

NON-ORTHOGONAL MULTIPLE ACCESS FOR UNMANNED
AERIAL VEHICLE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

MUHAMMAD FARHAN SOHAIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2021

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to all the affectees of Covid-19 in the world. May Allah bless us with His greatest mercy and safeguard humanity in these catastrophic times of loss and suffering. Ameen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah (Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala), who is the most gracious and merciful. I would like to thank Allah for giving me strength and patience to complete this research work.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my Ph.D. supervisors Dr. Chee Yen Leow and Dr. SeungHwan Won for their wisdom, enthusiasm, and motivation that pushed me farther than I thought I could go. I am deeply indebted to Dr. Chee Yen Leow for his ingenious advice and intellectually stimulating comments. I am extremely grateful to him for his belief in my abilities, tolerance, and warm encouragements which has made my Ph.D. journey both productive and joyful. At the same time, the completion of my dissertation would not have been possible without the unwavering support and nurturing of Dr. SeungHwan Won. I cannot thank him enough for his invaluable hours and expert suggestions that not only substantially improved the quality of my research but also helped me grow as a better researcher.

I am really thankful to my dear wife who has patiently stood by me through every obstacle and worked tirelessly to comfort me during every stage of my doctorate. I extend my thanks to my kids for their sweet hugs and kisses rejuvenating me during my low times. I am grateful to my father for his relentless pursuance to see me achieve excellence, my mother for her unconditional love, and my sister for her prayers.

Finally, I would like to extend my sincere thanks to my friends Dr. Sheraz Alam, Asad Hussain, Dr. Javved Ur Rehman, Dr. Irfan Ali, and Muhammad Khalid whose help during my degree cannot be over-estimated. Many thanks to the people of Malaysia for the warmth and hospitality. The memories we have gathered here will live in our hearts forever.

ABSTRACT

The Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) assisted communication systems provide users a unique connectivity platform to support high data traffic demand of the future. However, the practical proliferation of the aerial nodes is highly involved in finding solutions to the challenges of low spectral efficiency and limited energy reserves of the system. In spite of the fact that the power domain Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) has established its proficiency for the next generation terrestrial wireless networks, the design and validation of NOMA's performance are still needed in the new perspective of an aerial Base Station (BS) deployment. Hence, the thesis investigates the capability of NOMA as a promising candidate for future aerial communication systems with the objectives to maximize jointly data-rate, coverage, and energy efficiency of the system. First, NOMA's feasibility is established by formulating the problem of achievable sum-rate constituting a joint function of power allocation and UAV-BS altitude. Then, a constrained coverage expansion methodology, facilitated by the increase of NOMA user-rate is proposed. Next, a swarm intelligence based user-pairing strategy jointly optimized with UAV altitude and user power allocation is devised to minimize the transmission power of the aerial system. Finally, the formulated non-linear fractional programming problem of energy efficiency maximization is solved using a nested Dinkelbach's structure. Taken together, the presented results manifest that NOMA performs better than the baseline scheme of Orthogonal Multiple Access (OMA). Particularly, the proposed NOMA schemes achieve 30% coverage radius expansion, 18% spectral efficiency enhancement, and 25% transmission power reduction compared to OMA. In addition, two times improvement in energy efficiency is observed for the NOMA system as it achieves 3 bps/joule compared to 1.5 bps/joule of OMA in dense-urban deployment. In conclusion, the research findings prove the proficiency of NOMA for future aerial communication systems.

ABSTRAK

Sistem komunikasi yang dibantu Pesawat Tanpa Pemandu (UAV) menyediakan pengguna satu platform kebersambungan yang unik untuk menyokong permintaan lalu lintas data tinggi pada masa depan. Walau bagaimanapun, pengembangbiakan praktikal nod aerial sangat bergantung kepada pencarian penyelesaian terhadap cabaran kecekapan spektrum rendah dan tenaga simpanan sistem yang terhad. Walaupun Capaian Berbilang Bukan Ortogon (NOMA) domain kuasa telah membuktikan kecekapannya untuk rangkaian daratan wayarles generasi akan datang, reka bentuk dan pengesahan prestasi NOMA masih diperlukan dalam perspektif baru penggunaan Stesen Asas (BS) udara. Oleh itu, tesis ini menyiasat kemampuan NOMA sebagai calon untuk sistem komunikasi aerial masa depan dengan objektif untuk memaksimumkan bersama kadar data, liputan, dan kecekapan tenaga sistem. Pertama, kebolehlaksanaan NOMA diwujudkan dengan merumuskan masalah jumlah kadar yang dapat dicapai sebagai fungsi peruntukan kuasa dan altitud UAV-BS. Kemudian, metodologi pengembangan liputan terhad yang dipermudah oleh kenaikan kadar pengguna NOMA dicadangkan. Seterusnya, strategi berpasangan pengguna berdasarkan kecerdasan kerumunan dioptimumkan bersama dengan altitud UAV dan peruntukan tenaga pengguna direka untuk meminimumkan kuasa penghantaran sistem aerial. Akhirnya, pemaksimuman kecekapan tenaga yang dirumuskan sebagai masalah pengaturcaraan pecahan tidak linear diselesaikan dengan menggunakan teknik struktur Dinkelbach yang bersarang. Secara keseluruhan, hasil kajian yang dibentangkan menunjukkan bahawa NOMA mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik daripada skim garis tapak Capaian Berbilang Ortogon (OMA). Khususnya, skim NOMA yang dicadangkan mencapai pengembangan jejari liputan sebanyak 30%, meningkatkan kecekapan spektrum sebanyak 18% dan pengurangan kuasa penghantaran sebanyak 25% dibandingkan dengan OMA. Tambahan pula, peningkatan kecekapan tenaga sebanyak 2 kali diperhatikan bagi sistem NOMA yang mencapai 3 bps/joule jika dibandingkan dengan 1.5 bps/joule yang dicapai oleh OMA di aturan kedudukan bandar padat. Secara kesimpulan, dapatan kajian membuktikan kecekapan NOMA untuk sistem komunikasi aerial masa depan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and motivation	1
1.2	Problem statement	4
1.2.1	The problem of UAV-BS altitude and NOMA user power allocation	4
1.2.2	The problem of NOMA user-pairing	5
1.2.3	The problem of NOMA UAV-BS energy efficiency	6
1.3	Research objectives	9
1.4	Research Scope	9
1.5	Contributions	10
1.6	Thesis organization	12
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Classification of UAVs	17
2.2.1	High Altitude Platform (HAP)	17
2.2.2	Low Altitude Platform (LAP)	18

2.2.3	Fixed-wing UAVs	18
2.2.4	Rotary-wing UAVs	19
2.3	Overview of Air to Ground Channel models	20
2.4	Fundamental challenges for UAV communication systems	22
2.4.1	Coverage	23
2.4.2	Capacity	24
2.4.3	Energy efficiency	25
2.5	Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA)	29
2.5.1	NOMA user-pairing and power allocation	31
2.5.2	State of the art: NOMA aerial communication systems	33
2.5.3	Limitations and thesis contributions	36
2.6	The baseline Schemes	37
2.6.1	The OMA transmission scheme	38
2.7	Optimization techniques	40
2.7.1	Interior-point algorithm (<i>fmincon</i>)	41
2.7.2	Dinkelbach's algorithm	42
2.7.3	Cat Swarm Optimization (CSO)	44
2.8	Summary	45
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	47
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Methodology	48
3.3	System model	49
3.3.1	Spatial Model	49
3.3.2	Channel Model	50
3.3.3	Energy Model	53
3.4	NOMA Transmission schemes for the UAV-BS	55
3.5	Problem formulation and methodology	57
3.5.1	Utility functions	59
3.5.1.1	Capacity	59
3.5.1.2	Coverage	59

	3.5.1.3	Energy efficiency	60
	3.5.2	Constraints	60
	3.5.3	Optimization techniques	61
3.6		Summary	62
CHAPTER 4	SUM-RATE AND COVERAGE MAXIMIZATION FOR TWO USER NOMA UAV-BS DEPLOYMENT		63
4.1		Introduction	63
4.2		System model ($N = 2$)	63
4.3		Performance of downlink Non-orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA)	64
	4.3.1	Altitude fixed NOMA sum-rate maximization	65
	4.3.2	Altitude optimized NOMA sum-rate maximization	68
	4.3.3	Altitude optimized NOMA coverage maximization	73
4.4		Results and discussion	74
4.5		Summary	83
CHAPTER 5	CSO BASED TRANSMISSION POWER MINIMIZATION FOR AN AERIAL NOMA COMMUNICATION SYSTEM		85
5.1		Introduction	85
5.2		System model ($N > 2$)	85
5.3		Problem formulation	87
5.4		Proposed methodology	90
5.5		Computational complexity analysis	95
5.6		Results and discussion	95
5.7		Summary	104
CHAPTER 6	ENERGY-EFFICIENT NOMA FOR UAV COMMUNICATION		107

6.1	Introduction	107
6.2	System model ($N > 2$)	107
6.3	NOMA user-pairing	108
6.4	Problem formulation	109
6.5	Energy-Efficient altitude optimized power allocation	110
6.5.1	The determination of feasible altitude range of the UAV-BS	111
6.5.2	The problem of η_{EE} maximization as a function of H_N given \bar{P}_T	115
6.5.3	The problem of η_{EE} maximization as a function of \bar{P}_T given H_N	116
6.6	Results and discussion	118
6.7	Summary	127
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	129
7.1	Conclusions	129
7.2	Challenges and open issues	132
REFERENCES		137
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		157

