

NON-ORTHOGONAL MULTIPLE ACCESS FOR CELLULAR-CONNECTED
UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

NEW WEE KIAT

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

DEDICATION

To my family;

New Ah Lek, How Mui Hiong, New Eugene, and New Siew Ching.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Leow Chee Yen for his excellent guidance and training. Throughout my study, he has provided me a lot of opportunities and experiences. The findings of this thesis would not have been possible without his supervision and advice.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Dr. Keivan Navaie from Lancaster University. Dr. Keivan is a very kind person who unreservedly shares his experience and knowledge to me. I sincerely appreciate the motivations and supports he has given me during my study. Besides, I would like to thank Prof. Zhiguo Ding, from The University of Manchester, for his advice and insights on non-orthogonal multiple access and optimisation. It is an amazing experience to work with him and his students. I would also like to thank Assoc. Prof. Ioannis Krikidis, from University of Cyprus, for his enlightenment on stochastic geometry and information theory. His enlightenment have greatly influenced my perspectives on the future directions.

It is also my pleasure to meet Assoc. Prof. Leow Pei Ling, Assoc. Prof. Norhudah Seman, and Prof. Aduwati Sali. I have learnt a lot from them during my research visit in Europe. I am also very grateful to my friends Dr. Badrudeen Abdulahi Abiodun, Dr. Liao Qian Yu, Dr. Alsaba Yamen, Dr. Raghuraman Selvaraju, Dr. Muhammad Farhan Sohail, Sun Yanshi, and Ho Chun Yeen for their patience in listening to my ideas, arguments, and proofs.

Most importantly, I want to thank my dad, mum, brother, and sister for their enormous love and support.

ABSTRACT

Cellular-connected unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have been introduced for 5th Generation (5G) and beyond cellular networks to enable various UAVs' operations which require real-time and ubiquitous connectivity. Existing solutions are relying on orthogonal multiple access (OMA) to support existing terrestrial users (TUs) and UAVs as new aerial users (AUs). However, OMA is unable to provide an efficient network performance because each orthogonal resource block can only be utilised by a single user. To address this limitation, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) can be employed. NOMA enables AUs and TUs to share the same orthogonal resource block. By leveraging their downlink asymmetry, NOMA could efficiently serve the AUs and TUs. Nevertheless, concurrently serving the AUs and TUs in cellular networks introduces new challenges. Specifically, reverse successive interference cancellation (SIC) policy and inappropriate NOMA power allocation might occur if the AUs are moving in three dimensional space and perfect channel state information (CSI) is unavailable. These issues will result in spectral inefficiency and unreliable communications. Due to high altitude, AUs also suffer strong inter-cell interference (ICI) that causes the pairing of AUs and TUs in NOMA to be inefficient. Therefore, this thesis investigates the performance of NOMA which concurrently serves a mobile AU and a TU in the absence of perfect CSI. Results show that pairing a mobile AU and a TU is more beneficial than pairing TUs only. Furthermore, NOMA provides up to 99% rate of improvement and lower outage probability as compared to OMA. Performance analysis for AUs and TUs in multi-cell networks is also carried out by using stochastic geometry. The analysis highlights the effects of different network parameters and reveals that the network performance can be affected by user association, receiving antenna configuration and ICI mitigation technique. This thesis proposes and provides an important insight about an efficient combination of user association, transmitting and receiving strategies known as aerial-terrestrial network NOMA. The proposed scheme outperforms existing schemes up to 91% in terms of sum-rate and its analytical outage probability can be as low as the order of 10^{-17} . This thesis concludes that NOMA can efficiently serve the AUs and TUs in downlink cellular networks.

ABSTRAK

Pesawat tanpa pemandu (UAV) bersambung selular telah diperkenalkan untuk rangkaian generasi kelima (5G) dan seterusnya untuk membolehkan pelbagai operasi UAV yang memerlukan keterhubungan masa nyata dan di mana-mana pada masa yang sama. Penyelesaian ini bergantung pada capaian berbilang ortogon (OMA) untuk menyokong pengguna darat (TU) sedia ada dan UAV sebagai pengguna udara (AU) baru. Namun, OMA tidak dapat memberikan prestasi rangkaian yang cekap kerana setiap blok sumber ortogon hanya dapat diguna oleh satu pengguna. Untuk mengatasi penghadan ini, capaian berbilang bukan ortogon (NOMA) dapat digunakan. NOMA dapat menyokong AU dan TU dengan blok sumber ortogon yang sama. Dengan memanfaatkan asimetri laluan menurun, NOMA dapat melayani AU dan TU dengan cekap. Walaupun begitu, AU dan TU yang disokong secara serentak dalam jaringan selular berdepan dengan cabaran yang baru. Khususnya, dasar pembatalan gangguan berturutan (SIC) balikan dan peruntukan kuasa NOMA yang tidak sesuai mungkin berlaku jika AU bergerak di dalam ruang tiga dimensi dan maklumat keadaan saluran sempurna (CSI) tidak ada. Isu-isu ini akan menyebabkan ketidakcekapan spektrum dan komunikasi yang tidak boleh dipercayai. Oleh kerana altitud tinggi, AU juga mengalami gangguan antara sel yang kuat (ICI) yang menyebabkan ketidakcekapan pasangan AU dan TU dalam NOMA. Lantaran, tesis ini menyiasat prestasi NOMA yang melayani AU bergerak dan TU secara serentak tanpa adanya CSI sempurna. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa pasangan AU bergerak dan TU lebih bermanfaat daripada pasangan TU sahaja. Selanjutnya, NOMA memberikan kadar pembaikan sehingga 99% dan kebarangkalian keluaran yang lebih rendah berbanding OMA. Analisis prestasi untuk AU dan TU dalam rangkaian berbilang sel juga dilakukan dengan menggunakan geometri stokastik. Analisis ini menekankan pengaruh parameter rangkaian yang berbeza dan mendedahkan bahawa prestasi rangkaian dapat dipengaruhi oleh pertalian pengguna, konfigurasi antena penerima dan teknik pengurangan ICI. Tesis ini mengusulkan dan memberikan pandangan yang penting berkaitan gabungan pertalian pengguna, strategi penerimaan dan transmisi yang cekap dikenali sebagai rangkaian udara-daratan NOMA. Skim yang dicadangkan mengatasi skim yang sedia ada sehingga 91% dari segi jumlah kadar dan kebarangkalian keluaran analisis serendah 10^{-17} . Tesis ini menyimpulkan bahawa NOMA dapat melayani AU dan TU dengan cekap dalam rangkaian selular laluan menurun.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vii
	ABSTRAK	viii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statements	3
1.2.1	Reverse SIC Policy and Inappropriate NOMA Power Allocation	3
1.2.2	Effects of Various Network Parameters in NOMA-Enabled Cellular-Connected UAVs	4
1.2.3	Strong Inter-Cell Interference in Cellular-Connected UAVs	4
1.3	Research Objectives	5
1.4	Research Scope	5
1.5	Research Contributions	6
1.6	Organization	8
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	UAV Communications	9
2.2	Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access	12

2.3	Terrestrial-to-Air Channel Modelling	16
2.3.1	Large-Scale Fading Models	17
2.3.2	Small-Scale Fading Models	20
2.4	Related Works and Research Gaps	22
2.4.1	Research Gap in SIC Policy and NOMA Power Allocation	23
2.4.2	Research Gap in Stochastic Geometry Analysis	24
2.4.3	Research Gap in Interference Mitigation Techniques	25
2.5	Chapter Summary	27
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	29
3.1	Research Process	29
3.2	Overview of the System Models and Performance Metrics	32
3.3	Optimisation	34
3.4	Stochastic Geometry	36
3.5	Chapter Summary	41
CHAPTER 4	ROBUST NON-ORTHOGONAL MULTIPLE ACCESS FOR AERIAL AND TERRESTRIAL USERS	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	System Model and the Proposed AT-NOMA Scheme	46
4.3	AT-NOMA with Perfect Channel State Information	49
4.4	AT-NOMA with Partial Channel State Information	54
4.5	Simulation Results	58
4.5.1	Probabilities of AU/TU Channel Order	59
4.5.2	Secondary TU's Rate (bit/s/Hz) and Outage Probability	61
4.5.3	Tradeoff between Rate and Reliability	66
4.6	Chapter Summary	68

