

OPTIMAL TUNING OF FIXED SPEED WIND TURBINES PITCH  
CONTROLLER USING GREY WOLF OPTIMIZER

ALIYU HAMZA SULE

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

OPTIMAL TUNING OF FIXED SPEED WIND TURBINES PITCH  
CONTROLLER USING GREY WOLF OPTIMIZER

ALIYU HAMZA SULE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2021

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I contacted many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed to my understanding and thoughts. I particularly wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Ahmad Safawi Bin Mokhtar encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr Jasrul Jamani Bin Jamian and Dr Usman Ullah Sheikh, for their guidance, advice and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Tertiary TETFUND Nigeria for funding my PhD study. School of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Librarians at UTM, CICT UTM also deserve special thanks for supplying the relevant literary works.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have assisted on various occasions. Their views and tips are useful, indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

## ABSTRACT

The world is facing global warming due to the burning of fossil fuels to generate electricity. Therefore, Renewable Energy Sources (RES) such as wind turbines are integrated into the power system to reduce global warming. But the large-scale integration of the wind turbines into the power system can cause instability in the system due to intermittency in their outputs. Therefore, the wind turbine Proportional Integral (PI) based pitch controls are typically applied to enhance the generated power and the dynamic stability of the outputs. But, the PI controller's gains in the pitch controls have to be tuned to further enhance the generated power and dynamic stability of the outputs. In this study, the Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) is proposed to tune the PI gains of the pitch controls as a better tuning technique than the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) which has slow convergence speed, the Genetic Algorithm (GA) which has premature convergence and the Zeigler Nichols (ZN) tuning technique which has high overshoot. Also, the modification of the updating mechanism of the GWO is proposed to improve the GWO convergence speed and provide better PI gains of the pitch controls. The implementation of the PI gains of pitch controls obtained using the GWO in the pitch controls of the fixed speed and DFIG wind turbines is to show the GWO can enhance the generated power and the dynamic stability of the wind turbines. The tuning model for the PI pitch control was developed based on the Integral Time multiplied Square Error (ITSE) objective function with the PI gains as constraints. The tuning of the PI gains of the pitch control was conducted by minimizing the objective function using the GWO, the modified GWO, the PSO and the GA. The proposed modified GWO was validated through a comparison of its tuning result with the tuning results of the GWO, PSO and GA. The GWO and the modified GWO provided the least value of the objective function than the PSO and the GA. Additionally, the modified GWO exhibited faster convergence speed and provided better PI pitch control gains than the GWO, PSO and GA. Furthermore, the GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned gains were implemented in the PI pitch controls of fixed speed and DFIG wind turbines connected to the distribution system in four case studies. In the first case study, a 3MW fixed speed wind turbine connected to a 22.9 kV distribution line, and a 12.5 m/s average wind speed was used to run the wind turbine aimed to test the tuned PI controllers in the pitch control. In the second case study, a unit step increase above rated wind speed was applied to test the tuned PI pitch controllers in the 8x3MW fixed speed wind turbines connected as a wind farm with a 9 Bus IEEE stability test feeder. For the third and fourth case studies, a unit-step decrease in the pitch control nominal power and consecutive unit-step increases above rated wind speed respectively were applied, to test the tuned PI pitch controllers of DFIG wind turbines connected to a 9 Bus IEEE stability test feeder. The result from the first case study shows the GWO tuning of pitch control enhanced the generated power generation of the 3MW fixed speed wind turbine by 3.04 % compared to PSO, GA and ZN tuning techniques. For case studies, two to four, the GWO tuning of pitch controls enhanced the dynamic stability of fixed speed and the DFIG wind turbine outputs compared to the PSO, GA and ZN tuning techniques. The dynamic stability of the wind turbine outputs provided by the GWO tuning of PI pitch controls can reduce the stress on the pitch systems of the wind turbines.

## ABSTRAK

Dunia menghadapi pemanasan global kerana pembakaran bahan bakar fosil untuk menjana elektrik. Oleh itu, Sumber Tenaga Boleh Diperbaharui (RES) seperti turbin angin disepadukan ke dalam sistem kuasa untuk mengurangkan pemanasan global. Tetapi penyepaduan turbin angin secara besar-besaran ke dalam sistem kuasa boleh menyebabkan ketidakstabilan di dalam sistem disebabkan oleh kuasa keluaran yang tidak menentu. Oleh itu, turbin angin kamiran (PI) berkadar berasaskan kawalan pic biasanya digunakan untuk meningkatkan kuasa yang dijana dan kestabilan dinamik keluaran. Tetapi, gandaan pengawal PI di dalam kawalan pic perlu ditala untuk meningkatkan lagi kuasa yang dihasilkan dan kestabilan dinamik keluaran. Dalam kajian ini, Pengoptimum Grey Wolf dicadangkan untuk menala gandaan PI bagi kawalan pic sebagai teknik penalaan yang lebih baik daripada Pengoptimum Kerumunan Zarah yang mempunyai kelajuan penumpuan yang perlahan, Algoritma Genetik (GA) yang mempunyai teknik penumpuan pramatang dan penalaan Zeigler Nichols (ZN) yang mempunyai terlajak yang tinggi. Juga, pengubahsuaian mekanisme pengemaskinian GWO dicadangkan untuk meningkatkan kelajuan penumpuan GWO dan memberikan gandaan PI yang lebih baik bagi kawalan pic. Pelaksanaan kawalan pic gandaan PI yang diperolehi menggunakan GWO dalam kawalan pic bagi turbin angin kelajuan tetap dan DFIG adalah untuk menunjukkan GWO dapat meningkatkan kuasa keluaran yang dihasilkan dan kestabilan dinamik turbin angin. Model penalaan untuk kawalan pic PI telah dibina berdasarkan fungsi objektif Kamiran Masa Berganda Ralat Kuasa Dua (ITSE) dengan gandaan PI sebagai kekangan. Penalaan gandaan PI dari kawalan pic dilakukan dengan meminimumkan fungsi objektif menggunakan GWO, GWO yang diubahsuai, PSO dan GA. GWO yang diubahsuai yang telah dicadangkan, telah pun disahkan melalui perbandingan hasil penalaannya dengan hasil penalaan GWO, PSO dan GA. GWO dan GWO yang diubahsuai memberikan nilai fungsi objektif yang paling sedikit berbanding PSO dan GA. Tambahan itu, GWO yang diubahsuai memperlihatkan kelajuan penumpuan yang lebih cepat dan memberikan gandaan kawalan pic PI yang lebih baik daripada GWO, PSO dan GA. Tambahan pula, gandaan-gandaan yang ditala bagi GWO, PSO, GA dan ZN telah dilaksanakan dalam kawalan pic PI bagi turbin angin yang kelajuan tetap dan DFIG yang disambungkan ke sistem pengagihan dalam empat kajian kes. Dalam kajian kes pertama, turbin angin berkelajuan tetap 3MW disambungkan ke talian pengagihan 22.9 kV, dan purata kelajuan angin 12.5 m/s telah digunakan untuk menjalankan turbin angin yang bertujuan untuk menguji pengawal PI yang diselaraskan dalam kawalan pic. Dalam kajian kes kedua, peningkatan unit langkah yang melebihi kelajuan angin terkadar telah digunakan untuk menguji pengawal pic PI yang ditala dalam turbin angin berkelajuan tetap 8x3MW yang disambungkan sebagai ladang angin dengan penyuar ujian kestabilan 9 Bas IEEE. Untuk kajian kes ketiga dan keempat, penurunan langkah-unit di dalam kawalan pic kuasa nominal dan kenaikan langkah-unit secara berturut-turut melebihi kelajuan angin terkadar masing-masing telah dilaksanakan untuk menguji pengawal pic PI yang diselaraskan dari turbin angin DFIG yang disambungkan ke 9 IEEE Bas penyuar ujian kestabilan. Hasil kajian kes pertama menunjukkan penalaan kawalan pic GWO telah meningkatkan penjanaan kuasa turbin angin berkelajuan tetap 3MW sebanyak 3.04% berbanding teknik penalaan PSO, GA dan ZN. Untuk kajian kes, dua hingga empat, penalaan kawalan pic GWO telah meningkatkan kestabilan dinamik bagi turbin angin berkelajuan dan DFIG berbanding teknik penalaan PSO, GA dan ZN. Kestabilan dinamik bagi keluaran turbin angin yang disediakan oleh penalaan GWO kawalan pic PI dapat mengurangkan tekanan pada sistem pic turbin angin.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxi</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.1.1	Stochastic Wind Turbine Outputs	1
1.1.2	Solutions to the instability in the outputs of Wind Turbines	2
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.2.1	Motivations	4
1.3	Research Objectives	5
1.4	Scope of the Study	5
1.5	Significance of the Study	6
1.6	Thesis Outline	9
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Aims of integration RES into the power system	11
2.3	Benefits of RES integration in the power system	12

2.4	Technical challenges to RES integration in the power system.	13
2.5	Classification of Distributed Generation Sources	14
2.6	Stochastic outputs of RES	15
2.7	Wind Turbine and its operation	15
2.7.1	Wind Turbines classification based on sizes	18
2.7.2	Fixed Speed Wind Turbine concept	20
2.7.3	Variable Speed Wind Turbines	23
2.7.4	Variable Speed SCIG Wind Turbine concept	23
2.7.5	Partial variable speed DFIG Wind Turbine concept	24
2.7.6	Variable speed PMSG Wind Turbine concept	27
2.7.7	Variable speed Synchronous Generator Wind Turbine concept	28
2.8	Stability of power system integrated with wind power generation.	30
2.9	Dynamic stability of Wind Turbine Output	30
2.9.1	Dynamic stability indexes of Wind Turbine Output	32
2.10	Different types of Wind Turbine controls	33
2.10.1	Different types of pitch controllers of Wind Turbines	35
2.10.2	Control objectives of Wind Turbines	35
2.10.3	Pitch control of Wind Turbine	37
2.10.3.1	Classifications of pitch controls of Wind Turbines	37
2.11	Review on PID controller tuning using Optimization Algorithms.	39
2.11.1	Review on PID controller tuning using Zeigler Nichols tuning method	39
2.11.2	Review on PID controller tuning using Genetic Algorithm	40
2.11.3	Review on PID controller tuning using Particle Swarm Optimization	41
2.11.4	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO BFA, FA, DA and SSA.	42

2.12	General approaches of tuning PID controller using GWO	48
2.12.1	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for liquid flow and level control	48
2.12.2	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for speed and position control	49
2.12.3	Review on PID controller using GWO for Robot control	51
2.12.4	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for Area frequency and tie-line power control.	51
2.12.5	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for temperature control, disturbance and harmonics rejection.	54
2.12.6	Different MPPT techniques for Wind Turbines and synchronization with the grid.	60
2.12.7	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for MPPT in DFIG Wind Turbines and grid synchronization.	60
2.12.8	Review on PID controller tuning using GWO for MPPT in SG and PMSG Wind Turbines and grid synchronization.	62
2.13	Review on PID controller tuning for torque, speed and pitch angle control of Wind Turbines.	66
2.13.1	Review on tuning PID controller using ZN and GA for pitch angle control of Wind Turbines.	66
2.13.2	Review on tuning PID controller using PSO for torque, speed, and pitch angle control of Wind Turbinesproposed Method	68
2.13.3	Review on tuning PID controller using Bat, Auto and angular frequency tuning techniques for pitch angle control of Wind Turbines.	70
2.13.4	Review on tuning PID controller using BFOA, Actor-Cretic Learning and Recursive tuning techniques for pitch angle control of Wind Turbines	71
2.13.5	Review on tuning PID controller using ANTA, Auto and MFA tuning techniques for pitch angle control of Wind Turbines.	72
2.13.6	Review on tuning PID controller using TLBO, ACO and Scaling Factor tuning techniques for pitch angle control of Wind Turbines.	74



2.14	Limitations	83
2.15	Research Gap	84
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>87</b>
3.1	Introduction	87
3.2	Research Framework	87
3.2.1	The primary stage of Methodology	89
3.2.2	The second stage of the Methodology	89
3.3	SCIG Wind Turbine system model description	91
3.4	Modelling of Wind Turbine components	92
3.4.1	Wind model	92
3.4.2	Wind Turbine model	93
3.4.3	Modelling of Wind Turbine output energy efficiency and smoothing index.	95
3.4.4	Two-mass shaft modelling	96
3.4.5	SCIG Modelling	97
3.4.6	DFIG Modelling	98
3.5	Description of pitch control of Wind Turbine	99
3.5.1	Description of the Optimization-PI based pitch control structure	100
3.5.1.1	Formulation of the transfer function of the closed-loop pitch control system	101
3.5.1.2	Determining the parameters of the second-order transfer function of SCIG Wind Turbine.	101
3.5.1.3	Developing the objective function.	104
3.5.2	Grey Wolf Optimiser tuning technique	105
3.5.2.1	Hunting the prey	106
3.5.2.2	Encircling prey	107
3.5.2.3	Attacking and Searching of prey	107
3.5.2.4	Modification of GWO parameter.	108
3.5.2.5	Optimal tuning of PI controller in pitch control using GWO	109
3.5.3	Particle Swarm Optimization tuning technique	112