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Summary of the open problems in literature	8
Table 2.1	Summary of the existing OMA based UAV communication systems	28
Table 2.2	Salient features of NOMA	30
Table 2.3	Analyses of various NOMA user power allocation schemes	33
Table 2.4	Summary of the state of the art NOMA based UAV communication systems	35
Table 2.5	CSO parameters	45
Table 4.1	Simulation parameters for the considered environment	74
Table 5.1	Network parameters	96
Table 5.2	CSO parameters	96
Table 6.1	Simulation parameters for the considered environment	119

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Road map of research.	16
Figure 2.2	Fixed-wing UAV	19
Figure 2.3	Rotary-wing UAV	19
Figure 2.4	SIC and signal decoding for a two user NOMA communication system.	29
Figure 2.5	Transmission model: OMA UAV communication system.	39
Figure 3.1	An overview of the methodology	48
Figure 3.2	A general spatial model of a UAV communication system.	50
Figure 3.3	Excessive path loss model.	52
Figure 3.4	Transmission model: NOMA based UAV communication system.	55
Figure 3.5	An optimization flow chart.	58
Figure 4.1	System model: A two-user UAV-assisted communication system, where PR and PS define the UAV-user links.	64
Figure 4.2	NOMA capacity performance: Altitude optimized versus altitude fixed schemes in an urban environment.	75
Figure 4.3	Δh : Altitude optimized NOMA energy performance parameter for different environments.	76
Figure 4.4	Energy consumption of UAV: OMA versus NOMA.	76
Figure 4.5	Average sum-rate at various environments.	77
Figure 4.6	Average rate of the r th user.	78
Figure 4.7	Average rate of the s th user.	78
Figure 4.8	Power allocation for r th and s th users at various environments for $R_c = 180$ m.	79
Figure 4.9	Average sum-rate: Altitude optimized NOMA with different target regions in an urban environment.	80
Figure 4.10	Average sum-rate: Altitude optimized NOMA with different carrier frequencies for dense-urban environment.	81

Figure 4.11	Average sum-rate: Aerial NOMA versus terrestrial NOMA for Urban environment with $R_c = 180 m$.	82
Figure 4.12	Coverage comparison for a given target rate: OMA versus NOMA for urban environment.	83
Figure 5.1	NOMA UAV-BS system model illustration.	86
Figure 5.2	The sum-rate analysis for various deployment scenarios with $R_c = 180 m$.	97
Figure 5.3	NOMA versus OMA: Percentage decrease in required transmission power for NOMA in various deployment scenarios.	98
Figure 5.4	Power allocation for various deployment scenarios with $R_c = 180 m$ at $SNR = 20 dB$.	99
Figure 5.5	The effect of environment and changing coverage area on the power saving of a NOMA UAV-BS deployment.	100
Figure 5.6	Complexity analyses between PSO and CSO based approaches	101
Figure 5.7	Altitude comparison among random, PSO, and CSO aided user-pairings.	102
Figure 5.8	Standard deviations of UAV-BS altitude computed for LB and FS for each cat's position at $R_c = 120 m$.	103
Figure 5.9	The altitude analysis considering NOMA and OMA systems for various environments and coverage areas.	104
Figure 6.1	A multi-user NOMA assisted UAV communication system.	108
Figure 6.2	Two user NOMA pairing scheme for an even number of users indexed as $\{r = m, s = N - (m - 1)\}_m$.	109
Figure 6.3	Upper and lower bound analysis for six user deployment in an urban environment with $R_c = 180 m$.	120
Figure 6.4	Energy efficiency analysis for various environments with $R_c = 180 m$.	121
Figure 6.5	Energy efficiency analysis for deployment in a sub-urban environment for various coverage radii.	121
Figure 6.6	Energy efficiency analysis for different number of users in a dense-urban environment with $R_c = 180 m$.	122

Figure 6.7	Energy efficiency versus spectral efficiency for increasing SNR values.	123
Figure 6.8	User's sum-rate analysis for deployment in diverse environments with $R_c = 60 m$ and $R_c = 180 m$.	124
Figure 6.9	The ratio of allocated transmission power for NOMA to that for OMA.	124
Figure 6.10	Energy consumption of the UAV-BS during the hovering operation: OMA versus NOMA for $R_c = 120 m$.	125
Figure 6.11	Alternate pairing schemes with user indices given as: (a) $\{r = m, s = \frac{N}{2} + m\}_m$ and (b) $\{r = m + (m - 1), s = 2m\}_m$.	126
Figure 6.12	The effect of diverse user-pairing schemes on the energy efficiency of the UAV-BS for various coverage radii.	127

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3-D	–	Three-Dimensional
3GPP	–	3rd Generation Partnership Project
4G	–	Fourth Generation
5G	–	Fifth Generation
A2A	–	Air To Air
A2G	–	Air To Ground
B5G	–	Beyond Fifth Generation
BS	–	Base Station
CDC	–	Counts of Dimensions to be Changed
CR	–	Cognitive Radio
CSI	–	Channel State Information
CSO	–	Cat Swarm Optimization
D2D	–	Device-to-Device
DL	–	DownLink
HAP	–	High Altitude Platform
IoT	–	Internet of Things
ITU	–	International Telecommunication Union
LAP	–	Low Altitude Platform
LOS	–	Line Of Sight
MIMO	–	Multiple Input Multiple Output
MINLP	–	Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming
mmWave	–	millimeter Wave
MR	–	Mixture Ratio
NLFP	–	Non-Linear Fractional Programming

NLOS	–	Non-Line Of Sight
NOMA	–	Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
OMA	–	Orthogonal Multiple Access
PSO	–	Particle Swarm Optimization
PU	–	Primary User
QoS	–	Quality of Service
RAT	–	Radio Access Technology
ROI	–	Region Of Interest
SI	–	Swarm Intelligence
SIC	–	Successive Interference Cancellation
SM	–	Seeking Mode
SMP	–	Seeking Memory Pool
SNR	–	Signal to Noise Ratio
SRD	–	Seeking Range of the selected Dimensions
SU	–	Secondary User
TM	–	Tracing Mode
UAV	–	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UL	–	UpLink

LIST OF SYMBOLS

\mathcal{N}_U	–	Set of all the users in the coverage area
N	–	The number of users in the coverage area
R_c	–	Coverage radius
(x_0, y_0)	–	The Cartesian coordinates of the UAV-BS vertical projection in the coverage region
H	–	Altitude of the UAV-BS
H_O	–	Altitude of the OMA based UAV-BS
H_N	–	Altitude of the NOMA based UAV-BS
H_O^*	–	Optimum altitude of the OMA based UAV-BS
H_N^*	–	Optimum altitude of the NOMA based UAV-BS
H^{min}	–	The minimum allowed operational altitude for the UAV-BS
H^{max}	–	The maximum allowed operational altitude for the UAV-BS
D_j	–	Horizontal distance between the vertical projection of the UAV-BS and the j th user
X_j	–	Distance between the UAV-BS and the j th user
θ_j	–	Elevation angle between the UAV-BS and the j th user
$Pr_j(LOS)$	–	Probability of having a Line of Sight communication channel between the UAV-BS and the j th user
$Pr_j(NLOS)$	–	Probability of having a Non-Line of Sight communication channel between the UAV-BS and the j th user
(α, β)	–	Environment scaling factors for the computation of probability of Line of Sight communication channel
κ_{LOS}	–	Excessive path loss for LOS communication channel
κ_{NLOS}	–	Excessive path loss for NLOS communication channel
L_j	–	Path loss between the UAV-BS and the j th user

\bar{L}_j	–	Mean path loss between the UAV-BS and the j th user
h_j	–	Channel between the UAV-BS and the j th user
Ω	–	Free-space path loss exponent
P_T	–	Transmission power
δx_j	–	User message signal.
δy_j	–	Signal received at the j th user
n_j	–	Additive White Gaussian Noise
P_n	–	Noise power
γ	–	Signal to Noise Ratio
Δ	–	Multiplexing loss of OMA
m	–	Weight of the UAV-BS
g	–	Acceleration due to gravity of Earth.
P_{climb}	–	Minimum power of the UAV-BS motor
v_{climb}	–	Velocity of the UAV-BS to climb a given altitude
E_{climb}	–	Energy required by the UAV-BS to climb a given altitude
E_{hover}	–	Energy required by the UAV-BS to hover at a given altitude
t_{hover}	–	Hovering time of the UAV-BS at a given altitude
E_O	–	Energy required for OMA based UAV-BS
E_N	–	Energy required for NOMA based UAV-BS
ΔE	–	Difference between energies for OMA and NOMA based UAV-BSs
Δh	–	Difference between operational altitudes for OMA and NOMA based UAV-BSs
ψ	–	Minimum power needed by the UAV-BS to hover just above the ground
Γ	–	Motor speed multiplier
P^{max}	–	Maximum allowed transmission power per channel resource

P_r	–	Allocated transmission power to the r th NOMA user
P_s	–	Allocated transmission power to the s th NOMA user
ω_r	–	Transmission power allocation coefficient for the r th NOMA user
ω_s	–	Transmission power allocation coefficient for the s th NOMA user
\mathcal{R}_r^N	–	The achievable data-rate by the r th NOMA user
\mathcal{R}_s^N	–	The achievable data-rate by the s th NOMA user
\mathcal{R}_r^O	–	The achievable data-rate by the r th OMA user
\mathcal{R}_s^O	–	The achievable data-rate by the s th OMA user
(μ_1, μ_2)	–	Tuning coefficients
τ	–	Total coverage area of the UAV-BS
\bar{P}_T	–	Power allocation vector for all user-pairs
U	–	NOMA user-pairing matrix
C_k	–	Position matrix of the k th cat
V	–	Velocity matrix for each cat's position
(v_{min}, v_{max})	–	Minimum and maximum velocity limits, respectively
F	–	Binary flag vector allocating operational modes to each cat
(w^{max}, w^{min})	–	Maximum and minimum inertia weights, respectively
η_{EE}	–	Energy efficiency of UAV-BS utilizing the sum of energy consumption during signal transmission and hover operation
$[a, b]_{Feasible}$	–	The range of feasible altitude range of the NOMA based UAV-BS given the constraints

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and motivation

The future wireless networks promise to provide ubiquitous connectivity to a multitude of devices with diversified traffic patterns wherever and whenever needed. Particularly, an economically viable and agile multifaceted connectivity platform is the primary requisite for successful future generation wireless networks. Hence, a renewed interest in adopting the Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) assisted communication systems for a broad range of civil applications has been witnessed in the last few years [1]. Among many use cases of UAV communication systems such as coverage enhancing relays [2] and data disseminating flying nodes [3], a UAV Base Station (BS) deployment may also be necessitated by the presence of coverage holes in the absence of a terrestrial BS caused by a malfunctioning or a natural catastrophe [4]. The UAV-BS equips the means to swiftly deploy recovery networks, which connects the first responder personnel in a case of natural calamity when the terrestrial network is partially or entirely malfunctioning [5]. The UAV assisted communication systems, if appropriately designed, are envisioned to support the spatially as well as temporally volatile traffic surges in the coverage area without relying on the overly-engineered cellular network [6].