CHAPTER 5	INTERFERENCE-AWARE NOMA FOR CELLULAR-CONNECTED UAVS: STOCHASTIC GEOMETRY ANALYSIS	69
5.1	Introduction	69
5.2	System Model and the Proposed Stochastic Geometry Framework	72
5.2.1	Poisson Point Process	72
5.2.2	Antenna Models	73
5.2.3	Channel Models	74
5.2.4	User Associations	75
5.2.5	Inter-Cell Interference Coordination	76
5.2.6	Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access	76
5.2.7	Coverage Probability	78
5.3	Performance Analysis	78
5.3.1	Distribution of r_u and r_t	78
5.3.2	AU's Coverage Probability	81
5.3.3	TU's Coverage Probability	83
5.3.4	Average Rate of AU and TU	86
5.4	Simulation and Analytical Results	87
5.4.1	Effects of AU's Altitudes	87
5.4.2	Effects of TU's Distances	88
5.4.3	Effects of Power Coefficients without SIC Constraints	89
5.4.4	Effects of Power Coefficients with SIC Constraints	91
5.4.5	Effects of User Association Policies	93
5.4.6	Effects of Beamwidth	94
5.4.7	Effects of Inter-Cell Interference Coordination	95
5.4.8	Coverage Probability of AU Based on Different Combinations of Techniques	97
5.5	Chapter Summary	97
CHAPTER 6	AERIAL-TERRESTRIAL NETWORK NOMA FOR CELLULAR-CONNECTED UAVS	99

6.1	Introduction	99
6.2	System Model and the Proposed ATN-NOMA Scheme	102
6.2.1	Channel Models	104
6.2.2	Elevation-Angle Based User Association	105
6.2.3	Transmitting and Receiving Strategies	106
6.3	Optimal Beamwidth and Power Allocation	109
6.4	Inter-Cell Interference and AU's Outage Probability	113
6.5	Simulation Results	119
6.5.1	Statistical Properties of Aggregated ICI	121
6.5.2	Accuracy of the Analytical Outage Probability	121
6.5.3	Types of User Association Policies	124
6.5.4	Effects on the Number of Coordinated BSs	126
6.5.5	Effects on the AU's Position	129
6.5.6	AU/TUs vs. TU/TUs Pairing	131
6.6	Chapter Summary	133
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	135
7.1	Conclusions	135
7.2	Future Directions	136
	REFERENCES	139
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	171

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of UAV communications' developments	12
Table 2.2	Summary of NOMA's developments	16
Table 2.3	Summary of terrestrial-to-air channel modelling	22
Table 2.4	Summary of related works and research gaps	26
Table 3.1	Overview of the system models and performance metrics	32
Table 4.1	Key notations in Chapter 4	45
Table 4.2	Simulation parameters of Chapter 4	59
Table 5.1	Key notations in Chapter 5	71
Table 5.2	Simulation parameters of Chapter 5	87
Table 6.1	Key notations in Chapter 6	101
Table 6.2	Simulation parameters of Chapter 6	120

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the research process.	30
Figure 3.2	Flowchart of solving an optimisation problem.	37
Figure 3.3	Coverage probability of a typical TU, $\lambda = 15\text{BSs/Km}^2$, $\alpha = 4$.	41
Figure 4.1	Downlink communication system with AU and TU.	46
Figure 4.2	Rate region of optimal and reverse SIC policies.	51
Figure 4.3	Probability of AU/TU channel order versus: (a) AU's horizontal distance; (b) AU's altitude.	60
Figure 4.4	An illustration of the simulated scenario: (a) varying primary AU/TU's horizontal distance; (b) varying primary AU's altitude.	62
Figure 4.5	Secondary TU's rate versus: (a) primary AU/TU's horizontal distance; (b) primary AU's altitude.	63
Figure 4.6	Outage probability versus: (a) primary AU/TU's horizontal distance; (b) primary AU's altitude.	65
Figure 4.7	Secondary TU's rate versus AU's horizontal distance: (a) effects of δ_{conf} based on fixed δ_{target} ; (b) effects of different δ_{target} .	67
Figure 5.1	Illustration of the proposed stochastic geometry framework where the AU is equipped with a directional antenna.	72
Figure 5.2	Coverage probabilities of the AU versus T for different values of the AU's altitudes.	88
Figure 5.3	Coverage probabilities of the TU versus T for different values of the TU's distances.	89
Figure 5.4	The effects of different power coefficients: a) coverage probabilities of the AU; b) coverage probabilities of the TU.	90
Figure 5.4	The effects of different power coefficients: c) the rate region.	91

Figure 5.5	The effects of SIC constraints: a) coverage probabilities of the TU for different T_{\min}/R_{\min} ; b) coverage probabilities of the TU for different ρ_t .	92
Figure 5.5	The effects of SIC constraints: c) the rate region.	93
Figure 5.6	Coverage probabilities of the AU versus T using different user association policies and values of the AU's altitudes.	94
Figure 5.7	Coverage probabilities of the AU versus antenna beamwidth for different values of the AU's altitudes.	95
Figure 5.8	The effects of different number of coordinated BSs: a) coverage probabilities of the AU; b) TU's average rate.	96
Figure 5.9	Coverage probabilities of the AU versus T using different combinations of strategies.	98
Figure 6.1	A schematic of downlink multi-cell networks with AU and TUs: (a) 3-dimensional; (b) 2-dimensional.	103
Figure 6.2	The AU receiving coverage, for $\mathbf{w}^{\text{AU}} = [0, 0, 200]^T$, $\Psi = 0.5$.	107
Figure 6.3	The statistical properties of the aggregated ICI: (a) PDF; (b) CDF.	122
Figure 6.4	Numerical outage probability vs. analytical outage probability: (a) OB-OMA; (b) OB-FNOMA.	123
Figure 6.4	Numerical outage probability vs. analytical outage probability: (c) ATN-NOMA.	124
Figure 6.5	Performance of different user association policies: (a) probability of experiencing ICI; (b) sum-rate.	125
Figure 6.5	Performance of different user association policies: (c) outage probability.	126
Figure 6.6	The effects on the number of coordinated BSs: (a) average TU rate; (b) outage probability.	127
Figure 6.7	The effects on the 3D location of the AU: (a) locations of the AU.	129
Figure 6.7	The effects on the 3D location of the AU: (b) sum-rate of the TUs; (c) outage probability.	130
Figure 6.8	The performance of different pairing schemes versus AU's altitude: (a) sum-rate of the TUs; (b) outage probability.	132

Figure B.1 Cases: (a) $r_1 \leq 2r_0$; (b) $r_1 > 2r_0$.

164

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	–	Two Dimensional
3D	–	Three Dimensional
3GPP	–	3rd Generation Partnership Project
5G	–	5th Generation
a.k.a.	–	also known as
AWGN	–	Additive White Gaussian Noise
AT-NOMA	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
AT-NOMA-PC	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access with Perfect Channel State Information
AT-NOMA-SC	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access with Statistical Channel State Information
ATN-NOMA	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
ATN-NOMA-P	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access with Perfect Channel State Information
ATN-NOMA-S	–	Aerial-Terrestrial Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access with Statistical Channel State Information
AU	–	Aerial User
BS	–	Base Station
BVLOS	–	Beyond Visual Line-of-Sight
CB-NOMA	–	Coordinated Beamforming Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
CS-NOMA	–	Coordinated Scheduling Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
CSI	–	Channel State Information
C&C	–	Command and Control
CCDF	–	Complementary Cumulative Distribution Function

CDF	–	Cumulative Distribution Function
CoMP	–	Coordinated Multi-Point
e.g.	–	for example
FB-FNOMA	–	Fixed Beamwidth and Fixed Power Allocation Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
FB-NOMA	–	Fixed Beamwidth and Optimal Power Allocation Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
FB-OMA	–	Fixed Beamwidth Joint Transmission Coordinated Multi-Point Orthogonal Multiple Access
FDMA	–	Frequency-Division Multiple Access
i.e.	–	that is
i.i.d.	–	independent and identically distributed
ICI	–	Inter-Cell Interference
ICIC	–	Inter-Cell Interference Coordination
i.n.i.d.	–	independent non-identical distributed
IoT	–	Internet-of-Things
IRS	–	Intelligent Reflecting Surface
JT-NOMA	–	Joint Transmission Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
LOS	–	Line-of-Sight
LTE	–	Long-Term Evolution
MRT	–	Maximum Ratio Transmission
MGF	–	Moment Generating Function
MIMO	–	Multiple-Input Multiple-Output
MUST	–	Multi-User Superposition Transmission
NOMA	–	Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
NLOS	–	Non Line-of-Sight
NP-hard	–	Non-deterministic Polynomial-time Hard