3.5.3.1	Optimal tuning of PI controller in pitch control using PSO	113
3.5.4	Genetic Algorithm tuning technique.	116
3.5.4.1	Optimal tuning of PI controller in pitch control using GA	116
3.5.5	Evaluating optimal search spaces for optimization algorithms.	120
3.6	Summary	123
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>125</b>
4.1	Introduction	125
4.2	Evaluation of $K_p$ , $K_i$ tuned gains and minimized objective function	125
4.2.1	Statistical and Evolution Results of minimization of objective function ITSE	133
4.2.2	Performance metrics of the tuned PI controller	134
4.2.3	Tuning results of the RGWO	136
4.3	Results of Implementation of tuning gains in the PI controller of pitch control	138
4.3.1	Case study I: Application of average wind speed to SCIG Wind Turbine	139
4.3.1.1	Result and Discussion of SCIG Wind Turbine Mechanical Parameters.	141
4.3.1.2	Result and Discussion of the SCIG Wind Turbine Electrical Parameters	151
4.3.2	Case study II: Application of unit-step increase above rated wind speed to SCIG Wind Turbines in a Wind Farm	163
4.3.2.1	Power flow calculation of WSCC 9 Bus Test Feeder	166
4.3.2.2	Result and Discussion of SCIG Wind Turbine Mechanical Parameters in the Wind Farm	166
4.3.2.3	Result and Discussion of SCIG Wind Turbines and PCC Electrical Parameters.	171
4.3.3	Case study III: Application of step decrease in pitch control nominal power	178

4.3.3.1	Result of implementation of tuned PI controllers in DFIG Wind Turbine for case study III.	179
4.3.4	Case study IV: Consecutive step increases above rated wind speed	184
4.4	Chapter Summary	188
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>191</b>
5.1	Research Outcomes	191
5.2	Contributions to Knowledge	193
5.3	Future Works	194
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>196</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>233</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 1.1	List of fixed speed Wind Turbines found in the market	7
Table 2.1	Advantages and disadvantages of SCIG Wind Turbine [77] [78].	22
Table 2.2	Advantages and disadvantages of DFIG Wind Turbine [81] [82]	26
Table 2.3	Advantages and disadvantages of PMSG Wind Turbine.	28
Table 2.4	Advantages and disadvantages of SG Wind Turbine	29
Table 2.5	Summary of Review on PID controller tuning using Optimization Methods	45
Table 2.6	Continuation of Summary of Review on PID controller tuning using Optimization Methods	46
Table 2.7	Continuation of Summary of Review on PID controller tuning by Optimization Methods	47
Table 2.8	Summary of the general approaches of tuning the PID controller using the GWO tuning technique	56
Table 2.9	Continuation of summary on the general approaches of tuning the PID controller using the GWO tuning technique	57
Table 2.10	Continuation of summary on the general approaches of tuning the PID controller using the GWO tuning technique	58
Table 2.11	Continuation of summary on the general approaches of tuning the PID controller using the GWO tuning technique	59
Table 2.12	Summary of Review on GWO tuning of PID controller for MPPT in Wind Turbine and grid synchronization	64
Table 2.13	Continuation of Summary of Review on GWO tuning of PID controller for MPPT in Wind Turbine and grid synchronization	65
Table 2.14	Summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	76
Table 2.15	Continuation of summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	77

Table 2.16	Continuation of summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	78
Table 2.17	Continuation of summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	79
Table 2.18	Continuation of summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	80
Table 2.19	Continuation of summary of Review on tuning the PID controller for torque, speed and pitch angle controls of Wind Turbines	81
Table 3.1	The search space one selected parameters and operators used for running the four Optimizers.	121
Table 3.2	The search space three selected parameters and operators used for running the three Optimizers.	122
Table 4.1	Minimized ITSE and tuned $K_p$ and $K_i$ gains using GWO, PSO and GA [0, 25] [0, 25].	126
Table 4.2	Minimized ITSE and tuned $K_p$ and $K_i$ gains using GWO, PSO and GA [0, 300] [0, 300]	129
Table 4.3	Minimized ITSE and tuned $K_p$ and $K_i$ gains using GWO, PSO and GA [0, 500], [0, 500]	132
Table 4.4	Minimized ITSE and tuned $K_p$ and $K_i$ gains using GWO, PSO and GA [0, 500] [0, 1100]	132
Table 4.5	Unit-step response performance metrics of tuned PI based pitch controller.	136
Table 4.6	Tuning results for RGWO and GWO algorithms	137
Table 4.7	Results of pitch angle and power error of SCIG Wind Turbine	141
Table 4.8	Result of mechanical torque of SCIG Wind Turbine	143
Table 4.9	Results of the SCIG Wind Turbine mechanical parameters	145
Table 4.10	Comparative Result of SCIG Wind Turbine mechanical parameters.	147
Table 4.11	Result of Electromagnetic torque of SCIG Wind Turbine	151
Table 4.12	Results of active power at SCIG terminals and PCC in per unit	153
Table 4.13	Results of voltages at SCIG terminals and PCC in per unit	157

Table 4.14	Comparative Result of Electrical parameters	160
Table 4.15	Result of Power flow in WSCC 9 Bus 3 Machine Test Feeder	167
Table 4.16	Result of impact on the unit-step increase of wind speed on SCIG Wind Turbine output	167
Table 4.17	Result of the impact of unit step increase above rated wind speed on generator rotor speed and electromagnetic torque	171
Table 4.18	Step response metrics of active of SCIG Wind Turbines and at PCC	173
Table 4.19	Results of Wind Farm SCIG and PCC voltages and currents under unit step increase in wind speed.	177
Table 4.20	Result of 10% decrease of pitch control reference power on the DFIG Wind Turbine output	180

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Benefits and Technical challenges to RES integration in the power system.	12
Figure 2.2	Detailed technical challenges of integration of RES in the power system.	13
Figure 2.3	Different categories of DGs	14
Figure 2.4	The subsystems of the Wind Turbine [64].	15
Figure 2.5	The main components of the Wind Turbine [66]	16
Figure 2.6	Wind Turbine power-speed curve [67]	17
Figure 2.7	Power-speed characteristic of fixed speed wind Turbine [52],[72].	18
Figure 2.8	Relation between the Wind Turbines output power and rotor sizes [73].	19
Figure 2.9	Wind Turbines in Wind Farm connected to grid [74]	20
Figure 2.10	SCIG Wind Turbine [75]	20
Figure 2.11	Control loops of variable speed Wind Turbine [79]	23
Figure 2.12	Variable speed SCIG Wind Turbine [80].	24
Figure 2.13	Partial variable speed DFIG Wind Turbine [75].	25
Figure 2.14	Variable speed PMSG/WRSG/SCIG Wind Turbine [75]	27
Figure 2.15	Variable speed SG Wind Turbine [86].	29
Figure 2.16	Wind Turbine sub-system controls [98]	34
Figure 2.17	PI controller pitch angle control [87].	37
Figure 2.18	Pitch angle control based on the active power control loop.	38
Figure 2.19	Adjustment of pitch angle due to excessive wind speed [108].	39
Figure 3.1	Thesis Research Framework.	88
Figure 3.2	3MW SCIG Wind Turbine system model	91
Figure 3.3	The main components of the Wind Turbine power system.	92
Figure 3.4	Power Coefficient Characteristics of Wind Turbine [189]	95

Figure 3.5	Simulink diagram for tuning the PI controller in pitch control	99
Figure 3.6	Block diagram for GWO-PI based pitch control structure	100
Figure 3.7	Unit-step input of wind speed and output response of open loop block diagram Wind Turbine.	102
Figure 3.8	Unit-step input of wind speed and output response of closed loop block diagram Wind Turbine	103
Figure 3.9	Social leadership hierarchy of wolves in GWO	105
Figure 3.10	Best position vectors $X_1+X_2+X_3$ of $\alpha$ $\beta$ and $\delta$ wolves [198].	108
Figure 3.11	Flow chart of GWO	110
Figure 3.12	Flow chart of PSO.	115
Figure 3.13	Flow chart of GA for tuning PI controller	119
Figure 4.1	Best convergence curves for minimized ITSE objective function for first search space [0, 25] [0, 25].	127
Figure 4.2	Worst convergence curves for minimized ITSE objective function for first search space [0, 25] [0, 25]	128
Figure 4.3	Best convergence for minimized ITSE objective function for second search space [0, 300] [0, 300].	130
Figure 4.4	Worst convergence for minimized ITSE objective function for second search space [0, 300] [0, 300].	130
Figure 4.5	The evolutions of GWO and PSO in the minimization of ITSE.	133
Figure 4.6	The evolution of GA in the minimization of ITSE	134
Figure 4.7	The unit-step responses of the GWO, PSO and GA tuned PI controllers	135
Figure 4.8	Best convergence curves for minimized ITSE objective function for first search space [0, 25] [0, 25].	137
Figure 4.9	Worst convergence curves for minimized ITSE objective function for first search space [0, 25] [0, 25]	138
Figure 4.10	MATLAB/Simulink model of the 3MW SCIG Wind Turbine system	140
Figure 4.11	The 12.5 m/s average wind speed [179].	142
Figure 4.12	SCIG Wind Turbine pitch angle adjustments for ZN, GA, PSO and GWO tuned PI pitch controllers.	142



Figure 4.13	SCIG Wind Turbine pitch angle adjustments for the Hybrid and Fuzzy based pitch controllers.	142
Figure 4.14	SCIG Wind Turbine mechanical torques for six pitch controllers.	144
Figure 4.15	SCIG Wind Turbine aerodynamic power for six pitch controllers.	146
Figure 4.16	Comparative results of the pitch angle, power error and rotor speed of SCIG Wind Turbine for five pitch controllers.	148
Figure 4.17	Comparative results of the rotor tip speed ratio, coupling coefficient and input torque to SCIG Wind Turbine for five pitch controllers.	149
Figure 4.18	SCIG Wind Turbine mechanical power, output energy efficiency index ( $P_{eff}$ ) and output power fluctuation index ( $P_{sm}$ ) for five pitch controllers.	150
Figure 4.19	Electromagnetic torque of SCIG Wind Turbine for six pitch controllers.	152
Figure 4.20	Active power of SCIG Wind Turbine for six pitch controllers	155
Figure 4.21	Active power at PCC for six pitch controllers	156
Figure 4.22	Voltage at SCIG terminals for six pitch controllers.	158
Figure 4.23	Voltage at PCC for six pitch controllers.	159
Figure 4.24	Comparative results of $T_e$ , $P_{scig}$ and $P_{pcc}$ for five pitch controllers	161
Figure 4.25	Comparative results of $V_{scig}$ and $V_{pcc}$ for five pitch controllers	162
Figure 4.26	3MW SCIG Wind Turbine of the SCIG Wind Farm	163
Figure 4.27	Eight number of 3MW SCIG Wind Turbines in a Wind Farm coupled to step-up Transformer.	164
Figure 4.28	The 8x3MW SCIG Wind Farm connected to bus 2 of WSCC 9 Bus 3 Machines stability test Feeder [206] [207].	165
Figure 4.29	The unit-step increase above rated wind speed.	169
Figure 4.30	The SCIG Wind Turbine pitch angle response to a unit-step above rated wind speed for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	169
Figure 4.31	The step response of SCIG Wind Turbine power error.	169

Figure 4.32	The step response of SCIG Wind Turbine mechanical torque for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	170
Figure 4.33	The step response of the SCIG Wind Turbine aerodynamic power for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	170
Figure 4.34	Rotor speed step response due to step increase above rated wind speed for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	172
Figure 4.35	Electromagnetic torque step response due to the unit-step above rated wind speed for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	172
Figure 4.36	Unit-step response of active power of SCIG Wind Turbine for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	174
Figure 4.37	Unit-step response of active power at PCC for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers	174
Figure 4.38	Unit-step response of stator voltages and currents of 3MW SCIG in 8x3MW Wind Farm.	175
Figure 4.39	Unit-step response of voltage and current at PCC of 8x3MW SCIG Wind Farm and WSCC.	176
Figure 4.40	The ten per cent decrease in nominal power of pitch angle control	181
Figure 4.41	Step-response of pitch angle to ten per cent decrease of the nominal power for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	181
Figure 4.42	Step-response of DFIG power error to a ten per cent decrease of the nominal power for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	182
Figure 4.43	Step-response of DFIG mechanical torque to a ten per cent decrease of the nominal power for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers.	183
Figure 4.44	Ste-response of DFIG mechanical power to ten per cent decrease of the nominal power for GWO, PSO, GA and ZN tuned pitch controllers	183
Figure 4.45	The five unit-step increases above 12.5 m/s rated wind speed applied to the DFIG Wind Turbine.	185
Figure 4.46	Step-response of the Pitch angle of DFIG Wind Turbine to five consecutive unit-steps above the rated wind speed.	185
Figure 4.47	Step-response of DFIG Wind Turbine mech power to five consecutive unit-step above the rated wind speed.	186