Irrespective of the deployment scenario, the flexible placement with dynamic adaptability to the changing communication scenarios and diversified user Quality of Service (QoS) requirements has set UAV communication systems to become an essential component of the ubiquitously connected Fifth Generation (5G) and Beyond Fifth Generation (B5G) wireless networks [7]. However, the incorporation for UAV communication system to reap all the captivating benefits for future wireless communication networks needs thoughtful deliberation over the many challenges diminishing this synergy. Notably, the issue of optimal deployment for the objectives

of maximized coverage, capacity, and energy efficiency is of a paramount importance in the UAV realm. Though beneficial, the extra degree of freedom facilitated by the almost unrestricted mobility makes an optimal deployment of the UAV significantly more complicated compared to its terrestrial counterpart.

Particularly, the design and performance of UAV communication systems is highly characterized by the deployment objective and the environment profile of the coverage area. Furthermore, the spatial distribution of users as well as the Air To Ground (A2G) communication channel, defined by the UAV altitude are needed to be explicitly taken into account for the optimal deployment of the UAV communication system. In addition, the performance of the UAV systems is often limited by deficient onboard energy reserve. The energy reserve of a UAV is needed for flight operation, communication, and computation purposes, where an inefficient utilization of this reserve not only reduces the air operational time of the UAV, but also effects the performance of the wireless communication system [8]. Thus, it is imperative to even include energy efficiency as a critical parameter in the design of a UAV communication system.

On the other hand, the last few years have seen exceptional growth in the wireless data traffic demand that is contemplated to reach a staggering 77 Exabytes per month by 2022¹. Classic Orthogonal Multiple Access (OMA) schemes such as Time Division Multiple Access in Second Generation, Code Division Multiple Access in Third Generation, and Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access in Fourth Generation (4G), require each user to be served with a dedicated resource either in time, frequency, or code domain. This yields sub-optimal channel resource utilization and increased latency for the connected devices. Subsequently, the challenging massive spectral efficient connectivity requirements for the 5G/B5G wireless networks is often restricted by the available orthogonal channel resources.

Therefore, the high-density presence of users sharing the limited physical radio resources has motivated the development of 5G enabling technologies such as massive

¹Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2017-2022", Cisco public (2019).

Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO), millimeter Wave (mmWave), and Device-to-Device (D2D) communication [9]. Meanwhile, the same prognosis has directed investigations on a Radio Access Technology (RAT) called Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) that opportunistically serves multiple users within the same channel resource block utilizing a novel domain of power control, superposition coding, and Successive Interference Cancellation (SIC) [10–17]. NOMA has been proved to exhibit improved spectral efficiency as well as balanced and fair access as compared to OMA technologies which mostly benefits the user with more favourable channel condition [15, 16, 18]. Additionally, NOMA with the exploitation of a new dimension of power is an add-on technology that is able to operate in conjunction with other techniques in the multiple access paradigm. For instance, NOMA renders a meaningful reduction in interference by extending intra-cluster access for the users sharing the same orthogonal resource or beam for inter-cluster access [19, 20]. Undoubtedly, NOMA provides the means to meet the challenging 5G envisioned requirements of the Internet of Things (IoT) of ultra-low latency and ultra-high connectivity.

In contrast, the analyses of the potentials and challenges arising with the choice of RAT for UAV assisted communication systems have been conventionally constrained to OMA [21–23]. Specifically, NOMA is a promising solution to challenges encompassing the next generation wireless networks and finds its applications in technologies envisioned to support 5G/B5G key technologies [24] such as massive MIMO [13, 25, 26]. However, the incorporation of NOMA for UAV communication remained unexplored [27, 28] before the preliminary work by Sohail *et al.* reported in [29]. In view of fundamental differences in working principles of OMA and NOMA, current studies are not directly applicable to NOMA based UAV communication systems and several challenges must be addressed before gauging its effectiveness for the UAV communication systems. Henceforth, NOMA scheme with its share of benefits is investigated in the thesis for typical deployment scenario of an aerial BS, where the aspects of improved throughput, coverage, and energy efficiency are addressed.

1.2 Problem statement

As discussed, NOMA holds the key essence on the fruitful deployment of UAV communication systems for harmonized coverage and capacity in the 5G and B5G wireless networks [30]. Nonetheless, the aspects of power allocation and the corresponding user channel characteristics commonly associated to the performance gain of terrestrial NOMA wireless networks are to be viewed within the new perspective of an aerial BS deployment. Of particular interests are the characteristics of the A2G channel and its effect on the UAV-user link based on their respective location in the coverage region [31]. The following presents the problem statements associated with investigated case of NOMA based UAV-BS communication system.

1.2.1 The problem of UAV-BS altitude and NOMA user power allocation

According to the widely adopted A2G channel model [32], the increasing altitude of the UAV also increases the probability of establishing Line Of Sight (LOS) communication links between the UAV-BS and terrestrial users. However, the increment in altitude of the UAV-BS also results in increased path loss between the communicating nodes. Hence, an optimal vertical placement of the UAV-BS that balances between higher probability of LOS and path loss is to be computed for the attainment of specific objectives such as maximized throughput as presented in [21]. Conventionally, the methodologies presented in [21, 33] are primarily devised for OMA and propose to improve channel condition for the cell-edge user in the coverage region. The improvement of cell-edge user's channel condition generates minimum difference between channel state of the users in the coverage area, which is fundamentally different to the working principle of NOMA communication systems and further investigation regarding optimal altitude placement of an NOMA system need further investigation [34]. Thus, it may hamper the anticipated benefits of NOMA transmission [35]. Specifically, the performance of NOMA is highly dependent upon the asymmetric channel conditions of the users selected for NOMA transmission [36]. In contrast, the improvement of cell-center user channel condition through altitude optimization can be utilized to achieve maximum difference in the channel state of the

NOMA users for increased gains as compared to OMA based aerial nodes. In addition, NOMA relying on SIC and NOMA user power allocation holds a significant place to create balance between capacity and fair access of the system [37]. As an alternative to the fixed power allocation schemes [38, 39], the potential gains of NOMA for aerial communication system may be maximized by dynamically adjusting user power allocation in accordance to changing altitude of the UAV-BS. The dynamic NOMA power allocation schemes in [40], [41], and [42] were proposed to simultaneously meet the data-rate thresholds for both NOMA users. However, the devised methodologies cater for fixed altitude terrestrial wireless communication networks, which are not trivial to extend for the aerial NOMA communication systems. Therefore, the thesis investigates a novel altitude displacement scheme to generate distinctive channel conditions between the paired users that is jointly optimized with the dynamic power allocation for the objectives of maximal throughput and coverage. Particularly, a NOMA based aerial communication system is proposed to surpass the sum-rate of the system achievable by an equivalent OMA scheme as proposed in [23] and [33]. Moreover, a performance comparison of the proposed scheme is also presented with the a terrestrial NOMA system having fixed altitude and power allocation scheme [43].

1.2.2 The problem of NOMA user-pairing

To this end, the work in this thesis is proposed to establish the viability of NOMA UAV communication systems by tackling the problem of a two user deployment in the coverage region. However, the evaluation of the case with more than two users in the coverage area raises the question of appropriate user-pairing scheme for the aerial BS. Since the conception of NOMA, a significant number of studies have been conducted to investigate terrestrial NOMA user-pairing and its effect on various performance metrics of the network [44–46]. Nonetheless, the A2G channel gain for the case of aerial networks varies as a function of altitude and imposes an additional complexity of dynamic channel conditions for all users when adjusting altitude for better performance. Although the available literature on terrestrial NOMA user-pairing have laid a strong foundation, further investigations are still needed to determine the appropriate pairing scheme for a NOMA based aerial deployment [47]. Meanwhile,

the energy-efficient operation for enhanced mission time has been recognized among the most pressing concerns in the UAV communication realm [48,49]. Consequently, a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) based user-pairing methodology was proposed to minimize the required transmission power for an aerial NOMA communication system [50]. However, the authors assumed simplification of fixed altitude for the proposed methodology and the mutual contribution of UAV-BS altitude and NOMA user-pairing optimization remains unexplored in the literature. Specifically, the reported analysis in [50] ignored the inter-dependency between various parameters such as user-pairing, power allocation, and altitude in the problem formulation. Although the presented work evaluates the effect of varying altitude of UAV-BS, no methodology was proposed for optimization of UAV-BS altitude for the considered utility function. Moreover, the user-pairing scheme in [50] failed to elaborate the effect of various environmental factors on the performance of NOMA based aerial systems and the presented analysis fails to compare the performance of the proposed scheme with other competing heuristic techniques. Thus, a novel problem of joint optimization of NOMA UAV-BS altitude, power allocation, and user-pairing needs to be investigated for achieving a reduction in the required transmission for the aerial NOMA systems. Moreover, a detailed investigation on the performance of the NOMA UAV-BS based on deployment environment and user-density needs to be conducted for an insightful knowledge.