OB-FNOMA	–	Optimal Beamwidth and Fixed Power Allocation Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
OB-OMA	–	Optimal Beamwidth Joint Transmission Coordinated Multi-Point Orthogonal Multiple Access
OFDMA	–	Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiple Access
OMA	–	Orthogonal Multiple Access
PPP	–	Poisson Point Process
PDF	–	Probability Density Function
PGFL	–	Probability Generating Functional
PMF	–	Probability Mass Function
RSMA	–	Rate-Splitting Multiple Access
SNR	–	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
SCA	–	Successive Convex Approximation
SIC	–	Successive Interference Cancellation
SIR	–	Signal-to-Interference Ratio
SINR	–	Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio
TDMA	–	Time-Division Multiple Access
TS	–	Terrestrial Station
TN-NOMA	–	Terrestrial Network Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
TT-NOMA	–	Terrestrial-to-Terrestrial Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access
TU	–	Terrestrial User
UAV	–	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
w.r.t.	–	with respect to

LIST OF SYMBOLS

x	–	Scalar parameters/variables or points
\mathbf{x}	–	Vectors, e.g., $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]^T$
$(\cdot)^T$	–	Transpose
$(\cdot)^H$	–	Conjugate transpose
$C(x, c)$	–	A circle centred at point x with a radius of c
$\text{Bino}(n, p)$	–	Binomial distributed random variable with n trials and probability of p
$CN(\mu, \sigma^2)$	–	Circularly symmetric complex Gaussian random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2
Gamma(m, θ)	–	Gamma distributed random variable with rate m and scale θ
$ \cdot $	–	Absolute value
$\ \cdot\ $	–	Euclidean norm
$\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$	–	Statistical expectation
$\exp(\cdot)$	–	Exponent
$\{\cdot, \cdot\}$	–	A set of
$\{\cdot \cdot\}$	–	A set determined by a condition on the elements
$\text{card}(C)$	–	The cardinality of set C
$\mathbf{1}_c\{\cdot\}$	–	Indication function for condition c
\in	–	Is an element of
\sim	–	Is distributed as
\approx	–	Approximately equal to
$\log(\cdot)$	–	Logarithm with base 2
$\ln(\cdot)$	–	Natural logarithm
$\max(\cdot)$	–	The maximum of an argument

$\min(\cdot)$	–	The minimum of an argument
$\sup(\cdot)$	–	The supremum of an argument
$\tan^{-1}(\cdot)$	–	Inverse tangent
$\tan_2^{-1}(\cdot, \cdot)$	–	Fourth quadrant inverse tangent
$[\cdot]_c^+$	–	Argument is lower bounded by c
$[\cdot]_c^-$	–	Argument is upper bounded by c
$\mathbb{P}\{X\}$	–	The probability of event X
$\mathbb{P}\{X Y\}$	–	The conditional probability of event X given Y
$I_0(\cdot)$	–	Zero order modified Bessel function
$\bar{B}(z, x, y)$	–	Beta upper incomplete function
$B_i(\cdot)$	–	Complete Bell polynomial
$B_{i,j}(\cdot)$	–	Incomplete Bell polynomial
$\mathcal{J}^{(i)}(\cdot)$	–	The i th derivative of an arbitrary function $\mathcal{J}(\cdot)$
$Q_1(\cdot, \cdot)$	–	First order Marcum Q-function
$M_{(\cdot, \cdot)}(\cdot)$	–	Whittaker M function

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Proof of Chapter 4	157
Appendix B	Proof of Chapter 5	163
Appendix C	Proof of Chapter 6	169

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), also known as drone, is an aircraft without any human pilot onboard. It has increasingly become a promising tool in the field of wireless communications. On one hand, UAV can be used as an aerial communication platform such as aerial base station or aerial relay to provide wireless communications. On the other hand, UAV can be employed as an aerial user (AU) to perform arbitrary operations. Both of these applications are broadly termed as UAV communications but this thesis centres mainly on the latter application.

Due to wide market availability and affordable price, employing UAV as an AU is no longer an enviable practice. It has instead become rather common these days. For example, common people have been employing UAVs for aerial photography and drone racing. In the industry, engineers and technicians have also been using UAVs for inspection and monitoring purposes. Compared to existing terrestrial users (TUs), AUs exhibit unique characteristics in the communication system due to their ability to move in the three dimensional (3D) space. Because of the nature of their applications, AUs also have different characteristics in uplink and downlink communications. This thesis focusses on the downlink communications.

Most of the commercial UAVs are controlled via point-to-point links over the unlicensed spectrum. Such a solution is workable but it also limits the UAVs' operation range to line-of-sight (LOS). To enable beyond visual line-of-sight (BVLOS) operations, the concept of cellular-connected UAVs has been introduced in the 5th Generation (5G) and beyond cellular networks. The key idea of cellular-connected UAVs is to serve the UAVs as AUs via existing cellular networks. This solution is very attractive to the telecommunication industry because this new service can help to create new revenues.

More importantly, existing cellular networks are now widely deployed, and therefore no significant financial investment is required to serve the new AUs.

Companies such as Qualcomm, Nokia, Ericsson, NTT Docomo, Huawei, and ZTE have conducted field tests and performed simulations to support the new AUs. Existing studies mainly rely on orthogonal multiple access (OMA) schemes to support both AUs and TUs. Examples of the OMA schemes are frequency-division multiple access (FDMA), time-division multiple access (TDMA), and orthogonal frequency-division multiple access (OFDMA). In OMA, each orthogonal radio resource/resource block is assigned to only a single user to prevent co-channel interference. Because of this, OMA is unable to achieve high spectral efficiency nor large number of concurrent connectivity.

To address these limitations, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) can be employed. NOMA has been proposed for beyond 5G cellular networks primarily to improve the spectral efficiency and enable massive connectivity. In general, there are two classes of NOMA: power-domain NOMA and code-domain NOMA. In this thesis, only power-domain NOMA is considered. Thus, for brevity, power-domain NOMA is also referred to as NOMA throughout this thesis. Unlike OMA, NOMA enables multiple users (e.g., AU and TU) to share the same orthogonal radio resource/resource block by employing superposition coding at the transmitter(s) and successive interference cancellation (SIC) at the receivers. The users' messages are superimposed by using superposition coding and broadcast with an orthogonal radio resource/resource block. The co-channel interference induced by the superposition coding is then cancelled at the receivers by using SIC.

Intuitively, employing NOMA for AUs and TUs can improve the spectral efficiency and number of concurrent connectivity of the cellular networks. Previous studies have also shown that NOMA performs well if the user channels are asymmetric. Thus, by further leveraging the downlink asymmetry between AUs and TUs, the applications of NOMA for AUs and TUs could provide additional gains than that of NOMA for TUs only. Nevertheless, the applications of NOMA for the co-existing of

AUs and TUs introduces new challenges. This thesis aims to address some of the key challenges.

1.2 Problem Statements

This thesis identifies several open problems in efficiently serving AUs and TUs using NOMA in downlink communications. The problem statements are detailed in the following.

1.2.1 Reverse SIC Policy and Inappropriate NOMA Power Allocation

Efficiently serving a mobile AU and a TU over a time-varying channel using NOMA remains an open problem because reverse SIC policy and inappropriate NOMA power allocation issues might frequently occur. In particular, the superiority of NOMA over OMA is only guaranteed if the strong user and weak user are distinguishable. It is inefficient for the weak user to perform SIC and strong user to treat the co-channel interference as noise, i.e., the reverse SIC policy. In existing terrestrial NOMA, this issue can be addressed by using distance-based ranking [1] or classifying the types of links [2], if perfect channel state information (CSI) is unavailable. Nevertheless, these solutions cannot be applied to the AU due to its unique 3D mobility effect. Furthermore, in downlink communications, typical TU expects high data rate whilst a minimum data rate must be delivered to the AU to ensure its safe operation. Specifically, the command and control (C&C) messages used for controlling the AU's 3D mobility are delivered in downlink communications. Therefore, inappropriate NOMA power allocation does not only lead to spectral inefficiency of the TU, but also high AU's outage probability. The high AU's outage probability would cause unreliable communications and subsequently affect the safe operation of the AU.

