who have helped at various occasions. Their views, ideas, and feedbacks are useful indeed.

ABSTRACT

The abuse of recreational drones has caused security issues, crimes, and privacy problems. Thus, drone detection is needed as evidence for law enforcement or support countermeasures such as radio jamming, and remote control override. One way to detect drones is by monitoring the radio frequency (RF) link between the remote control and drone. The challenge is that recreational drones operate at the 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) bands, which have wide bandwidth of 100 MHz and 150 MHz respectively make the analysis costly. Furthermore, the drone signals like frequency-hopping spread spectrum (FHSS) and hybrid spread spectrum (HSS) are time-varying and require a time-frequency analysis (TFA). Also, the choice of window size is crucial for a TFA due to the uncertainty principle in time-frequency representation (TFR). Moreover, other wireless technologies in the environment, such as direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) and orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM), which is Wi-Fi operates in the same ISM band could interfere with the drone signal detection activity. In this thesis, an adaptive stepped frequency scan spectrogram (Adaptive-SFSS) was developed to analyse a large bandwidth at a lower sampling rate, including an adaptive window size estimation. In the Adaptive-SFSS, the received signal is divided into multiple sub-bands and scan through the large analysis bandwidth, the window size is estimated by balancing time and frequency resolution, the channel frequency and hop duration are estimated from TFR and used to derive the instantaneous frequency (IF). Three types of drone signals, the fast FHSS, slow FHSS, and HSS, together with two types of background signal, the DSSS and Wi-Fi were simulated. Then, the simulated received signal was analysed by the Adaptive-SFSS and compared with the adaptive wideband spectrogram (Adaptive-WS), the non-adaptive SFSS and WS. The performance of the Adaptive-SFSS was verified by Monte-Carlo simulation with 20 realizations at a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) range from -16 dB to 12 dB. In the presence of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN), the Adaptive-SFSS obtained a detection cut-off point of -12 dB for fast and slow FHSS and -5 dB for HSS. Additional background signals such as DSSS and Wi-Fi increased the cut-off point to 5 dB for fast-FHSS, 7 dB for slow-FHSS, and 8 dB for HSS. The Adaptive-SFSS is better because it has a similar cut-off point as the WS even the sampling rate is 4 times lower and capable of choosing the right window size automatically, rather than trial-and-error which is the conventional way.

ABSTRAK

Penyalahgunaan dron rekreasi telah menyebabkan berlakunya kes-kes jenayah, keselamatan dan ancaman privasi. Oleh itu, pengesanan dron diperlukan sebagai bukti untuk penguatkuasaan undang-undang atau menyokong langkah pencegahan dron, seperti gangguan radio, dan ambil alih kawalan radio. Satu cara untuk mengesan dron ialah memantau isyarat frekuensi radio (RF) antara alat kawalan jauh dengan dron. Cabarannya ialah dron rekreasi ini berfungsi dalam jalur industri, saintifik dan perubatan (ISM) 2.4 GHz dan 5.8 GHz, merupakan jalur yang lebar iaitu 100 MHz dan 150 MHz masing-masing meningkatkan kos analisis. Selain itu, isyarat dron seperti spektrum tersebar lompatan frekuensi (FHSS) dan spektrum tersebar hibrid (HSS) adalah bersifat isyarat masa selanjur dan memerlukan analisis masa-frekuensi (TFA). Pilihan saiz jendela adalah penting untuk TFA disebabkan prinsip ketidakpastian dalam perwakilan masa-frekuensi (TFR). Selanjutnya, kewujudan teknologi tanpa wayar lain di persekitaran, seperti spektrum tersebar langsung (DSSS) dan pemultipleksan pembahagian frekuensi ortogonal (OFDM) iaitu Wi-Fi juga berfungsi dalam jalur ISM yang sama mengganggu aktiviti pengesanan isyarat dron. Dalam tesis ini, spektrogram imbasan frekuensi berlangkah adaptif (Adaptif-SFSS) diperkenalkan untuk menganalisis jalur yang lebar pada kadar persampelan yang lebih rendah berserta dengan anggaran saiz jendela adaptif. Dengan Adaptif-SFSS ini, isyarat diterima itu dibahagikan kepada beberapa jalur separa dan imbas setiap jalur separa, saiz jendela dianggar dengan mengimbangkan resolusi masa dan frekuensi, saluran frekuensi dan jangka masa lompatan dianggarkan dari TFR dan digunakan untuk menghasilkan frekuensi seketika (IF). Tiga jenis isyarat dron iaitu FHSS pantas, FHSS perlahan, dan HSS, bersama dua jenis isyarat latar iaitu DSSS dan Wi-Fi telah disimulasikan. Selepas itu, isyarat simulasi itu dianalisis oleh Adaptif-SFSS dan berbanding dengan spektrogram jalur lebar adaptif (Adaptif-WS), serta WS dan SFSS yang bukan adaptif. Prestasi Adaptif-SFSS telah dinilai oleh simulasi Monte-Carlo dengan 20 realisasi pada nisbah isyarat-hingar (SNR) antara -16 dB hingga 12 dB. Dengan kewujudan tambahan hingar putih Gaussian (AWGN), Adaptif-SFSS memperoleh titik pemotong pengesanan adalah -12 dB untuk FHSS pantas dan perlahan, dan -5 dB untuk HSS. Selain itu, kewujudan isyarat latar seperti DSSS dan Wi-Fi meningkatkan titik pemotong kepada 5 dB untuk FHSS pantas, 7 dB untuk FHSS perlahan, dan 8 dB untuk HSS. Adaptif-SFSS adalah lebih baik kerana mempunyai titik pemotong yang sama dengan WS walaupun kadar persampelan itu 4 kali lebih rendah, dan dapat memilih saiz jendela yang betul secara automatik, bukannya dengan percubaan dan kesilapan yang merupakan cara konvensional.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Contribution of Work	5
	1.6 Thesis Organization	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Drone Detection Technologies	7
	2.3 Drone Wireless Technologies	11
	2.4 Large Bandwidth Analysis	13
	2.5 Compressive Sensing	17
	2.6 Time-Frequency Analysis	18
	2.7 Adaptive Window Size Estimation	21
	2.8 Signal Detection and Parameter Estimation	23