1.2.3 The problem of NOMA UAV-BS energy efficiency

It is also noteworthy that a significant proportion of the available energy is consumed during the flight operation of a UAV [51]. For instance, Hua *et al.* in [52] and [53] examined iterative strategies to minimize total energy consumption and maximize the secrecy energy efficiency of a fixed-wing UAV-BS, respectively. However, the reported analyses assumed OMA with fixed altitude and the evaluation of secrecy energy efficiency was carried out by considering only the more prominent mobility related energy consumption of a fixed-wing UAV. Meanwhile, the energy efficiency defined as the ratio of achievable sum-rate to the total power consumption has been identified as a core performance metric for the NOMA based wireless networks [54–58]. Fang *et al.* in [54,55] examined joint user-scheduling and power

allocation for the cases of perfect and imperfect Channel State Information (CSI), respectively. A matching theory based channel allocation limited to two users per sub-channel was proposed in [54], whereas the same concept was extended in [55] for the general case of the arbitrary number of users per sub-channel given imperfect CSI. Zamani *et al.* [56] also studied a power allocation based energy efficiency maximization for imperfect CSI, where a value close to optimal total power allocation was computed by leveraging the concept of the difference of two concave functions programming and Dinkelbach's structure. On the other hand, a joint bandwidth and power allocation optimization was conducted by transforming the non-convex problem into a difference of two convex functions problem [58]. However, it is crucial to note the substantial difference between the UAV and terrestrial wireless communication systems in terms of their design and operational characteristics. Different from the terrestrial perspective, the total power consumption of the rotary-wing UAV-BS is computed considering the composite of powers required for the signal transmission and hover operation of the UAV-BS modeled as a linear function of altitude [59, 60]. Secondly, the optimization of UAV-BS specific parameters such as altitude, which is comparable to the problem of channel assignment, imposes an additional complexity of dynamic channel conditions for all users during the optimization. This is in contrast to the conventionally assumed static channel states while optimizing the channel allocation [54]. Furthermore, it is important to observe the tight coupling between altitude and power allocation for conflicting goals of maximized sum-rate, minimized transmission power, as well as efficient flight operation of the UAV-BS. Particularly, a low energy consumption during the hovering operation of the UAV-BS requires a low altitude flight while serving the ground users. On the other hand, the UAV-BS may be required to fly at a relatively higher altitude for lower transmission power allocation to fulfill the QoS thresholds of the users. Consequently, it is not trivial to maximize the information bits per unit energy consumption of the UAV-BS utilizing the existing work that is mainly focused on energy efficiency maximization for terrestrial NOMA based systems.

A summary of the most notable literature that influenced the directions of the thesis is presented as Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Summary of the open problems in literature

Year	Ref.	Summary	Open problems
2014	[32]	A2G channel gains are dependent on	An optimal vertical placement of the
2015	[61]	the elevation angle and distance	UAV-BS that balances between LOS
2016	[23]	between the ground users and UAV-	and path loss needs further investiga-
2018	[33]	BS. The optimum altitude for mini- mum path loss for cell-edge user also maximizes the Signal to Noise Ratio for all OMA users within the coverage region.	tion for a NOMA aerial communica- tion system. An altitude optimization methodology to maximize the channel distinctiveness between paired NOMA user for maximum gain needs to be devised.
2016	[40]	A fixed power allocation scheme	A dynamic power allocation strategy
2017	[41]	lacks the ability to cater for users	is needed to tackle varying channel
2020	[37]	with different QoS requirements. Thus, dynamic power allocation strategies are proposed to meet data- rate threshold set for all terrestrial NOMA users given a utility function.	conditions for changing altitude of the UAV-BS for maximum NOMA gains in comparison to fixed and terrestrial communication system based power allocation strategies.
2019	[44]	The impact of user-pairing on the	A joint optimization of user-pairing,
2019	[47]	performance of terrestrial NOMA is	power allocation, and altitude con-
2019	[50]	highlighted for different utility func- tions. A PSO based user-pairing scheme is presented for fixed altitude aerial NOMA BS.	sidering the prevailing A2G channel conditions is neglected in literature, which requires further investigation.
2018	[52]	The ratio of sum-rate to the total	The energy consumption during the
2018	[57]	power consumption for fixed altitude	flight operation of the UAV-BS
2019	[56]	terrestrial NOMA system is evaluated. The role of UAV flight energy consumption is highlighted.	needs to be considered in the problem formulation. The joint altitude optimization and the corresponding power allocation strategy is required for conflicting goals of energy efficiency maximization of the NOMA UAV-BS.

1.3 Research objectives

The main objectives of the thesis are listed as:

1. To propose the joint optimization of NOMA UAV-BS altitude and NOMA power allocation for improved throughput and coverage.
2. To propose the joint optimization of NOMA user-pairing and UAV-BS altitude to minimize the total transmission power allocation.
3. To propose the joint optimization of NOMA UAV-BS altitude and NOMA power allocation to maximize the energy efficiency.

1.4 Research Scope

The research work presented in the treatise focuses on establishing NOMA's viability for the UAV assisted communication systems. The identified performance metrics of throughput, coverage, and energy efficiency have been investigated by formulating for each metric of a constrained optimization problem. It is important to note that all the presented analyses have been conducted assuming a DownLink (DL) communication employing a single antenna aided low altitude rotary-wing UAV platform providing coverage to disc-shaped Region Of Interest (ROI). The radii of the ROI is fixed as 60 m, 120 m, and 180 m (unless otherwise stated), where the users in the ROI are also assumed to be equipped with single antenna devices. A single cell scenario has been assumed throughout the thesis, where the effect of co-located cellular network such as interference is excluded from the scope of the presented work. A widely adopted generic A2G channel model is employed to characterize the effect of altitude as well as the deployment environment (rural, urban, and dense urban) towards the performance of the UAV communication system in terms of various metrics under consideration [62, 63]. The channel gains between the UAV-BS and the ground users are dependent on the altitude of the UAV-BS and the position of the users on the ground. Hence, it is assumed that the knowledge of user position is known to the UAV-BS and corresponding channel gains can be computed using the adopted A2G channel model. The energy consumption of the

UAV-BS during the flight operation is modeled as a function of altitude following the work in [59, 60]. The formulated optimization problems in the thesis constrained by multi-QoS requirements pose fair analyses between the OMA and NOMA techniques by following a stringent benchmark for each NOMA user to meet the individual rate threshold attained by a conventional OMA UAV-BS deployment. The numerical analyses including Monte Carlo simulations have been conducted utilizing MATLAB software, where optimization tool such as *fminsearch* and *fmincon* have been applied to solve various unconstrained and constrained convex problems, respectively. The presented results are computed using numerical simulation by performing established models and no field measurement is performed.

1.5 Contributions

Chapter 4 presents a dynamic power allocation strategy for altitude optimized NOMA UAV-BS to maximize its capacity. The optimization problem is formulated as a function of the altitude of the UAV-BS, constrained to meet or exceed the individual user-rates set forth by OMA for the same deployment scenario and target area. The role of altitude for improved NOMA aerial system performance is highlighted, where a lower flight operation compared to an equivalent OMA system is proposed to generate greater throughput. Furthermore, a methodology is devised to render an expansion of the aerial cell coverage, which is facilitated by NOMA user-rate gains. Thus, the high dependency between various optimization parameters such as altitude, power allocation, and user-pairing is identified to influence the achievable gains of NOMA for the aerial system. The findings of Chapter 4 are published as [64].

The Chapter 5 further elaborates the complex inter-dependency of diverse optimization parameters on the overall performance of a NOMA aerial communication system. Thus, a novel scenario involving joint optimization of user-pairing, altitude, and the corresponding power allocation is investigated for optimizing transmission power requirements in terms of the multi-functional objective for a NOMA UAV-BS configuration. A novel hybrid optimization methodology invoking the provisions of the nature-inspired heuristic technique and convex optimization is proposed to solve the

formulated Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) problem. The proposed methodology is the first work to leverage a potential on Cat Swarm Optimization (CSO) in the field of NOMA communication systems. The evidenced reduction in the required transmission power for various communication environments and coverage areas compared to an OMA deployment scenario proves the efficacy of the proposed scheme. The findings of the Chapter 5 are under review process at the Journal of Vehicular Communications, Elsevier.