1.2.2 Effects of Various Network Parameters in NOMA-Enabled Cellular-Connected UAVs

Existing cellular networks are mainly designed to serve the TUs only. Thus, to better serve the new AUs, it is important to consider the co-existence of AUs and TUs in multi-cell networks. Existing works have shown that the AUs can experience stronger communication links than the TUs due to the availability of LOS links. But this also leads to two contrasting effects: i) a stronger link to its associated base station (BS), and ii) a stronger inter-cell interference (ICI) from neighbouring BSs. Unfortunately, various studies conclude that the former is unable to compensate the latter [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Thus, the pairing of AUs and TUs using NOMA might be inefficient in the multi-cell networks. This motivates the necessity to analyse the effects of different network parameters on the performance of a typical AU and a TU using NOMA in multi-cell networks. Thanks to recent advancements, the average performance of a typical user in multi-cell networks can be analysed using the tools of stochastic geometry [11]. Nevertheless, a stochastic geometry framework that considers the co-existing of AUs and TUs over NOMA is still missing in the literature. Furthermore, existing frameworks cannot be directly applied to evaluate the performance of NOMA for co-existing of AUs and TUs in multi-cell networks.

1.2.3 Strong Inter-Cell Interference in Cellular-Connected UAVs

Strong ICI of the AUs remains as a major impediment to an efficient NOMA system. In fact, using NOMA in existing terrestrial multi-cell networks is also challenged by the ICI problem. This is because the SIC at the receivers can only be used to cancel the co-channel interference induced by the superposition coding, not the ICI. Several efficient solutions have been proposed to mitigate the ICI of cell-edge TUs but existing solutions such as [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] require coordination among the BSs. For cell-edge TUs, a coordination among two to three adjacent BSs is sufficient to address the ICI of the cell-edge TUs because adjacent BSs are the dominant interferers and the ICI from BSs that are far away can be suppressed by the severe terrestrial path loss. Nevertheless, AUs that hover at high altitudes

establish strong LOS links with many other terrestrial BSs. Depending on the AU's altitude and the environment, the AUs might detect up to thirty terrestrial BSs [22]. Coordination among these terrestrial BSs is required to fully mitigate the strong ICI of AUs. Nonetheless, this is not an appealing solution for the AUs because coordination among a large number of BSs leads to high system complexity and extreme backhaul requirements [23].

1.3 Research Objectives

This thesis aims to address the open problems discussed in Section 1.2. Based on the same order, the corresponding research objectives of this thesis are to:

1. Design a robust aerial-terrestrial NOMA (AT-NOMA) scheme that maximises the TU's rate over a time-varying wireless channel subject to the AU's rate requirement.
2. Develop a stochastic geometric framework to analyse the effects of different network parameters on the performance of AT-NOMA in multi-cell networks.
3. Design an aerial-terrestrial network NOMA (ATN-NOMA) scheme that can efficiently mitigate the strong ICI of AU, and maximise the TUs' sum-rate subject to the AU's rate requirement.

1.4 Research Scope

This thesis focuses on the theoretical network performance for the co-existence of an AU and a TU in downlink communications. Specifically, this research is carried out based on the principles of wireless communication theory, optimisation theory, stochastic geometry, probability and statistics, and by using computer software such as MATLAB and Mathematica. In each research study, the system model considers only certain parts of the wireless communication process which are major players in

the analysis. These parts include path loss, multipath fading, multiple access scheme, and user rate.

Throughout this thesis, a two-user NOMA is considered. In two-user NOMA, the transmitter (e.g., terrestrial station (TS)/BS) pairs only two users over an orthogonal radio resource/resource block using NOMA. The proposed schemes can be extended to serve multiple AUs and TUs by using a multi-carrier NOMA system¹. Specifically, an AU and a TU can be paired over an orthogonal radio resource/resource block. Then, each pair of AU and TU can be served using different orthogonal radio resource/resource block.

In NOMA, the receivers are required to perform SIC and, for simplicity, this thesis assumes that the SIC is perfect. The implementation, aviation matters, meteorological conditions, side information, network protocols, and backhaul communications are assumed to be in perfect, proper, and working conditions. In addition, all the nodes (e.g., TS, BS, AU, and TU) in the system are equipped with a single antenna.

1.5 Research Contributions

The original contributions of this thesis are summarized as follows. In Chapter 4, a robust AT-NOMA scheme that considers the pairing of a mobile AU and a TU is proposed. An optimisation problem that maximises the TU's rate over a time-varying wireless channel by optimal SIC policy and power allocation subject to the AU's rate requirement is formulated. Both perfect CSI and partial CSI cases are considered. The solution of the perfect CSI case is used to provide useful insights to that of partial CSI case. In the partial CSI case, the probabilities of AU/TU channel order are derived in closed-form expressions. These expressions enable the recognition of strong user and weak user, and thereby allow the proposed AT-NOMA scheme with partial CSI to achieve a higher TU's rate. The AU's mobility effect is also considered, and

¹A multi-carrier NOMA system is a combination of OMA and NOMA schemes. Specifically, users are divided into multiple groups, each group is assigned with an orthogonal radio resource/resource block, and users in each group are served using NOMA [24].

suboptimal power allocation is obtained in closed-form expressions. Due to the AU's mobility effect, the proposed suboptimal power is dynamically allocated, and it exploits the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) of the AU's channel gain in each time slot to ensure the AU's rate requirement is satisfied across time. In the absence of perfect CSI, there is also a tradeoff between the TU's rate and the reliability of satisfying the AU's rate requirement. To address this tradeoff, suboptimal SIC policy and appropriate targeted probability are suggested to strike a balance between rate and reliability.

In Chapter 5, a novel stochastic geometric framework is proposed, where the terrestrial BSs are spatially distributed according to a homogeneous Poisson point process (PPP) and each AU is paired with a TU using NOMA. Different features such as minimum-distance and maximum-signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) based user associations, directional and omni-directional antennas, and also inter-cell interference coordination (ICIC) are incorporated into the proposed framework. Tractable expressions for the coverage probabilities and average rates of a typical AU and a TU are derived. Using the derived analytical expressions, the effects of various network settings and parameters are further analysed. The discussions of the analysis provide intuitive insights on the system characteristics at a fundamental level and practical guidelines for efficient system design. To efficiently serve the AUs and the TUs, an interference-aware AT-NOMA scheme that combines the use of maximum-SINR based user association, directional antenna with fixed beamwidth, and ICIC is proposed. Analytical and simulation results are presented to verify the superiority of the proposed interference-aware AT-NOMA scheme as compared to other schemes based on different combinations.

In Chapter 6, a novel ATN-NOMA scheme for the co-existence of AUs and TUs is proposed. Specifically, each BS pairs the AU and TU in a NOMA setting to leverage their asymmetric channel and rate demand characteristics. The proposed ATN-NOMA scheme further employs elevation-angle based user association, the use of a directional antenna with adjustable beamwidth at the AU, and network NOMA to address the strong ICI issue at the AU. An optimisation problem is formulated to maximise the sum-rate of the TUs by optimal beamwidth and power allocation subject

to the AU's rate requirement, and a local optimal solution is subsequently obtained. By leveraging the unique properties of the proposed scheme and the statistical CSI, the probability density function (PDF) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the aggregated ICI experienced at the AU are derived. Deriving the statistical properties is a challenging task because the aggregated ICI is generally the sum of independent non-identical gamma random variables conditioned by the number of LOS/non-LOS (NLOS) links. Utilizing the derived statistical properties, the aggregated ICI at the AU can be estimated reliably. Furthermore, a criterion where AU experiences zero ICI is outlined. Specifically, AU experiences zero ICI when there are no interfering BSs having the same elevation angle as the coordinated BSs. In such cases, the AU's outage probability is approximated. This analytical result helps to verify the superiority of the proposed ATN-NOMA scheme in terms of outage probability, and confirms that the proposed ATN-NOMA scheme is able to support reliable communications for the AU's links. Simulation results with different network parameters and settings are presented. The simulation results provide quantitative insights on the effects of AU's interference, and more importantly reveal the key factors that determine the performance of the proposed scheme at a fundamental level.

