THE EFFECTS OF HEUTAGOGY LEARNING ENVIRONMENT TOWARDS SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' CREATIVITY IN ESL PRESENTATION

NORAIDA BINTI DAUT

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Education

School of Education
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2021

DEDICATION

Dedicated to everyone who has helped to push me into completing this task. For my family, friends and colleagues. And especially to my supervisor who never gave up on me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah. Finally I have completed writing this Project Report. In this opportunity I would like to thank those who had helped me through this process until completion. First and foremost, I would like to give my sincerest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Noor Dayana binti Abd Halim for all the support, motivation and advice during the completion of this research study.

Appreciation also goes to my colleagues and friends, especially to my best friends forever at SMK Seri Pulai Perdana Resource Center. Thank you for all the support given.

My fellow postgraduate friends should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

And last but not least to my family. I am grateful to have everyone in my life.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to identify the effects of heutagogy learning environment and its effectiveness towards secondary schools students' creativity in designing presentation slides. There are two types of items measured; creativity in implementing multimedia elements in the slides and creativity in content organization and delivery in an ESL lesson. This study uses a quantitative, one group pre-test posttest design to determine whether there is a significant difference in the creativity of the presentation slides after the implementation of the heutagogy learning environment. A total of 10 respondents were chosen from a Form 3 class in secondary school to undergo the treatment. Findings show that there are positive outcomes from both items measured where creativity in implementing multimedia elements shows there is a significant difference between the two tests. Similarly, there is also a significant difference shown in creativity in content organization and delivery. In conclusion, this study could help to assist teachers in designing a heutagogy learning environment that promotes lifelong learning skills in cultivating creativity which aligns with the objectives of the 21st century learning.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan persekitaran pembelajaran heutagogy dan keberkesananya terhadap kreativiti murid sekolah menengah dalam merekabentuk slaid persembahan. Terdapat dua item yang diukur; kreativiti dalam menerapkan elemen multimedia dalam slaid dan kreativiti dalam penyusunan dan penyampaian isi kandungan dalam pelajaran Bahasa Inggeris sebagai bahasa kedua (ESL). Kajian ini menggunakan reka bentuk The One-group Pre Test – Post Test Design dengan menggunakan kaedah kuantitatif untuk menentukan sama ada terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan dalam kreativiti yang ditunjukkan dalam slaid persembahan selepas pelaksanaan persekitaran pembelajaran heutagogi. Seramai 10 orang responden dipilih dari kelas Tingkatan 3 di sebuah sekolah menengah untuk menjalani rawatan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hasil positif dari kedua-dua item yang diukur dimana kreativiti dalam menerapkan elemen multimedia menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan di antara kedua-dua ujian. Begitu juga, terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan yang ditunjukkan dalam kreativiti dalam penyusunan dan penyampaian isi kandungan. Secara kesimpulannya, kajian ini dapat membantu guru dalam merancang persekitaran pembelajaran heutagogi yang mempromosikan kemahiran belajar sepanjang hayat dalam memupuk kreativiti yang bersesuai dengan objektif pembelajaran abad ke-21.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		TITLE	PAGE
DEC	CLARAT	TION	i
DEI	OICATIO	ON	iv
ACI	KNOWL	EDGEMENT	v
ABS	TRACT		vi
ABS	TRAK		vii
TAF	LE OF	CONTENTS	vviii
LIS	Γ OF TA	BLES	xii
LIS	Γ OF FI	GURES	xivv
LIS	Γ OF AB	BBREVIATIONS	XV
LIS	Γ OF AP	PPENDICES	xxvi
CHAPTER 1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.1	Introd	uction	1
1.2	Proble	em Background	3
1.3	Proble	em Statement	6
1.4	Resea	rch Objectives	8
1.5	Resea	rch Questions	8
1.6	Conce	eptual Framework	9
	1.6.1	Principles of Heutagogy	10
	1.6.2	Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements	11
	1.6.3	Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery	11
1.7	Opera	tional Definitions	12
	1.7.1	Heutagogy Learning Environment	12
	1.7.2	Creativity	13
	1.7.3	Integrating Multimedia Elements in Persentation	13
	1.7.4	Content Organization and Delivery	14

	1.8	Signif	ficance of	the Study	15
		1.8.1	Teacher	S	15
		1.8.2	Students		15
	1.9	Scope	and Limi	tations	15
	1.10	Sumn	nary		16
СНАРТЕ	ER 2	LITE	RATURI	E REVIEW	17
	2.1	Introd	luction		17
	2.2	Learn	ning Theories		17
		2.2.1	2.2.1 Pedagogy		
		2.2.2	Andrago	ogy	18
		2.2.3	Other Le	earning Theories in the 21st Century	18
	2.3	Heutagogy			20
		2.3.1	Principle	es of Heutagogy	21
			2.3.1.1	Learner-Centered and Learner Determined	21
			2.3.1.2	Capability	21
			2.3.1.3	Self-reflection and Metacognition	22
			2.3.1.4	Double-Loop Learning	22
			2.3.1.5	Non-linear learning and Teaching	23
		2.3.2	Role of 1	Heutagogy in the 21 st Century Learning	23
		2.3.3	Past Res	earch on the Heutagogy Approach	24
	2.4	Creati	vity		26
		2.4.1	Role of	Creativity in the 21st Century	27
		2.4.2	Creativi	ty in the ESL classroom	28
		2.4.3		ty in Creating Audio-Visual Aids in tions in English Language Learning	29
			2.4.3.1	Integrating Multimedia in Audio- Visual Aids	29
			2.4.3.2	Content Organiztion and Delivery in Audio-Visual Aids	30
		2.4.4	Past Res	earch in Creativity in ESL Lessons	30
	2.5	Sumn	nary		33

CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	35	
3.1	Introduction		
3.2	Research Design	35	
3.3	Research Procedure	36	
3.4	Sample of the Study	39	
3.5	Instrumentations		
	3.5.1 Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric	40	
	3.5.2 Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric	41	
3.6	Pilot Study	41	
	3.6.1 Instruments Validity	42	
	3.6.1.1 Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric Validation	42	
	3.6.1.2 Creativity in Content Organizaation and Delivery Rubric Validation	44	
	3.6.1.3 Validation of Heutagogy Learning Environment	45	
	3.6.2 Rubrics' Reliability Test	46	
	3.6.2.1 Rubrics' Reliability Test	47	
3.7	Data Analysis	48	
3.8	Integration of the Heutagogy Learning Environment in ESL Learning	49	
3.9	Summary	53	
CHAPTER 4	FINDINGS	54	
4.1	Introduction	54	
4.2	Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements		
	Analysis	54	
	4.2.1 Descriptive Analysis	54	
	4.2.2 Inferential Analysis	60	
4.3	Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Analysis	60	
	4.3.1 Descriptive Analysis	61	
	4.3.2 Inferential Analysis	66	

4.4	Summary	67
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	68
5.1	Introduction	68
5.2	Discussion of the Findings	
	5.2.1 What are the effects of heutagogy learning towards students' creativity in integrating multimedia into presentation slides?	68
	5.2.2 What are the effects of heutagogy learning towards students' creativity in content organization and delivery for presentations in an ESL lesson?	70
5.3	Research Implications	71
5.4	Research Limitations	72
5.5	Recommendations	73
5.6	Conclusion	74
REFERENCES		75
APPENDICES		91