Finally, the implications of altitude to the overall energy expense during the hovering operation of the UAV-BS is emphasized in the Chapter 6. Henceforth, the effect of altitude is also incorporated in a novel formulation of total energy efficiency of the NOMA UAV-BS. The chapter evaluates the effect of paired users on the operational altitude of the UAV-BS considering pairing between users with the best and worst channel conditions. An exhaustive methodology is devised to determine the feasible altitude range of the UAV-BS for which each user's rate constraint is satisfied within the prescribed transmission power limits. The analyses are further extended by assessing the dependency of the feasible altitude range on a subset of user-pairs and subsequently, a low complexity method to determine the constrained altitude bounds is proposed. The formulated non-convex Non-Linear Fractional Programming (NLFP) problem is solved by employing an alternating optimization technique where at first an energy efficiency maximization problem is solved as a function of altitude by fixing the power allocation to a feasible value. Next, the power allocation is optimized to maximize the objective of energy efficiency by fixing the altitude of the UAV-BS obtained in the previous step. A nested Dinkelbach's structure is opted to solve the NLFP problem and guarantee convergence within an error tolerance limit. The energy efficiency, power allocation, and sum-rates of the proposed NOMA based UAV communication system are evaluated at close to optimum altitude. Explicitly, a lowest possible flying altitude of the UAV-BS is suggested when operating in low Signal to Noise Ratio (γ) regimes to save vital flying energy of the UAV-BS. On the other hand, the NOMA UAV-BS could attain a higher altitude when operating at higher SNR to balance between system throughput and total energy consumption for maximized energy efficiency. Subsequently, the results are compared with the baseline OMA scheme to fortify the better performance of the proposed methodology. Analytical and numerical analyses are demonstrated for the proposed scheme, where

results are detailed for various target regions, user-pairing schemes, and deployment environments. Taken together, the proposed scheme achieves improved total energy efficiency in bits/joule. The findings of the Chapter 6 are published as [65].

1.6 Thesis organization

The thesis is organized into following chapters:

Chapter 1 presents a brief introduction to NOMA based UAV communication system followed by the problem statements, associated research objectives, and scope of the thesis.

The classification of UAVs and literature overview of the associated A2G channel model are highlighted in Chapter 2. Henceforth, the chapter discusses some of the most challenging issues related to aerial communication systems in light of the most recent research developments. The chapter also introduces NOMA communication systems, while critical design parameters of power allocation and user-pairing are also analyzed. Next, a brief overview is presented on the state of the art development in the aerial NOMA communication realm. Subsequently, the thesis presents an overview of some of the baseline schemes utilized in the thesis for comparative analyses. Finally, the chapter concludes by discussing the optimization technique utilized in the thesis for various problems.

In Chapter 3, the first section elaborates the overall system modeling by detailing the spatial distribution, A2G channel, and energy consumption model of the aerial communication system. The next section portrays the transmission scheme of the aerial NOMA used in this thesis for performance analysis of the proposed schemes. Finally, the chapter introduces the formulated optimization problems and list the main constraints considered for the formulated problems in the thesis.

A two user model of an aerial NOMA communication system is examined in Chapter 4 of the dissertation. The proposed dynamic power allocation schemes jointly

optimized with altitude of the NOMA based UAV-BS are evaluated for the objectives of achievable sum-rate and coverage maximization. The results are presented for both optimized and fixed altitude schemes, where superior performance of NOMA in comparison to OMA scheme is established.

The Chapter 5 extends the NOMA UAV-BS design to a multi-user scenario of a NOMA UAV-BS deployment. The problem of transmission power minimization is formulated as a highly non-convex joint function of user-pairing, power allocation and altitude of the NOMA based UAV-BS. The chapter highlights the high dependence between each design parameter and an iterative CSO based user-pairing strategy is illustrated.

The Chapter 6 explores the notion of total energy efficiency for a multi-user scenario of a NOMA UAV-BS, where a novel bit per energy consumption maximization problem is investigated. The chapter presents a detailed analyses on the feasible altitude range of the NOMA UAV-BS given a best-worst user-pairing scheme. Subsequently, the highly non-convex optimization problem is solved employing a nested Dinkelbach's framework. The result are presented at the end to manifest the improved energy efficiency of the proposed scheme.

Chapter 7 concludes the dissertation. Finally, a discussion on open research issues related to aerial communication systems is furnished.

REFERENCES

1. Hayat, S., Yanmaz, E. and Muzaffar, R. Survey on Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Networks for Civil Applications: A Communications Viewpoint. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2016. 18(4): 2624–2661. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2016.2560343.
2. Shi, W., Li, J., Xu, W., Zhou, H., Zhang, N., Zhang, S. and Shen, X. Multiple Drone-Cell Deployment Analyses and Optimization in Drone Assisted Radio Access Networks. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 12518–12529. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2803788.
3. Chen, M., Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Yin, C., Debbah, M. and Hong, C. S. Caching in the Sky: Proactive Deployment of Cache-Enabled Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Optimized Quality-of-Experience. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(5): 1046–1061. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2680898.
4. Naqvi, S. A. R., Hassan, S. A., Pervaiz, H. and Ni, Q. Drone-Aided Communication as a Key Enabler for 5G and Resilient Public Safety Networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(1): 36–42. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2017.1700451.
5. Hayajneh, A. M., Zaidi, S. A. R., McLernon, D. C. and Ghogho, M. Drone Empowered Small Cellular Disaster Recovery Networks for Resilient Smart Cities. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Sensing, Communication and Networking (SECON Workshops)*. 2016. 1–6. doi:10.1109/SECONW.2016.7746806.
6. Bor-Yaliniz, I. and Yanikomeroglu, H. The New Frontier in RAN Heterogeneity: Multi-Tier Drone-Cells. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2016. 54(11): 48–55. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2016.1600178CM.
7. Li, B., Fei, Z. and Zhang, Y. UAV Communications for 5G and Beyond: Recent Advances and Future Trends. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2018: 1–1. ISSN 2327-4662. doi:10.1109/IIOT.2018.2887086.

8. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Mobile Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for Energy-Efficient Internet of Things Communications. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(11): 7574–7589. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2751045.
9. Gupta, A. and Jha, R. K. A Survey of 5G Network: Architecture and Emerging Technologies. *IEEE Access*, 2015. 3: 1206–1232. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2461602.
10. Ali, S., Hossain, E. and Kim, D. I. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) for Downlink Multiuser MIMO Systems: User Clustering, Beamforming, and Power Allocation. *IEEE Access*, 2017. 5: 565–577. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2646183.
11. Sun, Y., Ng, D. W. K., Ding, Z. and Schober, R. Optimal Joint Power and Subcarrier Allocation for Full-Duplex Multicarrier Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2017. 65(3): 1077–1091. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2017.2650992.
12. Islam, S. M. R., Avazov, N., Dobre, O. A. and s. Kwak, K. Power-Domain Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) in 5G Systems: Potentials and Challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2017. 19(2): 721–742. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2016.2621116.
13. Ding, Z., Liu, Y., Choi, J., Sun, Q., Elkashlan, M., I, C. L. and Poor, H. V. Application of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in LTE and 5G Networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2017. 55(2): 185–191. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2017.1500657CM.
14. Yang, Z., Ding, Z., Fan, P. and Al-Dhahir, N. The Impact of Power Allocation on Cooperative Non-orthogonal Multiple Access Networks With SWIPT. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(7): 4332–4343. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2697380.
15. Chen, Z., Ding, Z., Dai, X. and Zhang, R. An Optimization Perspective of the Superiority of NOMA Compared to Conventional OMA. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2017. 65(19): 5191–5202. ISSN 1053-587X. doi: 10.1109/TSP.2017.2725223.

16. Xu, P. and Cumanan, K. Optimal Power Allocation Scheme for Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access With α -Fairness. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(10): 2357–2369. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2017.2729780.
17. Lee, S., da Costa, D. B., Vien, Q. T., Duong, T. Q. and de Sousa, R. T. Non-orthogonal multiple access schemes with partial relay selection. *IET Communications*, 2017. 11(6): 846–854. ISSN 1751-8628. doi:10.1049/iet-com.2016.0836.
18. Choi, J. Power Allocation for Max-Sum Rate and Max-Min Rate Proportional Fairness in NOMA. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2016. 20(10): 2055–2058. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2016.2596760.
19. Ali, M. S., Tabassum, H. and Hossain, E. Dynamic User Clustering and Power Allocation for Uplink and Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) Systems. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: 6325–6343. ISSN 2169-3536. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2604821.
20. Chen, Z., Ding, Z. and Dai, X. Beamforming for Combating Inter-cluster and Intra-cluster Interference in Hybrid NOMA Systems. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: 4452–4463. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2598380.
21. He, H., Zhang, S., Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. Joint Altitude and Beamwidth Optimization for UAV-Enabled Multiuser Communications. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(2): 344–347. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2017.2772254.
22. Wang, H., Ren, G., Chen, J., Ding, G. and Yang, Y. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle-Aided Communications: Joint Transmit Power and Trajectory Optimization. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2018. 7(4): 522–525. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2018.2792435.
23. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Efficient Deployment of Multiple Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Optimal Wireless Coverage. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2016. 20(8): 1647–1650. ISSN 1089-7798. doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2016.2578312.

24. Boccardi, F., Heath, R. W., Lozano, A., Marzetta, T. L. and Popovski, P. Five disruptive technology directions for 5G. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 74–80. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2014.6736746.
25. Ding, Z., Adachi, F. and Poor, H. V. The Application of MIMO to Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(1): 537–552. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2015.2475746.
26. Ding, Z. and Poor, H. V. Design of Massive-MIMO-NOMA With Limited Feedback. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2016. 23(5): 629–633. ISSN 1070-9908. doi:10.1109/LSP.2016.2543025.
27. Dai, L., Wang, B., Ding, Z., Wang, Z., Chen, S. and Hanzo, L. A Survey of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for 5G. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2018. 20(3): 2294–2323. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2018.2835558.
28. Ding, Z., Lei, X., Karagiannidis, G. K., Schober, R., Yuan, J. and Bhargava, V. K. A Survey on Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for 5G Networks: Research Challenges and Future Trends. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(10): 2181–2195. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2725519.
29. Sohail, M. F. and Leow, C. Y. Maximized fairness for NOMA based drone communication system. *2017 IEEE 13th Malaysia International Conference on Communications (MICC)*. 2017. 119–123. doi:10.1109/MICC.2017.8311744.
30. Shahzadi, R., Ali, M., Khan, H. Z. and Naeem, M. UAV assisted 5G and beyond wireless networks: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2021: 103114.
31. Duo, B., Hu, H., Li, Y., Hu, Y. and Zhu, X. Robust 3D trajectory and power design in probabilistic LoS channel for UAV-enabled cooperative jamming. *Vehicular Communications*, 2021. 32: 100387.