1.6 Organization

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2 presents the literature review, while Chapter 3 describes the research methodology. In Chapter 4, a robust AT-NOMA scheme that considers the pairing of a mobile AU and a TU is proposed. In Chapter 5, a novel stochastic geometry framework is developed, a comprehensive performance analysis is made, and an interference-aware AT-NOMA scheme is proposed. Then, a novel ATN-NOMA scheme which can fully eliminate the ICI of the AUs with limited number of coordinated BSs is proposed in Chapter 6. Lastly, conclusions are made and some promising future directions are outlined in Chapter 7.

REFERENCES

1. Salehi, M., Tabassum, H. and Hossain, E. Accuracy of Distance-Based Ranking of Users in the Analysis of NOMA Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(7): 5069–5083. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2904987.
2. Guo, S. and Zhou, X. Robust Resource Allocation with Imperfect Channel Estimation in NOMA-Based Heterogeneous Vehicular Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(3): 2321–2332. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2885999.
3. Lin, X., Yajnanarayana, V., Muruganathan, S. D., Gao, S., Asplund, H., Maattanen, H., Bergstrom, M., Euler, S. and Wang, Y. E. The Sky is Not the Limit: LTE for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(4): 204–210. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2018.1700643.
4. *Study on Enhanced LTE Support for Aerial Vehicles*. Technical report. 3rd Generation Partnership Project. 2017.
5. *LTE Unmanned Aircraft Systems: Trial Report v1.0.1*. Technical report. Qualcomm Technologies. 2017.
6. Kovacs, I., Amorim, R., Nguyen, H. C., Wigard, J. and Mogensen, P. Interference Analysis for UAV Connectivity over LTE using Aerial Radio Measurements. *Proc. 2017 IEEE 86th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*. 2017. 1–6. doi:10.1109/VTCFall.2017.8287891.
7. H. C. Nguyen and R. Amorim and J. Wigard and I. Z. Kovács and T. B. Sørensen and P. E. Mogensen. How to Ensure Reliable Connectivity for Aerial Vehicles over Cellular Networks. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 12304–12317. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2808998.
8. Stanczak, J., Kovacs, I. Z., Koziol, D., Wigard, J., Amorim, R. and Nguyen, H. Mobility Challenges for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Connected to Cellular LTE Networks. *2018 IEEE 87th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC*

- Spring*). 2018. ISSN 2577-2465. 1–5. doi:10.1109/VTCSpring.2018.8417736.
9. Lin, X., Wiren, R., Euler, S., Sadam, A., Maattanen, H.-L., Muruganathan, S., Gao, S., Wang, Y.-P. E., Kauppi, J., Zou, Z. and Yajnanarayana, V. Mobile Network-Connected Drones: Field Trials, Simulations, and Design Insights. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 2019. 14(3): 115–125. doi:10.1109/MVT.2019.2917363.
 10. Garcia-Rodriguez, A., Geraci, G., Lopez-Perez, D., Giordano, L. G., Ding, M. and Bjornson, E. The Essential Guide to Realizing 5G-Connected UAVs with Massive MIMO. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2019. 57(12): 84–90. doi:10.1109/MCOM.001.1800919.
 11. Andrews, J. G., Baccelli, F. and Ganti, R. K. A Tractable Approach to Coverage and Rate in Cellular Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2011. 59(11): 3122–3134.
 12. Yang, Z., Pan, C., Xu, W., Pan, Y., Chen, M. and ElKashlan, M. Power Control for Multi-Cell Networks with Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2018. 17(2): 927–942. ISSN 1558-2248. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2772824.
 13. Shin, W., Vaezi, M., Lee, B., Love, D. J., Lee, J. and Poor, H. V. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in Multi-Cell Networks: Theory, Performance, and Practical Challenges. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2017. 55(10): 176–183. ISSN 1558-1896. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2017.1601065.
 14. Beylerian, A. and Ohtsuki, T. Coordinated Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (CO-NOMA). *2016 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. 2016. ISSN null. 1–5. doi:10.1109/GLOCOM.2016.7841701.
 15. Choi, J. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in Downlink Coordinated Two-Point Systems. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2014. 18(2): 313–316. ISSN 2373-7891. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2013.123113.132450.
 16. Sun, X., Yang, N., Yan, S., Ding, Z., Ng, D. W. K., Shen, C. and Zhong, Z. Joint Beamforming and Power Allocation in Downlink NOMA Multiuser

- MIMO Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2018. 17(8): 5367–5381. ISSN 1558-2248. doi:10.1109/TWC.2018.2842725.
17. Nguyen, V., Tuan, H. D., Duong, T. Q., Poor, H. V. and Shin, O. Precoder Design for Signal Superposition in MIMO-NOMA Multicell Networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2681–2695. ISSN 1558-0008. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2726007.
 18. Ali, M. S., Hossain, E. and Kim, D. I. Coordinated Multipoint Transmission in Downlink Multi-Cell NOMA Systems: Models and Spectral Efficiency Performance. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2018. 25(2): 24–31. ISSN 1536-1284. doi:10.1109/MWC.2018.1700094.
 19. Sun, Y., Ding, Z., Dai, X. and Karagiannidis, G. K. A Feasibility Study on Network NOMA. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2018. 66(9): 4303–4317. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2825420.
 20. Ali, M. S., Hossain, E., Al-Dweik, A. and Kim, D. I. Downlink Power Allocation for CoMP-NOMA in Multi-Cell Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2018. 66(9): 3982–3998. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2831206.
 21. Tian, Y., Nix, A. R. and Beach, M. On the Performance of Opportunistic NOMA in Downlink CoMP Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2016. 20(5): 998–1001. ISSN 2373-7891. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2016.2545672.
 22. Bergh, B. V. D., Chiumento, A. and Pollin, S. LTE in the Sky: Trading Off Propagation Benefits with Interference Costs for Aerial Nodes. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2016. 54(5): 44–50. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2016.7470934.
 23. Mei, W. and Zhang, R. Aerial-Ground Interference Mitigation for Cellular-Connected UAV. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2021. 28(1): 167–173. doi:10.1109/MWC.001.2000173.
 24. Ding, Z., Lei, X., Karagiannidis, G. K., Schober, R., Yuan, J. and Bhargava, V. K. A Survey on Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for 5G Networks: Research Challenges and Future Trends. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas*

- in Communications*, 2017. 35(10): 2181–2195. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2017.2725519.
25. Zeng, Y., Zhang, R. and Lim, T. J. Throughput Maximization for UAV-Enabled Mobile Relaying Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2016. 64(12): 4983–4996. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2016.2611512.
 26. Zhang, S., Zhang, H., He, Q., Bian, K. and Song, L. Joint Trajectory and Power Optimization for UAV Relay Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(1): 161–164. ISSN 1089-7798. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2017.2763135.
 27. Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. Energy-Efficient UAV Communication with Trajectory Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(6): 3747–3760. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2688328.
 28. Zeng, Y., Xu, J. and Zhang, R. Energy Minimization for Wireless Communication With Rotary-Wing UAV. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2019. 18(4): 2329–2345. doi:10.1109/TWC.2019.2902559.
 29. Xu, J., Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. UAV-Enabled Wireless Power Transfer: Trajectory Design and Energy Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2018. 17(8): 5092–5106. doi:10.1109/TWC.2018.2838134.
 30. Xu, X., Zeng, Y., Guan, Y. L. and Zhang, R. Overcoming Endurance Issue: UAV-Enabled Communications with Proactive Caching. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2018: 1–1. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2018.2844979.
 31. Jeong, S., Simeone, O. and Kang, J. Mobile Edge Computing via a UAV-Mounted Cloudlet: Optimization of Bit Allocation and Path Planning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2018. 67(3): 2049–2063. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2017.2706308.
 32. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M., Nam, Y.-H. and Debbah, M. A Tutorial on UAVs for Wireless Networks: Applications, Challenges, and Open