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Meta-analysis on Heutagogy Approach	24
Table 2.2	Meta-analysis on Creativity in ESL Lesson	31
Table 3.1	Research Instruments	40
Table 3.2	Instruments Validity	42
Table 3.3	Validation of Creativity in Integrating Elements Multimedia by Experts	43
Table 3.4	Validation of Creativity in Content Organization and	
	Delivery by Experts	44
Table 3.5	Validation of Heutagogy Learning Environment by Expert	45
Table 3.6	Instruments Reliability	46
Table 3.7	Instrument Reliability Result (Alpha Value)	47
Table 3.8	Data Analysis	48
Table 3.9	Rubric Band Indicator	48
Table 3.10	Heutagogy Learning Environment and Integration of	
	Principles in Creating Slides	49
Table 4.1	Descriptive Statistics for Creativity in Integrating	
	Multimedia Elements (Pre-Test and Post-Test)	55
Table 4.2	Statistic Analysis for Creativity in Integrating Multimedia	
	Elements	56
Table 4.3	Scores for Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements	
	(Pre-Test and Post-Test)	58
Table 4.4	Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for Creativity in Integrating	
	Multimedia Elements Pre-Test and Post-Test	60
Table 4.5	Descriptive Statistics for Creativity in Content	
	Organization and Delivery (Pre-Test and Post-Test)	61
Table 4.6	Statistic Analysis for Creativity in Content Organization	
	and Delivery	62
Table 4.7	Scores for Creativity in Content Organization and	
	Delivery (Pre-Test and Post-Test)	64

Table 4.8 Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for Creativity in Content
Organization and Delivery Pre-Test and Post-Test 66

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Conceptual Framework	9
Figure 2.1	Double-Loop Learning	22
Figure 3.1	One-Group Pre-Test – Post-Test Research Design	35
Figure 3.2	Research Procedure	36
Figure 3.3	Setting Goals	51
Figure 3.4	Take Action	51
Figure 3.5	Presentation 1	52
Figure 3.6	Presentation 2	52
Figure 4.1	Comparison of Pre- and Post-Test for Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements	57
Figure 4.2	Difference in Mean Scores between Pre- and Post-Test (Integrating Multimedia Elements)	59
Figure 4.3	Comparison of Pre- and Post-Test for Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery	63
Figure 4.4	Difference in Mean Scores between Pre- and Post-Test (Content Organization and Delivery)	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ESL - English as a Second Language

ICT - Information and Communication Technology

KBSM - Kemahiran Bersepadu Sekolah Menengah

KPM - Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia

ASEAN - Association of Southeast Asian Nations

SPSS - Statistical Package for Social Sciences

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric	91
Appendix B	Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric	92
Appendix C	Validation of Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric by Expert 1 – Senior Lecturer	93
Appendix D	Validation of Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric by Expert 2 – ICT Teacher	96
Appendix E	Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements Rubric (Final Version)	99
Appendix F	Validation of Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric by Expert 1 – Senior Lecturer	100
Appendix G	Validation of Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric by Expert 2 – Head of English Panel	102
Appendix H	Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric (Final Version)	104
Appendix I	Validation of Heutagogy Learning Environment by Expert – Senior Lecturer	105
Appendix J	Heutagogy Learning Environment (Final Version)	108
Appendix K	Example of Presentation Slides by Students	109

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Theories in teaching and learning has evolved tremendously in recent years especially with the introduction of the 21st Century Learning environment which incorporates technology in delivering lessons and facilitating students' learning in a classroom. Learning is no longer restricted to the teacher-student environment since knowledge can now be accessed widely and easily through technology such as Web 2.0. According to Yildiz (2021), the use of Web 2.0 as a tool strongly affects the behaviour of today's generation and this include those involved in the educational sector. Studies worldwide shown that there is an increase of learners' performances when the learning environment incorporates the use of Web 2.0 tools such as mobile applications, social media and others (Kazhan et.al, 2020; Karadağ and Garip, 2021; Hassan et. al, 2021).

Due to its opened access where anyone would be able to utilize it at their own convenience, Web 2.0 has also created a setting where traditional approaches may become irrelevant and educators as well as learners can take on multiple roles when learning online (Wellburn and Eib, 2010). The dependency on the pedagogical method where the teaching and learning relies on interaction and communication between the students and teachers in schools or institutions may lessen as more technological advancement in education appear in the future.

When learning is moving away from the pedagogical norms, a new theory emerges which is an extension of the andragogy theory. This theory is a progression of the self-directed learning where learners have the autonomous control over their own learning in terms of what to learn and how to learn it. Stewart Hase and Chris Kenyon (2001) has coined the term for this theory as heutagogy, which suggests any

type of learning that takes place is determined by the learner themselves. It requires the learners' maturity and autonomy while moving away from teachers' control and course structuring (Canning, 2010). This is in line with the current pandemic issue that has impacted the schooling system worldwide. In order to stop the virus from spreading, classes are conducted through online means where the teacher has less authority in supervising the students' learning. Putri and Handayaningrum (2020) stated that the heutagogy approach may become a necessity that should be further looked into especially in the era of online learning.

Being in control of ones' own learning, heutagogy is a method that can stimulate lifelong learning skills (Blaschke, 2012). This is parallel to the Third Shift of the Malaysian Education Blueprint (2015-2025) for higher education, with reasons indicated that lifelong learning will enable Malaysians to maximise individual potential and cater to the change of skills needed in workforce through reskilling and upskilling opportunities. Thus, there is a need to explore heutagogy and its implications in Malaysia setting as a step to achieve the goal set by the Ministry of Education.

Furthermore, in the efforts to achieve lifelong learning skills, creativity plays a significant role. According to Mawas and Muntean (2018), the 21st century learning environment should be able to impart the skills needed by the learners to adapt with the expectations of the workplace. This include the ability to generate ideas, suggest hypotheses, and find alternatives to solve problems (QCDA, 1999). Being creative could stimulate these abilities that are essential in the workplace. According to Lucas and Venckute (2020), individuals that are creative are curious, have a tolerance for risk and uncertainties as well as having the capacity to adapt and be flexible to any situations. When given any task to complete, they are able to find solution to the problem.

Since heutagogy gives freedom to the students to determine their own way of learning, they need to engage creatively on how to execute the lessons so that the learning objectives can be achieved. Su (2009) stated that being creative is in line with being able to design, produce or inventing things which in this case is the syllabus of

the lesson itself. This not only gives the learners authority to direct and select meaningful learning experience but also creates lessons that are individualized to the learner's specific needs especially during this current pandemic where interactions with the teachers are greatly reduced (Putri and Handayaningrum, 2020).

Thus, the relationship between heutagogy and students' creativity is a topic that should be studied further especially in the aims of producing students with lifelong learning skills that is invaluable in the workforce. Though heutagogy mainly focus on more matured learners such as adults and college students, further research can be conducted to see whether it can be implemented effectively with secondary school students and discover its effects on students' creativity.