2.9	Research Gap	26
2.10	Summary	27
CHAPTER 3	TIME FREQUENCY ANALYSIS AND SIGNAL DETECTION	29
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Signal Model	30
3.3	Spectrogram Design	36
3.3.1	Wideband Spectrogram (WS)	36
3.3.2	Stepped Frequency Scan Spectrogram (SFSS)	39
3.3.3	Differences between WS and SFSS	43
3.3.4	Overlapping in Time Domain	44
3.3.5	Adaptive Window Size Algorithm	45
3.3.6	Threshold Setting	50
3.4	Drone Signals Analysis	52
3.4.1	Channel Frequency and Signal Bandwidth Estimation	53
3.4.2	Hop Duration Estimation	55
3.4.3	IF Estimation	57
3.5	Chapter Summary	59
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Experimental Setup	60
4.3	Time-Frequency Representation	61
4.4	Adaptive Window Size Estimation	62
4.5	Adaptive-SFSS in Signal Analysis	66
4.6	Spectrogram Accuracy	72
4.7	IF Estimation Results	76
4.8	IF Estimate Variance Results	79
4.9	Computational Complexity (CC)	83
4.10	Chapter Summary	87

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMEDATION	88
	5.1 Conclusion	88
	5.2 Thesis Contributions	90
	5.3 Suggestions for Future Work	90
REFERENCES		92
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Comparison of drone detection methods.	10
Table 2.2	Drone signal specifications.	13
Table 3.1	Drone signal parameters: hop duration (T_{hop}), channel frequency (f_c), channel index (k_c), bandwidth (f_{BW}), frequency difference (f_{Δ}), symbol rate (R_s) and chirp rate (R_c).	31
Table 3.2	Background signal parameters: signal length (T), channel frequency (f_c), bandwidth (f_{BW}), symbol rate (R_s) and chirp rate (R_c).	31
Table 3.3	Variable filter and variable-LO parameters corresponding to sub-bands.	40
Table 3.4	Differences between WS and SFSS.	43
Table 3.5	Predefined hop duration range for bins.	56
Table 4.1	Window size estimation results by manipulating hop duration.	62
Table 4.2	Window size estimation results by manipulating signal bandwidth.	63
Table 4.3	Estimated threshold results for baseline signal.	66
Table 4.4	Estimated results of channel frequency and hop duration for the received drone signals.	68
Table 4.5	Binning results for the received drone signals.	69
Table 4.6	AAPE of SFSS for fast-FHSS, slow-FHSS, HSS signals	73
Table 4.7	AAPE of WS for fast-FHSS, slow-FHSS, HSS signals	73
Table 4.8	IF estimate variance cut-off point	80
Table 4.9	CC for the first signal analysis, $N = 64 \times 10^4$ samples.	84
Table 4.10	CC for the second signal analysis onwards, $N = 64 \times 10^4$ samples.	84

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Radio communication link of drone.	11
Figure 2.2	Block diagram of signal detection system.	14
Figure 2.3	Block diagram of superheterodyne architecture.	15
Figure 2.4	Block diagram of FFT spectrum analyser.	15
Figure 2.5	RF channelization-based receiver.	16
Figure 2.6	Block diagram of modulated wideband converter.	18
Figure 2.7	Time and frequency domain relationship in 3-dimension.	19
Figure 2.8	Reassignment method of TFR.	22
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the Adaptive-SFSS in drone signal detection.	29
Figure 3.2	Definition of signal parameters estimated from TFR.	34
Figure 3.3	WS analysis bandwidth.	36
Figure 3.4	WS block diagram.	37
Figure 3.5	Block diagram of a down-conversion mixer.	37
Figure 3.6	SSFS analysis bandwidth.	39
Figure 3.7	SFSS block diagram.	40
Figure 3.8	Processing block for the SFSS compares with the WS.	44
Figure 3.9	Non-overlapped Hamming window in the time domain.	44
Figure 3.10	Highly overlapped Hamming window in the time domain.	45
Figure 3.11	Definition of the time and frequency bins in TFR.	47
Figure 3.12	Flowchart of the adaptive window size estimation.	49
Figure 3.13	Threshold settings from the power spectrum of baseline signal.	51
Figure 3.14	Flowchart of threshold setting.	51