- Problems. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2019. 21(3): 2334–2360. doi:10.1109/COMST.2019.2902862.
33. Radoglou-Grammatikis, P., Sarigiannidis, P., Lagkas, T. and Moscholios, I. A Compilation of UAV Applications for Precision Agriculture. *Computer Networks*, 2020. 172: 107148.
 34. Brar, S., Rabbat, R., Raithatha, V., Runcie, G. and Yu, A. Drones for Deliveries. *Sutardja Center for Entrepreneurship & Technology, University of California, Berkeley, Technical Report*, 2015. 8: 2015.
 35. Shang, Z. and Shen, Z. Real-Time 3D Reconstruction on Construction Site using Visual SLAM and UAV. *Construction Research Congress 2018*. 2018. 305–315. doi:10.1061/9780784481264.030.
 36. Zeng, Y., Lyu, J. and Zhang, R. Cellular-Connected UAV: Potential, Challenges, and Promising Technologies. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2019. 26(1): 120–127. ISSN 1536-1284. doi:10.1109/MWC.2018.1800023.
 37. Wu, Q., Xu, J., Zeng, Y., Ng, D. W. K., Al-Dhahir, N., Schober, R. and Swindlehurst, A. L. A Comprehensive Overview on 5G-and-Beyond Networks with UAVs: From Communications to Sensing and Intelligence. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2021: 1–1. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2021.3088681.
 38. Wu, J. and Fan, P. A Survey on High Mobility Wireless Communications: Challenges, Opportunities and Solutions. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: 450–476. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2518085.
 39. Azari, M. M., Rosas, F., Chiumento, A. and Pollin, S. Coexistence of Terrestrial and Aerial Users in Cellular Networks. *2017 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2017. ISSN null. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2017.8269068.
 40. Azari, M. M., Rosas, F. and Pollin, S. Reshaping Cellular Networks for the Sky: Major Factors and Feasibility. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2018. ISSN 1938-1883. 1–7. doi:10.1109/ICC.2018.8422685.

41. Yajnanarayana, V., Eric Wang, Y., Gao, S., Muruganathan, S. and Lin Ericsson, X. Interference Mitigation Methods for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Served by Cellular Networks. *2018 IEEE 5G World Forum (5GWF)*. 2018. 118–122. doi:10.1109/5GWF.2018.8517087.
42. Euler, S., Maattanen, H., Lin, X., Zou, Z., Bergstrom, M. and Sedin, J. Mobility Support for Cellular Connected Unmanned Aerial Vehicles: Performance and Analysis. *2019 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*. 2019. ISSN 1525-3511. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/WCNC.2019.8885820.
43. Mei, W. and Zhang, R. Cooperative Downlink Interference Transmission and Cancellation for Cellular-Connected UAV: A Divide-and-Conquer Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2020. 68(2): 1297–1311.
44. Azari, M. M., Rosas, F. and Pollin, S. Cellular Connectivity for UAVs: Network Modeling, Performance Analysis, and Design Guidelines. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2019. 18(7): 3366–3381. doi: 10.1109/TWC.2019.2910112.
45. Zhang, S. and Zhang, R. Trajectory Design for Cellular-Connected UAV under Outage Duration Constraint. *ICC 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2019. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICC.2019.8761259.
46. Bulut, E. and Guevenc, I. Trajectory Optimization for Cellular-Connected UAVs with Disconnectivity Constraint. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*. 2018. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICCW.2018.8403623.
47. Challita, U., Saad, W. and Bettstetter, C. Interference Management for Cellular-Connected UAVs: A Deep Reinforcement Learning Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2019. 18(4): 2125–2140. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2019.2900035.
48. Cao, X., Xu, J. and Zhang, R. Mobile Edge Computing for Cellular-Connected UAV: Computation Offloading and Trajectory Optimization. *2018 IEEE 19th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*. 2018. 1–5. doi:10.1109/SPAWC.2018.8445936.

49. Higuchi, K. and Benjebbour, A. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) with Successive Interference Cancellation for Future Radio Access. *IEICE Transactions on Communications*, 2015. 98(3): 403–414.
50. Liu, Y., Yi, W., Ding, Z., Liu, X., Dobre, O. A. and Al-Dhahir, N. Application of NOMA in 6G Networks: Future Vision and Research Opportunities for Next Generation Multiple Access. *CoRR*, 2021. abs/2103.02334. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.02334>.
51. Makki, B., Chitti, K., Behravan, A. and Alouini, M. S. A Survey of NOMA: Current Status and Open Research Challenges. *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, 2020. 1: 179–189. doi:10.1109/OJCOMS.2020.2969899.
52. Liu, Y., Qin, Z., El Kashlan, M., Ding, Z., Nallanathan, A. and Hanzo, L. Nonorthogonal Multiple Access for 5G and Beyond. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2017. 105(12): 2347–2381. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2017.2768666.
53. Dai, L., Wang, B., Ding, Z., Wang, Z., Chen, S. and Hanzo, L. A Survey of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for 5G. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2018. 20(3): 2294–2323. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2018.2835558.
54. Cover, T. M. and Thomas, J. A. *Elements of Information Theory*. John Wiley & Sons. 2012.
55. Zeng, M., Yadav, A., Dobre, O. A., Tsiropoulos, G. I. and Poor, H. V. Capacity Comparison between MIMO-NOMA and MIMO-OMA with Multiple Users in a Cluster. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(10): 2413–2424. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2725879.
56. Liu, Y., Pan, G., Zhang, H. and Song, M. On the Capacity Comparison between MIMO-NOMA and MIMO-OMA. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: 2123–2129. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2563462.
57. Chen, Z., Ding, Z., Dai, X. and Zhang, R. An Optimization Perspective of the Superiority of NOMA Compared to Conventional OMA. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2017. 65(19): 5191–5202. ISSN 1053-587X. doi: 10.1109/TSP.2017.2725223.

58. Sohail, M. F., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Assisted Communication. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 22716–22727. ISSN 2169-3536. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2826650.
59. Sohail, M. F., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Energy-Efficient Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for UAV Communication System. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019. 68(11): 10834–10845. doi:10.1109/TVT.2019.2939186.
60. Badrudeen, A. A., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Performance Analysis of Hybrid Beamforming Precoders for Multiuser Millimeter Wave NOMA Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2020. 69(8): 8739–8752. doi:10.1109/TVT.2020.3000443.
61. Badrudeen, A. A., Leow, C. Y. and Won, S. Sub-Connected Structure Hybrid Precoding for Millimeter-Wave NOMA Communications. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2021. 10(6): 1334–1338. doi:10.1109/LWC.2021.3066218.
62. Liao, Q. Y. and Leow, C. Y. Successive User Relaying in Cooperative NOMA System. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2019. 8(3): 921–924. doi:10.1109/LWC.2019.2900013.
63. Liu, Y., Ding, Z., ElKashlan, M. and Poor, H. V. Cooperative Non-orthogonal Multiple Access with Simultaneous Wireless Information and Power Transfer. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2016. 34(4): 938–953. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2016.2549378.
64. Al-Wani, M. M., Sali, A., Awad, S. D., Salah, A. A., Ding, Z., Noordin, N. K., Hashim, S. J. and Leow, C. Y. Interference Cancellation via D2D CSI Sharing for MU-MISO-NOMA System with Limited Feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2021. 70(5): 4569–4584. doi:10.1109/TVT.2021.3070989.
65. Alsaba, Y., Leow, C. Y. and Abdul Rahim, S. K. Null-Steering Beamforming for Enhancing the Physical Layer Security of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access System. *IEEE Access*, 2019. 7: 11397–11409. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2890822.

66. 36.859, G. T. R. *Study on Downlink Multiuser Superposition Transmission (MUST) for LTE (release 13)*. Technical report. Nov 2015.
67. Saito, Y., Kishiyama, Y., Benjebbour, A., Nakamura, T., Li, A. and Higuchi, K. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) for Cellular Future Radio Access. *2013 IEEE 77th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring)*. 2013. ISSN 1550-2252. 1–5. doi:10.1109/VTCSpring.2013.6692652.
68. Sun, Y., Ng, D. W. K., Ding, Z. and Schober, R. Optimal Joint Power and Subcarrier Allocation for MC-NOMA Systems. *2016 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. 2016. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOM.2016.7842087.
69. Ding, Z., Schober, R. and Poor, H. V. A General MIMO Framework for NOMA Downlink and Uplink Transmission Based on Signal Alignment. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(6): 4438–4454. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2016.2542066.
70. Ding, Z., Dai, H. and Poor, H. V. Relay Selection for Cooperative NOMA. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2016. 5(4): 416–419. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2016.2574709.
71. Tse, D. and Viswanath, P. *Fundamentals of Wireless Communication*. Cambridge University Press. 2005.
72. Goldsmith, A. *Wireless Communications*. Cambridge University Press. 2005.
73. Zeng, Y., Wu, Q. and Zhang, R. Accessing From the Sky: A Tutorial on UAV Communications for 5G and Beyond. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2019. 107(12): 2327–2375. ISSN 1558-2256. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2019.2952892.
74. Khamidehi, B. and Sousa, E. S. Power Efficient Trajectory Optimization for the Cellular-Connected Aerial Vehicles. *2019 IEEE 30th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*. 2019. ISSN 2166-9570. 1–6. doi:10.1109/PIMRC.2019.8904357.
75. Zhang, S., Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. Cellular-Enabled UAV Communication: A Connectivity-Constrained Trajectory Optimization Perspective. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(3): 2580–2604. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2880468.