1.2 Problem Background

The 21st century learning has brought about new theories and strategies in conducting the teaching and learning process including approaches such as heutagogy. These approaches cater with the current situation where technology especially the internet plays a huge role in education (Whitby, 2007; Raja and Nagasubramani, 2018). In order to instill 21st century skills such as lifelong learning, collaboration and teamwork, creativity and imagination, critical thinking, problem solving and many more, educators need to embrace changes and can no longer rely solely on the traditional teacher-centered approach when conducting the teaching and learning sessions. Even pedagogy in schools need to be modernized and expanded (Mynbayeva, 2017). Teachers are encouraged to be innovative in teaching students who are born in the digital era. Yet are teachers ready to accept this change?

A survey was conducted at an ASEAN level in 2020 to stakeholders of education including teachers and students and results show that many of them are willing and ready to accept change in education as it could prepare students to adapt better in the workforce (Jamaludin, McKay and Ledger, 2020). In Malaysia, a study by Chan, Embi and Hashim (2019) also shows that teachers have positive attitudes towards new approaches since it could help learners learn better in and out of the

classroom. However, the lack of exposure towards something new can create barriers and unwillingness when trying (Singh and Chan, 2014). This is the same if the teachers are asked to adapt with new theories such as heutagogy, cybergogy or peeragogy. Without being sufficiently introduced, teachers as well as students are not aware of a relatively new theories in the educational field (Akyildiz, 2019). Thus there is a need for more research and studies on how to implement new theories such as heutagogy in the classroom environment.

The Covid-19 pandemic in 2020 has issued global lockdown where schools across the world are closed to prevent further spread of the virus. Classes can no longer be conducted in-person and therefore, has to be converted into online methods (Dhawan, 2020). There are issues of online learning where it fails to produce the desired results due to technical problems, lack of face-to-face interactions with teachers, irregular response time and others leads to the need of finding an alternative approach in online learning (Adnan and Anwar, 2020). Using the pedagogy approach for online lessons may limit the learners' opportunities to experience and progress more in their learning (Brennan, 2003). This is agreed by Supriyatno and Kurniawan, (2020), who stated that there is a need to revise the teaching methods when shifting from conventional classrooms to online learning. Maina, Wagacha and Oboko (2017) also stated that students need to take charge on the activities conducted through online learning with limited guidance from the teachers. Thus teachers need to be flexible in changing their teaching approach into one that provides learners more freedom to choose how they can learn effectively online.

With lesser time provided for face-to-face interactions, teachers need to be able to find alternatives with their teaching strategies especially when the lesson focuses on instilling creativity in students. In Malaysia, the rigid focus of the education system on academic performance and examinations creates barriers in teaching skills such as creativity for educational purposes (Rathakrishnan, 2016). This is supported by Moran (2010) where he stated that the traditional pedagogical approach is one of the factors contributing to the decline as the approach limits students' freedom to participate creatively in a teacher-centered classroom since the learning environment is constricted to an inflexible curriculum outline and resources.

As Malaysia's educational system introduces the 21st century learning, creativity and other essential skills are reinforced by integrating them into the lessons within the current curriculum (KPM, 2012). Studies have shown that teachers are ready to integrate creativity in classrooms (Dolgova et al, 2018; Apak, Taat and Suki, 2021). However, without the proper support, training, as well as exposure to different methods and techniques, teaching creativity in classrooms would not be as effective as it should be (Mussabekov, 2018; Ibrahim et al, 2019). Therefore, there is a need for more studies offering various strategies and approaches that can help teachers in designing lessons which could integrate creativity.

Creativity is not limited to arts but is also important in language teaching and learning (Lee, 2013). In the context of learning a language, creativity can encourage learners to be more curious to explore and observe as well as to use their imagination to brainstorm novel ideas (Liao et al, 2018). A student's creativity in learning a language can be seen through the output skills which are speaking and writing. This include the fluency, flexibility, and originality of the language used in building phrase or sentences for written and spoken language (Huh and Lee, 2020). However, according to Jones and Richards (2015), although teachers see themselves as creative, there is little understanding on how creativity is constructed in language teaching and learning. Various studies has been conducted on how to instill creativity in ESL lessons (Muthusamy, 2010; Lee, 2013; Liao, 2018). Yet the subject of creativity is extensive and could evolve with time thus there is still a lot left that can be explored especially for the benefit of education (Giaccardi and Fischer, 2008).

This includes the teaching of presentation skills to school students. In schools, students are not provided explicit lessons on how to conduct presentations since it is considered an element exposed indirectly during formal lessons depending on the teacher's preference (KPM, 2012). In an English lesson, the teaching and learning of presentation skills focus more on the oral delivery which is the speech given during the presentation (Sucharitrak, 2018; Soomro et. al, 2019). There is not much emphasis given on the teaching of creating creative visual aids even though it is proven that visual aids can affect the delivery of messages in presentations (Ho and Intai, 2017; Pateşan, Balagiu, and Alibec, 2018)

Apart from that, ESL learning for anyone is not limited to school years or college and university's life. Language has the ability to evolve and change due time where the words and vocabulary that are used today may not even exist 10 years ago (Mendívil, 2019). The English language that the student has learned during their school years may no longer be sufficient for use in the future. This factor may affect students' future especially in Malaysia where the ability to communicate in English is an aspect that employers would consider when hiring new workers (Ting et. al., 2019). Thus in order for students to be able to continue learning the second language, they need to be instilled with the ability to learn the language independently. This is where introducing the heutagogy approach in an ESL lesson to the students can help them develop lifelong language learning skills.

1.3 Problem Statement

In Malaysia, integrating ICT in the classroom has never been the first option due to barriers and constraints that the teachers face which include time, facilities and support (Sailin, 2014). However, the pandemic in 2020 challenges the teachers to change their teaching methods from offline to online abruptly without having the time to make the necessary preparation (Dhawan, 2020). For example, Ling, Effendi and Matore (2020) has compiled research articles on online learning between 2014 until 2020 and found that little focus was given on web conferencing and interaction which is an essential skill for teachers nowadays for conducting online lessons. There is also the lack of training for teachers and teacher trainees especially for teaching skills needed to prepare for the current situation (Mohamed, 2000).

Therefore there is a need for further studies in helping teachers to conduct lessons online effectively. In a survey by Newton (2020), it was found that more than half of the teacher respondents agreed that they are not ready to facilitate online learning. Some of the reasons given were that the teachers were struggling with the applications and how to incorporate their lessons through the medium. According to Fauzi and Khusuma (2020) the inappropriate combination of applications and teaching methods will not create a meaningful learning environment. For online learning to be

as effective as conventional learning, teachers have to change their strategies and methodologies in creating lessons that are engaging to students (Dhawan, 2020). To summarize, there is a need for research advancement especially in creating models to accommodate contemporary changes in online learning especially in helping teachers to design meaningful lessons (Adedoyin and Soykan, 2020).