Figure 4.48	Step-response of DFIG Wind Turbine power error to five consecutive unit-step above the wind speed.	187
Figure 4.49	Step-response of DFIG Wind Turbine mech torque to five consecutive unit-step increases above the rated wind speed.	187
Figure 4.50	Step-response of the DFIG Wind Turbine power coefficient to five consecutive unit-step increases above the rated wind speed.	188
Figure 5.1	Simulink model of 3 MW SCIG Wind Turbine connected to a 22.9 kV double circuit distribution line	221

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	-	Artificial Bee Colony
AC	-	Adaptive Controller
AC/DC	-	Alternative Current to Direct Current
AGC	-	Automatic Gain Control
AGWO	-	Advanced Grey Wolf Optimizer
ACL	-	Actor-Critic Learning
ACO	-	Ant Colony Algorithm
AGC	-	Automatic Gain Control
AIGA	-	Advanced Intelligent Genetic Algorithm
ANFIS	-	Artificial Neuron Fuzzy Inferential System
ANN	-	Artificial Neuron Network
ANTA	-	Adaline Neuron Training Algorithm
AVR	-	Automatic Voltage Regulator
BA	-	Bat Algorithm
BBO	-	Bio-geography Based Optimization
BIBO	-	Bounded Input Bounded Output
BEM	-	Blade Element Momentum
BESS	-	Battery Energy Storage System
BFA	-	Bacteria Foraging Algorithm
BFOA	-	Bacteria Foraging Optimization Algorithm
BLDC	-	Brushless Direct Current
BSA	-	Backtracking Search Algorithm
CHP	-	Combine Heat Power
CSTP	-	Concentrating Solar Thermal Power
CSTR	-	Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
CR	-	Combine Renewable
DA	-	Dragonfly Algorithm
DC	-	Direct Current
DE	-	Differential Evolution
DFIG	-	Doubly Fed Induction Generator

DG	-	Distributed Generation
DS	-	Dynamic Stability
DSO	-	Direct Search Optimization
DVR	-	Dynamic Voltage Restorer
DTC	-	Direct Torque Control
EMTDC	-	Electromagnetic Transients including DC
FA	-	Firefly Algorithm
FAST	-	Fatigue, Aerodynamics, Structures and Turbulence
FEP	-	Fast Evolutionary Programming
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Controller
FPIC	-	Fuzzy Proportional Integral Controller
FRT	-	Fault Ride Through
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GGWO	-	Group Grey Wolf Optimizer
GSA	-	Gravitational Search Algorithm
GW	-	Gigawatt
GWO	-	Grey Wolf Optimizer
IAE	-	Integral Absolute Error
ICA	-	Imperialist Competitive Algorithm
IEEE	-	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
IETC	-	International Electro-technical Commission
IGA	-	Improved Genetic Algorithm/Intelligent Genetic Algorithm
IM	-	Induction Motor
ICA	-	Imperialist Competitive Algorithm
ISE	-	Integral Square Error
ITAE	-	Integral Time multiplied Absolute Error
ITSE	-	Integral Time multiplied Square Error
KHA	-	Krill Herd Algorithm
LFC	-	Load Frequency Control
LQR	-	Linear Quadratic Regulator
LVRT	-	Low Voltage Ride Through
MATLAB-	-	Matrix Laboratory
Max	-	Maximum

MGWO	-	Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer
Min	-	Minimum
MFO	-	Moth-Flame Optimization
MPPT	-	Maximum Power Point Tracking
MRS	-	Modern Renewable Sources
MSE	-	Mean Square Error
MVA	-	Mega Volt Ampere
NMO	-	Nelder's Mead Optimizer
NREL	-	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
OS	-	Overshoot
PCC	-	Point of Common Coupling
PEV	-	Plug-in Electric Vehicle
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
PI-GWO	-	Proportional Integral-Grey Wolf Optimizer
PLC	-	Programmable Logic Controller
PMSG	-	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator
PS	-	Pattern Search
PSCAD	-	Power Systems Computer-Aided Design
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
QOGWO	-	Quasi-Oppositional Grey Wolf Optimizer
RBF	-	Radial Basis Function
RES	-	Renewable Energy Sources
RGWO	-	Resultant Grey Wolf Optimizer
RL	-	Load Resistance
RST	-	Reference Signal Tracking
SA	-	Simplex Algorithm/Simulated Annealing
SCIG	-	Squirrel Cage Induction Generator
SG	-	Synchronous Generator
SGA	-	Standard Genetic Algorithm
SMIBS	-	Single Machine Infinite Bus System
SSA	-	Salp Swarm Algorithm
SSC	-	Stator Side Converter
TFEC	-	Total Final Energy Consumption

TLBO	-	Teaching Learning Based Optimization
TS	-	Transient Stability
TWB	-	Thin Well Beam
UPFC	-	Unified Power Flow Controller
UPQC	-	Unified Power Quality Conditioner
US	-	Under Shoot
USA	-	United States of America
VAR	-	Volt Ampere Reactive
VSC	-	Voltage Source Converter
WSCC	-	Western System Coordinating Council
WT	-	Wind Turbine
ZN	-	Ziegler Nichols
2DOF PID	-	Two Degree of Freedom Proportional Integral Differential

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$C_p$	-	Power Coefficient
$C_\tau$	-	Torque Coefficient
$c_1$	-	Cognitive coefficient
$c_2$	-	Social factor
$E_r$	-	Random probability of Genetic Algorithm
$e(t)$	-	The error between nominal value and output value
$\vec{D}_\alpha$	-	The distance of alpha wolf
$\vec{D}_\beta$	-	The distance of beta wolves
$\vec{D}_\delta$	-	The distance of delta wolves
$dt$	-	A small change in time t
$d\beta$	-	A small change in pitch angle
$g_{best}$	-	Swarm best solution
$I^2R$		Power loss in a conductor
$J$	-	Inertia moment of the Wind Turbine rotor
$lb$	-	Lower bound
$K$	-	Open-loop gain of Wind Turbine
$K_i$	-	The integral gain of the PID controller
$K_p$	-	A proportional gain of PID controller
$M_p$	-	Maximum overshoot
$p_{best}$	-	Personal best solution
$P_c$	-	Crossover probability of Genetic Algorithm
$P_{eff}$	-	Output energy efficiency index
$P_m$	-	Mutation probability of Genetic Algorithm
$P_m$	-	The mechanical power of the Wind Turbine
$P - Q$	-	Active power- reactive power
$P_{sm}$	-	Output power smoothing index
$R$	-	Rotor radius
$T_e$	-	Electromagnetic torque of a generator
$T_m$	-	Mechanical torque of Wind Turbine



$T_\beta$	-	The time constant of pitch actuator of Wind Turbine
$\vec{V}^t$	-	The velocity of the particle at time t.
$\vec{V}^{t+1}$	-	Update of particle velocity at time
w	-	Inertia weight
$\vec{X}$	-	Position of prey
$\vec{X}_\alpha$	-	Position of alpha Wolves
$\vec{X}_\beta$	-	Position of beta Wolves
$\vec{X}_\delta$	-	Position of delta Wolves
$\vec{X}_1$	-	Update Position of alpha Wolves
$\vec{X}_2$	-	Update Position of beta Wolves
$\vec{X}_3$	-	Update Position of delta Wolves
$\vec{X}^t$	-	Particle position at time t.
$\vec{X}^{t+1}$	-	Particle update position at time t
pu	-	per unit
s	-	Laplace factor
tf	-	Transfer function
ub	-	Upper bound
$\alpha$	-	Alpha
$\beta$	-	Pitch angle beta
$\delta$	-	Delta
$\vartheta$	-	Theta
$\rho$	-	Rho
$\pi$	-	pi
$\lambda$	-	Rotor tip speed ratio
$\omega$	-	Rotor speed
$\omega_n$	-	Natural frequency parameter of second-order Wind Turbine
$\zeta$	-	Wind damping ratio zeta

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Simulink model of 3 MW SCIG Wind Turbine connected to a 22.9 kV double-circuit distribution line.	221
Appendix B	Summary of tuning results of PI controller in pitch control of Wind Turbine.	222
Appendix C	Wind Turbines, Grid and distribution line parameters	230

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

The world is facing environmental degradation such as green gas and radiation emissions, oil spills due to the widespread burning of fossil fuels [1] and nuclear radioactive materials to generate electricity. The high electricity demand [1] causes the depletion of fossil fuel reserves and their high costs [2] and these forced the stakeholders in the power industry to encourage the integration of Renewable Energy Sources (RES) in the power system [2]. The environmental degradation propelled the global campaign to reduce dependency on fossil fuels and focus on RES as the best electricity generation source, free from green gas emission for the sustainable environment [3, 4]. The campaign is encouraging the conduct of research for Hydro, Wind, Photovoltaic, Geothermal and Bio-energy sources to replace fossil fuels for generating electricity [4]. From another perspective, the disadvantages of constructing the long transmission system to supply power to remote communities in the traditional power system, such as the high cost of construction the long transmission lines with high copper losses, also are encouraging the integration of RES in the sub-transmission and the distribution networks, close to the load centres. Furthermore, the deregulation of the electricity market and the power industry is another factor pushing the integration of RES in the power system [5]. Moreover, the government incentives for using alternatives to fossil fuels encourage RES integration in the power system [6].

#### 1.1.1 Stochastic Wind Turbine Outputs

Wind speed is a random process, and its cubic relationship with the Wind Turbines' aerodynamic power can causes instability in the wind power generation. Therefore, a power system with integrated Wind Turbines can experience instability

due to the integration [7]. Besides the intermittent nature of the Wind Turbine outputs, the introduction of power electronic control devices in Wind Turbines; the vibration of the supporting frame of the Wind Turbine and blade shedding affects the power system's integrated power system with Wind Turbines. The vibration problems in the wind turbine system's supporting structure cause harmonics, while blade shedding cause flickers. The Wind Turbine output's intermittency causes stochastic reactive power consumption by Squirrel Cage Induction Generator, Doubly-Fed Induction Generator, and rotor wound Induction Generator [8]. The stochastic reactive power consumption of Induction Generators in the Wind Turbine creates voltage and frequency instability in the generator's outputs.

### **1.1.2 Solutions to the instability in the outputs of Wind Turbines**

In the literature, different researchers proposed different methods of solving the fluctuations in the Wind Turbine outputs. Considering the Wind Turbine's aerodynamic power, the Radial Basis Neural network and PSO tuned collective pitch control were applied in [9] to reduce the Wind Turbine output fluctuations. In [10] the Intelligent Genetic Algorithm (IGA) tuned PID controller was implemented in the blade pitch control to reduce fluctuations in the Wind Turbine output. The Firefly Algorithm tuned pitch angle controller was applied in [11] to control the Wind Turbine's mechanical power. While in [12], the Wind Turbine's dynamic stability was enhanced using a metaheuristic optimizer tuned blade pitch controller.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

- i. The ZN, GA and PSO tuning techniques applied by the Researchers for tuning the PI(D) controller in the pitch controls of Wind Turbines for power limitation and dynamic stability are the well-established methods in the literature, but they have the following limitations:

- a. The ZN tuned PID controller implemented in the pitch control of Wind Turbine in [13] does not produce the optimal control performance because the ZN tuning technique has high overshoot, oscillation and settling time.
  - b. The PSO applied in [14], [15], [159] and [160] to tune the PID controller in the pitch control of Wind Turbines has inadequate convergence speed. Also, the PSO can be trapped into a local optimum as the best solution when solving complex problems like tuning the PI controller in the pitch control of the Wind Turbine.
  - c. The GA is popular for PID controller tuning because of its accuracy and can solve tuning problems that are not well formulated. But it can be stuck in a local optimum.
- ii. In the standard GWO the best positions of Alpha ( $\lambda$ ), Beta ( $\beta$ ) and Delta ( $\delta$ ) wolves are used to update the positions of the  $\omega$  wolves have equal influences. The equal influences of Alpha ( $\lambda$ ), Beta ( $\beta$ ) and Delta ( $\delta$ ) wolves in updating the positions of  $\omega$  wolves have violated the hierarchy class of the wolves which have the possibility not to provide optimal tuning results.
  - iii. The PSO, GA and ZN tuned PI controllers implemented in the pitch control of fixed speed Wind Turbines have the possibility of not providing optimal pitch control performance leading to low conversion of wind kinetic energy to aerodynamic power in the Wind Turbine because of the limitations in the PSO, GA and ZN tuning techniques mentioned in problem statement one.
  - iv. The PSO, GA and ZN tuned PI controllers implemented in the pitch control of SCIG and DFIG Wind Turbines have the possibility not providing optimal pitch control performance leading to dynamic instability in the aerodynamic power and torque of the Wind Turbines because of the limitations in the PSO, GA and ZN tuning techniques mentioned in problem statement one.