32. Al-Hourani, A., Kandeepan, S. and Lardner, S. Optimal LAP Altitude for Maximum Coverage. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2014. 3(6): 569–572. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2014.2342736.
33. Alzenad, M., El-Keyi, A. and Yanikomeroglu, H. 3-D Placement of an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Base Station for Maximum Coverage of Users With Different QoS Requirements. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2018. 7(1): 38–41. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2017.2752161.
34. Makki, B., Chitti, K., Behravan, A. and Alouini, M.-S. A Survey of NOMA: Current Status and Open Research Challenges. *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, 2020. 1: 179–189. doi:10.1109/OJCOMS.2020.2969899.
35. Maraqa, O., Rajasekaran, A. S., Al-Ahmadi, S., Yanikomeroglu, H. and Sait, S. M. A Survey of Rate-Optimal Power Domain NOMA With Enabling Technologies of Future Wireless Networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2020. 22(4): 2192–2235. doi:10.1109/COMST.2020.3013514.
36. Yang, Z., Xu, W., Pan, Y., Pan, C. and Chen, M. Energy Efficient Resource Allocation in Machine-to-Machine Communications With Multiple Access and Energy Harvesting for IoT. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2018. 5(1): 229–245. doi:10.1109/JIOT.2017.2778766.
37. Xu, L., Yin, W., Zhang, X. and Yang, Y. Fairness-Aware Throughput Maximization Over Cognitive Heterogeneous NOMA Networks for Industrial Cognitive IoT. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2020. 68(8): 4723–4733. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2020.2992720.
38. Ding, Z., Fan, P. and Poor, H. V. Impact of User Pairing on 5G Nonorthogonal Multiple-Access Downlink Transmissions. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2016. 65(8): 6010–6023. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2015.2480766.
39. Ding, Z., Yang, Z., Fan, P. and Poor, H. V. On the Performance of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in 5G Systems with Randomly Deployed Users. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2014. 21(12): 1501–1505. ISSN 1070-9908. doi:10.1109/LSP.2014.2343971.

40. Yang, Z., Ding, Z., Fan, P. and Al-Dhahir, N. A General Power Allocation Scheme to Guarantee Quality of Service in Downlink and Uplink NOMA Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(11): 7244–7257. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2016.2599521.
41. Oviedo, J. A. and Sadjadpour, H. R. A Fair Power Allocation Approach to NOMA in Multiuser SISO Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2017. 66(9): 7974–7985. doi:10.1109/TVT.2017.2689000.
42. Yin, Y., Liu, M., Gui, G., Gacanin, H., Sari, H. and Adachi, F. QoS-Oriented Dynamic Power Allocation in NOMA-Based Wireless Caching Networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2021. 10(1): 82–86. doi:10.1109/LWC.2020.3021204.
43. Ding, M. and Lopez-Perez, D. Performance impact of base station antenna heights in dense cellular networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(12): 8147–8161.
44. Zhu, L., Zhang, J., Xiao, Z., Cao, X. and Wu, D. O. Optimal User Pairing for Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA). *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2019. 8(2): 328–331. doi:10.1109/LWC.2018.2853741.
45. Chen, L., Ma, L. and Xu, Y. Proportional Fairness-Based User Pairing and Power Allocation Algorithm for Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access System. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 19602–19615. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2896181.
46. Long, K., Wang, P., Li, W. and Chen, D. Spectrum Resource and Power Allocation With Adaptive Proportional Fair User Pairing for NOMA Systems. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 80043–80057. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2908673.
47. Liu, Y., Qin, Z., Cai, Y., Gao, Y., Li, G. Y. and Nallanathan, A. UAV Communications Based on Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2019. 26(1): 52–57. doi:10.1109/MWC.2018.1800196.
48. Alzenad, M., El-Keyi, A., Lagum, F. and Yanikomeroglu, H. 3-D Placement of an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Base Station (UAV-BS) for Energy-Efficient

- Maximal Coverage. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2017. 6(4): 434–437. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2017.2700840.
49. Wang, L., Hu, B. and Chen, S. Energy Efficient Placement of a Drone Base Station for Minimum Required Transmit Power. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2018: 1–1. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2018.2808957.
50. Masaracchia, A., Da Costa, D. B., Duong, T. Q., Nguyen, M. and Nguyen, M. T. A PSO-Based Approach for User-Pairing Schemes in NOMA Systems: Theory and Applications. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 90550–90564. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2926641.
51. Gupta, L., Jain, R. and Vaszkun, G. Survey of Important Issues in UAV Communication Networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2016. 18(2): 1123–1152. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2015.2495297.
52. Hua, M., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Li, C., Huang, Y. and Yang, L. Power-Efficient Communication in UAV-Aided Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(6): 1264–1267. ISSN 1089-7798. doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2822700.
53. Hua, M., Wang, Y., Wu, Q., Dai, H., Huang, Y. and Yang, L. Energy-Efficient Cooperative Secure Transmission in Multi-UAV-Enabled Wireless Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019. 68(8): 7761–7775. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2019.2924180.
54. Fang, F., Zhang, H., Cheng, J. and Leung, V. C. M. Energy-Efficient Resource Allocation for Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Network. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2016. 64(9): 3722–3732. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2016.2594759.
55. Fang, F., Zhang, H., Cheng, J., Roy, S. and Leung, V. C. M. Joint User Scheduling and Power Allocation Optimization for Energy-Efficient NOMA Systems With Imperfect CSI. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2874–2885. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2017.2777672.

56. Zamani, M. R., Eslami, M., Khorramizadeh, M. and Ding, Z. Energy-Efficient Power Allocation for NOMA With Imperfect CSI. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019. 68(1): 1009–1013. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2018.2882500.
57. Zhang, H., Fang, F., Cheng, J., Long, K., Wang, W. and Leung, V. C. M. Energy-Efficient Resource Allocation in NOMA Heterogeneous Networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2018. 25(2): 48–53. ISSN 1536-1284. doi: 10.1109/MWC.2018.1700074.
58. Wang, J., Xu, H., Fan, L., Zhu, B. and Zhou, A. Energy-Efficient Joint Power and Bandwidth Allocation for NOMA Systems. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(4): 780–783. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2794521.
59. Franco, C. D. and Buttazzo, G. Energy-Aware Coverage Path Planning of UAVs. *2015 IEEE International Conference on Autonomous Robot Systems and Competitions*. 2015. 111–117. doi:10.1109/ICARSC.2015.17.
60. Zorbas, D., Pugliese, L. D. P., Razafindralambo, T. and Guerriero, F. Optimal drone placement and cost-efficient target coverage. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2016. 75: 16–31. ISSN 1084-8045. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.08.009.
61. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Drone Small Cells in the Clouds: Design, Deployment and Performance Analysis. *2015 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. 2015. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOM.2015.7417609.
62. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle With Underlaid Device-to-Device Communications: Performance and Tradeoffs. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(6): 3949–3963. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2016.2531652.
63. Al-Hourani, A., Kandeepan, S. and Jamalipour, A. Modeling air-to-ground path loss for low altitude platforms in urban environments. *2014 IEEE Global Communications Conference*. 2014. ISSN 1930-529X. 2898–2904. doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2014.7037248.

64. Sohail, M. F., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Assisted Communication. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 22716–22727. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2826650.
65. Sohail, M. F., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Energy Efficient Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for UAV Communication System. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019: 1–1. doi:10.1109/TVT.2019.2939186.
66. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M., Nam, Y. and Debbah, M. A Tutorial on UAVs for Wireless Networks: Applications, Challenges, and Open Problems. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2019: 1–1. ISSN 1553-877X. doi: 10.1109/COMST.2019.2902862.
67. Zeng, Y., Zhang, R. and Lim, T. J. Wireless communications with unmanned aerial vehicles: opportunities and challenges. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2016. 54(5): 36–42. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2016.7470933.
68. Karapantazis, S. and Pavlidou, F. Broadband communications via high-altitude platforms: A survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2005. 7(1): 2–31. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2005.1423332.
69. Ueyama, J., Freitas, H., Faical, B. S., Filho, G. P. R., Fini, P., Pessin, G., Gomes, P. H. and Villas, L. A. Exploiting the use of unmanned aerial vehicles to provide resilience in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(12): 81–87. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2014.6979956.
70. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Mobile Internet of Things: Can UAVs Provide an Energy-Efficient Mobile Architecture? *2016 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. 2016. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2016.7841993.
71. Motlagh, N. H., Taleb, T. and Arouk, O. Low-Altitude Unmanned Aerial Vehicles-Based Internet of Things Services: Comprehensive Survey and Future Perspectives. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2016. 3(6): 899–922. ISSN 2327-4662. doi:10.1109/JIOT.2016.2612119.