Since online teaching and learning has become a norm in Malaysia especially during the pandemic, teachers should take advantage of using technology in online lessons for students to produce creative outcomes of a subject. One example is creating visual aids for an ESL presentation. According to Macwan (2015) visual aids help learners to learn language in diversified ways. Not only could it provide support to the speaker and listener, it could also reduce stress and make the presentation more effective (Lambert, 2008). In 2013, Renau Renau conducted a study on peer evaluation of the slides that were used during student's oral presentations. Some of the negative feedbacks given were the way content was arranged, grammatical issues, use of excessive words in one slide and many more. Most of these errors affect negatively on the overall presentation. Therefore, lessons on creating effective visual aids creatively should be exposed to students.

In conclusion, the aim of this research is to find a learning environment that can be conducted effectively on enhancing student's creativity when creating slides for ESL presentation. This include how the students can integrate multimedia into the presentation slides and also arranging ideas with good use of language in an organized manner. It is hoped that this research can help teachers in finding an alternative method to online teaching where students are given authority in the lessons especially in creating visual aids for presentation skills. In addition, students can also benefit by learning how to create effective slides for their presentations.

1.4 Research Objectives

The objectives of the study are:

- i. To design a heutagogy learning environment for teaching presentation skills in an ESL lesson.
- ii. To study the effects of heutagogy learning environment towards students' creativity in terms of:
 - a. Integrating multimedia elements in presentation slides.
 - b. Content organization and delivery for presentations in an ESL lesson.

1.5 Research Questions

This study provide the answers to the following questions:

- i. What are the effects of heutagogy learning environment towards students' creativity in terms of:
 - a. integrating multimedia in presentation slides?
 - b. content organization and delivery for presentations in an ESL lesson?

1.6 Conceptual Framework

Figure 1.1 shows the conceptual framework for this research.

Principles of Heutagogy

(Blaschke & Hase, 2016)

- Learner-centred and learner determined
- Capability
- Self-reflection and metacognition
- Double-loop learning
- Nonlinear learning and teaching

Creativity in Integrating Multimedia

(Intel Corporation, 2013 and Zimmaro, 2001)

- Text
- Graphic
- Video
- Audio
- Animation

Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery

(Peeters, Sahloff & Stone, 2010)

- Presentation matches objectives
- Opening statement/relevance to audience
- Balanced representation of materials
- Text arrangement
- Transitions
- Organization/Planning/ Coherency
- Language and mechanics.

Figure 1.1: Conceptual Framework

The independent variable for this research is the heutagogy learning environment designed using the principles introduced by Blaschke and Hase (2016). There are five principles adapted into the learning environment of creating presentation slides in an ESL classroom. Two dependent variables which are the creativity in integrating multimedia elements and content organization and delivery in creating presentations slides would answer to the research questions of how effective the heutagogy learning environment is for secondary school students. Further details are explained below.

1.6.1 Principles of Heutagogy

The principles of heutagogy are taken from a framework created by Blaschke and Hase in 2016. In this framework, it discusses how a heutagogical lesson can be designed with emphasis on several principles which would differentiate itself from the pedagogy and andragogy approach. These principles are discussed below.

i. Learner-centered and learner determined

The heutagogy approach gives autonomy for students in the learning environment. Students are responsible to decide what they are going to learn and how the learning will be conducted and assessed.

ii. Capability

Capability determines students' ability and competency in their self-efficacy, communication, creativity, teamwork and positive values.

iii. Self-reflection and metacognition

Students can self-reflect on what they have learnt and how they learnt the lesson in a holistic manner.

iv. Double-loop learning

In double-loop learning, students should be able to be psychologically and behaviorally engaged. They should be able to determine how the new knowledge influences on their values and belief system.

v. Nonlinear learning and teaching

The teaching and learning environment is defined solely by the students. Since learning is self-determined, it will happen in a nonlinear format.

1.6.2 Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Elements

The concept of multimedia is defined as a process of interactive communication using computer technology which incorporates the use of audio and visual media such as texts, graphic, audio, video and animation (Jamalludin Harun and Zaidatun Tasir, 2005). When measuring creativity in integrating multimedia in a PowerPoint presentation slide, several factors are considered for each multimedia elements based on the rubrics by Intel Corporation (2013) and Zimmaro (2001). These are discussed below.

- i. *Text*: ability to manipulate type-face, font size, color and consistency which could reflect the topic, highlight keywords and be readable to the audience.
- ii. *Graphic*: ability to incorporate quality graphics that can reinforce ideas presented.
- iii. *Video*: ability to include quality and relevant videos that helps with explanation of ideas.
- iv. Audio: ability to use sound and music that reinforce message
- v. *Animation*: ability to include relevant animation that could help explanation of ideas and flow of the presentation.

1.6.3 Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery

According to Yuan, Begany and Yang (2015) in a study of effective presentation styles, how a content is organized and written in a presentation slide can affect the readers' comprehension of the idea delivered. Thus the components for content/organization from the rubric by Peeters, Sahloff and Stone (2010) is adapted in this research and are discussed below.

- i. *Presentation matches objectives*: determines whether the presentation is relevant to the topic.
- ii. *Opening statement/relevance to audience*: discusses how the presentation could impact the presenter and audience.

- iii. *Balanced representation of materials*: the introduction, background, content and conclusion are given the same focus and emphasis by the presenter.
- iv. *Text arrangement*: the use of headings, paragraphs and bullet points that helps with content delivery.
- v. *Transitions*: discusses the smooth flow of ideas.
- vi. *Organization/Planning/Coherency*: ideas are explained and organized, essential points are obvious and highlighted.
- vii. *Language and mechanics*: focuses on the mechanics of the English language including grammar and spelling.

1.7 Operational Definition

There are several phrases and terminologies used in this research. These phrases and terminologies are defined as below:

1.7.1 Heutagogy Learning Environment

According to Stewart Hase of Southern Cross University (2001), heutagogy is a study of self-determined learning. It is an approach that gives the learners flexibility to negotiate their needs in designing the content of a course with support from the teachers.

In this study, the heutagogy learning environment is an approach used in lessons that gives freedom to students in learning how to use Microsoft PowerPoint to create creative ESL presentation slides. This study uses Blaschke and Hase (2016) principles of heutagogy approach in designing the activities in the lesson.

Skills are learnt independently through books or online resources and the content of what to learn is decided solely by the students. Teachers may assist the students as where to find information needed to learn the skills.

1.7.2 Creativity

Kaufman and Sternberg (2007) defined creativity as the ability to generate new ideas that are different, high in quality and appropriate to the task at hand. There is a type of creativity called the subject creativity which occurs when a person combines things in ways that are individual to him by regrouping stimuli or data regardless of the effects his creation has on others (Lytton, 2012). Creativity can be measured using an open-ended, performance-based measures where tasks were given through topics or stimuli and freedom was given to manipulate certain elements using ones' own judgement (Sternberg, 2006).

In this research, creativity is measured based on the students' ability to create a slide presentation project in an English as a Second Language (ESL) lesson. Topics were given based on the themes taken from the Form 3 textbook 'Close-Up'. This task is parallel to the KBSM Form 3 English Learning Standard 5.3.1 which is to respond imaginatively and intelligibly through creating s, visuals, posters, blogs and webpages (KPM, 2000).

For this research, creativity is measured in two aspects which are the ability to integrate multimedia elements and the ability to organize and deliver content in the presentation. In measuring creativity, the researcher looks into the aspects of change in the presentation slides of the respondents between the pre-test and post-test. Changes can be in terms of delivering new ideas or designs within an individuals' capability.