76. Matolak, D. W. and Sun, R. Air-Ground Channel Characterization for Unmanned Aircraft Systems-Part I: Methods, Measurements, and Models for Over-Water Settings. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2017. 66(1): 26–44. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2016.2530306.
77. Khawaja, W., Guvenc, I., Matolak, D. W., Fiebig, U.-C. and Schneckenburger, N. A Survey of Air-to-Ground Propagation Channel Modeling for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2019. 21(3): 2361–2391. doi:10.1109/COMST.2019.2915069.
78. Al-Hourani, A., Kandeepan, S. and Jamalipour, A. Modeling Air-to-Ground Path Loss for Low Altitude Platforms in Urban Environments. *2014 IEEE Global Communications Conference*. 2014. ISSN 1930-529X. 2898–2904. doi:10.1109/GLOCOM.2014.7037248.
79. Al-Hourani, A., Kandeepan, S. and Lardner, S. Optimal LAP Altitude for Maximum Coverage. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2014. 3(6): 569–572. ISSN 2162-2337. doi:10.1109/LWC.2014.2342736.
80. Azari, M. M., Rosas, F., Chen, K. C. and Pollin, S. Ultra Reliable UAV Communication using Altitude and Cooperation Diversity. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2018. 66(1): 330–344. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2017.2746105.
81. Cherif, N., Alzenad, M., Yanikomeroğlu, H. and Yongacoglu, A. Downlink Coverage and Rate Analysis of an Aerial User in Vertical Heterogeneous Networks (VHetNets). *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2021. 20(3): 1501–1516. doi:10.1109/TWC.2020.3033940.
82. Haile, B. B. *et al.* Co-channel Interference in Heterogeneous Networks: Rician/Rayleigh Scenario. *Master Thesis, Department of Communications and Networking, AALTO UNIVERSITY*, 2010.
83. Iskandar and Shimamoto, S. The Channel Characterization and Performance Evaluation of Mobile Communication Employing Stratospheric Platform. *IEEE/ACES International Conference on Wireless Communications and Applied Computational Electromagnetics, 2005*. 2005. 828–831. doi:10.1109/WCACEM.2005.1469712.

84. Nakagami, M. The M-Distribution - A General Formula of Intensity Distribution of Rapid Fading. In: *Statistical Methods in Radio Wave Propagation*. Elsevier. 3–36. 1960.
85. Wu, Q., Xu, J. and Zhang, R. Capacity Characterization of UAV-Enabled Two-User Broadcast Channel. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2018: 1–1. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2018.2864421.
86. Sharma, P. K. and Kim, D. I. UAV-Enabled Downlink Wireless System with Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *2017 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. 2017. 1–6. doi:10.1109/GLOCOMW.2017.8269066.
87. Rupasinghe, N., Yapici, Y., Guvenc, I. and Kakishima, Y. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for mmWave Drone Networks with Limited Feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(1): 762–777. ISSN 0090-6778. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2867465.
88. Hou, T., Liu, Y., Song, Z., Sun, X. and Chen, Y. Exploiting NOMA for UAV Communications in Large-Scale Cellular Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(10): 6897–6911. ISSN 1558-0857. doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2929806.
89. Liu, Y., Qin, Z., Cai, Y., Gao, Y., Li, G. Y. and Nallanathan, A. UAV Communications Based on Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2019. 26(1): 52–57. ISSN 1558-0687. doi:10.1109/MWC.2018.1800196.
90. Mei, W. and Zhang, R. Uplink Cooperative NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAV. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 2019: 1–1. ISSN 1932-4553. doi:10.1109/JSTSP.2019.2899208.
91. Liu, L., Zhang, S. and Zhang, R. Exploiting NOMA for Multi-Beam UAV Communication in Cellular Uplink. *ICC 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. 2019. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICC.2019.8761670.
92. Mu, X., Liu, Y., Guo, L. and Lin, J. Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Air-to-Ground Communication. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2020. 68(5): 2934–2949. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2020.2973264.

93. Senadhira, N., Durrani, S., Zhou, X., Yang, N. and Ding, M. Uplink NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAV: Impact of UAV Trajectories and Altitude. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2020: 1–1.
94. Hou, T., Liu, Y., Song, Z., Sun, X. and Chen, Y. NOMA-Enhanced Terrestrial and Aerial IoT Networks with Partial CSI. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2020. 7(4): 3254–3266. doi:10.1109/JIOT.2020.2966047.
95. Zaidi, S. K., Hasan, S. F. and Gui, X. Outage Analysis of Ground-Aerial NOMA with Distinct Instantaneous Channel Gain Ranking. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019. 68(11): 10775–10790. ISSN 1939-9359. doi:10.1109/TVT.2019.2938516.
96. Mei, W. and Zhang, R. Cooperative NOMA for Downlink Asymmetric Interference Cancellation. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2020.
97. Yang, Z., Ding, Z., Fan, P. and Al-Dhahir, N. A General Power Allocation Scheme to Guarantee Quality of Service in Downlink and Uplink NOMA Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2016. 15(11): 7244–7257. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2016.2599521.
98. Zhu, J., Wang, J., Huang, Y., He, S., You, X. and Yang, L. On Optimal Power Allocation for Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2744–2757. ISSN 0733-8716. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2017.2725618.
99. Zamani, M. R., Eslami, M., Khorramizadeh, M. and Ding, Z. Energy-Efficient Power Allocation for NOMA with Imperfect CSI. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2019. 68(1): 1009–1013. ISSN 0018-9545. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2018.2882500.
100. Fang, F., Zhang, H., Cheng, J., Roy, S. and Leung, V. C. M. Joint User Scheduling and Power Allocation Optimization for Energy-Efficient NOMA Systems with Imperfect CSI. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2017. 35(12): 2874–2885. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2017.2777672.
101. Salehi, M. and Hossain, E. On Coverage Probability in Uplink NOMA with Instantaneous Signal Power-Based User Ranking. *IEEE Wireless*

- Communications Letters*, 2019. 8(6): 1683–1687. doi:10.1109/LWC.2019.2936854.
102. Zhang, S., Xu, X., Wang, H., Peng, J., Zhang, D. and Huang, K. Enhancing the Physical Layer Security of Uplink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in Cellular Internet of Things. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: 58405–58417. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2875118.
103. Sun, Y., Ding, Z., Dai, X., Navaie, K. and So, D. K. C. Performance of Downlink NOMA in Vehicular Communication Networks: An Analysis Based on Poisson Line Cox Point Process. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2020. 69(11): 14001–14006. doi:10.1109/TVT.2020.3025624.
104. Sun, Y., Ding, Z. and Dai, X. On the Performance of Downlink NOMA in Multi-Cell mmWave Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(11): 2366–2369. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2870442.
105. Salehi, M., Tabassum, H. and Hossain, E. Meta Distribution of SIR in Large-Scale Uplink and Downlink NOMA Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2019. 67(4): 3009–3025. doi:10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2889484.
106. Rockafellar, R. T. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press. 2015.
107. Boyd, S., Boyd, S. P. and Vandenberghe, L. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press. 2004.
108. Grant, M., Boyd, S. and Ye, Y. CVX: Matlab Software for Disciplined Convex Programming, 2009.
109. Duchi, J., Boyd, S. and Wainwright, J. Sequential Convex Programming. In: *Lecture Notes EE364b*. Stanford Univ. 2018.
110. Xu, J., Zhang, J. and Andrews, J. G. On the Accuracy of the Wyner Model in Cellular Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2011. 10(9): 3098–3109. doi:10.1109/TWC.2011.062911.100481.
111. Chae, C.-B., Hwang, I., Heath, R. W. and Tarokh, V. Interference Aware-Coordinated Beamforming in a Multi-Cell System. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2012. 11(10): 3692–3703. doi:10.1109/TWC.2012.081312.112119.