72. NASA. NASA Armstrong Fact Sheet: Unmanned Aircraft Systems Integration in the National Airspace System, 2019. [Online; accessed October 23, 2020].
73. Pregler, A. When COWs Fly: AT&T Sending LTE Signals from Drones, 2017. [Online; accessed October 23, 2020].
74. Bor-Yaliniz, R. I., El-Keyi, A. and Yanikomeroglu, H. Efficient 3-D placement of an aerial base station in next generation cellular networks. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2016. 1–5. doi: 10.1109/ICC.2016.7510820.
75. Goddemeier, N. and Wietfeld, C. Investigation of Air-to-Air Channel Characteristics and a UAV Specific Extension to the Rice Model. *2015 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2015. 1–5. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2015.7414180.
76. Ahmed, N., Kanhere, S. S. and Jha, S. On the importance of link characterization for aerial wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2016. 54(5): 52–57. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2016.7470935.
77. Mohammed, A., Mehmood, A., Pavlidou, F. and Mohorcic, M. The Role of High-Altitude Platforms (HAPs) in the Global Wireless Connectivity. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2011. 99(11): 1939–1953. ISSN 0018-9219. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2011.2159690.
78. Yanmaz, E., Kuschnig, R. and Bettstetter, C. Channel measurements over 802.11a-based UAV-to-ground links. *2011 IEEE GLOBECOM Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2011. ISSN 2166-0077. 1280–1284. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2011.6162389.
79. Khuwaja, A. A., Chen, Y., Zhao, N., Alouini, M. and Dobbins, P. A Survey of Channel Modeling for UAV Communications. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2018. 20(4): 2804–2821. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2018.2856587.
80. Yanmaz, E., Kuschnig, R. and Bettstetter, C. Achieving air-ground communications in 802.11 networks with three-dimensional aerial mobility.

- 2013 Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM*. 2013. ISSN 0743-166X. 120–124. doi:10.1109/INFOCOM.2013.6566747.
81. Cai, X., Gonzalez-Plaza, A., Alonso, D., Zhang, L., Rodr guez, C. B., Yuste, A. P. and Yin, X. Low altitude UAV propagation channel modelling. *2017 11th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP)*. 2017. 1443–1447. doi:10.23919/EuCAP.2017.7928479.
82. Ye, X., Cai, X., Yin, X., Rodriguez-Pineiro, J., Tian, L. and Dou, J. Air-to-Ground Big-Data-Assisted Channel Modeling Based on Passive Sounding in LTE Networks. *2017 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2017. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2017.8269204.
83. Amorim, R., Nguyen, H., Mogensen, P., Kov cs, I. Z., Wigard, J. and S rensen, T. B. Radio Channel Modeling for UAV Communication Over Cellular Networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2017. 6(4): 514–517. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2017.2710045.
84. Feng, Q., McGeehan, J., Tameh, E. K. and Nix, A. R. Path Loss Models for Air-to-Ground Radio Channels in Urban Environments. *2006 IEEE 63rd Vehicular Technology Conference*. 2006, vol. 6. ISSN 1550-2252. 2901–2905. doi:10.1109/VETECS.2006.1683399.
85. Feng, Q., Tameh, E. K., Nix, A. R. and McGeehan, J. Modelling the Likelihood of Line-of-Sight for Air-to-Ground Radio Propagation in Urban Environments. *IEEE Globecom 2006*. 2006. ISSN 1930-529X. 1–5. doi:10.1109/GLOCOM.2006.917.
86. Daniel, K., Putzke, M., Dusza, B. and Wietfeld, C. Three dimensional channel characterization for low altitude aerial vehicles. *2010 7th International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems*. 2010. ISSN 2154-0225. 756–760. doi:10.1109/ISWCS.2010.5624356.
87. ITU-R. Rec. p.1410-2 propagation data and prediction methods for the design of terrestrial broadband millimetric radio access systems, 2003.
88. Sae, J., Yunas, S. F. and Lempiainen, J. Coverage aspects of temporary LAP network. *2016 12th Annual Conference on Wireless On-demand Network Systems and Services (WONS)*. 2016. 1–4.

89. Bor-Yaliniz, I., Szyszkowicz, S. S. and Yanikomeroglu, H. Environment-Aware Drone-Base-Station Placements in Modern Metropolitans. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2018. 7(3): 372–375. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2017.2778242.
90. Sharma, V., Srinivasan, K., Chao, H.-C., Hua, K.-L. and Cheng, W.-H. Intelligent deployment of UAVs in 5G heterogeneous communication environment for improved coverage. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2017. 85: 94 – 105. ISSN 1084-8045. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.12.012>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1084804516303034>, intelligent Systems for Heterogeneous Networks.
91. Shen, X., Wei, Z. and Feng, Z. A Novel Algorithm of UAV-Mounted Base Station Placement and Frequency Allocation. Long, K., Leung, V. C., Zhang, H., Feng, Z., Li, Y. and Zhang, Z., eds. *5G for Future Wireless Networks*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2018. ISBN 978-3-319-72823-0. 182–193.
92. Klaine, P. V., Nadas, J. P. B., Souza, R. D. and Imran, M. A. Distributed Drone Base Station Positioning for Emergency Cellular Networks Using Reinforcement Learning. *Cognitive Computation*, 2018. 10(5): 790–804. ISSN 1866-9964. doi:10.1007/s12559-018-9559-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-018-9559-8>.
93. French, A., Mozaffari, M., Eldosouky, A. and Saad, W. Environment-Aware Deployment of Wireless Drones Base Stations with Google Earth Simulator. *CoRR*, 2018. abs/1805.10424. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10424>.
94. Kalantari, E., Yanikomeroglu, H. and Yongacoglu, A. On the Number and 3D Placement of Drone Base Stations in Wireless Cellular Networks. *2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*. 2016. 1–6. doi:10.1109/VTCFall.2016.7881122.
95. Zhao, H., Wang, H., Wu, W. and Wei, J. Deployment Algorithms for UAV Airborne Networks Toward On-Demand Coverage. *IEEE Journal on Selected*

- Areas in Communications*, 2018. 36(9): 2015–2031. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2018.2864376.
96. Wang, H., Zhao, H., Zhou, L., Ma, D. and Wei, J. Deployment algorithm for minimum unmanned aerial vehicles towards optimal coverage and interconnections. *2018 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference Workshops (WCNCW)*. 2018. 72–277. doi:10.1109/WCNCW.2018.8369021.
97. Zhang, X. and Duan, L. Fast Deployment of UAV Networks for Optimal Wireless Coverage. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2019. 18(3): 588–601. ISSN 1536-1233. doi:10.1109/TMC.2018.2840143.
98. Zhang, C. and Zhang, W. Spectrum Sharing for Drone Networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(1): 136–144. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2016.2633040.
99. Yang, Z., Pan, C., Shikh-Bahaei, M., Xu, W., Chen, M., Elkashlan, M. and Nallanathan, A. Joint Altitude, Beamwidth, Location, and Bandwidth Optimization for UAV-Enabled Communications. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(8): 1716–1719. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2846241.
100. Sun, X. and Ansari, N. Jointly Optimizing Drone-Mounted Base Station Placement and User Association in Heterogeneous Networks. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2018. ISSN 1938-1883. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICC.2018.8422377.
101. Cicek, C. T., Kutlu, T., Gultekin, H., Tavli, B. and Yanikomeroglu, H. Backhaul-Aware Placement of a UAV-BS with Bandwidth Allocation for User-Centric Operation and Profit Maximization. *CoRR*, 2018. abs/1810.12395. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12395>.
102. Hua, M., Wang, Y., Lin, M., Li, C., Huang, Y. and Yang, L. Joint CoMP Transmission for UAV-Aided Cognitive Satellite Terrestrial Networks. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 14959–14968. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2892996.

103. AL-Hourani, A., Chandrasekharan, S., Kaandorp, G., Glenn, W., Jamalipour, A. and Kandeepan, S. Coverage and rate analysis of aerial base stations [Letter]. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 2016. 52(6): 3077–3081. ISSN 0018-9251. doi:10.1109/TAES.2016.160356.
104. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Optimal transport theory for power-efficient deployment of unmanned aerial vehicles. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2016. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICC.2016.7510870.
105. Ghazzai, H., Ghorbel, M. B., Kadri, A., Hossain, M. J. and Menouar, H. Energy-Efficient Management of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Underlay Cognitive Radio Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, 2017. 1(4): 434–443. ISSN 2473-2400. doi:10.1109/TGCN.2017.2750721.
106. Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. Energy-Efficient UAV Communication With Trajectory Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(6): 3747–3760. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2688328.
107. Grant, P. Lecture on Fundamentals of UAVs PERFORMANCE. AER1216, Centre for Aerial Robotics Research and Education, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, 2018.
108. Zhou, Y., Cheng, N., Lu, N. and Shen, X. S. Multi-UAV-Aided Networks: Aerial-Ground Cooperative Vehicular Networking Architecture. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 2015. 10(4): 36–44. ISSN 1556-6072. doi: 10.1109/MVT.2015.2481560.
109. Sharma, V., Bennis, M. and Kumar, R. UAV-Assisted Heterogeneous Networks for Capacity Enhancement. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2016. 20(6): 1207–1210. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2016.2553103.
110. Huang, W., Yang, Z., Pan, C., Pei, L., Chen, M., Shikh-Bahaei, M., Elkashlan, M. and Nallanathan, A. Joint Power, Altitude, Location and Bandwidth Optimization for UAV With Underlaid D2D Communications. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2019. 8(2): 524–527. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2018.2878706.