1.7.3 Integrating Multimedia Elements in Presentation Slides

Using presentation slides in the classroom provides variety and stimulates interest in the learning environment (Clark, 2008). It is one of the most preferred authoring tool for designing visuals for presentations in the educational sector in Malaysia (Saforrudin et al, 2012). When integrating elements of multimedia such as

text, graphics and audio in slides, it could create a powerful tool which is effective to students' learning (Pate and Posey, 2016).

For this research, integrating multimedia elements is one of the creativity aspects measured which is defined as the ability of students to incorporate multimedia elements in designing presentation slides in an ESL classroom. This could include the ability to apply multimedia and hyperlinks in the slides. Assessment for creativity is based on a combination of several multimedia-focused rubrics from Intel Corporation (2013) and Zimmaro (2001). Based on the elements provided, a Creativity in Integrating Multimedia Rubric was designed and used as a measurement tool for this research.

1.7.4 Content Organization and Delivery

The organization of ideas, grammatically correct sentences and using appropriate language register that adapts to specific audience are important in order to make delivery clear, focused, logical and effective in any presentation (Haber and Lingard 2001).

In this research, another aspect of creativity that is measured is on how heutagogy learning can affect learners' skills in using language devices in an ESL lesson. This includes the use of registers and the organization of content in order to create an effective visual aid for presentations. Assessment for content delivery is based on the rubric adapted from Peeters, Sahloff and Stone (2010) where they provided a standardized rubric to evaluate students' presentations. One of its focused elements which is the content/organization was adapted into a new rubric 'Creativity in Content Organization and Delivery Rubric' that is used to measure students' creativity in the language aspect when creating presentation slides for this research.

1.8 Significance of the Study

This study aims to provide the necessary information for those who seek new approaches and methods especially in using the heutagogy approach in the teaching and learning of creating presentation slides. It is hoped that this study can be beneficial to:

1.8.1 Teachers

This study provides the necessary steps that teachers can use to create a learning environment using the heutagogy approach. This approach can be used as an alternative method in teaching creativity skills online focusing on the creation of visual aid to support students' presentation. It is also hoped that through this research, teachers are able to facilitate their students into creating their own learning strategies in ESL lessons.

1.8.2 Students

From this research, it is hoped that students are able to acquire lifelong learning skills through the incorporation of the heutagogy learning environment in ESL lessons. Students would be able to conduct their own learning strategies with limited help from the teacher using the steps provided in this research. This is especially in learning how to create effective and creative slides as visual aid for presentations.

1.9 Scope and Limitations

This study is limited to Form 3 students studying in a secondary school in the area of Johor Bahru. Using the heutagogy learning environment, the subject focus for this study is creativity in designing slides for presentations in ESL lessons which is parallel to a learning standard arranged in Malaysia's KBSM Form 3 English syllabus

and measured using rubrics adapted and designed based from rubrics by Intel Corporation (2013), Zimmaro (2001) and Peeters, Sahloff and Stone (2010). The heutagogy learning environment used in this study is based on the principles introduced by Blaschke and Hase (2016). Therefore, findings for this study can only be applied to these students and cannot be generalized with students from other places.

1.10 Summary

This chapter has discussed the background of the problem and problem statement from where the research objectives and questions were constructed. It has also discussed the conceptual framework which gives the visual representation of the expected relationship between variables of this research. The following chapter discusses the literature review related to heutagogy and creativity as well as past research conducted on these two aspects.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N. J. (2007). Kemahiran guru sekolah mengaplikasikan perisian sebagai pemudah komunikasi dalam proses pengajaran: satu kajian kes (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia).
- Adedoyin, O. B., & Soykan, E. (2020). Covid-19 pandemic and online learning: the challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-13.
- Adnan, M., & Anwar, K. (2020). *Online Learning amid the COVID-19 Pandemic: Students' Perspectives.* Online Submission, 2(1), 45-51.
- Agonács, N., Matos, J. F., Bartalesi-Graf, D., & O'Steen, D. N. (2020). Are you ready? Self-determined learning readiness of language MOOC learners. Education and Information Technologies, 25(2), 1161-1179.
- Akyildiz, S. T. (2019). Do 21st Century Teachers Know about Heutagogy or Do They Still Adhere to Traditional Pedagogy and Andragogy? *International Journal of Progressive Education*, 15(6), 151-169.
- Allen, M. (2017). *The sage encyclopedia of communication research methods* (Vols. 1-4). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications
- Ally, M. (2004). Foundations of educational theory for online learning. Theory and practice of online learning, 2, 15-44.
- Amabile, T. M. (1993). Motivational synergy: Toward new conceptualizations of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in the workplace. *Human Resource Management Review*, 3, 185–201

- Amin, N. A. M., & Nasri, N. M. (2021). Kajian Tinjauan Persepsi Murid Sekolah Menengah Terhadap Pembelajaran Dalam Talian Semasa Pandemik Covid-19. *Jurnal Dunia Pendidikan*, 3(2), 344-361.
- Anderson, T. (2016). Theories for learning with emerging technologies. Emergence and innovation in digital learning: Foundations and applications, 1, 35-50.
- Apak, J., Taat, M. S., & Suki, N. M. (2021). Measuring Teacher Creativity-Nurturing Behavior and Readiness for 21st Century Classroom Management. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (IJICTE)*, 17(3), 52-67.
- Beghetto, R. A. (2006). Creative self-efficacy: Correlates in middle and secondary students. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18, 447–457
- Berk, R. A. (2011). Research on: From Basic Features to Multimedia. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching & Learning*, 7(1).
- Bhoyrub, J., Hurley, J., Neilson, G. R., Ramsay, M., & Smith, M. (2010). Heutagogy: An alternative practice based learning approach. *Nurse education in practice*, 10(6), 322-326.
- Blackley, S., & Sheffield, R. (2015). Digital andragogy: A richer blend of initial teacher education in the 21st century. *Issues in Educational Research*, 25(4), 397-414.
- Blaschke, L. M. (2012). Heutagogy and lifelong learning: A review of heutagogical practice and self-determined learning. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 13(1), 56-71.
- Blaschke, L. M. (2014). Using social media to engage and develop the online learner in selfdetermined learning. *Research in Learning Technology*, 22.

- Blaschke, L. M., & Brindley, J. E. (2011). Establishing a foundation for reflective practice: A case study of learning journal use. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-learning*, 14(2).
- Blaschke, L. M., & Hase, S. (2016). *Heutagogy: A holistic framework for creating twenty-first-century self-determined learners. In The future of ubiquitous learning* (pp. 25-40). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Blaschke, L.M. & Hase, S. (2015). Heutagogy: A holistic framework for creating 21st century self-determined learners in M.M. Kinshuk & B.Gros, *The future of ubiquitous learning: Learning designs for emerging pedagogies*. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, 24-40.
- Bochina, T., Ageeva, J., & Vlasicheva, V. (2014). Multimedia presentation as a strategy of teaching speaking. In *INTED2014 Proceedings 8th International Technology, Education and Development Conference March 10th-12th* (pp. 7661-7669).
- Bokiev, D., Bokiev, U., Aralas, D., Ismail, L., & Othman, M. (2018). Utilizing music and songs to promote student engagement in ESL classrooms. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(12), 314-332.
- Brennan, R. (2003). One Size Doesn't Fit All: Pedagogy in the Online Environment.