### 1.2.1 Motivations

The pitch control of the Wind Turbine is complex and nonlinear. A GWO is a suitable Algorithm for solving complex and nonlinear optimization problems [19] and it can provide an optimal solution to the PI controller tuning problem of pitch control. Mir Jalili, Seedily reported that the GWO has better search performance compare to Fast Evolutionary Programme (FEP), Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Differential Evolutionary (DE), because it is simple in principle, fast and accurate in searching [20]. Based on the good convergence characteristics of the GWO, it is proposed in this study to tune the PI controller in the pitch control of the Turbine driving the SCIG and DFIG Wind Turbines separately. This study proposed the GWO-based tuning method for PI controller in the pitch angle control of Wind Turbine as a better alternative to PSO, GA and Zeigler Nichols tuning techniques because of the following:

- a. GWO has better convergence characteristics than PSO and GA [21] because of its exceptional ability to adapt the value of the optimization parameter “a” [22].
- b. It can also avoid local optimum because it has a balanced exploration and exploitation ability [23].
- c. Furthermore, it is simple [24], robust and applied to complex optimization tasks [25].

To further harness the application of SCIG Wind Turbine for wind power generation, this study considered the optimal tuning of the PI controller in pitch control of SCIG Wind Turbine. It is cheap in unit cost; simple in design and construction; brushless; robust; low cost of installation and fewer maintenance requirements [7], [26], [27] compared to variable speed Wind Turbines. Furthermore, it possessed better regulation in heat, good regulation in speed, small size and light in weight compared to DFIG and PMSG Wind Turbines [28].

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

To find solutions to the research problems the following research objectives are set:

- i. To develop the mathematical model for tuning the PI controller in the pitch control of Wind Turbine using the GWO, which overcome the slow convergence associated with PSO and trapping into local optimum associated with GA.
- ii. To modify the GWO updating mechanism for faster convergence and tuning results of PI controller in the pitch control of the Wind Turbine.
- iii. To reduce the aerodynamic power error in SCIG Wind Turbine operating under stochastic wind speed, through the GWO tuned PI controller's implementation in the Wind Turbine's pitch control connected to a 22.9kV double circuit distribution system.
- iv. To enhance the dynamic stability of the aerodynamic power and torque of the SCIG Wind Turbines and DFIG Wind Turbines, through the GWO tuned PI controllers' implementation in the pitch controls the Wind Turbines connected to a WCSS 9 Bus 3 Machine test Feeder.

### **1.4 Scope of the Study**

The following are the scope of the study:

- i. This study is on enhancing the power efficiency of the SCIG Wind Turbine connected to the 22.9 kV distribution system by implementing a GWO tuned PI controller in the pitch control of the Wind Turbine. Also, it is on smoothing the SCIG Wind Turbine output by implementing a GWO tuned PI controller in

the pitch control of the Wind Turbine. Furthermore, the study considered the GWO tuned controller's implementation in the 8x3MW SCIG Wind Farm and the 8x3MW DFIG Wind Farms' pitch control for dynamic stability enhancement in the Wind Turbines outputs. The SCIG Wind Turbine model considered in this study is specified in IEC 61400-27-1 standards for power system stability analysis [29].

- ii. This study considered the PI controller's optimal tuning in pitch control of DFIG and SCIG Wind Turbines for aerodynamic power limitation and dynamic stability of their outputs.
- iii. This study considered the small perturbation of rated wind speed, step-change in the generator's nominal power in testing the impact of tuning the PI controller in pitch control on Wind Turbine outputs' dynamic stability.
- iv. The impact of optimal tuning of PI controller in pitch control on the mechanical and electrical parameters of DFIG and SCIG Wind Turbines are studied.
- v. This study is on the SCIG and DFIG Wind Turbines operation in region III of their power-speed characteristics.
- vi. This study is on the PI controller's optimal tuning in pitch control of Wind Turbines at the mechanical subsystem of Turbines.

## **1.5 Significance of the Study**

Presently the DFIG Wind Turbines are dominant in the Wind Turbine industry while the SCIG Wind Turbines dominated the same industry before the year 2000 [13], but they are still found in the Wind Farms [30] [31]. A brief survey of existing SCIG Wind Turbine installations is conducted to show the significance of conducting the PI controller's optimal tuning in SCIG Wind Turbines' pitch control. There are 105



Nordex N43-600 kW-three blades and 117 numbers of VESTAS 660kW stall regulation Wind Turbines located in Zafarana, Egypt [32]. Also, fixed-speed Wind Turbines are found in Nysted Offshore Wind Farm in Denmark. Moreover, the fixed-speed Wind Turbines contribute to a sizeable 20% of the Wind Energy Conversion Systems in Europe because of their simplicity in design and low maintenance cost [33] [34], [35]. The medium and the large scales fixed-speed Wind Turbines, both horizontal and vertical axes, are located in New York, on the coast of long island USA [36]. Table 1.13 shows some Wind Turbines with the Asynchronous generator concept found in the market [37]. There are different researches on the constant speed Asynchronous Wind Turbine concept are reported as in [38], [39], [40] and [41] et cetera. The power generation at the Wind Turbine outputs stochastic due to its cubic relationship with wind speed, and at high wind speed, the pitch angle control is applied to limit the output power to nominal power. In this study, the optimal tuning of Wind Turbines' pitch angle control is to enhance the pitch control performance. The significant of optimal tuning of pitch controls of the Wind Turbines are limit the output power to nominal power to reduced power error and output fluctuations and provide a better dynamic response at the Wind Turbine outputs.

Table 1.1 List of fixed speed Wind Turbines found in the market

S/N	Speed control	Gear-box	Generator	Capacity	Manufacturer	Ref.
1	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	1.5 MW 2.1 MW	Suzlon	[42]
2	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	2.3 MW 3.6 MW	Siemen wind	[43]
3	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	3.0 MW	Acciona	[30]
4	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	3.0 MW	Creative Wind Energy	[30]
5	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	3.0 MW	Guodian United Power	[31]
6	Constant	Multistate	Asynchronous	0.75 MW	Wind World	[44]
7	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	1.0 MW	Fuhrlande	[33]
8	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	2.5 MW	Nordex SE	[34]

S/N	Speed control	Gear-box	Generator	Capacity	Manufacturer	Ref.
9	Constant	Single-stage	Asynchronous	2.05 MW 2.5MW	Eviag	[45]
10	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	0.75 MW 0.90 MW	NEG Micon	[46]
11	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	0.10 MW 0.12 MW	Danish Wind Turbines ltd	[39]
12	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	0.30 MW	Nordtank	[40]
13	Constant	Multistage	Asynchronous	2.3 MW	Danish Wind Turbines ltd	[41]

The significance of the study is itemized as follows:

- i. The implementation of GWO tuned PI controller in the pitch control of fixed speed SCIG Wind Turbine connected to a 22.9kV distribution system can reduce the mean power error at the output power of the SCIG Wind Turbine compared to PSO tuned PI controller, GA tuned controller, Fuzzy controller and Hybrid controller. The GWO tuned PI controller's implementation in the SCIG Wind Turbine's pitch control has smoothed out the fluctuation in the Wind Turbine output compared with the output fluctuation provided by the PSO tuned PI controller, GA tuned controller, Fuzzy controller and Hybrid controller. Therefore, this study is significant because of the enhancement of the fixed speed SCIG Wind Turbine's output power and reduction of fluctuation in its output which can increase the utilization of SCIG Wind Turbine in wind power generation.
- ii. The study has enhanced the dynamic stability of the outputs of SCIG and DFIG Wind Turbines due to optimal tuning of pitch control of the Turbines using GWO. The enhancement in the dynamic stability in the pitch angle trajectory is significant because can lead to less stress on the pitch actuator which can increase its life span. Also, this can reduce maintenance costs of pitch actuators in Wind Turbines.

- iii. The dynamically enhanced SCIG and DFIG Wind Turbine output due to the optimal tuning of PI-based pitch control using GWO and coupled to the distribution system can enhance the dynamic stability of the system.

## 1.6 Thesis Outline

This thesis contains five chapters. The introduction is Chapter One, where the research background was presented. It also includes the problem statements, research gap, motivation, research objectives, the study's scope, and the significance of the research and the thesis outline. Chapter two contains the Wind Turbine operation, classification of Wind Turbines, dynamic stability of Wind Turbine outputs, Wind Turbine output dynamic stability index, Wind Turbine pitch controls, and their classification. The previous works on the PID controller's optimal tuning based on optimizations for general applications were reviewed. Then the general approaches of tuning the PID controllers using GWO were presented. In the same Chapter Two, the GWO tuning of PID controllers in the control loops of power electronics converters for MPPT in the Wind Turbines and grid synchronization was reviewed. And finally, the previous works on the optimal tuning of PI(D) controllers in pitch controls of Wind Turbines were also reviewed.

Chapter three contains a two-stage research methodology. In the first stage, the proposed GWO-based tuning technique for PI controller in Wind Turbine's pitch control was described using a block diagram. The transfer function of the closed-loop pitch control of the Wind Turbine was formulated. And the Integral Time multiplied Square Error (ITSE) objection function for optimal tuning of the PI controller in Wind Turbine's pitch control was developed. The objective function is based on the power error between the generator's nominal power and the Turbine's aerodynamic power. The mathematical modelling of tuning the PI controller in the Wind Turbine's pitch control sequentially using the GWO, PSO and GA were presented in chapter three. The performance metrics for comparing the GWO, PSO and GA performances in tuning the PI controller in pitch control were provided. A description of how the best tuning results from the four search spaces were implemented in the PI controller in

pitch control of the Wind Turbine is provided. Four case studies were conducted to validate the proposed GWO-based tuning method for PI controller in Wind Turbine's pitch control by comparing it with PSO, GA-based methods, and ZN tuning method.

In chapter three, the interconnected Wind Turbine and its component models were presented. Furthermore, the mathematical models of the wind turbine output energy efficiency and output power smoothing index line were presented. The results of the PI controller's optimal tuning in Wind Turbine's pitch control based on GWO, PSO and GA for four search spaces were presented in chapter four. In the same chapter, the results of the implementation of selected tuning results of PI controller in the pitch control of the Wind Turbine for four case studies were presented. In the first case study, the SCIG Wind Turbine connected to a distribution line was tested with variable wind speed. The SCIG Wind Turbine operated in constant speed mode without reactive control at the generator's stator terminals. In the second case study, the SCIG Wind Turbines in the Wind Farm connected to bus 2 of the WSCC 9 Bus 3 Machines test feeder were tested with unit-step above rated wind turbines' wind speed. For the third case study, the DFIG Wind Turbines in a Wind Farm connected to WCSS 9 Bus 3 Machine test feeder was tested with consecutive unit-step above rated wind speed of the Wind Turbine. And for the fourth case study, the DFIG Wind Turbines located in the Wind Farm was connected to bus 2 of WSCC 9 Bus 3 Machine test feeder, were tested with a 10% decrease in the nominal power of their pitch controls. All the results from mechanical, electrical parameters of the Wind Turbines and the PCC's electrical results were presented and discussed in chapter four. Finally, chapter five contains the research outcomes, contribution to knowledge and future works.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. E. Razavi, E. Rahimi, M.S. Javadi, A.E. Nezhad, M. Lotfi, M. Shafie-khah, and J.P.S. Catalão, “Impact of distributed generation on protection and voltage regulation of distribution systems: A review,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 105, pp. 157–167, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.01.050
- [2] M. Laouer, A. Mekkaoui and M. Younes, “STATCOM and capacitor banks in a fixed-speed wind farm,” in *Energy Procedia*, 2014, vol. 50, pp. 882–892, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2014.06.107.
- [3] A. Zahedi, “A review of drivers, benefits, and challenges in integrating renewable energy sources into electricity grid,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 4775–4779, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.074.
- [4] A. A. Osman, A. S. El-wakeel, A. Kamel and H. M. Seoudy, “Optimal Tuning of PI Controllers for Doubly-Fed Induction Generator-Based Wind Energy Conversion System using Grey Wolf Optimizer,” *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 81–87, 2015.
- [5] P. Basak, S. Chowdhury, S. Halder Nee Dey and S. P. Chowdhury, “A literature review on integration of distributed energy resources in the perspective of control, protection and stability of microgrid,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 5545–5556, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.05.043.
- [6] R. Kuiava, R. A. Ramos, H. R. Pota and L. F. C. Alberto, “Practical stability assessment of distributed synchronous generators under variations in the system equilibrium conditions,” *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 55, pp. 275–284, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.09.013.
- [7] M. Firouzi, G. B. Gharehpetian and Y. Salami, “Active and reactive power control of wind farm for enhancement transient stability of multi-machine power system using UIPC,” *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1246–1252, 2017, doi: 10.1049/iet-rpg.2016.0459.