112. Ghosh, A., Zhang, J., Andrews, J. G. and Muhamed, R. *Fundamentals of LTE*. Pearson Education. 2010.
113. Haenggi, M. *Stochastic Geometry for Wireless Networks*. Cambridge University Press. 2012.
114. Andrews, J. G., Gupta, A. K. and Dhillon, H. S. A Primer on Cellular Network Analysis using Stochastic Geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.03183*, 2016.
115. Lyu, J. and Zhang, R. Network-Connected UAV: 3-D System Modeling and Coverage Performance Analysis. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2019. 6(4): 7048–7060. doi:10.1109/JIOT.2019.2913887.
116. Yuan, X., Feng, Z., Xu, W., Ni, W., Zhang, J. A., Wei, Z. and Liu, R. P. Capacity Analysis of UAV Communications: Cases of Random Trajectories. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2018. 67(8): 7564–7576. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2018.2829726.
117. Ding, Z., Fan, P. and Poor, H. V. Impact of User Pairing on 5G Nonorthogonal Multiple-Access Downlink Transmissions. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2016. 65(8): 6010–6023. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2015.2480766.
118. Laoudias, C., Moreira, A., Kim, S., Lee, S., Wirola, L. and Fischione, C. A Survey of Enabling Technologies for Network Localization, Tracking, and Navigation. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 2018. 20(4): 3607–3644. ISSN 1553-877X. doi:10.1109/COMST.2018.2855063.
119. Hedgecock, W., Maroti, M., Sallai, J., Volgyesi, P. and Ledeczi, A. High-Accuracy Differential Tracking of Low-cost GPS Receivers. *Proceeding of the 11th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 2013, MobiSys '13. ISBN 978-1-4503-1672-9. 221–234. doi:10.1145/2462456.2464456. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2462456.2464456>.
120. Ding, G., Wu, Q., Zhang, L., Lin, Y., Tsiftsis, T. A. and Yao, Y. An Amateur Drone Surveillance System Based on the Cognitive Internet of Things. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(1): 29–35. ISSN 0163-6804. doi:10.1109/MCOM.2017.1700452.

121. Qiu, S., , Qu, D., Luo, K. and Jiang, T. Downlink Precoding with Mixed Statistical and Imperfect Instantaneous CSI for Massive MIMO Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2018. 67(4): 3028–3041. ISSN 0018-9545. doi:10.1109/TVT.2017.2774836.
122. Ali, M. S., Tabassum, H. and Hossain, E. Dynamic User Clustering and Power Allocation for Uplink and Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) Systems. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: 6325–6343. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2604821.
123. Kovács, I. Z., Wigard, J., Mogensen, P., Amorim, R., Sørensen, T. and Hansen, S. Enabling BVLOS Flights through Cellular Connectivity. 2018. URL https://www.droc2om.eu/digitalAssets/437/437483_droneberlin_nokia_slides.pdf, NOKIA Bell Labs.
124. Mozaffari, M., Saad, W., Bennis, M. and Debbah, M. Mobile Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for Energy-Efficient Internet of Things Communications. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2017. 16(11): 7574–7589. ISSN 1536-1276. doi:10.1109/TWC.2017.2751045.
125. Balanis, C. A. *Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design, 3rd Edition*. John Wiley & Sons. 2005.
126. Mahmud, A. and Hamdi, K. A. A Unified Framework for the Analysis of Fractional Frequency Reuse Techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2014. 62(10): 3692–3705.
127. Zhang, X. and Haenggi, M. A Stochastic Geometry Analysis of Inter-Cell Interference Coordination and Intra-Cell Diversity. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2014. 13(12): 6655–6669.
128. Yoon, J. and Hwang, G. Distance-Based Inter-Cell Interference Coordination in Small Cell Networks: Stochastic Geometry Modeling and Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2018. 17(6): 4089–4103.
129. Bai, T. and Heath, R. W. Coverage and Rate Analysis for Millimeter-Wave Cellular Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2015. 14(2): 1100–1114.

130. Kim, T., Kim, D. S. and Jang, G.-W. On Central Complete and Incomplete Bell Polynomials I. *Symmetry*, 2019. 11(2): 288.
131. Yilmaz, F. and Alouini, M. A Unified MGF-Based Capacity Analysis of Diversity Combiners over Generalized Fading Channels. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2012. 60(3): 862–875.
132. Attorney General’s Chambers of Malaysia. *Civil Aviation Regulations*. Technical report. Federal Government Gazette. 2016.
133. He, H., Zhang, S., Zeng, Y. and Zhang, R. Joint Altitude and Beamwidth Optimization for UAV-Enabled Multiuser Communications. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(2): 344–347. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2017.2772254.
134. Yang, Z., Pan, C., Shikh-Bahaei, M., Xu, W., Chen, M., Elkashlan, M. and Nallanathan, A. Joint Altitude, Beamwidth, Location, and Bandwidth Optimization for UAV-Enabled Communications. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2018. 22(8): 1716–1719. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2846241.
135. Khidre, A., Yang, F. and Elsherbeni, A. Z. Reconfigurable Microstrip Antenna with Tunable Radiation Beamwidth. *2013 IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium (APSURSI)*. 2013. 1444–1445. doi:10.1109/APS.2013.6711381.
136. Debgovic, T., Perruisseau-Carrier, J. and Bartolic, J. Partially Reflective Surface Antenna with Dynamic Beamwidth Control. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2010. 9: 1157–1160. doi:10.1109/LAWP.2010.2098386.
137. Elhattab, M., Arfaoui, M.-A., Assi, C. and Ghayeb, A. Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface Assisted Coordinated Multipoint in Downlink NOMA Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2021. 25(2): 632–636. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2020.3029717.
138. Lei, R. and Xu, D. On the Outage Performance of JT-CoMP-CNOMA Networks with SWIPT. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2021. 25(2): 432–436. doi:10.1109/LCOMM.2020.3029776.

139. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K., Sun, Y. and Ding, Z. Interference-Aware NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAVs: Stochastic Geometry Analysis. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2021: 1–1. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2021.3088671.
140. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. and Ding, Z. Robust Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Aerial and Ground Users. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2020. 19(7): 4793–4805.
141. Moscuoroums, P. The Distribution of The Sum of Independent Gamma Random Variables. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math*, 1985. 37(Part A): 541–544.
142. Covo, S. and Elalouf, A. A Novel Single-Gamma Approximation to The Sum of Independent Gamma Variables, and A Generalization to Infinitely Divisible Distributions. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 2014. 8(1): 894–926.
143. Mao, Y., Clerckx, B. and Li, V. O. Rate-splitting Multiple Access for Downlink Communication Systems: Bridging, Generalizing, and Outperforming SDMA and NOMA. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2018. 2018(1): 1–54.
144. Gradshteyn, I. and Ryzhik, I. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, 7th edition*. Elsevier Academic Press. Feb 2007.
145. Sofotasios, P. C., Muhaidat, S., Karagiannidis, G. K. and Sharif, B. S. Solutions to Integrals Involving the Marcum Q -Function and Applications. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2015. 22(10): 1752–1756. ISSN 1070-9908. doi:10.1109/LSP.2015.2432064.
146. Byshkov, Y. and Marichev, O. Integrals and Series. *Special Functions*, 1983.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. and Ding, Z, "Robust Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access for Aerial and Ground Users," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 4793-4805, July 2020, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2020.2987315.
2. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. Sun, Y. and Ding, Z, "Interference-Aware NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAVs: Stochastic Geometry Analysis," in IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 3067-3080, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2021.3088671.
3. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. Sun, Y. and Ding, Z, "Application of NOMA for Cellular-Connected UAVs: Opportunities and Challenges", in Journal of Science China Information Sciences, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 140302:1-140302:14, April 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11432-020-2986-8.

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. Sun, Y. and Ding, Z, "Downlink NOMA for Coexistence of Aerial and Terrestrial Users: Stochastic Geometry Analysis," GLOBECOM 2020 - 2020 IEEE Global Communications Conference, 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/GLOBECOM42002.2020.9322605.
2. New, W. K., Leow, C. Y., Navaie, K. and Ding, Z, "Network NOMA for Co-existence of Aerial and Terrestrial Users," 2020 IEEE 92nd Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Fall), 2020, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/VTC2020-Fall49728.2020.9348754.

3. New, W. K. and Leow, C. Y., "Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) in Future Communication System," 2021 26th IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications (APCC), 2021, pp. 217-222, doi: 10.1109/APCC49754.2021.9609875