 National Centre for Vocational Education Research, South Australia
- Canning, N. & Callan, S. (2010). Heutagogy: Spirals of reflection to empower learners in higher education. *Reflective Practice*, 11(1), 71-82
- Chan, C. G., Embi, M. A. B., & Hashim, H. (2019). Primary School Teachers' Readiness towards Heutagogy and Peeragogy. *Asian Education Studies*, 4(1), 11.
- Clair, R. (2002). Andragogy Revisited: Theory for the 21st Century? Myths and Realities.

- Clark, J. (2008). and pedagogy: Maintaining student interest in university lectures. *College teaching*, 56(1), 39-44.
- Corneli, J., Danoff, C. J., Pierce, C., Ricaurte, P., & MacDonald, L. S. (2015). Patterns of peeragogy. *In Proceedings of the 22nd Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs* (pp. 1-23).
- Cox, B. F. (2002). The relationship between creativity and self-directed learning among adult community college students, University of Tennessee, 2002.
- Danan, M. (1992). Reversed subtitling and dual coding theory: New directions for foreign language instruction. *Language Learning*, 42, 497-527
- Davis, L. & Hase, S. (2001). The River of Learning in the Workplace. Proceedings of Research to reality: Putting VET research to Work: *Australian Vocational Education and training Research Association (AVETRA)*, Adelaide, AVETRA.
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49(1), 5-22.
- Dolati, R., & Richards, C. (2011). Harnessing the use of visual learning aids in the English language classroom. *Arab World English Journal*, 2(1), 3-17.
- Dolgova, V. I., Kutepova, N. G., Kryzhanovskaya, N. V., Bolshakova, Z. M., & Tulkibaeva, N. N. (2018). Relationship between teachers' readiness for innovative activities and their creative potential and professional characteristics. *Revista ESPACIOS*, 39(05).
- Ernst-Slavit, G., & Wenger, K. J. (1998). Using creative drama in the elementary ESL classroom. *Tesol Journal*, 7(4), 30-33.
- Farmer, L. (2013). New perspectives of andragogy in relation to the use of technology. In Digital Literacy: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1606-1621). IGI Global

- Fauzi, I., & Khusuma, I. H. S. (2020). Teachers' elementary school in online learning of COVID-19 pandemic conditions. Jurnal Iqra': *Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(1), 58-70.
- Ferreira, D., MacLean, G., & Center, G. E. (2018). Andragogy in the 21st century: Applying the assumptions of adult learning online. *Language Research Bulletin*, 32(1).
- Field, A. P. (2005). Discovering Statistics Using SPSS, Sage Publications Inc.
- Frey, B. B. (Ed.). (2018). *The SAGE encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation.* Sage Publications.
- Giaccardi, E., & Fischer, G. (2008). Creativity and evolution: A Metadesign Perspective. *Digital Creativity*, 19(1), 19-32.
- Green, R. D., & Schlairet, M. C. (2017). Moving toward heutagogical learning: Illuminating undergraduate nursing students' experiences in a flipped classroom. *Nurse education today*, 49, 122-128.
- Haber, R. J., & Lingard, L. A. (2001). Learning oral presentation skills. *Journal of general internal medicine*, 16(5), 308-314.
- Hafner, C. A., & Miller, L. (2011). Fostering learner autonomy in English for science: A collaborative digital video project in a technological learning environment. Language Learning & Technology, 15(3), 68-86.
- Halwani, N. (2017). Visual Aids and Multimedia in Second Language Acquisition. English Language Teaching, 10(6), 53-59.
- Hase, S. & Kenyon, C (2001), Moving from andragogy to heutagogy: implications for VET, Proceedings of Research to Reality: Putting VET Research to Work: Australian Vocational Education and Training Research Association (AVETRA), Adelaide, AVETRA.

- Hase, S. & Kenyon, C. (2000). From andragogy to heutagogy. ultiBASE In-Site.
- Hase, S. (2016). Self-determined learning (heutagogy): Where have we come since 2000? Southern Institute of Technology Journal of Applied Research.
- Hassan, I., BaraU Gamji, M., Yahaya Nasidi, Q., & Latiff Azmi, M. N. (2021). Challenges and Benefits of Web 2.0-based Learning among International Students of English during the Covid-19 Pandemic in Cyprus. *Arab World English Journal*.
- Hinton, P., McMurray, I., & Brownlow, C. (2014). SPSS explained. Routledge.
- Ho, D. T. K., & Intai, R. (2017). Effectiveness of audio-visual aids in teaching lower secondary science in a rural secondary school. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education*, 32, 91-106.
- Huh, K., & Lee, J. (2020). Fostering creativity and language skills of foreign language learners through SMART learning environments: Evidence from fifth-grade Korean EFL learners. *TESOL Journal*, 11(2), e489.
- Ibrahim, N., Adzra'ai, A., Sueb, R., & Dalim, S. F. (2019). Trainee teachers' readiness towards 21st century teaching practices. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 15(1), 1-12.
- In, J. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*, 70(6), 601.
- Jamalludin H. & Zaidatun T. *Multimedia: Konsep dan Praktis*. Venton Publishing (2005)
- Jamaludin, R., McKay, E., & Ledger, S. (2020). Are we ready for Education 4.0 within ASEAN higher education institutions? Thriving for knowledge, industry and

- humanity in a dynamic higher education ecosystem? *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*.
- Jones, R. H., & Richards, J. C. (Eds.). (2015). *Creativity in language teaching:*Perspectives from research and practice. Routledge.
- Kaaland-Wells, C. E. (1993). *Classroom teachers' perception and use of creative drama*. Washington University.
- Kakar, A. F., Sarwari, K., & Miri, M. A. (2020). Creative Teaching in EFL Classrooms: Voices from Afghanistan. *Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Learning*, 5(2), 155-171.
- Kallet, Richard H. (2004). How to Write the Methods Section of a Research Paper. *Respiratory Care* 49 1229-1232.
- Karadağ, B. F., & Garip, S. (2021) Use of Learning Apps as a Web 2.0 Application in Turkish Teaching. *Journal of Child, Literature and Language Education*.
- Karwowski, M., Jankowska, D. M., Beghetto, R. A., & Kaufman, J. C. (2016). Four faces of creativity at school. *Nurturing creativity in the classroom*, 337-354.
- Kaufman, J. C., & Sternberg, R. J. (2007). Creativity. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 39(4), 55-60.
- Kazhan, Y. M., Hamaniuk, V. A., Amelina, S. M., Tarasenko, R. O., & Tolmachev, S.
 T. (2020). The use of mobile applications and Web 2.0 interactive tools for students' German-language lexical competence improvement.
- Kim, E. (2021). EFL Learners' Criticality, Creativity and its Relationship in Presentation Script Writing. タリクラ, 51, 197-222.