- [8] A. K. Pathak, M. P. Sharma and M. Bundele, “A critical review of voltage and reactive power management of wind farms,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 51, pp. 460–471, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.06.015.
- [9] I. Poultangari, R. Shahnazi and M. Sheikhan, “RBF neural network based PI pitch controller for a class of 5-MW wind turbines using particle swarm optimization algorithm,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 641–648, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2012.06.001.
- [10] Z. Civelek, E. Çam, M. Lüy and H. Mamur, “Proportional-integral-derivative parameter optimisation of blade pitch controller in wind turbines by a new intelligent genetic algorithm,” *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1220–1228, 2016, doi: 10.1049/iet-rpg.2016.0029.
- [11] Rukslin, M. Haddin and A. Suprajitno, “Pitch angle controller design on the wind turbine with permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) base on firefly algorithms (FA),” in *2016 International Seminar on Application of Technology for Information and Communication, (ISEMANTIC)*, 2017, no. 4, pp. 13–17, doi: 10.1109/ISEMANTIC.2016.7873802.
- [12] S. Soued, M. A. Ebrahim, H. S. Ramadan and M. Becherif, “Optimal blade pitch control for enhancing the dynamic performance of wind power plants via metaheuristic optimisers,” *IET Electric Power Applications*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1432–1440, 2017, doi: 10.1049/iet-epa.2017.0214.
- [13] M. Q. Duong, F. Grimaccia, S. Leva, M. Mussetta and E. Ogliari, “Pitch angle control using hybrid controller for all operating regions of SCIG wind turbine system,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 70, pp. 197–203, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2014.03.072.
- [14] B. Zahra, H. Salhi and A. Mellit, “Wind turbine performance enhancement by control of pitch angle using PID controller and particle swarm optimization,” in *5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering - Boumerdes, ICEE-B 2017*, 2017, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/ICEE-B.2017.81922 21.
- [15] A. Iqbal, D. Ying, A. Saleem, M. A. Hayat and M. Mateen, “Proposed particle swarm optimization technique for the wind turbine control system,” *Measurement and Control*, vol. 53, no. 5–6, pp. 1022–1030, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0020294020902785.
- [16] M. Lipian, I. Dobrev, M. Karczewski, F. Massouh and K. Jozwik, “Small wind turbine augmentation: Experimental investigations of shrouded- and twin-rotor

- wind turbine systems,” *Energy*, vol. 186, p. 115855, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2019.115855.
- [17] A. Bensalah, M. A. Benhamida, G. Barakat and Y. Amara, “Large wind turbine generators: State-of-the-art review,” in *Proceedings - 2018 23rd International Conference on Electrical Machines, ICEM 2018*, 2018, pp. 2205–2211, doi: 10.1109/ICELMACH.2018.8507165.
- [18] E. Pinheiro, F. Bandejas, M. Gomes, P. Coelho and J. Fernandes, “Performance analysis of wind generators and PV systems in industrial small-scale applications,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 110, no. May, pp. 392–401, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.04.074.
- [19] R. Khanduzi, A. Ebrahimzadeh and S. P. Ali Beik, “Optimal control of fractional integro-differential systems based on a spectral method and Grey Wolf optimizer,” *International Journal of Optimization and Control: Theories & Applications*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–65, 2020, doi: 10.11121/IJOCTA.01.2020.00753.
- [20] S. X. Li and J. S. Wang, “Dynamic modelling of steam condenser and design of pi controller based on grey Wolf optimizer,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2015, pp. 1–9, 2015, doi: 10.1155/2015/120975.
- [21] V. K. Kamboj, “A novel hybrid PSO–GWO approach for unit commitment problem,” *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1643–1655, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00521-015-1962-4.
- [22] S. K. Verma, S. Yadav and S. K. Nagar, “Optimization of Fractional Order PID Controller Using Grey Wolf Optimizer,” *Journal of Control, Automation and Electrical Systems*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 314–322, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s40313-017-0305-3.
- [23] B. Yang, X. Zhang, T. Yu, H. Shu and Z. Fang, “Grouped grey wolf optimizer for maximum power point tracking of doubly-fed induction generator based wind turbine,” *Energy Conversion Management*, vol. 133, pp. 427–443, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2016.10.062.
- [24] M. H. Qais, H. M. Hasanien and S. Alghuwainem, “Augmented grey wolf optimizer for grid-connected PMSG-based wind energy conversion systems,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 69, pp. 504–515, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2018.05.006.

- [25] J. W. Choi, S. Y. Heo and M. K. Kim, “Hybrid operation strategy of wind energy storage system for power grid frequency regulation,” *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 736–749, 2016, doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2015.0149.
- [26] A. El-Naggar and I. Erlich, “Analysis of fault current contribution of Doubly-Fed Induction Generator Wind Turbines during unbalanced grid faults,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 91, pp. 137–146, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.01.045.
- [27] S. Yang, A. Bryant, P. Mawby, D. Xiang, L. Ran and P. Tavner, “An industry-based survey of reliability in power electronic converters,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Applications*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 1441–1451, 2011, doi: 10.1109/TIA.2011.2124436.
- [28] M. R. Hazari, E. Jahan, M. A. Mannan and J. Tamura, “Coordinated control scheme of battery storage system to augment LVRT capability of SCIG-based wind turbines and frequency regulation of hybrid power system,” *Electron. MDPI*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1–13, 2020, doi: 10.3390/electronics9020239.
- [29] A. Honrubia-Escribano, E. Gomez-Lazaro, F. Jiminez-Buendia and E. Muljadi, “Comparison of Standard Wind Turbine Models with Vendor Models for Power System Stability Analysis,” Vienna, Austria, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.osti.gov/scitech>.
- [30] N. G. W. D. Zhu, “A review on the development of wind turbine generators across the world,” *International Journal of Dynamic Control*, vol. 1, pp. 192–202, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s40435-013-0016-y.
- [31] K. Holloway, “WindPower,” *Guodian United Power (China)*, 2018. [https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine\\_en\\_960\\_guodian-united-power\\_up120-3000-iiia.php](https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_960_guodian-united-power_up120-3000-iiia.php) (accessed Mar. 03, 2020).
- [32] M. M. A. Mahfouz, A. M. Amin and E. B. Youssef, “Improvement Integration of Zafarana Egypt Wind Farm Connected to the Unified Power Grid,” *International Electrical Engineering Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 514–522, 2011, [Online]. Available: [https://www.ieejournal.com/Vol\\_1\\_No\\_1/Improvement Integration of Zafarana Egypt Wind Farm Connected to the Unified Power Grid.pdf](https://www.ieejournal.com/Vol_1_No_1/Improvement%20Integration%20of%20Zafarana%20Egypt%20Wind%20Farm%20Connected%20to%20the%20Unified%20Power%20Grid.pdf).



- [33] “Wind Power,” *Fuhrländer Wind Turbine General data*, 2020. [https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine\\_en\\_12\\_nordex\\_n60-1300.php](https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_12_nordex_n60-1300.php) (accessed Aug. 02, 2020).
- [34] “WindPower,” *Nordex Wind Turbine General data*, 2020. [https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine\\_en\\_306\\_nordex\\_n54-1000.php](https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_306_nordex_n54-1000.php) (accessed Aug. 03, 2020).
- [35] M. N. I. Sarkar, L. G. Meegahapola and M. Datta, “Reactive power management in renewable rich power grids: A review of grid-codes, renewable generators, support devices, control strategies and optimization Algorithms,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 41458–41489, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2838563.
- [36] S. H. Qazi and M. W. Mustafa, “Review on active filters and its performance with grid-connected fixed and variable speed wind turbine generator,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 57, pp. 420–438, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.049.
- [37] M. Cheng and Y. Zhu, “The state of the art of wind energy conversion systems and technologies,” *Energy Conversion Management*, vol. 88, pp. 332–347, 2014, [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.08.037>.
- [38] L. Holdsworth, X.G. Wu, J.B. Ekanayake and N. Jenkins, “Comparison of fixed speed and doubly-fed induction wind turbines during power system disturbances,” in *IEE Proceedings on Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, 2003, vol. 150, no. 3, pp. 343–352, doi: 10.1049/ipgrd2W30.
- [39] “Danish Wind Turbines,” *Nordex*, 2016. <https://www.en.wind-turbine-models.com/turbines?manufacturer=26&kwrange=2490%2C10000> (accessed Aug. 01, 2020).
- [40] R. McKenna, P. Ostman and W. Fichtner, “Key challenges and prospects for large wind turbines,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 53, pp. 1212–1221, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.09.080.
- [41] G. Rogdakis, R. García-Valle and I. Arana, “Transient model validation of fixed-speed induction generator using wind farm measurements,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 564–571, 2012, doi: 10.1109/TPWRS.2011.2168832.
- [42] Suzlon, “Wind Energy Solutions,” 2018. <https://www.suzlon.com> (accessed Jul. 24, 2020).

- [43] Siemens, “Wind Energy,” 2020. <https://new.siemens.com/global/en.html> (accessed Jul. 24, 2020).
- [44] “Wind World,” *Wind World W4800/750*, 2016. <https://en.wind-turbine-models.com/turbines/420-wind-world-w4800-750> (accessed Aug. 03, 2020).
- [45] “Eviag AG,” *eviag ev2.93*, 2012. <https://en.wind-turbine-models.com/turbines/138-eviag-ev2.93> (accessed Aug. 03, 2020).
- [46] “NEG Micon,” *Datasheet*, 2014. <https://en.wind-turbine-models.com/turbines/311-neg-micon-nm-48-750> (accessed Aug. 02, 2020).
- [47] P. Patil, “Impact of Distributed Generation on Power Distribution System : Over-current Protection by phase angle estimation,” in *2015 IEEE Power, Communication and Information Technology Conference (PCITC)*, 2015, pp. 0–5.
- [48] A. Chaurey, M. Ranganathan and P. Mohanty, “Electricity access for geographically disadvantaged rural communities-technology and policy insights,” *Energy Policy*, vol. 32, no. 15, pp. 1693–1705, 2004, doi: 10.1016/S0301-4215(03)00160-5.
- [49] S. H. Qazi, M. W. Mustafa, U. Sultana and N. Hussain, “Enhanced Power Quality Controller in an Autonomous Microgrid by PSO Tuned PI Controller,” *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 10, no. 18, pp. 1–9, 2017, doi: 10.17485/ijst/2017/v10i18/108925.
- [50] P. E. Labis, R. G. Visande, R. C. Pallugna and N. D. Caliao, “The contribution of renewable distributed generation in mitigating carbon dioxide emissions,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 4891–4896, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.064.
- [51] T. Gozel, M. H. Hocaoglu, U. Eminoglu and A. Balikci, “Optimal placement and sizing of distributed generation on radial feeder with different static load models,” in *2005 International Conference on Future Power Systems*, 2005, no. December, pp. 2 pp. – 6, doi: 10.1109/FPS.2005.204319.
- [52] B. Khan and M. Kassas, “FSIG-Based wind power plant transient stability margin improvement, a STATCOM/SVC Comparison,” in *2019 IEEE Texas Power and Energy Conference, TPEC 2019*, 2019, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/TPEC.2019.8662129.
- [53] A. Zeinalzadeh, Y. Mohammadi and M. H. Moradi, “Optimal multi-objective placement and sizing of multiple DGs and shunt capacitor banks

- simultaneously considering load uncertainty via MOPSO approach,” *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 67, pp. 336–349, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.12.010.
- [54] R. Viral and D. K. Khatod, “Optimal planning of distributed generation systems in distribution system: A review,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 5146–5165, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.05.020.
- [55] G. Celli and F. Pilo, “Optimal distributed generation allocation in MV distribution networks,” *pica 2001. Innov. Comput. Power - Electr. Energy Meets Mark. 22nd IEEE Power Eng. Soc. Int. Conf. Power Ind. Comput. Appl. (Cat. No.01CH37195)*, pp. 81–86, 2001, doi: 10.1109/PICA.2001.9323 23.
- [56] Z. Chen, “Wind power in modern power systems,” *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 2–13, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s405 65-013-0012-4.
- [57] S. P. Singh, T. Prakash and V. P. Singh, “Coordinated tuning of controller-parameters using symbiotic organisms search algorithm for frequency regulation of multi-area wind integrated power system,” *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 240–252, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jestch.2019.03.007.
- [58] T. A. Short, *Electric Power distribution handbook*, no. C. 2004.
- [59] T. Sun, Z. Chen and F. Blaabjerg, “Flicker study on variable speed wind turbines with doubly fed induction generators,” *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 896–905, 2005, doi: 10.1109/TEC.2005.8479 93.
- [60] S. S. Kaddah, M. M. El-Saadawi and D. M. El-Hassanin, “Influence of Distributed Generation on Distribution Networks during Faults,” *Electric Power Components and Systems*, vol. 43, no. 16, pp. 1781–1792, 2015, doi: 10.1080/15325008.2015.1057782.
- [61] D. Guha, P. K. Roy and S. Banerjee, “Optimal tuning of 3 degree-of-freedom proportional-integral-derivative controller for hybrid distributed power system using dragonfly algorithm,” *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 72, pp. 137–153, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compeleceng.2018.09.003.
- [62] K. S. El-Bidairi, H. D. Nguyen, T. S. Mahmoud, S. D. G. Jayasinghe and J. M. Guerrero, “Optimal sizing of Battery Energy Storage Systems for dynamic frequency control in an islanded microgrid: A case study of Flinders Island,