Figure 3.15	Drone signals detection with threshold.	52
Figure 3.16	Flowchart of drone signal analysis.	53
Figure 3.17	TFR and power spectrum of a drone signal.	53
Figure 3.18	Channel frequency and signal bandwidth estimation.	54
Figure 3.19	Instantaneous power from TFR of drone signal.	55
Figure 3.20	Instantaneous power for one hop of drone signal.	56
Figure 3.21	Algorithm of binning for estimated hop duration.	57
Figure 3.22	TFR and IF of a drone signal.	58
Figure 4.1	TFR of drones and background signals from the SFSS and WS.	60
Figure 4.2	Adaptive window size by manipulating hop duration.	62
Figure 4.3	Adaptive window size by manipulating signal bandwidth.	63
Figure 4.4	Adaptive-SFSS analysis flow diagram.	64
Figure 4.5	TFRs with the smallest and biggest window size for a received signal.	65
Figure 4.6	TFR and threshold setting for baseline signal.	66
Figure 4.7	TFR before and after thresholding for the received signal.	67
Figure 4.8	IF for the received drone signals.	70
Figure 4.9	TFR and IF for different received drone signals.	70
Figure 4.10	IF estimation for the Adaptive-SFSS in multi-signal environment case 2 and case 5.	75
Figure 4.11	IF estimation for the Adaptive-WS in multi-signal environment case 2 and case 5.	76
Figure 4.12	IF estimate variance for the Adaptive-SFSS in different multi-signal environments.	78
Figure 4.13	IF estimate variance for the Adaptive-WS in different multi-signal environments.	79

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

RF	-	Radio Frequency
ISM	-	Industrial, Scientific, and Medical
FHSS	-	Frequency-Hopping Spread Spectrum
DSSS	-	Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum
HSS	-	Hybrid Spread Spectrum
OFDM	-	Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing
CC	-	Computational Complexity
TFR	-	Time-Frequency Representation
TFA	-	Time-Frequency Analysis
WS	-	Wideband Spectrogram
FAA	-	Federal Aviation Administration
SFSS	-	Stepped Frequency Scan Spectrogram
IF	-	Instantaneous Frequency
SNR	-	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
RCS	-	Radar Cross Section
LOS	-	Line Of Sight
RC	-	Radio Control
IEEE	-	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
MAC	-	Medium Access Control
ADC	-	Analogue-to-Digital Converter
FFT	-	Fast-Fourier Transform
LO	-	Local Oscillator
IQ	-	In-phase and Quadrature
LFP	-	Low-Pass Filter
STFT	-	Short-Time Fourier Transform

IIR	-	Infinite Impulse Response
WVD	-	Wigner-Ville Distribution
CM	-	Concentration Measure
DLBS	-	Doppler-Lag Block Searching
BFSK	-	Binary Frequency Shift Keying
BPSK	-	Binary Phase Shift Keying
QPSK	-	Quadrature Phase Shift Keying
CCTV	-	Wireless Closed-Circuit Television
AWGN	-	Additive White Gaussian Noise
WLAN	-	Wireless Local Area Network
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
APE	-	Absolute Percentage Error
AAPE	-	Average Absolute Percentage Error
SD	-	Symbol Duration
MLW	-	Main Lobe Width
TFD	-	Time-Frequency Distribution
FM	-	Frequency Modulation
SP	-	Simple Pulse
CC4	-	4-Costas Coded
MSE	-	Mean-Squared-Error

LIST OF SYMBOLS

t_{eff}	-	Effective time interval where signal is concentrated
f_{eff}	-	Effective frequency bandwidth of signal
$p(t)$	-	Pseudorandom sequence code waveform
N_w	-	Window size
f_s	-	Sampling frequency
\bar{E}	-	Mean energy
N	-	Signal length in samples
$y(t)$	-	Received signal function
T_{hop}	-	Hop duration
f_c	-	Channel frequency
k_c	-	Channel index
f_{BW}	-	Bandwidth
f_{Δ}	-	Frequency difference
R_s	-	Symbol rate
R_c	-	Chirp rate
T	-	Signal length in time
$a(t)$	-	Information bearing signal
$s_n(t)$	-	Drone signal function
$v_n(t)$	-	Background signal function
f_k	-	Subcarrier frequency
A	-	Signal gain
Δf_k	-	Subcarrier spacing
$n(t)$	-	Additive white Gaussian noise signal
σ_n^2	-	Variance of Additive white Gaussian noise

f_{LO}	-	Frequency of local oscillator
$x_d(t)$	-	Down-converted signal
$x_r(t)$	-	Received radio frequency signal
$x_I(t)$	-	Demodulated in-phase signal
$x_Q(t)$	-	Demodulated quadrature signal
$x_i(t)$	-	Intermediate frequency signal
ϕ_{LO}	-	Phase angle of local oscillator
ω_{LO}	-	Angular velocity of local oscillator
$x_f(t)$	-	Filtered signal
$x(m)$	-	Discrete signal from analogue-to-digital converter
$h(t)$	-	Filter kernel
$w(n)$	-	Window function
$S_x(n, k)$	-	Time-frequency representation
$g_a(t)$	-	Variable prefilter kernel
f_a	-	Frequency of variable local oscillator
ω_a	-	Angular velocity of variable local oscillator
$X(n, k)$	-	Signal spectrum matrix
$X_s(n, k_s)$	-	Signal sub-spectrum matrix
N_T	-	Number of time bins
N_F	-	Number of frequency bins
T_r	-	Time resolution
F_r	-	Frequency resolution
$P_x(k)$	-	Power spectrum
P_{ave}	-	Average threshold
$P_T(k)$	-	Threshold power across spectrum
$P_B(k)$	-	Maximum power of background signal
f_p	-	Frequency peak