- Kirin, A., Sharifuddin, A., Rahim, M. H. A., Ahmad, S., Khadijah, S., & Sulaiman, A. (2021). Impak Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran Secara Online: Kajian Kes Terhadap Pelajar Sekolah Rendah, Menengah dan Universiti Semasa Pandemik Covid-19. *Advances in Humanities and Contemporary Studies*, 2(1), 127-136.
- Kırkgöz, Y. (2014). Exploring poems to promote language learners' creative writing. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 158, 394-401.
- Kirton, M. (1978). Have adaptors and innovators equal levels of creativity? *Psychological reports*, 42(3), 695-698.
- Kluge, D. (2018). Creativity and performance in education applied to an oral communication class. *Chukyo English Linguistics*, 2, 11-30.
- Knowles, M. S. (1980). From pedagogy to andragogy. Religious Education.
- KPM (2000). *Dokumen Standard Kurikulum dan Pentaksiran Bahasa Inggeris*. Pusat Perkembangan Kurikulum: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- KPM (2012). *Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025*. Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia.
- Krajnc, A. (1989). Andragogy. In Lifelong Education for Adults (pp. 19-21). Pergamon.
- Kuit, J. A., & Fell, A. (2010). Web 2.0 to pedagogy 2.0: A social-constructivist approach to learning enhanced by technology. *In Critical design and effective tools for e-learning in higher education: Theory into practice* (pp. 310-325). IGI Global.
- Lambert, I. (2008). Assessing oral communication: Poster presentations. *Language Research Bulletin ICU*, 23, 1-13.

- Lee, B. (2013). Suggestions for language learners: Creativity development in EFL classrooms. *Primary English Education*, 19(3), 87-109.
- Leßmann, O. (2009). Capability and learning to choose. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 28(5), 449-460.
- Lew, M. D., & Schmidt, H. G. (2011). Self-reflection and academic performance: is there a relationship? *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 16(4), 529.
- Lewis, T. (2009). Creativity in technology education: Providing children with glimpses of their inventive potential. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(3), 255-268.
- Liao, Y. H., Chen, Y. L., Chen, H. C., & Chang, Y. L. (2018). Infusing creative pedagogy into an English as a foreign language classroom: Learning performance, creativity, and motivation. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 29, 213-223.
- Ling, T. J., Effendi, M., & Matore, E. M. (2020). Kesediaan Guru dan Pelajar Terhadap Pembelajaran Mobil dalam Pembelajaran dan Pemudahcaraan (PdPc): Sorotan Literatur Bersistematik. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities* (*MJSSH*), 5(10), 83-94.
- Livingston, S. A., Carlson, J., Bridgeman, B., Golub-Smith, M., & Stone, E. (2018).

 Test reliability-basic concepts. *Research Memorandum No. RM-18-01*.

 Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Loveless, A., DeVoogd, G. L., & Bohlin, R. (2001). Something old, something new...'. *ICT, pedagogy, and the curriculum: Subject to change*, RoutledgeFalmer, England, 63.
- Lucas, B., & Venckute, M. (2020). Creativity a transversal skill for lifelong learning.

 An overview of existing concepts and practices: Literature review report. *JRC Working Papers*, (JRC121862).

- Lytton, H. (2012). Creativity and education. Routledge.
- Macwan, H. J. (2015). Using visual aids as authentic material in ESL classrooms. Research Journal of English language and literature (RJELAL), 3(1), 91-96.
- Madut, I. T., & Yunus, M. M. (2016). Popsicle simile: An innovative tool in promoting creative writing among rural primary school ESL learners. In International Conference on Education (ICE2) 2018: *Education and Innovation in Science in the Digital Era* (pp. 425-431).
- Maina, E. M., Wagacha, P. W., & Oboko, R. O. (2017). Enhancing active learning pedagogy through online collaborative learning. *Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1031-1054). IGI Global.
- Marzano, R. J. (2004). Building background knowledge for academic achievement: Research on what works in schools. Ascd.
- Masyuniza, Y. & Zamri, M. (2013). Penyepaduan kemahiran abad ke-21 dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran bahasa Melayu. *Prosiding Seminar Pascasiswazah Pendidikan Bahasa Melayu & Kesusasteraan Melayu Kali Pertama*, 338-352.
- Mawas, N. El., & Muntean, C. (2018). Supporting lifelong learning through development of 21 st century skills. *In 10th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies*.
- McCannon, M., & Morse, G. E. (1999). Using multimedia visual aids in presentations: The demise of the transparency has been greatly exaggerated. *TechTrends*, 43(6), 29-31.
- McLellan, R., & Nicholl, B. (2013). Creativity in crisis in Design & Technology: Are classroom climates conducive for creativity in English secondary schools? *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 9, 165-185.

- Mendívil Giró, J. L. (2019). Did language evolve through language change? On language change, language evolution and grammaticalization theory (No. ART-2019-114482).
- Meyer, B., Haywood, N., Sachdev, D., & Faraday, S. (2008). *Independent learning*. *Learning and Skills Network*, Research Report.
- Mishra, P., Henriksen, D., & Deep-Play Research Group. (2013). A NEW approach to defining and measuring creativity: Rethinking technology & creativity in the 21st century. *TechTrends*, 57(5), 10-13.
- Mohamed, A. R. (2000). Pengalaman Belajar Mengajar Guru-Guru Pelatih Universiti Sains Malaysia. *Journal Pendidik dan Pendidikan*, 17, 41-56.
- Moran, S. (2010). Creativity in school. International handbook of psychology in education, 319-359.
- Mussabekov, Gulnar, Batyrkhan Auyezov, Asel Tasova, Zhaudir Sultanbekova, Zhanar Akhmetova, and Gulim Kozhakhmetova (2018). Formation of readiness of future teachers to creative activity in school. *Opción 34*, no. 85-2: 569-599.
- Muthusamy, C., Mohamad, F., Ghazali, S. N., & Subrayan, A. (2010). Enhancing ESL writing creativity via a literature based language instruction. *Studies in Literature and Language*, 1(2), 36-47.
- Mynbayeva, A., Sadvakassova, Z., & Akshalova, B. (2017). Pedagogy of the twenty-first century: Innovative teaching methods. *New Pedagogical Challenges in the 21st Century-Contributions of Research in Education*, 3-20.
- Newton, D. (2020). Most teachers say they are 'Not prepared' to teach online.
- Oluwatayo, J. (2012). Validity and reliability issues in educational research. *Journal of Educational and Social Research* 2, 391-400

- Pate, A., & Posey, S. (2016). Effects of applying multimedia design principles in lecture redesign. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 235-239.
- Pateşan, M., Balagiu, A., & Alibec, C. (2018). Visual aids in language education. *In International Conference Knowledge-Based Organization* (Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 356-361).
- Peeters, M. J., Sahloff, E. G., & Stone, G. E. (2010). A standardized rubric to evaluate student presentations. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 74(9).
- Peters, O. (2001). Learning and teaching in distance education: Pedagogical analyses and interpretations in an international perspective. Psychology Press.
- Pew, S. (2007). Andragogy and pedagogy as foundational theory for student motivation in higher education. *InSight: a collection of faculty scholarship*, 2, 14-25.
- Phelps, R., Hase, S., & Ellis, A. (2005). Competency, capability, complexity and computers: exploring a new model for conceptualising end-user computer education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 36(1), 67-84.
- Pillai, N. R. (2004). Using mnemonics to improve vocabulary, boost memory and enhance creativity in the ESL classroom. *The English Teacher*, 23, 62-83.
- Putri, R. A., & Handayaningrum, W. (2020). Idiosyncrasies of Cultural Arts Education, Heutagogy, and Online Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran*, 14(2), 77-92.
- QCDA (2009). National Curriculum, Internet Available: http://curriculum.qcda.gov.uk/key-stages-1-and-2/Values-aims-and-purposes/index.aspx
- Raja, R., & Nagasubramani, P. C. (2018). Impact of modern technology in education. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research*, 3(1), 33-35.