- Australia,” *Energy*, vol. 195, pp. 1–25, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2020.117059.
- [63] H. M. Nguyen and D. S. Naidu, “Evolution of wind turbine control systems,” *Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems*. EOLSS Publishers, pp. 52–257, 2012, [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311773574\\_Evolution\\_of\\_Wind\\_Turbine\\_Control\\_Systems/figures?lo=1&utm\\_source=google&utm\\_medium=organic#fullTextFileContent](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311773574_Evolution_of_Wind_Turbine_Control_Systems/figures?lo=1&utm_source=google&utm_medium=organic#fullTextFileContent).
- [64] H. M. Nguyen, D. S. Naidu and S. H. Mousavinezhad, “ $H_\infty$  optimal filtering and control of wind energy conversion systems,” 2013, doi: 10.1109/EIT.2013.6632650.
- [65] B. Akay, D. Ragni, C. S. Ferreira and G. J. W. Van Bussel, “Modelling wind turbine degradation and maintenance,” *Wind Energy*, vol. 19, pp. 571–591, 2015, doi: 10.1002/we.
- [66] P. Tchakoua, R. Wamkeue, T. A. Tameghe and G. Ekemb, “A review of concepts and methods for wind turbines condition monitoring,” *2013 World Congress on Computer and Information Technology (WCCIT)*, vol. 2, no. 1–9, 2013, doi: 10.1109/WCCIT.2013.6618706.
- [67] J. G. Njiri and D. Söffker, “State-of-the-art in wind turbine control: Trends and Challenges,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 60, pp. 377–393, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.01.110.
- [68] M. J. Yarmohammadi, A. Sadeghzadeh and M. Taghizadeh, “Gain-scheduled control of wind turbine exploiting inexact wind speed measurement for full operating range,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 149, pp. 890–901, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2019.09.148.
- [69] L. Colombo, M. L. Corradini, G. Ippoliti and G. Orlando, “Pitch angle control of a wind turbine operating above the rated wind speed: A sliding mode control approach,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 96, pp. 95–102, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2019.07.002.
- [70] Y. Yuan and J. Tang, “Adaptive pitch control of wind turbine for load mitigation under structural uncertainties,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 105, pp. 483–494, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.12.068.
- [71] E. J. Novaes Menezes, A. M. Araújo and N. S. Bouchonneau da Silva, “A review on wind turbine control and its associated methods,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 174, pp. 945–953, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.297.

- [72] Mathworks, “Simscape-Power Systems Reference (Specialized Technology) R2016b.” MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA 01760-2098, pp. 1–1514, 2016, [Online]. Available: [https://uk.mathworks.com/help/releases/R2016b/pdf\\_doc/physmod/sps/powersys\\_ref.pdf](https://uk.mathworks.com/help/releases/R2016b/pdf_doc/physmod/sps/powersys_ref.pdf).
- [73] “Caribbean Renewable Energy Window,” *Wind Energy for the Caribbean*, 2015. <https://crewdevelopments.wordpress.com/wind-energy-for-the-caribbean/> (accessed Jul. 26, 2021).
- [74] V. Yaramasu, S. Kouro, A. Dekka, S. Alepuz, J. Rodriguez and M. Duran, “Power Conversion and Predictive Control of Wind Energy Conversion Systems,” in *Power Systems*, Singapore: Springer, Singapore, 2019, pp. 113–139.
- [75] M. Gustavo and P. Enrique, “Modelling and Control Design of Pitch-Controlled Variable Speed Wind Turbines,” in *Wind Turbines*, I. Al-Bahadly, Ed. Janesa Trdine 9, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia: InTech, 2011, pp. 373–651.
- [76] P. He, F. Wen, G. Ledwich and Y. Xue, “Small-signal stability analysis of power systems with high penetration of wind power,” *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 241–248, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s40565-013-0028-9.
- [77] A. Rashad, S. Kamel and F. Jurado, “Stability improvement of power systems connected with developed wind farms using SSSC controller,” *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 2767–2779, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2017.03.015.
- [78] S. Tripathy and N. Rourkela, “Different Generator Topologies Used in Wind Turbines: A Case Study,” Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, 2007.
- [79] R. Gao and Z. Gao, “Pitch control for wind turbine systems using optimization, estimation and compensation,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 91, pp. 501–515, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.01.057.
- [80] B. Béchir, B. Faouzi and M. Gasmi, “Wind energy conversion system with full-scale power converter and squirrel cage induction generator,” *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 46, pp. 6093–6104, 2012, doi: 10.5897/IJPS12.406.
- [81] H. T. Jadhav and R. Roy, “A comprehensive review on the grid integration of doubly fed induction generator,” *International Journal of Electrical Power &*

- Energy Systems*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 8–18, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.11.020.
- [82] M. M. Thabit, H. Elzoghby and M. I. El-Korfolly, “Line Faults Analysis of DFIG Driven by Wind Turbine,” in *IEEE Conference on Power Electronics and Renewable Energy, CPERE 2019*, 2019, no. 2, pp. 573–578, doi: 10.1109/CPERE45374.2019.8980229.
- [83] A. Carvalho, O. Soares and H. Gonçalves, “Nonlinear control of the doubly-fed induction generator in wind power systems,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 35, pp. 1662–1670, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2009.12.008.
- [84] D. K. Bhutto, J. Ahmed Ansari, S. S. Hussain Bukhari and F. Akhtar Chachar, “Wind energy conversion systems (WECS) Generators: A review,” in *2019 2nd International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Engineering Technologies, iCoMET 2019*, 2019, pp. 4–9, doi: 10.1109/ICOMET.2019.8673429.
- [85] G. Harb, M. Arnaout, L. Hatab and M. Ramadan, “Two-dimensional analytical modelling of permanent magnet synchronous generator,” in *29th International Conference on Microelectronics (ICM)*, 2017, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/ICM.2017.8268856.
- [86] O. C. Onar and A. Khaligh, “Energy Sources,” in *Alternative Energy in Power Electronics*, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2015, pp. 81–154.
- [87] Y. Zou, M. E. Elbuluk and Y. Sozer, “Simulation Comparisons and Implementation of Induction Generator Wind Power Systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Applications*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 1119–1128, 2013, doi: 10.1109/TIA.2013.2253531.
- [88] P. Raja, M. P. Selvan and N. Kumaresan, “Enhancement of voltage stability margin in radial distribution system with squirrel cage induction generator based distributed generators,” *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 898–906, 2013, doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2012.0579.
- [89] D. R. Chandra, M. S. Kumari and M. Sydulu, “Impact of SCIG, DFIG Wind Power Plant on IEEE 14 Bus System with Small Signal Stability Assessment,” in *2014 Eighteenth National Power Systems Conference (NPSC)*, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [90] V. Van Thong, D. Van Dommelen, J. Driesen and R. Belmans, “Impact of Large Scale Distributed and Unpredictable Generation on Voltage and Angles

- Stability of Transmission System,” in *International Council on Large Electric Systems, Session 2004*, 2004, pp. 1–8, [Online]. Available: <http://www.cigre.org>.
- [91] P. Raja, M. P. Selvan and N. Kumaresan, “Enhancement of voltage stability margin in radial distribution system with squirrel cage induction generator based distributed generators,” *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 898–906, 2013, doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2012.0579.
- [92] M. A. H. Sadi and M. H. Ali, “Transient stability enhancement by bridge type fault current limiter considering coordination with optimal reclosing of circuit breakers,” *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 124, pp. 160–172, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.epsr.2015.03.011.
- [93] C. Jauch, S. M. Islam, P. Sørensen and B. Bak Jensen, “Design of a wind turbine pitch angle controller for power system stabilisation,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 32, no. 14, pp. 2334–2349, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2006.12.009.
- [94] B. T. Kulakowski, J. F. Gardner and J. L. Shearer, “*Dynamic Modelling and Control of Engineering Systems*”, Third Edit. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [95] F. S. B. Ismail, S. Bin Sudin, S. B. & Sahlan and H. Bin Wahid, “Chapter 4: Response and Stability Analysis in Time Domain,” *System Modelling & Analysis*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, pp. 1–63, 2017, [Online]. Available: <http://ocw.utm.my/course/view.php?id=327>.
- [96] M. Q. Duong, F. Grimaccia, S. Leva, M. Mussetta and K. H. Le, “Improving transient stability in a grid-connected squirrel-cage induction generator wind turbine system using a fuzzy logic controller,” *Energies*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 6328–6349, 2015, doi: 10.3390/en8076328.
- [97] S. Simani, “Overview of modelling and advanced control strategies for wind turbine systems,” *Energies*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 13395–13418, 2015, doi: 10.3390/en81212374.
- [98] M. Liserre, R. Cárdenas, M. Molinas and J. Rodríguez, “Overview of multi-MW wind turbines and wind parks,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1081–1095, 2011, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2103910.

- [99] R. Mittal, K. S. Sandhu and D. K. Jain, “An Overview of Some Important Issues Related to Wind Energy Conversion System (WECS),” *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 351–363, 2010, doi: 10.7763/ijesd.2010.v1.69.
- [100] Y. Ren, L. Li, J. Brindley and L. Jiang, “Nonlinear PI control for variable pitch wind turbine,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 50, pp. 84–94, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.conengprac.2016.02.004.
- [101] M. Burakov and V. Shishlakov, “Genetic algorithm optimization for pitch angle control of variable speed wind turbines,” in *12th International Scientific-Technical Conference on Electromechanics and Robotics “Zavalishin’s Readings,”* 2017, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1051/mateconf/20171130 1009.
- [102] P. Gupta, V. Kumar, K. P. S. Rana and P. Mishra, “Comparative study of some optimization techniques applied to Jacketed CSTR control,” in *4th International Conference on Reliability, Infocom Technologies and Optimization: Trends and Future Directions, (ICRITO),* 2015, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ICRITO.2015.7359368.
- [103] M. Dulău, A. Gligor and T. M. Dulău, “Fractional Order Controllers Versus Integer Order Controllers,” in *Procedia Engineering*, 2017, vol. 181, pp. 538–545, doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.02.431.
- [104] J. A. Genov, G. Venkov and B. Gilev, “Modelling and control of a wind turbine,” *Information Technologies and Control*, no. 2, July 2012, pp. 1–9.
- [105] M. K. Dhar, M. Thasfiqzaman, R. K. Dhar, M. T. Ahmed and A. Al Mohsin, “Study on pitch angle control of a variable speed wind turbine using different control strategies,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI),* 2017, pp. 285–290, doi: 10.1109/ICPCSI.2017.8392258.
- [106] E. Chavero-Navarrete, M. Trejo-Perea, J. C. Jáuregui-Correa, R. V. Carrillo-Serrano and J. G. Rios-Moreno, “Pitch angle optimization by intelligent adjusting the gains of a PI controller for small wind turbines in areas with drastic wind speed changes,” *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 23, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11236670.
- [107] M. L. Ruz, J. Garrido, S. Fragosó and F. Vazquez, “Improvement of small wind turbine control in the transition region,” *Processes*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.3390/pr8020244.



- [108] “Electric Power Engineering\_ Power Control of Wind Turbines,” *Engineering House*, 2016. <http://eng-electric.blogspot.com/2016/11/power-control-of-wind-turbines.html> (accessed Jul. 24, 2020).
- [109] E. C. Navarrete, M. Trejo Perea, J. C. Jáuregui Correa, R. V. Carrillo Serrano and G. J. R. Moreno, “Expert Control Systems Implemented in a Pitch Control of Wind Turbine: A Review,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 13241–13259, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2892728.
- [110] H. Wu, W. Su and Z. Liu, “PID controllers: Design and tuning methods,” in *9th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, ICIEA, 2014*, pp. 808–813, doi: 10.1109/ICIEA.2014.6931273.
- [111] R. Kumar, S. K. Singla and V. Chopra, “Comparison among some well-known control schemes with different tuning methods,” *Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 409–415, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jart.2015.07.007.
- [112] K. R. Das, D. Das and J. Das, “Optimal tuning of PID controller using GWO algorithm for speed control in DC motor,” in *International Conference on Soft Computing Techniques and Implementations, ICSCIT 2015, 2016*, pp. 108–112, doi: 10.1109/ICSCIT.2015.7489575.
- [113] A. A. A. Mohamed, A. A. M. El-Gaafary, Y. S. Mohamed and A. M. Hemeida, “Design static VAR compensator controller using artificial neural network optimized by modifying Grey Wolf Optimization,” in *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, 2015*, pp. 1–7, doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2015.7280704.
- [114] E. K. Anto, J. A. Asumadu and P. Y. Okyere, “Performance of a Grid-Connected Solar PV System With Ziegler-Nichols Tuning Method,” in *2016 IEEE 11th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA) Authorized, 2016*, pp. 1847–1852, doi: 10.1109/ICIEA.2016.7603888.
- [115] A. E. S. Helal, G. A. W. Morsy and H. A. H. Khattab, “Control and Performance Analysis for A Doubly-Fed Induction Generator Driven by A Wind Turbine Using Different Optimized Controllers,” in *20th International Middle East Power Systems Conference, MEPCON 2018, 2018*, pp. 221–227, doi: 10.1109/MEPCON.2018.8635193.
- [116] B. Rached, M. Elharoussi and E. Abdelmounim, “Design and investigations of MPPT strategies for a wind energy conversion system based on doubly fed