f_{low}	-	Lower frequency
f_{upp}	-	Upper frequency
$P_i(n)$	-	Instantaneous power
t_e	-	Start time for rise of instantaneous power
t_s	-	End time for fall of instantaneous power
f_i	-	Instantaneous frequency
APE_T	-	Absolute percentage error of hop duration
APE_F	-	Absolute percentage error of signal bandwidth
T_{bit}	-	Signal bit-duration
N_{w_min}	-	Minimum window size
N_{w_max}	-	Maximum window size
d	-	Down-sampling ratio
n_f	-	Total number of estimated channel frequency
n_{hop}	-	Total number of estimated hop duration
C_{specg}	-	Computational complexity of spectrogram method
C_{f_est}	-	Computational complexity of channel frequency estimation
C_{T_est}	-	Computational complexity of hop duration estimation
C_{param_est}	-	Computational complexity of signal parameter estimation
C_{win}	-	Computational complexity of window size estimation
C_{thres}	-	Computational complexity of threshold estimation
C_{IF}	-	Computational complexity of Instantaneous frequency estimation
C_{adp_sfss}	-	Computational complexity of the Adaptive-SFSS
C_{adp_ws}	-	Computational complexity of the Adaptive-WS
C_{sfss}	-	Computational complexity of the SFSS
C_{ws}	-	Computational complexity of the WS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Drone applications for civilian and commercial have grown for the past decade. Drones are referred to as radio-controlled unmanned aircraft systems that provide beneficial visual service in the sky. Examples of the legal use of drones are industrial and building safety inspection, geographic mapping, aerial monitoring, photography and videography, delivery, and agriculture research [1]. Nevertheless, this emerging technology and mass penetration to the market had been classified as one of the issues in electromagnetic spectrum monitoring [2].

In addition, the widespread use of recreational drones, such as miniature, multi or single rotor drones has caused security issues, crime, terrorism, and privacy problem. For example:

- a) On 20th Jun 2020, 4 Singaporeans have been arrested after the authorities seized a drone carrying drugs at Kranji Reservoir Park, Singapore. They were suspected to have operated the drone to import drugs from Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia [3].
- b) On 9th Jun 2020, a man is accused of flying a drone over a protected Ministry of Defence base in Singapore seven times and taking pictures [4].
- c) On 14th Jan 2019, 8 reports of illegal drones flying near Changi Airport over the past 3 years [5].
- d) On 21st Dec 2018, 120,000 passengers had their flights delayed and cancelled at Gatwick Airport in London because a drone was detected near the airfield [6].

- e) On 12th Aug 2017, UK Navy carrier security was under review after an amateur landed a drone on deck undetected [7].

Thus, drone detection is needed as evidence for law enforcement or support countermeasures such as radio jamming, and remote control override.

In drone signal detections, it includes monitoring the radio frequency (RF) link between the remote control and drone and estimating drone signal parameters. Besides, the recreational drone uses the same 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) band as other wireless technologies, such as Wi-Fi and Bluetooth could interfere with the drone signal detection in this multi-signal environment.

1.2 Problem Statement

Usually, drones are flying in public and noisy environments. To protect against interference, drones adopt spread spectrum technologies such as frequency hopping spread spectrum (FHSS), direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS), hybrid spread spectrum (HSS), and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) which is Wi-Fi operate in 2.4 GHz ISM band [8]. These are time-varying signals with parameters such as hop duration, hop rate, and channel frequency are useful to detect the presence of drones. Thus, representing the signal information in the time and frequency domain is important in drone signal analysis.

Besides, the 2.4 GHz ISM band is reserved internationally for unlicensed RF application and have a large bandwidth of 100 MHz. The Shannon-Nyquist theorem stated that to perfectly represent an analogue signal, the sampling frequency must higher than twice the signal's bandwidth [9]. Since a recreational drone that uses the FHSS signal can hop across the 100 MHz frequency band, monitoring the entire bandwidth requires a very high sampling rate [10]. In addition, the higher the sampling rate, the larger the signal samples and eventually contributes to higher computational complexity (CC). As the drone signal is hopping across the large

frequency band makes it difficult to detect while maintaining a low sampling rate at the same time.

In time-frequency representation (TFR), there is a trade-off between the resolution of time and frequency due to the uncertainty principle [11]. It is impossible to get a high resolution TFR in both time and frequency simultaneously. However, this is crucial if precision is required to estimate the time and frequency parameters of a signal. This is best illustrated by a modulated Gaussian pulse [12]. It is shown that this is the only signal where the product of effective time, t_{eff} and effective frequency, f_{eff} is constant at $1/4\pi$, and other signals should conform to this equality $t_{eff}f_{eff} \geq 1/4\pi$. The result of this inequality is also known as the uncertainty principle similar to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle in quantum physics. Since the product is a constant, a short duration pulse will have a broad bandwidth and vice versa. Similarly, an increase in time resolution will cause a decrease in frequency resolution and vice versa. Since each drone has different signal bandwidth and hop duration needs an analysis window size adapting to signal characteristics by balancing between time and frequency resolution.