- Randolph, P. T. (2011). Using creative writing as a bridge to enhance academic writing. *In English to Speakers of Other Languages Conference*, October 7-8, 2011 (Vol. 1, p. 69).
- Rasheed, M. M. H. A. (2017). Breaking the silence: experimenting with creative approaches to ESL classrooms in a rural Bangladesh context. University of Canterbury, New Zealand.
- Rathakrishnan, A. M. (2016). The effectiveness of pedagogical role online teachers' on students with difference learning style in their critical thinking. *PBwiki online learning*.
- Renau Renau, M. L. (2013). Mastering Presenters by Means of Visual Aids: Assessing Students' Oral Presentations
- Runco, M., & Johnson, D. (2002). Parents and teachers implicit theories of creativity: A cross-cultural perspective. *Creativity Research Journal*, 14(3), 427–438
- Rusli, R., Rahman, A., & Abdullah, H. (2020). Student perception data on online learning using heutagogy approach in the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences of Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia.
- Saforrudin, N., Zaman, H. B., & Ahmad, A. (2011). Penggunaan Alat Pengarangan Multimedia Dalam Kalangan Pendidik Guru: Faktor Pemilihan. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan Malaysia*. Bilangan, 2.
- Sahrir, M. S., Osman, N., Muhammad, I. S. (2020). Aplikasi 'Konsep 4C' Pembelajaran Abad Ke-21 Dalam Kalangan Guru Pelajar Sarjana Mod Pengajian Pendidikan Bahasa Arab Cuti Sekolah UIAM. *E-Jurnal Bahasa Dan Linguistik*, 2, 12-22
- Sailin, S. N. (2014). Barriers influencing teacher's technology integration in their teaching practice. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 8(23S), 352-358.

- Samad, S. A. (2009). Kompetensi dan kekerapan guru-guru sekolah rendah daerah Port Dickson mengintegrasi kemudahan sebagai pemudah komunikasi pengajaran dan pembelajaran (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia).
- Schleicher, A. (2011). The case for 21st century learning. *OECD Observer*, 282(283), 42-43.
- Shogren, K. A., Wehmeyer, M. L., Burke, K. M., & Palmer, S. B. (2017). *The Self-Determination Learning Model of Instruction: Teacher's Guide*. Lawrence, KS: Kansas University Center on Developmental Disabilities.
- Siegle, D. (2015). *Educational research basics by Del Siegle*. University of Connecticut, 22.
- Singh, T. K. R., & Chan, S. (2014). Teacher readiness on ICT integration in teaching-learning: A Malaysian case study. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 4(7), 874-885.
- Smith, S. M., & Woody, P. C. (2000). Interactive effect of multimedia instruction and learning styles. *Teaching of psychology*, 27(3), 220-223.
- Soomro, M. A., Siming, I. A., Shah, S. H. R., Rajper, M. A., Naz, S., & Channa, M. A. (2019). An Investigation of Anxiety Factors during English Oral Presentation Skills of Engineering Undergraduates in Pakistan. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 9(3), 1.
- Sternberg, R. J. (2006). The nature of creativity. *Creativity research journal*, 18(1), 87.
- Su, Y. H. (2009). Idea creation: the need to develop creativity in lifelong learning practices. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 28(6), 705-717.

- Suanmali, C. (1981). The core concepts of andragogy. Teachers College, Columbia University
- Sucharitrak, S. (2018). Using video self-assessment to improve students English oral presentation skills. *Veridian E-Journal, Silpakorn University*, 11(5), 94-104.
- Supriyatno, T., & Kurniawan, F. (2020). A New Pedagogy and Online Learning System on Pandemic COVID 19 Era at Islamic Higher Education. *In 2020 6th International Conference on Education and Technology* (ICET) (pp. 7-10). IEEE.
- Thabane, L., Ma, J., Chu, R., Cheng, J., Ismaila, A., Rios, L. P. & Goldsmith, C. H. (2010). A tutorial on pilot studies: the what, why and how. *BMC medical research methodology*, 10(1), 1-10.
- Ting, S. H., Marzuki, E., Chuah, K. M., Misieng, J., & Jerome, C. (2017). Employers' views on the importance of English proficiency and communication skill for employability in Malaysia. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 315-327.
- Tomsett, P. M., & Shaw, M. R. (2014). Creative classroom experience using pecha kucha to encourage ESL use in undergraduate business courses: A pilot study. *International Multilingual Journal of Contemporary Research*, 2(2), 89-108.
- Vellymalay, S. K. (2018) Dilema Sistem Pengasingan Kelas. *Pendidik*. Kuala Lumpur.
- Wang, M., & Kang, M. (2006). Cybergogy for engaged learning: A framework for creating learner engagement through information and communication technology. *In Engaged learning with emerging technologies* (pp. 225-253). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Watkins, C., & Mortimore, P. (1999). *Pedagogy: What do we know? Understanding pedagogy and its impact on learning*, 1-19.

- Wazeema, T. M. F., & Kareema, M. I. F. (2017). *Implication of multimedia audiovisual aids in the English language classroom*.
- Wellburn, E., & Eib, B. J. (2010). Imagining multi-roles in Web 2.0 distance education. *Emerging technologies in distance education*, 41-60.
- Whitby, G. B. (2007). *Pedagogies for the 21st Century: having the courage to see freshly*. ACEL International Conference. Sydney.
- Wyse, D., & Spendlove, D. (2007). Partners in creativity: Action research and creative partnerships. *Education*, 35(2), 181-191.
- Yahaya, M., & Hayat Adnan, W. (2021). Cabaran pelajar melalui kaedah pembelajaran atas talian: kajian institusi pengajian tinggi awam Malaysia. *Journal of Media and Information Warfare (JMIW)*, 14, 11-20.
- Yeung, M. (2019). Exploring the Strength of the Process Writing Approach as a Pedagogy for Fostering Learner Autonomy in Writing among Young Learners. *English Language Teaching*, 12(9), 42-54.
- Yildiz, E. P. (2021). Academist Perceptions on the Use of Web 2.0 Tools through Maslow's Needs Hierarchy: A Case Study. *Education Quarterly Reviews*, 4(1).
- Yuan, X., Sa, N., Begany, G., & Yang, H. (2015). What users prefer and why: A user study on effective presentation styles of opinion summarization. *In IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 249-264). Springer, Cham.
- Zimmaro, D. M. (2001). Creating a Rubric for Evaluating Media Projects. Instructional Assessment and Evaluation. University of Texas, Austin