- induction generator,” *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 4770–4781, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i5.pp4770-4781.
- [117] P. Ranjan, G. Wrat, M. Bhola, S. K. Mishra and J. Das, “A novel approach for the energy recovery and position control of a hybrid hydraulic excavator,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 99, pp. 387–402, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2019.08. 066.
- [118] J. F. M. Amaral, R. Tanscheit and M. A. C. Pacheco, “Tuning PID Controllers through Genetic Algorithms,” *Electr. Eng.*, vol. 2, pp. 2–5, 2018, [Online]. Available: <http://www.wseas.us/e-library/conferences/tenerife2001/papers/612.pdf>.
- [119] H. Feng, C.B.Yin, W. Weng, W. Ma, J. Zhou, W. Jia and Z. Zhang, “Robotic excavator trajectory control using an improved GA based PID controller,” *Mechanical Systems Signal Processing*, vol. 105, pp. 153–168, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ymsp.2017.12.014.
- [120] M. Singh, R. N. Patel and R. Jhapte, “Performance comparison of optimized controller tuning techniques for voltage stability,” in *IEEE 1st International Conference on Control, Measurement and Instrumentation, CMI*, 2016, pp. 11–15, doi: 10.1109/CMI.2016.7413701.
- [121] A. Belkadi, H. Oulhadj, Y. Touati, S. A. Khan and B. Daachi, “On the robust PID adaptive controller for exoskeletons: A particle swarm optimization based approach,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 60, pp. 87–100, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2017.06.012.
- [122] E. D. Chafik, M. Hassane, A. Bakouri and E. A. Marouane, “A new adaptive anti-windup controller for wind energy conversion system based on PMSG,” *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1321–1329, 2018, doi: 10.11591/ijpds.v9.i3.pp1321-1329.
- [123] F. Yener, S. Soysal, H. Yazgan, F. Yener and S. Soysal, “Comparison Performances of PSO and GA to Tuning PID Controller for the DC Motor,” *Sakarya University Journal of Science*, vol. 23, no. 39539, pp. 162–174, 2019, doi: 10.16984/aufenbilder.376464.
- [124] J. S. Wang and S. X. Li, “An Improved Grey Wolf Optimizer Based on Differential Evolution and Elimination Mechanism,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-43546-3.
- [125] H. Zhao, Q. Wu, P. Sørensen, J. Bech and B. Andresen “Implementation of IEC generic models of type 1 wind turbine generator in DIGSILENT

- PowerFactory and Simulink,” in *Proceedings of the 12th wind integration workshop*, 2013, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 26–33, doi: 10.7500/AEPS201301042.
- [126] M. Gustavo and P. Enrique, “Modelling and Control Design of Pitch-Controlled Variable Speed Wind Turbines,” in *Wind Turbines*, London: IntechOpen, 2011, pp. 373–402.
- [127] R. Kumar and N. Sinha, “Modeling and Control of Dish-Stirling Solar Thermal Integrated with PMDC Generator Optimized by Meta-Heuristic Approach,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 26343–26355, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2970613.
- [128] V. Rajinikanth and K. Latha, “I-PD Controller Tuning for Unstable System Using Bacterial Foraging Algorithm: A Study Based on Various Error Criterion,” *Applied Computational Intelligence and Soft Computing*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–10, 2012, doi: 10.1155/2012/329389.
- [129] S. Ekinçi, B. Hekimoğlu and S. Kaya, “Tuning of PID Controller for AVR System Using Salp Swarm Algorithm,” in *2018 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Processing, IDAP 2018*, 2019, pp. 8–13, doi: 10.1109/IDAP.2018.8620809.
- [130] M. S. Saad, H. Jamaluddin and I. Z. M. Darus, “Implementation of PID controller tuning using differential evolution and genetic algorithms,” *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 7761–7779, 2012, [Online]. Available: [https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/46791307/Implementation\\_of\\_PID\\_Controller\\_Tuning\\_20160625-24552-1gdsheu.pdf?1466899298=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DImplementation\\_of\\_PID\\_controller\\_tuning.pdf&Expires=1595636452&Signature=JCv9BV](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/46791307/Implementation_of_PID_Controller_Tuning_20160625-24552-1gdsheu.pdf?1466899298=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DImplementation_of_PID_controller_tuning.pdf&Expires=1595636452&Signature=JCv9BV).
- [131] S. Sinha and S. S. Chandel, “Review of recent trends in optimization techniques for solar photovoltaic-wind based hybrid energy systems,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 50, pp. 755–769, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.040.
- [132] S. Yadav, S. K. Verma and S. K. Nagar, “Reduction and controller design for fractional order Spherical tank system using GWO,” in *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical, Electronics and Sustainable Energy Systems, (ICETEESES)*, 2016, pp. 174–178, doi: 10.1109/ICETEESES.2016.7581380.

- [133] R. K. Singh and S. Yadav, “Optimized PI controller for an interacting spherical tank system,” in *1st International Conference on Electronics, Materials Engineering and Nano-Technology, (IEMENTech)*, 2017, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/IEMENTECH.2017.8076977.
- [134] K. R. Das, D. Das and J. Das, “Optimal tuning of PID controller using GWO algorithm for speed control in DC motor,” in *International Conference on Soft Computing Techniques and Implementations (ICSCTI)*, 2015, pp. 108–112, doi: 10.1109/ICSCTI.2015.7489575.
- [135] A. Noshadi, J. Shi, W. S. Lee, P. Shi and A. Kalam, “Optimal PID-type fuzzy logic controller for a multi-input multi-output active magnetic bearing system,” *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 2031–2046, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00521-015-1996-7.
- [136] R. K. Mallick and N. Nahak, “Grey wolves-based optimization technique for tuning damping controller parameters of unified power flow controller,” in *International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques (ICEEOT)*, 2016, pp. 1458–1463, doi: 10.1109/ICEEOT.2016.7754924.
- [137] R. E. Precup, R. C. David, E. M. Petriu, A. I. Szedlak-Stinean and C. A. Bojan-Dragos, “Grey Wolf Optimizer-Based Approach to the Tuning of Pi-Fuzzy Controllers with a Reduced Process Parametric Sensitivity,” in *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2016, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 55–60, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.07.089.
- [138] S. Yadav, S. K. Verma and S. K. Nagar, “Optimized PID Controller for Magnetic levitation System,” in *4th IFAC Conference on Advances in Control and Optimization of Dynamical Systems*, 2016, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 778–782, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.03.151.
- [139] N. Razmjooy, M. Ramezani and A. Namadchian, “A new LQR optimal control for a single-link flexible joint robot manipulator based on grey wolf optimizer,” *Majlesi Journal of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 53–60, 2016, [Online]. Available: [https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/58399824/2106-4666-1-PB.pdf?1550091381=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DA\\_New\\_LQR\\_Optimal\\_Control\\_for\\_a\\_Single-L.pdf&Expires=1594200032&Signature=G04W473uQtqzN5FIK07zq39zJFCZEUSObvsvTp~QAcq57g~9VyAos](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/58399824/2106-4666-1-PB.pdf?1550091381=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DA_New_LQR_Optimal_Control_for_a_Single-L.pdf&Expires=1594200032&Signature=G04W473uQtqzN5FIK07zq39zJFCZEUSObvsvTp~QAcq57g~9VyAos).

- [140] M. A. Şen and M. Kalyoncu, “Optimal Tuning of PID Controller Using Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm for Quadraped Robot,” *Balkan Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2018, doi: 10.17694/bajece.401992.
- [141] R. K. Mallick, F. Haque, R. R. Rout and M. K. Debnath, “Application of grey wolves-based optimization technique in multi-area automatic generation control,” in *International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques, (ICEEOT)*, 2016, pp. 269–274, doi: 10.1109/ICEEOT.2016.7755160.
- [142] D. Guha, P. K. Roy and S. Banerjee, “Load frequency control of large scale power system using quasi-oppositional grey wolf optimization algorithm,” *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1693–1713, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jestch.2016.07.004.
- [143] A. Kumar and S. Suhag, “GWO algorithm based fuzzy-PID controller with derivative filter for load frequency control of multi-source hydrothermal power system,” in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2017, pp. 50–55, doi: 10.1145/3149827.3149841.
- [144] S. Padhy, S. Panda and S. Mahapatra, “A modified GWO technique based cascade PI-PD controller for AGC of power systems in presence of Plug-in Electric Vehicles,” *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 427–442, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jestch.2017.03.004.
- [145] P. B. de Moura Oliveira, H. Freire and E. J. Solteiro Pires, “Grey wolf optimization for PID controller design with prescribed robustness margins,” *Soft Computing*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 4243–4255, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00500-016-2291-y.
- [146] S. Lakshmi Kanthan Bharathi and S. Selvaperumal, “MGWO-PI controller for enhanced power flow compensation using unified power quality conditioner in wind turbine squirrel cage induction generator,” *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, vol. 76, pp. 1–8, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.micpro.2020.103080.
- [147] C. V. Govinda, S. V. Udhay, C. Rani, Y. Wang and K. Busawon, “A Review on Various MPPT Techniques for Wind Energy Conversion System,” in *7th IEEE International Conference on Computation of Power, Energy, Information and Communication, ICCPEIC*, 2018, pp. 310–326, doi: 10.1109/ICCPEIC.2018.8525219.

- [148] S. Ebrahimkhani, “Robust fractional-order sliding mode control of doubly-fed induction generator ( DFIG ) -based wind turbines,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 63, pp. 343–354, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2016.03.003.
- [149] S. Datta, J. P. Mishra and A. K. Roy, “Active and reactive power control of a grid-connected speed sensor less DFIG based wind energy conversion system,” in *2015 International Conference on Energy, Power and Environment: Towards Sustainable Growth (ICEPE)*, 2015, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/EPETSG.2015.7510144.
- [150] H. M. Osman, A. A. El-Wakeel, A. S. Kamel, and A. Seoudy, “Optimal tuning of PI Controllers for Doubly-Fed Induction Generator using Grey Wolf Optimizer,” *Journal of American Science*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 649–654, 2015, doi: 10.7537/marsjas111115.16.Keywords.
- [151] M. H. Qais, H. M. Hasanien and S. Alghuwainem, “A Grey Wolf Optimizer for Optimum Parameters of Multiple PI Controllers of a Grid-Connected PMSG Driven by Variable Speed Wind Turbine,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 44120–44128, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2864303.
- [152] Z. Civelek, M. Lüy, E. Çam and H. Mamur, “A new fuzzy logic proportional controller approach applied to individual pitch angle for wind turbine load mitigation,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 111, pp. 708–717, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.064.
- [153] M. Sedighzadeh, A. Rezazadeh and M. Khatibi, “A self-tuning pid control for a wind energy conversion system based on the lyapunov approach,” in *2008 43rd International Universities Power Engineering Conference*, 2008, no. 1, pp. 13–16, doi: 10.1109/UPEC.2008.4651560.
- [154] A. Asgharnia, R. Shahnazi and A. Jamali, “Performance and robustness of optimal fractional fuzzy PID controllers for pitch control of a wind turbine using chaotic optimization algorithms,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 79, no. April, pp. 27–44, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2018.04.016.
- [155] S. Kumar Suman and V. Kumar Giri, “Genetic Algorithms Techniques Based Optimal PID Tuning For Speed Control of DC Motor,” *American Journal of Engineering and Technology Management* vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 59–64, 2016, doi: 10.11648/j.ajetm.20160104.12.