Additionally, the 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz ISM bands used by the recreational drone are also used by other wireless technologies such as Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth. In this multi-signal environment, the other wireless technology signals are mixed with drone signals, and difficult to distinguish them [13]. Unlike the white noise, background signals like Wi-Fi have a dedicated transmission channel and only affect drone signals at certain frequency range. Hence, the threshold for drone signal detection could be adapted to the change of frequency spectrum.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

This thesis embarks on the following objectives:

- a) To develop a time-frequency analysis (TFA) method that minimises sampling rate by splitting a large frequency band into sub-bands and perform scanning.
- b) To develop an adaptive window size algorithm that automatically chooses an analysis window size by balancing the time and frequency resolution according to the drone signal characteristic.
- c) To separate drone signal from background signal with a threshold derived from baseline spectrum and detect drone signal based on the estimated signal parameters such as hop duration, channel frequency, and hop sequence.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scopes of work in this study are:

- a) Target only on frequency-agile drone signals like FHSS and HSS signals and operate in 2.4 GHz to 2.5 GHz (2.4 GHz ISM band).
- b) Non-frequency-agile drone signal like DSSS is excluded because the channel frequency is static and not occupy the entire frequency band.
- c) Bluetooth wireless technology is excluded from environment signal because it is for short-range communication, low data rate transmission, and usually use in an enclosed space.
- d) In signal analysis, the RF signal would be down-converted to an intermediate frequency signal as the received signal to the drone signal detection system.
- e) The Sampling frequency is set at the Nyquist rate, which is 200 MHz for the wideband spectrogram (WS), and 50 MHz for the proposed method.

- f) For the non-adaptive spectrogram method that chooses a window size manually, the choice of window size is a power progression from 64 to 4096 samples, equivalent to 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 4096 samples [14].
- g) For sub-bands scanning for the proposed method, the settling time of switching from one sub-band to the next sub-band considered is zero.
- h) In a typical multi-signal environment, the background signals contain white noise, Wi-Fi, DSSS, and free from any drone signals. This is a baseline signal that being sample ahead of time before separating the drone signal from the background signal.
- i) Multipath fading effect is not considered in this study because the recreational drones normally fly in an open area and above the ground with the maximum allowable altitude of 400 feet stated in the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) fact sheet [15].
- j) During the development, testing, and benchmarking of the algorithm, MATLAB software will be used as the simulation tool.

1.5 Contribution of Work

This study proposed an adaptive stepped frequency scan spectrogram (Adaptive-SFSS) method to represent a signal in TFR and estimate signal parameters. The Adaptive-SFSS can analyse large bandwidth at a lower sampling rate compared to the WS. This is done by dividing the large analysis bandwidth into multiple small sub-bands and scan through. Even though the Adaptive-SFSS might miss some of the signals that appear in certain sub-band that are not actively in the scan, it is not an issue when the scanning speed is faster than the signal hopping speed. Furthermore, the Adaptive-SFSS has a lower sampling rate and a smaller number of samples for signal representation that eventually lower down the computational cost.

Secondly, this study proposed an adaptive window size algorithm based on the balance between time and frequency resolution. The algorithm can optimize the analysis window size by adapting the time and frequency resolution to the signal characteristic. Plus, this algorithm enables automatic window size selection, provides an accurate TFR, and improves the signal parameter estimation. Since the algorithm is adaptable, it allows easy-to-deploy in measurement setup which is one of the suggested strategies for next generation spectrum monitoring [2].

Additionally, the drone signal parameters such as hop duration, channel frequency, and instantaneous frequency (IF) are estimated by analysing the instantaneous power and power spectrum derived from the TFR. In signal classification, these signal parameters and IF are useful in identifying a wireless technology and hopping sequence used by drones, and eventually trace back to the drone manufacturer and buyer.

1.6 Thesis Organization

There are five chapters in this thesis, it begins with Chapter 1 as an introduction. Chapter 2 is a literature review that consists of the discussion of drone signals and detection technologies, signal representation, and analysis. Chapter 3 would focus on the methodology of the WS and SFSS spectrogram, threshold setting, adaptive window size algorithm, and signal parameters estimation. After that, Chapter 4 would present the result and discussion about the adaptive window size algorithm, signal representation, and analysis. A Monte Carlo simulation is carried out to verify the spectrogram performance in different multi-signal environments at a various signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Conclusion and future work are described in Chapter 5.

REFERENCES

1. Dobie, G., Whitehead, J. and Raj, S. Rise of the Drones. *Allianz GLocal Corporate & Specialty*, 2016. 17 p.
2. Boyaci, A., Ekti, A.R., Yarkan, S. and Aydin, M.A. Monitoring, Surveillance, and Management of the Electromagnetic Spectrum: Current Issues in Electromagnetic Spectrum Monitoring. *Electrica*, 2018. 18(1): 100-108.
3. 4 arrested after drone carrying drugs spotted over Kranji Reservoir Park. *Channel News Asia (CNA)*. [Internet]. 2020 Jun 20. Available from <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/singapore/drone-drug-trafficking-arrest-kranji-reservoir-park-12854538>
4. Man charged with repeatedly flying drone near MINDEF protected base, taking photos with it. *Channel News Asia (CNA)*. [Internet]. 2020 Jun 09. Available from <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/singapore/man-charged-flying-drone-take-photos-mindef-base-12818600?cid=FBcna>
5. 8 reports of illegal drone flying near Changi Airport over past 3 years. *Channel News Asia (CNA)*. [Internet]. 2020 Jan 14. Available from <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/singapore/drones-flying-near-changi-airport-threat-counter-measures-11120262>
6. Gatwick chaos: Police 'could shoot down drone'. *BBC*. [Internet]. 2018 Dec 21. Available from <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-sussex-46640033>
7. Tiny drone lands on Queen Elizabeth aircraft carrier. *BBC*. [Internet]. 2017 Aug 12. Available from <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-scotland-highlands-islands-40910087>
8. Shin, H., Choi, K., Park, Y., Choi, J. and Kim, Y. Security Analysis of FHSS-type Drone Controller. *Information Security Application WISA 2015 LNCS*, 2016. 9503: 240-253.
9. Fyhn, K., Jensen, T.L., Larsen, T. and Jensen, S.H. Compressive Sensing for Spread Spectrum Receiver. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2013. 12(5): 2334-2343.