111. Cisco. Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2017-2022. *Cisco public*, 2019. URL <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white-paper-c11-738429.pdf>.
112. Wang, C., Haider, F., Gao, X., You, X., Yang, Y., Yuan, D., Aggoune, H. M., Haas, H., Fletcher, S. and Hepsaydir, E. Cellular architecture and key technologies for 5G wireless communication networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 122–130. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2014.6736752.
113. Saito, Y., Kishiyama, Y., Benjebbour, A., Nakamura, T., Li, A. and Higuchi, K. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) for Cellular Future Radio Access. *2013 IEEE 77th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring)*. 2013. ISSN 1550-2252. 1–5. doi:10.1109/VTCSpring.2013.6692652.
114. Lee, H., Kim, S. and Lim, J. H. Multiuser Superposition Transmission (MUST) for LTE-A systems. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2016. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICC.2016.7510909.
115. Vanka, S., Srinivasa, S., Gong, Z., Vizi, P., Stamatiou, K. and Haenggi, M. Superposition Coding Strategies: Design and Experimental Evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2012. 11(7): 2628–2639. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2012.051512.111622.
116. Ding, Z., Schober, R. and Poor, H. V. A General MIMO Framework for NOMA Downlink and Uplink Transmission Based on Signal Alignment. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(6): 4438–4454. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2016.2542066.
117. Saito, Y., Benjebbour, A., Kishiyama, Y. and Nakamura, T. System-level performance evaluation of downlink non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA). *2013 IEEE 24th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*. 2013. ISSN 2166-9570. 611–615. doi:10.1109/PIMRC.2013.6666209.

118. Timotheou, S. and Krikidis, I. Fairness for Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in 5G Systems. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2015. 22(10): 1647–1651. ISSN 1070-9908. doi:10.1109/LSP.2015.2417119.
119. Zhang, Z., Sun, H. and Hu, R. Q. Downlink and Uplink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in a Dense Wireless Network. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2771–2784. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2724646.
120. Chen, Z., Ding, Z., Dai, X. and Karagiannidis, G. K. On the Application of Quasi-Degradation to MISO-NOMA Downlink. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2016. 64(23): 6174–6189. ISSN 1053-587X. doi:10.1109/TSP.2016.2603971.
121. Shin, W., Vaezi, M., Lee, B., Love, D. J., Lee, J. and Poor, V. Coordinated Beamforming for Multi-Cell MIMO-NOMA. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2016. PP(99): 1–1. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2016.2615097.
122. Sun, Q., Han, S., I, C. and Pan, Z. On the Ergodic Capacity of MIMO NOMA Systems. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2015. 4(4): 405–408. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2015.2426709.
123. Choi, J. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in Downlink Coordinated Two-Point Systems. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2014. 18(2): 313–316. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2013.123113.132450.
124. Zhu, J., Wang, J., Huang, Y., He, S., You, X. and Yang, L. On Optimal Power Allocation for Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2744–2757. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2725618.
125. Liu, F. and Petrova, M. Dynamic Power Allocation for Downlink Multi-Carrier NOMA Systems. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(9): 1930–1933. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2852655.
126. Sharma, P. K. and Kim, D. I. UAV-Enabled Downlink Wireless System with Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *2017 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2017. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2017.8269066.

127. Jeong, S., Simeone, O. and Kang, J. Mobile Edge Computing via a UAV-Mounted Cloudlet: Optimization of Bit Allocation and Path Planning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2018. 67(3): 2049–2063. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2017.2706308.
128. Nasir, A. A., Tuan, H. D., Duong, T. Q. and Poor, H. V. UAV-Enabled Communication Using NOMA. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(7): 5126–5138. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2906622.
129. Selim, M. M., Rihan, M., Yang, Y., Huang, L., Quan, Z. and Ma, J. On the Outage Probability and Power Control of D2D Underlying NOMA UAV-Assisted Networks. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 16525–16536. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2894390.
130. Liu, X., Wang, J., Zhao, N., Chen, Y., Zhang, S., Ding, Z. and Yu, F. R. Placement and Power Allocation for NOMA-UAV Networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2019: 1–1. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2019.2904034.
131. Sun, J., Wang, Z. and Huang, Q. Cyclical NOMA Based UAV-Enabled Wireless Network. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 4248–4259. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2888855.
132. Rupasinghe, N., Yapici, Y., Güvenç, I. and Kakishima, Y. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for mmWave Drone Networks With Limited Feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(1): 762–777. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2867465.
133. Baek, J., Han, S. I. and Han, Y. Optimal Resource Allocation for Non-Orthogonal Transmission in UAV Relay Systems. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2018. 7(3): 356–359. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2017.2778073.
134. Hou, T., Liu, Y., Song, Z., Sun, X. and Chen, Y. Multiple Antenna Aided NOMA in UAV Networks: A Stochastic Geometry Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(2): 1031–1044. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2875081.

135. Mei, W. and Zhang, R. Uplink Cooperative NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAV. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 2019. 13(3): 644–656. ISSN 1932-4553. doi:10.1109/JSTSP.2019.2899208.
136. Rupasinghe, N., Yapici, Y. and Guevenc, I. Performance of Limited Feedback Based NOMA Transmission in mmWave Drone Networks. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*. 2018. ISSN 2474-9133. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICCW.2018.8403602.
137. Hu, D., Zhang, Q., Li, Q. and Qin, J. Joint Position, Decoding Order, and Power Allocation Optimization in UAV-Based NOMA Downlink Communications. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2020. 14(2): 2949–2960. doi:10.1109/JSYST.2019.2940985.
138. Masaracchia, A., Nguyen, L. D., Duong, T. Q., Yin, C., Dobre, O. A. and Garcia-Palacios, E. Energy-Efficient and Throughput Fair Resource Allocation for TS-NOMA UAV-Assisted Communications. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2020. 68(11): 7156–7169. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2020.3014939.
139. Youssef, M. J., Farah, J., Nour, C. A. and Douillard, C. Full-Duplex and Backhaul-Constrained UAV-Enabled Networks Using NOMA. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2020. 69(9): 9667–9681. doi:10.1109/TVT.2020.3001432.
140. Byrd, R. H., Hribar, M. E. and Nocedal, J. An interior point algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 1999. 9(4): 877–900.
141. Byrd, R. H., Gilbert, J. C. and Nocedal, J. A trust region method based on interior point techniques for nonlinear programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 2000. 89(1): 149–185.
142. Dinkelbach, W. On Nonlinear Fractional Programming. *Management Science*, 1967. 13(7): 492–498. doi:10.1287/mnsc.13.7.492. URL <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.13.7.492>.
143. Shen, K. and Yu, W. Fractional Programming for Communication Systems Part I: Power Control and Beamforming. *IEEE Transactions on Signal*

- Processing*, 2018. 66(10): 2616–2630. ISSN 1053-587X. doi:10.1109/TSP.2018.2812733.
144. Chu, S.-C., Tsai, P.-w. and Pan, J.-S. Cat Swarm Optimization. Yang, Q. and Webb, G., eds. *PRICAI 2006: Trends in Artificial Intelligence*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2006. ISBN 978-3-540-36668-3. 854–858.
145. Alam, S., Malik, A. N., Qureshi, I. M., Ghauri, S. A. and Sarfraz, M. Clustering-Based Channel Allocation Scheme for Neighborhood Area Network in a Cognitive Radio Based Smart Grid Communication. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 25773–25784. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2832246.
146. Fotouhi, A., Ding, M. and Hassan, M. Flying Drone Base Stations for Macro Hotspots. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 19530–19539. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2817799.
147. Zorbas, D., Razafindralambo, T., Luigi, D. P. P. and Guerriero, F. Energy Efficient Mobile Target Tracking Using Flying Drones. 2013, vol. 19. ISSN 1877-0509. 80 – 87. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.06.016. The 4th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and Technologies (ANT 2013), the 3rd International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology (SEIT-2013).
148. Liu, Y., Qin, Z., El Kashlan, M., Ding, Z., Nallanathan, A. and Hanzo, L. Nonorthogonal Multiple Access for 5G and Beyond. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2017. 105(12): 2347–2381. ISSN 0018-9219. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2017.2768666.
149. Alam, S., Sohail, M. F., Ghauri, S. A., Qureshi, I. and Aqdas, N. Cognitive radio based Smart Grid Communication Network. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2017. 72: 535 – 548. ISSN 1364-0321. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.086.
150. Boyd, S. and Vandenberghe, L. *Convex Optimization*. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press. 2004. ISBN 0521833787.

151. Ahmed, A. M., Rashid, T. A. and Saeed, S. A. M. Cat swarm optimization algorithm: a survey and performance evaluation. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience*, 2020. 2020.
152. Ni, J., Qingjian Deng. Analysis of Population Diversity of Dynamic Probabilistic Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithms. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2014. 2014: 762015. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/762015>.
153. Yan, C., Harada, A., Benjebbour, A., Lan, Y., Li, A. and Jiang, H. Receiver Design for Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA). *2015 IEEE 81st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring)*. 2015. ISSN 1550-2252. 1–6. doi:10.1109/VTCSpring.2015.7146043.
154. Kadir, M. I., Sugiura, S., Zhang, J., Chen, S. and Hanzo, L. OFDMA/SC-FDMA Aided Space-Time Shift Keying for Dispersive Multiuser Scenarios. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2013. 62(1): 408–414. doi:10.1109/TVT.2012.2220794.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. Sohail, M. F., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S., "A CAT swarm optimization based transmission power minimization of an aerial NOMA Communication systems", Submitted for review to Vehicular Communications, January 2020.
2. M. F. Sohail, C. Y. Leow and S. Won, "Energy-Efficient Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for UAV Communication System," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 10834-10845, Nov. 2019.
3. M. F. Sohail, C. Y. Leow and S. Won, "Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Assisted Communication," in IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 22716-22727, 2018.

Indexed conference proceedings

1. M. F. Sohail and C. Y. Leow, "Maximized fairness for NOMA based drone communication system," 2017 IEEE 13th Malaysia International Conference on Communications (MICC), Johor Bahru, 2017, pp. 119-123.