- [156] O. Chao and L. Weixing, "Comparison between PSO and GA for parameters optimization of PID controller," in *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, 2006, pp. 2471–2475.
- [157] Z. Civelek, "Optimization of fuzzy logic (Takagi-Sugeno) blade pitch angle controller in wind turbines by genetic algorithm," *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jestch.2019.04.010.
- [158] M. A. Ebrahim, K. A. El-Metwall, F. M. Bendary and W. M. Mansour, "Optimization of Proportional-Integral-Differential Controller for Wind Power Plant Using Particle Swarm Optimization Technique," *International Journal of Electrical and Power Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32–37, 2012, doi: 10.3923/ijepe.2012.32.37.
- [159] H. Zhou, B. Qin, R. Li, H. J. Xu, M. F. Cao and X. Wang, "PSO based self-tuning PID pitch control for wind power generation system," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 380–384, pp. 370–373, 2013, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.380-384.370.
- [160] Y. C. Yang, Y. Liu, P. Song, Y. Cui and Y. Bai, "Design of Rated Power Control Strategy of Wind Turbine Based on Particle Swarm Optimization," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2018, vol. 192, pp. 1–7, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/192/1/012041.
- [161] J. Qi and Y. Liu, "PID control in adjustable-pitch wind turbine system based on Fuzzy control," in *2nd International Conference on Industrial Mechatronics and Automation*, 2010, pp. 341–344, doi: 10.1109/ICINDMA.2010.5538299.
- [162] F. Alarcon, I. Velasquez, R. Hunter, B. Pavez and R. Moncada, "PID-Fuzzy control strategy for nonlinear systems applied to small wind turbines," in *13th IEEE International Conference on Industry Applications*, 2018, no. 3, pp. 277–282, doi: 10.1109/INDUSCON.2018.8627276.
- [163] M. Oussama, A. Choucha and L. Chaib, "Fractional Ordef PI Controller Design for Control of Wind Energy Conversion System Using Bat Algorithm," in *International Conference in Artificial Intelligence in Renewable Energetic Systems(ICAIRES)*, 2018, pp. 270–278, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-04789-4.
- [164] R. Manikandan and N. Saha, "Soft computing based optimum parameter design of PID controller in rotor speed control of wind turbines," in *Second*

- International Conference, Swarm, Evolutionary, and Memetic Computing (SEMCCO)*, 2011, pp. 191–200, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-27242-4\_23.
- [165] J. S. Kim, J. Jeon and H. Heo, “Design of adaptive PID for pitch control of large wind turbine generator,” in *10th International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering*, 2011, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/EEEIC.2011.5874603.
- [166] A. Hatami and B. Moetakef-Imani, “Innovative adaptive pitch control for small wind turbine fatigue load reduction,” *Mechatronics*, vol. 40, pp. 137–145, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.mechatronics.2016.10.006.
- [167] L. I. Minchala, D. Cardenas-Fuentes and O. Probst, “Control of mechanical loads in wind turbines using an integrated aeroelastic model,” in *IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference - Latin America, (ISGT Latin America)*, 2017, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ISGT-LA.2017.8126732.
- [168] M. M. M. Ali, A. R. Youssef, G. Abdel-Gaber and A. S. Ali, “Adaptive Fuzzy-PID Based Pitch Angle Control of Wind Turbine,” in *20th International Middle East Power Systems Conference, MEPCON*, 2018, pp. 1110–1114, doi: 10.1109/MEPCON.2018.8635229.
- [169] M. A. Ebrahim, M. Becherif and A. Y. Abdelaziz, “Dynamic performance enhancement for wind energy conversion system using Moth-Flame Optimization based blade pitch controller,” *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 27, no. October 2016, pp. 206–212, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.seta.2018.04.012.
- [170] M. R. Sarkar, S. Julai, C. W. Tong, M. Uddin, M. F. Romlie and G. Shafiullah, “Hybrid Pitch Angle Controller Approaches for Stable Wind Turbine Power under Variable Wind Speed,” *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 14, p. 3622, 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13143622.
- [171] O. Zamzoum, A. Derouich, S. Motahhir, Y. El Mourabit and A. El Ghzizal, “Performance analysis of a robust adaptive fuzzy logic controller for wind turbine power limitation,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 265, p. 121659, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121659.
- [172] G. Lin and G. Liu, “Tuning PID controller using adaptive genetic algorithms,” in *15th International Conference on Computer Science and Education, ICCSE 2010*, 2010, pp. 519–523, doi: 10.1109/ICCSE.2010.5593559.



- [173] Benoit I, “Center for Economic Studies Working Paper Series, Distributed Generation : Definition, Benefits and Issues,” 2003.
- [174] F. B. Ozsoydan, “Effects of dominant wolves in grey wolf optimization algorithm,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 83, p. 105658, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105658.
- [175] A. A. A. Mohamed, A. L. Haridy and A.M. Hemeida, “The Whale Optimization Algorithm based controller for PMSG wind energy generation system,” in *2019 International Conference on Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ITCE)*, 2019, pp. 438–443, [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8646353>.
- [176] M. Benchagra, M. Maaroufi and M. Ouassaid, “Nonlinear MPPT control of squirrel cage induction generator-wind turbine,” *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2012, [Online]. Available: <http://www.jatit.org/volumes/Vol35No1/4Vol35No1.pdf>.
- [177] A. Marx and A. Marx, “An in-depth comparative study of direct drive versus gearbox wind turbines versus gearbox wind turbines,” KTH School of Industrial Engineering and Management Energy Technology, 2018.
- [178] M. R. Hazari, E. Jahan, M. A. Mannan and J. Tamura, “[https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as\\_sdt=0%2C5&q=Coordinated+control+scheme+of+battery+storage+system+to+augment+LVRT+capability+of+SCIG-based+wind+turbines+and+frequency+regulation+of+hybrid+power+system&btnG=](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Coordinated+control+scheme+of+battery+storage+system+to+augment+LVRT+capability+of+SCIG-based+wind+turbines+and+frequency+regulation+of+hybrid+power+system&btnG=),” *Electron.*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.3390/electronics9020239.
- [179] P. Odgaard and J. Stoustrup, “Fault-tolerant control of wind turbines—a benchmark model,” in *7th IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety of Technical Processes*, 2009, pp. 155–160, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2013.2259235>.
- [180] M. Costea, E. Vladu, and T. Károly, “Wind turbine modelling in Matlab Simulink,” *Natural Resources and Sustainable Development*, pp. 113–120, 2011, [Online]. Available: [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2701850](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2701850).
- [181] O. Bouaziz, I. Jaafar and F. Ben Ammar, “Association of stand-alone wind turbines exposed to different wind speed with cascade h-bridge converter,” in *2015 4th International Conference on Systems and Control, ICSC 2015*, 2015, pp. 183–188, doi: 10.1109/ICoSC.2015.7152786.

- [182] D. Wwd- and D. T. Wwd-, “WWD-3 3 MW wind turbine Detailed Technical-specification,” *Document WWD-1003*, 2009. [http://www.ecosource-energy.bg/uploads/Technical\\_Specification\\_WWD3.pdf](http://www.ecosource-energy.bg/uploads/Technical_Specification_WWD3.pdf) (accessed May 02, 2019).
- [183] A. Bektache and B. Boukhezzar, “Nonlinear predictive control of a DFIG-based wind turbine for power capture optimization,” *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 101, pp. 92–102, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2018.03.012.
- [184] R. Syahputra, I. Robandi and M. Ashari, “Performance Analysis of Wind Turbine as a Distributed Generation Unit In Distribution System,” *International Journal of Computer Science & Information Technology*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 39–56, 2014, doi: 10.5121/ijcsit.2014.6303.
- [185] K. A. Naik and C. P. Gupta, “Improved fluctuation behaviour of SCIG based wind energy system using hybrid pitch angle controller,” *2016 IEEE Uttar Pradesh Section International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Electronics Engineering (UPCON)*, no. 1, pp. 508–514, 2017, doi: 10.1109/UPCON.2016.7894706.
- [186] T. Ou, K. Lu and C. Huang, “Improvement of Transient Stability in a Hybrid Power Multi-System Using a Designed NIDC,” *Energies*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–16, 2017, doi: 10.3390/en10040488.
- [187] A. Abir, D. Mehdi and S. Lassaad, “Pitch angle control of the variable speed wind turbine,” in *17th International Conference on Sciences and Techniques of Automatic Control and Computer Engineering, STA 2016 - Proceedings*, 2017, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 582–587, doi: 10.1109/STA.2016.7952021.
- [188] A. Bakouri, A. Abbou, H. Mahmoudi and K. Elyaalaoui, “Direct torque control of a doubly-fed induction generator of wind turbine for maximum power extraction,” in *Proceedings of 2014 International Renewable and Sustainable Energy Conference, IRSEC 2014*, 2014, pp. 334–339, doi: 10.1109/IRSEC.2014.7059799.
- [189] A. Aitouche and E. Kamal, *Wind Farm-Impact in Power System and Alternatives to Improve the Integration*. Rijeka, Croatia: In Tech, 2011.
- [190] H. Laghridat, A. Essadki and T. Nasser, “Comparative analysis between PI and ADRC control of a variable speed wind energy conversion system using a

- squirrel cage induction generator,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2019, pp. 1–16, 2019, doi: 10.1109/IRSEC.2018.8702989.
- [191] A. Akinrinde, A. Swanson and R. Tiako, “Dynamic behaviour of wind turbine generator configurations during ferro-resonant conditions,” *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1–16, 2019, doi: 10.3390/en12040639.
- [192] H. Ahuja, R. Virmani and A. Ahuja, “Performance comparison of most prevalent wind energy conversion systems,” in *2016 7th India International Conference on Power Electronics (IICPE)*, 2016, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/IICPE.2016.8079427.
- [193] T. L. Van, T. H. Nguyen and D. Lee, “Advanced Pitch Angle Control Based on Fuzzy Logic for Variable-Speed Wind Turbine Systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 578–587, 2015, doi: 10.1109/TEC.2014.2379293.
- [194] D. Pathak and P. Gaur, “A fractional-order fuzzy-proportional-integral-derivative based pitch angle controller for a direct-drive wind energy system,” *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 78, pp. 420–436, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2019.07.021>.
- [195] A. Madadi and M. M. Motlagh, “Optimal Control of DC motor using Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm,” *Technical Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. 4, pp. 373–379, 2014.
- [196] S. Mirjalili, S. M. Mirjalili and A. W. O. Lewis, “Grey Wolf Optimizer,” *Advances in Engineering Software*, vol. 69, pp. 46–61, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007.
- [197] T. Han, X. Wang, Y. Liang, Z. Wei and Y. Cai, “A novel grey Wolf optimizer with random walk strategies for constrained engineering design,” *ICITEE '18: International Congress of Information Technology & Electrical Engineering*, pp. 1–6, 2018, doi: 10.1145/3148453.3306270.
- [198] P. Niu, S. Niu, N. Liu and L. Chang, “The defect of the Grey Wolf optimization algorithm and its verification method,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 171, pp. 37–43, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2019.01.018.
- [199] M. Dashti, K. Shojaei, S. M. H. Seyedkashi and M. Behnam, “Tuning of digital PID controller using particle swarm optimization,” in *Proceedings of the 29th Chinese Control Conference, CCC'10*, 2010, pp. 3383–3389.

- [200] F. Wu, X. P. Zhang, K. Godfrey and P. Ju, “Small-signal stability analysis and optimal control of a wind turbine with doubly fed induction generator,” *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 751–760, 2007, doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd:20060395.
- [201] S. Panda and N. P. Padhy, “Comparison of particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm for FACTS-based controller design,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1418–1427, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2007.10.009.
- [202] Y. Shi and R. Eberhart, “Modified particle swarm optimizer,” in *1998 Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Evolutionary Computation, ICEC*, 1998, pp. 69–73, doi: 10.1109/icec.1998.699146.
- [203] M. Azab, “Global maximum power point tracking for partially shaded PV arrays using particle swarm optimisation,” *International Journal of Renewable Energy Technology*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 211, 2009, doi: 10.1504/ijret.2009.027991.
- [204] G. D. Li, C. H. Wang, S. Masuda, D. Yamaguchi and M. Nagai, “The PID prediction control system using particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithms,” in *IEEE International Conference on Grey Systems and Intelligent Services, GSIS 2009*, 2009, pp. 687–691, doi: 10.1109/GSIS.2009.5408225.
- [205] H. Keshta, A. Ali, E. Saied and F. Bendary, “Comparative performance of wind energy conversion system (WECS) with PI controller using heuristic optimisation algorithms,” in *24th International Conference & Exhibition on Electricity Distribution (CIRED)*, 2017, pp. 549–553, doi: 10.1049/oap-cired.2017.0097.
- [206] A. Delavari, I. Kamwa and P. Brunelle, “Simscape Power Systems Benchmarks for Education and Research in Power Grid Dynamics and Control,” in *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2018, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/CCECE.2018.8447645.
- [207] D. Asija, P. Choudekar, K. M. Soni and S. K. Sinha, “Power flow study and contingency status of WSCC 9 Bus test system using MATLAB,” in *2015 International Conference on Recent Developments in Control, Automation and Power Engineering, (RDCAPE)*, 2015, pp. 338–342, doi: 10.1109/RDCAPE.2015.7281420.

- [208] X. Kong, L. Ma, X. Liu, M. A. Abdelbaky and Q. Wu, “Wind turbine control using nonlinear economic model predictive control over all operating regions,” *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13010184.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

The papers published during the study:

### A. Indexed Journal

1. H. Sule, A. S. Mokhtar, J.J. Bin Jamian, A. Khidrani. & R. M. Larik. “Optimal tuning of Proportional Integral controller for a fixed-speed wind turbine using Grey Wolf Optimizer”. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, Vol. 10, No. 5, 2020, pp. 5251~5261. ISSN:2088-8708, doi:10.1159/ijece.v10i5. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**.

### B. Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. A. H. Sule, A.S. Mokhtar, J.J. Bin Jamian, U. U. Sheikh & A. Khidrani, “Grey Wolf Optimizer Tuned PI Controller for Enhancing Output Parameters of Fixed Speed Wind Turbine”. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Control and Intelligent Systems (I2CACIS 2020)*, pp 118-122, <https://doi.org/10.1109/I2CACIS49202.2020.9140171> **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**.
- 2 A. H. Sule, A.S. Mokhtar, J. J. Bin Jamian & Z. A. Arfeen, “Optimal rotor blade control using Grey Wolf Optimizer for small signal stability of SCIG Wind Turbine”. *IOP Conference Series: Material Science and Engineering*, 1051 (2021) 012035, pp 1-11, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1051/1/012035 **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**