10. Liu, F., Marcellin, M.W., Goodman, N.A., and Bilgin, A. Compressive Sampling for Detection of Frequency-Hopping Spread Spectrum Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2016. 64(21): 5513-5524.
11. Zhong, J. and Huang, Y. Time-Frequency Representation Based on an Adaptive Short-Time Fourier Transform. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2010. 58(10): 5118-5128.
12. Boashash, B. Time-Frequency Signal Analysis and Processing. 2nd ed. Academic Press. 2016.
13. Luan, H. and Jiang, H. Blind Detection of Frequency Hopping Signal Using Time-Frequency Analysis. *6th International Conference on Wireless Communications Networking and Mobile Computing (WiCOM)*. Sept 23-25, 2010, Chengdu, China. 2010. 1-4.
14. Kumar, G.G., Sahoo, S.K. and Meher, P.K. 50 Years of FFT Algorithms and Applications. *Circuit Syst Signal Process*, 2019. 38: 5665-5698.
15. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). *Fact Sheet - Small Unmanned Aircraft Regulations (Part 107)*. [Internet]. 2018. Available from https://www.faa.gov/news/fact_sheets/news_story.cfm?newsId=22615
16. Drone Market Outlook: Industry Growth Trends, Market Stats and Forecast. *Business Insider Intelligence*. [Internet]. 2020 Mar 04. Available from <https://www.businessinsider.com/drone-industry-analysis-market-trends-growth-forecasts>
17. Musa, A.S., Raja-Abdullah, R.S.A., Sali, A., Ismail, A., Abdul-Rashid, N.E., Ibrahim, I.P., and Salah, A.A. A Review of Copter Drone Detection Using Radar Systems. *Defence S&T Technical Bullentin*, 2019. 12(1):16-38.
18. Shi, X., Yang C., Xie, W., Liang, C., Shi, Z. and Chen, J. Anti-Drone System with Multiple Surveillance Technologies: Architecture, Implementation, and Challenges. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(4): 68-74.
19. Ochodnický, J., Matousek. Z., Babjak, M. and Kurty, J. Drone Detection by Ku-band Battlefield Radar. *International Conference on Military Technologies (ICMT)*. May 31 - Jun 2, 2017, Brno, Czech Republic. 2010. 613-616.
20. Guvenc, I., Koohifar, F., Singh, S., Sichitiu, M.L. and Matolak, D. Detection, Tracking, and Interdiction for Amateur Drones. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2018. 56(4): 75-81.

21. Mezei, J, Fiaska, V. and Molnár, A. Drone sound detection. *16th IEEE International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Informatics (CINTI)*. Nov 19-21, 2015, Budapest, Hungary. 2016. 333-338.
22. Rozantsev, A., Lepetit, V. and Fua, P. Detecting Flying Objects Using a Single Moving Camera. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2016. 39(5): 1-14.
23. Andraši, P., Radišić, T., Muštra, M. and Ivošević, J. Night-time Detection of UAVs using Thermal Infrared Camera. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 2017. 28: 183-190.
24. Sosnowski, T., Bieszczad, G., Madura H. and Kastek, M. Thermovision system for flying objects detection. *Baltic URSI Symposium (URSI)*. May 15-17, 2018, Poznan, Poland. 2018. 141-144.
25. Fu, H., Abeywickrama, S., Zhang L. and Yuen, C. Low-Complexity Portable Passive Drone Surveillance via SDR-Based Signal Processing. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(4): 112-118.
26. Phuan, Y.S. Drone alert!. *Rohde & Schwarz GmbH & Co. KG, News 216*. [Internet]. 2016. Available from https://scdn.rohde-schwarz.com/ur/pws/dl_downloads/dl_common_library/dl_news_from_rs/216/NEWS_216__ARD_RONIS_english.pdf
27. Liu, H., Wei, Z., Chen, Y., Pan, J., Lin, L. and Ren, Y. Drone Detection Based on an Audio-Assisted Camera Array. *IEEE Third International Conference on Multimedia Big Data (BigMM)*. Apr 19-21, 2017, Laguna Hills, CA, USA. 2017. 402-406.
28. Darack, E. Build Your Own Drone. *Air & Space Magazine*. [Internet]. Jul 2014. Available from <https://www.airspacemag.com/flight-today/build-your-own-drone-180951417/>
29. Rohde & Schwarz GmbH & Co. KG. Protecting the Sky Signal Monitoring of Radio Controlled Civilian Unmanned Aerial Vehicles and Possible Countermeasures. 2016. 14 p.
30. Torrieri, D. *Principles of Spread-Spectrum Communication Systems*. 3rd ed. Springer International Publishing. 2015.
31. Olama, M.M., Ma, X. Kuruganti, T.P., Smith, S.F. and Djouadi, S.M. Hybrid DS/FFH spread-spectrum: A robust, secure transmission technique for communication in harsh environments. *MILCOM 2011 Military*

- Communications Conference*. Nov 7-10, 2011, Baltimore, MD, USA. 2012. 2136-2141.
32. Mototolea, D. and Stolk, C. Software Defined Radio for Analyzing Drone Communication Protocols. *International Conference on Communications (COMM)*. Jun 14-16, 2018, Bucharest, Romania. 2018. 485-490.
 33. Pärilin, K., Alam, M.M. and Moullec, Y.L. Jamming of UAV remote control systems using software defined radio. *International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS)*. May 22-23, 2018, Warsaw, Poland. 2018. 1-6.
 34. Yang, G., Shi, X., Feng, L., He, S., Shi, Z. and Chen, J. CEDAR: A Cost-Effective Crowdsensing System for Detecting and Localizing Drones. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2020. 19(9):2028-2043.
 35. Sha'ameri, A.Z. and Kanaa, A. Robust Multiple Channel Scanning and Detection of Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Communication Signals. *Defence S&T Technical Bullentin*, 2016. 9(1):1-17.
 36. Witte, R.A. *Spectrum and Network Measurements*. 2nd ed. SciTech Publishing. 2014.
 37. Mzyk, R., Dehm-Andone, G., Hausknecht, F., Fischer, G., Weigel, R. and Koelpin, A. Design aspects of scanning receiver systems. *The 7th German Microwave Conference*. Mar 12-14, 2012, Ilmenau, Germany. 2012. 1-4.
 38. McCarthy, D. Modern Receiver Architectures : Considerations for spectrum monitoring applications. *IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Signal & Power Integrity (EMC+SIPI)*. Jul 22-26, 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA. 2019. 18-21.
 39. Adnani, A.A., Duplity, J. and Philips, L. Spectrum analyzers today and tomorrow: Part 2. *IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine*, 2013. 16(6): 36-40.
 40. Zhang, Y. Liu, R. and Song, H. A Method of the Detection of Frequency-Hopping Signal Based on Channelized Receiver in the Complicated Electromagnetic Environment. *International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing (IIH-MSP)*. Sept 23-25, 2015, Adelaide, SA, Australia. 2016. 294-297.
 41. Yan, Q., Wu, H. and Wang, C. Research on Broadband Channelized Receiver Technology Based on DBF. *IEEE 4th International Conference on Cloud*

- Computing and Big Data Analysis (ICCCBDA)*. Apr 12-15, 2019, Chengdu, China. 2019. 529-533.
42. Kim, J., Utomo, D.R., Dissanayake, A., Han, S. and Lee, S. The Evolution of Channelization Receiver Architecture: Principles and Design Challenges. *IEEE Access*, 2017. 5:25385-25395.
 43. Liu, W., Huang, Z., Wang, X. and Sun, W. Design of a Single Channel Modulated Wideband Converter for Wideband Spectrum Sensing Theory, Architecture and Hardware Implementation. *MDPI Sensor (Basel)*, 2017. 17(5):1035-1053.
 44. Chinatto, A., Junqueira, C. and Romano, J.M.T. Enhancing DSSS-signals channel estimation through compressive sensing. *International Telecommunications Symposium (ITS)*. Aug 17-20, 2014, Sao Paulo, Brazil. 2014. 1-5.
 45. Nguyen, L., Fiche, A., Gautier, R., Canaff, C., Radoi, E. and Burel, G. Implementation of Modulated Wideband Converter compressed sensing scheme based on COTS lowpass filter with amplitude and phase compensation for spectrum monitoring. *15th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance (AVSS)*. Nov 27-30, 2018, Auckland, New Zealand. 2019. 1-6.
 46. Lei, Z., Yang, P. and Zheng, L. Detection and Frequency Estimation of Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Signals Based on Channelized Modulated Wideband Converters. *MDPI Electronics*, 2018. 7(9):170-188.
 47. Semmlow, J. *Circuits, Signals and Systems for Bioengineers*. 3rd ed. Academic Press. 2017.
 48. Ezuma, M., Erden, E., Anjinappa, C.K., Ozdemir, O. and Guvenc, I. Micro-UAV Detection and Classification from RF Fingerprints Using Machine Learning Techniques. *IEEE Aerospace Conference*. Mar 2-9, 2019, Big Sky, MT, USA. 2019. 1-13.
 49. Zhang, Q., Liu, Y. and Zhang, X. Parameter estimation of non-modulated or modulated Frequency-Hopping signals. *IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing, Communications and Computing (ICSPCC)*. Aug 5-8, 2016, Hong Kong. 2016. 1-4.
 50. Javed, F. and Mahmood, A. The use of time frequency analysis for spectrum sensing in cognitive radios. *4th International Conference on Signal*

- Processing and Communication Systems*. Dec 13-15, 2010, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia. 2011. 1-7.
51. Guo, J., Liu, L. and Wang, L. Time frequency representation of frequency hopping signals based on cyclic spectral correlation. *International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Control (ICECC)*. Sept 9-11, 2011, Ningbo, China. 2011. 1178-1181.
 52. Hon, T.K. and Georgakis, A. Enhancing the Resolution of the Spectrogram Based on a Simple Adaptation Procedure. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2012. 60(10): 5566-5571.
 53. Pukhova, V., Gorelova, E., Ferrini, G. and Burnasheva, S. Time-frequency representation of signals by wavelet transform. *IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EIConRus)*. Feb 1-3, 2017, St. Petersburg, Russia. 2017. 715-718.
 54. Sirotiya, M. and Banerjee, A. Detection and estimation of frequency hopping signals using wavelet transform. *Second UK-India-IDRC International Workshop on Cognitive Wireless Systems (UKIWCWS)*. Dec 13-14, 2010, New Delhi, India. 2011. 1-5.
 55. Sharma, M., Singh, G. and Gupta, R. Application of Wavelet – An Advanced Approach of Transformation. *Krishi Sanskriti Publications Advanced Research in Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, 2014. 1(1): 28-34.
 56. Auger, F., Flandrin, P., Lin, Y., McLaughlin, S., Meignen, S., Oberlin, T. and Wu, H. Time-Frequency Reassignment and Synchrosqueezing: An Overview. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 2013. 30(6): 32-41.
 57. Bruni, V., Tartaglione, M. and Vitulano, D. A Fast and Robust Spectrogram Reassignment Method. *MDPI Mathematics*, 2019. 7(4): 358-378.
 58. Pei, S. and Huang, S. STFT With Adaptive Window Width Based on the Chirp Rate. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2012, 60(8): 4065-4080.
 59. Hamdi, M.N.M., and Sha'ameri, A.Z. Time-Frequency Representation of Radar Signals Using Doppler-Lag Block Searching Wigner-Ville Distribution. *Advances in Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, 2018. 16: 318-331.
 60. Levy, B.C. *Principles of Signal Detection and Parameter Estimation*. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated. 2010.

61. Han, Y. and Jia, G. Adaptive Acquisition Threshold Algorithm Based on Mean Energy. *Third International Conference on Instrumentation, Measurement, Computer, Communication and Control*. Sept 21-23 2013, Shenyang, China. 2014. 634-637.
62. Deng, Z., Shen, L., Bao, N., Su, B., Lin, J. and Wang, D. Autocorrelation based detection of DSSS signal for cognitive radio system. *International Conference on Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP)*. Nov 9-11, 2011, Nanjing, China. 2011. 1-5.
63. Meng, F., Zhang, L. and Wang, Y. Detection of DS & FH hybrid Spread Spectrum Signal in TT & C communication. *IEEE Third International Conference on Information Science and Technology (ICIST)*. Mar 23-25, 2013, Yangzhou, China. 2014. 1242-1245.
64. Ma, Y. and Yan, Y. Blind Detection and Parameter Estimation of Single Frequency-Hopping Signal in Complex Electromagnetic Environment. *Sixth International Conference on Instrumentation & Measurement, Computer, Communication and Control (IMCCC)*. Jul 21-23, 2016, Harbin, China. 2016. 370-374.
65. Kanaa, A. and Sha'ameri, A.Z. A robust parameter estimation of FHSS signals using time–frequency analysis in a non-cooperative environment. *Physical Communication*, 2018. 26: 9-20.
66. Temtam, A., Popescu, D.C. and Popescu, O. Using OFDM pilot tone information to detect active 4G LTE transmissions. *10th International Conference on Communications (COMM)*. May 29-31 May, 2014, Bucharest, Romania. 2014. 1-4.
67. Mototolea, D. A Study On The Actual And Upcoming Drone Communication Systems. *International Symposium on Signals, Circuits and Systems (ISSCS)*. Jul 11-12, 2019, Iasi, Romania. 2019. 1-4.
68. Shin, C.E., Rim, K.S. and Kim, Y. A Weighted OFDM Signal Scheme for Peak-to-Average Power Ratio Reduction of OFDM Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2013. 62(3): 1406-1409.
69. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). IEEE Standard for Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems - LAN/MAN Specific Requirements - Part 11: Wireless Medium

- Access Control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) specifications: High Speed Physical Layer in the 5 GHz band (IEEE Std 802.11a-1999). 1999.
70. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). IEEE Standard for Information technology - Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific requirements - Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications: Further Higher Data Rate Extension in the 2.4 GHz Band (IEEE Std 802.11g-2003). 2003.
 71. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). IEEE Standard for Information technology-- Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific requirements - Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications Amendment 5: Enhancements for Higher Throughput (IEEE Std 802.11n-2009). 2009.
 72. Chee, Y.M., Sha'ameri, A.Z., and Zabidi, M.M.A. IF estimation of FSK signal using adaptive smoothed windowed cross Wigner-Ville distribution. *Signal Processing*, 2014. 100: 71-84.
 73. Adnani, A.A., Duplity, J. and Philips, L. Spectrum analyzers today and tomorrow: part 1 towards filterbanks-enabled real-time spectrum analysis. *IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine*, 2013. 16(5): 6-11.
 74. Sha'ameri, A.Z., and Tan, J.L. Spectrogram time-frequency analysis and classification of digital modulation signals. IEEE International Conference on Telecommunications and Malaysia International Conference on Communications. May 14-17, 2007, Penang, Malaysia. 2008. 113-118.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Chia, C.C. and Sha'ameri, A.Z. Adaptive Window Size and Stepped Frequency Scan Spectrogram Analysis for Drone Signal Detection in Multi-Signal Environment. *Defence S&T Technical Bullentin*, 2020. 13(1):41-60.